




## OECD Health Data 2009


### How Does the United Kingdom Compare

Total health spending accounted for 8.4% of GDP in the **United Kingdom** in 2007, compared with an average of 8.9% across OECD countries. The United States is, by far, the country that spends the most on health as a share of its economy, with 16% of its GDP allocated to health in 2007. France and Switzerland followed with 11.0% and 10.8% of their GDP spent on health, respectively. Several EU countries – Germany, Belgium and Austria – and Canada also devote more than 10% of their GDP to health.

In terms of per capita spending on health, the **United Kingdom** closely matches the OECD average, with spending of 2992 USD in 2007 (adjusted for purchasing power parity). Health spending per capita in the **United Kingdom** remains much lower however than in the United States (which spent 7290 USD per capita in 2007), and significantly lower than some other big spenders, such as Norway and Switzerland (with spending of over 4400 USD per person).



(1) 2006, (2) 2005. Source: OECD Health Data 2009, June 09.



(1) 2006, (2) 2005. Data for Belgium, Denmark and the Netherlands are current expenditures (excluding investment). Source: OECD Health Data 2009, June 09. Data are expressed in US dollars adjusted for purchasing power parities (PPPs), which provide a means of comparing spending between countries on a common base. PPPs are the rates of currency conversion that equalise the cost of a given 'basket' of goods and services in different countries.

Between 2000 and 2007, health spending per capita in the **United Kingdom** increased in real terms by 4.7% per year on average, a faster growth rate than the OECD average (3.7% per year).

The public sector continues to be the main source of health funding in all OECD countries, except Mexico and the United States. In the **United Kingdom**, 82% of health spending was funded by public sources in 2007, well above the average of 73% for OECD countries.

### **Resources in the health sector (human, physical, technological)**

Following a perceived shortage of health professionals in the **United Kingdom**, there has, over recent years, been a determined and active campaign to increase numbers. Latest figures show that in 2007, the **United Kingdom** had 2.5 practising physicians per 1 000 population, up from 1.9 doctors per 1 000 population in 2000, but still below the OECD average of 3.1, and well behind some other European countries such as France, Germany, Italy and Sweden, which all record 3.4 or more physicians per 1 000 population.

In 2007, there were 10.0 nurses per 1 000 population in the **United Kingdom**, up from 9.2 in 2000. The OECD average was 9.6 nurses per 1 000 population in 2007.

The number of acute care hospital beds in the **United Kingdom** was 2.6 per 1 000 population in 2007, below the OECD average of 3.8 beds per 1 000 population. In line with many OECD countries, the number of hospital beds per capita in the **United Kingdom** has fallen gradually over the past decade or so. This decline has coincided with a reduction of average length of stays in hospitals and an increase in the number of surgical procedures performed on a same-day (or ambulatory) basis.

During the past decade, there has been rapid growth in the availability of diagnostic technologies such as computed tomography (CT) scanners and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) units in most OECD countries. Although the **United Kingdom** has also seen some increase in such technologies, the number of MRIs in 2007 was 8.2 per million population, below the OECD average of 11.0. Furthermore, the number of CT scanners in the **United Kingdom** stood at 7.6 per million population, less than half the OECD average of 20.2.

### **Health status and risk factors**

Most OECD countries have enjoyed large gains in life expectancy over the past decades, linked to improvements in living conditions, public health interventions and progress in medical care. In 2005, life expectancy at birth in the **United Kingdom** was 79.1 years, just above the OECD average of 78.9 years. However, several major European countries – France, Italy and Spain – registered a higher life expectancy than the **United Kingdom**.

The infant mortality rate in the **United Kingdom**, as in other OECD countries, has fallen significantly over the past decades. It stood at 4.8 deaths per 1 000 live births in 2007. Although lower than the OECD average of 4.9, this is still higher than most European countries. The lowest infant mortality rates are reported in some Nordic countries (Iceland, Sweden and Finland), Luxembourg and Japan.

The proportion of daily smokers among adults has shown a marked decline over the past two decades in most OECD countries. The **United Kingdom** has achieved some progress in reducing tobacco consumption, with current rates of daily smokers among adults standing at 21% in 2007, below the OECD average of 23%. Currently, the lowest rates among all OECD countries are in Australia, Sweden and the United States, all with fewer than 17% of adults reporting to be daily smokers.

Obesity rates have increased in recent decades in nearly all OECD countries, although there remain notable differences across countries. In the **United Kingdom**, the obesity rate among adults, at 24% in 2007, ranks

as one of the highest in the OECD, although it remains lower than in the United States (34% in 2006) and similar to Australia and New Zealand<sup>1</sup>. The rate of obesity has more than doubled over the past twenty years in the United States, while it has almost tripled in Australia and more than tripled in the **United Kingdom**. There is a time lag of several years between the onset of obesity and related health problems (such as diabetes and asthma), suggesting that the rise in obesity that has occurred in most OECD countries, including the **United Kingdom**, will mean higher health care costs in the future.

More information on *OECD Health Data 2009* is available at [www.oecd.org/health/healthdata](http://www.oecd.org/health/healthdata).

For more information on OECD's work on the **United Kingdom**, please visit [www.oecd.org/uk](http://www.oecd.org/uk).

---

<sup>1</sup> It should be noted however that the data for the United States, the United Kingdom, Australia and New Zealand are more accurate than those from other countries since they are based on *actual measures* of people's height and weight, while estimates for other countries are based on *self-reported* data, which generally underestimate the real prevalence of obesity.