A new brush-finch in the Atlapetes latinuchus complex from the Yariguíes Mountains and adjacent Eastern Andes of Colombia

by Thomas M. Donegan & Blanca Huertas

Received 28 May 2005; final revision received 13 February 2006

The brush-finches Atlapetes are Neotropical passerines which achieve greatest diversity in the Andes and whose taxonomy and ecology have received much recent attention. Atlapetes shows a high degree of geographical variation, with various forms restricted to particular elevations, mountains or slopes, but few cases of true sympatry. The group is therefore ideally suited to studies of the patterns and mechanisms of speciation (Remsen & Graves 1995a, García-Moreno & Fjeldså 1999). Even recently, localised taxa have been described, both at species and subspecies level (e.g. Fitzpatrick 1980, Remsen 1993, Valqui & Fjeldså 1999), and a species thought possibly extinct was rediscovered (Agreda et al. 1999).

Serranía de los Yariguíes and the Eastern Cordillera

The Eastern Cordillera (Eastern Andes) is one of Colombia's three principal mountain ranges, extending from dpto. Cauca (01°N), to the Serranía de Perijá, on the Caribbean coast (11°N). It is characterised by a varied habitats, with slopes bordering Amazonia, the llanos and Magdalena Valley, humid and dry regions, plateaux, steep slopes and wetlands. The cordillera's cool climate and highelevation savannas have long been subject to human development. It is also one of the world's major centres of avian endemism, the Colombian East Andes Endemic Bird Area (Stattersfield et al. 1998).

The Serranía de los Yariguíes (dpto. Santander) is an isolated western spur of the East Andes, rising to c.3,400 m and isolated from the rest of the cordillera to the north and east by the Sogamoso Valley, and to a lesser extent to the south by depressions associated with the ríos Horta, Quirola and Opón and their tributaries. A collection of 60 bird species was made below 1,000 m near San Vicente de Chucurí in November 1956 (Borrero & Hernández 1957), and a few specimens were taken elsewhere on the massif, generally on the drier eastern slope (e.g. Romero 1983), but the humid western slope and highest elevations were very poorly known ornithologically prior to our field work (for general results see Donegan & Briceño 2005, Donegan & Huertas 2005, Donegan & Avendaño 2006, Huertas & Donegan 2006). Amongst the birds we recorded was one which initially appeared to be of the 'Northern Rufous-naped Brush-finch' or 'Yellow-breasted Brush-finch' complex, A. latinuchus.

Paynter (1978) specifically commented on the absence of records of A. latinuchus (then classified as A. rufinucha) from the central part of the Eastern Cordillera, noting 'While it is present in the Sierra de Perijá, a northward extension of the Eastern Andes on the Venezuela–Colombia border, it has not been found in the Eastern Andes except for a few dubious records from Bogotá (*A. r. simplex*, known only from native Bogotá specimens)'. The range of *A. l. simplex* was subsequently thought to comprise the central eastern plateaux and perhaps the east slope of the Eastern Andes between Lago de Fuquene (dpto. Cundinamarca) and Soatá (dpto. Boyacá) (Borrero & Olivares 1955, Hilty & Brown 1986, Ridgely & Tudor 1989). However, such records and reported 'variations' in the plumage of *A. l. simplex* derive from immatures and subadults in collections, a failure to compare types and new material, and the existence of two taxa from different regions.

Methods

The Colombian EBA (Evaluation of Biodiversity in the Andes) Project is an ongoing research and conservation initiative, supported by Fundación ProAves, that has conducted Rapid Biodiversity Assessments of remote and/or unstudied sites since the mid 1990s.

We studied ten primary-forest sites on both slopes of the Serranía de los Yariguíes at elevations of 100–3,200 m between January 2003 and January 2006. Each site was subject to 4–6 days' field work using mist-netting (up to 220 m of mist-nets) and non-systematic observations including sound-recording and playback. On 4–8 January 2004, we visited a remote site, at 2,400–2,450 m, on the west slope, accessed from Cantagallos, in San Vicente de Chucurí municipality, to Finca Santo Domingo (2,250 m), from where we reopened a disused trail to the ridge at 2,450 m.

On 6 January 2004, together with Elkin Briceño, we trapped an *Atlapetes* which showed features of the *A. latinuchus* complex, e.g. a rufous cap, black mask, yellow underparts and an indistinct moustachial (Fig. 1A–B), but differed from all other populations in its jet black mantle, tail and wings, and from some taxa in the group by the lack of a white speculum. *A. latinuchus* is unknown from the north-central Eastern Cordillera (Hilty & Brown 1986), with the distinctive form *A. l. nigrifrons* to the north and paler-backed *A. l. simplex* postulated to occur further south in the cordillera. Given this, we considered it probable that the bird represented an undescribed taxon. Due to poor weather conditions for photography, we retained the bird overnight for further study but, unusually for an *Atlapetes*, it died overnight. The bird was prepared in the field as a flat skin.

Comparison of our photographs and wing- and tail-feathers from the bird with a 'Bogotá' *A. l. simplex* in the Natural History Museum (NHM; Tring) revealed various differences between them, with *A. l. simplex* having a grey/brown back, paler red crown, small white speculum and larger yellow supraloral spot (Fig. 1C). Further research revealed additional specimens of the black-backed form from the Virolín area, dpto. Santander, on the west slope of the main Eastern Cordillera, *c.*60 km south-east of Serranía de los Yariguíes. On 8 January 2005, at Lepipuerto, on the upper río Chimera, El Carmen/Simacota municipality (06°28'N, 73°28'W; 2,900 m), TD and Martin Donegan observed and sound-recorded a pair foraging in

Thomas M. Donegan & Blanca Huertas





Figures 1A-B. Holotype of A. l. yariguierum (Blanca Huertas/EBA Project)



96

Figure 1C. A. l. simplex (=A. l. spodionotus) neotype (Thomas Donegan, © Natural History Museum, Tring). Note difference in contrast between upperparts and mask colour compared to the holotype, the very small but visible white speculum and relatively strong malar, each consistent with A. l. spodionotus.

Thomas M. Donegan & Blanca Huertas

páramo. Further observations and the capture of another bird (from which a blood sample was obtained) were made in July 2005, during 'Proyecto YARE', by ourselves and Jorge Avendaño in páramo at Filo Pamplona, Galán municipality (06°38'N, 73°24'W; 3,200 m), on the east slope of the massif.

97

Type material of A. l. simplex

Project Biomap provided data from all museums holding Colombian specimens. We also searched an online database (www.biologie.uni-ulm.de) of all major German museums, but were unable to locate the two *A. l. simplex* specimens mentioned in the type description (Berlepsch 1888). All remaining specimens from the Berlepsch collection are now held in Frankfurt, except a few specimens in Warsaw (F. Steinheimer *in litt.* 2005), neither of which institutions possess any *A. l. simplex*



Figure 1D. Close-up of mantles and crown of (left to right) adult *A. l. simplex* neotype ('Bogotá', Colombia = *A. l. spodionotus*), subadult *A. l. elaeoprorus* (Central Andes, Antioquia, Colombia), adult *A. l. comptus* (southern Ecuador and northern Peru), and adult *A. l. spodionotus* (Ecuador and southern Colombia). (Thomas Donegan, © Natural History Museum, Tring). Note contrast between the grey upperparts and black face on *A. l. simplex* compared with much darker *A. l. elaeoprorus*, and the paler crown of *A. l. simplex* compared to *A. l. elaeoprorus*. *A. l. yariguierum* averages blacker than *A. l. elaeoprorus* with essentially no contrast between the mask and upperparts in adults. Note also similarity between '*A. l. simplex*' and *A. l. spodionotus*. NB: adult male *A. l. elaeoprorus* has essentially black upperparts (as in tail of the subadult), not black admixed olive.

(G. Mayr *in litt*. 2005, N. Krabbe *in litt*. 2006). It seems probable that the *A. l. simplex* types were either destroyed or lost during World War II (G. Mayr *in litt*. 2005). The *A. l. simplex* at NHM is a male, apparently adult, originally from the Berlepsch collection. It too is dated 1888, and the label is annotated 'agrees with types' in Berlepsch's hand. The plumage, particularly the trace of a small white speculum, is consistent with Berlepsch's (1888) brief description (although some apparent adult/immature variation is noted, with the adult somewhat darker). This specimen, like the types, is labelled 'Bogotá'. Thus, we assume this specimen to be typical of the form described by Berlepsch as *A. l. simplex*, and propose to treat it as a neotype of *A. l. simplex*.

98

A. l. simplex is a junior synonym of A. l. spodionotus

The A. l. simplex neotype is indistinguishable from A. l. spodionotus of the main Andean range in southern Colombia and northern Ecuador. 'Bogotá' trade skins originated from as far away as Panama or Ecuador and several taxa (including the recently described Bogotá Sunangel Heliangelus zusii) are known only from such material (see Graves 1993). A. l. simplex was described with, and appeared in the same consignment as, the antibrid taxon Myrmeciza longipes boucardi, a form now known to be restricted the upper Magdalena Valley of Colombia, from dpto. Tolima to the headwaters at 1,700 m (Hilty & Brown 1986). It seems feasible that A. l. simplex skins may originate from slightly further south, where A. l. spodionotus is present. Other taxa until recently known in Colombia only or principally from Bogotá skins, e.g. Band-bellied Owl Pulsatrix melanota, Black-streaked Puffbird Malacoptila fulvogularis and Red-billed Parrot Pionus sordidus, have recently been found there (Salaman et al. 2002). The case for A. l. simplex being distinct from A. l. spodionotus was not made by Berlepsch (1888), who focused on the differences from A. l. elaeoprorus of Antioquia. It seems that A. l. simplex is better treated as a junior synonym of A. l. spodionotus (Sclater & Salvin 1879).

Description

With the status of *A. l. simplex* resolved, questions concerning the dark-backed populations of *A. latinuchus* in the Serranía de los Yariguíes and adjacent slope of the Eastern Cordillera is clarified, and some supposed 'variations' in plumage of birds from this region can be discarded. This Eastern Cordillera population differs from all other described *Atlapetes* taxa. We propose to name it:

Atlapetes latinuchus yariguierum subsp. nov. Yariguíes Brush-finch Gorrión-montés de los Yariguíes

Holotype Adult male, no. ICN-34016 of the ornithological collection of the Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia (ICN), Bogotá, Colombia (Fig. 1a). Prepared by TD, on 7 January 2004, at Alto Cantagallos,

Serranía de los Yariguíes, San Vicente de Chucurí municipality, dpto. Santander, Colombia (06°49'N, 73°22'W). Study specimen produced from flat skin by F. G. Stiles. The locality is at 2,400 m on the west slope of the Yariguíes massif, Eastern Andes, in lower montane cloud forest. Tissue samples and stomach contents are held at the Instituto Alexander von Humboldt's molecular laboratory, Cali, Colombia.

Paratypes and other material We examined specimens of all A. latinuchus taxa (except A. l. chugurensis) in the following institutions: ICN (Bogotá), NHM (Tring), University Museum of Zoology, Cambridge, UK (UMZC), Instituto Alexander von Humboldt, Villa de Leyva, Colombia (IAVH), Museo de la Universidad de la Salle, Bogotá, Colombia (MLS), Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia (UIS) and Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France (MNHN). Digital photographs of all A. latinuchus specimens in the Colección Ornitológica Phelps, Caracas, Venezuela (COP) and some in the Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, USA (FMNH) were also inspected (see Appendix 1). We assign the following paratypes:

Adult sex unknown, no. UIS 1412, collected by V. H. Serrano, on 23 August 2001, at Santa Helena (06°00'N, 73°09'W), between Charalá and Duitama, Boyacá, at 2,400 m, on the west slope of the Eastern Andes. Subadult female, no. ICN-25111, prepared 28 November 1978 (collector unknown), from Charalá, dpto. Santander (*c*.06°05'N, 73°12'W) on the west slope of the Eastern Andes. Subadult (probably female), DNA sample and photographs taken on 12 July 2005 by TMD & J. Avendaño, at Filo Pamplona, Serranía de los Yariguíes (06°38'N, 73°24'W; 3,200 m); DNA sample deposited at UIS.

The following juveniles also appear to be of the new taxon but are not assigned as paratypes: juvenile male, no. ICN-3199, collected by A. Olivares, on 7 January 1953, at Soatá, Alto de Onzaga, Boyacá, (c.06°35'N, 72°07'W) on the east slope of the East Andes (juvenile plumages are poorly known, making this is a tentative identification); juvenile of unknown sex, no. ICN-10322, collected by A. Olivares & P. Bernal, on 9 July 1961, in Vereda Ruparita, 2 km east of Arcabuco, Boyacá, (c.05°73'N, 73°45'W) on the west slope of the East Andes.

The following specimens, of which we have seen digital photographs, are also apparently of the new taxon but are not assigned as paratypes: subadult male, no. FMNH-220606, collected by Kjell von Sneidern, in 1950, above Chiquinqirá, Boyacá ($c.05^{\circ}37^{\circ}N$, $73^{\circ}50^{\circ}W$) on the east slope of the East Andes; juvenile. no. FMNH-220607, taken by the same collectors, from the same locality, on the same date as ICN-10322.

Diagnosis A typical *Atlapetes* with various characteristics of the *A. latinuchus* complex, including dark upperparts and yellow underparts, a rufous crown, (concealed) white speculum, black mask and indistinct moustachial (Hilty & Brown 1986), but differing from others within the *A. rufinucha* complex by its sharply demarcated rufous cap (at least in adults), yellow malar, slight melanism on the

flanks, and white speculum (García-Moreno & Fjeldså 1999). Analysis of molecular data shows it to be related to A. l. elaeoprorus and A. l. spodionotus (see below).

A. l. yariguierum differs from all forms of A. latinuchus in its uniform jet black mantle, tail, and wings (with no olivaceous or greyish tones, except in juveniles, or white speculum), and virtually no contrast between the black mask and upperparts (though some adult A. l. elaeoprorus approach this). A 'rather greyish back' was considered diagnostic of the A. latinuchus group by García-Moreno & Fjeldså (1999). Adult A. l. yariguierum is notably darker rufous on the crown than other A. latinuchus taxa (some adult A. l. elaeoprorus approach it), and is further distinguished by the lack of a visible speculum, obvious supraloral spot, dark forehead markings, strong malar or white/cream on the nape. Similarities between A. l. elaeoprorus and A. l. yariguierum perhaps indicate previous contact between taxa of the northern Central and Eastern Cordilleras, also evidenced amongst subspecies of Grallaricula nana, Phaethornis syrmatophorus and Basileuterus



Figure 2. From left to right (youngest to oldest): juvenile apparent A. l. yariguierum (Soatá, Boyacá, east slope of East Andes); juvenile A. l. yariguierum (Arcabuco, Boyacá, west slope of East Andes); subadult paratype A. l. yariguierum (Virolín, Santander, Colombia, west slope of East Andes), and adult holotype A. l. yariguierum (Serranía de los Yariguíes, Santander, Colombia) at ICN-UN (Thomas Donegan). Note black tails of all birds. Ages ascribed on basis of plumage as no skull ossification data on specimen labels (except holotype).

tristriatus (TMD unpubl.). However, A. l. elaeoprorus always has a large white speculum and large yellow supraloral (unlike the almost indiscernible short line of yellow feathers below the rufous cap in A. l. yariguierum). Juvenile A. l. elaeoprorus has the back tinged dark greenish, whereas in A. l. yariguierum it is tinged dark brownish.

- A. l. yariguierum differs from A. l. spodionotus in its combination of black mantle and tail, deeper rufous crown and reduced yellow feathering at the bill base. A report of the supraloral being variable in A. l. simplex (Paynter 1978) appears based on differences between the types and recent Eastern Cordillera material. Some A. l. spodionotus (including the A. l. simplex in Tring) show traces of a visible speculum in the folded wing. In all A. l. variguierum observed in the field or museum, the speculum is invisible on the folded wing, though this feature could be visible, especially when moulting. In A. l. spodionotus, where the speculum extends almost to the greater coverts, it is visible in some individuals and not in others (Paynter 1978).
- A. l. yariguierum is separable from the A. schistaceus group by its yellow underparts and lack of strong moustachial or supraloral; from A. albofrenatus taxa by the absence of a well-defined moustachial and its black (not olive-green) mantle; from A. l. nigrifrons and A. melanocephalus by the lack of a black upper throat or forehead, pale moustachial or grey lores, and from the latter by its rufous cap; and from A. pallidinucha taxa in its uniform dark rufous crown and nape in adults. Morphometrics of these taxa are presented in Appendix 3.

Description of holotype Capitalised colour nomenclature and numbers from Munsell Color (1977) and Munsell Color (2000). Adult male with skull 100% ossified (mass 22.6 g). Testes 8 mm × 5 mm (apparently somewhat enlarged with small cloacal protuberance in life) and little subcutaneous fat. Stomach contents included various small pieces of Coleoptera exoskeleton. Twelve rectrices, nine primaries, six secondaries and three tertials, typical of the Emberizinae. Flightfeathers fresh, with rectrices full (except fifth rectrix from left, 95% emerged), suggesting recent completion of moult. Emarginated pp6–9 (slight emargination on p5), with rounded wing point pp4–6 and primary notches absent (following Proctor & Lynch 1993). Max. flattened wing (following Svensson 1992) 77 mm, tail 80 mm, tarsus 25 mm, culmen to skull 16 mm. Crown dark rufous (closest to P, 10R: 4/8); facial mask jet black; mantle, wing-coverts, alula, flight-feathers, rump and rectrices closest to black (Gley 1: 2.5/2.5 but darker; cf. greyish brown, closest to 10YR 3/1 or 2/2 of 'A. l. simplex' and A. l. spodionotus), with virtually no contrast between mask and back. Very narrow line of six short Yellow (5Y 8/12) feathers below rufous crown, at base of bill. Throat, malar, breast and belly uniform Yellow (5Y 8/12), becoming slightly yellower on belly and washed slightly darker on flanks. Indistinct darkish moustachial extends c.16 mm from bill. Underside of carpal Yellow (5Y 8/12) with small blackish spots. Base of pp4–6 concealed under greater coverts frontally White (Gley 1 8/1). Each except outermost primary and innermost

five secondaries distally near White (Gley 1 8/1). Bare parts: mandible uniform black; legs horn, with feet soles yellowish grey; iris dark rufous.

Variation in the series Plumage and biometrics of the adult paratype essentially very similar to those of the holotype. The subadult paratype at UIS has a slightly paler rufous cap (c.2.5YR 4/8, but still darker than adult *A. l. simplex/spodionotus*),



Figure 3. Map showing sites at which A. l. yariguierum has been reported (blue squares; unfilled squares = unconfirmed records). All A. l. yariguierum records post-date Paynter (1972). Also shown are known ranges of A. l. elaeoprorus (unfilled red circles); A. l. caucae (purple-filled circles); and A. l. spodionotus (unfilled pink ellipses) in Colombia, based on specimens, published literature, data from Project Biomap and additional sight records reported to the authors. Apparent gaps in distribution are partly due to observational lacunae, sometimes exacerbated by deforestation, and partly due to ranges of congeneric ecological competitors (see Remsen & Graves 1995). The southernmost record of A. l. elaeoprorus in the West Andes and the northernmost, from the Serranía de San Lucas, are from data supplied by Project Biomap. Both require confirmation. Observations from the East Andes in Cauca are of birds with a strong white speculum (J. Idrobo in litt. 2005) and therefore appear more likely to be of A. l. caucae than A. l. spodionotus. Of this group, A. l. yariguierum emerges as the taxon known from the largest number of localities in Colombia.






Figure 4. Vocalisations of A. l. yariguierum recorded at Lepipuerto, Serranía de los Yariguíes (top left and middle). Sonograms of A. l. yariguierum produced by Richard Ranft (British Library) using Avisoft Pro software from recordings by TMD. In each case, A. l. yariguierum vocalisations are presented together with the most similar recordings of A. l. spodionotus from Yanacocha, Pichincha, Ecuador (00°08'S, 78°35'W). Sonograms for A. l. spodionotus were produced by TMD using Raven Lite software, from recordings by J. V. Moore in Krabbe et al. (2001).

both black and brownish (particularly at the base of the rump) mantle feathers, but the tail- and flight-feathers and most of the upperparts similar to adults. The demarcation between the rufous crown and dark back is less sharp in non-adults of *A. l. yariguierum*, a feature of other *A. latinuchus* taxa (birds in Vellinga *et al.* 2004 are probably an example of this). ICN-3199 is similar to the subadult paratype, but has more brownish and fewer black feathers, a paler crown and less obvious demarcation between the crown and upperparts. It has a very pale rufous nape (approaching *A. pallidinucha*) and more brown and fewer black feathers in the upperparts. The juvenile at FMNH has a darker crown and nape but similar upperparts. All specimens in the series have a jet black tail (as does *A. l. elaeoprorus* at all ages). The ICN series appears in Fig. 2. We cannot eliminate the possibility that *A. l. yariguierum* may involve two taxa or clinal variation: it seems feasible that those from Yariguíes are on average slightly darker overall with less yellow on the supraloral than birds from the main cordillera. The pale-naped bird from the east slope in Soatá also requires further investigation.

Vocalisations We sound-recorded a pair observed at Lepipuerto (Fig. 4). The call is typical of the genus: a short series of high-pitched, rising and falling whistles at c.3–5 kHz: wiu-wiu, wiu-weeu-weeu, wee-weeu-weeu and wee-wiu-weeu-weeu. TD also once heard a 'stuttering' finch song, probably A. l. yariguierum and similar to A. latinuchus in Ecuador, but this was not sound-recorded. Recordings are deposited at the British Library (no. 142861), London.

Published sound-recordings of A. l. spodionotus from Ecuador suggest that A. latinuchus taxa, like other oscines, possess a wide repertoire. Small possible differences between A. l. spodionotus and A. l. yariguierum are: A. l. yariguierum calls appear simpler and include notes that increase and decrease in pitch more uniformly (straight line on the sonogram), and which decrease in pitch more slowly, than in A. l. spodionotus. With just two recordings of A. l. yariguierum, it is impossible to know whether calls are significantly different from A. l. spodionotus, which has very varied calls (Krabbe et al. 2001). Vocalisations are poorly known for Colombian Atlapetes, begging additional research which may have taxonomic implications.

Distribution It is probable that *A. l. yariguierum* is restricted to high elevations in the Serranía de los Yariguíes and adjacent Eastern Andes (Fig. 3). We are aware of the following records: Galilea, Reserva Biológica Chachalú (06°05'N, 73°09'W; 2,350 m, the paratype locality), trapped 30 October 2003 (weight 30 g) and 27 November de 2003 (weight 28.7 g), with three sight records (D. A. Rincón G.). Rogitama, dpto. Boyacá (*c*.05°47'N, 73°31'W), south-west of Chachalú, where frequently observed and an adult photographed (R. Chavarra C.). Soatá, Boyacá (see Paratypes), in oak forest at *c*.2,900–3,100 m (O. Cortés), El Talisman, Serranía de los Yariguíes (*c*.06°51'N, 73°22'W) photographed in February 2006 at 2,050 m (M. Sharp & C. Turner).

The following records also probably involve *A. l. yariguierum* but require confirmation: Finca El Diviso, dpto. Santander (07°08'N, 73°02'W; 1,850m), on 30 December 2004 (D. A. Rincón G.); Mesa de los Otero, Curití municipality, dpto. Santander (06°37'N, 73°00'W, 2,100–2,250 m), on 19 November 2004 (J. Parra B.); Santuario de Fauna y Flora Iguaque, dpto. Boyacá (05°40'N, 73°27'W, 3,045–3,065 m) (C. D. Cadena & J. E. Zuluaga); and Sutamarchan, Serranía de Merchan, dpto. Boyacá (*c*.05°37'N, 73°38'W), in April 2003 (M. Á. Echeverry).

Overall, a pattern of fairly continuous distribution within humid forests of the central Eastern Andes, principally on the west slope but also apparently on the east side, emerges. To date, *A. l. yariguierum* has been recorded at 1,800–3,200 m, being apparently at least partially replaced lower by Yellow-throated Brush-finch *A. gutturalis* (recorded at 2,000 m on the west slope of Serranía de los Yariguíes) and Moustached Brush-finch *A. albofrenatus* (recorded at 2,000 m on both slopes of Serranía de los Yariguíes). In the main cordillera, it is at least partially replaced at high elevations by Slaty *A. schistaceus* and Pale-naped Brush-finches *A. pallidinucha*, though neither of these has been recorded in the Yariguíes range in surveys almost to the peak, where *A. l. yariguierum* is present. Elevational and latitudinal distributions of these taxa are described and discussed in Remsen & Graves (1995a). The range of *A. l. yariguierum* is shared by other endemics, e.g. Gorgeted Wood-quail *Odontophorus strophium* and Mountain Grackle *Macroagelaius subalaris*, which are both Critically Endangered (BirdLife International 2004).

Etymology Our name honours the Yariguíes indigenous people and the massif that bears their name, expressed as a fifth declension feminine Latin noun yariguies, and declined in the genitive plural. Serranía de los Yariguíes is often labelled on maps as Serranía de los Cobardes (Mountains of the cowards), which derives from the colonial era. The Yariguíes people resisted the Spanish, although was doomed to fail in the face of a technologically superior military power. Rather than submit, the Yariguíes committed mass suicide. The Spanish viewed this not as an act of dignity, but of cowardice. Colombian governmental agencies and NGOs are endeavouring to remove the insult from official materials, and Serranía de los Yariguíes is now the preferred name. The region is rich in archaeological sites and indigenous art, which to date have gone largely unrecognised due to the historic security situation and difficulty of access.

Ecology

The holotype was captured in a treefall gap with successional vegetation, c.1 km from human-modified habitat, in forest on a west-facing slope overlooking the Magdalena Valley, characterised by a canopy of 8-14 m, dense understorey and epiphytic growth, persistent fog or rain, little insolation (less than 30 minutes daily during field work), and $30-50^{\circ}$ slope. Observations at Lepipuerto were made in pristine primary treeline and páramo habitat c.30 km from any human population,

and also subject to very high levels of precipitation, with torrential rain lasting several hours each day during the 'dry' season, and frequent ground-level cloud cover. The pair observed here foraged in small tree-like shrubs on an exposed slope. Observations at the third site in Serranía de los Yariguíes were also in pristine treeline and páramo habitat far from human populations. All three sites are within the same tract of forest, this being one of the largest such forests in northern Colombia. Successional primary forest, at the type locality, and fairly open vegetation of the Yariguíes páramo appear to be the natural habitat of *A. l. yariguierum. A. latinuchus* taxa regularly invade secondary habitats (Remsen 1993, Hilty, 2003). The new taxon also appears to do so, and indeed seems commoner in such habitats than in primary forest in the main Eastern Andes.

Moult

The very fresh plumage and emergent rectrix of the holotype indicate it had recently completed a moult, whilst the enlarged testes and cloacal protuberance indicate prebreeding moult, and that this form's breeding season coincides with the wettest part of the year, in February–April (the main breeding season in the adjacent Central Andes of the Magdalena Medio: Cuervo *et al.* 2001).

Discussion

García-Moreno & Fjeldså (1999) recently re-evaluated species limits within *Atlapetes* using molecular data. The taxonomy of the group was previously based on morphological analyses (Paynter 1972, 1978), with the taxa *A. schistaceus* and *A. rufinucha* delimited largely on the presence of grey (*schistaceus* group) or yellow underparts (*rufinucha*; *pileatus* group). Thereafter, Remsen & Graves (1995a) suggested that several geographically close taxa with alternating grey and yellow underparts may be more closely related to one another than to more geographically distant taxa with similar underparts coloration. García-Moreno & Fjeldså's phylogeny supported Remsen & Graves' propositions, and suggested further that many sister taxa should be ranked specifically.

García-Moreno & Fjeldså's analysis concentrated on southern Andean taxa. Their suggestion that the various Bolivian, Peruvian and some Ecuadorian *Atlapetes* be reassigned to two polytypic and six monotypic species has been followed by most subsequent works (Rodner *et al.* 2000, Ridgely & Greenfield 2001, Salaman *et al.* 2001, Hilty 2003, Dickinson 2003, Remsen *et al.* 2006). The northern taxa of *A. latinuchus*, *A. schistaceus*, *A. albofrenatus* and *A. melanocephalus* were not studied in detail, but various taxa formerly considered part of *A. rufinucha*, namely *baroni*, *caucae*, *chugurensis*, *comptus*, *elaeoprorus*, *latinuchus*, *nigrifrons* (=*phelpsi*: see below), *simplex* (=*spodionotus*) and *spodionotus*, were tentatively reassigned to *A. latinuchus*. García-Moreno & Fjeldså noted 'Our study did not include ... various more richly coloured forms in the northern Andes ... However, it is evident that the current sequence does not reflect natural groupings'.

Due to uncertainties in species limits amongst northern Atlapetes taxa and in order to describe A. l. yariguierum appropriately, we undertook a preliminary phylogenetic analysis of relevant taxa using a matrix of 16 adult plumage characters. We studied García-Moreno & Fjeldså's 'southern branch', 'central branch' and 'northern branch' taxa (except A. rufigenis) together with all other A. latinuchus, A. schistaceus and A. tricolor taxa, as well as A. fuscoolivaceus, A. albofrenatus and A. melanocephalus (which are considered closely related to 'northern branch' species by Paynter 1978), A. l. variguierum and a morphologically distinctive Atlapetes recently collected by A. Camero and A. Rodríguez in the Sierra de Perijá ('Perijá bird'). Chestnut-capped Brush-finch Buarremon brunneinucha was used as an outgroup to permit comparison with García-Moreno & Fjeldså's phylogeny. Our matrix of character states was compiled from the literature (Paynter 1978, Hilty & Brown 1986, Ridgely & Tudor 1989, Fjeldså & Krabbe 1990, Ridgely & Greenfield 2001, Hilty 2003) and refined by examining specimens of all taxa (except A. latinuchus chugurensis and A. schistaceus taczanowskii). The characters and character states appear in Appendix 3. Separately, a preliminary phylogeny of northern Atlapetes taxa is being constructed by J. Klicka, C. D. Cadena & J. L. Pérez-Emán through analyses of mtDNA genes cytochrome b and ND2. A sample of the A. l. yariguierum holotype is being analysed as part of this study and the preliminary data have been made available to us.

In the morphological study, we first analysed taxa in García-Moreno & Fjeldså's molecular phylogeny for comparative purposes, using PAUP* v. 4.0 (Swofford 2002), with characters unordered and equally weighted, with no re-weighting. As found by García-Moreno & Fjeldså, in our strict consensus tree close relationships were observed between: (i) Black-faced Brush-finch A. melanolaemus and Cuzco (Sooty) Brush-finch A. canigenis, (ii) Yellow-breasted Brush-finches A. latinuchus spodionotus and A. l. comptus, and (iii) Slaty Brush-finches A. s. schistaceus and A. s. taczanowskii, in each case with high (>50%) bootstrap values. Conversely, Paynter (1978) hypothesised no close relationship between A. melanolaemus and A. canigenis. However, anomalously, A. r. rufinucha was placed in our phylogeny as more closely related to A. latinuchus and A. schistaceus taxa, and A. tricolor as less closely related, than García-Moreno & Fjeldså found. We recognise the limitations of a study involving so few characters (see, e.g., Kitching et al. 1999), especially in a group in which pigmentation can be phylogenetically less informative than in other groups. However, an analysis, encompassing a broad range of plumage characters (cf. Paynter 1978) can be useful, if interpreted appropriately and conservatively and will provide results comparable with future molecular studies.

Our matrix for all taxa in García-Moreno & Fjeldså's 'northern branch', as well as other Colombian and Venezuelan taxa within A. albofrenatus, A. fuscoolivaceus, A. latinuchus, A. melanocephalus, A. schistaceus and A. tricolor were subject to the same analysis. Three principal multi-taxa clades, each rooted from the same node, were identified in the strict consensus tree as follows: (i) A. schistaceus, subspecies castaneifrons, fumidus, schistaceus, taczanowskii and tamae; (ii) A. latinuchus, subspecies baroni, caucae, chugurensis, comptus, elaeoprorus, latinuchus, simplex (=spodionotus), spodionotus and yariguierum; and (iii) A. melanocephalus, A. latinuchus nigrifrons and the Perijá bird. The latter grouping was supported by bootstrap (83%); the others were not. All A. fuscoolivaceus, A. tricolor and A. albofrenatus taxa were unresolved in our strict consensus tree at the same level. The tree is not reproduced here as we do not propose a phylogeny.

The current A. latinuchus complex (except A. l. nigrifrons, see below), including A. l. variguierum, formed a monophyletic group. Preliminary data from Klicka et al.'s molecular analysis also suggest that A. l. yariguierum is most closely related to other A. latinuchus taxa (J. Klicka et al. in litt. 2005). However, this is a tentative arrangement, especially given the lack of data for other northern taxa such as A. l. саисае.

A lack of significant genetic divergence amongst various taxa within A. latinuchus (A. l. chugurensis, A. l. comptus and A. l. spodionotus) was noted by García-Moreno & Fieldså (1999). We further reveal no significant intra-group differences in morphometrics (Appendix 2). Apparent intermediates between A. l. comptus and A. l. spodionotus are known from southern Ecuador and northern Peru (Ridgely & Greenfield 2001, Vellinga et al. 2004), suggesting a close relation between these taxa. Some morphological characters which vary within A. latinuchus are probably unreliable for delimiting species (Remsen & Graves 1995a). Presence or absence of a speculum is one case, having been reported as variously present and absent within the same population (Vellinga et al. 2004), and an apparent intergrade A. s. tamae / A. s. schistaceus (MLS 7552) had a speculum on one wing but not the other. Likewise, reported A. l. comptus / A. l. spodionotus intermediates and the surprising placement of some A. pallidinucha taxa in the A. latinuchus clade in the molecular phylogeny suggest that a paler nape is also somewhat plastic.

Our analyses point to a clear anomaly in the current sequence (the placement of A. l. nigrifrons within A. latinuchus). The position of this taxon, discussed further below, can confidently be dealt with at this time in the light of the morphological data presented herein. However, a more substantial reappraisal of the A. latinuchus complex would be premature in advance of Klicka et al.'s more comprehensive molecular analysis. We have therefore described A. l. yariguierum as a subspecies within the A. latinuchus complex. However, we strongly suspect that some taxa or groups of taxa within this complex represent species under the Biological Species Concept (Helbig et al. 2002) and that most of them represent species under a phylogenetic species concept (Cracraft 1983).

Before turning to the case of A. l. nigrifrons, its taxonomy requires comment. A. l. nigrifrons was described by Phelps & Gilliard (1940), but the name was subsequently changed to A. l. phelpsi by Paynter (1970) as the subspecific epithet was preoccupied by A. torquatus nigrifrons. However, with A. torquatus now assigned to Buarremon (see Hackett 1993, Remsen & Graves 1995a,b, and followed by almost all recent authors), phelpsi becomes a junior synonym of nigrifrons (Dickinson 2004).

The clade including A. l. nigrifrons, A. melanocephalus and the Perijá bird was the best supported of our northern taxa phylogeny. A. melanocephalus and A. l. nigrifrons would therefore, provisionally, appear to be more closely related to one another than either is to any of the A. latinuchus taxa, a proposition supported by biogeographical and morphological evidence. A. l. nigrifrons and A. melanocephalus are both restricted to the northernmost Colombian and Venezuelan mountains: the Perijá and Santa Marta ranges. Studies by Remsen & Graves (1995a) and García-Moreno & Fjeldsa (1999) suggest that some geographically close but morphologically distinctive Atlapetes taxa are more closely related to one another than they are to superficially similar but more geographically distant taxa. Paynter (1978) drew attention to the morphological similarity of A. l. nigrifrons (then A. l. phelpsi) and A. melanocephalus, which share a black forehead (with A. melanocephalus possessing an entirely black crown), black chin, lack of pale moustachial markings (the malar merging with the mask), distinctly greyish cheeks and a paler grey back, features not found in northern A. latinuchus taxa. A lineage extending from A. a. albofrenatus (green back; moustachial stripe; black forehead; red crown), through the Perijá bird (green back; moustachial merging with malar; black forehead; red crown) and A. l. nigrifrons (grey back; moustachial merging with malar; black forehead; red crown) to A. melanocephalus (grey back; moustachial merging with malar; black forehead; black crown), although not supported by our phylogenetic analysis, wherein A. albofrenatus taxa behaved counter-intuitively, appears a more plausible hypothesis than any close relation between A. l. nigrifrons and northern A. latinuchus taxa.

The assignment of A. l. nigrifrons to the A. latinuchus species-group appears to be a clear example of the current sequence failing to reflect natural groupings, per García-Moreno & Fjeldså (1995). If not assigned species rank, a better placement for nigrifrons is in either A. melanocephalus or A. albofrenatus. Other possible approaches, lumping A. melanocephalus and/or A. albofrenatus within A. latinuchus, defy rationale. Significant morphological differences exist between northern A. latinuchus, A. l. nigrifrons, A. melanocephalus and A. albofrenatus, and are considerably greater than those between various southern forms now treated specifically. Under a modernised Biological Species Concept (Helbig et al. 2002) and given almost certain paraphyly of A. latinuchus, we consider that A. l. nigrifrons is better treated specifically. The status of this taxon under a phylogenetic species concept should also be beyond doubt. Perijá Brush-finch is an appropriate vernacular name for A. nigrifrons.

Finally, it merits further consideration that A. albofrenatus was not monophyletic in our analysis. The close resemblance of A. a. albofrenatus to A. schistaceus was discussed previously (Remsen & Graves 1995a). In our majority rule and Adams consensus trees, A. a. albofrenatus grouped with the five A. schistaceus taxa and not A. a. meridae. The lack of a very close relationship between A. a. meridae and A. a. albofrenatus was further supported by morphometric data: A. a. albofrenatus averages larger in both wing- and tail-length than A. a. meridae (Appendix 2; cf. Paynter (1978) who considered that 'no difference in size is apparent' between these taxa). No contact zone is known between them, A. a. albofrenatus being restricted to the Eastern Andes of Colombia and A. a. meridae to the Mérida Mountains of Venezuela. Despite morphological differences between them, we suspect that A. a. albofrenatus is indeed the closest extant relative of A. a. meridae (though the converse may not be true given the probable link between A. a. albofrenatus, the Perijá bird and A. l. nigrifrons, and possible links between A. a. albofrenatus and A. schistaceus). A. a. albofrenatus and A. a. meridae are morphologically more dissimilar (both in plumage and biometrics) than other closely related Atlapetes taxa now treated specifically (following García-Moreno & Fjeldså 1995). They surely represent species under a phylogenetic species concept and quite probably under the Helbig et al. (2002) interpretation of the Biological Species Concept. However, insufficient evidence exists to suggest that A. albofrenatus is paraphyletic, and an analysis of genetic and/or vocal data is lacking.

Conservation

A. l. variguierum is endemic to the Colombian East Andes EBA (038: Stattersfield et al. 1998). It technically qualifies for IUCN Category D2 Vulnerable status due to its Area of Occupancy being less than 100 km² and being certainly known from fewer than five localities. However, if confirmed at all of the additional localities mentioned above, its status would be Near Threatened. Extensive field work in the main Cordillera in dptos. Santander and (particularly) Boyacá during the past 50 years have yielded just a handful of specimens. The taxon appears to be somewhat rare even in primary habitat. Despite specific searches, field work at the type locality produced just one capture and no observations, and, at Lepipuerto, just one sighting and no captures. At our paramo site on the east slope of the Yariguies range. it was more common, being observed daily. Like other members of the A. latinuchus group (Hilty 2003), the new taxon appears to tolerate secondary habitats and may be more numerous in these than in primary forest.

Protected areas in the Eastern Andes (e.g. Parques Nacionales Naturales Pisbe, El Cocuy, Tamá, Sumapaz, Chingaza, Los Picachos, Alto Fragua, Indi-Wasi and Catatumbo) are concentrated in the highest paramos or on the east slope, and all are subject to varying levels of deforestation and human occupancy. Serranía de los Yariguíes, one of the last forest wildernesses within this Critical-rated EBA (Stattersfield et al. 1998), was for 24 years subject to a 'conservation plan'. Following our work and with the impetus of the Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Corporación Autónoma Regional de Santander (CAS), various mayoralties of the region and NGOs, the Serranía de los Yariguíes National Park was finally declared on 16 May 2005. The new protected area should assist in conserving A. l. variguierum and other threatened birds including Black Inca Coeligena prunellei, Odontophorus strophium, Macroagelaius subalaris and Saffron-headed Parrot Pionopsitta pyrilia (Donegan et al. 2005, Donegan & Huertas 2005, Huertas & Donegan 2006).

Comments on brush-finch vernacular names

García-Moreno & Fjeldså (1999) suggested the English names 'Northern Rufousnaped Brush-finch' for A. latinuchus and 'Bolivian Rufous-naped Brush-finch' for A. rufinucha. However, 'Northern Rufous-naped Brush-finch' scarcely befits A. latinuchus, given that at least two taxa in the group (A. l. baroni and A. l. chugurensis) have white or cream napes, and that this name incorrectly implies A. rufinucha to be its sister species. Further, rufous-naped is a translation of the Latin species epithet of A. rufinucha, not A. latinuchus. The name 'Cloud-forest Brushfinch' was also recently proposed (Clements & Shany 2001), but A. latinuchus is one of many Atlapetes species found in such zones and A. l. baroni, A. l. caucae and A. l. chugurensis are not restricted to cloud forests. Given this, J. V. Remsen in Dickinson (2003) proposed a third name, 'Yellow-breasted Brush-finch', which is consistent with the plumage of all group members and distinguishes it from some Atlapetes, but not from many others, e.g., Dusky-headed Brush-finch A. fuscoolivaceus, Yellow-headed Brush-finch A. flaviceps, Tricoloured Brush-finch A. tricolor or Moustached Brush-finch A. albofrenatus, and also has the potential to confuse with Yellow-throated Brush-finch A. gutturalis. 'Cloud-forest Brush-finch' and 'Northern Rufous-naped Brush-finch' were both rejected by the AOU South American Checklist Committee. None of the English names proposed to date is particularly satisfactory, reflecting the lack of unifying plumage characters for A. latinuchus and that the group almost certainly contains several biological and multiple phylogenetic species. 'Yellow-breasted Brush-finch', whilst not ideal, was recently adopted by the AOU South American Checklist Committee (Remsen et al. 2006). Doubtless a new suite of vernacular names will become necessary when A. latinuchus is, almost inevitably, split. The English name proposed herein for A. l. yariguierum is proposed only as a name for this taxon and not to any larger grouping (e.g. with A. l. elaeoprorus and/or A. l. spodionotus).

Acknowledgements

We thank Elkin Briceño for his help in the field and the rest of the EBA Project and YARE Project teams, Jorge Avendaño, John Arias, Martin Donegan, Cristobal Ríos, Laura Rosado and Diana Villanueva, and local guides José Pinto, Alonso Macías and Hernando Figueroa. Hernando Guevara (Corporación Autónoma Regional para la Defensa de la Meseta de Bucaramanga—CDMB), Alvaro Prada Prada, Armando Rodríguez and Hector Lamo (CAS), and the mayors of San Vicente de Chucurí, Galán and El Carmen provided the necessary permissions for field work (CAS resolution no. 832). Robert Prŷs-Jones, Mark Adams and Douglas Russell (NHM), Enrique Castillo and Fernando Forero (IAVH), José Gregorio Moreno Patiño (UIS), Roque Casallas and Arturo Rodríguez (MLS), Ray Symonds (UMZC), F. Gary Stiles (ICN) and J. F. & C. Voisin (MNHN) provided access to specimens. Robin Restall provided photographs and biometric data of A. l. nigrifrons specimens in COP, and Mary Hennen digital photographs of material at FMNH. Sylke Frahnert (Berlin), Frank Steinheimer and Gerald Mayr (Frankfurt) provided invaluable data and insights concerning specimens in Germany. N. Krabbe offered information on Atlapetes in the Warsaw museum. Thanks to the Biomap Project (especially Juan Carlos Verhelst and Paul Salaman) for specimen data. J. Klicka, C. D. Cadena and J. L. Pérez-Emán sequenced the holotype and proffered their preliminary results. Richard Ranft (British Library) produced some of the sonograms. Jorge Avendaño, Carlos Daniel Cadena, Roberto Chavarra, Oswaldo Cortés, María Ángela Echeverry, Julián Idrobo, Diego Armando Rincón, Jorge Parra, Mike Sharpe, Clare Turner and

Johana Edith Zuluaga informed us of their records of A. latinuchus. Alejandro Camero and Arturo Rodríguez provided data on the Perijá bird. Thanks also to Ana Papadopolou (NHM). The expeditions to Serranía de los Yariguíes were made possible due to the generous financial support of the Royal Geographical Society (with Rio Tinto plc), Duke of Edinburgh, Fondo para Acción Ambiental, Fundación Omacha, Conservation International Colombia (Becas Iniciativa de Especies Amenazadas— Jorge Ignacio "El Mono" Hernández-Camacho), the Percy Sladen Memorial Fund (Linnean Society) and Fundación ProAves. YARE Project was supported by the BP Conservation Programme (BirdLife International, Conservation International, Flora & Fauna International, Wildlife Conservation Society), Game Conservancy Trust, Fundación ProAves, World Pheasant Association, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Universidad de Caldas and Gobernación de Santander. We thank Carlos Daniel Cadena, Jon Fjeldså, Guy Kirwan, Niels Krabbe, J. Van Remsen and Paul Salaman for comments on the manuscript.

References:

- Agreda, A., Krabbe, N. & Rodríguez, O. 1999. Pale-headed Brush-finch Atlapetes pallidiceps is not extinct. Cotinga 11: 50-54.
- Berlepsch, H. von. 1888. Descriptions of two new species of birds from Bogotá, Colombia. *Ibis* 1888: 128-130.
- BirdLife International. 2004. Threatened birds of the world 2004. CD-ROM. BirdLife International, Cambridge, UK.
- Borrero, J. I. & Olivares, A. 1955. La avifauna de Soatá, Dept. Boyacá, Colombia. Caldasia 7: 52-86.
- Borrero-H., J.I. & Hernández C., J. 1957. Informe preliminar sobre aves y mamiferos de Santander, Colombia. An. Soc. Biol. Bogotá 7: 197-230
- Clements, J. F. & Shany, N. 2001. A field guide to the birds of Peru. Ibis Publishing, Temecula, CA.
- Cracraft, J. 1983. Species concepts and speciation analysis. Current Orn. 1: 159–187.
- Cuervo, A. M., Salaman, P. G. W., Donegan, T. M. & Ochoa, J. M. 2001. A new species of piha (Cotingidae: Lipaugus) from the Cordillera Central of Colombia. Ibis 143: 353–368.
- Dickinson, E. C. (ed.) 2003. The Howard & Moore complete checklist of the birds of the world. Christopher Helm, London.
- Dickinson, E. C. (ed.) 2004. Howard & Moore edn. 3 (2003): corrigenda 2.1. www.naturalis.nl/sites/naturalis.en/ contents/i000764/corrigenda%202.1.pdf.
- Donegan, T. M. & Avendaño, J. E. 2006. Aves. pp. 28-44 in Huertas, B. C. & Donegan, T. M. (eds.) 2006. YARE: Investigación y evaluación de las especies amenazadas de la Serranía de los Yariguíes, Santander, Colombia. Informe Final 1. Colombian EBA Project Rep. Ser. 7: www.proaves.org.
- Donegan, T. M. & Briceño, E. L. 2005. Birds. Pp. 27–36 and 61–71 in Donegan, T. M. & Huertas, B. (eds.) Threatened species of Serranía de los Yariguíes: final report. Colombian EBA Project Rep. Ser. 5: www.proaves.org.
- Donegan, T. M. & Huertas, B. (eds.) 2005. Threatened species of Serranía de los Yariguíes: final report. Colombian EBA Project Rep. Ser. 5: www.proaves.org.
- Donegan, T. M., Huertas, B. C. & Briceño, E. L. 2005. Discovery of a population stronghold of Gorgeted Wood-quail Odontophorus strophium, a critically endangered Colombian endemic, with notes on ecology and vocalisations. Cotinga 23: 74–77.
- Fitzpatrick, J. W. 1980. A new race of Atlapetes leucopterus, with comments on widespread albinism in A. l. dresseri (Taczanowskii). Auk 97: 883-887.
- Fjeldså, J. & Krabbe, N. 1990. Birds of the high Andes. Zool. Mus., Univ. of Copenhagen & Apollo Books, Svendborg.
- García-Moreno, J. & Fjeldså, J. 1999. Re-evaluation of species limits in the genus Atlapetes based on mtDNA sequence data. Ibis 141: 199-207.
- García-Moreno, J., Ohlson, J. & Fjeldså, J. 1999. MtDNA sequences support monophyly of Hemispingus tanagers. Mol. Phyl. & Evol. 21: 424-435.
- Graves, G. R. 1993. Relic of a lost world: a new species of sunangel (Trochilidae: Heliangelus) from 'Bogotá'. Auk 110: 1-8.

Thomas M. Donegan & Blanca Huertas

Hackett, S. J. 1992. Molecular phylogenies and biogeography of Central American birds. Ph.D. Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge.

113

- Helbig, A. J., Knox, A. G., Parkin, D. T., Sangster, G. & Collinson, M. 2002. Guidelines for assigning species rank. Ibis 144: 518-525.
- Hilty, S. L. 2003. Birds of Venezuela. Princeton Univ. Press.
- Hilty, S. L. & Brown, W. L. 1986. A guide to the birds of Colombia. Princeton Univ. Press.
- Huertas, B. C. & Donegan, T. M. (eds.) 2006. YARE: Investigación y evaluación de las especies amenazadas de la Serranía de los Yariguíes, Santander, Colombia. Informe Final 1. Colombian EBA Project Rep. Ser. 7: www.proaves.org.
- Kitching, I. J., Forey, P. L., Humphries, C. J. & Williams, D. M. 1998. Cladistics: the theory and practice of parsimony analysis. Second edn. Oxford Univ. Press.
- Krabbe, N., Moore, J. V., Coopmans, P., Lysinger, M. & Ridgely R. S. 2001. Birds of the Ecuadorian highlands: the upper montane and páramo zones of Ecuador. CDs. John V. Moore Nature Recordings, San José.
- Munsell Color. 1977. Munsell® color charts for plant tissues. GretagMacbeth LLC, New York.
- Munsell Color. 2000. Munsell® soil color charts. GretagMacbeth LLC, New York.
- Paynter, R. A. 1970. Emberizinae. Pp. 3-214 in Paynter, R. A. (ed.) Check-list of birds of the world, vol. 13. Mus. Comp. Zool., Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Paynter, R. A. 1972. Biology and evolution of the Atlapetes schistaceus species-group (Aves: Emberizinae). Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 143: 297-320.
- Paynter, R. A. 1978. Biology and evolution of the avian genus Atlapetes (Emberizinae). Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 148: 323–369.
- Phelps, W. H. & Gilliard, E. T. 1940. Six new birds from Venezuela. Amer. Mus. Novit. 1100: 1-8.
- Proctor, N. S. & Lynch, P. J. 1993. Manual of ornithology: avian structure and function. Yale Univ. Press, New Haven.
- Remsen, J. V. 1993. Zoogeography and geographic variation of Atlapetes rufinucha (Aves: Emberizinae) including a distinctive new subspecies, in southern Peru and Bolivia. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 106:
- Remsen, J. V. & Graves, W. S. 1995a. Distribution patterns and zoogeography of Atlapetes brush-finches (Emberizinae) of the Andes. Auk 112: 210–224.
- Remsen, J. V. & Graves, W. S. 1995b. Distribution patterns of *Buarremon* brush-finches (Emberizinae) and interspecific competition in Andean birds. Auk 112: 225-236.
- Remsen, J. V., Jaramillo, A., Nores, M., Pacheco, J. F., Robbins, M. B., Schulenberg, T. S., Stiles F. G., da Silva J. M. C., Stotz, D. F. & Zimmer, K. J. 2006. A classification of the bird species of South America (version 7 February 2006): www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.html.
- Ridgely, R. S. & Tudor, G. 1989. The birds of South America, vol. 1. Oxford Univ. Press.
- Ridgley, R. S. & Greenfield, P. J. 2001. The birds of Ecuador. Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, NY.
- Rodner, C., Lentino, M. & Restall, R. 2000. Checklist of the birds of northern South America. Pica Press, Robertsbridge.
- Romero-Z., H. 1983. Revisión del status zoogeográfico y redescripción de Odontophorus strophium (Gould) (Aves: Phasianidae). Caldasia 13: 777–786.
- Salaman, P. G. W., Stiles, F. G., Bohórquez, C. I., Alvarez, M., Umaña, A. M., Donegan, T. M. & Cuervo, A. M. 2002. New and noteworthy records from the east slope of the Andes of Colombia. Caldasia 24: 157-189.
- Salaman, P., Cuadros, T., Jaramillo, J. G. & Weber, W. H. 2001. Lista de chequeo de las aves de Colombia. Sociedad Antioqueña de Ornitología, Medellín.
- Stattersfield, A. J., Crosby, M. J., Long, A. J. & Wege, D. C. 1998. Endemic Bird Areas of the world: priorities for biodiversity conservation. BirdLife International, Cambridge, UK.
- Swofford, D. 2002. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Valqui, T. & Fjeldså, J. 1999. New brush-finch Atlapetes from Peru. Ibis 141: 194–198.

Thomas M. Donegan & Blanca Huertas

- Vellinga, W.-P., Flanagan, J. N. M. & Mark, T.R. 2004. New and interesting records of birds from Ayacaba province, Piura, north-west Peru. Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 124: 124–142.
- Addresses: Thomas M. Donegan, Fundación ProAves, 33 Blenheim Road, Caversham, Reading RG4 7RT, UK, e-mail: tdonegan@proaves.org / thomasdonegan@yahoo.co.uk. Blanca Huertas, University College London / Natural History Museum, London, UK, e-mail: b.huertas@nhm.ac.uk / blancahuertas@yahoo.com

APPENDIX 1

Specimens examined.

- A. fuscoolivaceus: IAVH 7870, 11782, 11801; ICN 3169, 27333, 27336, 27370.
- A. melanocephalus: NHM 1885.6.8.125, 1885.6.8.452; IAVH 558, 944, 966, 2056–2058, 2064, 2067, 2240, 3085, 11300, 11311; ICN 23504–23515, 21690; MLS 7505
- A. latinuchus baroni NHM unnumbered, 1896.10.6.228, 1896.10.6.229
- A. latinuchus caucae ICN 3179, 3350, 19814, 25661, 25934, 25935, 26069, 29016, 29748, 29758, 29804, ; MLS 7530, unnumbered; MNHN 2374 (NB: only Colombian A. latinuchus group specimens inspected in detail at MNHN).
- A. latinuchus comptus NHM 1883.6.12.930, 1885.6.8.149.
- A. latinuchus elaeoprorus NHM 1885.6.8.150, 1885.6.12.931; IAVH 2263, 2310, 11699; ICN 20169, 34701; MLS 7528, 7530, 7870; MNHN 2375, 3754.
- A. l. latinuchus NHM 1885.6.12.926, 1896.10.6.230–1896.10.10.233, 1900.10.2.93, 1924.2.14.22, 1924.2.14.23, 1953.68.464.
- A. [latinuchus] nigrifrons ICN 32692; COP (photo) 55881, 55882, 55890, 55900, 55902, 55905, 58251, 73053, 73323, 73326, 73333, 73337; ICN 32646 ('Perijá bird').
- 'A. latinuchus simplex' (= A. l. spodionotus) NHM 1893.12.12.18.
- A. latinuchus spodionotus NHM 1860.11.9.9, 1860.11.20.83, 1885.6.147, 1885.6.8.148, 1885.6.12.928, 1885.6.12.929, 1900.10.2.87, 1900.10.2.88, 1925.12.24.283, 1925.12.24.284, 1938.12.20.122, 1940.12.5.117–1940.12.5.120, 1940.12.5.214, 1940.12.5.960, 1940.12.5.961, 1969.52.520, 1969.52.521, 1977.5.7–1977.5.11; UMZC 27/Fri(E)/8/r/1–27/Fri(E)/8/r/4; ICN-UN 33355; MLS 7531, 7532, MNHN 2376.
- A. latinuchus yariguierum ICN 3199, 10322, 25111, 34016 (holotype); UIS 1412; FMNH (photo) 220606, 220607.
- A. a. albofrenatus NHM 1885.6.8.152, 1885.6.8.153, 1885.6.12.932, 1885.6.12.933, 1916.9.21.141; IAVH 612, 6543, 10301, 11678, 12551; MLS 7533–7536; ICN 3171–3177, 3560, 3701, 3706, 3721, 3974, 4217, 4819, 4820, 5068, 10323, 14712, 15938, 16250, 16358, 18847, 25280, 25497, 28356, 28357, 18846, 18848, 31126, 34982; UIS 1433.
- A. albofrenatus meridae NHM 90.5.15.1, 1914.11.26.673-1914.11.26.676, 1914.11.26.680, 1969.39.77, 1969.39.76, 1969.52.519.
- A. schistaceus castaneifrons NHM 12.18.070, 12.204.94, 85.6.8.160, 85.6.8.161, 85.6.12.941, 1914.11.26.484, 1914.11.26.67, 1914.11.26.679, 1914.41.26.680–1914.11.26.683, 1914.11.26.685–1914.11.26.687, 1915.3.1.177, 1915.3.1.678, 1969.39.78–1969.39.80.
- A. schistaceus fumidus ICN 4851, 4852.
- A. s. schistaceus NHM 1845.5.24.30, 1854.1.25.100, 1857.11.28.59, 1885.6.8.156–1885.6.8.159, 1885.6.8.162, 1885.6.12.934, 1885.6.12.935, 1885.6.12.938, 1898.12.14.654–98.12.14.657, 1916.9.21.94, 1916.9.21.95, 1946.49.696, 2002.3.1044–1946.49.698; UMZC 27/Fri(E)/8/5/1–27/Fri(E)/8/5/3; IAVH unnumbered (2), 5387, 6714, 7257, 7260, 7267, 11845, 12287, 12627, 12646; MLS 7546–7548, 7550, 7551, 7553, 8245; ICN 4296–4302, 4304–4306, 4840, 4849, 4855–4864, 4866–4869, 4871–4884, 14655, 19015, 20010–20013, 20446, 22330–22332, 26220, 26234, 33709, 35012, 437125.
- A. schistaceus tamae IAVH 10628, 10632, 10643, 10652, 12102, 12105; MLS 7537–7545; ICN 4853, 4854, 10681, 33936; UIS 1252.
- A. canigenis NHM 1939.1.30.1.

115

Bull. B.O.C. 2006 126(2)

- *A. r. rufinucha* NHM 1846.9.9.99, 1846.99.136, 1885.4.8.144, 1885.6.8.142, 1885.6.8.143, 1885.6.8.148, 85.6.12.922–1885.6.12.924, 1902.313.334.
- A. melanolaemus NHM 1902.3.13.335-1902.3.13.339.

APPENDIX 2

Biometrics of Atlapetes taxa.

Taxon	Sex	Wing	Tail	Tarsus	Bill	Mass			
A. fuscoolivaceus	all	$74.0 \pm 3.4 (7)$	78.4 ± 4.9 (7)	26.6 ± 0.7 (6)	16.4 ± 0.6 (5)	32.5 ± 1.5 (2)			
	males	75.6 ± 2.1 (5)	80.0 ± 5.5 (5)	26.8 ± 0.9 (4)	16.7 ± 0.8 (3)	32.5 ± 1.5 (2)			
	females	$67.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$73.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	26.0 ± 0.0 (1)	16.0 ± 0.0 (1)				
A. melanocephalus	all	72.2 ± 3.5 (26)	$74.0 \pm 3.2 (24)$	$26.1 \pm 1.0 (27)$	16.0 ± 0.6 (24)	25.0 ± 2.8 (6)			
<i>I</i>	males	$72.8 \pm 4.5 (10)$	$76.0 \pm 3.5 (10)$	$26.2 \pm 1.1 (10)$	15.9 ± 0.5 (8)	23.8 ± 2.5 (2)			
	females	$71.3 \pm 2.4 (12)$	$72.2 \pm 2.2 (11)$	$26.1 \pm 0.8 (12)$	16.0 ± 0.7 (12)	25.8 ± 3.75 (3)			
A. latinuchus baroni	all	73.0 ± 0.0 (3)	$76.7 \pm 2.5 (3)$	28.0 ± 0.5 (3)	$15.5 \pm 0.0 (3)$				
	males	$73.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$79.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$28.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$15.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$				
	females	73.0 ± 0.0 (2)	75.5 ± 2.1 (2)	27.8 ± 0.4 (2)	15.5 ± 0.0 (2)				
A. latinuchus caucae	all	$71.5 \pm 2.1 (12)$	$72.3 \pm 2.7 (12)$	$26.4 \pm 1.1 (12)$	15.3 ± 0.8 (11)				
	males	$72.2 \pm 2.4 (5)$	$73.6 \pm 2.7 (5)$	26.2 ± 1.5 (5)	15.4 ± 0.9 (5)				
	females	71.0 ± 1.9 (7)	71.3 ± 2.4 (7)	26.5 ± 0.8 (7)	15.2 ± 0.7 (6)				
A. latinuchus comptus	all	74.0 ± 1.4 (2)	74.5 ± 0.7 (2)	27.5 ± 0.7 (2)	15.5 ± 0.0 (2)				
A. latinuchus elaeoprorus	all	$75.9 \pm 3.7 (10)$	$73.7 \pm 4.4 (11)$	$27.1 \pm 0.7 (11)$	15.9 ± 0.5 (12)	$27.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$			
	males	75.5 ± 3.5 (2)	74.5 ± 2.1 (2)	27.0 ± 0.0 (3)	16.2 ± 0.3 (3)	$27.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$			
	females	72.5 ± 4.9 (2)	69.0 ± 2.8 (2)	26.5 ± 0.7 (2)	16.0 ± 0.7 (2)				
A. l. latinuchus	all	72.6 ± 3.5 (9)	71.1 ± 3.4 (9)	26.0 ± 0.9 (8)	15.6 ± 0.4 (8)				
	males	$73.8 \pm 4.1 (5)$	$73.2 \pm 2.8 (5)$	$26.3 \pm 1.0 (5)$	15.6 ± 0.5 (4)				
	females	71.0 ± 2.0 (3)	$68.5 \pm 2.1 (3)$	25.5 ± 0.5 (3)	15.6 ± 0.3 (4)				
A. latinuchus nigrifrons	all	$69.6 \pm 3.3 (15)$	$71.0 \pm 3.6 (15)$	$25.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$	15.9 ± 0.5 (3)	$31.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$			
	males	$70.3 \pm 4.1 (6)$	73.7 ± 3.8 (6)						
	females	$68.9 \pm 2.7 (9)$	$69.7 \pm 2.2 (9)$	$25.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$16.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$				
'Perijá bird'	all	72.0 ± 1.4 (2)	$76.3 \pm 1.8 (2)$	$26.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$15.3 \pm 1.0 (2)$	$28.5 \pm 0.7(2)$			
	females	$73.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$77.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$26.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$16.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$29.0 \pm 0.0(1)$			
	all (=male)	$78.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$77.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$27.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	(broken)				
A. latinuchus spodionotus	all	$75.9 \pm 3.4 (29)$	$75.2 \pm 5.1 (28)$	$26.9 \pm 1.1 (28)$	$15.7 \pm 0.5 (28)$				
	males	$76.4 \pm 3.4 (17)$	$76.3 \pm 5.2 (17)$	$26.7 \pm 1.1 (18)$	$15.6 \pm 0.5 (18)$				
	females	$74.9 \pm 4.3 (7)$	73.9 ± 5.7 (7)	27.4 ± 0.5 (6)	$16.0 \pm 0.4 (5)$				
A. latinuchus yariguierum	all	$75.3 \pm 2.1 (3)$	$78.3 \pm 1.5 (3)$	27.0 ± 1.7 (3)	$16.0 \pm 0.0 (3)$	$22.6 \pm 0.0 (1)$			
	males	$77 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$80 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$25.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$16.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$22.6 \pm 0.0 (1)$			
	females	$76 \pm 0.0 (1)$	50.0 · 0.5 (05)	78± 0.0 (1)	$28.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$16.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$			
A. a. albofrenatus	all	$72.9 \pm 2.4 (36)$	$73.9 \pm 3.5 (37)$	$26.2 \pm 1.0 (36)$	$15.9 \pm 0.5 (36)$	30.0 ± 2.6 (6)			
	males	$74.2 \pm 2.6 (17)$	$75.2 \pm 2.9 (18)$	$26.1 \pm 1.1 (18)$	$16.0 \pm 0.6 (18)$	29.0 ± 1.2 (4)			
4 11 6	females	$71.8 \pm 1.6 (10)$	$72.6 \pm 3.0 (10)$	25.8 ± 0.8 (9)	$15.9 \pm 0.5 (10)$	$32.0 \pm 4.2 (2)$			
A. albofrenatus meridae	all males	$67.2 \pm 2.4 (9)$	68.0 ± 2.9 (9)	$26.5 \pm 1.2 (8)$	15.7 ± 0.7 (9)				
	females	$68.0 \pm 1.7 (3)$	$69.0 \pm 1.0 (3)$	$26.7 \pm 0.8 (3)$	$15.7 \pm 0.3 (3)$ $16.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$				
A. schistaceus castaneifror		$63.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$ $70.5 \pm 3.0 (18)$	$65 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$25.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$ $27.3 \pm 1.0 (18)$	$15.7 \pm 0.0 (1)$				
A. schistaceus castanetyror	males	$70.9 \pm 3.0 (18)$ $70.9 \pm 3.0 (13)$	$73.0 \pm 4.2 (18)$ $73.7 \pm 4.3 (13)$	$27.4 \pm 0.8 (13)$	$15.7 \pm 0.5 (17)$ $15.8 \pm 0.5 (12)$				
	females	$69.3 \pm 4.0 (3)$	$73.7 \pm 4.3 (13)$ $71.3 \pm 3.1 (3)$	$27.9 \pm 0.8 (3)$	$15.7 \pm 0.8 (3)$				
A. schistaceus fumidus	all	72.5 ± 3.5 (2)	$71.5 \pm 3.1 (3)$ $72.5 \pm 2.1 (2)$	$27.3 \pm 0.8 (3)$ $27.3 \pm 0.4 (2)$	$15.7 \pm 0.8 (3)$ $15.8 \pm 0.4 (2)$				
A. schistaceus junitaus	males	$75.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$72.3 \pm 2.1 (2)$ $74.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$27.5 \pm 0.4 (2)$ $27.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$	16.0 ± 0.0 (1)				
	females	$70.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$ $70.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$71.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$27.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$ $27.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$15.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$				
A. s. schistaceus	all	$75.5 \pm 3.3 (81)$	$77.5 \pm 4.5 (82)$	$26.8 \pm 0.9 (83)$	$15.0 \pm 0.6 (81)$	28.2 ± 2.6 (11)			
11. 5. semsuceus	males	$78.0 \pm 2.2 (26)$	$80.3 \pm 3.0 (25)$	27.0 ± 0.7 (25)	$15.0 \pm 0.5 (81)$ $15.0 \pm 0.5 (23)$	28.5 ± 1.3 (4)			
	females	$74.3 \pm 2.6 (28)$	$76.4 \pm 3.4 (27)$	$26.7 \pm 0.8 (29)$	$15.0 \pm 0.5 (25)$ $15.2 \pm 0.7 (30)$	20.0 = 1.0 (4)			
A. s. schistaceus	all	$76.1 \pm 3.1 (46)$	$78.7 \pm 3.7 (27)$	$26.8 \pm 0.8 (48)$	15.1 ± 0.5 (46)	28.0 ± 3.0 (8)			
Eastern Andes	males	$78.0 \pm 2.2 (20)$	$80.2 \pm 3.2 (19)$	$27.0 \pm 0.8 (20)$	$15.0 \pm 0.5 (18)$	$28.0 \pm 1.0 (3)$			
		(20)	()			(5)			

	females	$74.6 \pm 2.4 (19)$	$76.7 \pm 2.9 (18)$	$26.5 \pm 0.6 (20)$	15.2 ± 0.7 (21)	27.8 ± 5.5 (3)
A. s. schistaceus	all	$77.0 \pm 2.5 (12)$	$79.4 \pm 3.1 (12)$	26.7 ± 0.9 (10)	$15.2 \pm 0.7 (10)$	$28.8 \pm 1.0 (3)$
Western and Central Andes males		78.6 ± 2.5 (5)	81.3 ± 2.9 (5)	27.0 ± 0.4 (4)	15.1 ± 0.8 (4)	$30.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$
	females	75.0 ± 2.0 (3)	78.7 ± 3.5 (3)	25.8 ± 1.2 (3)	15.0 ± 1.0 (3)	
A. schistaceus tamae	all	$74.5 \pm 2.9 (17)$	$74.8 \pm 3.0 \ (18)$	$27.4 \pm 0.8 \ (18)$	$15.7 \pm 0.6 (15)$	26.4 ± 1.5 (7)
	males	$75.9 \pm 2.0 (9)$	$76.0 \pm 2.0 (10)$	$27.4 \pm 0.6 (10)$	15.8 ± 0.5 (9)	27.3 ± 1.5 (4)
	females	$73.8 \pm 4.2 (4)$	72.8 ± 4.6 (4)	27.5 ± 1.1 (4)	15.5 ± 0.5 (3)	26.0 ± 0.0 (1)
A. canigenis	unknown	$69.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$68.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$27.5 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$17.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	
A. r. rufinucha	all	$69.1 \pm 4.1 (10)$	$64.6 \pm 4.4 (10)$	$25.9 \pm 1.0 (10)$	$15.0 \pm 0.7 (10)$	
	females	$68 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$62 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$25 \pm 0.0 (1)$	$14.0 \pm 0.0 (1)$	
A. melanolaemus	all	68.8 ± 2.9 (6)	67.8 ± 3.8 (6)	27.2 ± 0.5 (6)	15.0 ± 0.7 (6)	
	males	71.5 ± 2.1 (2)	71.0 ± 4.2 (2)	27.3 ± 0.4 (2)	15.0 ± 1.4 (2)	
	females	$67.0 \pm 2.6 (3)$	65.7 ± 3.1 (3)	27.2 ± 0.8 (3)	15.0 ± 0.5 (3)	

APPENDIX 3

Matrix of characters and description of characters used in phylogenetic analysis

Taxon Character	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
B. brunneinucha (outgroup)	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	1	1	1	0	1
A. fuscoolivaceus	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
A. t. tricolor	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
A. tricolor crassus	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	1
A. melanocephalus	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Perijá bird	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
A. latinuchus baroni	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. latinuchus caucae	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. latinuchus chugurensis	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. latinuchus comptus	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. latinuchus elaeoprorus	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. l. latinuchus	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. latinuchus nigrifrons	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
A. latinuchus simplex	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. latinuchus spodionotus	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. latinuchus yariguierum	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. a. albofrenatus	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
A. albofrenatus meridae	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
A. schistaceus castaneifrons	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	?	0	1	1
A. schistaceus fumidus	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	?	0	1	1
A. s. schistaceus	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	?	0	1	1
A. schistaceus taczanowskii	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	?	0	1	1
A. schistaceus tamae	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	?	0	1	1
A. canigenis	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	?	0	0	?	0	0	1
A. r. carrikeri	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
A. r. rufinucha	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
A. melanolaemus	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	?	0	0	1	0	2	0

Matrix of plumage characters. 1. Belly (0=grey, 1=yellow, 2=white); 2. Pale nape (0=absence, 1=presence); 3. Crown (0=rufous, 1=tawny/yellowish, 2=neither rufous nor tawny); 4. Olivaceous on back (0=none, 1=tinge, 2=deep olive / green); 5. Visible speculum (0=absence, 1=presence); 6. Supraloral spot (0=none/vestigial, 1=small, 2=large); 7. Chin (0=black, 1=as breast or whiter); 8. Forehead (0=rufous/tawny, 1=narrow black, 2=broad black); 9. Mottling on breast (0=absence, 1=presence); 10. Dark malar (0=thick, 1=strong, 2=moderate, 3=faint, 4=none); 11. Cheeks (0=black/as mask, 1=dark grey, 2=pale grey); 12. Breast-band (0=absence, 1=presence); 13. Melanism of flanks (0=light, 1=strong); 14. Orange supercilium (0=absence, 1=presence); 15. Throat and upper breast (0=homogeneous with belly, 1=distinctly lighter, 2=distinctly darker); 16. Paler moustachial region (0=absent, 1=present).

© British Ornithologists' Club 2006