



**Department of Defense**  
 Office for the Administrative Review  
 of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)  
 at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba  
 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**ACTION MEMO**

FOR: Designated Civilian Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
 ICO ISN 015 (PAKISTAN)

- o Subject ARB was held on 03 August 2005 resulting in a unanimous [REDACTED]

- o [REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

- o [REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA		
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		

- o The ARB recommended that the following conditions [REDACTED] be considered:

- o [REDACTED]

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION ICO  
ISN 015 (PAKISTAN)

RECOMMENDATION That the DCO approve the ARB recommendation [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Transfer) *[Signature]*  
*12-9*

Or: Continue to Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments:

Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review

Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE  
REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 015**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 015 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend and consequently the board was convened with the Enemy Combatant (EC) present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC chose to participate. The EC responded verbally to each allegation/statement in the Unclassified Summary. His comments consisted of a line-by-line confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the unclassified summary. The EC answered a series of questions from the ARB, as documented in Enclosure (5), regarding his personal knowledge of known al Qaida members or leaders with whom he is reported to have associated and his participation against United States and allied forces during the hostilities in Afghanistan. The Board also inquired about the circumstances of his arrest.

(U) During the ARB, the EC claimed Pakistani soldiers abused him when he was in Pakistan. The EC's allegations are documented in Enclosure (5) and paragraph 4.h of this report.

(U) The Board queried the DMO on details surrounding the EC's capture, and the AMO concerning the EC's behavior and willingness to cooperate during notification.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits, asked the DMO and AMO several questions that were answered satisfactorily and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the  
Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 8

**SECRET//NOFORN**

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

Investigation (FBI), Criminal Investigation Task Force (CITF) and Joint Task Force-Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (JTF-GTMO), as well as other relevant documents were considered by the ARB, and included within Enclosure (6).

(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows.

(S/NF)

[REDACTED]

(S/NF)

(FOUO/LES)

[REDACTED]

(S/NF)

(S/NF)

[REDACTED]

ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 8

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

**SECRET/NOFORN**

**4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)**

(U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

a. (U) Recruitment

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (U) The EC was reported by ISN 023 to have stated that he had four brothers who were also Taliban drivers. He claims these are actual brothers not just Muslim brothers (DMO-14). In the ARB the EC claimed he had just one brother who was a Taliban driver.

b. (U) Travel. The EC traveled in locations known to be used or managed by terrorist organizations or the Taliban.

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Training.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]


d. (U) Combat and capture. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.

ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 8


**SECRET/NOFORN**

SECRET/NOFORN

- (FOUO/LES)



e. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.



- (U) The HUM is a Pakistan-based Islamic militant organization (DMO-3).

f. (U) Individual affiliations. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of, organizations that plan to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.

- (FOUO/LES)



- (S/NF)



ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 8

SECRET/NOFORN

SECRET/NOFORN

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- (U) The HUJI is a Sunni extremist group that follows the Deobandi tradition of Islam and was founded in 1980 in Afghanistan to fight in the jihad against the Soviets. It is made up primarily of Pakistanis and foreign Islamists who are fighting for the liberation of Kashmir and its accession to Pakistan (DMO-3).
- (U) The EC was identified as being a member of the HUJI (DMO-19).
- (S) [REDACTED]
- (U) The LeT is the armed wing of the Pakistan-based religious organization, Markaz-ud-Dawa-wal-irshad, a Sunni anti-U.S. organization formed in 1989. The LeT was added to the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Asset Control's list, which includes organizations that are believed to support terrorist groups (DMO-3).
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (C/REL) [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 8

SECRET/NOFORN

**SECRET/NOFORN**

• (S) [REDACTED]

• (S) [REDACTED]

g. (U) Factors in support of release.

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

h. (U) Allegation of Abuse. [REDACTED]

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

i. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

j. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 8

**SECRET/NOFORN**



SECRET/NOFORN



k. (S/NF)



5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States



(U) The EC is a citizen of Pakistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.

6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor

(U) 

7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a  threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of medium intelligence value. 



ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 7 of 8

SECRET/NOFORN

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

Respectfully submitted,



ISN 015  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 8 of 8

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~



**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~


**ACTION MEMO**

26 July 2005

FOR: Designated Civilian Official


FROM: Director, OARDEC 

SUBJECT: **ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION**  
**ICO ISN 58 (SAUDI ARABIA)**


- o Subject ARB was held on 13 July 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to  subject ISN based on the following:



- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:



- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA		
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		

- \* Can be found in the assessment of DASD-DA (page 3 of DMO exhibit 4) and CIA's TD-314/30789-02 (page 2 of DMO exhibit 21). DOS has not communicated this assessment directly to OARDEC.
- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

000011

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
ICO ISN 58 (SAUDI ARABIA)

RECOMMENDATION: That the DCO, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

transfer [Signature] 7-20

Or: Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments:

Tab A OARDEC SJA Legal Sufficiency Review

Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

000012

**SECRET/NOFORN**

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 58**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 58 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose not to attend the ARB. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). The EC chose not to participate, as shown in exhibit EC-B. The AMO verbally summarized the detainee's responses to the unclassified comments during the interview.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) 

(U) The government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF) 

ISN 58  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 7

**SECRET/NOFORN**

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(U) CITF assessed the detainee as a medium threat and believes that further investigation could produce new information relevant to this case. Agency checks remain outstanding; however, in the absence of further information, the CITF recommends the continued detention of the detainee in the custody of the Department of Defense.

(S) [REDACTED]

(S) [REDACTED]

4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law

ISN 58  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 7

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

**SECRET/NOFORN**

enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)

(U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph 3 above and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the detainee:

- a. (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
    - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
    - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- b. (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
    - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
    - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

ISN 58  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 7

**SECRET/NOFORN**

SECRET/NOFORN

[REDACTED]

c (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Training: The detainee completed training at the al Qaida operated al Farouq training camp.

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

d. (U) Combat/Operational Experience: The detainee has participated in terrorist training and hostilities against the U.S. and coalition forces, and maintains the capability to continue to do so.

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

○ (S/NF) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

ISN 58  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 7

SECRET/NOFORN



SECRET/NOFORN

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

e. (U) Capture:

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (S) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

f. (U) Connections/Associations: The detainee is assessed as a member of the al Qaida global terrorist network with links to key facilitators of that network.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 58  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 7

SECRET/NOFORN

**SECRET/NOFORN**

g. (U) Behavior: Detainee has generally been non compliant, and aggressive.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

h. (S/NF) Other: [REDACTED]

i. (U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the Detainee:

- (U) The detainee did not provided written or oral testimony in response to allegations in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence.

5. (U) **Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's Requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements Provided Through the United States**

(U) The detainee is a citizen of Saudi Arabia.

6. (U) **Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U) [REDACTED]

7. (U) **Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 58  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 7

**SECRET/NOFORN**

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

(S/NF)

[REDACTED]

(S/NF)

[REDACTED]

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. [REDACTED]

**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's Report**

(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision.

[REDACTED]

ISN 58  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 7 of 7

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~



**Department of Defense**  
 Office for the Administrative Review  
 of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)  
 at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba  
 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

ACTION MEMO

29 June 2005

FOR: Designated Civilian Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
 ICO ISN 060 (BAHRAIN)

o Subject ARB was held on 19 February 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation [REDACTED]  
 ISN 060 [REDACTED]

o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:  
 [REDACTED]

o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	[REDACTED]
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]
CIA	[REDACTED]
FBI	[REDACTED]
Dept of State	[REDACTED]

o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

RECOMMENDATION: [REDACTED]

Approve (Transfer) *[Signature]* 6-29  
 Or: Continue to Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments:  
 Tab A OARDEC SJA Legal Sufficiency Review  
 Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 060

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 060 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The ARB was convened and began its proceedings with the Enemy Combatant (EC) present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors. The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, the Enemy Combatant Election Form indicating the EC elected to participate, documented as Exhibit EC-B and a written statement submitted by a lawyer on behalf of the EC as Enclosure (4), Exhibit EC-C. The EC made a verbal statement addressing each of the factors cited in the unclassified summary, repeatedly asserting that he wanted to understand how he could address the factors in the classified summary if he was unable to view them. The EC thoroughly answered a large number of questions from the AMO, DMO, and ARB members, as documented in Enclosure (6). The unclassified part of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified portion of the session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB members had no questions during the classified portion of the ARB. The session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(U) The ARB considered all relevant information and primary factors in the exhibits presented as DMO-1 through DMO-22.

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(U) The following assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

ISN 060  
Enclosure (5)  
Page 1 of 3

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

**4. (U) Discussion of the primary factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant).**

(U) The information presented to the ARB supports the transfer of the EC for continued detention. The ARB considered the following key indicators in the decision to assess the EC as a continued threat to the U.S., and in its recommendation for continued detention.

a. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

b. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

c. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

d. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

e. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

f. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

g. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

h. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

i. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

**5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on Enemy Combatant's requests for witness statements and home country statements provided through the United States**

(U) The EC is a citizen of Bahrain. No home country statements were provided, however the EC's lawyer submitted a written statement on behalf of the EC; this is available as Enclosure (4), EC-C.

**6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U) [REDACTED]

**7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a continued threat to the United States and its allies. [REDACTED]

**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report**

(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

ISN 060  
Enclosure (5)  
Page 3 of 3



**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**ACTION MEMO**

**FOR:** Designated Civilian Official

**FROM:** Director, OARDEC *[Signature]*

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION**  
**ICO ISN 064 (SAUDI ARABIA)**

- o Subject ARB was held on 11 August 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation [REDACTED] subject ISN with conditions based on the following:

[REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CIA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
FBI	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Dept of State	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

- o The ARB recommended that the following conditions [REDACTED] be considered:

[REDACTED]

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the DCO [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Transfer) *[Signature]* 11-4-05

Or: Continue to Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachments:**

- Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review
- Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~



**SECRET//NOFORN**

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

**4. (U) Discussion of the primary factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant).**

(U) The information presented to the ARB supports the recommendations made by the reporting agencies.

(U) The "Copies of Documented Evidence" package was reviewed for information from agencies known to perform original intelligence collection (interviews) directly from the EC

ISN 064  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 6

**SECRET//NOFORN**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(CITF, FBI, and JTF-GTMO). For this reason, and the fact that other documents generally appear to have derived their information from them, the Board primarily cites those original intelligence collection documents. However, the Board carefully considered all provided documents, and cites those that provide differing or additional information as well.

a. (U) Recruitment

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

b. (U) Travel

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Combat and capture

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

d. (U) Individual affiliations

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 064  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 6

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Behavior

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

f. (U) Written and/or oral testimony from the EC

(U) The Board took special note of the following items during deliberation:

- (U) The EC participated in the interview with the AMO but declined to participate in the ARB hearing.

g. (U) Factors in support of release

(U) No mitigating material was submitted on behalf of the EC.

- (U) No letters from family members regarding this EC were submitted.
- (U) The Government of Saudi Arabia did not submit a letter on behalf of this EC.

ISN 064  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 6

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**h. (U) Level of Threat Summary**

(S/NF)

[REDACTED]

**i. (U) Intelligence Value Summary**

(S/NF)

[REDACTED]

**j. (U) Agency Recommendations**

(S/NF)

[REDACTED]

**5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on Enemy Combatant's requests for witness statements and home country statements provided through the United States**

(U) The EC is a citizen of Saudi Arabia.

(U) No witness or home country statements were provided.

**6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U)

[REDACTED]

ISN 064  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 6

**SECRET//NOFORN**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(U) [REDACTED]

8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report

(U) The Board reached a unanimous decision.

[REDACTED]

ISN 064  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 6

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~



**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~  
**ACTION MEMO**

FOR: Designated Civilian Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
 ICO ISN 075 (MOROCCO)

- o Subject ARB was held on 12 September 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to [REDACTED] subject ISN based on the following:

[REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

[REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CIA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
FBI	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

[REDACTED]

RECOMMENDATION: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Or: Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
 10-22-05

Attachments:

- Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review
- Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation
- Tab C Morocco Diplomatic Note

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**SECRET/NOFORN**

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE  
REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 75**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 75 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies and remains a medium threat. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) declined to attend the ARB, consequently the board was convened and conducted its proceedings without the EC being present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC chose not to participate. The AMO verbally summarized the Detainee's comments during the interview.

(U) The Board queried the DMO on details surrounding the EC's capture, and the AMO concerning the EC's behavior and unwillingness to cooperate during notification.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) 

(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF) 

ISN 75  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 5

**SECRET/NOFORN**

**000032 NOT USED**



(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

**4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)**

(U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

a. (U) Recruitment

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

b. (U) Travel

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Training

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- d. (U) Combat and capture
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- e. (U) Organizational affiliations
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- f. (U) Behavior. The EC's behavior during interrogation and detention indicates he is capable of posing a [REDACTED] threat.

ISN 75  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 5

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

000034

SECRET//NOFORN

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

g. (U) Written and/or oral testimony from the EC

• (U) The EC provided no written or oral testimony.

h. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

i. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

j. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

5. (U) **Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States**

(U) The EC is a citizen of Morocco.

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

6. (U) **Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

ISN 75  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 5

SECRET//NOFORN

**SECRET/NOFORN**

(U) 


**7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) 

**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report**

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.



ISN 75  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 5

**SECRET/NOFORN**



**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
 at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba  
 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~  
 ACTION MEMO

FOR: Designated Civilian Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND  
 RECOMMENDATION ICO ISN 076 (TAJKISTAN)

- o Subject ARB was held on 26 September 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation [REDACTED] subject ISN [REDACTED]:

[REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

[REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CIA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
FBI	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

- o The ARB recommended that the following conditions for transfer be considered:

[REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE  
REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 076**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 076 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its recommendation.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the EC present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC chose to appear before the ARB and wanted to respond to each statement of information in the unclassified summary after it is presented. The AMO verbally summarized the EC's comments during the interview.

(U) The EC made a statement, consisting of a line-by-line confirmation or denial of the bullets in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the unclassified summary. The EC answered a series of questions from the ARB, as documented in enclosure (5), regarding his personal knowledge of known Islamic Movement of Uzbekistan (IMU) members or leaders with whom he is reported to have associated, and his participation against United States and allied forces during the hostilities in Afghanistan. The Board also inquired about the circumstances of his arrest and his willingness to return to Tajikistan if released or transferred.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) 

ISN 076  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 7

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF)



(S/NF)



(FOUO/LES)



ISN 076  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 7

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

**4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)**

(U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

a. (U) Recruitment

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

b. (U) Travel. The EC traveled in locations known to be used or managed by terrorist organizations or the Taliban.

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Training

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 076  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 7



~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
- d. (U) Combat and capture. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.
- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 076  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 7

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

SECRET//NOFORN

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

e. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

f. (U) Behavior. The EC's behavior during interrogation and detention indicates that he is capable of posing a [REDACTED] threat.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

- (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

ISN 076  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 7

SECRET//NOFORN

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

g. (U) Factors in support of release

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

h. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

i. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

j. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 076  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 7

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States

(U) The EC is a citizen of Tajikistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.

6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor

(U) [REDACTED]

7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of [REDACTED] intelligence value. Recommendation ISN 076 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

ISN 076  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 7 of 7

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

~~SECRET~~ // NOFORN

k. (S//NF) [REDACTED]

5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States

(U) The EC is a citizen of Uzbekistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.

6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor

(U) [REDACTED]

7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a continued [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of [REDACTED] intelligence value. Recommend ISN 084 be [REDACTED]

8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

Respectfully submitted,  
[REDACTED]

ISN 084  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 6

~~SECRET~~ // NOFORN



**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~  
**ACTION MEMO**

FOR: Designated Civilian Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC *1/9/06*

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
 ICO ISN 077 (TAJIKISTAN)

- o Subject ARB was held on 01 December 2005 resulting in a majority recommendation to [REDACTED] subject ISN based on the following:

[REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

[REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CIA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
FBI	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

[REDACTED]

RECOMMENDATION that the DCO approve the ARB recommendation to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Transfer) *[Signature]*  
*1-11-06*

Or: Continue to Detain 1-11-06, Release \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments:

Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review

Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS OF  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 077**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 077 to be a [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The enemy combatant (EC) chose not to attend the ARB. The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified as enclosure (3).

(U) The Designated Military Officer (DMO) then presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(U) The government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 077  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 6

**SECRET//NOFORN**

SECRET//NOFORN

(S//NF)

(FOUO//LES)

(S//NF)

(S//NF)

(S)

(S//NF)

**4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)**

(U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph three above. The ARB also considered the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

ISN 077  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 6

SECRET//NOFORN



SECRET//NOFORN

a. (U) Training

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

b. (U) Combat/Operational Experience

- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 077  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 6

SECRET//NOFORN

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

c. (U) Capture

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

d. (U) Connections/Associations

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

e. (S//NF) [REDACTED]

f. (U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the EC. The EC did not provide a written response to allegations stated in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence. The EC did not provide oral testimony during the Administrative Review Board (ARB). The EC did make oral comments regarding the ARB process and some of the allegations in the unclassified summary during his AMO interview. Those comments are included with enclosure (3).

g. (U) Factors in support of release

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

ISN 077  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 6

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

- (S) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

5. (U) **Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's Requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements Provided Through the United States**

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

6. (U) **Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U) [REDACTED]

7. (U) **Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 077  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 6

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**SECRET//NOFORN**

[REDACTED]

(S//NF)

[REDACTED]

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(S//NF)

[REDACTED]

**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's Report**

(U)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ISN 077  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 6

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

From: Dissenting Officer of Administrative Review Board Panel (ARB) #28 (U)  
To: Presiding Officer (U)

Subj: (U) MINORITY ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF THE DETENTION OF ENEMY COMBATANT ISN 077  
(U)

**1. (U) Dissent for the Following Reasons**

(U) [REDACTED]

**2. (U) Basis for Dissent**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

ISN 077  
Enclosure (5)  
Page 1 of 5

**SECRET//NOFORN**

[REDACTED]

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and other Considerations by the Dissenting Member**

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(U) The following assessments considered by the Dissenting Officer are summarized as follows:

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(S) [REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

ISN 077  
Enclosure (5)  
Page 2 of 5

(S//NF)

[REDACTED]

**4.(U) Discussion of the Primary Factors**

(U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph three above. The following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

a. (U) Training

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

b. (U) Combat/Operational Experience

- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Capture

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

d. (U) Connections/Associations

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

e. (S//NF) [REDACTED]

f. (U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the EC. The EC did not provide a written response to allegations stated in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence. The EC did not provide oral testimony during the Administrative Review Board (ARB).

**SECRET//NOFORN**

ISN 077  
Enclosure (5)  
Page 4 of 5



**SECRET//NOFORN**

g. (U) Factors in support of release

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

5. (U) **Conclusions and Recommendation of the Dissenting Member**

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the Dissenting Officer makes the following determination and recommendation:

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

ISN 077  
Enclosure (5)  
Page 5 of 5




**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**



**SECRET//NOFORN**

**ACTION MEMO**

FOR: Designated Civilian Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC 

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
 ICO ISN 084 (UZBEKISTAN)



- o Subject ARB was held on 12 October 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to  subject ISN  based on the following:




- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:



- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA		
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.
- o The ARB recommended that the following conditions  be considered:



**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
ICO ISN 084 (UZBEKISTAN)**

**RECOMMENDATION: That the DCO approve the ARB recommendation** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Transfer) *[Signature]*  
*11-29*

Or: Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments:

Tab A OARDEC ASJA Legal Sufficiency Review

Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 084**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 084 continues to be a [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its recommendation.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend, and consequently the board was convened with the EC present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors. The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC chose to appear before the ARB and wanted to respond to each statement of information in the unclassified summary after it is presented. The AMO read his comments from his ARB interviews with the EC, then verbally summarized the EC's comments during the interviews.

(U) The EC made a brief statement, herein identified as enclosure (5). In summary, the EC stated that he had met with a lawyer who advised him not to answer any questions, however he wanted to continue to participate in the ARB. The DMO read the unclassified summary and the EC was given the opportunity to comment line-by-line on the evidence in paragraphs 3 and 4. The EC stated that on the advice of his lawyer he would not respond to the evidence. However, the EC ended with a short verbal statement. The ARB attempted to ask additional questions to clarify the unclassified summary, but the EC would not respond as advised by his lawyer. All EC testimony and comments are included in enclosure (5).

(U) The Board queried the DMO on details surrounding the EC's capture, and the AMO concerning the EC's behavior and willingness to cooperate during notification. The EC was cooperative and very polite during his interview and the ARB.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 084  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 6

**SECRET//NOFORN**

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

(L) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 084  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 6

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

- (U) CITF believes that further investigation may produce new information relevant to this case, since several agency checks remain outstanding. However, in the absence of further information, CITF recommends the transfer of the EC to the custody of another government for continued detention and monitoring.

(S/NF)

**4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)**

(U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

a. (U) Recruitment

- (S/NF)

- (S/NF)

- (S/NF)

b. (U) Travel. The EC traveled in locations known to be used or managed by terrorist organizations or the Taliban.

- (S/NF)

- (FOUO/LES)

ISN 084  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 6

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

- o (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- c. (S/NF) Training. [REDACTED]
- d. (U) Combat and capture. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.
  - (U) ISN 121 was shown a picture of the EC and identified him as a person he observed at Konduz, Afghanistan in combat (DMO-15).
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- e. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - o (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - o (U) IMU is a coalition of Islamic militants from Uzbekistan and other Central Asian states opposed to Uzbekistani President Islam Karimov's secular regime. Although the IMU's primary goal remains to overthrow Karimov and establish an Islamic state in Uzbekistan, IMU political and ideological leader Tohir Yoldashev is working to rebuild the organization and appears to have widened the IMU's targets to include all those he perceives as fighting Islam (DMO-3).
- f. (U) Individual affiliations. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of organizations that plan, to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.

ISN 084  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 6

~~SECRET // NOFORN~~

SECRET//NOFORN

• (S/NF) [REDACTED]

○ (S/NF) [REDACTED]

○ (FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

g. (S/NF) Behavior. [REDACTED]

h. (U) Written and/or oral testimony from the EC

- (U) The EC stated that on the advice of his lawyer he would not respond to each allegation/statement in the unclassified summary. However, the EC ended with a short verbal statement. His comments are included in enclosure (5).

i. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

j. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 084  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 6

SECRET//NOFORN



**SECRET//NOFORN**

k. (S//NF) [REDACTED]

**5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States**

(U) The EC is a citizen of Uzbekistan. No witness or home country statements were provided.

**6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U) [REDACTED]

**7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a continued [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of [REDACTED] intelligence value. Recommend ISN 084 be [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report**

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

ISN 084  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 6

**SECRET//NOFORN**



**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**ACTION MEMO**

**FOR:** Designated Civilian Official

**FROM:** Director, OARDEC *[Signature]*

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION**  
**ICO ISN 094 (SAUDI ARABIA)**

- o Subject ARB was held on 14 June 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to [REDACTED] subject ISN with conditions based on the following:

[REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

[REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CIA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
FBI	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Dept of State	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
ICO ISN 094 (SAUDI ARABIA)

RECOMMENDATION: That the DCO approve the ARB recommendation [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Transfer) *[Signature]*  
9-17

Or: Continue to Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments:

Tab A OARDEC SJA Legal Sufficiency Review

Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 094**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 094 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) declined to attend the ARB; consequently the Board was convened and conducted its proceedings without the EC being present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3), indicating the EC declined to cooperate.

(U) The Board queried the DMO on details surrounding the EC's capture, and the AMO concerning the EC's behavior and willingness to cooperate during notification. EC declined any involvement.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and other Considerations by the  
Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) 

(U) The following government agency assessments were considered by the ARB. They are summarized as follows:

ISN 094  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 6

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

**SECRET/NOFORN**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

4. (U) Discussion of the primary factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant).

(U) The information presented to the ARB supports the recommendations.

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

a. Recruitment

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 094  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 6

**SECRET/NOFORN**

b. Travel

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

3. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

4. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

5. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

c. Training

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

d. Combat and capture

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

3. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

4. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

5. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 094  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 6

**SECRET/NOFORN**

e. Organizational affiliations

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

f. Individual affiliations

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) (S/NF) [REDACTED]

2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

g. Behavior

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

3. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

4. (U) The EC declined to meet with the AMO or to attend the hearing.

h. Written and/or oral testimony from the EC

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (U) The EC provided no written testimony.

2. (U) The EC chose not to appear

3. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

i. Factors in support of release

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 094  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 6

**SECRET/NOFORN**

j. Level of Threat Summary

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]
2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]
3. (S/NF) [REDACTED]
4. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

k. Intelligence Value Summary

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

1. (S/NF) [REDACTED]
2. (S/NF) [REDACTED]

l. Agency Recommendations

(S/NF) [REDACTED]



**SECRET/NOFORN**

**5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on Enemy Combatant's requests for witness statements and home country statements provided through the United States**

(U) The EC is a citizen of Saudi Arabia.

(U) No witnesses or home country statements were provided.

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

**6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U) [REDACTED]

**7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) ISN 094 is a potential threat to the United States and its allies. He is of medium intelligence value. The Board unanimously recommends ISN 094 [REDACTED]

**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report**

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

[REDACTED]

ISN 094  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 6

**SECRET/NOFORN**



**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**ACTION MEMO**

**FOR:** Designated Civilian Official

**FROM:** Director, OARDEC *[Signature]*

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION**  
**ICO ISN 95 (SAUDI ARABIA)**

- o Subject ARB was held on 5 July 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to [REDACTED] subject ISN with conditions based on the following:

[REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

[REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		

- o The ARB recommended that the following conditions for [REDACTED] be considered:

[REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
ICO ISN 95 (SAUDI ARABIA)**

[REDACTED]

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

RECOMMENDATION: That the DCO approve the ARB recommendation [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Transfer) *[Signature]* 7-22

Or: Continue to Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachments:**

- Tab A OARDEC SJA Legal Sufficiency Review
- Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 095**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 095 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The ARB was convened and began its proceedings without the Enemy Combatant (EC) present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors. The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO also presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicates the EC chose to decline to participate or appear at his ARB. The EC indicated he did not want to appear due to "no particular reason." The board asked questions to further clarify the information in the unclassified summary. The unclassified part of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified portion of the session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The session was then closed for deliberation. During deliberation the board strongly considered the Enemy Combatant's background, travel, associations, training, and his ultimate capture in Afghanistan.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony and other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(U) The following assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 095  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 5

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO/LES) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

4. (U) Discussion of the primary factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)

(U) The preponderance of the information presented to the ARB [REDACTED] The ARB considered the following key indicators in the decision to assess the EC as a [REDACTED] threat to the U.S. [REDACTED].

a. (C/REL) [REDACTED]

b. (S) [REDACTED]

ISN 095  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 5

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

[REDACTED]

c. (FOUO/LES)

[REDACTED]

d. (FOUO/LES)

[REDACTED]

e. (S/NF)

[REDACTED]

f. (S/NF)

[REDACTED]

g. (S)

[REDACTED]

h. (FOUO/LES)

[REDACTED]

ISN 095  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 5

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

[REDACTED]

i. (S/NF)

[REDACTED]

j. (S)

[REDACTED]

k. (S/NF)

[REDACTED]

l. (S/NF)

[REDACTED]

m. (S/NF)

[REDACTED]

n. (S/NF)

[REDACTED]

**5. (U) Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on Enemy Combatant's requests for witness statements and home country statements provided through the United States**

(U) The EC is a citizen of Saudi Arabia. No witness or home country statements were provided.

**6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U) [REDACTED]

**7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

ISN 095  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 5

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. Recommend [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report**

(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

ISN 095  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 5

**SECRET//NOFORN**





**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
 at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba  
 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**ACTION MEMO**

FOR: Designated Civilian Official

FROM: Director, OARDEC *[Signature]* 1/9/06

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
 ICO ISN 103 (CHINA)

- o Subject ARB was held on 15 December 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to [REDACTED] subject ISN based on the following:

[REDACTED]

- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:

[REDACTED]

- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CIA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
FBI	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

[REDACTED]

RECOMMENDATION: [REDACTED]

Disapprove recommendation to continue detention & approve transfer   X    
 [REDACTED] *[Signature]* Release

Attachments:  
 Tab A OARDEC ASIA Legal Sufficiency Review  
 Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**(U) CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 103**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 103 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) chose to attend the ARB. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified as enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified as enclosure (3). The EC chose to participate in the ARB and responded after the Unclassified Summary of Evidence was presented.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

(U) The government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

(S/NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 103  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 7

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

[REDACTED]

(S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

(FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

(S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

(U) SOUTHCOM assessment is not provided.

(S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)**

(U) The ARB considered the agency assessments given in paragraph three above and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

- a. (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

ISN 103  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 7

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- b. (S//NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 103  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 7

**SECRET//NOFORN**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

c. (U) Combat/Operational Experience

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

d. (U) Capture

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

e. (U) Connections/Associations

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]
- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 103  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 7

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**SECRET//NOFORN**

f. (S//NF) [REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- (S//NF) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

g. (U) Written and/or Oral Testimony from the EC. The EC provided an oral response to allegations stated in the Unclassified Summary of Evidence.

h. (U) Other

- (S) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

ISN 103  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 7

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

i. (U) Factors in support of release

• (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

• (FOUO//LES) [REDACTED]

• (S//NF) [REDACTED]

5. (U) **Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's Requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements Provided Through the U.S.**

(U) The EC is a citizen of China. No witness or home country statements provided.

6. (U) **Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor**

(U) [REDACTED]

7. (U) **Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board**

(S//NF) [REDACTED]

ISN 103  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 7

**SECRET//NOFORN**

**SECRET//NOFORN**

(S//NF)



(S//NF)



(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(S//NF)



**8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's Report**

(U) There were no dissenting members in the decision.



ISN 103  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 7 of 7

**SECRET//NOFORN**






**Department of Defense**  
**Office for the Administrative Review**  
**of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)**  
**at U.S. Naval Base Guantanamo Bay, Cuba**  
**1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20350-1000**


**SECRET//NOFORN**

**ACTION MEMO**

**FOR:** Designated Civilian Official

**FROM:** Director, OARDEC 

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION**  
**ICO ISN 105 (SAUDI ARABIA)**



- o Subject ARB was held on 27 July 2005 resulting in a unanimous recommendation to  subject ISN with conditions based on the following:



- o Intelligence inputs used in the ARB's determination of the subject ISN included:



- o Agency assessments follow:

AGENCY	ASSESSMENT	RECOMMENDATION
DASD-DA		
CIA		
FBI		
Dept of State		

- \* Can be found in the assessment of DASD-DA (page 4 of DMO exhibit 5). DOS has not communicated this assessment directly to OARDEC.

- o The ARB recommended that the following conditions for transfer be considered:



**SECRET//NOFORN**

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION  
ICO ISN 105 (SAUDI ARABIA)**

- o The Legal Sufficiency Review (Tab A) and the Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation (Tab B) with enclosures, are attached.

RECOMMENDATION: ~~That~~ the DCO approve the ARB recommendation to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Transfer) *[Signature]* 8-16-03

Or: Continue to Detain \_\_\_\_\_, Release \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachments:**

Tab A OARDEC SJA Legal Sufficiency Review

Tab B Presiding Officer's Assessment and Recommendation

Prepared by: [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

000089

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

**(U)CLASSIFIED RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE  
REVIEW BOARD DECISION FOR ISN 105**

**1. (U) Introduction**

(U) The Administrative Review Board (ARB) determined ISN 105 continues to be a threat to the United States and its allies. In reaching this determination, the ARB considered both classified and unclassified information. The following is an account of the proceedings and the factors the ARB used in making its determination.

**2. (U) Synopsis of Proceedings**

(U) The Enemy Combatant (EC) declined to attend the ARB, consequently the board was convened and conducted its proceedings without the EC being present. The Designated Military Officer (DMO) presented the unclassified summary, both in a written form and with an oral summary of the unclassified primary factors.

(U) The Assisting Military Officer (AMO) presented the Enemy Combatant Notification as Exhibit EC-A, identified herein as Enclosure (2). The AMO then presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form as Exhibit EC-B, identified herein as Enclosure (3). Exhibit EC-B indicated that the EC chose not to participate. The AMO verbally summarized the EC's comments during the interview. During his comments the EC confirmed that he went to Afghanistan to fight in the jihad with the Taliban and that he answered an Islamic fatwa issued by Hamoud al Aqla to go for the jihad in Afghanistan.

(U) The Board queried the DMO on details surrounding the EC's capture, and the AMO concerning the EC's behavior and willingness to cooperate during notification.

(U) The unclassified portion of the proceeding was adjourned. The ARB moved to the classified session and the DMO presented the classified summary. ARB members had questions that were answered satisfactorily. The ARB panel members reviewed the classified exhibits and the session was then closed for deliberation.

**3. (U) Primary Documents, Assessments, Testimony, and Other Considerations by the  
Administrative Review Board**

(S/NF)   


(U) The following government agency assessments considered by the ARB are summarized as follows:

ISN 105  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 1 of 6

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

SECRET/NOFORN

(S/NF)



(S/NF)



(FOUO/LES)



(S/NF)


(S/NF)


ISN 105  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 2 of 6

SECRET/NOFORN

**SECRET/NOFORN**

**4. (U) Discussion of the Primary Factors (including intelligence value and law enforcement value of the Enemy Combatant)**

(U) The ARB considered the above agency assessments and the following key indicators in its threat and intelligence assessment of the EC:

a. (U) Recruitment.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

b. (U) Travel. The EC traveled in locations known to be used or managed by terrorist organizations or the Taliban.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

c. (U) Training.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

d. (U) Combat and capture. The EC was captured in connection with the conduct of combat or terrorist operations against the United States and its allies.

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- (S) [REDACTED]

ISN 105  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 3 of 6

**SECRET/NOFORN**

**SECRET/NOFORN**

- (U) LT is the armed wing of the Pakistan based religious organization, Markaz-ud-Dawa-wal-Irshad (MOI), a Sunni anti-U.S. missionary organization formed in 1989. The LT has conducted a number of operations against Indian troops and civilian targets in Kashmir since 1993 (DMO-3).
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- c. (U) Organizational affiliations. The EC has been a known affiliate of organizations that espouse terrorist and violent acts against the United States and its allies.
- (S) [REDACTED]
  - (U) LT is the armed wing of the Pakistan based religious organization, Markaz-ud-Dawa-wal-Irshad (MOI), a Sunni anti-U.S. missionary organization formed in 1989 (DMO-3).
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- f. (U) Individual affiliations. The EC is known to have affiliations with individuals who themselves plan, or are members of, organizations that plan to carry out acts of terrorism or violence against the United States and its allies.
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
  - (S/REL) [REDACTED]
  - (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- g. (U) Behavior. The EC's behavior during interrogation and detention indicating he is capable of posing a [REDACTED] threat.
- (S/NF) [REDACTED]
- h. (U) Other government concern.

ISN 105  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 4 of 6

**SECRET/NOFORN**

**SECRET/NOFORN**

- (S/NF) [REDACTED]

i. (U) Factors in support of release.

- (S) [REDACTED]

j. (S/NF) Level of Threat Summary: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

k. (S/NF) Intelligence Value Summary: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

l. (S/NF) Agency Recommendations. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

5. (U) **Considerations by the Administrative Review Board on the Enemy Combatant's requests for Witness Statements and Home Country Statements provided through the United States**

ISN 105  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 5 of 6

**SECRET/NOFORN**

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

(U) The EC is a citizen of Saudi Arabia. No witness or home country statements were provided.

6. (U) Consultations with the Administrative Review Board Legal Advisor

(U) [REDACTED]

7. (U) Conclusions and Recommendation of the Administrative Review Board

(U) Upon careful review of all the information presented, the ARB makes the following determination and recommendation:

(U) The EC is a continued [REDACTED] threat to the United States and its allies. The EC is of medium intelligence value. Recommend ISN 105 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

8. (U) Dissenting Board Member's report

(U) The panel reached a unanimous decision.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

ISN 105  
Enclosure (4)  
Page 6 of 6

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~