The classification of genus *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae): a working hypothesis of phylogenetic relationships

R.E. Harbach*

Department of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London, SW7 5BD, UK

Abstract

The internal classification of genus *Anopheles* is updated to reflect taxonomic actions published since the classification was last reviewed in 1994. Both formal and informal taxa are included. The classification is intended to aid researchers and students who are interested in analysing species relationships, making group comparisons and testing phylogenetic hypotheses. The genus includes 444 formally named and 40 provisionally designated extant species divided between six subgenera: *Anopheles, Cellia, Kerteszia, Lophopodomyia, Nyssorhynchus* and *Stethomyia*. Subgenera *Anopheles, Cellia* and *Nyssorhynchus* are subdivided hierarchically into nested informal groups of morphologically similar species that are believed to represent monophyletic lineages based on morphological similarity. Changes to the classification include additional species, eliminated species and changes to the hierarchical organization and composition of supraspecific groups, some as a result of molecular studies.

Introduction

The internal classification of genus *Anopheles* Meigen compiled below is a revised, updated version of the classification that appeared in Harbach (1994). Its purpose is the same as before – to provide a foundation for critical studies of evolutionary relationships at specific and supraspecific levels of divergence. As such, the classification presented herein is a working hypothesis that requires further testing.

Anopheline systematics reached the apogee of classical morphological study some years ago and is now dominated by molecular genetic research, but this has had, for the most part, little impact on the internal classification of genus *Anopheles*. As noted by Krzywinski & Besansky (2003), '... despite efforts spanning a century, the present system of *Anopheles* classification remains largely untested for its accurate representation of *Anopheles* phylogeny.' With few exceptions (Foley *et al.*, 1998; Sallum *et al.*, 2000, 2002; Krzywinski *et al.*, 2001a,b), studies of evolutionary

Fax: 44 (0)20 7942 5229 E-mail: r.harbach@nhm.ac.uk relationships have focused principally on major vectors of malaria and their closest relatives. Nevertheless, the results of these studies, coupled with the recognition of new species and taxonomic changes published since the classification of *Anopheles* was last reviewed (Harbach, 1994), justify the present paper.

Family Culicidae consists of two principal lineages that are recognized as subfamilies, the Anophelinae and Culicinae (Harbach & Kitching, 1998; Mitchell et al., 2002). The traditional classification of subfamily Anophelinae includes three genera: Anopheles (cosmopolitan), Bironella Theobald (Australasian) and Chagasia Cruz (Neotropical). The majority of anopheline species belong to genus *Anopheles*, which is subdivided into the six subgenera noted above. Sallum et al. (2000) proposed synonymy of genus Bironella and subgenera Lophopodomyia and Stethomyia with subgenus Anopheles based on a cladistic analysis of morphological criteria, but this action is not accepted because it was put forth prematurely. As noted by Krzywinski & Besansky (2003), the relationships of these taxa hypothesized by Sallum *et al.* are based on homoplastic data and are incongruous with the results of molecular analyses (Besansky & Fahey, 1997; Foley et al., 1998; Krzywinski et al., 2001a,b; Sallum et al., 2002) and

morphological evidence that supports a sister-group relationship for *Anopheles* and *Bironella* (Harbach & Kitching, 1998). Notwithstanding some indication that subgenera *Anopheles* and *Cellia* may be paraphyletic (Foley *et al.*, 1998; Sallum *et al.*, 2002), the preponderance of available data supports the monophyletic origins of the six subgenera. Considering all lines of evidence, a working hypothesis of higher-level relationships suggests that the ancestral stock of *Anopheles* gave rise to *Stethomyia* and a lineage that subsequently evolved into two clades, one consisting of subgenera *Anopheles* + *Cellia* and the other comprising *Lophopodomyia* + (*Kerteszia* + *Nyssorhynchus*) (Krzywinski & Besansky, 2003).

Most phylogenetic studies of *Anopheles* mosquitoes conducted since the advent of molecular systematics involve lower levels of classification, principally species groups, subgroups and complexes (table 1) that include vectors of human malaria. Considering the taxonomic breadth of the genus, it is obvious that the evolutionary relationships of principal malaria vectors and their closest allies have received more attention than other groups of *Anopheles*. The results of the studies listed in table 1 need not be discussed in detail here since any taxonomic information they contain is noted in the classification detailed below. Most morphologically defined groups thus far studied have been shown to be monophyletic.

The discovery of new species, mainly sibling or cryptic species, seems to be on the increase as a result of DNA analyses (as indicated by the recent work of Cohuet et al., 2003; Kengne et al., 2003; Sedaghat et al., 2003; Wilkerson et al., 2004). The trend now is to develop molecular methods for species identification, which overshadows the need to train a new generation of morphological taxonomists. Ironically, the majority of anopheline species still require morphological identification to group or complex before molecular assays can be applied. The situation is perilous because most molecular systematists do not have the taxonomic expertise to accurately identify species, and the identification of species based on similarity to DNA sequences in GenBank is becoming increasingly common. This is problematical for several reasons. GenBank is an uncurated repository that includes data for a number of species that have been incorrectly identified, sequences of dubious quality, specimens of unknown origin, or a combination of these shortcomings. Additionally, sequences are often submitted for species for which there are no voucher specimens, no collection details, or other data, such as the name of the person who identified the specimens.

Table 1. Phylogenetic studies of Anopheles mosquitoes.

Group	Data set	Authors
Anophelinae	Morphology DNA: <i>cyt b, ND5, D</i> 2	Harbach & Kitching (1998); Sallum <i>et al.</i> (2000) Krzywinski <i>et al.</i> (2001a)
	ND5, D2, G ₆ pd, white	Krzywinski <i>et al.</i> (2001b) Sallum <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Genus Anopheles	coi, coii, b2	Janum et ul. (2002)
Subgenus <i>Anopheles</i> Anopheles Series	DNA: COII	Foley <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Maculipennis Group	Chromosomes	White (1978)
	DNA: ITS2	Linton (2004)*, Gordeev et al. (2004)
Maculipennis Subgroup Freeborni and Quadri-	DNA: ITS2	Marinucci <i>et al.</i> (1999)
maculatus Subgroups Myzorhynchus Series	DNA: D2	Porter & Collins (1996)
Hyrcanus Group	DNA: ITS2	Ma & Qu (2002)
Subgenus Cellia	Chromosomes	Green (1982); Pape (1992)
	DNA: COII	Foley et al. (1998)
Myzomyia Series	Chromosomes	Green (1982); Pape (1992)
	DNA: COII, D3	Chen <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Funestus Group	DNA: <i>COI, D3, ITS2</i>	Garros <i>et al</i> . (2004a,b)*
Minimus Subgroup	DNA: COII, D3	Sharpe <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Neocellia Series	Chromosomes	Green <i>et al.</i> (1985b)
Maculatus Group	DNA: ITS2	Ma et al. (2002)
Neomyzomyia Series		
Punctulatus Group	DNA: ITS2	Beebe <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Pyretophorus Series	Chromosomes	Pape (1992)
	DNA: COII	Foley <i>et al.</i> (1998)
	Morphology	Anthony <i>et al</i> . (1999)*
Gambiae Complex	Chromosomes	Coluzzi et al. (1979)
	rDNA, mtDNA	Besansky et al. (1994)
	mtDNA	Caccone et al. (1996)
Subgenus <i>Kerteszia</i>	Morphology	Collucci & Sallum (2003)
Subgenus Nyssorhynchus		• •
Albimanus Section	Morphology	Faran (1980)
Argyritarsis Section	Morphology	Linthicum (1988)

Groups included in the table are those recognized herein. None of the studies included all taxa that comprise the group investigated, but those marked with an asterisk (*) included the majority of species. Nucleotide sequences include *COI*, *COII*, *cyt* b and *ND5* from mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA); D2, D3 and *ITS2* from ribosomal DNA (rDNA); *G_cpd* and *white* from nuclear DNA.

Obviously, extreme caution must be exercised when GenBank sequences are used as a source of species identification (Linton *et al.*, 2002a; Harbach, 2003).

Little progress has been made in giving formal names to members of species complexes denoted by letter or number designations because 'sibling species pose an especially difficult problem for taxonomists. The process of establishing which available name may apply to a cryptic species is analogous to the identification of human remains by forensic anthropologists, which is sometimes an impossible task' (Harbach, 2003). It should be noted that in those few cases where members of species complexes have been given formal Latin names, notably species of the Nearctic Quadrimaculatus Complex of subgenus Anopheles (Reinert et al., 1997) and the Australasian Farauti Complex of subgenus Cellia (Schmidt et al., 2001, 2003), there were no junior synonyms of the nominotypical species to consider when naming the species. Obviously, molecular applications 'do not represent a substitute for morphological study because these techniques by themselves cannot be used to resolve formal taxonomic problems. The reason for this is that the entire faunal classification system is based on morphology and the type specimen concept. This does not preclude new species from being described and named on the basis of molecular or genetic data alone [for example see Sedaghat et al., 2003]. It means, however, that the 'modern' techniques must be integrated with classical morphological analysis to determine the correct application of available names for species concepts previously based solely on anatomical characters' (Harbach, 2003).

At the end of July 2004, 444 formally named species and 40 unnamed members of species complexes were recognized as distinct morphological and / or genetic species of Anopheles. These species are divided between six subgenera, Anopheles (189 species), Cellia Theobald (239), Kerteszia Theobald (12), Lophopodomyia Antunes (6), Nyssorhynchus Blanchard (33) and Stethomyia Theobald (5). The three largest subgenera are further divided into hierarchical systems of informal taxonomic categories that include sections, series, groups, subgroups and complexes. The history, units and scheme of classification were reviewed in detail by Harbach (1994), and this information is not repeated here. As before, the informal categories of classification are given vernacular names that are printed in Roman type with the first letter capitalized even though the name of a nominal species or other formal taxon precedes the term (capitalized) denoting the level of classification, e.g. Maculipennis Group. Alternatively, in situations where this practice might be unacceptable, an italicized binomen or other scientific name should be used in combination with the term (not capitalized) denoting the level of classification, e.g. Anopheles maculipennis group and Myzomyia series. In the case of binomina, the generic name or its abbreviation should always be used in the combination as specific names normally should not stand alone.

As previously, taxa are arranged alphabetically within groups, and the groupings at each level of classification are believed to represent phylogenetically related assemblages of species based principally on morphological similarity. However, some groupings contain one or more species of uncertain relationship with members of subordinate groups. These species are listed before the subordinate groups as unassigned members of the higher taxon. The authorities who first introduced or most recently redefined the informal taxonomic groups are indicated by literature citations. References for species complexes are those that include the first mention or treatment of all the species currently recognized within the group.

Only extant species of *Anopheles* are included in the classification. Two described fossil species are omitted. *Anopheles rottensis* Statz from the upper Oligocene of Germany is only tentatively placed in genus *Anopheles* (Statz, 1944). *Anopheles* (*Nyssorhynchus*) *dominicanus* Zavortink & Poinar from Dominican amber is 'unquestionably an *Anopheles'*, but its placement in subgenus *Nyssorhynchus* is uncertain (Zavortink & Poinar, 2000).

Changes to the classification

Taxonomic acts published since Harbach (1994) that impact on the classification of *Anopheles* include: (i) the recognition of new taxa and nominal species resurrected from synonymy; (ii) the elimination of species as a result of synonymy, species reduced to subspecies status and species recognized as *nomina dubia*; and (iii) changes to the makeup and structural hierarchy of informal supraspecific groups.

Species added to the classification

Thirty-one new species (23 formally named; eight informally designated) and five species resurrected from synonymy have been added to the classification, as follow.

- *albitarsis* B, provisional designation by Wilkerson *et al.* (1995) (subgenus *Nyssorhynchus*, Argyritarsis Section, Albitarsis Series, Albitarsis Group)
- auyantepuiensis Harbach & Navarro, 1996 (subgenus Kerteszia)
- *carnevalaei* Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy, 1999 (subgenus *Cellia*, Neomyzomyia Series, Ardensis Group, Nili Complex)
- *comorensis* Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy, 1997 (subgenus *Cellia*, Pyretophorus Series, Gambiae Complex)
- *costai* Fonseca & Ramos, resurrected from synonymy with *mediopunctatus* (Lutz) by Sallum *et al.* (1999) (subgenus *Anopheles,* Laticorn Section, Arribalzagia Series)
- *crypticus* Coetzee, 1995 (subgenus *Anopheles*, Laticorn Section, Myzorhynchus Series, Coustani Group)
- daciae Linton, Nicolescu & Harbach, in Nicolescu et al. (2004) (subgenus Anopheles, Angusticorn Section, Maculipennis Group, Maculipennis Subgroup)
- diluvialis Reinert, in Reinert et al. (1997) (subgenus Anopheles, Angusticorn Section, Maculipennis Group, Quadrimaculatus Subgroup)
- dualaensis Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy, 1999 (subgenus Cellia, Neomyzomyia Series)
- elegans sensu auctorum, new species recognized by Sallum et al. (2004) (subgenus Cellia, Neomyzomyia Series, Leucosphyrus Group, Hackeri Subgroup)
- eouzani Brunhes, le Goff & Bousses, 2003 (subgenus Cellia, Neomyzomyia Series, Ardensis Group)
- forattinii Wilkerson & Sallum, 1999 (subgenus Anopheles, Laticorn Section, Arribalzagia Series)
- n. sp. near gigas (in Thailand), provisional designation by Rattanarithikul et al. (2004) (subgenus Anopheles, Anopheles Series, Lindesayi Group, Gigas Complex)
- hailarensis Xu & Luo, 1998 (subgenus Anopheles, Laticorn Section, Myzorhynchus Series, Hyrcanus Group)
- halophylus Silva do Nascimento & Lourenço-de-Oliveira, 2002 (subgenus Nyssorhynchus, Albimanus Section, Oswaldoi Series, Triannulatus Group)

- hervyi Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy, 1999 (subgenus Cellia, Neocellia Series)
- *hinesorum* Schmidt, 2001, in Schmidt *et al.*, 2001 (subgenus *Cellia*, Neomyzomyia Series, Punctulatus Group, Farauti Complex)
- *inundatus* Reinert, in Reinert *et al.* (1997) (subgenus *Anopheles*, Angusticorn Section, Maculipennis Group, Quadrimaculatus Subgroup)
- *junlianensis* Lei, 1996 (subgenus *Anopheles*, Laticorn Section, Myzorhynchus Series, Hyrcanus Group)
- konderi Galvão & Damasceno, resurrected from synonymy with oswaldoi Peryassú by Flores-Mendoza et al. (2004) (subgenus Nyssorhynchus, Oswaldoi Series, Oswaldoi Group, Oswaldoi Subgroup)
- maverlius Reinert, in Reinert et al. (1997) (subgenus Anopheles, Angusticorn Section, Maculipennis Group, Quadrimaculatus Subgroup)
- minimus E, provisional designation by Somboon et al. (2001) (subgenus Cellia, Myzomyia Series, Minimus Group, Minimus Complex)
- *multicinctus* Edwards, resurrected from synonymy with *natalensis* (Hill & Haydon) by Brunhes *et al.* (1998b) (subgenus *Cellia*, Neomyzomyia Series, Ardensis Group)
- nimpe Nguyen, Tran & Harbach, in Nguyen *et al.* (2000) (subgenus *Anopheles*, Laticorn Section, Myzorhynchus Series, Hyrcanus Group)
- nuneztovari A, provisional designation (Sierra et al., 2004) (subgenus Nyssorhynchus, Oswaldoi Series, Oswaldoi Group, Oswaldoi Subgroup, Nuneztovari Complex)
- okuensis Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy, 1997 (subgenus Anopheles, Laticorn Section, Christya Series)
- ovengensis Awono-Ambene, Kengne, Simard, Antonio-Nikondjio & Fontenille, 2004 (subgenus *Cellia*, Neomyzia Series, Nili Group)
- persiensis Linton, Sedaghat & Harbach, in Sedaghat et al. (2003) (subgenus Anopheles, Angusticorn Section, Maculipennis Group, Maculipennis Subgroup)
- *quadriannulatus* B, provisional designation by Hunt *et al.*, 1998 (subgenus *Cellia*, Pyretophorus Series, Gambiae Complex)
- rageaui Mattingly & Adam, resurrected from synonymy with smithii Theobald by Brunhes et al. (1999) (subgenus Cellia, Neomyzomyia Series, Smithii Group)
- *rivulorum*-like species, provisional designation by Cohuet *et al.* (2003) (subgenus *Cellia*, Myzomyia Series, Funestus Group)
- seretsei Abdulla-Khan, Coetzee & Hunt, 1998 (subgenus Cellia, Paramyzomyia Series, Listeri Group)
- smaragdinus Reinert, in Reinert et al. (1997) (subgenus Anopheles, Angusticorn Section, Maculipennis Group, Quadrimaculatus Subgroup)
- Sumatra species, provisional designation by Peyton (1990), subgenus *Cellia*, Neomyzomyia Series, Leucosphyrus Group, Hackeri Subgroup)
- *torresiensis* Schmidt, in Schmidt *et al.*, 2001 (subgenus *Cellia*, Neomyzomyia Series, Punctulatus Group, Farauti Complex)
- trinkae Faran, resurrected from synonymy with dunhami Causey by Lounibos et al. (1998) (subgenus Nyssorhynchus, Albimanus Section, Oswaldoi Series, Oswaldoi Group, Oswaldoi Subgroup)

Species removed from the classification

The following ten nominal species were eliminated from the classification for the reasons indicated.

- anthropophagus Xu & Feng, synonymy with lesteri Baisas & Hu by Wilkerson *et al.* (2003) (from subgenus Anopheles, Laticorn Section, Hyrcanus Group)
- arnoulti Grjebine, status changed to nomen dubium by Brunhes et al. (1998a) (from subgenus Cellia, Neomyzomyia Series, Smithii Group)
- *bervoetsi* D'Haenans, to subspecies of *moucheti* Evans (Brunhes *et al.*, 1998b) (from subgenus *Cellia*, Myzomyia Series)
- bonneorum Fonseca & Ramos (emended from bonnei), synonymy with costai Fonsca & Ramos by Sallum et al. (1999) (from subgenus Anopheles, Laticorn Section, Arribalzagia Series)
- courdurieri Grjebine, status changed to nomen dubium by Brunhes et al. (1998a) (from subgenus Cellia, Paramyzomyia Series)
- kunmingensis Dong & Wang, synonymy with liangshanensis
 Kang, Tan, Cao, Cheng Yang & Huang by Ma et al. (2000)
 (subgenus Anopheles, Laticorn Section, Myzorhynchus Series, Hyrcanus Group)
- sicaulti Roubaud, synonymy with labranchiae Falleroni by de Zulueta et al. (1983) (subgenus Anopheles, Angusticom Section, Anopheles Series, Maculipennis Group)
- subalpinus Hackett & Lewis, synonymy with melanoon Hackett by Linton et al. (2002b) (subgenus Anopheles, Angusticorn Section, Anopheles Series, Maculipennis Group)
- upemba Lips, status changed to nomen dubium by Brunhes et al. (1997) (from subgenus Cellia, Myzomyia Series, Marshallii Group)
- yatsushiroensis Miyazaki, synonymy with pullus Yamada by Shin & Hong (2001) (subgenus Anopheles, Laticom Section, Myzorhynchus Series, Hyrcanus Group)

Changes to taxonomic groups

Subgenus Anopheles, Anopheles Series. Anopheles claviger (Meigen) and A. petragnani del Vecchio, two unassigned members of the Anopheles Series of subgenus Anopheles, are recognized as sibling species that comprise the Claviger Complex (Coluzzi *et al.*, 1965). This complex was inadvertently omitted by Harbach (1994).

Rattanarithikul *et al.* (2004) removed *A. kyondawensis* Abraham from the Culiciformis Group and considered it an unassigned species of the Anopheles Series following the discovery of the previously unknown adult and pupal stages. These life stages exhibit unique anatomical features but also share characteristics with both the Aitkenii and Culiciformis Groups.

Subgenus Anopheles, Anopheles Series, Maculipennis Group. The classification of the Maculipennis Group is changed to reflect phylogenetic relationships based on the analysis of ITS2 sequence data presented during the 70th annual meeting of the American Mosquito Control Association (Linton, 2004; paper forthcoming). The data for 20 of the 23 recognized species confirm the monophyly of the group and place the Nearctic A. atropos Dyar & Knab in a basal relationship to the other species, which comprise three strongly supported clades: the Maculipennis, Quadrimaculatus and Freeborni Subgroups. The Maculipennis Subgroup consists of all Palaearctic members of the group except A. beklemishevi Stegnii & Kabanova (known previously as the Maculipennis Complex), the Quadrimaculatus Subgroup includes A. beklemishevi and the five species previously known as the Quadrimaculatus Complex, and the Freeborni Subgroup includes A. earlei Vargas, A. freeborni Aitken, A. hermsi Barr & Guptavanij and A. occidentalis Dyar & Knab. The last group of species, along with A. aztecus Hoffmann and the former Quadrimaculatus Complex, comprised the previous Maculipennis Subgroup. Anopheles atropos, because of its ancestral relationship to the other species, and A. walkeri Theobald, because it was not included in Linton's analysis, are retained as unassigned members of the Maculipennis Group. Four museum specimens identified as A. aztecus Hoffmann yielded DNA segments corresponding to those of A. quadrimaculatus Say, thus raising the question of whether the specimens were misidentified or A. aztecus is merely a geographical form of the latter species. Since the status of *A. aztecus* is uncertain, this nominal species is also regarded as an unassigned member of the group pending further study. White (1978) pointed out that A. lewisi Ludlow might be the senior synonym of either A. messeae Falleroni or A. beklemishevi. Until the identity of this nominal species is resolved, it is unassigned to subgroup as well.

Subgenus Anopheles, Anopheles Series, Punctipennis Group. The faunal limit of the Crucians Complex was expanded to include all nominal and informally designated species of the Crucians Subgroup recognized by Wilkerson *et al.* (2004) based on incontrovertible rDNA ITS2 sequence data. Consequently, the Crucians Subgroup is no longer recognized in the hierarchical classification of the Punctipennis Group.

Subgenus Anopheles, Lophoscelomyia Series, Asiaticus Group. Rattanarithikul *et al.* (2004) recognized two subgroups within the Asiaticus Group for two species that occur in Thailand: the Asiaticus Subgroup for *A. asiaticus* Leicester and the Interrruptus Subgroup for *A. interruptus* Puri. This action leaves *A. annandalei* Prashad and *A. noniae* Reid as the only unassigned members of the Asiaticus Group. Reid (1968) indicated that the Asiaticus Group included two subgroups, but did not define or name them. It is unknown whether the subgroups recognized by Rattanarithikul *et al.* correspond to Reid's unnamed subgroups.

Subgenus Anopheles, Myzorhynchus Series, Barbirostris Group. Anopheles freyi Meng and A. koreicus Yamada & Watanabe were unassigned species within the Myzorhynchus Series of subgenus Anopheles until Lu et al. (1997) recognized them as members of the Barbirostris Group. Lu et al. did not indicate whether they should be placed in either the Barbirostris or Vanus Subgroup.

Subgenus Anopheles, Myzorhynchus Series, Hyrcanus Group. Anopheles kiangsuensis Xu & Feng, a previously unassigned species of the Hyrcanus Group, is placed in the Lesteri Subgroup based on the statement of Nguyen *et al.* (1993) that was overlooked by Harbach (1994). Nguyen *et al.* also placed *A. anthropophagus* Xu & Feng in the Lesteri Subgroup, but it is now recognized as a junior synonym of *A. lesteri* Baisas & Hu based on molecular evidence (Wilkerson *et al.*, 2003).

Subgenus Anopheles, Myzorhynchus Series, Umbrosus Group. In addition to the Letifer Subgroup (comprised of four species), Rattanarithikul *et al.* (2004) recognized three additional subgroups for three species of the Umbrosus Group that occur in Thailand: the Baezai Subgroup for *A. baezai* Gater, the Separatus Subgroup for *A. separatus* (Leicester) and the Umbrosus Subgroup for *A. umbrosus* (Theobald). Of the 12 species that comprise the Umbrosus Group, five remain unassigned to a subordinate taxon.

Subgenus Cellia, Myzomyia Series. Based on combined morphological and molecular studies and phylogenetic analyses, C. Garros, R. Harbach and S. Manguin (paper submitted, preliminary work reported by Garros et al., 2004a,b) propose to unite the Funestus and Minimus Groups (sensu Harbach, 1994) into a composite Funestus Group consisting of five subgroups: the Aconitus, Culicifacies, Funestus, Minimus and Rivulorum Subgroups. The Culicifacies Subgroup replaces the Culicifacies Complex, which was previously an unassigned taxon within the Myzomyia Series. Anopheles jeyporiensis James, also previously unassigned to group, is now included as a species of uncertain affinity within the new Funestus Group. Rattanarithikul et al. (2004) recognized A. culicifacies (species B) and A. jeyporiensis (cytotypes A, B, C and D) in Thailand as species groups, without explanation, but in light of phylogenetic relationships elucidated by Garros et al. these taxa cannot be given group-level status. The Aconitus and Minimus Subgroups were established previously by Chen et al. (2003) and independently by Rattanarithikul et al. (2004) (as subgroups of the Minimus Group) for the same species included in these groups by Garros et al. (2004a,b).

Subgenus Cellia, Neocellia Series. Anopheles jamesii Theobald, A. pseudojamesi Strickland & Chowdhury and A. splendidus Koidzumi form a group-level taxon, the Jamesii Group (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004). These three morphologically similar species were previously unassigned members of the Neocellia Series. Rattanarithikul & Green (1987), and many later authors, e.g. Baimai et al. (1993b) and Rattanarithikul et al. (2004), recognized A. maculatus and its closely allied species as a species group rather than a species complex. Since these species exhibit the same degree of morphological differentiation as members of the Annularis Group, they are recognized herein as having an equivalent rank within the Neocellia Series. Rattanarithikul et al. (2004) recognized two subgroups for five members of the Maculatus Group that occur in Thailand, the Maculatus and Sawadwongporni Subgroups. They regarded A. pseudowillmori (Theobald) and A. willmori (James) as unassigned species and did not indicate whether the two Philippine species, A. dispar Rattanarithikul & Harbach and A. greeni Rattanarithikul & Harbach should be included in one of the subgroups. Chromosomal and morphological data (Green et al., 1985a; Rattanarithikul & Harbach, 1991) indicate that the Philippine species are quite distinct from the mainland members of the group. Consequently, these two species are not assigned to one or the other subgroup.

Subgenus Cellia, Neomyzomyia Series. Brunhes et al. (1998a) included A. griveaudi Grjbeine (formerly Demeilloni Group, Myzomyia Series) with members of the Ranci Group (Neomyzomyia Series) and grouped A. grassei Grjebine (formerly Smithii Group, Neomyzomyia Series) with members of the Pauliani Group (Neomyzomyia Series) without explanation. The Kochi Group is recognized for A. kochi Dönitz and the Tessellatus Group for A. tessellatus Theobald. These species were previously regarded as unassigned members of the Neomyzomyia Series. Rattanarithikul *et al.* (2004) stated that '*Anopheles kochi* is the only member of this group found in Thailand', thus indicating that other unassigned species of the series also belong to the Kochi Group.

Subgenus Cellia, Neomyzomyia Series, Leucosphyrus Group. Peyton's (1990) classification of the Leucosphyrus Group included 14 formally named species, six unnamed species and two geographical forms divided between three subgroups, the Elegans, Leucosphyrus and Riparis Subgroups. Harbach (1994) inadvertently omitted the informally designated 'Sumatra species' from the group. Formal Latin names have been proposed for this and five other new species of the group (Sallum *et al.*, 2004), but because the manuscript by Sallum *et al.* is in press the names are not included herein to avoid the introduction of *nomina nuda*. These authors renamed the Elegans Subgroup as the Hackeri Subgroup because *A. elegans* (James) is synonymous with *A. dirus* species E of the Leucosphyrus Subgroup. They recognize the *A. elegans* of authors as a new species of the Hackeri Group.

Subgenus Cellia, Paramyzomyia Series. Sukowati et al. (1999) recognized that A. sundaicus (Rodenwaldt) is a complex of sibling species based on the reproductive isolation of three sympatric cytological forms in Sumatra and Java that were previously discovered and designated forms A, B and C by Sukowati & Baimai (1996). Linton et al. (2001) designated a neotype for A. sundaicus from Malaysian Borneo as a foundation for further studies of the complex. Studies of ITS2 rDNA and COI mtDNA sequences have shown that the species represented by the neotype is not the same as species A, B or C (Linton et al., 2001; Dusfour et al., 2004). Recently, Nanda et al. (2004) reported the existence of a unique chromosomal form of A. sundaicus on Car Nicobar Island, India, that is considered to be a 'geographically segregated population' that requires further study to establish its taxonomic status. Thus, the Sundaicus Complex as currently recognized includes four genetic species, A. sundaicus s.s. and A. sundaicus species A, B and C, and a cytogenetic variant that may prove to be a fifth species.

Subgenus Cellia, Pyretophorus Series. Two species groups recognized by Rattanarithikul *et al.* (2004) for members of the Pyretophorus Series are not included in the classification because they suggest evolutionary relationships that are not supported by cladistic analyses of the series based on morphological data (Anthony *et al.*, 1999). Rattanarithikul *et al.* recognized a Ludlowae Group for *A. sundaicus* (Rodenwaldt), and presumably also *A. ludlowae* (Theobald) as implied by the name of the group, and a Subpictus Group for *A. indefinitus* (Ludlow), *A. subpictus* Grassi and *A. vagus* Dönitz. The preferred cladogram of Anthony *et al.* (1999) shows that these species are interspersed within a single clade that includes all Old World members of the series.

Classification of genus Anopheles

Additional information is provided for certain taxa in the form of **Notes and comments** that follow the classification. References to **Notes and comments** are indicated in parentheses, e.g. (see Note 1), after those taxa that require supplemental information.

Summary

Subgenus Anopheles (cosmopolitan; 189 species)
Angusticorn Section (94 species)
Anopheles Series (Old and New World; 87 species)
Cycloleppteron Series (Neotropical; 2 species)
Lophoscelomyia Series (Oriental: 5 species)
Laticorn Section (95 species)
Arribalzagia Series (Neotropical: 24 species)
Christva Series (Afrotropical: 2 species)
Myzorhynchus Series (Old World: 69 species)
Subgenus <i>Cellia</i> (Old World: 239 species)
Cellia Series (Afrotropical: 8 species)
Myzomyja Series (mainly Afrotropical, also
Mediterranean and Oriental: 69 species)
Neocellia Series (mainly Oriental, also Afrotropical: 3
species)
Neomyzomyja Series (Afrotropical, Oriental,
Australasian: 99 species)
Paramyzomyja Series (mainly Mediterranean, also
east African and north Indian; 6 species)
Pyretophorus Series (Oriental, Afrotropical: 24
species)
Subgenus <i>Kerteszia</i> (Neotropical: 12 species)
Subgenus Lovhovodomuia (Neotropical: 6 species)
Subgenus <i>Nyssorhynchus</i> (Neotropical: 33 species)
Albimanus Section (19 species)
Albimanus Series (1 species)
Oswaldoi Series (18 species)
Argyritarsis Section (10 species)
Albitarsis Series (5 species)
Argyritarsis Series (5 species)
Myzorhynchella Section (4 species)
Subgenus Stethomyia (Neotropical; 5 species)

Subgenus Anopheles Meigen

Angusticorn Section (Reid & Knight, 1961) Anopheles Series (Edwards, 1932) algeriensis Theobald concolor Edwards kyondawensis Abraham *marteri* Senevet & Prunnelle Claviger Complex (Coluzzi et al., 1965) claviger (Meigen) petragnani del Vecchio Alongensis Group (Phan et al., 1991) alongensis Venhuis cucphuongensis Vu, Nguyen, Tran & Nguyen Aitkenii Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) aberrans Harrison & Scanlon acaci Baisas aitkenii James bengalensis Puri borneensis McArthur fragilis (Theobald) insulaeflorum (Swellengrebel & Swellengrebel de Graaf) palmatus (Rodenwaldt) peytoni Kulasekera, Harrison & Amerasinghe pilinotum Harrison & Scanlon pinjaurensis Barraud

stricklandi Reid tigertti Scanlon & Peyton Atratipes Group (Lee et al., 1987) atratipes Skuse (see Note 1) tasmaniensis Dobrotworsky Culiciformis Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) culiciformis Cogill sintoni Puri sintonoides Ho Lindesayi Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) Gigas Complex (Harrison et al., 1991) (see Note 2) baileyi Edwards gigas Giles gigas s.l. (in Thailand) Lindesayi Complex (Harrison et al., 1991) lindesayi Giles mengalangensis Ma nilgiricus Christophers wellingtonianus Alcock Maculipennis Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) atropos Dyar & Knab aztecus Hoffmann lewisi Ludlow walkeri Theobald Maculipennis Subgroup (Linton, 2004) *atroparvus* van Thiel daciae Linton, Nicolescu & Harbach labranchiae Falleroni maculipennis Meigen martinius Shinagarev melanoon Hackett messeae Falleroni persiensis Linton, Sedaghat & Harbach sacharovi Favre Quadrimaculatus Subgroup (Linton, 2004) beklemishevi Stegnii & Kabanova diluvialis Reinert inundatus Reinert maverlius Reinert quadrimaculatus Say smaragdinus Reinert Freeborni Subgroup (Linton, 2004) earlei Vargas freeborni Äitken hermsi Barr & Guptavanij occidentalis Dyar & Knab Plumbeus Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) arboricola Zavortink barberi Coquillett barianensis James fausti Vargas *judithae* Zavortink omorii Sakakibara plumbeus Stephens powderi Zavortink xelajuensis de Leon Pseudopunctipennis Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) chiriquiensis Komp eiseni Coquillett franciscanus McCracken hectoris Giaquinto-Mira parapunctipennis Martini pseudopunctipennis Theobald tibiamaculatus (Neiva)

Punctipennis Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) perplexens Ludlow punctipennis (Say) Crucians Complex (Wilkerson et al., 2004) bradleyi King crucians Wiedemann (species A, B, C, D and E) (see Note 3) georgianus King Stigmaticus Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) colledgei Marks corethroides Theobald papuensis Dobrotworsky powelli Lee pseudostigmaticus Dobrotworsky stigmaticus Skuse Cycloleppteron Series (Edwards, 1932) annulipalpis Lynch Arribalzaga grabhamii Theobald Lophoscelomyia Series (Edwards, 1932) bulkleyi Causey Asiaticus Group (Reid, 1968) annandalei Prashad noniae Reid Asiaticus Subgroup (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) asiaticus Leicester Interruptus Subgroup (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004)interruptus Puri Laticorn Section (Reid & Knight, 1961) Arribalzagia Series (Root, 1922) anchietai Correa & Ramalho apicimacula Dyar & Knab bustamentei Galvão calderoni Wilkerson costai Fonseca & Ramos evandroi da Costa Lima fluminensis Root forattinii Wilkerson & Sallum gabaldoni Vargas guarao Anduze & Capdevielle intermedius (Peryassú) maculipes (Theobald) malefactor Dyar & Knab mattogrossensis Lutz & Neiva mediopunctatus (Lutz) minor da Costa Lima neomaculipalpus Curry peryassui Dyar & Knab pseudomaculipes (Peryassú) punctimacula Dyar & Knab rachoui Galvão shannoni Davis veruslanei Vargas vestitipennis Dyar & Knab Christya Series (Christophers, 1924) implexus (Theobald) okuensis Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy Myzorhynchus Series (Edwards, 1932) obscurus (Grünberg) Albotaeniatus Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) albotaeniatus (Theobald) balerensis Mendoza ejercitoi Mendoza montanus Stanton & Hacker saperoi Bohart & Ingram

Bancroftii Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) bancroftii Giles pseudobarbirostris Ludlow Barbirostris Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) freyi Meng koreicus Yamada & Watanabe Barbirostris Subgroup (Reid, 1968) barbirostris van der Wulp (see Note 4) campestris Reid donaldi Reid franciscoi Reid hodgkini Reid pollicaris Reid Vanus Subgroup (Reid, 1968) ahomi Chowdhury barbumbrosus Strickland & Chowdhury manalangi Mendoza reidi Harrison vanus Walker Coustani Group (Reid & Knight, 1961) caliginosus de Meillon coustani Laveran crypticus Coetzee fuscicolor van Someren namibiensis Coetzee paludis Theobald symesi Edwards tenebrosus Dönitz ziemanni Grünberg Hyrcanus Group (Reid, 1953) argyropus (Swellengrebel) (see Note 5) chodukini Martini changfus Ma dazhaius Ma engarensis Kanda & Oguma hailarensis Xu & Luo heiheenis Ma hyrcanus (Pallas) junlianensis Lei kweiyangensis Yao & Wu liangshanensis Kang, Tan, Cao, Cheng Yang & Huang nimpe Nguyen, Tran & Harbach pseudopictus Grassi pullus Yamada sinensis Wiedemann (see Note 6) sineroides Yamada xiaokuanus Ma Lesteri Subgroup (Harrison, 1972) crawfordi Reid (see Note 7) kiangsuensis Xu & Feng lesteri Baisas & Hu paraliae Sandosham peditaeniatus (Leicester) vietnamensis Nguyen, Tran & Nguyen Nigerrimus Subgroup (Harrison, 1972) nigerrimus Giles (see Note 8) nitidus Harrison, Scanlon & Reid pseudosinensis Baisas pursati Laveran Umbrosus Group (Reid, 1950) brevipalvis Roper brevirostris Reid hunteri (Strickland)

samarensis Rozeboom similissimus Strickland & Chowdhury Baezai Subgroup (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) baezai Gater Letifer Subgroup (Reid, 1968) collessi Reid letifer Sandosham roperi Reid whartoni Reid Separatus Subgroup (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) separatus (Leicester) Umbrosus Subgroup (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) umbrosus (Theobald) Subgenus Cellia Theobald Cellia Series (Christophers, 1924) argenteolobatus (Gough) brumpti Hamon & Rickenbach cristipalpis Service murphyi Gillies & de Meillon pharoensis Theobald swahilicus Gillies Squamosus Group (Grjebine, 1966) cydippis de Meillon squamosus Theobald Myzomyia Series (Christophers, 1924) apoci Marsh azaniae Bailly-Choumara barberellus Evans brunnipes (Theobald) domicola Edwards dthali Patton erythraeus Corradetti ethiopicus Gillies & Coetzee flavicosta Edwards fontinalis Gillies & de Meillon *longipalpis* (Theobald) majidi Young & Majid moucheti Evans schwetzi Evans tchekedii de Meillon & Leeson walravensi Edwards Demeilloni Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) carteri Evans & de Meillon demeilloni Evans freetownensis Evans garnhami Edwards keniensis Evans lloreti Gil Collado sergentii (Theobald) Funestus Group (Garros et al., 2004a,b, unpublished data) jeyporiensis James (see Note 9) Aconitus Subgroup (Chen et al., 2003) aconitus Dönitz (see Note 10) filipinae Manalang mangyanus (Banks) pampanai Büttiker & Beales varuna Iyengar Culicifacies Subgroup (Garros et al., 2004a,b, unpublished data)

culicifacies Giles (species A, B, C, D and E) (Kar, 1999) Funestus Subgroup (Garros et al., 2004a,b, unpublished data) aruni Sobti confusus Evans & Leeson funestus Giles parensis Gillies vaneedeni Gillies & Coetzee Minimus Subgroup (Chen et al., 2003) flavirostris (Ludlow) leesoni Evans Fluviatilis Complex (Sarala et al., 1994) fluviatilis James (species T and U) (see Note 11) Minimus Complex (Green et al., 1990) minimus Theobald (species A and C) (see Note 12) minimus E (Somboon et al., 2001) Rivulorum Subgroup (Garros et al., 2004a,b, unpublished data) brucei Service fuscivenosus Leeson rivulorum Leeson rivulorum-like species (Cohuet et al., 2003) Marshallii Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) austenii (Theobald) berghei Vincke & Leleup brohieri Edwards gibbinsi Evans hancocki Edwards hargreavesi Evans harperi Evans mortiauxi Edwards mousinhoi de Meillon & Pereira niombiensis Peters seydeli Edwards Marshallii Complex (Gillies & Coetzee, 1987) hughi Lambert & Coetzee kosiensis Coetzee, Segerman & Hunt letabensis Lambert & Coetzee marshallii (Theobald) (see Note 13) Wellcomei Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) distinctus (Newstead & Carter) erepens Gillies theileri Edwards wellcomei Theobald Neocellia Series (Christophers, 1924) dancalicus Corradetti hervyi Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy karwari (James) (see Note 14) maculipalpis Giles *moghulensis* Christophers paltrinierii Shidrawi & Gillies pattoni Christophers pretoriensis (Theobald) pulcherrimus Theobald rufipes (Gough) salbaii Maffi & Coluzzi stephensi Liston superpictus Grassi theobaldi Giles Annularis Group (Reid, 1968) annularis van der Wulp

pallidus Theobald philippinensis Ludlow schueffneri Stanton Nivipes Complex (Green et al., 1985b; Harrison et al., 1991) nivipes (Theobald) (2 cytogenetic species in Thailand) Jamesii Group (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) jamesii Theobald (see Note 15) pseudojamesi Strickland & Chowdhury splendidus Koidzumi Maculatus Group (Rattanarithikul & Green, 1987) (see Note 16) dispar Rattanarithikul & Harbach greeni Rattanarithikul & Harbach pseudowillmori (Theobald) willmori (James) Maculatus Subgroup (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) dravidicus Christophers maculatus Theobald Sawadwongporni Subgroup (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) notanandai Rattanarithikul & Green sawadwongporni Rattanarithikul & Green Neomyzomyia Series (Christophers, 1924) amictus Edwards annulatus de Rook annulipes Walker (species A, B, C, D, E, F and G) (Booth & Bryan, 1986) (see Note 17) aurirostris (Watson) dualaensis Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy hilli Woodhill & Lee incognitus Brug kolambuganensis Baisas longirostris Brug meraukensis Venhuis novaguinensis Venhuis saungi Colless stookesi Colless watsonii (Leicester) Lungae Complex (Belkin, 1962) *lungae* Belkin & Schlosser nataliae Belkin solomonis Belkin, Knight & Rozeboom Ardensis Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) ardensis (Theobald) buxtoni Service cinctus (Newstead & Carter) deemingi Service dureni Edwards kingi Christophers machardyi Edwards maliensis Bailly-Choumara & Adam millecampsi Lips multicinctus Edwards natalensis (Hill & Haydon) eouzani Brunhes, le Goff & Bousses vernus Gillies & de Meillon vinckei de Meillon Nili Complex (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) (see Note 18) carnevalaei Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy nili (Theobald)

ovengensis Awano-Ambene, Kengne, Simard, Antonio-Nkondjio & Fontenille somalicus Rivola & Holstein Kochi Group (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) kochi Dönitz Leucosphyrus Group (Reid, 1949) Hackeri Subgroup (Sallum et al., 2004) elegans sensu auctorum hackeri Edwards pujutensis Colless sulawesi Waktoedi Sumatra species (Peyton, 1990) Leucosphyrus Subgroup (Peyton, 1990) baisasi Colless Dirus Complex (Peyton & Ramalingam, 1988) dirus Peyton & Harrison (species A) dirus B, C and D elegans (James) (species E) nemophilous Peyton & Ramalingam takasagoensis Morishita Leucosphyrus Complex (Peyton, 1990) balabacensis Baisas introlatus Colless leucosphyrus Dönitz (species A and B) (Baimai et al., 1988) Riparis Subgroup (Peyton, 1990) cristatus King & Baisas macarthuri Colless riparis King & Baisas Mascarensis Group (Harbach, 1994) mascarensis de Meillon Pauliani Group (Grjebine, 1966) grassei Grjebine grenieri Grjebine milloti Grjebine & Lacan pauliani Grjebine radama de Meillon Punctulatus Group (Schmidt et al., 2001) clowi Rozeboom & Knight koliensis Owen punctulatus Dönitz rennellensis Taylor & Maffi sp. near punctulatus (Foley et al., 1995) Farauti Complex (Schmidt et al., 2003) farauti Laveran farauti 4, 5 and 6 (Foley et al., 1993) hinesorum Schmidt irenicus Schmidt torresiensis Schmidt Ranci Group (Grjebine, 1966) griveaudi Grjebine Ranci Subgroup (Grjebine, 1966) ranci Grjebine Roubaudi Subgroup (Grjebine, 1966) lacani Grjebine notleyi van Someren roubaudi Grjebine Rhodesiensis Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) cameroni de Meillon & Evans lounibosi Gillies & Coetzee rhodesiensis Theobald rodhaini Leleup & Lips ruarinus Edwards

Smithii Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) caroni Adam faini Leleup hamoni Adam jebudensis Froud lovettae Evans rageaui Mattingly & Adam smithii Theobald vanhoofi Manson & Lebied wilsoni Evans Tessellatus Group (Rattanarithikul et al., 2004) tessellatus Theobald Paramyzomyia Series (Christophers & Barraud, 1931) Cinereus Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) azevedoi Ribeiro cinereus Theobald turkhudi Liston Listeri Group (Gillies & de Meillon, 1968) listeri de Meillon multicolor Cambouliu seretsei Abdulla-Khan, Coetzee & Hunt Pyretophorus Series (Edwards, 1932) christyi (Newstead & Carter) daudi Coluzzi (see Note 19) *indefinitus* (Ludlow) limosus King litoralis King *ludlowae* (Theobald) parangensis (Ludlow) vagus Dönitz (see Note 20) Gambiae Complex (White, 1985) arabiensis Patton bwambae White comorensis Brunhes, le Goff & Geoffroy gambiae Giles melas Theobald merus Dönitz quadriannulatus Theobald quadriannulatus B (Hunt et al., 1998) Subpictus Complex (Suguna et al., 1994) subpictus Grassi (species A, B, C and D) (see Note 21) Sundaicus Complex (Sukowati et al., 1999) sundaicus (Rodenwaldt) sundaicus A, B and C

Subgenus Kerteszia Theobald

auyantepuiensis Harbach & Navarro bambusicolus Komp bellator Dyar & Knab boliviensis (Theobald) cruzii Dyar & Knab gonzalezrinconesi Cova García, Pulido F. & Escalante de Ugueto homunculus Komp laneanus Correa & Cerqueira lepidotus Zavortink neivai Howard, Dyar & Knab pholidotus Zavortink rollai Cova García, Pulido F. & Escalante de Ugueto

Subgenus Lophopodomyia Antunes

gilesi (Peryassú) gomezdelatorrei Levi-Castillo oiketorakras Osorno-Mesa pseudotibiamaculatus Galvão & Barretto squamifemur Antunes vargasi Gabaldón, Cova García & Lopez

Subgenus Nyssorhynchus Blanchard

Albimanus Section (Levi Castillo, 1949) Albimanus Series (Faran, 1980) albimanus Wiedemann Oswaldoi Series (Faran, 1980) Oswaldoi Group (Faran, 1980) Oswaldoi Subgroup (Faran, 1980) anomalophyllus Komp aquasalis Curry dunhami Causey evansae (Brèthes) galvaoi Causey ininii Senevet & Abonnenc konderi Galvão & Damasceno oswaldoi (Peryassú) (See Note 22) rangeli Gabaldón, Cova Garcia & Lopez sanctielii Senevet & Abonnenc trinkae Faran Nuneztovari Complex (Conn et al., 1993; Sierra et al., 2004) (see Note 23) nuneztovari Gabaldón (cytotypes B/C) nuneztovari A Strodei Subgroup (Faran, 1980) benarrochi Gabaldón rondoni (Neiva & Pinto) strodei Root Triannulatus Group (Faran, 1980) halophylus Silva do Nascimento & Lourenço-de-Oliveira triannulatus (Neiva & Pinto) Argyritarsis Section (Levi Castillo, 1949) Albitarsis Series (Linthicum, 1988) Albitarsis Group (Linthicum, 1988) albitarsis Lynch Arribalzaga albitarsis B (Wilkerson et al., 1995) deaneorum Rosa-Freitas marajoara Galvão & Damasceno Braziliensis Group (Linthicum, 1988) braziliensis (Chagas) Argyritarsis Series (Linthicum, 1988) Argyritarsis Group (Linthicum, 1988) argyritarsis Robineau-Desvoidy sawyeri Causey, Deane, Deane & Sampaio Darlingi Group (Linthicum, 1988) darlingi Root Lanei Group (Linthicum, 1988) lanei Galvão & Lane Pictipennis Group (Linthicum, 1988) pictipennis (Philippi) Myzorhynchella Section (Peyton et al., 1992) antunesi Galvão & Amaral lutzii Cruz nigritarsis (Chagas) parvus (Chagas)

Subgenus Stethomyia Theobald

acanthotorynus Komp canorii Flock & Abonnenc kompi Edwards nimbus (Theobald) thomasi Shannon

Notes and comments

- 1. Harrison & Scanlon (1975) suggested that *A. atratipes* belongs in the Stigmaticus Group.
- 2. Lu *et al.* (1997) included Harrison *et al.* (1991) in their list of literature cited, but did not mention this publication when they recognized the Gigas Complex as the '*An. gigas* group'. Inasmuch as Lu *et al.* treated *A. baileyi* as a subspecies of *A. gigas*, apparently without noticing that Harrison *et al.* had elevated it to species status, it makes no sense to recognize a single species (with its subspecies) as a species group.
- 3. Based on rDNA ITS2 sequence, the Crucians Complex includes *A. bradleyi* and five species provisionally designated as *A. crucians* A, B, C, D and E (Wilkerson *et al.*, 2004). It is not known which of the species bearing letter designations might be *A. crucians* s.s., *A. georgianus* or unnamed species. For this reason, *A. georgianus* is listed as a separate species of the complex although it may be conspecific with one of the unnamed species.
- 4. Four karyotypic forms of *A. barbirostris* (forms A, B, C and D) were reported by Baimai *et al.* (1995). Forms A, B and C are known from Thailand and form D occurs in Indonesia (Java). It is not known whether the forms in Thailand represent the same or different species. Form D, however, 'may represent ... a distinct species'.
- 5. Two karyotypic forms of *A. argyropus* (forms A and B) occur in Thailand (Baimai *et al.*, 1993a).
- 6. Two karyotypic forms of *A. sinensis* (forms A and B) occur in both Thailand and Taiwan (Baimai *et al.*, 1993a).
- 7. Two karyotypic forms of *A. crawfordi* (forms A and B) occur in Thailand (Baimai *et al.*, 1993a).
- 8. Baimai *et al.* (1993a) found two karyotypic forms of *A. nigerrimus* (forms A and B) in Thailand. Form A also occurs in Indonesia.
- 9. Four karyotypic forms of *A. jeyporiensis* (forms A, B, C and D) occur in Thailand (Baimai *et al.*, 1996a).
- 10. Three karyotypic forms of *A. aconitus* (forms A, B and C) occur in Thailand and a fourth (form D) occurs on the Indonesian island of Java (Baimai *et al.,* 1996a).
- 11. Sarala *et al.* (1994) originally recognized three species within *A. fluviatilis* (provisionally designated species *S*, T and U) based on the banding patterns of polytene chromosomes. Manonmani *et al.* (2001) developed a PCR assay from rDNA ITS2 sequence differences that identified two of these species, which in the absence of chromosomal data were referred to as species X and Y. In a follow-on study, Manonmani *et al.* (2003) correlated the results of chromosomally and PCR identified mosquitoes and showed that species X and Y correspond to species S and T, respectively. Comparison of nucleotide sequences in GenBank reveals that the conserved 28S unit of rDNA from species S (Singh *et al.*, 2004) is homologous with that of *A. minimus* C (Chen

Bin and C. Garros, independent personal communications). Furthermore, recent unpublished molecular studies involving samples of *A. fluviatilis* S from Orissa, India, where this taxon was originally discovered (Chen Bin, personal communication), reinforce the genetic homology of these two reputed species. Therefore, *A. fluviatilis* species S is conspecific with *A. minimus* species C (this taxon was named earlier than the former and is the senior synonym). Consequently, the Fluviatilis Complex includes only two species, species T and U.

- 12. Green *et al.* (1990) referred to the second species as 'species C' so it would not be confused with the 'form B' reported from Hainan Island by Yu & Li (1984) and Yu (1987). Chen *et al.* (2002) showed that form B is merely a morphological variant of species A.
- 13. Hunt & Coetzee (1991) provided cytogenetic evidence for two species of *A. marshallii*.
- 14. Three karyotypic forms of *A. karwari* (forms A, B and C) occur in Thailand (Baimai *et al.*, 1994).
- 15. Two karyotypic forms of *A. jamesii* (forms A and B) occur in Thailand (Baimai *et al.*, 1994).
- 16. Baimai (1989) and Baimai et al. (1993a) recognized three chromosomal forms of A. maculatus (B, E and K). Forms B and E are currently regarded as cytotypes of A. maculatus. As noted by Green et al. (1985a), either these forms are sibling species or they represent geographic variation within A. maculatus. In general, form B occurs through most of Thailand and is replaced by form E in southern Thailand and peninsular Malaysia. Crossmating studies found no evidence of post-mating reproductive incompatibility between the two cytotypes (Baimai et al., 1984). However, the fact that the two forms can be distinguished by their cuticular hydrocarbon profiles (Kittayapong et al., 1990), which also provide indirect evidence for their sympatric occurrence in areas of peninsular Malaysia (Kittayapong et al., 1993), suggests that they may be distinct species. Likewise, the morphological distinctions observed in form K suggest that it is also another species of the Maculatus Group.
- 17. Booth & Bryan (1986) presented evidence for three additional species within the Annulipes Complex, but these were not recognized with letter designations.
- 18. Kengne *et al.* (2003) and Awomo-Ambene *et al.* (2004) referred to the Nili Complex as the '*Anopheles nili* group' of species. This usage, denoting an assemblage of closely related species, unjustifiably recognizes a new group-level unit of classification that would prematurely exclude the Nili Complex from the Ardensis Group.
- 19. Colluzi (in Gillies & Coetzee, 1987) stated that he believed *A. daudi* is merely a 'melanic mutant of some member of the *gambiae* complex'.
- 20. Two karyotypic forms of *A. vagus* (forms A and B) occur in Thailand (Baimai *et al.,* 1996b).
- 21. Three karyotypic forms of *A. subpictus* (forms A, B, C and C) are known in Southeast Asia: form A occurs in Indonesia and the Philippines, form B occurs in Thailand, Indonesia and the Philippines, and forms C and D occur in Thailand (Baimai *et al.*, 1996b). It is not known whether any of these forms correspond with one or other of the four species that comprise the Subpictus Complex in India.
- 22. Sequence data for the second internal transcribed

spacer (ITS2) of rDNA provide evidence that *A. oswaldoi* may be a species complex (Marrelli *et al.,* 1999).

23. Conn *et al.* (1993: p. 300) introduced the concept of a Nuneztovari Complex for three cytological forms, which they referred to as *A. nuneztovari* A, B and C. Whereas Harbach (1994) inferred that the letter designations connoted three cytogenetic species, it is clear that the authors used them to denote three distinctive cytotypes of a single species. Having said this, recent DNA studies indicate that cytotypes B and C in Venezuela and Colombia are merely cytological forms of a single species (Sierra *et al.*, 2004), which is genetically distinct from cytotype A and another form, probably a new species, in the Brazilian Amazon (Fritz *et al.*, 1994; Conn *et al.*, 1998).

Acknowledgements

Bruce A. Harrison (Public Health Pest Management, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources), Yvonne-Marie Linton (The Natural History Museum, London), Maria Anice Sallum (Walter Reed Biosystematics Unit, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC) and two anonymous reviewers are gratefully acknowledged for providing critical comments on the manuscript.

References

- Abdulla-Khan, R., Coetzee, M. & Hunt, R.H. (1998) Description of Anopheles (Cellia) seretsei sp. nov. from Kasane, Botswana. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 14, 248–252.
- Anthony, T.G., Harbach, R.E. & Kitching, I.J. (1999) Phylogeny of the Pyretophorus Series of Anopheles subgenus Cellia (Diptera: Culicidae). Systematic Entomology 24, 193–205.
- Awomo-Ambene, H.P., Kengne, P., Simard, F., Antonio-Nkondjio, C. & Fontenille, D. (2004) Description and bionomics of Anopheles (Cellia) ovengensis (Diptera: Culicidae), a new malaria vector species of the Anopheles nili group from South Cameroon. Journal of Medical Entomology 41, 561–568.
- Baimai, V. (1989) Speciation and species complexes of the Anopheles malaria vectors in Thailand. Proceedings of the Third Conference on Malaria Research, Chiangmai, Thailand, October 18–20, pp. 146–162.
- Baimai, V., Green, C.A., Andre, R.G., Harrison, B.A. & Peyton, E.L. (1984) Cytogenetic studies of some species complexes of Anopheles in Thailand and Southeast Asia. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 15, 563–546.
- Baimai, V., Harbach, R.E. & Sukowati, S. (1988) Cytogenetic evidence for two species within the current concept of the malaria vector *Anopheles leucosphyrus* in Southeast Asia. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 4, 44–50.
- Baimai, V., Kijchalao, U., Rattanarithikul, R. & Green, C.A. (1993a) Metaphase karyotypes of Anopheles of Thailand and Southeast Asia: II. Maculatus Group, Neocellia Series, subgenus Cellia. Mosquito Systematics 25, 116–123.
- Baimai, V., Rattanarithikul, R. & Kijchalao, U. (1993b) Metaphase karyotypes of Anopheles of Thailand and Southeast Asia: I. The Hyrcanus Group. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 9, 59–67.
- Baimai, V., Treesucon, A. & Rattanarithikul, R. (1994) Metaphase karyotypes of *Anopheles* of Thailand and

Southeast Asia. III. The Neocellia Series of the subgenus *Cellia* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mosquito Systematics* **26**, 116–124.

- Baimai, V., Rattanarithikul, R. & Kijchalao, U. (1995) Metaphase karyotypes of Anopheles of Thailand and Southeast Asia: IV. The Barbirostris and Umbrosus species groups, subgenus Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 11, 323–328.
- Baimai, V., Kijchalao, U. & Rattanarithikul, R. (1996a) Metaphase karyotypes of Anopheles of Thailand and Southeast Asia: V. The Myzomyia Series, subgenus Cellia (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 12, 97–105.
- Baimai, V., Kijchalao, U. & Rattanarithikul, R. (1996b) Metaphase karyotypes of Anopheles of Thailand and Southeast Asia. VI. The Pyretophorus and the Neomyzomyia Series, subgenus Cellia (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 12, 669–675.
- Beebe, N.W., Ellis, J.T., Cooper, R.D. & Saul, A. (1999) DNA sequence analysis of the ribosomal DNA ITS2 region for the *Anopheles punctulatus* group of mosquitoes. *Insect Molecular Biology* 8, 381–390.
- Belkin, J.N. (1962) The mosquitoes of the South Pacific (Diptera, Culicidae). Vol. 1. 608 pp. Los Angeles, University of California Press.
- Besansky, N.J. & Fahey, G.T. (1997) Utility of the *white* gene in estimating phylogenetic relationships among mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 14, 442–454.
- Besansky, N.J., Powell, J.R., Caccone, A., Hamm, D.M., Scott, J.A. & Collins, F.H. (1994) Molecular phylogeny of the Anopheles gambiae complex suggests genetic introgression between principal malaria vectors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 91, 6885–6888.
- Booth, D.R. & Bryan, J.H. (1986) Cytogenetic and crossbreeding evidence for additional species in the *Anopheles annulipes* Walker complex (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society* **25**, 315–325.
- Brunhes, J., le Goff, G. & Geoffroy, B. (1997) Anophèles afrotropicaux. I. – Descriptions d'espèces nouvelles et changements de statuts taxonomiques (Diptera: Culicidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 33, 173–183.
- Brunhes, J., le Goff, G. & Geoffroy, B. (1998a) Anophèles afrotropicaux. II. – Mises au point sur les espèces de la sous-région malgache (Diptera, Culicidae). Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France 103, 139–152.
- Brunhes, J., le Goff; G., Manga, L. & Geoffroy, B. (1998b) Anophèles afrotropicaux. – IV. Mise au point sur le complexe Anopheles moucheti, Réhabilitation d'An. multicinctus et d'An. garnhami basilewskyi (Diptera: Culicidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 34, 397–405.
- Brunhes, J., le Goff, G. & Geoffroy, B. (1999) Afro-tropical anopheline mosquitoes. III. Description of three new species: Anopheles carnevalei sp. nov., An. hervyi sp. nov., and An. dualaensis sp. nov., and resurrection of An. rageaui Mattingly and Adam. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 15, 552–558.
- Brunhes, J., le Goff, G. & Bousses, P. (2003) Anophèles afrotropicaux. – V. Description du mâle et des stades préimaginaux d'An. deemingi Service, 1970 et description of d'An. eouzani n. sp. (Diptera: Culicidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 39, 179–185.
- Caccone, A., Garcia, B.A. & Powell, J.R. (1996) Evolution of the mitochondrial DNA control region in the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. *Insect Molecular Biology* 6, 51–59.

- **Chen, B., Harbach, R.E. & Butlin, R.K.** (2002) Molecular and morphological studies on the *Anopheles minimus* group of mosquitoes in southern China: taxonomic review, distribution and malaria vector status. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* **16**, 253–265.
- Chen, B., Butlin, R.K. & Harbach, R.E. (2003) Molecular phylogenetics of the Oriental members of the Myzomyia Series of *Anopheles* subgenus *Cellia* (Diptera: Culicidae) inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Systematic Entomology* **28**, 57–69.
- Christophers, S.R. (1924) Provisional list and reference catalogue of the Anophelini. *Indian Medical Research Memoir* **3**, 1–105.
- Christophers, S.R. & Barraud, P.J. (1931) The eggs of Indian Anopheles with descriptions of the hitherto undescribed eggs of a number of species. *Records of the Malaria Survey of India* 2, 161–192.
- **Coetzee, M.** (1995) *Anopheles crypticus*, new species from South Africa is distinguished from *Anopheles coustani* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mosquito Systematics* (for 1994) **26**, 125–131.
- Cohuet, A., Simard, F., Toto, J.C., Kengne, P., Coetzee, M. & Fontenille, D. (2003) Species identification within the *Anopheles funestus* group of malaria vectors in Cameroon and evidence for a new species. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **69**, 200–205.
- **Collucci, E. & Sallum, M.A.M.** (2003) Phylogenetic analysis of the subgenus *Kerteszia* of *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae: Anophelinae) based on morphological characters. *Insect Systematics and Evolution* **34**, 361–372.
- **Coluzzi, M., Sacca, G. & Feliciangeli, D.** (1965) Il complesso *A. claviger* nella sottoregione mediterranea. *Cahiers ORSTROM, série Entomologie Médicale et Parasitologie* **1965**, 97–102.
- Coluzzi, M., Sabatini, A., Petrarca, V. & Di Deco, M.A. (1979) Chromosomal differentiation and adaptation to human environments in the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **73**, 483–497.
- Conn, J., Puertas, Y.R. & Seawright, J.A. (1993) A new cytotype of Anopheles nuneztovari from western Venezuela and Colombia. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 9, 294–301.
- **Conn, J.E., Mitchell, S.E. & Cockburn, A.F.** (1998) Mitochondrial DNA analysis of the Neotropical malaria vector *Anopheles nuneztovari*. *Genome* **41**, 313–327.
- de Zulueta, J., Ramsdale, C.D., Cianchi, R., Bullini, L. & Coluzzi, M. (1983) Observations on the taxonomic status of *Anopheles sicaulti. Parassitologia* 23, 73–92.
- Dusfour, I., Linton, Y.-M., Cohuet, A., Harbach, R.E., Baimai, V., Trung, H.D., Seng, C.M., Matusop, A. & Manguin, S. (2004) Molecular evidence of speciation between island and continental populations of *Anopheles* (*Cellia*) sundaicus (Diptera: Culicidae), a principal malaria vector taxon in Southeast Asia. Journal of Medical Entomology 41, 287–295.
- Edwards, F.W. (1932) Genera Insectortum. Diptera, Fam. Culicidae. Fascicle 194. 259 pp. Bruxelles, Desmet-Verteneuil.
- Faran, M.E. (1980) Mosquito studies (Diptera, Culicidae) XXXIV. A revision of the Albimanus Section of the subgenus Nyssorhynchus of Anopheles. Contributions of the American Entomological Institute (Ann Arbor) 15 (7), 1–215.
- Flores-Mendoza, C., Peyton, E.L., Wilkerson, R.C. & de Oliveira, R.L. (2004) Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) konderi Galvão and Damasceno: neotype designation and resurrection from synonymy with Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) oswaldoi (Peryassu) (Diptera: Culicidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 106, 118–132.

- Foley, D.H., Paru, R., Dagoro, H. & Bryan, J.H. (1993) Allozyme analysis reveals six species within the *An. punctulatus* complex of mosquitoes in Papua New Guinea. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 7, 37–48.
- Foley, D.H., Cooper, R.D. & Bryan, J.H. (1995) A new species within the *Anopheles punctulatus* complex in Western Province, Papua New Guinea. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 11, 122–127.
- Foley, D.H., Bryan, J.H., Yeates, D. & Saul, A. (1998) Evolution and systematics of *Anopheles*: insights from a molecular phylogeny of Australasian mosquitoes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 9, 262–275.
- Fritz, G.N., Conn, J., Cockburn, A. & Seawright, J. (1994) Sequence analysis of the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2 from populations of *Anopheles nuneztovari* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 11, 406–416.
- Garros, C., Harbach, R.E. & Manguin, S. (2004a) Phylogenetic relationships of the African Anopheles funestus and the Oriental An. minimus groups using DNA sequences and morphology. The 70th Annual Meeting of the American Mosquito Control Association, Savannah, Georgia, USA, 22–26 February, 2004.
- Garros, C., Harbach, R.E. & Manguin, S. (2004b) Systematics and biogeographical implications of the phylogenetic relationships between members of the Funestus and Minimus Groups of *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal* of Medical Entomology (in press).
- Gillies, M.T. & Coetzee, M. (1987) A supplement to the Anophelinae of Africa south of the Sahara (Afrotropical Region). Publications of the South African Institute for Medical Research 55, 1–143.
- Gillies, M.T. & de Meillon, B. (1968) The Anophelinae of Africa south of the Sahara (Ethiopian Zoogeographical Region). Publications of the South African Institute for Medical Research 54, 1–343.
- Gordeev, M., Goriacheva, I., Shaikevitch, E. & Ejov, M. (2004) Variability of the second internal transcribed spacer of the ribosomal DNA among five Palaearctic species of anopheline mosquitoes. *European Mosquito Bulletin* **17**, 14–19.
- Green, C.A. (1982) Cladistic analysis of mosquito chromosome data (*Anopheles* (*Cellia*) Myzomyia). *Journal of Heredity* 73, 2–11.
- Green, C.A. Baimai, V., Harrison, B.A. & Andre, R.G. (1985a) Cytogenetic evidence for a complex of species within the taxon Anopheles maculatus (Diptera: Culicidae). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 24, 321–328.
- Green, C.A., Harrison, B.A., Klein, T.A. & Baimai, V. (1985b) Cladistic analysis of polytene chromosome rearrangements in anopheline mosquitoes, subgenus *Cellia*, series *Neocellia*. *Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology* **27**, 123–133.
- Green, C.A., Gass, R.F., Munstermann, L.E. & Baimai, V. (1990) Population-genetic evidence for two species in *Anopheles minimus* in Thailand. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 4, 25–34.
- **Grjebine, A.** (1966) *Faune de Madagascar. XXII. Insectes Diptéres Culicidae Anophelinae.* 497 pp. Paris, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Office de la Recherche Scientifique et Technique Outre-Mer.
- Harbach, R.E. (1994) Review of the internal classification of the genus Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae): the foundation for comparative systematics and phylogenetic research. Bulletin of Entomological Research 84, 331–342.

- Harbach, R.E. (2003) Mosquito systematics: from organisms to molecules—a tribute to Kenneth L. Knight. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* **19**, 452–460.
- Harbach, R.E. & Kitching, I.J. (1998) Phylogeny and classification of the Culicidae (Diptera). Systematic Entomology 23, 327–370.
- Harbach, R.E. & Navarro, J.C. (1996) A new species of *Anopheles* subgenus *Kerteszia* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Venezuela. *Entomologica Scandinavica* 27, 207–216.
- Harrison, B.A. (1972) A new interpretation of affinities within the *Anopheles hyrcanus* complex in Southeast Asia. *Mosquito Systematics* **4**, 73–83.
- Harrison, B.A. & Scanlon, J.E. (1975) Medical entomology studies–II. The subgenus Anopheles in Thailand (Diptera: Culicidae). Contributions of the American Entomological Institute (Ann Arbor) 12(1), iv + 1–307.
- Harrison, B.A., Rattanarithikul, R., Peyton, E.L. & Mongkolpanya, K. (1991) Taxonomic changes, revised occurrence records and notes on the Culicidae of Thailand and neighboring countries. *Mosquito Systematics* (for 1990) 22, 196–227.
- Hunt, R.H. & Coetzee, M. (1991) Cytogenetic evidence for a new species within the taxon Anopheles (Cellia) marshallii (Theobald) (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 23, 191–194.
- Hunt, R.H., Coetzee, M. & Fettene, M. (1998) The Anopheles gambiae complex: a new species from Ethiopia. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 92, 231–235.
- Kar, I., Subbarao, S.K., Eapen, A., Ravendaran, J., Satyanarayana, T.S., Raghavendra, K., Nanda, N. & Sharma, V.P. (1999) Evidence for a new malaria vector species, species E, within the Anopheles culicifacies complex (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 36, 595–600.
- Kengne, P., Awono-Ambene, P., Antonio-Nkondjio, C., Simard, F. & Fontenille, D. (2003) Molecular identification of the Anopheles nili group of African malaria vectors. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 17, 67–74.
- Kittayapong, P., Clark, J.M., Edman, J.D., Potter, T.L., Lavine, B.K., Marion, J.R. & Brooks, M. (1990) Cuticular lipid differences between the malaria vector and non-vector forms of the Anopheles maculatus complex. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 4, 405–413.
- Kittayapong, P., Clark, J.M., Edman, J.D., Lavine, B.K., Marion, J.R. & Brooks, M. (1993) Survey of the Anopheles maculatus complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in Peninsular Malaysia by analysis of cuticular lipids. Journal of Medical Entomology 30, 969–974.
- Krzywinski, J. & Besansky, N.J. (2003) Molecular systematics of Anopheles: from subgenera to subpopulations. Annual Review of Entomology 48, 111–139.
- Krzywinski, J., Wilkerson, R.C. & Besansky, N. (2001a) Evolution of mitochondrial and ribosomal gene sequences in Anophelinae (Diptera: Culicidae): implications for phylogeny reconstruction. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 18, 479–487.
- Krzywinski, J., Wilkerson, R.C. & Besansky, N. (2001b) Toward understanding Anophelinae (Diptera, Culicidae) phylogeny: insights from nuclear single copy genes and the weight of evidence. *Systematic Biology* 50, 540–556.
- Lee, D.J., Hicks, M.M., Griffiths, M., Debenham, M.L., Bryan, J.H., Russell, R.C., Geary, M. & Marks, E.N. (1987) The Culicidae of the Canberra Australasian Region. Vol. 5.

Entomology Monograph No. 2. ix + 315 pp. Australian Government Printing Service.

- Lei , X. (1996) A new species of Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae). Sichuan Journal of Zoology 15, 1–4 (in Chinese).
- Levi Castillo, R. (1949) Atlas de los anofelinos Sudamericanos. 207 pp. Guayaquil, Ecuador, Sociedad Filantrópica de Guayas.
- Linthicum, K.J. (1988) A revision of the Argyritarsis Section of the subgenus Nyssorhynchus of Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 20, 98–271.
- Linton, Y. (2004) Systematics of the holarctic maculipennis complex. The 70th Annual Meeting of the American Mosquito Control Association, Savannah, Georgia, USA, 22–26 February, 2004.
- Linton,Y.-M., Harbach, R.E., Chang, M.S., Anthony, T.G. & Matusop, A. (2001) Morphological and molecular identity of Anopheles (Cellia) sundaicus (Rodenwaldt) (Diptera: Culicidae), the nominotypical member of a malaria vector species complex in Southeast Asia. Systematic Entomology 26, 357–366.
- Linton, Y.-M., Saminidou-Voyadjoglou, A. & Harbach, R.E. (2002a) Ribosomal ITS2 sequence data for Anopheles maculipennis and An. messeae in northern Greece, with a critical assessment of previously published sequences. Insect Molecular Biology 11, 379–383.
- Linton, Y.-M., Smith, L. & Harbach, R.E. (2002b) Observations on the taxonomic status of *Anopheles subalpinus* Hackett & Lewis and *An. melanoon* Hackett. *European Mosquito Bulletin* 13, 1–7.
- Lounibos, L.P., Wilkerson, R.C., Conn, J.E., Hribar, L.J., Fritz, G.N. & Danoff-Burg, J.A. (1998) Morphological, molecular, and chromosomal discrimination of cryptic Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) (Diptera: Culicidae) from South America. Journal of Medical Entomology 35, 830–838.
- Lu, B. et al. [sic] (1997) Fauna Sinica, Insecta Vol. 9, Diptera: Culicidae II. Beijing, Science Press.
- Ma, Y.-J. & Qu, F.-Y. (2002) Anopheles hyrcanus complex from China: sequence differences of ribosomal DNA second internal transcribed spacer and phylogenetic analyses. Entomological Knowledge 39, 209–214 (in Chinese).
- Ma, Y., Qu, F., Lei, X. & Dong, X. (2000) Comparison of rDNA-ITS2 sequences and morphological characters of Anopheles kunmingensis and Anopheles langshanensis in China, with discussion on taxonomic status. Chinese Journal of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases 18, 65–68 (in Chinese).
- Ma, Y., Qu, F., Dong, X. & Zhou, H. (2002) Molecular identification of Anopheles maculatus complex from China. Chinese Journal of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases 20, 321–324 (in Chinese).
- Manonmani, A., Townson, H., Adeniran, T., Jambulingam, P., Sahu, S. & Vijayakumar, R. (2001) rDNA-ITS2 polymerase chain reaction assay for the sibling species of *Anopheles fluviatilis*. Acta Tropica 78, 3–9.
- Manonmani, A., Nanda, N., Jambulingam, P., Sahu, S., Vijayakumar, T., Ramya Vani, J. & Subbarao, S.K. (2003) Comparison of polymerase chain reaction assay and cytotaxonomy for identification of sibling species of Anopheles fluviatilis (Diptera: Culicidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 93, 169–171.
- Marinucci, M., Romi, R., Mancini, M., Di Luca, M. & Severini, C. (1999) Phylogenetic relationships of seven palearctic [sic] members of the *maculipennis* complex inferred from ITS2 sequence analysis. *Insect Molecular Biology* 8, 469–480.
- Marrelli, M.T., Malafronte, R.S., Flores-Mendoza, C., Lourenço-de-Oliveira, R., Kloetzel, J.K. & Marinotti, O. (1999) Sequence analysis of the second internal transcribed

spacer of ribosomal DNA in *Anopheles oswaldoi* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* **36**, 679–684.

- Mitchell, A., Sperling, F.A.H. & Hickey, D.A. (2002) Higherlevel phylogeny of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): mtDNA data support a derived placement for *Toxorhynchites. Insect Systematics and Evolution* 33, 163–174.
- Nanda, N., Das, M.K., Wattal, S., Adak, T. & Subbarao, S.K. (2004) Cytogenetic characterization of Anopheles sundaicus (Diptera: Culicidae) population from Car Nicobar Island, India. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 97, 171–176.
- Nguyen, D.M., Tran, D.H. & Nguyen, T.V. (1993) Anopheles (Anopheles) vietnamensis: a new species in the Anopheles hyrcanus group from Vietnam (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 25, 215–221.
- Nguyen, D.M., Tran, D.H., Harbach, R.E., Elphick, J. & Linton, Y.-M. (2000) A new species of the Hyrcanus Group of *Anopheles* subgenus *Anopheles*, a secondary vector of malaria in coastal areas of southern Vietnam. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 16, 189–198.
- Nicolescu, G., Linton, Y.-M., Vladimirescu, A.F., Howard, T.M. & Harbach, R.E. (2004) Mosquitoes of the Anopheles maculipennis group (Diptera: Culicidae) in Romania, with the discovery and formal recognition of a new species based on molecular and morphological evidence. Bulletin of Entomological Research 94, 525–535.
- Pape, T. (1992) Cladistic analyses of mosquito chromosome data in Anopheles subgenus Cellia (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 24, 1–11.
- Peyton, E.L. (1990) A new classification for the Leucosphyrus Group of Anopheles (Cellia). Mosquito Systematics (for 1989) 21, 197–205.
- Peyton, E.L. & Ramalingam, S. (1988) Anopheles (Cellia) nemophilous, a new species of the Leucosphyrus Group from Peninsular Malaysia and Thailand (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 20, 272–299.
- Peyton, E.L., Wilkerson, R.C. & Harbach, R.E. (1992) Comparative analysis of the subgenera Kerteszia and Nyssorhynchus of Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 24, 51-69.
- Phan, V.T., Manh, N.D., Hinh, T.D. & Vien, N.T. (1991) Anopheles (Anopheles) cucphuongensis: a new species from Vietnam (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics (for 1990) 22, 145–148.
- Porter, C.H. & Collins, F.H. (1996) Phylogeny of Nearctic members of the Anopheles maculipennis species group derived from the D2 variable region of 28S ribosomal RNA. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 6, 178–188.
- Rattanarithikul, R. & Green, C.A. (1987) Formal recognition of the species of the *Anopheles maculatus* group (Diptera: Culicidae) occurring in Thailand, including the description of two new species and a preliminary key to females. *Mosquito Systematics* (for 1986) **18**, 246–278.
- Rattanarithikul, R. & Harbach, R.E. (1991) Anopheles maculatus (Diptera: Culicidae) from the type locality of Hong Kong and two new species of the Maculatus Complex from the Philippines. *Mosquito Systematics* (for 1990) **22**, 160–183.
- Rattanarithikul, R., Harrison, B.A., Panthusiri, P., Sithiprasasna, R. & Coleman, R.E. (2004) Illustrated keys to the mosquitoes of Thailand. II. Anopheles. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health (in press).
- Reid, J.A. (1949) A preliminary account of the forms of *Anopheles leucosphyrus* Dönitz (Diptera: Culicidae). *Proceedings of the*

Royal Entomological Society of London Series B Taxonomy 18, 42–53.

- Reid, J.A. (1950) The Anopheles umbrosus group (Diptera: Culicidae). Part 1: Systematics, with descriptions of two new species. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 101, 281–318.
- Reid, J.A. (1953) The Anopheles hyrcanus group in south east Asia. Bulletin of Entomological Research 44, 5–76.
- Reid, J.A. (1968) Anopheline mosquitoes of Malaya and Borneo. Studies from the Institute for Medical Research Malaya 31, 1–520.
- Reid, J.A. & Knight, K.L. (1961) Classification within the subgenus Anopheles (Diptera, Culicidae). Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 55, 474–488.
- Reinert, J.F., Kaiser, P.E. & Seawright, J.A. (1997) Analysis of the Anopheles (Anopheles) quadrimaculatus complex of sibling species (Diptera: Culicidae) using morphological, cytological, molecular, genetic, biochemical, and ecological techniques in an integrated approach. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 13(Suppl.), 1–102.
- Root, F.M. (1922) The classification of American Anopheles mosquitoes. American Journal of Hygiene 2, 321–322.
- Sallum, M.A.M., Wilkerson, R.C. Forattini, O.P. (1999) Taxonomic study of species formerly identified as *Anopheles mediopunctatus* and resurrection of *An. costai* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* 36, 282–300.
- Sallum, M.A.M., Schultz, T.R. & Wilkerson, R.C. (2000) Phylogeny of Anophelinae (Diptera: Culicidae) based on morphological characters. *Annals of the Entomological Society* of America 93, 745–775.
- Sallum, M.A.M., Schultz, T.R., Foster, P.G., Aronstein, K., Wirtz, R.A. & Wilkerson, R.C. (2002) Phylogeny of Anophelinae (Diptera: Culicidae) based on nuclear ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA sequences. Systematic Entomology 27, 361–382.
- Sallum, M.A.M., Peyton, E.L. & Wilkerson, R.C. (2004) Six new species of the Leucosphyrus Group of Anopheles (Cellia) with nomenclatural notes and redescription of Anopheles elegans (James) (Diptera: Culicidae). Medical and Veterinary Entomology (in press).
- Sarala, K.S., Nutan, N., Vasantha, K., Dua, V.K., Malhotra, M.S., Yadav, R.S. & Sharma, V.P. (1994) Cytogenetic evidence for three sibling species in Anopheles fluviatilis (Diptera: Culicidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 87, 116–121.
- Schmidt, E.R., Foley, D.H., Hartel, G.F., Williams, G.M. & Bryan, J.H. (2001) Descriptions of the Anopheles (Cellia) farauti complex of sibling species (Diptera: Culicidae) in Australia. Bulletin of Entomological Research 91, 389–410.
- Schmidt, E.R., Foley, D.H., Bugoro, H. & Bryan, J.H. (2003) A morphological study of the *Anopheles punctulatus* group (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Solomon Islands, with a description of *Anopheles* (*Cellia*) *irenicus* Schmidt, sp.n. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **93**, 515–526.
- Sedaghat, M.M., Linton, Y.M., Oshaghi, M.A., Vatandoost, H. & Harbach, R.E. (2003) The Anopheles maculipennis complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in Iran: molecular characterization and recognition of a new species. Bulletin of Entomological Research 93, 527–535.
- Sharpe, R.G., Harbach, R.E. & Butlin, R.K. (2000) Molecular variation and phylogeny of the Minimus Group of Anopheles subgenus Cellia (Diptera: Culicidae). Systematic Entomology 25, 265–272.

- Shin, E.-H. & Hong, H.K. (2001) A new synonym of Anopheles (Anopheles) pullus Yamada, 1937 – A. (A.) yatsushiroensis Miyazaki, 1951. Korean Journal of Entomology 31, 1–5.
- Sierra, D.M., Velez, I.D. & Linton, Y.-M. (2004) Malaria vector Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) nuneztovari comprises one genetic species in Colombia based on homogeneity of nuclear ITS2 rDNA. Journal of Medical Entomology 41, (in press).
- Silva do Nascimento, T.F. & Lourenço-de-Oliveira, R. (2002) Anopheles halophylus, a new species of the subgenus Nyssorhynchus [sic] (Diptera: Culicidae) from Brazil. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 97, 801–811.
- Singh, O.P., Chandra, D., Nanda, N., Raghavendra, K., Sunil, S., Sharma, S.K., Dua, V.K. & Subbarao, S.K. (2004) Differentiation of members of the Anopheles fluviatilis species complex by an allele-specific polymerase chain reaction based on 28S ribosomal DNA sequences. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 70, 27–32.
- Somboon,, P., Walton, C., Sharpe, R.G., Higa, Y., Tuno, N., Tsuda, Y. & Takagi, M. (2001) Evidence for a new sibling species of Anopheles minimus from the Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 17, 98–113.
- Statz, G. (1944) Neue Diptern (Nematocera) aus dem Oberoligecaen von Rott. V. Familie Culicidae (Stechmuecken). *Palaeontographica (A)* 95, 108–121.
- Suguna, S.G., Rathinam, K.G., Rajavel, A.R. & Dhanda, V. (1994) Morphological and chromosomal descriptions of new species in the Anopheles subpictus complex. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 9, 88–94.
- Sukowati, S. & Baimai, V. (1996) A standard cytogenetic map for Anopheles sundaicus (Diptera: Culicidae) and evidence for chromosomal differentiation in populations from Thailand and Indonesia. Genome 39, 165–173.
- Sukowati, S., Baimai, V., Harun, S., Dasuki, Y., Andris, H. & Efriwati, M. (1999) Isozyme evidence for three sibling species in the Anopheles sundaicus complex from Indonesia. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 13, 408–414.
- White, G.B. (1978) Systematic reappraisal of the Anopheles maculipennis complex. Mosquito Systematics 10, 13–44.
- White, G.B. (1985) *Anopheles bwambae* sp.n., a malaria vector in the Semliki Valley, Uganda, and its relationships with other sibling species of the *An. gambiae* complex (Diptera: Culicidae). *Systematic Entomology* **10**, 501–522.
- Wilkerson, R.C. & Sallum, M.A.M. (1999) Anopheles (Anopheles) forattinii: a new species in Series Arribalzagia (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 36, 345–354.
- Wilkerson, R.C., Parsons, T.J., Klein, T.A., Gaffigan, T.V., Bergo, E. & Consolim, J. (1995) Diagnosis by random amplified polymorphic DNA polymerase chain reaction for four cryptic species related to Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) albitarsis (Diptera: Culicidae) from Paraguay, Argentina, and Brazil. Journal of Medical Entomology 32, 697–704.
- Wilkerson, R.C., Li, C., Rueda, L.M., Kim, H.-C., Klein, T.A., Song, G.-H. & Strickman, D. (2003) Molecular confirmation of Anopheles (Anopheles) lesteri from the Republic of South Korea and its genetic identity with An. (Ano.) anthropophagus from China (Diptera: Culicidae). Zootaxa 378, 1–14.
- Wilkerson, R.C., Reinert, J.F. & Li, C. (2004) Ribosomal DNA ITS2 sequences differentiate six species in the Anopheles crucians complex (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 41, 392–401.
- Xu, J. & Luo, X. (1998) A new species of Anopheles hyrcanus

group from Nei Mongol Autonomous Region (Diptera: Culicidae). Chinese Journal of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases 16, 45–52.

- Yu, Y. (1987) Studies on the two forms of Anopheles (Cellia) minimus Theobald, 1901 in China (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 19, 143–145.
- Yu, Y. & Li, M. (1984) Notes on the two forms of Anopheles (Cellia) minimus Theobald, 1901, in Hainan Island. Journal of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases 2, 95–98 (in Chinese.)
- Zavortink, T.J. & Poinar, G.O., Jr. (2000) Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) dominicanus sp. n. (Diptera: Culicidae) from Dominican amber. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 93, 1230–1235.

(Accepted 5 August 2004) © CAB International, 2004