

## APPENDIX A

### COST ESTIMATION PROCEDURE FOR CARBON MONOXIDE

The price of carbon monoxide was estimated based on the fuel value of carbon monoxide, and the cost and heat of combustion of methane since the price for carbon monoxide was not available from the Chemical Market Reporter. The price (\$/kg), and heats of combustion of methane (kcal/kg) and carbon monoxide are given in Table 4.24. The heats of combustion values for both the gases were taken from Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook. Using this information, the price of carbon monoxide was estimated in \$/kg of CO. The procedure for estimating the price of CO is given below.

Table A.1. Heats of Combustion of Methane and Carbon Monoxide, and Price of Methane.

Property	Methane	Carbon Monoxide	Source
Heat of Combustion (kcal/kg)	13,265.1	2414.7	Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook
Selling Price (\$/kg)	\$0.172/kg, or \$3.5/MBTU		<a href="http://www.repartners.org/renewables/recosts.htm">http://www.repartners.org/renewables/recosts.htm</a>

Price of methane = \$0.172 /kg or \$3.5 /MBTU

Heat of combustion of methane = 13265.1 kcal/kg-methane

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Price of methane in terms of } \$/\text{kcal} &= \frac{\$ 0.172 / \text{kg}}{13265.1 \text{ kcal/kg-methane}} \\ &= \$ 1.2966 \times 10^{-5} / \text{kcal}\end{aligned}$$

Heat of combustion of carbon monoxide = 2414.7 kcal/kg-CO

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Price of CO in terms of } \$/\text{kg-CO} &= (\$ 1.2966 \times 10^{-5} / \text{kcal}) (2414.7 \text{ kcal/kg-CO}) \\ &= \$ 0.031 / \text{kg-CO}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the price of CO was estimated to be \$ 0.031 /kg-CO.

## APPENDIX B

### COST ESTIMATION PROCEDURE FOR HYDROGEN

The price of hydrogen depends on the price of natural gas. Using the price of natural gas as \$3.5 per thousand cubic feet or million BTUs, the formula given by Kuehler, 2003 to compute the hydrogen price is:

$$\text{Hydrogen price (\$/Thousand SCF)} = \frac{[0.9(\text{natural gas price in \$/MBTU})]}{2} + 0.45$$

where, SCF is standard cubic feet

$$= 0.45(\text{natural gas price in \$/MBTU}) + 0.45$$

$$= (0.45 \times 3.5 + 0.45) \text{ \$/1000 ft}^3$$

$$= 0.0715 \text{ \$/m}^3$$

Thus, 1 m<sup>3</sup> of hydrogen costs \$ 0.0715

Kuehler, 2003, reported that the energy content (heat of combustion) of natural gas was 310 BTU/SCF. The density of hydrogen at standard state taken from Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook is 0.0898 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Using the density of hydrogen, the price of hydrogen can be represented in terms of \$/kg of H<sub>2</sub>.

$$\text{Thus, the price of hydrogen} = \$ \frac{0.0175}{0.0898} / \text{kg H}_2 = \$ 0.796 / \text{kg H}_2$$

## APPENDIX C

### PROCEDURE FOR VALUE ADDED ECONOMIC ANALYSIS FOR A PROCESS

The procedure for evaluating a value added economic analysis for a process is discussed below with an example. The procedure is shown for the potentially new process for the production of acetic acid described by Taniguchi, et al., 1998. The calculations involve the raw material costs, product sales, and the energy costs. All the heat energy involved in the potentially new processes was assumed to be in the form of high-pressure (HP) steam. The conditions for HP steam are 47 bar, 260 °C, and with a specific heat of 1.067 kcal/kg °C.

The profit is calculated as the difference between the total product sales, raw material costs, and utility costs. The general equation for the calculation of value added economic profit is:

$$\text{Profit} = \Sigma \text{Product Sales} - \Sigma \text{Raw Material Costs} - \Sigma \text{Utility Costs} \quad (4.1)$$

Utilities include the cost of process steam, cooling water and electricity. In the value added economic analysis, the cost of steam and cooling water are included, but electricity is not included. Evaluating electricity requires a detailed process flow diagram with all pumps and compressors sized. Then the electrical requirements for the prime movers are summed.

The acetic acid process by Taniguchi, et al., 1998, described in Chapter Three is used to illustrate the evaluation. From the HYSYS simulation, the energy supplied to the process was  $1,273 \times 10^3$  kJ/hr, and the process produced 933 kg/hr of acetic acid (Figure 4.12 and Table 4.13). Energy is supplied from the enthalpy of vaporization ( $\Delta H_{\text{vap}}$ ) of high-pressure (HP) steam, and the amount of HP steam required for this process is

calculated as follows. The enthalpy of evaporation of HP steam at 260°C is 1661.5 kJ/kg (Smith, et al., 1996).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{HP steam required for this process} &= \text{Energy from HYSYS} / \Delta H_{\text{vap}} \text{ (kJ/hr)}(\text{kg/kJ}) \\ &= 1,273 \times 10^3 / 1661.5 \text{ kg/hr} \\ &= 766 \text{ kg/hr}\end{aligned}$$

From HYSYS flow sheet, Figure 4.12, the total energy liberated from this process was calculated to be  $1,148 \times 10^3$  kJ/hr. Cooling water was heated from 30°C and 50°C (Turton, et al., 1998). The amount of cooling water required is given by the following equation.

$$q = mc_p\Delta T \quad (4.2)$$

Where,  $q$  = Energy absorbed, kcal/hr

$m$  = Mass flow rate of cooling water, kg/hr

$c_p$  = Specific heat of water, kJ/kg-°C

$\Delta T$  = Change in temperature, °C

The specific heat of water is 1 kcal/kg°C, and the difference in temperature is 20°C since the water is entering at 30°C and leaving at 50°C. The value of  $q$  is the energy absorbed by the cooling water, and for acetic acid plant it was  $1,148 \times 10^3$  kJ/hr. Substituting the values in Equation 4.2, the amount of cooling water required for this process was calculated to be 13,730 kg/hr.

The economic data used for this process is shown in Table 4.13, and it is repeated here for convenience.

Table 4.13. Economic Results for the HYSYS Simulated Process for the Production of Acetic Acid described by Taniguchi, et al., 1998.

<b>Product/Raw Material</b>	<b>Flow Rate from HYSYS Simulation (kg/h)</b>	<b>Cost/Selling Price (\$/kg)</b>	<b>Source</b>
Carbon Dioxide	685	0.003	Hertwig, T. A., Private Communication, 2003
Methane	249	0.172	<a href="http://www.repartners.org/renewables/recosts.htm">http://www.repartners.org/renewables/recosts.htm</a>
Acetic Acid	933	1.034	Chemical Market Reporter, February 1, 2002
High Pressure Steam	766	0.00865	Turton, et al., 1998
Cooling Water	13,730	$6.7 \times 10^{-6}$	Turton, et al., 1998
<b>Value Added Profit</b>	\$ 913 / hr	97.9 cents/kg-acetic acid	

The product sales, raw material costs, cooling water costs, and the energy costs were calculated using the information provided in Table 4.12. The value added economic profit was calculated by substituting these values in equation 4.1.

$$\text{HP Steam Cost} = 766 \times 0.00865 \text{ (kg/hr)}(\$/\text{kg})$$

$$= \$ 6.63 \text{ /hr}$$

$$\text{Cooling Water Cost} = 13,730 \times 6.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (kg/hr)}(\$/\text{kg})$$

$$= \$ 0.092 \text{ /hr}$$

$$\text{Methane feed cost} = 249 \times 0.172 \text{ (kg/hr)}(\$/\text{kg})$$

$$= \$ 42.83 \text{ /hr}$$

$$\text{Cost for delivering CO}_2 \text{ from pipeline} = 685 \times 0.003 \text{ (kg/hr)}(\$/\text{kg})$$

$$= \$ 2.06 \text{ /hr}$$

$$\text{Acetic acid sales} = 933 \times 1.034 \text{ (kg/hr)}(\$/\text{kg})$$

$$= \$ 964.72 \text{ /hr}$$

$$\text{Total Profit, } \$/\text{h} = \$ (- 6.63 - 0.092 - 42.83 - 2.06 + 964.72) \text{ /hr} = \$ 913 \text{ /hr}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total Profit, \$/kg- acetic acid} &= 913 / 933 (\$/\text{hr})/(\text{kg-acetic acid}/\text{hr}) \\ &= 97.9 \text{ cents/kg-acetic acid} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the value added economic profit for this potentially new process was 97.9 cents per kg of acetic acid. This profit was based on a selling price of \$1.03 per kg of acetic acid (Chemical Market Reporter, 2002), as shown in Table 4.13. The above economic model considered only the raw material costs, product sales, cooling water costs, and the energy costs. The other operating costs, and a return on investment were not included. Thus, the profit expected from the value added economic model decreases if all the other operating costs were included.

A list of current selling prices of products and raw material costs for various chemicals used in this research was given in Table 4.25.

Table C.1. Product Prices and Raw Material Costs.

<b>Product/Raw Material</b>	<b>Cost/Selling Price (\\$/kg)</b>	<b>Source</b>
Methane	0.172	<a href="http://www.repartners.org/renewables/recosts.htm">http://www.repartners.org/renewables/recosts.htm</a>
Hydrogen	0.796	Appendix B
Methanol	0.300	Chemical Market Reporter, 2003
Graphite	0.882	Camford Chemical Prices, 2000
HP Steam	0.00865	Turton, et al., 1998
Cooling Water	$6.7 \times 10^{-6}$	Turton, et al., 1998
Carbon Monoxide	0.031	Appendix A
Dimethyl Ether	0.946	<a href="http://www.che.cemr.wvu.edu/publications/projects/dimethyl/dme-b.pdf">http://www.che.cemr.wvu.edu/publications/projects/dimethyl/dme-b.pdf</a>
Carbon Dioxide	0.003	Hertwig, T. A., Private Communication, 2003
Formic Acid	0.690	Chemical Market Reporter, April 1, 2002
Mono-Methylamine	1.606	Chemical Market Reporter, 2000
Di-Methylamine	1.606	Chemical Market Reporter, 2000
Ammonia	0.150	Chemical Market Reporter, February 4, 2002
Ethanol	0.670	Chemical Market Reporter, 2002
Acetic Acid	1.034	Chemical Market Reporter, 2002
Ethylbenzene	0.551	Chemical Market Reporter, 2002
Styrene	0.705	Chemical Market Reporter, 2002
Propane	0.163	C & EN, June 2003, p.15
Propylene	0.240	C & EN, June 2003, p.15

## APPENDIX D

### STREAM FLOW RATES AMONG PLANTS IN THE CHEMICAL COMPLEX

Table D.1. Stream Flow Rates Among Plants, Base Case.

Plant Name	Entering Streams	Flow Rate (metric tons/year)	Leaving Streams	Flow Rate (metric tons/year)
Contact process sulfuric acid	Sulfur	1,226,200	Sulfuric acid	3,758,700
	Air	7,847,400	Vent	6,039,200
	Boiler feed water	5,894,700	LP steam	1,952,900
	H <sub>2</sub> O	736,600	Blowdown	424,500
			HP steam	2,929,300
			IP steam	588,000
			Others	12,300
Wet process phosphoric acid	Decant water	7,838,800	H <sub>2</sub> SiF <sub>6</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O	26,000
	Phosphate rock	4,656,800	SiF <sub>4</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O	1,850,400
	Sulfuric acid	3,758,700	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	2,906,100
	LP steam	2,880,400	Cooled LP steam	2,880,400
	H <sub>2</sub> O	537,100	H <sub>2</sub> O	4,233,600
			Others	1,997,000
GTSP	Phosphate rock	331,000	GTSP	822,300
	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	552,200	HF	10,700
			Vapor	30,500
			Others	19,700
MAP & DAP	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	2,324,900	MAP	321,900
	NH <sub>3</sub>	494,500	DAP	2,062,100
	Urea	28,100	H <sub>2</sub> O	128,500
			Others	335,000
Power generation	HP steam	2,929,300	LP steam	3,899,400
	IP steam	588,000	H <sub>2</sub> O	848,600
	Fuel (methane)	51,300	CO <sub>2</sub>	140,600
	Boiler feed water	1,230,700	Electricity	1,821 (TJ)
Ammonia	Air	720,000	NH <sub>3</sub>	658,100
	Natural gas	274,400	CO <sub>2</sub>	752,900
	Steam	522,500	H <sub>2</sub> O	93,800
			Purge	12,100
Nitric acid	Air	923,100	HNO <sub>3</sub>	330,600
	NH <sub>3</sub>	48,400	Vent	741,700
	H <sub>2</sub> O	100,900		
Methanol	CO <sub>2</sub>	62,900	Methanol	181,400
	H <sub>2</sub> O	51,100	Vent	800
	Natural gas	68,200		

Table D.1. (Continued)

Ammonium nitrate	HNO <sub>3</sub>	330,600	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	246,300
	NH <sub>3</sub>	48,300	H <sub>2</sub> O	132,600
UAN	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	27,900	UAN	60,500
	Urea	32,600		
Urea	NH <sub>3</sub>	56,700	Urea	99,800
	CO <sub>2</sub>	73,200	H <sub>2</sub> O	29,900
	LP steam	37,400	Cooling water	37,400
			NH <sub>3</sub>	100
			CO <sub>2</sub>	100
Acetic acid	CO <sub>2</sub>	4,500	Acetic acid	8,200
	Methanol	4,400	H <sub>2</sub> O	1,200
	CH <sub>4</sub>	500		
Ethylbenzene	Benzene	634,000	Ethylbenzene	861,800
	Ethylene	227,800		
Styrene	Ethylbenzene	861,800	Styrene	753,300
			Fuel gas	35,500
			Toluene	6,700
			C	15,600
			Benzene	50,700



Table D.2. Stream Flow Rates Among Plants in Optimal Structure from Superstructure, Case Study One.

<b>Plant Name</b>	<b>Entering Streams</b>	<b>Flow Rate (metric tons/year)</b>	<b>Leaving Streams</b>	<b>Flow Rate (metric tons/year)</b>
Contact process sulfuric acid	Sulfur Air Boiler feed water H <sub>2</sub> O	1,226,200 7,847,400 5,894,700 736,600	Sulfuric acid Vent LP steam Blowdown HP steam IP steam Others	3,758,700 6,039,200 1,952,900 424,500 2,929,300 588,000 12,300
Wet process phosphoric acid	Decant water Phosphate rock Sulfuric acid LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O	7,838,800 4,656,800 3,758,700 2,880,400 537,100	H <sub>2</sub> SiF <sub>6</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O SiF <sub>4</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> Cooled LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O Others	26,000 1,850,400 2,906,100 2,880,400 4,233,600 1,997,000
GTSP	Phosphate rock P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	331,000 552,200	GTSP HF Vapor Others	822,300 10,700 30,500 19,700
MAP & DAP	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> Urea	2,324,900 494,500 28,100	MAP DAP H <sub>2</sub> O Others	321,900 2,062,100 128,500 335,000
Power generation	HP steam IP steam Fuel (methane) Boiler feed water	2,929,300 588,000 89,200 2,139,900	LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> Electricity	4,701,200 956,000 244,500 2,149 (TJ)
Ammonia	Air Natural gas Steam	720,000 274,400 522,500	NH <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O Purge	658,100 752,900 93,800 12,100
Nitric acid	Air NH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	923,100 48,400 100,900	HNO <sub>3</sub> Vent	330,600 741,700
Ammonium nitrate	HNO <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub>	330,600 48,300	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	246,300 132,600
Methanol	CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O Natural gas	62,900 51,100 68,200	Methanol Vent	181,400 800
UAN	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> Urea	27,900 32,600	UAN	60,500

Table D.2. (Continued).

Urea	NH <sub>3</sub>	41,600	Urea	73,200
	CO <sub>2</sub>	53,700	H <sub>2</sub> O	22,000
	LP steam	27,400	Cooling water	27,400
			NH <sub>3</sub>	0
			CO <sub>2</sub>	100
Ethylbenzene	Benzene	634,000	Ethylbenzene	861,800
	Ethylene	227,800		
Styrene	Ethylbenzene	861,800	Styrene	753,300
			Fuel gas	35,500
			Toluene	6,700
			C	15,600
			Benzene	50,700
New acetic acid	CO <sub>2</sub>	6,000	Acetic acid	8,200
	CH <sub>4</sub>	2,200		
Graphite	CO <sub>2</sub>	67,900	H <sub>2</sub> O	55,600
	CH <sub>4</sub>	36,700	C	46,000
			Hydrogen	3,000
Synthesis gas	CO <sub>2</sub>	150,000	CO	191,000
	CH <sub>4</sub>	54,700	Hydrogen	13,800
Formic acid	CO <sub>2</sub>	74,500	Formic acid	77,900
	Hydrogen	3,400		
Methylamines	CO <sub>2</sub>	104,100	CO	6,800
	Hydrogen	13,300	MMA	26,400
	NH <sub>3</sub>	25,300	DMA	28,700
			H <sub>2</sub> O	80,800

Table D.3. Stream Flow Rates Among Plants in Optimal Structure from Superstructure, Case Study Two.

Plant Name	Entering Streams	Flow Rate (metric tons/year)	Leaving Streams	Flow Rate (metric tons/year)
Contact process sulfuric acid	Sulfur Air Boiler feed water H <sub>2</sub> O	894,800 5,726,700 4,301,700 537,500	Sulfuric acid Vent LP steam Blowdown HP steam IP steam Others	2,742,900 4,407,200 1,425,100 309,800 2,137,700 429,100 8,900
Wet process phosphoric acid	Decant water Phosphate rock Sulfuric acid LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O	5,720,400 3,398,400 2,742,900 2,102,000 392,00	H <sub>2</sub> SiF <sub>6</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O SiF <sub>4</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> Cooled LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O Others	18,900 1,350,400 2,906,100 2,120,800 3,089,500 1,457,300
GTSP	Phosphate rock P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	241,500 402,900	GTSP HF Vapor Others	600,100 7,800 22,300 14,300
MAP & DAP	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> Urea	1,696,600 360,900 20,500	MAP DAP H <sub>2</sub> O Others	234,900 1,504,800 93,800 244,500
Power generation	HP steam IP steam Fuel (methane) Boiler feed water	2,137,700 429,100 103,400 2,481,500	LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> Electricity	4,241,900 806,400 283,500 1,873 (TJ)
Ammonia	Air Natural gas Steam	537,500 204,900 390,000	NH <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O Purge	491,200 562,00 70,000 9,000
Nitric acid	Air NH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	461,600 24,200 50,400	HNO <sub>3</sub> Vent	165,300 370,900
Ammonium nitrate	HNO <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub>	165,300 24,100	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	133,000 56,500
Methanol	CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O Natural gas	62,900 51,100 68,200	Methanol Vent	181,400 800
UAN	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> Urea	27,900 32,600	UAN	60,500

Table D.3. (Continued).

Urea	NH <sub>3</sub>	56,700	Urea	99,800
	CO <sub>2</sub>	73,200	H <sub>2</sub> O	29,900
	LP steam	37,400	Cooling water	37,400
			NH <sub>3</sub>	100
			CO <sub>2</sub>	100
Ethylbenzene	Benzene	634,000	Ethylbenzene	861,800
	Ethylene	227,800		
Styrene	Ethylbenzene	861,800	Styrene	753,300
			Fuel gas	35,500
			Toluene	6,700
			C	15,600
			Benzene	50,700
New acetic acid	CO <sub>2</sub>	6,000	Acetic acid	8,200
	CH <sub>4</sub>	2,200		
Graphite	CO <sub>2</sub>	67,900	H <sub>2</sub> O	55,600
	CH <sub>4</sub>	36,700	C	46,000
			Hydrogen	3,000
Synthesis gas	CO <sub>2</sub>	151,800	CO	193,200
	CH <sub>4</sub>	55,400	Hydrogen	13,900
Formic acid	CO <sub>2</sub>	74,500	Formic acid	77,900
	Hydrogen	3,400		
Methylamines	CO <sub>2</sub>	104,100	CO	6,800
	Hydrogen	13,300	MMA	26,400
	NH <sub>3</sub>	25,300	DMA	28,700
			H <sub>2</sub> O	80,800
Propylene from CO <sub>2</sub>	Propane	43,400	CO	13,800
	CO <sub>2</sub>	21,700	Propylene	41,400
			H <sub>2</sub> O	8,900
			Hydrogen	1,000

Table D.4. Stream Flow Rates Among Plants in Optimal Structure from Superstructure, Case Study Three.

Plant Name	Entering Streams	Flow Rate (metric tons/year)	Leaving Streams	Flow Rate (metric tons/year)
Contact process sulfuric acid	Sulfur Air Boiler feed water H <sub>2</sub> O	1,226,200 7,847,400 5,894,700 736,600	Sulfuric acid Vent LP steam Blowdown HP steam IP steam Others	3,758,700 6,039,200 1,952,900 424,500 2,929,300 588,000 12,300
Wet process phosphoric acid	Decant water Phosphate rock Sulfuric acid LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O	7,838,800 4,656,800 3,758,700 2,880,400 537,100	H <sub>2</sub> SiF <sub>6</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O SiF <sub>4</sub> & H <sub>2</sub> O P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> Cooled LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O Others	26,000 1,850,400 2,906,100 2,880,400 4,233,600 1,997,000
GTSP	Phosphate rock P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	331,000 552,200	GTSP HF Vapor Others	822,300 10,700 30,500 19,700
MAP & DAP	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> Urea	2,324,900 494,500 28,100	MAP DAP H <sub>2</sub> O Others	321,900 2,062,100 128,500 335,000
Power generation	HP steam IP steam Fuel (methane) Boiler feed water	2,929,300 588,000 9,440 2,266,200	LP steam H <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> Electricity	4,812,600 970,900 258,900 2,195 (TJ)
Ammonia	Air Natural gas Steam	720,000 274,400 522,500	NH <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O Purge	658,100 752,900 93,800 12,100
Nitric acid	Air NH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	878,800 46,000 96,000	HNO <sub>3</sub> Vent	314,800 706,200
Ammonium nitrate	HNO <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub>	314,800 46,000	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	235,500 125,300
Methanol	CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O Natural gas	62,900 51,100 68,200	Methanol Vent	181,400 800
UAN	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> Urea	27,900 32,600	UAN	60,500

Table D.4. (Continued).

Urea	NH <sub>3</sub>	55,400	Urea	97,600
	CO <sub>2</sub>	71,600	H <sub>2</sub> O	29,300
	LP steam	36,600	Cooling water	36,600
			NH <sub>3</sub>	100
			CO <sub>2</sub>	100
Ethylbenzene	Benzene	634,100	Ethylbenzene	861,800
	Ethylene	227,800		
Styrene – new method	CO <sub>2</sub>	153,100	CO	97,400
	Ethylbenzene	369,300	Styrene	362,200
			H <sub>2</sub> O	62,700
New acetic acid	CO <sub>2</sub>	6,000	Acetic acid	8,200
	CH <sub>4</sub>	2,200		
Graphite	CO <sub>2</sub>	67,900	H <sub>2</sub> O	55,600
	CH <sub>4</sub>	36,700	C	46,000
			Hydrogen	3,000
Synthesis gas	CO <sub>2</sub>	151,800	CO	193,200
	CH <sub>4</sub>	55,400	Hydrogen	13,900
Formic acid	CO <sub>2</sub>	74,500	Formic acid	77,900
	Hydrogen	3,400		
Methylamines	CO <sub>2</sub>	66,100	CO	4,300
	Hydrogen	8,500	MMA	16,800
	NH <sub>3</sub>	16,100	DMA	18,200
			H <sub>2</sub> O	51,300
Propylene from propane dehydrogenation	Propane	43,800	Propylene	41,800
			Hydrogen	2,000
Propylene from CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	21,700	CO	13,800
	Propane	43,400	Propylene	41,400
			H <sub>2</sub> O	8,900
			Hydrogen	1,000
DME	CO <sub>2</sub>	77,400	CO	18,200
	Hydrogen	8,000	DME	22,700
			Methanol	3,900
			H <sub>2</sub> O	40,600