# Māori Electoral Engagement - A Review of Existing Data

# [Supplementary Update]

#### [November 2006]



	WELLINGTON	AUCKLAND	SYDNEY	QUEENSLAND
	3 Collina Terrace	11 Earle Street	Level One, Suite 105	PO Box 972
	Thorndon	Parnell	332-342 Oxford Street	Robina DC
	WELLINGTON 6011	AUCKLAND 1052	SYDNEY NSW 2022	QUEENSLAND 4226
	NEW ZEALAND	NEW ZEALAND	<u>AUSTRALIA</u>	<u>AUSTRALIA</u>
	Tel: +64 4 473 1061	Tel: +64 9 373 8700	Tel: +61 2 9386 1622	Tel: +61 7 5562 2230
L	Fax: +64 4 472 3501	Fax: +64 9 373 8704	Fax: +61 2 9386 1633	Fax: +61 4331 63995

Email: umr@umr.co.nz www.umr.co.nz

## **Table of Contents**

		<u>Page Number</u>
l <b>.</b>	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
III.	ENROLMENT AND PARTY IDENTIFICATION	6
IV.	SATISFACTION WITH DEMOCRACY	7
٧.	SATISFACTION WITH SOCIETY AND THE ECONOMY	11
VI.	DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	13

#### I. Introduction

#### Discussion

This report is intended as a supplement to the more detailed findings outlined in the report on data collected from August 2002 to December 2004. This is entitled Māori Electoral Engagement - A Review of Existing Data (July 2006).

It presents updated data drawn together from a number of sources, the most important of which being a combined sample of UMR omnibus surveys from October 2005 to October 2006. The UMR omnibus is a nationwide telephone survey of a representative sample of n=750 New Zealanders aged 18 years or over. During the specified period the UMR omnibus was conducted every four weeks. It is New Zealand's longest running and most consistently taken omnibus poll, having been conducted since September 1991.

Some questions are asked in virtually every omnibus, including:

- Current vote
- Previous vote
- National mood (right track/ wrong track)
- Most demographics
- Government performance
- Government performance in managing the economy
- Economic expectations
- Interest rate expectations
- Standard of living expectations
- Unemployment expectations.

A number of questions relevant to this study are asked more sporadically, or began being asked only towards the end of the period designated above. As a result, the combined sample for these questions is considerably smaller, and in some cases should be treated as indicative only.

It should be noted that the Electoral Commission has asked us to mine data collected previously for the information presented in this report. Because the questions were asked for clients other than the Electoral Commission, the questions were not designed to fit directly with similar sources of information such as the New Zealand Election Survey. There are a number of areas where we have seldom asked questions (if at all), such as interest in politics and efficacy, because they were not felt to be of particular relevance to the clients for whom the questions were originally asked. We can, however, provide some insight into these areas by way of implication (e.g. being able to express an opinion on a party leader suggests a certain base level of knowledge and therefore interest in politics).

Similarly, the omnibus does not address some demographic indicators, such as educational achievement, that may well have an impact on likelihood of voting.

The primary focus of this analysis is on Māori non-voters. The following definitions have been used for the purposes of this analysis:

- 'Non-voters' are those who admit not voting at the 2005 election, even though they were old enough to do so
- A respondent qualifies as 'Māori' if they identify themselves as Māori in a multiple response
  question (i.e. someone who says they are both Māori and Samoan qualifies as Māori for the
  purpose of this research). This is in line with the question used in the census.

We compare Māori non-voters with three other groups:

- Māori voters
- Non-Māori non-voters
- Non-Māori voters.

This type of comparison helps us to identify which characteristics are associated with being a Māori voter, which are associated with being a non-voter, and which are unique to Māori non-voters.

The number of Māori non-voters recruited in each n=750 nationwide sample is of course small, and we have used the combined sample of October 2005 to October 2006 to ensure that the number of Māori non-voters is large enough for robust analysis.

We have chosen to exclude all those aged 18 or 19 from the sample, in order to remove distortions caused by those who did not vote at the September 2005 election because they were not old enough.

The table below outlines the sample sizes for the four groups.

Group	Sample Size	Margin of error*
Māori non-voters	185	±7.2
Māori voters	1,351	±2.7
Non-Māori non-voters	1,349	±2.7
Non-Māori voters	13,281	±0.9
*Margins of error expressed for a 50% figure at the	95% confidence level	

#### ■ Notes on this report

As noted, this report is intended as a supplement to the more detailed findings outlined in the report entitled Māori Electoral Engagement- A Review of Existing Data (July 2006). Although the omnibus has increased in frequency from once every four weeks to once every two weeks which allows us to present meaningful results over a single year, the sample sizes in the current report remain smaller than was the case in the 2002-2004 analysis. Some questions such as government performance continue to be asked only every four weeks (i.e. every second survey), meaning that the sample size for these questions is too small for meaningful analysis. This report presents those questions for which we have sufficient data.

### **II.** Executive Summary

 Turnout at the 2005 election was comfortably higher than it had been in 2002, and this is reflected in our data. 91% of respondents claimed to have voted in 2005, compared with 88% for the 2002 data.

Declared voting amongst the Māori in our sample rose from 85% to 88%.

 Despite this increase, there was generally little change in the overall trends noted in the previous report. Individual figures moved, but the relative differences between Māori nonvoters, Māori voters, non-Māori non-voters and non-Māori voters remained fairly constant.

An example of this can be seen in Don Brash's favourability rating. The proportion of Māori non-voters who had an opinion of the (now former) National party leader rose from 70% to 82% (total favourable **plus** total unfavourable), but this was mirrored by shifts amongst Māori voters (89% to 95% having an opinion) and non-Māori non-voters (78% to 81% having an opinion).

- One change that did occur was that, while non-voting amongst Māori on the Māori roll remained basically steady (moving from 12% to 11%), non-voting amongst Māori on the general roll fell from 16% not voting in 2002 to 10% not voting in 2005.
- The importance of age has softened. The proportion of Māori non-voters who were aged under 30 years has fallen from 51% to 35%, while the proportion aged 45 or over has increased from 12% to 23%. Similar shift also occurred amongst non-Māori non-voters.
- The last report did not include favourability ratings for Tariana Turia, as the Māori party was formed only towards the end of the period for which data was collected (registered in July 2004). The Māori party co-leader's favourability rating follows the general pattern for other leaders of smaller parties, with Māori non-voters and non-Māori non-voters being less likely to have an opinion of Tariana Turia (72% and 52% with an opinion respectively) than either Māori voters or non-Māori voters (87% and 79% with an opinion respectively).

## **III.** Enrolment and Party Identification

CHOICE OF ELECTORAL ROLL							
	Māori non-voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,351) %					
On Māori electoral roll	45	51					
On General roll	38	48					
Not enrolled	12	1					
Unsure	4	1					
Soft voters*	12	8					

<sup>\*</sup>A soft voter is someone who says that they are not sure who they would vote for, or who expresses a preference but only leans towards that party.

CHOICE OF ELECTORAL ROLL					
On Māori roll On General F (n=772) (n=719) %					
Voted in 2005	89	90			
Did not vote in 2005	11	10			

# **IV.** Satisfaction with Democracy

FAVOURABILITY RATING – HELEN CLARK						
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,351) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,349) %	Non-Māori voters (n=13,281) %		
Very favourable	23	33	25	25		
Somewhat favourable	40	40	40	35		
Somewhat unfavourable	12	13	14	19		
Very unfavourable	15	12	14	19		
Unsure/ Never heard of	9	2	7	1		
Total favourable	63	73	65	60		
Total unfavourable	27	25	28	38		
Very favourable + Very unfavourable	38	45	39	44		
Somewhat favourable + Somewhat unfavourable	52	53	54	54		

FAVOURABILITY RATING – DON BRASH						
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,351) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,349) %	Non-Māori voters (n=13,281) %		
Very favourable	2	5	7	14		
Somewhat favourable	18	20	31	39		
Somewhat unfavourable	28	26	24	24		
Very unfavourable	35	44	19	20		
Unsure/ Never heard of	18	5	19	4		
Total favourable	20	25	38	53		
Total unfavourable	63	70	43	44		
Very favourable + Very unfavourable	37	49	26	34		
Somewhat favourable + Somewhat unfavourable	46	46	55	63		

FAVOURABILITY RATING – WINSTON PETERS					
	Māori non- voters (n=121) %	Māori voters (n=854) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=840) %	Non-Māori voters (n=8,462) %	
Very favourable	16	15	8	10	
Somewhat favourable	34	38	26	30	
Somewhat unfavourable	19	22	22	27	
Very unfavourable	13	14	19	23	
Unsure/ Never heard of	19	11	24	10	
Total favourable	50	53	34	40	
Total unfavourable	32	36	41	50	
Very favourable + Very unfavourable	29	29	27	33	
Somewhat favourable + Somewhat unfavourable	53	60	48	57	

FAVOURABILITY RATING – RODNEY HIDE					
	Māori non- voters (n=111) %	Māori voters (n=798) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=779) %	Non-Māori voters (n=7.855) %	
Very favourable	5	4	2	7	
Somewhat favourable	18	24	26	35	
Somewhat unfavourable	17	28	19	27	
Very unfavourable	23	25	12	19	
Unsure/ Never heard of	37	19	41	12	
Total favourable	23	28	28	42	
Total unfavourable	40	53	31	46	
Very favourable + Very unfavourable	28	29	14	26	
Somewhat favourable + Somewhat unfavourable	35	52	45	62	

FAVOURABILITY RATING – JEANETTE FITZSIMONS					
	Non-Māori voters (n=7,253) %				
Very favourable	11	14	7	12	
Somewhat favourable	22	34	24	35	
Somewhat unfavourable	6	19	12	21	
Very unfavourable	11	11	9	16	
Unsure/ Never heard of	50	22	49	16	
Total favourable	33	48	31	47	
Total unfavourable	17	30	21	37	
Very favourable + Very unfavourable	22	25	16	28	
Somewhat favourable + Somewhat unfavourable	28	53	36	56	

FAVOURABILITY RATING – PETER DUNNE					
	Māori non- voters (n=104) %	Māori voters (n=737) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=718) %	Non-Māori voters (n=7,253) %	
Very favourable	3	4	3	5	
Somewhat favourable	14	23	21	32	
Somewhat unfavourable	19	25	14	27	
Very unfavourable	9	17	9	14	
Unsure/ Never heard of	55	31	53	21	
Total favourable	17	27	24	37	
Total unfavourable	28	42	23	41	
Very favourable + Very unfavourable	12	21	12	19	
Somewhat favourable + Somewhat unfavourable	33	48	35	59	

FAVOURABILITY RATING – TARIANA TURIA						
Māori non-voters         Māori voters         Non-Māori non-voters         Non-Māori non-voters<						
Very favourable	15	19	3	3		
Somewhat favourable	24	34	14	24		
Somewhat unfavourable	14	20	17	27		
Very unfavourable	18	15	18	26		
Unsure/ Never heard of	28	13	48	21		
Total favourable	39	53	17	27		
Total unfavourable	32	35	35	53		
Very favourable + Very unfavourable	33	34	21	29		
Somewhat favourable + Somewhat unfavourable	38	54	31	51		

# V. Satisfaction with Society and the Economy

THE MOOD						
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,351) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,349) %	Non-Māori voters (n=13,281) %		
Right track	45	51	58	53		
Wrong track	36	35	29	37		

ECONOMIC EXPECTATIONS					
	Māori non- voters (n=111) %	Māori voters (n=797) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=770) %	Non-Māori voters (n=7,863) %	
Better	34	30	30	19	
Worse	49	49	49	58	
Same	7	16	15	19	
Unsure	10	5	6	4	

INTEREST RATE EXPECTATIONS						
	Māori non- voters (n=111) (n=797) (n=770) (n=7,863) %					
Up	69	70	63	62		
Down	12	10	14	11		
Same	11	15	18	23		
Unsure	8	5	5	4		

UNEMPLOYMENT EXPECTATIONS					
	Māori non- voters (n=111) %	Māori voters (n=797) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=770) %	Non-Māori voters (n=7,863) %	
Up	40	43	40	45	
Down	23	22	20	13	
Same	34	32	37	38	
Unsure	4	3	3	3	

STANDARD OF LIVING EXPECTATIONS						
	Māori non- voters (n=111) %	Māori voters (n=797) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=770) %	Non-Māori voters (n=7,863) %		
Better	59	47	45	29		
Worse	18	23	27	28		
Same	21	29	26	41		
Unsure	3	2	2	2		

# **VI.** Demographic Characteristics

GENDER						
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,375) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,501) %	Non-Māori voters (n=13,281) %		
Male	51	41	56	50		
Female	49	59	44	50		

AGE					
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,351) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,349) %	Non-Māori voters (n=13,281) %	
20-24	19	6	14	4	
25-29	16	9	14	5	
30-34	13	12	13	8	
35-39	14	13	14	10	
40-44	15	14	13	11	
45-49	8	12	8	12	
50-54	4	11	6	10	
55-59	6	8	5	9	
60-64	3	6	4	7	
65-69	1	4	3	6	
70-74	1	2	3	7	
75 plus	-	1	2	-	
	-				
Under 30 years	35	15	28	9	
30-44 years	42	41	40	29	
45-59 years	18	31	21	31	
60 years or older	5	13	12	20	

HOUSEHOLD INCOME						
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,351) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,349) %	Non-Māori voters (n=13,281) %		
\$30,000 or less	30	22	20	20		
\$30,000-70,000	42	43	42	37		
More than \$70,000	27	28	26	33		

PERSONAL INCOME						
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,229) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,117) %	Non-Māori voters (n=11,815) %		
\$30,000 or less	59	48	47	43		
\$30,001-\$50,000	25	28	29	27		
More than \$50,000	16	24	24	29		

LOCATION					
	Māori non- voters (n=185) %	Māori voters (n=1,351) %	Non-Māori non-voters (n=1,349) %	Non-Māori voters (n=13,281) %	
Rural	29	22	17	18	
Auckland	19	26	35	31	
Provincial	63	58	43	46	
Christchurch	11	8	12	13	
Wellington	7	9	10	11	