

# Chair

Jeff Mount, Ph. D. University of California, Davis

# Vice Chair

Judith Meyer, Ph. D. University of Georgia

#### Members

Antonio Baptista, Ph. D. Oregon Health and Science University

> William Glaze, Ph. D. University of North Carolina

Peter Goodwin, Ph.D., P. E. University of Idaho

Michael Healey, Ph. D. University of British Columbia

> Jack Keller, Ph. D., P.E. Utah State University

Daene McKinney, Ph. D. University of Texas at Austin

Richard Norgaard, Ph. D. University of California, Berkeley

> Duncan Patten, Ph. D. Montana State University

Paul Smith, Ph. D. University of California, San Diego September 6, 2007

TO: Michael Healey, Lead Scientist CALFED Bay-Delta Program

FROM: Jeffrey Mount, Chair CALFED Independent Science Board

RE: Sea Level Rise and Delta Planning

In July of this year, you asked that the Independent Science Board (ISB) examine the array of sea level rise projections available in published reports and, based on current scientific understanding, advise the Science Program about which projections are most appropriate for incorporating into on-going planning for the Delta. The ISB discussed this issue at their August, 2007 meeting and have developed recommendations detailed in this memo. It is important to note that this is not an assessment of the state of sea level rise science, but is intended to highlight the large uncertainty in sea level rise projections and recommend ways to incorporate this uncertainty into planning.

### Background

Sea level plays a dominant role in the San Francisco Bay-Delta. Water surface elevations and associated fluctuations due to tides, meteorological conditions and freshwater inflows drive Bay-Delta hydrodynamics. Hydrodynamics, in turn, dictate the location and nature of physical habitat, the quantity and quality of water available for export, and the design of the flood control/water supply infrastructure. Change in sea level has the potential to substantially alter Bay-Delta conditions and to constrain future management options.

Global sea level rise is a well-documented phenomenon, both in the paleoclimatic record as well as the historical record. Tidal gage records indicate that sea level during the 20<sup>th</sup> century has risen an average of 2mm/yr (.08 in) during a period of 0.7°C warming. Recent studies suggest that since 1990, global sea level has been rising at a rate of approximately 3.5 mm/yr (.14 in/yr)<sup>1</sup>. The cause of sea level rise stems from two processes: 1) thermal expansion of sea water as the surface layer warms, and 2) increase in mass of sea water associated with melting of land-based glaciers, snowfields and ice sheets.

Recent research supported by the California Energy Commission<sup>2</sup> (CEC) and continued under the CALFED-sponsored CaSCADE program, shows that sea level

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Church, J.A and N.J. White 2006 A 20<sup>th</sup> Century Acceleration in Global Sea-Level Rise Geophysical Research Letters, v. 33, article no. L01602

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cayan, D. *et al.* 2006 *Projecting Future Sea Level* California Climate change Center White Paper CEC-500-2005-202-SF Accessed at http://www.climatechange.ca.gov/research/climate/projecting.html

Michael Healey September 6, 2007 Page 2 of 4

rise will impact the Delta principally by increasing the frequency, duration and magnitude of water level extremes. These extreme events occur at various periodicities and are associated with high astronomical tides and Pacific climate disturbances, such as El Niño. The CEC study showed that under moderate climate warming and a sea level rise of 3 mm/year (12 in./century), extreme high water events in the Delta--those that exceed 99.99% of historical high water levels and severely impact levees--increases from exceptionally rare today to an average of around 600 hours/year by 2100. This work also showed that roughly 100 of these hours would coincide with very high runoff conditions, further amplifying the impacts of sea level rise. In sum, even under modest sea level rise and climate warming projections, extreme high water levels that are considered rare today will likely be very common by the end of this century.

# Sea Level Rise Projections

Early in 2007, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) released its latest assessment of the scientific basis for projections of future climate conditions, including global average sea level rise<sup>3</sup>. As noted in the press, in comparison with the IPCC's 2001 assessment, the latest sea level rise projections appear to have narrowed the range of potential sea level rise and lowered the magnitude of projected sea level rise. This was viewed by some outside of the IPCC as indication that: 1) uncertainty regarding sea level rise had decreased and 2) the problem of sea level rise itself appeared to be less than originally stated. However, both the methods used to derive the IPCC 2007 sea level projections, along with extensive new published research in 2007 suggest that this more optimistic view of future sea level rise may be unwarranted.

The IPCC projections are based on physical models that attempt to account for thermal expansion of the oceans and storage changes in land-based glaciers and ice fields. These models, by necessity, simplify the complex processes of ocean circulation and ice melting. The IPCC midrange projection for sea level rise this century is 20-43 cm (8-17 inches), with a full range of variability of 18-59 cm (7-23 inches). The range of variability reflects model differences and uncertainties as well as differences in greenhouse gas emission scenarios. The IPCC model effort is consensus-based, reflecting the agreement of numerous international scientists.

During the past year, there have been major advances in the science of sea level rise. Paradoxically, these advances have increased the uncertainty of projections in sea level rise, at least temporarily. These advances have also led to strong criticism of the approach that the IPCC used in establishing its projections<sup>4</sup>. One criticism is that the models used to project sea level rise tend to under-predict historical sea level rises, most notably failing to capture recent increases. Indeed, models that use empirical historical relationships between global temperatures and sea level rise perform better

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> IPCC 2007 Climate Change 2007: The Physical Basis—Summary for Policymakers Accessed at http://www.ipcc.ch/SPM2feb07.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> summary in Kerr 2007 Science NOW Accessed at

http://Sciencenow.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/2007/215/2

Michael Healey September 6, 2007 Page 3 of 4

> than the IPCC 2007 models<sup>5</sup>. When applied to the range of emission scenarios used by IPCC 2007, empirical models project a mid-range rise this century of 70-100 cm (28-39 in.) with a full range of variability of 50-140 cm (20-55 in.), substantially higher than IPCC 2007 projections. However, foremost among the criticisms is the failure of the IPCC to include dynamical instability of ice sheets on Greenland and Antarctica in their projections for sea level rise.

Melting of the ice sheets of Greenland and Antarctica has the potential to raise sea level 70 m. For most of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, the ice sheets have remained relatively stable, with melting contributing a minor fraction to sea level rise. However, during the past year numerous studies have demonstrated that the mass balance (input from snowfall versus losses due to melting or detachment) of these ice sheets is shifting toward more rapid loss, most likely in response to warming of the atmosphere and oceans<sup>6</sup>. The recent rate of mass loss in these ice sheets exceeds current physical model predictions. As many authors have pointed out, increased rates of ice sheet flow involving meltwater lubrication of the ice sheet bed or the removal of buttressing ice shelves, may be accelerating the rate of ice loss on Antarctica and Greenland. The IPCC 2007 report explicitly chose not to incorporate the uncertainty associated with this process into their sea level projections. Recent publications that have examined this issue suggest that, under business as usual emissions scenarios, dynamical instability of ice sheets may add as much as 1 m (39.4 in) to sea level rise by 2100<sup>7</sup>.

#### Recommendations

The ability of current physical models to project sea level rise are limited. This stems in part from our poor understanding of and current inability to model the response of Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to atmospheric and oceanic warming. Given the costs associated with levee failure in the Delta, the ISB feels it would be a mistake for the various planning processes now underway (BDCP, Delta Vision, DRMS) to base their planning on the conservative 2007 IPCC estimates of sea level rise. Although there is some disagreement about mechanisms of ice sheet disintegration, current advances in understanding coupled with new physical measurements all point toward the same conclusion: dynamical instability of ice sheets will likely contribute significantly to future sea level rise, with the potential for very rapid increases of up to a meter (39.4 in.) by 2100 from ice sheets alone. For this reason, the range of sea level projections based on greenhouse gas emission scenarios contained in the IPCC 2007 report should be viewed, at best, as minima for planning purposes.

The board recommends that planning efforts use three approaches to incorporate sea level rise uncertainty. First, given the inability of current physical models to accurately simulate historic and future sea level rise, until future model refinements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rahmstorf, S 2007 A Semi-Empirical Approach to Projecting Sea-Level Rise Science v. 315, pp. 368-370.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Shepherd, A. and D. Wingham 2007 *Recent Sea-Level Contributions of the Antarctic and Greenland Ice Sheets* Science, v. 315, pp. 1529-1532.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Hansen J *et al* 2007 *Dangerous human-made interference with climate: a GISS modelE study* Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, v. 7, pp.2287-2312.

Michael Healey September 6, 2007 Page 4 of 4

> are available, it is prudent to use existing empirically-based models for short to medium term planning purposes. The most recent empirical models project a midrange rise this century of 70-100 cm (28-39 in.) with a full range of variability of 50-140 cm (20-55 in.). It is important to acknowledge that these empirical models also do not include dynamical instability of ice sheets and likely underestimate long term sea level rise. Second, we recommend adopting a concept that the scientific and engineering community has been advocating for flood management for some time. This involves developing a system that can not only withstand a design sea level rise, but also minimizes damages and loss of life for low-probability events or unforeseen circumstances that exceed design standards. Finally, the board recommends the specific incorporation of the potential for higher-than-expected sea level rise rates into long term infrastructure planning and design. In this way, options that can be efficiently adapted to the potential for significantly higher sea level rise over the next century will be favored over those that use "fixed" targets for design. After all, the current debates over uncertainty in sea level rise are less about how much rise is going to occur and more about when it is going to occur.