

Department of Defense
Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

CECTED WATER

	ACTION MEMO	•
FOR: Designated Ci	vilian Official	
FROM: Director, OA	ARDECO	
	ISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSE: 1 956 (AFGHANISTAN)	SSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION
o Subject ARB was subject ISN	s held on 17 October 2005 resulting in a u based on the fo	manimous recommendation to
		·
o Intelligence input	ts used in the ARB's determination of the	subject ISN included:
o Agency assessme	ents follow	
AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA		
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		
o The ARB recomm	mended that the following	be considered:

SECRET "NOFORN

(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR 956

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 956 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its recommendation.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the EC present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC chose to appear before the ARB and wanted to respond to each statement of information in the unclassified summary after it was presented. The AMO read his comments from his ARB interviews with the EC, then verbally summarized the EC's comments during the interviews. The AMO presented correspondence on behalf of the EC as Exhibit EC-C, identified herein as enclosure (4). Documents are from his daughter, his wife and cousin.
- (U) The EC responded verbally to each allegation/statement in the unclassified summary. His comments consisted of a line-by-line confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the unclassified summary. The EC ended with a short verbal statement. The EC answered a series of questions from the ARB, which included inquires about the circumstances of his arrest. All EC testimony and comments are included in enclosure (6).
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board




ISN 956 Enclosure (5) Page 1 of 7

SECRET // NOFORN

SECRET // NOFORN

(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:




ISN 956 Enclosure (5) Page 2 of 7

SECRET // NOFORN

SECRET // NOFORN



- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:
 - a. (U) Prior History



ISN 956 Enclosure (5) Page 3 of 7

OECRET # NOFORN

SECRET // NOFORN

b. (U) Training

(S/NF)

• (S/REL)


c. (U) Combat and capture. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.

• (S/NF)
• (S/NF)
• (S/NF)
• (S/NF)


ISN 956 Enclosure (5) Page 4 of 7

SECRET "NOFORN

BEORET // NOFORN



d. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.




e. (U) Factors in support of release

• (FOUO/LES)

ISN 956 Enclosure (5) Page 5 of 7

GEORET // NOFORN



ISN 956 Enclosure (5) Page 6 of 7

SECRET // NOFORN


SECRET // NOFORN-




- 5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States
- (U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home country statements were provided. Letters from the EC's family were provided.
- 6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor



- 7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
- (U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:
- (U) The EC is a threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of intelligence value. Recommend ISN 956 be



- 8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report
- (U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.



ISN 956 Enclosure (5) Page 7 of 7

SECRET # NOFORN



Department of Defense

Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

SECRETUNOPORN

ACTION MEMO


FOR:	Designated	Civilian	Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

ICO ISN 961 (AFGHANISTAN)

0	Subject ARB was held on 3 May 2005 resulting in a unanimous rec	commendation to	subject
	ISN based on the following:		>
1			



o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:



o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA		
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		

o The ARB recommended that the be considered:



o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

SECRET#NOFORN

(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 961


1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 961 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

(U) The ARB was convened and began its proceedings with the Enemy Combatant (EC) present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors. (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated the EC wanted assistance of the Assisting Military Officer and chose to appear before the ARB. The AMO read the EC's comments from Exhibit EC-B that denied and/or qualified some of the information contained in the unclassified summary and reflected statements made by the EC alleging his innocence. The DMO asked the EC several questions to clarify comments the EC made during his verbal presentation. The board asked additional questions to further clarify the information in the unclassified summary. The unclassified part of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified portion of the session and the DMO presented the classified summary. ARB members had clarification questions that were answered satisfactorily. The session was then closed for deliberation.

3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony and other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board




(U) The following assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:




AECDET//NOCODN

ISN 961 Enclosure (4) Page 1 of 5

SECRET//NOFORN



4. (U) Discussion of the primary factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)




c. (U) ISN 967 was associated with the Taliban and was carrying messages for Taliban commanders when apprehended. ISN 967 suffered hearing loss when captured, which was caused by firing weapons. ISN 967 took part in attacks against U.S. forces (DMO-10). ISN 965 was associated with Mullah Mohammed Shah, a director of Taliban troops


SECRET//NOFORN

ISN 961 Enclosure (4) Page 2 of 5

(S/NF)	
(FOUO/LES	
(S/NF) 4. (U) Discussion of the primary factors (including intelligence value of the Enemy Combatant)	ue and law enforcement
(U)	
a. (S/NF)	
b. (S/NF)	
c. (U)	
SEGRET//NOFORM	ISN 961 Enclosure (4) Page 2 of 5
	୧୦୦ େଞ୍ଚ



0006ଚ9



- 5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on Enemy Combatant's requests for witness statements and home country statements provided through the United States
- (U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.
- 6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor
- (U)
- 7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
- (U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:
- (U) The EC is a threat to the United States and its allies. Recommend


SECRET/NOFORN

ISN 961 Enclosure (4) Page 4 of 5 000690

8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report

(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision.

Respectfully submitted,



SECRET//NOFORN

ISN 961 Enclosure (4) Page 5 of 5



Department of Defense

Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

GEORETICIOPORN

	ACTION MEMO				
FOR: Designated Civilian Official					
FROM	FROM: Director, OARDECT 12-21-05				
SUBJE	SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO ISN 963 (AFGHANISTAN)				
sub	eject ARB was held on 26 August 2005 resulting in a unanimous ject ISN based on the following: based on the following: elligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject				
	ency assessments follow:				
	GENCY ASSESSMENT	PECOMENDATION			
DASD- CIA	DA				
FBI					
Dept of	State				
*					
	e Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's b B) with enclosures, are attached.	s Assessment and Recommendation			
RECO	MMENDATION.				
		j			
		25			
	Kole Se	72-23-05			
	ntinue to Detain, Transfer/				
Tab A	ments: OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation				
Prepar	ed by:				




(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE</u> <u>REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 963</u>

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 963 continues to be a threat to the United States. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings


- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the EC present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated the EC chose to appear before the ARB and respond to each statement of information in the Unclassified Summary after it is presented. The AMO verbally summarized the EC's comments during the interview.
- (U) The EC made a statement consisting of a line-by-line confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the unclassified summary. The EC answered a series of questions from the ARB and the DMO, as documented in enclosure (5).
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board



(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

ISN 963 Enclosure (4) Page 1 of 7

CECRET/NOFORN



- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:
 - a. (U) <u>Travel</u>. The EC did not travel in locations known to be used or managed by terrorist organizations or the Taliban.
 - (FOUO/LES)

ISN 963 osure (4)

Enclosure (4)

Page 2 of 7


SECRET/NOFORN

SECRETINGFORM

b. (U) Training. The EC did not receive any training.


•	(S/NF)		
•	(FOUO/LES)		

c. (U) <u>Combat and capture</u>. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.



ISN 963 Enclosure (4) Page 3 of 7


SECRET/NOFORN




d. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.

ISN 963 Enclosure (4) Page 4 of 7

SECRET/NOFORN



e. (U) <u>Individual affiliations</u>. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of, organizations that plan to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.



f. (U) Factors in support of release

	,	The second secon
•	(FOUO/LES)	
•	(S)	

ISN 963 Enclosure (4) Page 5 of 7

SECRET/NOFORM

	• _(S/NF)	
	• (S)	L
	• (FOUO/LES) • (FOUO/LES)	
g.	(S/NF)	
h.	(S/NF)	
i.	(S/NF)	
4.	(SINE)	

- 5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States
- (U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.
- 6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor

(U)


7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board

ISN 963 Enclosure (4) Page 6 of 7

-SECRET/NOFORN-

GEORET/NOFORM

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:



- 8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report
- (U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

Respectfully submitted,



ISN 963 Enclosure (4) Page 7 of 7



Department of Defense

Office for the Administrative Review
of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)
at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

-SECRET//NOFORN-

ACTION MEMO			
FOR: Designated Ci	vilian Official		
FROM: Director, O/	ARDEC		
	ISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSME (AFGHANISTAN)	NT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO	
Subject ARB was	s held on 25 August 2005 resulting in a unanimo based on the following:	ous recommendation subject ISN	
o Intelligence input	s used in the ARB's determination of the subjec	t ISN included:	
o Agency assessmen	nts follow:		
AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION	
DASD-DA CIA			
FBI			
o The Legal Sufficie B) with enclosures	ency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's, are attached.	s Assessment and Recommendation (Tab	
RECOMMENDATIO		900	
(Transfer)	11-5-05		
Or: Continue to Detail	n, Release		
Attachments: Tab A OARDEC AS Tab B Presiding Off	SJA Legal Sufficiency Review ficer's Assessment and Recommendation		
Prepared by:			
	the second section of the second section of the second sections of the second section of the sec	the control of the co	

(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 964

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 964 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings


- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the Enemy Combatant (EC) present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC wanted to appear personally in the ARB proceedings and wanted to respond to each statement of information in the Unclassified Summary after presented. The AMO verbally summarized the Detainee's comments during the earlier interview.
- (U) The EC's responses to the Unclassified Summary consisted of line-by-line confirmations, explanations or denials of the bullets in paragraphs 3 and 4 of DMO-1 in enclosure (6), and are included in enclosure (5). The EC also answered a series of questions from the ARB, as documented in enclosure (5), regarding his personal knowledge of hostile activity in the vicinity of his capture his affiliations with the individuals he was captured with and personal information about his village, family and future plans if he returns to Afghanistan.
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board

(S/NF)		
(57: 12)		

ISN 964 Enclosure (4) Page 1 of 5

SECRET/NOFORN

(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:



ISN 964 Enclosure (4) Page 2 of 5

-SECRET/NOFORN-

4. (1 епfот	(U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intellige reement value of the Enemy Combatant)	nce value and lav	V
(U) T threat	The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the form and intelligence assessment of the EC:	ollowing key indi	cators in its
a.	. (U) Background and Recruitment	·	
	• (S/NF)		
b.	(U) <u>Travel</u>		
	• (S/NF)		
	• (\$/NF)		
c.	(U) <u>Training</u>		
	• (S/NF)		
d.	 (U) Combat and capture. The EC was captured in connect or terrorist operations against the United States and its alli 		uct of comba
	• (S/NF)		
	• (S/NF)		
c.	(U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States		izations that
	• (SNF)		

ISN 964 Enclosure (4) Page 3 of 5

- (S/NF)
 (S/NF)
- f. (U) <u>Individual affiliations</u>. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of organizations that plan, to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.
 - (S/NF)
 (S/NF)
- g. (U) Behavior
 - (S/NF)
- h. (U) Oral testimony from the EC
 - (S/NF)
- i. (S/NF)
- j. (S/NF)

ISN 964 Enclosure (4) Page 4 of 5

SECRET/NOFORN

k. <u>(S/NF)</u>	
5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board or requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statement United States	the Enemy Combatant's ts provided through the
(U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home coun	try statements were provided.
6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board L	egal Advisor
(U)	
7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative	ve Review Board
(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARE	l makes the following
determination and recommendation:	, maren and south mare
determination and recommendation:	A THE STATE OF THE
determination and recommendation:	
(U)	
determination and recommendation: (U) 8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report	

ISN 964 Enclosure (4) Page 5 of 5

CECRET/NOFORN



Department of Defense

Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

	DECIGETATION OF ORCE	•
	ACTION MEMO	•
FOR: Designated C	ivilian Official	
FROM: Director, O	ARDECOM	·
	vistrative review board assess N 965 (Afghanistan)	MENT AND RECOMMENDATION
o Subject ARB wa subject ISN base	is held on 19 August 2005 resulting in a unai ad on the following:	nimous recommendation to
	·	
o Intelligence inpu	ats used in the ARB's determination of the su	bject ISN included:
o Agency assessme	ents follow:	
AGENCY DASD-DA	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
CIA FBI		
o The Legal Suffici (Tab B) with enci	iency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officences, are attached.	icer's Assessment and Recommendation
RECOMMENDATIO	DN: That the DCO,	
	tran	neg
Or: Detain	Release	10-29
Attachments: Tab A OARDEC AS	SIA Legal Sufficiency Review	

Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

SECRET/NOFORN

(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 965

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 965 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The EC chose to attend the ARB. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified as Enclosure (2). The AMO presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified as Enclosure (3). The AMO presented correspondence submitted on behalf of the EC as Exhibit EC-C, identified as Enclosure (4). EC chose to participate in the ARB proceedings and respond to the Unclassified Summary of Evidence as each statement was presented.
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board

(S/NF)	
	<u>.</u>
(U) The government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as fol	lows:
(S/NF)	
(S/NF)	

ISN 965 Enclosure (5) Page 1 of 7

(FOUO/LES)			 	
(S/NF)				
(6)			 	
(S)	•		 	
(6)	•			
(S)				

- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph 3 above and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

a. (S	NF)	
•	(S/NF)	
•	(S/NF)	
	o (S/NF)	
	o (S/NF)	

ISN 965 Enclosure (5) Page 2 of 7

-SECRET/NOFORN-

o (S/NF)	
• (S/NF).	
o. (S/NF)	
• (S/NF)	
• (S/NF)	
o (S/NF)	
o (S/NF)	
o (S/NF)	
• (S/NF)	

ISN 965 Enclosure (5) Page 3 of 7

SECRET/NOFORN

	o (S/NF)
	• (S/NF)
	o (S/NF)
C.	(U) Connections/Associations
	• (S/NF)
	o (SANF)
	• (\$/NF)
	o (S/NF)
d.	(S/NF)
	• (S/NF)

ISN 965 Enclosure (5) Page 4 of 7

OECRET/NOFORN

- (S/NF)
 (S/NF)
 (S/NF)
 (S/NF)
 (S/NF)
- e. (U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the EC
 - (U) The EC provided oral testimony in response to allegations in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence, which is in enclosure (6).
- f. (U) Factors in support of release
 - (U) 1

ISN 965 Enclosure (5) Page 5 of 7


GEORET/NOFORN

5.	(U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's Requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements Provided Through the United States
(U)	The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan.
6.	(U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor
(U)	
7.	(U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
(S/	NF)
(S/	NF)
(S/	NF)
(U) det	Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following ermination and recommendation:
(S/	NF)

ISN 965 Enclosure (5) Page 6 of 7

8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's Report

(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision.



ISN 965 Enclosure (5) Page 7 of 7

000713

SECRET/NOFORN



Department of Defense
Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

SECRET/NOPORN-

			ACTION MEMO		
FOR: I	Designate	ed Civilian Official			
FROM	Directo	T, OARDECAMO	•		
	CT: AD		EVIEW BOARD ASSESSMI	IENT AND RECOMMENDATION	
	oject ARI oject ISN		ust 2005 resulting in a unanim I on the following:	mous recommendation	
o Inte	elligence	inputs used in the AR	B's determination of the subje	ject ISN included:	
:					
o Age	tocy asse	ssments follow:			
	NCY	A	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION	
CIA FBI	DA				
			(Tab A) and the Presiding C enclosures, are attached.	Officer's Assessment and	
RECON	AMEND.	ATION's What the DC	0.1		
Or: Co	(Transf	اعتدا	, Release		
	OARDI	C ASJA Legal Suff g Officer's Assessn	ficiency Review ment and Recommendation		
Prepare	xd by:			*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **	
-			SECRET//NOFORN	000714	Į.

(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 966

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 966 continues to be a threat to the United States. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The Enemy Combetant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the Enemy Combetant (EC) present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated the EC chose to appear before the ARB. The AMO verbally summarized the EC's comments during the interview.
- (U) The EC made a statement consisting of a line-by-line confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the unclassified summary. The BC answered a series of questions from the ARB and the DMO, as documented in Enclosure (5).
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board



(U) The following government agency, assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

ISN 966 Enclosure (4) Page 1 of 6

SECRET/NOFORN

(SNF)	
(S/NF)	
(FOUO/LES)	
(S/NF)	
(\$)	
(S/NF)	

4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)

(U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

ISN 966 Enclosure (4) Page 2 of 6

SECRET/NOFORN

a. (U) Travel. The EC did not travel in locations known to be used or managed by terrorist

organizations or the Taliban.

o (8/NF)

(FOUO/LES)

o (U)*

• (S)

o (FOUO/LES)

	•	(FOUO/LES)
	•	(FOUO/LES)
ъ.		Combat and capture. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.
	•	(S/NF)
	•	(U) HIG has long-established ties with Usama bin Laden (UBL). Gulbuddin Hikmatyar founded HIG. In the early 1990s, Hikmatyar ran several terrorist training camps in Afghanistan and was a pioneer in sending mercenary fighters to other Islamic conflicts. Hikmatyar offered to shelter UBL after the latter fled Sudan in 1996 (DMO-3).
	•	(S/REL)
	•	

ISN 966 Enclosure (4) Page 3 of 6

SECRETINOFORN

•	(S/NF)		
•	(S/NP)		
•	(\$)		
•	(S)		
•	(S/NF)		
•	(S/REL)		

- c. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.
 - (\$/REL)
- d. (U) <u>Individual affiliations</u>. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of, organizations that plan to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.
 - (FOUO/LES)
 (S)1
 (S/NF)

ISN 966 Enclosure (4) Page 4 of 6

GEORET/NOFORN

o (S/REL)

e. (U) <u>Behavior</u>. The EC's behavior during interrogation and detention indicate he is capable of posing a dangerous threat.

• (FOUO/LES)
• (S)

f. (U) Factors in support of release.

• (FOUO/LES)

g. (S/NF)

h. (S/NF)

ISN 966 Enclosure (4) Page 5 of 6

- OEORET/NOFORN

i. (S/NF)
5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States
(U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.
6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor
(U)
7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:
(U) The EC is a threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of intelligence value. Recommend ISN 966
8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report
(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.
Respectfully submitted,

ISN 966 Enclosure (4) Page 6 of 6

-SECRET/NOFORN-

······ 000720



Department of Defense

Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

SECRET//NOFORN

ACTION MEMO

FOR:	D	esignated	Civilian	Official
FROM	1 :	Director.	OARDH	m

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO ISN 967 (AFGHANISTAN)

0	
	based on the following:
	• Threat Assessment:

- Threat Assessment:Intelligence Value:
- Other Factors:
- Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

						 	 		_
-									
•									
-									
_									
-									
•									
•									
•									
-									
	i								
•									
•									
						 	 	_	

Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA		
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		

The ARB recommended

o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO ISN 967 (AFGHANISTAN)

RECOMMENDATION: That the DCO	
(Transfer) Joy-9-05	
Or: Continue to Detain, Release	
Attachments:	
Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review	
Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation	
Prepared by:	

(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR</u> ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD <u>DECISION FOR ISN 967</u>

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 967 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

(U) The ARB was convened and began its proceedings with the Enemy Combatant (EC) present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary in written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors. The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO also presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicates the EC wanted the assistance of the Assisting Military Officer and chose to participate and appear at his ARB. The AMO read the EC's comments from Exhibit EC-B. The EC also made an oral statement to the board. He denied or explained the information contained in the unclassified summary. The board then asked the EC questions and the unclassified part of the proceeding was adjourned.

The ARB moved to the classified portion of the session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The board asked the AMO questions about the EC's interview. The session was then closed for deliberation.

3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony and other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board

(S/NF)					

(U) The following assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF)						

ISN 967 Enclosure (4) Page 1 of 5

SECRET//NOFORN

(FOUO/LES)
(S/NF)
(S/NF)
4. (U) Discussion of the primary factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
(U) The information presented to the ARB supports The ARB considered the following key indicators in its decision to assess the EC as a continuing threat to the U.S. and its recommendation for
a. Significant history and background (S/NF)

b. Training and capabilities


ISN 967 Enclosure (4) Page 2 of 5

SECRET//NOFORN

c. -	Combat and capture		
	(S/NF)		
e.	Organizational affiliations	,	
	(S/NF)		
	•		
f.	Individual affiliations		_
	(S/NF)		
		ISN 967	

ISN 967 Enclosure (4) Page 3 of 5

000720E



g. Behavior
(S/NF)

- h. Written and/or oral testimony from the EC
 - (U) At the ARB, the EC was present, made a statement and answered questions from the board.
 - (U) The EC provided a written response to the unclassified summary (Enclosure (3) EC-B).
 - (U) The EC testified regarding his village, his employment, events surrounding his capture, and his lack of knowledge about al Qaida and the Taliban.
- i. Factors in support of release
 - (U) Other than the matters favoring release or transfer in the unclassified summary, the EC's written and oral statement, no other mitigating material was submitted on behalf of the EC.
- j. Level of Threat Summary



k. Intelligence Value Summary



l. Agency Recommendations

ISN 967 Enclosure (4) Page 4 of 5

SECRET//NOFORN

000720 F

(S/NF)
5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on Enemy Combatant's requests for witness statements and home country statements provided through the United States
(U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.
6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor
(U)
7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:
8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report
(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision. Respectfully submitted.

ISN 967 Enclosure (4) Page 5 of 5

SECRET//NOFORN

Captain U.S. Navy Presiding Officer

000720G



Department of Defense Office for the Administrative Review of the Deteation of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

CO CDITT/NORODN			
ACTION MEMO			
FOR: Designated Civilian Official			
FROM: Director, OARDEO			
SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMEND ICO ISN 971 (AFGHANISTAN)	ATION		
O Subject ARB was held on 28 September 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation subject ISN			
o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:			
Agency assessments follow:			
AGENCY ASSESSMENT RECOMMEND.	ATION		
DASD-DA CIA			
FBI			
Dept of State			
The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recomm (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached. RECOMMENDATION: Release Or: Transfer, Detain Attachments: Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation Prepared by:	nendation		
	0724		

SECRET/NUFURN


(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS OF</u> ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 971

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 971 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.


2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The enemy combatant (EC) chose to attend the ARB. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified as enclosure (3). The AMO also presented correspondence on behalf of the EC as exhibit EC-C, identified as enclosure (4). The EC chose to participate in the ARB and responded to each statement of information in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence as each statement was presented.
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board




ISN 971 Enclosure (5) Page 1 of 6

CECRET/NOFORN




- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph three above and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:
 - a. (U) Combat/Operational Experience



ISN 971 Enclosure (5) Page 2 of 6


GECRET/NOFORN



ISN 971 Enclosure (5) Page 3 of 6

SECRET/NOFORN

c. (U) Connections/Associations




e. (U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the EC. The EC provided a written and oral response to allegations stated in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence.

ISN 971 Enclosure (5) Page 4 of 6


SECRET/NOFORN-

f.	(S/NF)
g.	(U) Factors in support of release (S/NF)
	• (FOUO/LES)

- 5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's Requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements Provided Through the United States
- (U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. Correspondence was submitted in behalf of the EC.
- 6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor




7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board



ISN 971 Enclosure (5) Page 5 of 6

000726

SECRET/NOFORN



ISN 971 Enclosure (5) Page 6 of 6



Department of Defense
Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

ACTION MEMO			
FOR: Designated Civilian Official			
FROM: Director, OARDEC			
SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO ISN 972 (AFGHANISTAN)			
O Subject ARB was held on 6 September 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to ISN			
o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:			
o Agency assessments follow:			
AGENCY ASSESSMENT RECOMMENDATION			
DASD-DA			
CIA			
FBI			
Dept of State			
Dept of State			
o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.			
RECOMMENDATION: That the DCO			
(Transfer) 11-18-05			
Or: Continue to Detain, Release			
Attachments:			
Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation			
Prepared by:			


(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE</u> REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 972

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 972 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies and remains a threat. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the EC present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated the EC wanted assistance of the Assisting Military Officer and chose to appear before the ARB.)
- (U) The EC answered a series of questions from the ARB, as documented in enclosure (5), regarding his personal knowledge of known terrorists or leaders with whom he is reported to have associated, and his participation against United States and allied forces during the hostilities in his home country, Afghanistan. The Board also inquired about the circumstances of his arrest.
- (U) The Board queried the DMO on details surrounding the EC's capture, and the AMO concerning the EC's behavior and willingness to cooperate during notification.
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board



ISN 972 Enclosure (4) Page 1 of 5

SECRET/NOFORN

(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:




- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:
 - a. (U) Recruitment
 - (S/NF)
 - b. (U) Travel
 - (S/NF)
 - c. (U) Training
 - (S/NF)
 - (S/NF)


ISN 972 Enclosure (4) Page 2 of 5

SECRET/NOFORN

d. (U) Combat and capture



e. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has links with the following individuals and organizations:




f. (U) Behavior

ISN 972 Enclosure (4) Page 3 of 5

SECRET/NOFORM



- g. (U) Written and/or oral testimony from the EC
 - (U) The EC provided oral testimony as documented in enclosure. (5).



- 5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States
- (U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.
- 6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor




- 7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
- (U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:
- (U) The EC is a threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of intelligence value. Recommend ISN 972 for
- 8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report

ISN 972 Enclosure (4) Page 4 of 5

SECRET/NOFORN-

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.



ISN 972 Enclosure (4) Page 5 of 5

Secret/Noforn



Department of Defense
Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

SECRETAINON				
ACTION MEMO				
FOR: Designated Civ	vilian Official			
FROM: Director, OA	ARDHC Palisto	•		
SUBJECT: ADMINI	SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO ISN 974 (AFGHANISTAN)			
O Subject ARB was held on 14 September 2005 resulting in an unanimous recommendation to				
o Intelligence input	s used in the ARB's determination of the subject	ISN included:		
o Agency assessme	nts follow:			
AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION		
DASD-DA				
CIA				
FBI				
Dept of State				
o The Legal Sufficient (Tab B) with encl	 The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached. 			
RECOMMENDATIO				
(Transfer)	Polon 12-20-0			
Or: Detain	, Release			
	JA Legal Sufficiency Review icer's Assessment and Recommendation			
Prepared by:	SEGNETI/NOTOKIN'	000734		

(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE</u> REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 974

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 974 is a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its recommendation.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the EC present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary in written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated the EC wanted to appear personally in the ARB proceeding and respond to each statement of information in the Unclassified Summary after it was presented.
- (U) The EC made a brief statement regarding his innocence, herein identified in enclosure (6), then responded to each statement of information in the Unclassified Summary after it was presented, consisting of a line-by-line explanation, confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the unclassified summary. The EC answered a series of questions from the ARB, as documented in enclosure (6), regarding his personal knowledge of known Taliban members or leaders with whom he is reported to have associated; his participation against United States Special Forces during a raid on his compound in his home country, Afghanistan and other personal information. The board also queried the EC about his lies to interrogators in Kabul and Bagram. The board specifically noted that the EC remained deceptive even during the ARB proceeding.
- (U) The AMO then presented correspondence submitted on behalf of the EC as Exhibit EC-C, identified herein as enclosure (4).
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board

ISN 974 Enclosure (5) Page 1 of 6


SECRET/NOFORN-

(S/NF)		
(U) The following government ag follows:	gency assessments considered by the	ARB are summarized as
(S/NF)		
(S/NF)		
(FOUO/LES)		
(S/NF)		
(S)		
(S/NF)		
		ISN 974 Enclosure (5) Page 2 of 6
•	SECRET/NOFORN	000736


.



- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:
 - a. (U) Recruitment



- b. (U) Travel
 - (S/NF)
- c. (U) Training
 - (S/NF)
- d. (U) <u>Combat and capture</u>. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.



ISN 974 Enclosure (5) Page 3 of 6


SECRET/NOFORN



e. (U) <u>Organizational affiliations</u>. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.



f. (U) <u>Individual affiliations</u>. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of organizations that plan, to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.



g. (U) Behavior. The EC's behavior has been very compliant, stable and non-hostile.


•	(S/NF)	
•	(FOUO/LES)	

h. (U) Written and/or oral testimony from the EC

ISN 974 Enclosure (5) Page 4 of 6

SECRET/NOFORM.

- (U) The EC provided no written testimony.
- (U) The EC explained, agreed with or denied every item in the Unclassified Summary (DMO-1).
- i. (U) Factors in support of release
 - (U) A letter from the EC's father was submitted to the board (Encl. (4)).



- 5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States
- (U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. A letter from the EC's father was provided to the board.
- 6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor


(U)

- 7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
- (U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) 1

ISN 974 Enclosure (5) Page 5 of 6

SECRET/NOFORN



- 8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report
- (U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

ISN 974 Enclosure (5) Page 6 of 6



Department of Defense

Office for the Administrative Review
of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)
at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

ACTION MEMO

FOR: Designated Civilian Official FROM: Director, OARDHO

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO ISN 977 (AFGHANISTAN)

o Subject ARB was held on 15 November 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to

Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

Agency assessments follow:

ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
	ASSESSMENT

5	The ARB recommended that the following	De (considered:	
1				
į			7 .	

MAGRANA MARKATA

SUBJECT:	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD AS ICO ISN 977 (AFGHANISTAN)	SSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

Or: Continue to Detain ______, Release _____

Attachments:
Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review
Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by:

SECRET // NOFORN

(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE</u> REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 977

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 977 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its recommendation.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the EC present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated the EC chose to appear before the ARB and wanted to respond to each statement of information in the unclassified summary provided it was presented to him "coolly and calmly, after each point, because my memory is very weak ... I take medicine." The AMO read his comments from his ARB interviews with the EC, then verbally summarized the EC's comments during the interviews. The EC's concerns were that after three-plus years of detention, he wasn't sure if "the U.S. detains friends or enemies" because "as a detainee, I have always done my best to cooperate ... and tell the truth," Regarding posing a threat to the U.S. or its allies, the EC stated, "My memories are only good ... based on the U.S. support (to Afghanistan) after the Soviet invasion" and then claimed he was "happy again when the United States invaded to fight al Oaida."
- (U) The EC responded verbally to each allegation/statement in the Unclassified Summary. His comments consisted of a line-by-line confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs three and four of the unclassified summary. The EC ended with a short verbal statement. The EC answered a series of questions from the ARB, which included inquiries about the circumstances of his arrest. All EC testimony and comments are included in enclosure (5).
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board

ISN 977 Enclosure (4) Page 1 of 8


SECRET // NOFORN

SECRET // NOFORN

(S//NF)		
(U) The following government agency assessments considered follows:	d by the ARB are sum	marized as
(S//NF)		
		:
(S//NF)		
(FOUO//LES)		
(S//NF)		
		SN 977
	3	Enclosure (4) Page 2 of 8

SECRET // NOTORN

SECRET "NOFORN



- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:
 - a. (U) Prior History and Recruitment
 - (S)
 (S)
 (S)
 (S)
 (S)
 (S)
 (S)


ISN 977 Enclosure (4) Page 3 of 8

SECRET#NOFORN

SECRET # NOTORN



b. (U) <u>Travel</u>. The EC traveled in locations known to be used or managed by terrorist organizations or the Taliban.



c. (U) <u>Training</u>. The EC received weapons training through his affiliations and membership with known extremist, resistance, and terrorist organizations.




d. (U) <u>Combat and capture</u>. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies. The EC is an experienced soldier and participated in combat operations linked with the global terrorist network. Additionally, the EC has provided logistical support for known terrorist groups.



ISN 977 Enclosure (4) Page 4 of 8

SECRET // NOFORN


SECRET "NOFORN"



e. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.




- (U) Gulbuddin Hekmatyar founded HIG as a faction of the Hizb-I-Islami party in 1977, and HIG was one of the major Mujahidin groups in the war against the Soviets. HIG has long-established ties with Usama bin Laden. In the early 1990s, Gulbuddin Hekmatyar ran several terrorist training camps in Afghanistan. (DMO-3)
 - (S//REL)
 (U) Tier-1 targets are defined as terrorist groups, especially those with state support that has demonstrated a capability to attack U.S. persons or interests.
 (DMO-21)
- f. (U) <u>Individual affiliations</u>. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of organizations that plan, to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.




ISN 977 Enclosure (4) Page 5 of 8

SECRET !! NOFORN.

SECRET // NOFURN



- h. (U) Written and/or oral testimony from the EC. The EC responded verbally to each allegation/statement in the unclassified summary. His comments consisted of a line-by-line confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs three and four and are included in enclosure (5).
- i. (U) Factors in support of release



ISN 977 Enclosure (4) Page 6 of 8

SECRET // NOFORN

SECRET # NOFORN


- (U) O **(U)** " j. (S//NF) k. (S//NF) 1. (S//NF)
- 5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States,
- (U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.
- 6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor

ISN 977 Enclosure (4) Page 7 of 8


SECRET // NOFORN

SECRET # NOFORN

- (U) There was no legal consultation prior to or during the ARB session.
- 7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
- (U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:



- 8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report
- (U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.



ISN 977 Enclosure (4) Page 8 of 8

SECRET # NOFORN



Department of Defense

Office for the Administrative Review
of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)
at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

	10	at U.S. Navai Base Guant Doo Navy Pentagon, Washin		
		OF COLUMN !	COPN	
	FOR: Designated Civilia	ACTION ME an Official	MU	
	•			
	FROM: Director, OARD	HC PACE	A STATE	
		RAŤIVE REVIEW BOARD A 7 (AFGHANISTAN)	SSESSMENT AND RECOMN	IENDATION
þ.	o Subject ARB was he subject ISN	ld on 16 August 2005 resulting i	a unanimous recommendation	to (man)
			•	
	o Intelligence inputs us	sed in the ARB's determination of	of the subject ISN included:	
	Agency assessments	follow:		
	AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOM	MENDATION
	DASD-DA			
	CIA			
	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN			
	FBI			
	FBI			
	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN			
	FBI Dept of State		ling Officer's Assessment and R	ecommendation
	FBI Dept of State The Legal Sufficience		ling Officer's Assessment and R	ecommendation
	Dept of State The Legal Sufficience (Tab B) with enclosure RECOMMENDATION:	ares, are attached.	ling Officer's Assessment and R	
	Dept of State The Legal Sufficience (Tab B) with enclosure RECOMMENDATION:	ares, are attached.	ling Officer's Assessment and R	
	Dept of State The Legal Sufficience (Tab B) with enclosure RECOMMENDATION: (Transfer) Or: Continue to Detain	ares, are attached.	ling Officer's Assessment and R	
	Dept of State The Legal Sufficience (Tab B) with enclosure RECOMMENDATION: (Transfer) Or: Continue to Detain Attachments: Tab A OARDEC ASJA	A Legal Sufficiency Review		
	Dept of State The Legal Sufficience (Tab B) with enclosure RECOMMENDATION: (Transfer) Or: Continue to Detain Attachments: Tab A OARDEC ASJA	res, are attached.		

CECPET/NOFORN

(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 987</u>

1. (U) Introduction

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 987 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings


- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend the ARB. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the EC Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the EC Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). The EC chose to appear personally in the ARB proceedings and opted to respond to each statement of information in the unclassified summary, as shown in exhibit EC-B.
- U) The EC provided oral testimony in response to each statement of information in the unclassified summary and answered a series of questions from the ARB, as documented in Enclosure (5), regarding the allegations made in the unclassified summary. The ARB also inquired about the circumstances of his capture and knowledge of improvised explosive devices.
- (U) The ARB queried the DMO and AMO concerning the details of their reports.
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board




(U) The government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

ISN 987 Enclosure (5) Page 1 of 6

SECRET/NOFORM



- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the EC)
- (U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph 3 above and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:



ISN 987 Enclosure (5) Page 2 of 6

SECRET/NOFORN

c. (U) Training:

• (S/NF)

d. (U) Combat/Operational Experience:

• (S/NF)

• (S/NF)

• (S/NF)

• (S/NF)

e. (U) Capture: American forces arrested the EC in Afghanistan.


• (S/NF)

• (S/NF)

ISN 987 Enclosure (5) Page 3 of 6

CECRET/NOFORM

(U) Connections/Associations:



(S/NF)

ISN 987 Enclosure (5) Page 4 of 6

	h.	(S/NF)
	i.	(U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the EC:
		• (U) The EC did provide oral testimony in response to allegations in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence.
5.	W	D) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the EC's Requests for itness Statements and Home Country Statements Provided Through the United ates
		(U) The EC is a citizen of Afghanistan. A letter from a colleague in Afghanistan was provided by the EC for review by the ARB see enclosure (4).
6.	(U	Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor
(U		
7.	(U)	Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board
(S/	NF	
(S	NF.	
(S/	NF)	
		oon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following ination and recommendation:
		threat to the United States and its allies. The ARB mends that the EC
		ISN 987 Enclosure (5) Page 5 of 6



(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision.

Respectfully submitted.

ISN 987 Enclosure (5) Page 6 of 6



Department of Defense Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC) at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 2035b-1000

SECRET//NOFORM

ACTION MEMO	
FOR: Designated Civilian Official	
FROM: Director, OARDEC	
SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT A	AND RECOMMENDATION
O Subject ARB was held on 29 June 2005 resulting in a unanimous reco	ommendation to
o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject IS	N included:
Agency assessments follow:	
AGENCY ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA CLA FBI	
O The ARB recommended that the EC	
The ARB recommended that the EC	
 The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's A (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached. 	ssessment and Recommendation
RECOMMENDATION:	
Or: Continue to Detain , Release	
Attachments:	
Tab A OARDEC SJA Legal Sufficiency Review Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation	
Prepared by:	
	000758

-SECRET # NOFORN

(U) <u>CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 1003</u>

1. (T)	Introdu	ection

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 1003 to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings

- (U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend the ARB. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.
- (U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified as Enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC chose to participate. The AMO verbally summarized the detainee's responses to the unclassified comments during the interview. In addition, the detainee provided oral statements to the unclassified summary, which is within Enclosure (5).
- (U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.
- 3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board



(U) The government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:



Enclosure (4)
Page 1 of 7

(S/NF)	
(FOUO/LES)	
(S/NF)	
(S/NF)	
(S)	

- 4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)
- (U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph 3 above and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the detainee:
 - (S/NF)

Page 2 of 7

	• (FOUO/LES)	
ь.	(U) Training:	
C.	(U) Government Service Experience:	
	• (S/NF)	
	• (S)	
	• (FOUO/LES)	
đ.	(U) Capture:	
	• (S)	
	• (S/NF)	
	• (FOUO/LES)	
		Page 3 of 7

SECRET/NOFORN

	•	(S/NF)						
	•	(S/NF)						
e.	(U	O Connections Connected Of a	ons/Associ association	ations: Detai with al Qaids	nee is an admit 1.	ted member of t	he Taliban and	
	•	(S/NF)						
	•	(FOUO/L	ES)					
	•	(FOUO/L	ES)					
	•	(FOUO/L	ES)	÷			· ·	
f.	U) Behavior:	The detail		primarily passi	ve with few repo	orts of disciplin	c.
	•	(S/NF)						
	•	(S/NF)						

	• (S/NF)
	• (S/NF)
g.	(S/NF)
	• (S/NF)
	• (\$/NF)
h.	(U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the Detainee:
	• (U) The detainee provided written and oral testimony in response to allegations in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence (Enclosure 5).
i.	(U) Factors in support of release:
	• (FOUO/LES)

Page 5 of 7

• (FOUO/LES)	
• (FOUO/LES)	
• (FOUO/LES)	
• (S)	
• (S/NF)	
5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the En Requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements P United States	emy Combatant's rovided Through the
(U) The detainee is a citizen of Afghanistan.	
6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Ad	lvisor
(U)	
7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review	w Board
(S/NF)	

Page 6 of 7

(S/NF)
(S/NF)
(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:
(U) The EC is a set threat to the United States and its allies. Recommend ISN 1003
(-/
8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's Report