## SEPARABLE SYSTEMS OF STÄCKEL

BY LUTHER PFAHLER EISENHART

(Received February 14, 1934)

In 1891 Stäckel<sup>1</sup> showed how to determine the quantities  $H_i$  in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

(A) 
$$\sum_{i} \frac{1}{H_{i}^{2}} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \right)^{2} + k^{2} (E - V) \varphi = 0,$$

so that the variables are separable, the solution being of the form  $\Sigma X_i$ , where  $X_i$  is a function of  $x_i$  alone. In 1893² he showed that when the quadratic differential form  $\Sigma H_i^2 dx_i^2$  so determined is taken as the Riemannian metric of a space  $V_n$  the equations of the geodesics of  $V_n$  admit n-1 independent quadratic first integrals other than the fundamental form. In §§1, 2 we show that when this condition is satisfied, the fundamental quadratic form is of the Stäckel type.

In 1927 Robertson<sup>3</sup> showed that for an equation of the form

(B) 
$$\sum_{i} H \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( \frac{H}{H_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \right) + k^{2} (E - V) \varphi = 0, \qquad H = H_{1} \cdots H_{n}$$

to admit by separation of the variables a solution of the form  $\Pi X_i$ , where  $X_i$  is a function of  $x_i$  alone, the functions  $H^2_i$  must be of the Stäckel form and  $V = \sum \frac{f(x_i)}{H^2_i}$ , where  $f(x_i)$  is an arbitrary function of  $x_i$  alone, just as in the case of equation (A) as shown by Stäckel. He found that in this case there is the additional condition

(C) 
$$\varphi = \prod \frac{H_i}{\psi_i(x_i)},$$

where  $\varphi$  is the determinant of the Stäckel functions  $\varphi_{ij}$  and  $\psi_i$  is a function of  $x_i$  at most. In §2 we show that this condition is equivalent to the equations

$$R_{ij} = 0 (i \neq j)$$

in the given coordinate system,  $R_{ij}$  being the components of the Ricci tensor used by Einstein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Habilitationsschrift, Halle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Comptes Rendus, vol. 116, pp. 485-487; cf. also, Ricci et Levi-Civita, Méthodes de calcul différentiel absolu, Math. Annalen, vol. 54 (1901), pp. 183, 184.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bemerkung über separierbare Systeme in der Wellenmechanik, Math. Annalen, vol. 98, pp. 749–752.

In §§3–7 we determine the various canonical forms for euclidean 3-space and find that in each case the coordinate surfaces are confocal quadrics including the cases when one or more families consists of planes, and that every type of confocal quadrics affords a solution; only the case of real surfaces has been considered. We have thus the only orthogonal systems of coordinates in which the three dimensional Schrödinger equation can be solved by separation of the variables.

In §8 we show that similar results hold for euclidean spaces of higher order and in §9 determine the Stäckel forms for a  $V_3$  of constant Riemannian curvature because of their bearing on the problem for euclidean 4-space.

## 1. Quadratic first integrals. A necessary and sufficient condition that

$$a_{ij} \frac{dx_i}{ds} \frac{dx_j}{ds} = \text{const.}$$

be a quadratic first integral of the equation of geodesics of a Riemannian  $V_n$  is that

$$(1.1) a_{ij, k} + a_{jk, i} + a_{ki, j} = 0,$$

where a comma followed by an index indicates covariant differentiation; there is no loss in assuming that  $a_{ij}$  is symmetric in the indices.<sup>4</sup>

If  $\rho_i$  are the roots of the determinant equation

the equations

$$(1.3) (a_{ij} - \rho_h g_{ij}) \lambda_{h\perp}^i = 0,$$

determine an orthogonal ennuple of contravariant vectors of components  $\lambda_{h+}^i$ , where h indicates the vector and i the component. Ordinarily the vector-fields so defined are not normal in the sense that a vector field admits a family of hypersurfaces orthogonal to the vectors.

We assume that  $a_{ij}$  is such that these vector-fields are normal and that the hypersurfaces are taken as parametric; and we write the fundamental form thus

$$(1.4) ds^2 = e_1 H_1^2 (dx_1)^2 + \cdots + e_n H_n^2 (dx_n)^2,$$

where the e's are plus or minus one as the case may be. In this case  $\lambda_{h_i}^i = 0$  for  $i \neq h$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then equations (1.1) for j = k = i and  $j \neq i$ , k = j respectively reduce to

(1.5) 
$$\frac{\partial \log \sqrt{a_{ii}}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_i},$$

$$\frac{\partial a_{ii}}{\partial x_j} - 4a_{ii} \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_j} + a_{jj} \frac{1}{H_j^2} \frac{\partial H_i^2}{\partial x_j} = 0,$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Eisenhart, Riemannian Geometry, p. 129. Hereafter such a reference is of the form R. G., p. 129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> R. G., p. 108.

and equations (1.1) for i, j, k different are satisfied identically. From the first set of (1.5) we have

$$a_{ii} = \rho_i H_i^2 ,$$

where  $\rho_i$ , thus defined, is independent of  $x_i$ . The second set of (1.5) reduce to

(1.7) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \frac{\rho_i - \rho_j}{H_i^2} = 0,$$

from which it follows that  $(\rho_i - \rho_j)/H_i^2$  is independent of  $x_i$ . Writing these results in the form

(1.8) 
$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x_i} = (\rho_i - \rho_j) \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i}, \quad \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x_i} = 0,$$

and expressing the condition of integrability of this system of equations, we obtain

$$(\rho_i - \rho_i) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \log H_j^2}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0$$

and

$$(
ho_i - 
ho_k) \left( rac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} - rac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_j} rac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_k} + rac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_k} rac{\partial \log H_j^2}{\partial x_k} 
ight) + rac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_k} rac{\partial \log H_k^2}{\partial x_j} 
ight) = 0.$$

In order that (1.8) may admit a solution with all the  $\rho$ 's different we must have

(1.9) 
$$\frac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \log H_j^2}{\partial x_i} = 0,$$

(1.10) 
$$\frac{\partial^{2} \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}} - \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{k}} + \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \log H_{j}^{2}}{\partial x_{k}}$$
$$+ \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \log H_{k}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}} = 0.$$

Since these equations are consistent, it follows that, when they are satisfied, equations (1.8) are completely integrable. One solution is  $\rho_i = \rho_j = a$ , a constant. We denote by  $\rho_i^{\alpha}$  (for  $\alpha = 2, \dots, n$ ) n-1 other solutions such that the determinant of the n solutions is not zero. This may be indicated in the determinant form

$$(1.11) | \rho_i^{\alpha} - \rho_j^{\alpha} | \neq 0,$$

where i is fixed, and  $\alpha=2, \cdots, n; j=1, \cdots, n; j \neq i$ . In this case the equations of the geodesics admit n-1 quadratic first integrals whose coefficients are

(1.12) 
$$a_{ii}^{\alpha} = \rho_{i}^{\alpha} H_{i}^{2}, \qquad a_{ij}^{\alpha} = 0.$$

2. The Stäckel Form. It is our purpose to show that the conditions of the preceding section determine the Stäckel form of the fundamental form of the  $V_n$ . To this end we denote by  $\varphi_{ij}$   $n^2$  functions such that their determinant  $\varphi$  is not zero, and we denote by  $\varphi^{ij}$  the cofactor of  $\varphi_{ij}$  in  $\varphi$ . We put

(2.1) 
$$H_{i}^{2} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi^{i1}}, \qquad \rho_{i}^{\alpha} = \frac{\varphi^{i\alpha}}{\varphi^{i1}},$$

and understand that the  $\varphi$ 's are such that  $\rho_i^{\alpha}$  are independent of  $x_i$ .

Also we put

$$b_{ij}^{\alpha} = \frac{\rho_i^{\alpha} - \rho_j^{\alpha}}{H_i^2} = \frac{\varphi^{j1}\varphi^{i\alpha} - \varphi^{i1}\varphi^{j\alpha}}{\varphi\varphi^{j1}},$$

and have from §1 that  $b_{ij}^{\alpha}$  are independent of  $x_i$ . We have that

$$\varphi^{i1}\varphi^{i\alpha} - \varphi^{i1}\varphi^{j\alpha} = \varphi M_{i1i\alpha},$$

where  $M_{j1i\alpha}$  is the algebraic complement of  $\varphi_{j1}\varphi_{i\alpha} - \varphi_{i1}\varphi_{j\alpha}$  in the determinant  $\varphi$ .<sup>6</sup> Consequently we have

(2.3) 
$$\varphi^{i1}b_{ij}^{\alpha} = M_{j1i\alpha} \qquad (i, j = 1, \dots, n; \alpha = 2, \dots, n).$$

From the definition of  $M_{j1i\alpha}$  we have

$$\varphi^{i\alpha} = \sum_{i (\neq j)} \varphi_{i1} M_{j1i\alpha},$$

and consequently

$$\frac{\varphi^{j\alpha}}{\varphi^{j1}} = \sum_{i \ (\neq j)} \varphi_{i1} b^{\alpha}_{ij}.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_i$ , we have

$$0 = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i1}}{\partial x_j} b_{ij}^{\alpha} \qquad (\alpha = 2, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, n).$$

For a given j the determinant of  $b_{ij}^{\alpha}$  is not zero, in consequence of (1.11) and (2.2). Hence a function  $\varphi_{i1}$  is a function of  $x_i$  at most.

From (2.2) we have

$$\varphi^{j1}b^{\alpha}_{ij} = -\varphi^{i1}b^{\alpha}_{ji}.$$

In consequence of this result and (2.3) we have

$$(2.5) \frac{M_{j1i\beta}}{M_{j1i2}} = \frac{b_{ij}^{\beta}}{b_{ij}^{2}} = \frac{b_{ji}^{\beta}}{b_{ii}^{2}} \equiv \sigma_{ij\beta} = \sigma_{ji\beta} {\binom{\beta = 3, \cdots, n}{i \neq j}}.$$

Since the second term is independent of  $x_i$  and the third of  $x_i$ , it follows that  $\sigma_{ij\beta}$  is independent of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . From the identities

$$\sum_{\alpha}^{2, \dots, n} \varphi_{k\alpha} M_{j1i\alpha} = 0 \qquad (i, j, k \neq),$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Cf. Kowalewski, Einführung in die Determinantentheorie, p. 80.

we have with the aid of (2.5)

(2.6) 
$$\varphi_{k2} + \sum_{\beta}^{3, \dots, n} \varphi_{k\beta} \sigma_{ij\beta} = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_i$ , we have

(2.7) 
$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{k2}}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{3, \dots, n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{k\beta}}{\partial x_i} \sigma_{ij\beta} = 0.$$

For a given i and k, there are n-2 equations (2.6) satisfied by the n-1 quantities  $\varphi_{k2}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\varphi_{kn}$ ; and these same equations are satisfied by the derivatives of these quantities with respect to  $x_i$ , hence we have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{k\alpha}}{\partial x_i} = \mu_{ik} \varphi_{k\alpha},$$

or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\varphi_{k\alpha}}{\varphi_{k\gamma}} \right) = 0,$$

for  $\gamma \neq \alpha$ . Such equations hold for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $i \neq k$ . Hence we have

$$\varphi_{i\alpha} = e^{\nu_i} \psi_{i\alpha},$$

where  $\psi_{i\alpha}$  are functions of  $x_i$  at most and  $\nu_i$  are to be determined.

From (2.3) we have

$$b_{i1}^{\alpha} M_{11i\alpha} = b_{i1}^{\alpha} M_{11j\alpha} \qquad (i, j = 2, \dots, n).$$

Substituting from (2.8) we obtain

$$e^{\nu_{i}}b^{\alpha}_{j1}N_{1i} = e^{\nu_{i}}b^{\alpha}_{i1}N_{1j},$$

where  $N_{1i}$  is independent of  $x_1$  and  $x_i$ . Differentiating with respect to  $x_1$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\nu_i - \nu_j) = 0 \qquad (i, j = 2, \dots, n).$$

Again from (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$b_{j\alpha}^{\alpha}M_{\alpha 1\beta \beta} + b_{\beta \alpha}^{\beta}M_{j1\alpha\alpha} = 0 \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha, \beta = 2, \cdots, n; \alpha \neq \beta \\ j = 1, \cdots, n; j \neq \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting from (2.8) we have

$$e^{\nu j}b^{\alpha}_{j\alpha}N_{\alpha\beta} + e^{\nu\beta}b^{\beta}_{\beta\alpha}N_{\alpha j} = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\nu_{i}-\nu_{\beta}\right)=0.$$

Combining these results, we have

$$\frac{\partial(\nu_i - \nu_j)}{\partial x_k} = 0 \qquad (i, j, k = 1, \dots, n; i, j, k \neq).$$

From the preceding equations we have

$$\nu_i - \nu_j = f_{ij}, \quad \nu_i - \nu_k = f_{ik}, \quad \nu_j - \nu_k = f_{jk},$$

where  $f_{ij}$  is at most a function of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . From these equations we have

$$f_{ii} - f_{ik} + f_{ik} = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_i$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial x_i}.$$

Since the first term does not involve  $x_k$  and the second  $x_j$ , we have

$$f_{ij} = \sigma_i - \sigma_j, \quad f_{ik} = \sigma_i - \sigma_k,$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is a function of  $x_i$  alone. Hence the above set of equations may be replaced by

$$\nu_i = \nu + \sigma_i$$

where  $\nu$  is undetermined, and (2.8) becomes

$$\varphi_{i\alpha} = e^{\nu}\theta_{i\alpha} \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n; \alpha = 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $\theta_{i\alpha}$  are functions of  $x_i$  alone. Since we have shown that  $\varphi_{i1}$  is a function of  $x_i$  alone, it follows that when the above expressions are substituted in (2.1) the factor  $e^{\nu}$  disappears, and consequently the general solution of the problem is obtained when each function  $\varphi_{ij}$  is a function of  $x_i$  alone, which is the Stäckel form for the separation of the variables. Hence we have:

A necessary and sufficient condition that the fundamental quadratic form of  $V_n$  can be given the Stäckel form is that the equations of geodesics admit n-1 independent quadratic first integrals, that the roots of the characteristic equations (1.2) for each of these integrals be simple, that (1.11) hold, and that the vector-fields determined by (1.3) be normal and be the same vector-fields for all the first integrals. Also we have:

A necessary and sufficient condition that (1.4) is in the Stäckel form is that equations (1.9) and (1.10) be satisfied.

We have yet to consider the condition (C) of the Introduction for the case of equation (B). To this end we observe that from the definition of  $\varphi$  and (2.1) we have

$$\frac{\partial \log \varphi}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{H_i^2} \left( \varphi_{j1}' + \rho_j^2 \varphi_{j2}' + \cdots + \rho_j^n \varphi_{jn}' \right),$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cf. Dall'Acqua, Le equazioni di Hamilton-Jacobi che si integrano per separazione di variabili, Rend. di Palermo, vol. 33 (1912), pp. 341-351.

where the prime indicates differentiation. In consequence of (1.8) we have

(2.10) 
$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} = -\frac{1}{H_j^2} \frac{\partial \log H_j^2}{\partial x_k} \left( \varphi'_{j1} + \sum_{\alpha}^{2 \dots n} \rho_k^{\alpha} \varphi'_{j\alpha} \right).$$

If we differentiate with respect to  $x_i$  the identity

$$\varphi_{j1} + \sum_{\alpha} \rho_k^{\alpha} \varphi_{j\alpha} = 0,$$

we have in consequence of (1.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{j1}^{'} + \sum_{\alpha} \rho_{k}^{\alpha} \varphi_{j\alpha}^{'} &= \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{j\alpha} (\rho_{j}^{\alpha} - \rho_{k}^{\alpha}) \frac{\partial \log H_{k}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}} = \left(\varphi_{j1} + \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{j\alpha} \rho_{j}^{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial \log H_{k}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}} \\ &= \frac{\varphi}{\varphi^{j1}} \frac{\partial \log H_{k}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}} = H_{j}^{2} \frac{\partial \log H_{k}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have from (2.10)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} = - \frac{\partial \log H_j^2}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \log H_k^2}{\partial x_j}.$$

From this and (1.9) we have

(2.11) 
$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} = \frac{\partial^2 \log H_j^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} = \frac{\partial^2 \log H_k^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}.$$

In order that (C) be satisfied it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \frac{\Pi H_i}{\varphi} = 0 \qquad (j, k = 1, \dots, n; j \neq k),$$

which because of (2.11) may be written

(2.12) 
$$\frac{\partial^2 \log}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \Pi' H_i = 0,$$

where  $\Pi'$  indicates the product of the H's except  $H_i$  and  $H_k$ .

In order to give an interpretation to (2.12) we consider the expression for the Riemannian symbol  $R_{jiik}$  for i, j, k different, namely<sup>8</sup>

$$(2.13) R_{jiik} = \frac{e_i H_i^2}{4} \left[ 2 \frac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_j} \right].$$

In consequence of (1.10) this may be written

$$(2.14) R_{jiik} = \frac{3}{4} e_i H_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> R. G., p. 119.

Since

$$g^{ii} = \frac{e_i}{H_i^2}, \qquad g^{ij} = 0 \qquad (i \neq j),$$

we have

$$R_{jk} = g^{il} R_{jilk} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial^2 \log \Pi' H_i^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_k},$$

and consequently (2.12) is equivalent to

$$(2.15) R_{jk} = 0 (j \neq k).$$

Consequently the condition (C) is satisfied by any Stäckel form of a euclidean space and of a space of constant Riemannian curvature. Incidentally we remark that the Schwarzschild form<sup>9</sup> for an Einstein 4-space satisfies the conditions (1.9) and (1.10) and in this case (C) is satisfied.

3. Stäckel systems in euclidean space. The components of the Riemannian tensor formed with respect to the quadratic form (1.4) are given by (2.13) and <sup>10</sup>

$$(3.1) R_{iikl} = 0$$

$$(3.2) R_{iiij} = e_i H_i^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log H_i}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_j} \log \frac{H_i}{H_i} \right) + e_i H_i^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log H_j}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial \log H_j}{\partial x_i} \log \frac{H_i}{H_i} \right) + \sum_{k \neq i,j} e_i e_j e_k \frac{H_i^2 H_j^2}{H_k^2} \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_k}.$$

From (1.9) we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \log \frac{H_i^2}{H_i^2} = 0,$$

from which it follows that

(3.3) 
$$H_i^2 = \varphi_{ij}^2 \theta_{ij}, \qquad H_j^2 = \varphi_{ji}^2 \theta_{ij},$$

where  $\varphi_{ij}$  is independent of  $x_i$  and  $\varphi_{ji}$  of  $x_i$ . Substituting in (1.9), we find that

$$\theta_{ij} = \tau_{ij} + \tau_{ji},$$

where  $\tau_{ij}$  is independent of  $x_i$  and  $\tau_{ji}$  of  $x_i$ .

Equating to zero the right-hand member of (2.14), we have in consequence of (1.10)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} = 0,$$

$$(3.6) \qquad \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \log H_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \log H_k}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

$$(i, j, k \neq).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> R. G., p. 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> R. G., p. 119.

Substituting in (3.5) from (3.3) and (3.4), we find that

(3.7) 
$$\frac{\partial \tau_{ii}}{\partial x_i} = (\tau_{ij} + \tau_{ji})\psi_{ji}(x_i, x_j),$$

and similarly

(3.8) 
$$\frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_i} = (\tau_{ij} + \tau_{ji})\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j).$$

Differentiating these equations with respect to  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  respectively, we have

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{ii}}{\partial x_i} + \psi_{ii}\psi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial \psi_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + \psi_{ji}\psi_{ij} = 0.$$

Accordingly we have

$$\psi_{ii} = \frac{\partial \log \alpha}{\partial x_i}, \qquad \psi_{ij} = \frac{\partial \log \alpha}{\partial x_i},$$

and we find that  $\alpha = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$ , where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are functions of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  respectively. Then from (3.7) and (3.8) it follows that

$$\tau_{ij} + \tau_{ji} = (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)\omega_{ij},$$

where  $\omega_{ij}$  is independent of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . In consequence of this result and (3.3) it follows that

(3.9) 
$$H_i^2 = X_i \prod_{j (\neq i)} (\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji}) \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $\sigma_{ij}$  is a function of  $x_i$  at most and  $\sigma_{ji}$  of  $x_j$  at most. These expressions satisfy (1.9). In order that (3.6) be satisfied we must have

$$\sigma'_{ii}\sigma'_{ki}(\sigma_{jk}+\sigma_{kj})-\sigma'_{ii}\sigma'_{kj}(\sigma_{ki}+\sigma_{ik})-\sigma'_{ki}\sigma'_{jk}(\sigma_{ij}+\sigma_{ji})=0,$$

where a prime indicates the derivative, and permuting the indices cyclically we have

(3.11) 
$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_{kj}\sigma'_{ij}(\sigma_{ki} + \sigma_{ik}) - \sigma'_{kj}\sigma'_{ik}(\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji}) - \sigma'_{ij}\sigma'_{ki}(\sigma_{jk} + \sigma_{kj}) &= 0, \\ \sigma'_{ik}\sigma'_{jk}(\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji}) - \sigma'_{ik}\sigma'_{ji}(\sigma_{jk} + \sigma_{kj}) - \sigma'_{jk}\sigma'_{ij}(\sigma_{ki} + \sigma_{ik}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Equating to zero the determinant of these equations, we have

(3.12) 
$$\sigma'_{ij}\sigma'_{jk}\sigma'_{ki} + \sigma'_{ji}\sigma'_{kj}\sigma'_{ik} = 0.$$

The same result follows, if we differentiate the above equations with respect to  $x_i$ ,  $x_j$  and  $x_k$  respectively.

On the assumption that none of the terms in (3.12) is zero it follows that  $\sigma'_{ii}/\sigma'_{ik}$  is a constant. Accordingly we put

$$\sigma_{ij} = a_{ij}\sigma_{ij}$$

where  $a_{ij}$  is a constant and  $\sigma_i$  involves  $x_i$  at most. The constants must satisfy the relations

$$(3.13) a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{ki} + a_{ji}a_{kj}a_{ik} = 0.$$

Equations (3.10) and (3.11) are satisfied in consequence of (3.13). Hence in this case we have

$$H_i^2 = X_i \prod_{j \ (\neq i)} (a_{ij}\sigma_i + a_{ji}\sigma_j).$$

If we put

$$\sigma_i = a_{jk}a_{ki}\bar{\sigma}_i, \qquad \sigma_j = a_{kj}a_{ik}\bar{\sigma}_j,$$

in consequence of (3.13) we have  $a_{ij}\sigma_i + a_{ji}\sigma_i = a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{ki}(\bar{\sigma}_i - \bar{\sigma}_j)$  and the constant factor may be absorbed in  $X_i$ . Then we have in all generality  $a_{ij} = -a_{ji} = 1$ , and (3.13) becomes

$$a_{ik}a_{ki} - a_{ki}a_{ik} = 0.$$

If now we put  $a_{ki}\sigma_k = -a_{ik}\bar{\sigma}_k$ , we have

$$a_{ki}\sigma_k + a_{ik}\bar{\sigma}_i = a_{ik}(\bar{\sigma}_i - \bar{\sigma}_k),$$

so that in all generality we may take  $a_{ki} = -1$ ,  $a_{ik} = +1$ . Then  $a_{jk}\bar{\sigma}_j + a_{kj}\bar{\sigma}_k = a_{jk}(\bar{\sigma}_j - \bar{\sigma}_k)$ , and thus  $a_{jk} = -a_{kj} = 1$ , and we have

$$(3.14) H_i^2 = X_i \prod_{j (\neq i)} (\sigma_i - \sigma_j).$$

We consider now the cases which can arise when some of the  $\sigma$ 's are constant. Suppose that  $\sigma_{ij} = a_{ij}$ , where  $a_{ij}$  is a constant. From the first of (3.11) it follows that either  $\sigma_{ik} = a_{ik}$ , or  $\sigma_{kj} = a_{kj}$ , the a's being constant; we use this notation for the present. If  $\sigma_{ik} = a_{ik}$ , the second of (3.11) is satisfied and there remains (3.10). This is satisfied in the following cases

(3.15) (i) 
$$\sigma_{ji} = a_{ji}, \ \sigma_{jk} = a_{jk};$$
 (ii)  $\sigma_{ji} = a_{ji}, \ \sigma_{ki} = a_{ki};$  (iii)  $\sigma_{ki} = a_{ki}, \ \sigma_{kj} = a_{kj}.$ 

The last follows from (i) when j and k are interchanged. If  $\sigma_{ii}$  and  $\sigma_{ki}$  are not constants, we write (3.10) in the form

(3.16) 
$$\sigma_{jk} + \sigma_{kj} - \frac{\sigma'_{kj}}{\sigma'_{ki}} (\sigma_{ki} + a_{ik}) - \frac{\sigma'_{jk}}{\sigma'_{ji}} (\sigma_{ji} + a_{ij}) = 0.$$

From this we have

$$\sigma_{jk}-\frac{\sigma_{jk}^{'}}{\sigma_{i,i}^{'}}\left(\sigma_{ji}+a_{ij}\right)=c, \qquad \sigma_{kj}-\frac{\sigma_{kj}^{'}}{\sigma_{ki}^{'}}\left(\sigma_{ki}+a_{ik}\right)=-c,$$

where c is a constant, and consequently

$$\sigma_{ii} + a_{ij} = b(\sigma_{ik} - c), \qquad \sigma_{ki} + a_{ik} = d(\sigma_{kj} + c),$$

where b and d are constants. Hence  $\sigma'_{ji} = b\sigma'_{jk}$ ,  $\sigma'_{ki} = d\sigma'_{kj}$ , so that we may put  $\sigma_{ji} = a_{ji}\sigma_{j}$ ,  $\sigma_{jk} = a_{jk}\sigma_{j}$ ;  $\sigma_{ki} = a_{ki}\sigma_{k}$ ,  $\sigma_{kj} = a_{kj}\sigma_{k}$ , and then from (3.16) we have (3.13). Thus we have three distinct types:

$$(3.17) \ \sigma_{ij} = a_{ij}, \ \sigma_{ji} = a_{ji}, \ \sigma_{ik} = a_{ik}, \ \sigma_{jk} = a_{jk};$$

(3.18) 
$$\sigma_{ij} = a_{ij}, \, \sigma_{ji} = a_{ji}, \, \sigma_{ik} = a_{ik}, \, \sigma_{ki} = a_{ki};$$

$$(3.19) \ \sigma_{ij} = a_{ij}, \ \sigma_{ik} = a_{ik}, \ \sigma_{ji} = a_{ji}\sigma_{j}, \ \sigma_{jk} = a_{jk}\sigma_{j}, \ \sigma_{ki} = a_{ki}\sigma_{k}, \ \sigma_{kj} = a_{kj}\sigma_{k}.$$

In the first two cases the a's are arbitrary, in the last case they must satisfy (3.13).

When  $\sigma_{kj} = a_{kj}$  and  $\sigma_{ij} = a_{ij}$ , we have from (3.10) and (3.11) the case (3.15 iii), or

$$\sigma'_{ji}(\sigma_{jk} + a_{kj}) - \sigma'_{jk}(\sigma_{ji} + a_{ij}) = 0.$$

If  $\sigma_{ii} = a_{ji}$ ,  $\sigma_{ik} = a_{jk}$ , we have (3.18) on interchanging i and j. Otherwise we have the type

(3.20) 
$$\sigma_{ij} = a_{ij}, \, \sigma_{kj} = a_{kj}, \, \sigma_{ji} = a_{ji}\sigma_{j}, \, \sigma_{jk} = a_{jk}\sigma_{j}, \, a_{ij}a_{kj} - a_{jk}a_{ij} = 0.$$

For n = 3 and i = 3, j = 2, k = 1, we have from (3.9) and (3.17) that the coordinates can be chosen so that we have

$$(3.21) H_1 = 1, H_2 = \varphi_1, H_3 = \psi_1,$$

where  $\varphi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  are functions of  $x_1$  at most.

For the case (3.20) we may in all generality take  $a_{ij} = a_{kj} = 0$  and then from (3.9) for i = 2, j = 1, k = 3 by a suitable choice of coordinates we have

$$(3.22) H_1^2 = 1, H_2^2 = X_2 \sigma_1(\sigma_{23} + \sigma_{32}), H_3^2 = X_3 \sigma_1(\sigma_{23} + \sigma_{32}),$$

where  $\sigma_1$  is a function of  $x_1$  at most. When  $\sigma_1$  is a constant, we have the case (3.18) for i = 1, j = 2, k = 3.

For the case (3.19) we may take  $a_{ij} = a_{ik} = 0$  and for i = 2, j = 1, k = 3 we have in all generality

$$(3.23) H_1^2 = H_3^2 = \sigma_1 + e\sigma_3, H_2^2 = \sigma_1\sigma_3,$$

where e = +1 or -1, it being understood that  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  are positive.

Finally we have from (3.14) the case

$$(3.24) H_i^2 = X_i(x_i - x_j) (x_i - x_k) (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3; i, j, k \neq).$$

For the further determination of the functions appearing in (3.21), (3.22), (3.23), and (3.24) we have from (3.2) for all the e's equal to 1 the conditions

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{1}{H_i^2} \left( 2 \frac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \frac{H_i^2}{H_i^2} \right) + \frac{1}{H_i^2} \left( 2 \frac{\partial^2 \log H_i^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)$$

$$+\frac{\partial \log H_{j}^{2}}{\partial x_{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\log \frac{H_{j}^{2}}{H_{i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{H_{k}^{2}}\frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{k}}\frac{\partial \log H_{j}^{2}}{\partial x_{k}}=0 \qquad (i,j,k\neq).$$

These determinations will be made in the following sections.

When n = 3, equations (2.12) are (3.5). Consequently we have:

A necessary and sufficient condition that equation (B) be solvable by separation of the variables for n = 3 is that the H's be of one of the forms (3.21), (3.22), (3.24).

4. **Types I.** From (3.21) and (3.25) for i = 1, j = 2 and j = 3, we find that  $\varphi_1 = ax_1 + b, \quad \psi_1 = cx_1 + d,$ 

where a, b, c, d are constants. Substituting in (3.25) for i = 2, j = 3, we have  $\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_1} = 0$ . We take a = 0, b = 1. If c = 0, we have the cartesian case

$$(I_1)$$
  $H_i^2 = 1$   $(i = 1, 2, 3).$ 

If  $c \neq 0$ , we have by a suitable choice of coordinates

$$(I_2)$$
  $H_1^2 = H_2^2 = 1, \quad H_3^2 = x_1^2.$ 

In this case the transformations of coordinates is

$$(4.1) x = x_1 \cos x_3, y = x_1 \sin x_3, z = x_2,$$

and the coordinate surfaces are the planes x/y = const., z = const. and the circular cylinders  $x^2 + y^2 = x_1^2$ .

The Stäckel matrices for these respective forms are

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & -\frac{1}{x_1^2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

5. Types II. In discussing the types (3.22) we consider first the case where  $\sigma_{32}$  is a constant, which may be taken equal to zero in all generality and by suitable choice of coordinates we have

$$H_1^2 = 1$$
,  $H_2^2 = \varphi^2(x_1)$ ,  $H_3^2 = \varphi^2(x_1)\psi^2(x_2)$ .

For i = 1, j = 2, 3 in (3.25) we have  $\varphi = ax_1 + b$ . For i = 2, j = 3 we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} + a^2 \psi = 0.$$

If a=0, we have Types I. If  $a\neq 0$ , we may take  $a=1,\,b=0$  and obtain the case of polar coordinates

(II<sub>1</sub>) 
$$H_1^2 = 1$$
,  $H_2^2 = x_1^2$ ,  $H_3^2 = x_1^2 \sin^2 x_2$ .

If neither  $\sigma_{23}$  nor  $\sigma_{32}$  is constant, we may choose the coordinates so as to have

$$(5.1) H_1^2 = 1, H_2^2 = X_2\sigma_1(x_2 - x_3), H_3^2 = X_3\sigma_1(x_2 - x_3).$$

For i = 1, j = 2, 3 in (3.25) we have  $\sigma_1 = (ax_1 + b)^2$ . For i = 2, j = 3 we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad 2\left(\frac{1}{X_2}+\frac{1}{X_3}\right)+(x_2-x_3)\left[\left(\frac{1}{X_3}\right)'-\left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)'\right]-4a^2(x_2-x_3)^3=0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_2$ , we obtain

(5.3) 
$$\left(\frac{1}{\overline{X}_2}\right)' + \left(\frac{1}{\overline{X}_3}\right)' + (x_3 - x_2) \left(\frac{1}{\overline{X}_2}\right)'' - 12a^2(x_2 - x_3)^2 = 0.$$

Differentiating again with respect to  $x_2$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)^{\prime\prime\prime} = -24a^2,$$

and consequently

(5.4) 
$$\frac{1}{X_2} = -4a^2x_2^3 + cx_2^2 + dx_2 + e \equiv f(x_2).$$

Substituting this expression in (5.3) we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{X_3}\right)' = 12a^2x_3^2 - 2cx_3 - d,$$

and then from (5.2) we have  $\frac{1}{X_3} = -f(x_3)$ . There are two cases to be considered as a = 0 and  $a \neq 0$ . In the former case, as is seen on substituting the above expressions in (1.4) for n = 3, there is no loss in taking b = 1 and in the second case a = 1, b = 0. Hence we have the two forms

(5.5) 
$$H_1^2 = 1, \qquad H_2^2 = \frac{x_2 - x_3}{f(x_2)}, \qquad H_3^2 = \frac{x_3 - x_2}{f(x_3)},$$
$$f(x_i) = cx_i^2 + dx_i + e,$$

(5.6) 
$$H_1^2 = 1, \quad H_2^2 = \frac{x_1^2(x_2 - x_3)}{f(x_2)}, \quad H_3^2 = \frac{x_1^2(x_3 - x_2)}{f(x_3)},$$
$$f(x_i) = -4x_i^3 + cx_i^2 + dx_i + e.$$

If in (5.5) we assume that f(x) = 0 has two distinct roots, by a suitable choice of the coordinates, the form may be written  $f(x) = 4(x^2 - ax)$ , where a > 0, and  $x_2 > a > x_3 > 0$ ; then the expressions for  $H_2^2$  and  $H_3^2$  are positive. If we put

(5.7) 
$$x_2 - a/2 = \frac{1}{2}a \cosh 2\xi, \quad x_3 - a/2 = \frac{1}{2}a \cos 2\eta,$$

we have, on replacing a by  $a^2$ 

(II<sub>2</sub>) 
$$ds^2 = dx_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \left(\cosh 2\xi - \cos 2\eta\right) (d\xi^2 + d\eta^2),$$

in which case the coordinate transformation is

(5.8) 
$$x = x_1, \quad y = a \cosh \xi \cos \eta, \quad z = a \sinh \xi \sin \eta.$$

Hence the coordinate surfaces are the planes x = const. and the confocal cylinders

(5.9) 
$$\frac{y^2}{\cos^2 \eta} - \frac{z^2}{\sin^2 \eta} = a^2, \qquad \frac{y^2}{\cosh^2 \xi} + \frac{z^2}{\sinh^2 \xi} = a^2.$$

No real case exists for which the two roots of f(x) = 0 in (5.5) are equal, nor when f(x) is a constant. In case c = 0, we may take f(x) = 4x, and  $x_2 > 0 > x_3$ . If we put  $x_2 = \xi^2$ ,  $x_3 = -\eta^2$ , we have

(II<sub>3</sub>) 
$$ds^2 = dx_1^2 + (\xi^2 + \eta^2) (d\xi^2 + d\eta^2),$$

in which case the coordinate transformation is

$$x = x_1, y = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 - \eta^2), z = \xi \eta,$$

so that the coordinate surfaces are the planes x = const., and the confocal parabolic cylinders

$$(5.10) z^2 = \xi^2(\xi^2 - 2y), z^2 = \eta^2(2y + \eta^2).$$

If we write f(x) in (5.6) in the form 4(a-x) (b-x) (c-x) with a>b>c and put

$$\frac{a-b}{a-c}=k^2$$
,  $\frac{b-c}{a-c}=k'^2$ ,  $k^2+k'^2=1$ ,

and

$$x_2 = a + (b - a) \operatorname{sn}^2(\xi, k), \qquad x_3 = c + (b - c) \operatorname{sn}^2(\eta, k'),$$

where sn  $\theta$  is the elliptic function, the form (5.6) becomes

(II<sub>4</sub>) 
$$ds^2 = dx_1^2 + x_1^2 \left[ k^2 \operatorname{cn}^2(\xi, k) + k'^2 \operatorname{cn}^2(\eta, k') \right] (d\xi^2 + d\eta^2).$$

The coordinate transformation is

(5.11) 
$$x = x_1 \operatorname{dn}(\xi, k) \operatorname{sn}(\eta, k'), \quad y = x_1 \operatorname{sn}(\xi, k) \operatorname{dn}(\eta, k'),$$
  
 $z = x_1 \operatorname{en}(\xi, k) \operatorname{en}(\eta, k'),$ 

so that the coordinate surfaces are the spheres and cones with the equations

$$(5.12) x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = x_1^2, \frac{k^2 x^2}{\operatorname{dn}^2(\xi, k)} - \frac{y^2}{\operatorname{sn}^2(\xi, k)} + \frac{z^2}{\operatorname{cn}^2(\xi, k)} = 0,$$

$$\frac{x^2}{\operatorname{sn}^2(\eta, k')} - \frac{k'^2 y^2}{\operatorname{dn}^2(\eta, k')} - \frac{z^2}{\operatorname{cn}^2(\eta, k')} = 0.$$

It is readily shown that if two of the roots of f(x) = 0 are equal, or all are equal, there are no real solutions of the problem.

The Stäckel matrices for the forms (II<sub>1</sub>), (II<sub>2</sub>), (II<sub>3</sub>) and (II<sub>4</sub>) are respectively

## 6. **Types III.** We consider next

(6.1) 
$$H_1^2 = \sigma_1 + e\sigma_3, \quad H_2^2 = \sigma_1\sigma_3, \quad H_3^2 = \sigma_1 + e\sigma_3,$$

where e is +1 or -1, it being understood that  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  are positive.

Substituting in (3.25) for i = 1, j = 2, we have

(6.2) 
$$2\left(\sigma_1'' - \frac{{\sigma_1'}^2}{\sigma_1}\right) + e\sigma_3\left(2\frac{{\sigma_1''}}{\sigma_1} - \frac{{\sigma_1'}^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right) + e\frac{{\sigma_3'}^2}{\sigma_3} = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_3$ , we have

$$2\frac{\sigma_1''}{\sigma_1} - \frac{{\sigma_1''}^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \left(\frac{{\sigma_3'}^2}{\sigma_3}\right)'\frac{1}{\sigma_3'} = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$2\frac{{\sigma_1''}}{{\sigma_1}} - \frac{{\sigma_1''}^2}{{\sigma_1^2}} = c, \qquad \left(\frac{{\sigma_3''}^2}{{\sigma_3}}\right)' = -c{\sigma_3'},$$

where c is a constant. From the second we have

(6.3) 
$$\sigma_3^{\prime 2} = -c\sigma_3^2 + d\sigma_3,$$

where d is a constant. Then from (6.2) we have

(6.4) 
$$\sigma_1'^2 = c\sigma_1^2 + de\sigma_1.$$

These expressions satisfy (3.25) for i = 1, j = 3 and i = 2, j = 3, without imposing any conditions on c and d.

We consider first the case when c = 0, which is possible only when e = 1 and d positive, as we understand that the coordinates are real. Then we have

$$\sqrt{\sigma_i} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d} x_i + f_i.$$

By a suitable choice of coordinates we have

(III<sub>1</sub>) 
$$H_1^2 = H_3^2 = x_1^2 + x_3^2, \quad H_2^2 = x_1^2 x_3^2.$$

The transformation is

(6.5) 
$$x = x_1x_3 \cos x_2, \quad y = x_1x_3 \sin x_2, \quad z = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 - x_3^2),$$

so that the coordinate surfaces are the planes x/y = const. and

(6.6) 
$$x^2 + y^2 = x_1^2 (x_1^2 - 2z), \quad x^2 + y^2 = x_3^2 (x_3^2 + 2z).$$

When  $c \neq 0$ , there is no loss of generality in assuming it to be positive, and replacing it by  $4c^2$ . We consider first the case when e = 1, and replace  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  by  $\frac{\sigma_1 d}{4c^2}$  and  $\frac{\sigma_3 d}{4c^2}$ , noting from (6.3) that d is necessarily positive. Then the solution of (6.4) and (6.3) respectively is

$$\sigma_1 = \sinh^2(cx_1 + b), \qquad \sigma_3 = \sin^2(cx_3 + f).$$

By suitable choice of the coordinates we have

(III<sub>2</sub>) 
$$H_1^2 = H_3^2 = a^2(\sinh^2 x_1 + \sin^2 x_3), \qquad H_2^2 = a^2 \sinh^2 x_1 \sin^2 x_3.$$

The transformation from cartesian coordinates is

$$x = a \sinh x_1 \sin x_3 \cos x_2,$$
  $y = a \sinh x_1 \sin x_3 \sin x_2,$   
 $z = a \cosh x_1 \cos x_3.$ 

The coordinate surfaces are the planes  $y = x \tan x_2$  and the quadrics of revolution

$$\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sinh^2 x_1} + \frac{z^2}{\cosh^2 x_1} = a^2, \qquad \frac{z^2}{\cos^2 x_3} - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sin^2 x_3} = a^2.$$

When e = -1, we obtain in like manner

(III<sub>3</sub>) 
$$H_1^2 = H_3^2 = a^2 \left( \sinh^2 x_1 + \cos^2 x_3 \right), \quad H_2^2 = a^2 \cosh^2 x_1 \sin^2 x_3.$$

The coordinate transformation is

$$x = a \cosh x_1 \sin x_3 \cos x_2,$$
  $y = a \cosh x_1 \sin x_1 \sin x_2,$   
 $z = a \sinh x_1 \cos x_3,$ 

and the coordinate surfaces are the planes  $y = x \tan x_2$  and

$$\frac{x^2+y^2}{\cosh^2 x_1}+\frac{z^2}{\sinh^2 x_1}=a^2, \qquad \frac{x^2+y^2}{\sin^2 x_3}-\frac{z^2}{\cos^2 x_3}=a^2.$$

The Stäckel matrices for the above forms are respectively

$$egin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & rac{1}{x_1^2} & 1 \ 0 & -1 & 0 \ x_3^2 & rac{1}{x_3^2} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad egin{bmatrix} a^2 \sinh^2 x_1 & \operatorname{csch}^2 x_1 & 1 \ 0 & -1 & 0 \ a^2 \sin^2 x_3 & \operatorname{csc}^2 x_3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad egin{bmatrix} a^2 \cosh^2 x_1 & \operatorname{sech}^2 x_1 & -1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ -a^2 \sin^2 x_3 & -\operatorname{csc}^2 x_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

7. **Types IV.** We consider finally the type (3.24), that is

$$(7.1) H_i^2 = X_i(x_i - x_j) (x_i - x_k) (i, j, k \neq),$$

and we assume that  $x_1 > x_2 > x_3$ . When these expressions are substituted in (3.25) for i = 1, j = 2, we obtain

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{1}{X_3} + \frac{1}{(x_2 - x_1)^2} \left\{ (x_3 - x_2)^2 \left[ (x_1 - x_3) \left( \frac{1}{X_1} \right)' - \left( \frac{2(x_3 - x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{X_1} \right] + (x_3 - x_1)^2 \left[ (x_2 - x_3) \left( \frac{1}{X_2} \right)' - \left( \frac{2(x_3 - x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{X_2} \right] \right\} = 0.$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to  $x_2$ , we obtain a polynomial of the third degree in  $x_3$ . Each of its coefficients must vanish. Equating to zero the coefficient of  $x_3^3$ , we have

$$(7.3) (x_1 - x_2)^2 \left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)'' + 4(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)' + 6\frac{1}{X_2} + 2(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{1}{X_1}\right)' - 6\frac{1}{X_1} = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_2$  twice we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)^{IV} = 0,$$

and consequently

(7.4) 
$$\frac{1}{X_2} = a_0 x_2^3 + a_1 x_2^2 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 \equiv f(x_2).$$

Substituting in (7.3) we find  $\frac{1}{X_1} = f(x_1)$ , and from (7.2) we have  $\frac{1}{X_3} = f(x_3)$ . These expressions satisfy the three conditions (3.25).

When  $a_0 \neq 0$  in (7.4) and the roots of f(x) = 0 are distinct we write

$$f(x) = 4(\alpha - x) (\beta - x) (\gamma - x)$$

where  $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$ , and we have

(IV<sub>1</sub>) 
$$H_i^2 = \frac{(x_i - x_j) (x_i - x_k)}{f(x_i)} \qquad (i, j, k \neq).$$

This is the case of elliptic coordinates for which the transformation is

$$x^{2} = \frac{(\alpha - x_{1}) (\alpha - x_{2}) (\alpha - x_{3})}{(\alpha - \beta) (\alpha - \gamma)}, \qquad y^{2} = \frac{(\beta - x_{1}) (\beta - x_{2}) (\beta - x_{3})}{(\beta - \alpha) (\beta - \gamma)},$$
$$z^{2} = \frac{(\gamma - x_{1}) (\gamma - x_{2}) (\gamma - x_{3})}{(\gamma - \alpha) (\gamma - \beta)},$$

where  $\alpha > x_1 > \beta > x_2 > \gamma > x_3$ , the surfaces  $x_i = \text{const.}$ , being

$$\frac{x^2}{\alpha - x_i} + \frac{y^2}{\beta - x_i} + \frac{z^2}{\gamma - x_i} = 1.$$

It is readily shown that there is no possibility of a double or triple root of f(x) = 0 giving a real set of orthogonal surfaces for (7.1).

We consider next the case where  $a_0 = 0$  in (7.4), and write f(x) = 4(a-x) (b-x). In this case we have

(IV<sub>2</sub>) 
$$H_i^2 = \frac{(x_i - x_j)(x_i - x_k)}{f(x_i)}, \quad f(x_i) = 4(a - x_i)(b - x_i), \quad (i, j, k \neq),$$

and assume  $x_1 > b > x_2 > a > x_3$ . The transformation of coordinates is

(7.6) 
$$x = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - a - b}{2}, \qquad y^2 = \frac{(a - x_1)(a - x_2)(a - x_3)}{b - a},$$

$$z^2 = \frac{(b - x_1)(b - x_2)(b - x_3)}{a - b},$$

so that the coordinate surfaces are the confocal paraboloids

(7.7) 
$$\frac{y^2}{a-x_i} + \frac{z^2}{b-x_i} = x_i - 2x.$$

There is no real solution if the roots a, b are equal. Also there are no real solutions, when  $a_0 = a_1 = 0$ , and when  $a_2 = 0$  also.

The Stäckel functions  $\varphi_{ij}$  are in these cases

$$\varphi_{i1} = \frac{x_i^2}{f(x_i)}, \qquad \varphi_{i2} = \frac{1}{f(x_i)}, \qquad \varphi_{i3} = \frac{x_i}{f(x_i)}.$$

The orthogonal systems of coordinate surfaces which afford separation of variables constitute the set of all real systems of confocal quadrics including the cases where one or more families of the systems consists of planes. Hence we have:

A necessary and sufficient condition that a triply orthogonal system of surfaces in euclidean 3-space be a coordinate system in terms of which the fundamental quadratic form of the space is such that the variables are separated in the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the Laplace equation is that they be any system of confocal quadrics, including the cases when one or more families of the system consists of planes.

Also as a result of the preceding investigation we have:

Equations (1.9) and (1.10) constitute a necessary and sufficient condition that the coordinate surfaces of a triply orthogonal system in euclidean 3-space be confocal quadrics, including the cases when one or more families of the system consists of planes.

8. Euclidean spaces of higher order. When n > 3, we may analyze the various types as in the former discussion. We consider first the case when by a choice of  $x_1$  we have  $H_1 = 1$ . In this case as follows from (3.9) we have without loss of generality,  $\sigma_{i1} = 0$  for i > 1. From (3.9) and (3.20) for i = 2, i = 1, k > 2 it follows that

$$H_{j}^{2}=X_{j}\,\sigma_{1j}\prod_{k}\,\left(\sigma_{jk}+\sigma_{kj}\right)\qquad \qquad (j,\,k\,=\,2,\,\cdots\,,\,n;\,j\neq\,k),$$

where  $\sigma_{1j}$  is a function of  $x_1$  at most. From (3.25) for i=1, j>1 it follows that  $\sigma_{1j} = (a_i x_1 + b_j)^2$ , where  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  are constants. For the case when all the a's are equal and all the b's, by a suitable choice of  $x_1$  we have either  $\sigma_{1i} = x_1^2$  or  $\sigma_{1i} = c^2$ , where c is a constant. If we substitute the above expressions in (3.2) for i, j > 1, we find that the hypersurfaces are of constant curvature  $1/x_1^2$  in the first case and euclidean in the second. Furthermore, since  $H_1 = 1$ , the spaces  $x_1 = \text{const.}$  are geodesically parallel. Consequently in the first case we have concentric hyperspheres and in the second case parallel hyperplanes. When  $x_1 = \text{const.}$  are concentric hyperspheres, any other system of hypersurfaces consists of a pencil of planes whose axis passes through the common center of the hyperspheres or of quadric hypercones with vertices at the common center. The character of these other hypersurfaces depends upon the possible forms of  $H_2^2 dx_2^2 + \cdots + H_n^2 dx_n^2$ ; in §9 we classify the Stäckel types for a  $V_3$  of constant positive curvature. When the hyperplanes  $x_1 = \text{const.}$  are parallel, any other system of hypersurfaces consists of hyperplanes orthogonal to the former or of coaxial quadric cylinders; the situation for n=4 is readily obtained by giving to  $H_2^2$   $dx_2^2 + \cdots + H_4^2$   $dx_4^2$  the various forms in §§4-7. These types for which  $H_1 = 1$  are generalizations of those discussed in §§4, 5.

Generalizations of type III arise when we take  $\sigma_{1j} = 0$  (j > 1) and none of  $\sigma_{j1}$  is constant. In this case as follows from (3.19)

$$H_1^2 = \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n, \qquad H_j^2 = \prod_k (a_{jk} \sigma_j + a_{kj} \sigma_k)$$

$$(j, k = 2, \cdots, n; j \neq k).$$

From the equation obtained by equating to zero the right-hand member of (3.2) for  $i, j = 2, \dots, n$  we obtain a result which shows by means of (5.2) that the hypersurfaces  $x_1 = \text{const.}$  are hyperplanes. Since  $x_1$  does not appear in the H's, the sections by all these hyperplanes of each hypersurface  $x_j = \text{const.}$  are the same. Analogously to types III these hyperplanes form a pencil and the hypersurfaces  $x_j = \text{const.}$  are generalized spaces of revolution.

When i, j in (3.14) take the values  $1, \dots, n, \sigma_i = x_i$ , and

$$\frac{1}{X_i}=4(a_1-x_i)\cdots(a_n-x_i),$$

we have the general elliptic coordinates in euclidean *n*-space discussed by Jacobi.<sup>11</sup> If  $\tilde{x}_i$  are cartesian coordinates, the transformation is

$$\bar{x}_i^2 = \frac{\prod_j (a_i - x_j)}{\prod_{j (\neq i)} (a_i - a_j)}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Vorlesungen über Dynamik, Berlin 1866, pp. 198-205.

9. Stäckel systems in 3-space of constant curvature  $1/c^2$ . If the curvature of  $V_3$  is  $1/c^2$ , we have in place of (3.25)

$$(9.1) \qquad \frac{1}{H_{i}^{2}} \left( 2 \frac{\partial^{2} \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \log \frac{H_{i}^{2}}{H_{j}^{2}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{H_{i}^{2}} \left( 2 \frac{\partial^{2} \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \log \frac{H_{i}^{2}}{H_{i}^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{H_{k}^{2}} \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \log H_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{k}} = -\frac{4}{c^{2}}.$$

If we write (3.21) in the form

$$H_1^2 = c^2$$
,  $H_2^2 = c^2 \varphi_1^2$ ,  $H_3^2 = c^2 \psi_1^2$ ,

we have from (9.1) for i = 1, j = 2 and i = 1, j = 3 that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x_1^2} + \theta = 0,$$

and from (9.1) for i = 2, j = 3 we have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_1} = -\varphi_1 \psi_1.$$

Accordingly by a suitable choice of  $x_1$  we have

(I) 
$$ds^2 = c^2(dx_1^2 + \sin^2 x_1 dx_2^2 + \cos^2 x_1 dx_3^2).$$

We consider next the case

$$H_1^2 = c^2$$
,  $H_2^2 = c^2 \varphi^2(x_1)$ ,  $H_3^2 = c^2 \varphi^2(x_1) \psi^2(x_2)$ .

From (9.1) for i = 1, j = 2 and 3 we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_1^2} + \varphi = 0,$$

and hence

$$\varphi = a \sin (x_1 + b).$$

From (9.1) for i = 2, j = 3 we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} = -a^2 \psi$$

and consequently

$$\psi = d \sin (ax_2 + e).$$

By a suitable choice of coordinates we have

(II) 
$$ds^2 = c^2 \left[ dx_1^2 + \sin^2 x_1 \left( dx_2^2 + \sin^2 x_2 dx_3^2 \right) \right].$$

For the case

$$H_1^2 = c^2$$
,  $H_2^2 = c^2 X_2 \sigma_1^2 (x_2 - x_3)$ ,  $H_3^2 = c^2 X_3 \sigma_1^2 (x_2 - x_3)$ ,

we find that for i = 1, j = 2, 3, we have

$$\sigma_1 = a \sin (x_1 + b).$$

By a change of  $x_1$  and replacing  $X_2$  by  $X_2/a^2$  we have

$$H_1^2 = c^2$$
,  $H_2^2 = c^2 X_2 \sin^2 x_1 (x_2 - x_3)$ ,  $H_3^2 = c^2 X_3 \sin^2 x_1 (x_2 - x_3)$ .

Then for i = 2, j = 3, we get (5.2) with a = 1. Hence we have

(III) 
$$ds^2 = c^2 \left\{ dx_1^2 + \sin^2 x_1 \left[ k^2 \operatorname{cn}^2 (x_2, k) + k'^2 \operatorname{cn}^2 (x_3, k') \right] \left( dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 \right) \right\}.$$

For the case

$$H_1^2 = c^2(\sigma_1 + e\sigma_3), \qquad H_2^2 = c^2\sigma_1\sigma_3, \qquad H_3^2 = c^2(\sigma_1 + e\sigma_3),$$

where e is +1 or -1, we have from (9.1) for i = 1, j = 2

$$(9.2) \quad 2\left(\sigma_1'' - \frac{{\sigma_1'}^2}{\sigma_1}\right) + e\sigma_3\left(2\frac{\sigma_1''}{\sigma_1} - \frac{{\sigma_1'}^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right) + e\frac{{\sigma_3'}^2}{\sigma_3} = -4\left(\sigma_1 + e\sigma_3\right)^2.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_3$ , we obtain

$$2\frac{\sigma_1''}{\sigma_1} - \frac{{\sigma_1'}^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \left(\frac{{\sigma_3'}^2}{\sigma_3}\right)' \frac{1}{\sigma_3'} = -8 (\sigma_1 + e\sigma_3).$$

Hence we have

$$2\frac{\sigma_1''}{\sigma_1} - \frac{{\sigma_1'}^2}{\sigma_1^2} + 8\sigma_1 = 4d, \qquad \left(\frac{{\sigma_3'}^2}{\sigma_3}\right)'\frac{1}{\sigma_3'} + 8e\sigma_3 = -4d,$$

where d is a constant, from which and (9.2) we have

$$\sigma_1^{\prime 2} = 4\sigma_1(f + d\sigma_1 - \sigma_1^2), \qquad \sigma_3^{\prime 2} = 4\sigma_3(ef - d\sigma_3 - e\sigma_3^2).$$

These expressions satisfy (9.1) for i = 1, j = 3 and i = 2, j = 3.

For e = 1, we have, on putting

$$f = a^2 b^2$$
,  $d = b^2 - a^2$ ,  $k = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$ ,  $k' = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = b^2 \operatorname{cn}^2 (\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} x_1, k)$ ,  $\sigma_3 = a^2 \operatorname{cn}^2 (\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} x_3, k')$ .

A real solution does not exist when e=-1. Hence we have by a proper choice of  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ 

(IV) 
$$H_1^2 = H_3^2 = c^2 [k^2 \operatorname{cn}^2(x_1, k) + k'^2 \operatorname{cn}^2(x_3, k')],$$

$$H_2^2 = c^2 a^2 b^2 \operatorname{cn}^2(x_1, k) \operatorname{cn}^2(x_3, k').$$

For the case

$$H_1^2 = c^2 X_1 (x_1 - x_2) (x_1 - x_3),$$
  $H_2^2 = c^2 X_2 (x_2 - x_1) (x_2 - x_3),$   $H_3^2 = c^2 X_3 (x_3 - x_1) (x_3 - x_2),$ 

equation (9.1) for i = 1, j = 2 becomes

$$\frac{1}{X_3} + \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)^2} \left\{ (x_3 - x_2)^2 \left[ (x_1 - x_3) \left( \frac{1}{X_1} \right)' - \left( \frac{2(x_3 - x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{X_1} \right] \right. \\
+ (x_3 - x_1)^2 \left[ (x_2 - x_3) \left( \frac{1}{X_2} \right)' - \left( \frac{2(x_3 - x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{X_2} \right] \right\} \\
+ 4(x_3 - x_1)^2 (x_3 - x_2)^2 = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x_2$ , we obtain a polynomial of the third degree in  $x_3$ . Each of its coefficients must vanish. Equating to zero the coefficient of  $x_3^3$ , we have

$$(9.4) \quad (x_1 - x_2)^2 \left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)'' + 4(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)' + 6\frac{1}{X_2} + 2(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{1}{X_1}\right)' - 6\frac{1}{X_1} + 8(x_1 - x_2)^4 = 0.$$

Differentiating twice with respect to  $x_2$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{X_2}\right)^{IV} + 96 = 0,$$

are consequently

$$\frac{1}{X_2} = -4x_2^4 + a_1x_2^3 + a_2x_2^2 + a_3x_2 + a_4 \equiv f(x_2).$$

Thus from (9.4) we have  $1/X_1 = f(x_1)$  and from (9.3)  $1/X_3 = f(x_3)$ . Hence we have finally

(V) 
$$H_{i}^{2} = \frac{c^{2}(x_{i} - x_{j})(x_{i} - x_{k})}{f(x_{i})} \qquad (i, j, k \neq),$$
$$f(x_{i}) = -4x_{i}^{4} + a_{1}x_{i}^{3} + a_{2}x_{i}^{2} + a_{3}x_{i} + a_{4}.$$

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY.