Avestan x^{v} arənah: the etymology and concept

ALEXANDER LUBOTSKY

- **0.** The etymology and concept of Avestan x^v aronah- and its Iranian cognates have always been subject of debate. The problems have been reviewed several times and are well-known.
- 1. Let us start with a short outline of the major constructions involving Av. x^v are nah. The meaning of x^v are nah- we will discuss later, but for the moment, I shall gloss x^v are nah- by 'fortune', without pretending that this is the best rendering.
- 1.1. The most frequent formula with x^v are nah- is the octosyllabic line ahe (mana / $\frac{1}{a}$ $\frac{1}{a$

Yt 3.18 (et passim)

ahe raiia x^varənaŋhaca təm yazāi surunuuata yasna

'On account of his wealth and fortune, I will worship him with audible veneration.'

'Wealth and fortune' are attributes of a god, who can bestow them on a devotee. In Yt 10.108, for instance, Mithra asks (translation Gershevitch 1959: 127):

kahmāi raēšca x^varənasca kahmāi tanuuō druuatātəm azəm baxšāni xšaiiamnō 'On whom may I bestow riches and fortune, on whom health of the body?'

- 'Wealth and fortune' appear together in other formulae, too, cf. raēšca x^varənasca (Y 68.11, 21, Yt 10.108), raiiąmca x^varənaŋhamca (Y 60.4), raēuuaṇt- x^varənaŋ^vhaṇt- (passim).
- 1.2. Another juxtaposition is formed by $x^varənah$ and sauuah- 'power', cf. $sauuasca\ x^varənasca\ (Y\ 60.2);\ x^varənayhō\ sauuayhō\ mazda<math>\delta\bar{a}$ tahe (Y\ 1.14,\ 3.16,\ 4.19;\ Yt\ 17.0,\ 62);\ $x^varəno\ mazda<math>\delta\bar{a}$ təm...\ (Y\ 2.14,\ 17.14),\ etc.
- 1.3. Our knowledge about x^v arənah- primarily comes from the Yašts. In Yt 19, two types of x^v arənah- are distinguished: k auua \bar{e} m x^v arənah- 'the fortune of the Kavi-dynasty' and ax^v arətəm

¹Due to circumstances, I was unable to prepare a full-fledged article for this volume. Here I present the unaltered text of my Innsbruck paper, to which I have only added some footnotes and the bibliography.

²A good recent review of the x^v aronah- problem can be found in Hintze 1994: 15ff. Cf. further Gnoli 1990, 1996, Jacobs 1987, Lecoq 1987, Skjærvø 1983.

 $x^{v}ar \partial n ah^{-3}$. The *kauuaēm* $x^{v}ar \partial n ah^{-1}$ belongs to the gods. By its power they may create and preserve the world. It further accompanied the ancient kings and heroes and gave them extraordinary powers. The $ax^{v}ar \partial t \partial m$, on the other hand, is described as an object of desire for divinities and heroes, who permanently struggle for it. Ahura Mazdā even prescribes to every mortal to fight for the $ax^{v}ar \partial t \partial m$ $x^{v}ar \partial n ah^{-1}$.

In Yt 18, the Aryan x^v arənah- (airiianəm x^v arənah-) is honoured. It was created by Ahura Mazdā, is full of milk and pastures, and overcomes the Daēvas and the non-Aryan countries.

2. The major problem we encounter when dealing with the etymology of this word, is its anlaut. Whereas Avestan x^v are anh, Pahl. anh, and MoPers. anh moPers. a

For a long time it was held that the initial f- is due to a specific Median sound-law PIr. $*x^v$ - > Med. f-. The theory assumed that f-arnah- was borrowed by Old Persian from Median, and then disseminated all over the Iranian territory in the period of the Achaemenid empire. In 1983, however, P.O. Skjærvø convincingly showed that the "Median" theory is untenable. His conclusions can be summarized as follows:

- 1). It is impossible to prove that *farnah* is an originally Median word and that there was an exclusively Median development $*x^v > f$ -.
- 2). Forms with f- are attested on the whole Iranian territory, whereas x^v is confined to Avestan. Pahl. *xwarrah* and MoP *xorre* can be considered loanwords from Avestan.
- 3). There is no evidence that *farnah* was so important in the Achaemenid empire that this term was borrowed into all Iranian dialects of that time and replaced the local variants.

The "Median" theory being discarded, what then is the reason for the difference in anlaut? Skjærvø sees two possible answers:

A. Since farnah- is the most frequent form, it may also be the original one. In other words, Av. x^v aronah- may be an adaptation of *farnah- < * p^h arnas- (?) to the Avestan phonological system, which had no initial f- before a vowel.

B. The development PIr. *huarnah- > farnah- is due to dissimilation hu - h > f - h (or h - h > f - h, followed by fua - sample function for the harmonic function of the harmonic function for the harmonic function for the harmonic function for the harmonic function function for the harmonic function function for the harmonic function func

³The meaning of $ax^varətəm$ is disputed. In my opinion, the adjective $ax^varəta$ - means 'ungiven, undistributed' and is a loan from "Scythian" *afarta- < Proto-Iranian *a-parta- (probably reflected in Ossetic æværd/ævard 'put apart, saved, preserved', æværyn/æværun 'to place apart, to save') with substitution of -f- by - x^v - (for the mechanism see § 2.1 and § 5). This is of no consequence for the present discussion, however.

⁴The only example in Bartholomae's dictionary is the hapax Yt 19.3 $f_{\bar{a}\eta kauu\bar{o}}$ 'top of the mountain', but this word must probably be corrected to *fr\(\bar{a}\tilde{\eta}kauu\(\bar{o}\)\$, cf. Hintze 1994: 79.

482

Skjærvø considers the second option more probable,⁵ but the whole scenario seems very unlikely to me (cf. also the criticism by Lecoq 1987). A dissimilation of the type *huarnah-> farnah- can be a sporadic development at best, especially if we take into account that the newly arisen fa- is a unique sequence in most of the Iranian dialects. Therefore, we can safely rule out the possibility that this dissimilation could have occurred independently in Median, Persian, Sogdian, Khotanese, etc. The only other possibility would then be to assume that this development took place in Common Iranian when the speakers of Avestan had already left, but this is not very probable either: there is not a shred of evidence that Avestan separated from Common Iranian before other dialects.

2.1. Let us now take a closer look at Skjærvø's solution A, which, in my view, is essentially correct. First of all, the original form *farnah- accounts better for the distribution: forms with f- are attested on the whole Iranian territory, whereas x^v - is confined to Avestan. As indicated by Skjærvø, the initial x^v - of Av. x^v arənah- can be explained by substitution of fa- by x^v a-. This kind of substitution is not uncommon in loan words. For instance, in South Russian dialects, f(-) in loan words is regularly reflected as x^w , cf. x^w abr'ika 'factory' (Standard Russ. fabrika), x^w anar' 'lantern' (Standard Russ. fonar', a borrowing from Gr. $\varphi \alpha v \alpha \rho \iota v \nu$), etc. (cf. Kuznecov 1960: 79; Avanesov 1949: 124).

2.2. Secondly, there is an important linguistic argument against a Proto-Iranian reconstruction *hyarnah-, which, as far as I know, has never been mentioned in the literature. Avestan compounds with second members in $^{\circ}x^{\circ}$ - normally appear with $-\dot{s}(.)x^{\circ}$ - after i, u, r, which is the result of the RUKI-rule, 7 cf. $hu\dot{s}x^{\circ}afa$ (Y 57.17) 3sg. pf. $\sqrt{x^{\circ}ap}$ - 'to sleep'; $paiti\dot{s}.x^{\circ}ana$ - (N 26) 'disturbing noise'; $pairi\dot{s}.x^{\circ}axta$ - (Y 11.7) 'surrounded on all sides'; $paiti\dot{s}(.)x^{\circ}arana$ - (V 3.14, 8.43,44, 9.16,40) 'jaws'; $aiiagh\bar{o}.paiti\dot{s}x^{\circ}arana$ - (Yt 10.70) 'with iron jaws'; $ai\beta i\dot{s}.x^{\circ}ara\theta a$ - (V 6.32,38,41) 'suitable for consumption'; $anai\beta i\dot{s}.x^{\circ}ara\theta a$ - (V 6.31,34-5,37) 'unsuitable for consumption'; $mainiiu\dot{s}.x^{\circ}ara\theta a$ - (Y 55.2²; Yt 10.125) 'reared on supernatural food' (Gershevitch 1959: 135); $pasu\dot{s}.x^{\circ}ara\theta a$ - n. (V 19.41 Gl.) 'food for the cattle'.

Apart from three compounded verbs, unchanged x^v is only found in compounds with x^v arenah: $atereta - x^v$ arenah- (Yt 13.102) PN, $ai\beta i - x^v$ arenah- (Yt 13.117) PN; $ai\beta i \cdot x^v$ arenah- (Yt

⁵Also accepted by Gnoli 1990 and 1996.

⁶There are many other parallels for substitution of f by x^v/hv in loan words. After the presentation of my paper, Stefan Schumacher mentioned to me Middle Welsh *Chwefror* 'February', a borrowing of Latin *Februārius* (cf. also Schrijver 1995: 160). In Finnish we find *sohva* 'sofa', *kirahvi* 'giraffe', etc. In Lithuanian, which had no phoneme h/h, f was substituted by h/hv, e.g. h/hv kvalbonas 'flounce', borrowed from Polish h/hv falbana, cf. also German h/hv (Mayrhofer, per litt.).

⁷For more details on $-\dot{s}h$ - $/\dot{s}x^{v}$ - in Avestan compounds I refer the reader to Lubotsky forthcoming [[= Lubotsky 1999]].

⁸V 18.16,24 *ni-x^vabdaiieiti* 3sg. 'to put to sleep', Y 57.10 *paiti* x^v anhaiieiti 3sg. 'to thrash', ViD 10 $ai\beta i x^v$ arəṇti 3pl. 'to eat'.

15.48) 'full of x^v arənah-'; pouru. x^v arənah- (Yt 18.1; V 19.3; Ny 3.11, 5.6; S 1.9, 2.9; Vyt 7, 24 paouru. x^v arənah-) 'with much x^v arənah-'; viṇdi- x^v arənah- (Yt 15.45) 'with the found x^v arənah-'.

Also in the position after $-\bar{a}$, the initial x^v of x^v are nah- remains unchanged ($u\bar{s}ta.-x^v$ are nah-, $v\bar{s}p\bar{o}.x^v$ are nah-, $bar\bar{o}.x^v$ are nah-, $haom\bar{o}.x^v$ are nah-), whereas, for instance, the initial x^v of x^v are nah- 'eating' often appears as $-y^v$ h- (anh ah hare nah-) hare nah- 'cheek').

This state of affairs shows that the initial x^v - of Avestan x^v aronah- can hardly reflect Proto-Iranian *hy- < PIE *sy-.

- 2.3. Skjærvø has probably rejected *farnah- as a proto-form because the PIr. reconstruction *pharnah- does not lead any further, but, as a matter of fact, there is no need to reconstruct this Proto-Iranian form. As already assumed by Bartholomae and many others, *farnah- is likely to be a dialectal word. The whole problem must accordingly be seen in a different light: if *farnah- is a form of an Iranian dialect, which Proto-Iranian word can it reflect? In the following I shall argue that *farnah- goes back to PIr. *parnah-. The proof is Skt. parīṇas-, which is not only the same morphological formation, but, as we shall presently see, has the same range of meanings.
- 3. Skt. $par\bar{\imath}nas$ n. is traditionally glossed 'fullness, abundance' and derived from the PIE root * $pelH_1$ 'to fill' (cf. Mayrhofer, EWAia s.v.). For Skt. $par\bar{\imath}nas$ we can reconstruct PIE * $pelH_1$ -nos-, the expected Iranian reflex of which is *parnah- with loss of the laryngeal in inlaut. We shall return to the problem of the Iranian initial f- a little later. Let us first look at the actual occurrences of Ved. $par\bar{\imath}nas$ -. This word is attested only in the RV (the other occurrences being RV-ic repetitions or variants). It occurs eleven times as a simplex and twice in the compound $gopar\bar{\imath}nas$ -. Finally, there is one attestation of the adjective or neuter $par\bar{\imath}nasa$ -.
- 3.1. Among the eleven occurrences of $par\bar{t}nas$, we find four times an asyndetic formula $r\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ $par\bar{t}nas\bar{a}^{10}$ at the end of the line, three times referring to Indra and once to Agni. A typical example is 8.97.6a-d (other passages are 1.129.9a; 4.31.12b; 5.10.1c):

Also in Middle Iranian there are, to my knowledge, no certain traces of $-\check{s}(x^v)$ - in compounds with $*x^v$ arnah-. Klingenschmitt (1975: 149, fn. 1) assumed that Toch. A compounds puttisparäm 'Buddhawürde', $\bar{a}r\bar{a}ntispar$ and 'Arhatwürde', etc. are borrowed from an Iranian language where these compounds sounded like *putisuarnah- being analogically formed to the old determinative compound *kaui-suarnah- 'Kavi-Würde'. This hypothetical scenario has several weaknesses. First, all East Iranian languages show the reflexes of *farnah- and not *x^varnah-, and the Tocharian simplex A paräm, B perne reflects *farnah- rather than *x^varnah-. Klingenschmitt sees the difficulty and assumes that Toch. p- may also reflect Iran. hu-, but his only parallel is Toch. B waipecce from Iran. hu- for which he has to resort to the peculiar Tocharian variation p: w. Secondly, compounds of this type are not attested in Middle Iranian. In Sogdian, for instance, the normal expression for 'Buddha's rank' is pwty'kh prn /putyāk farn/, where pwty'kh is a denominal abstract (cf. Sims-Williams 1981: 12f.). It is therefore easier to assume that the unexplained element $-i\hat{s}$ - of the Tocharian compounds goes back to a denominal suffix of the Iranian donor language (*- \bar{t} e*).

 $^{^{10}}$ The asyndetic character of $r\bar{a}y\dot{\bar{a}}$ $p\dot{a}r\bar{\imath}nas\bar{a}$ was recognized for the first time by Wackernagel – Debrunner 1954: 738.

484

sá naḥ sómeṣu somapāḥ suteṣu savasas pate / mādayasva rādhasā sūnrtāvatā- -indra rāyā parīṇasā //

'Get intoxicated with our pressed out Soma-juices, o Indra, Soma-drinker, Lord of power, with (your) bountiful gifts, with (your) wealth (and) parīṇas-.'

Presumably parīṇas- was already moribund in the RV because the poet of 3.24 mistakenly made parīṇas- masculine when he transposed the formula into the accusative, cf. 3.24.5ab

ágne dā dāsuse rayim vīravantam parīnasam /

'O Agni, give to the devoted one wealth, consisting of valiant heroes, and parīṇas-!'

It seems reasonable to identify the formula $r\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ $par\bar{t}nas\bar{a}$ with the Avestan formula (ahe/mana/anham) raiia $x^varananhaca$ and its variants. This is without a doubt an example of a Proto-Indo-Iranian formula.

3.2. At the end of a hymn to the Maruts, 1.166.14, we read:

yéna dīrghám marutaḥ sūsavāma yuṣmākena parīṇasā turāsaḥ / á yát tatánan vṛjáne jánāsa ebhir yajnebhis tad abhīṣṭim asyām //

'Your parīṇas-, o Maruts, through which we shall stay powerful for a long time, o strong ones, and which (other) people will try to draw into their surrounding, is what I seek to acquire with these sacrifices as a gift.'

First of all, the passage shows that there is a direct connection between the $par\bar{t}nas$ - and the power (root $s\bar{u}$ -), which is reminiscent of the Avestan pair sauuasca $x^varanasca$, cf. § 1.2.¹¹ Furthermore, we may conclude from the passage that $par\bar{t}nas$ - is not simply 'abundance', but also some kind of military superiority, sovereignty. The imagery is essentially the same as that of Avestan $airiianam x^varano^{12}$.

Just as Av. x^v aronah-, Vedic p arīṇas- is a quality possessed by the gods (especially Indra and his gang, the Maruts), which can be bestowed on the devotees. This also follows from 8.21.7-8ab:

nūtnā id indra te vayam ūtī abhūma nahi nū te adrivaḥ / vidmā purā parīṇasaḥ //
'We, of the new generation, are dependent on your help, Indra. We have known your parīṇas-, not (only) now, but also before, o master of the pressing stones.' 13

¹¹Compare also the same combination in 8.97.6, cited above, where Indra is, on the one hand, $\dot{savasas}$ pate, and $r\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ par \bar{i} nas \bar{a} , on the other.

¹²From Yt 10.27 it follows that x^v arənah- can also be possessed by the 'bad guys': $y\bar{o}$ daiy hāu \dot{s} rax \dot{s} ii \dot{a} para razi \dot{s} that \dot{s} baraiti, paiti \dot{s} varaiieiti, apa vərə θ ra γ nəm baraiti '(Mi \dot{s} ra), who carries off the straightest (paths) of the defiant country, diverts its chances, removes its victoriousness' (Gershevitch). It is clear that one has to fight for the \dot{s} that \dot{s} arenah- with the enemy. Cf. also Hintze 1994: 27.

¹³This rendering seems more adequate than Geldner's 'Wir sind aufs neue deiner Hilfe gewärtig, Indra, denn noch haben wir früher deine volle Größe nicht kennen gelernt, o Herr des Preßsteins.' Cf. especially 8.75.16 vidmā hi te purā vayam agne pitur yathāvasaḥ adhā te sumnam īmahe 'For we have known your help before, o Agni, as that of a father. Therefore we ask you for your good-will.'

3.3. From 8.77.9 we learn that parīnas- is something through which Indra performs his heroic

etā cyautnāni te krtā varsisthāni parīņasā / hrdā vīdv adhārayah //

'These highest deeds of yours, performed with parīnas-, you kept firmly on your heart.'

This point is reminiscent of Yt 19.10, where it is said that $x^{\nu}ar \partial nah$ - belongs to Ahura Mazdā (asti ahurahe mazd $\frac{1}{a}$), so that he creates the world.

3.4. A more profane aspect of parīṇas- follows from 1.133.7a:

vanoti hi sunvan ksayam parīnasah

'The presser (of Soma) wins indeed a house of parīnas-.'

The idea that x^{ν} aronah- is present in the house of a devoted man follows, for instance, from Y 60.7 mā yauue imaţ nmānəm x^v ā ϑ rauuaţ x^v arənō frazahīţ 'May the comfort-bringing x^v arənahnever leave this house'. 14 A similar meaning has been preserved to this very day in Ossetic farn 'happiness, peace, prosperity'.

- 3.5. The remaining two RV-ic passages containing parīnas- and the one containing parīnasa- are
- **3.6.** Finally, let me shortly mention the compound goparīṇas-, which at least in 8.45.24¹⁶ means something like 'abundance of milk':

iha tvā goparīṇasā mahé mandantu rādhase / saro gauro yathā piba //

'May (the juices) with the abundance of milk intoxicate you here for a great gift! Drink a lake as a bull!'

Compare this compound with Avestan Yt 18.1:

less diagnostic¹⁵, so that I shall skip them now.

mraot ahurō mazdå spitamāi zaraðuštrāi:

azəm daδam airiianəm x^varənō gaomauuaitīm pouru.vaθβəm

6

uta dāsā pariviṣe smaddiṣṭī goparīṇasā | yadus turvas ca māmahe ||

¹⁴The same image is probably alluded to in a difficult passage Yt 14.41, for which see Kellens 1974: 78ff.: vərəθraγnō auui imat nmānəm gaosurābiiō x^varənō pairi.vərənauuaiti... 'Vərəθrayna entoure cette maison de x^varənah en même temps que de richesse en vaches...'

¹⁵The passages are:

^{1.56.2}a-b: tám gūrtáyo nemannişah párīnasah samudrám ná samcárane sanişyávah /

^{&#}x27;The praises of (his) parīnas-, seeking for the guidance, (fill) him, like (rivers filling) the ocean, competing in the joint movement.'

^{8.84.7}a-c: kásya nūnám párīṇaso dhiyo jinvasi dampate | góṣātā yásya te giraḥ \parallel

^{&#}x27;Whose poetic thoughts concerning parīṇas- do you incite now, O master of the house (Agni), so that his praises of you will be cattle-winning?'

^{9.97.9}c-d: parīnasam krnute tigmasrīgo divā harir dadrse naktam rjrah ||

^{&#}x27;He with pointed horns (Soma) becomes parīnasa-. In the day-time he looks bay, at night he looks white.'

¹⁶The passage 10.62.10a-c is less clear:

^{&#}x27;And two slaves for serving, equally trained, together with abundance of milk (?), gave (me) Yadu and Turva(sa).'

'Says Ahura Mazd \bar{a} to Spitama Zara ϑ uštra: I have created the Aryan x^v arənah, full of milk, full of pastures.'

- 4. What can be said about the original meaning of $par\bar{t}nas$ -? As is well known, the Indo-European words with the suffix *-nos- often have the meaning of some property (Wackernagel Debrunner 1954: 737f.), cf. Skt. apnas-, Av. afnah- 'property'; Skt. dravinas-, Av. draonah- 'share, divided property' (Hoffmann 1957: 70f. = 1976: 420f.); Skt. reknas- 'wealth', Av. $ra\bar{e}xonah$ 'heritage'. Therefore, $par\bar{t}nas$ may have originally meant 'full property, omnipossession, sovereignty', then also 'abundance'. 'Sovereignty, dominion, control over a territory' seems to be an essential element of Av. $x^varonah$ -17 and its Iranian cognates. It should be borne in mind that control of a vast territory is absolutely vital for a nomadic society: it has been calculated that in order to raise 6-7 cows or horses one needs 1 square km of pasture in the Eurasian steppes (Kuz'mina 1994: 205).
- 5. And now the last intriguing question: how to account for the initial f- of Iranian farnahinstead of the expected *p-? Since farnah- is most probably a dialectal Iranian form, we must look for an Iranian language, where *p regularly yields f. I know of only one such language: Ossetic. The date of the Ossetic sound change *p > f has been disputed. The Sarmatian names on Greek inscriptions in Southern Russia (1st c. B.C. 3rd c. A.D.) show both π and φ :

```
Πουρθαιος (Olbia) / Φουρτας (Tanais) (< *puθra-, Oss. fyrt/furt); Πιδος (Berezan') / Φιδας (Tanais, Panticapaeum) (< *pitā, Oss. fyd/fidæ).
```

Abaev (1949: 212f., 1979: 332) interpreted the π/φ alternation diachronically, but, as indicated by Bielmeier (1989: 240), the different reflexes may belong to different dialects: forms with π are attested in the West (Olbia)¹⁹, whereas forms with φ are found in the East. Therefore we may assume that the sound change *p > f took place in some of the Scythian dialects early enough to be found in various Iranian names.

The first attestation of the element *farnah*- in Median onomastics can be dated around 714 B.C. (the reign of Sargon II, 721-705 B.C., Lecoq 1987: 678). We know that at that time Media was invaded by Scythian tribes, and it is only natural to assume that the Median princes and high military officials were of Scythian descent. On the Persepolis reliefs, Median chieftains are

¹⁷Hintze 1994: 26ff. argues that x^v arənah- is considered by the authors of the Yashts as something visible. Even if this view be correct – the relevant passages allow of more than one interpretation –, the image of the visible x^v arənah- can be ascribed to the influence of the Middle-Eastern idea of visible sovereignty.

¹⁸This rendering is very close to the meaning which was posited for Av. x^{ν} aronah- by Bailey 1943 on the basis of careful analysis of the Avestan texts. He gives the following rendering of x^{ν} aronah-: "'a thing obtained or desired', thence 'a good thing, a desirable thing, possessions, good things'" (1943: 2). It is on the basis of this meaning that Bailey tried to etymologize the word, first as *huar-nah- from the root huar- 'to grasp', later as *hu-arnah-. His etymologies are not very appealing, but his semantic analysis is excellent.

¹⁹Justi 1895: 94 mentions the name Παρνος found in Olbia, who was the father of Κασαις, a strategos in Olbia!

dressed like Scythians and wear the same weapons as Scythians (Sakā Tigraxaudā) (Vogelsang 1992: esp. 173ff.).

6. Let us sum up: Iranian farnah- is of Scythian origin, cognate with Vedic $par\bar{t}nas$ -, as shown by Avestan and Vedic formulae. The original meaning of Indo-Iranian *parHnas- was 'sovereignty, control', then 'abundance'. Avestan $x^varenah$ - is a borrowing from Scythian with substitution of the initial fa- by x^va -.

487

The genuine Avestan word related to Scythian farnah- and Skt. parīṇas- is Av. *parənah-, preserved in the adjective parənanhuntəm (Yt 5.130), meaning something like 'abundant'²⁰.

Bibliography

Abaev, V.I. 1949. Osetinskij jazyk i fol'klor. Moskva – Leningrad.

Abaev, V.I. 1979. Skifo-sarmatskie narečija. Osnovy iranskogo jazykoznanija – drevneiranskie jazyki. Moskva, 272-364.

Bartholomae – Wolff: Avesta, die heiligen Bücher der Parsen, übersetzt auf der Grundlage von Chr. Bartholomae's altiranischem Wörterbuch von Fritz Wolff. Strassburg 1910.

Avanesov, R.I. 1949. Očerki russkoj dialektologii I. Moskva.

Bailey, H.W. 1943. Zoroastrian Problems in the Ninth-Century Books. Ratanbai Katrak Lectures. Oxford 1943, repr. with Introduction 1971.

Bielmeier, R. 1989. Sarmatisch, Alanisch, Jassisch und Altossetisch. Compendium Linguarum Iranicarum, herausg. von R. Schmitt. Wiesbaden: Reichert.

Geldner, K.F. Der Rig-veda, aus dem Sanskrit ins Deutsche übersetzt..., 4 vols. Cambridge, Mass., 1951-1957.

Gershevitch, I. 1959. The Avestan hymn to Mithra. Cambridge.

Gnoli, G. 1990. On Old Persian farnah-. Iranica Varia: Papers in honor of Professor Ehsan Yarshater (Acta Iranica 30. Troisième série. Textes et mémoires, vol. XVI), Leiden: Brill, 83-92.

Gnoli, G. 1996. Über das iranische *huarnah-: lautliche, morphologische und etymologische Probleme. Zum Stand der Forschung. Altorientalische Forschungen 23, 171-180.

Hintze, A. 1994. Der Zamyād-Yašt: Edition, Übersetzung, Kommentar. Wiesbaden: Reichert.

Hoffmann, K. 1957. Zwei vedische Wortsippen: 1. kava-, 2. drū. MSS 10, 59-71.

Hoffmann, K. 1976. Aufsätze zur Indoiranistik II. Wiesbaden.

Jacobs, B. 1987. Das Chvarnah – Zum Stand der Forschung. MDOG 119, 215-248.

Justi, F. 1895. Iranisches Namenbuch. Marburg. (Reprint: 1963, Hildesheim)

Kellens, J. 1974. Les noms-racines de l'Avesta. Wiesbaden: Reichert.

_

²⁰The difficult passage reads *upa stərəmaēṣu vārəma daiδe parənaŋhuntəm vīspam hujiiāitīm iriθəntəm xṣaθrəm zazāiti*. Bartholomae – Wolff leave the last three words untranslated and render the rest as 'In (seinen) Lagerräumen bringt er nach Belieben in reicher Fülle alles unter, was zum Wohlleben dient.' Oettinger (1983: 126) translates: 'In den Lagerräumen bringe ich mir nach Wunsch Fülle bietenden und alles Wohlleben mit sich führenden (Reichtum) unter. Erst den Sterbenden verläßt das Besitztum.'

- Klingenschmitt, G. 1975. Tocharisch und Urindogermanisch. Flexion und Wortbildung. Akten der V. Fachtagung der indogermanischen Gesellschaft, Regensburg, 9. –14. September 1973, ed. H. Rix. Wiesbaden, 148-163.
- Kuz'mina, E.E. 1994. Otkuda prišli indoarii? Material'naja kul'tura plemen andronovskoj obščnosti i proisxoždenie indoirancev. Moskva.
- Kuznecov, P.S. 1960. Russkaja dialektologija. Moskva³.
- Lecoq, P. 1987. Le mot *farnah* et les Scythes. Comptes-Rendus d'Académie des Inscriptions. Belles-Lettres 1987, 671-682.
- Lubotsky, A. forthcoming [[= 1999]]. Avestan compounds and the RUKI-rule. *Compositiones indogermanicae in memoriam Jochem Schindler*, hrsg. von H. Eichner und H.C. Luschützky unter redaktioneller Mitwirkung von V. Sadovski. Praha, 299-322.
- Mayrhofer, M. EWAia. Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. Heidelberg, 1986-.
- Oettinger, N. 1983. Untersuchungen zur avestischer Sprache am Beispiel des Ardvīsur-Yašt. Habilschrift.
- Schrijver, P. 1995. Studies in British Celtic historical phonology. Amsterdam Atlanta.
- Sims-Williams, N. 1981. Some Sogdian denominal abstract suffixes. AO 42, 11-19.
- Skjærvø, P.O. 1983. Farnah-: mot mède en vieux-perse? Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris 78, 241-259.
- Vogelsang, W.J. 1992. The Rise & Organisation of the Achaemenid Empire: The Eastern Iranian Evidence. Leiden New York Köln.
- Wackernagel, J. A. Debrunner, 1954. Altindische Grammatik, Band II,2: Die Nominalsuffixe. Göttingen.