

REPERTORY OF PRACTICE OF UNITED NATIONS ORGANS

SUPPLEMENT No. 6

(Revised advance version, to be issued in volume II of Supplement No. 6 (forthcoming) of the
Repertory of Practice of United Nations Organs)
Volume II

ARTICLE 9

CONTENTS

	<i>Paragraphs</i>
Text of Article 9	
Introductory note.....	1
I. General survey.....	2-13
II. Analytical summary of practice.....	14-66
A. Practice relating to Article 9(1).....	14-16
B. Practice relating to Article 9(2).....	17-66
1. Composition of delegations to the General Assembly.....	17-19
**a. Number of representatives	
b. Accreditation by Governments of their representatives: issuance of credentials.....	17
c. Representation of a Member State in the General Assembly.....	18-19
2. Consideration by the General Assembly of credentials of representatives.....	20-66
a. Procedure for examination and approval.....	20-65
(i) Democratic Kampuchea.....	20-39
(ii) Chile.....	40
(iii) Afghanistan.....	41-43
(iv) Viet Nam.....	44
(iv) South Africa.....	45-48
(v) Israel.....	49-64
(vi) Grenada.....	65

b. Provisional admission of representatives to a session..... 66

***c.* Statement on the scope of credentials

Notes

ARTICLE 9

TEXT OF ARTICLE 9

1. The General Assembly shall consist of all the Members of the United Nations.
2. Each Member shall have not more than five representatives in the General Assembly.

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

1. The present study of Article 9 follows the pattern adopted in the *Repertory* and in the five previous *Supplements*. Decisions are grouped under the name of the State whose membership is called into question by other Member States, first in the Credentials Committee, if applicable, and then in the plenary. If a pattern or trend is detected, it is described in detail and all the sessions in which it was applied are noted.

I. GENERAL SURVEY

2. The application of Article 9(1) was mainly the same as in previous years.
3. The application of Article 9(2) gave rise to discussions similar to those reported in the previous *Supplements* regarding the representation of a Member State in the General Assembly and the recognition of credentials to which objections had been raised by other Member States. This was the case in respect of Democratic Kampuchea, Chile, Afghanistan, Viet Nam, South Africa, Israel and Grenada.
4. From the thirty-fourth to the thirty-ninth session, the Credentials Committee met primarily twice during each session. The Committee issued at least two reports to be considered by the General Assembly. The first report of the Committee was considered mainly after the conclusion of the general debate and the second report later in the session. During the thirty-fifth session, the Committee issued three reports; the third report was considered by the Assembly at the second resumed session.¹
5. The above constituted a departure from the previous practice of the Credentials Committee, which had issued one report per session through the twenty-seventh session and was considered by the Assembly towards the end of the session.
6. In two cases during the thirty-fourth² and thirty-sixth³ sessions, the Assembly considered the first report of the Credentials Committee before the opening of the general debate.
7. The credentials of the representatives of Democratic Kampuchea (see *Supplement No. 5, Khmer Republic*) continued to be the subject of reservations during the sessions of the General Assembly covered by the present *Supplement* (see paras. 20-39).
8. In the Credentials Committee, starting with the thirtieth session, the validity of the credentials of Chile was questioned. However, no amendments were ever proposed to the resolution.
9. The General Assembly, on two occasions, was requested to convene the Credentials Committee for the purpose of acting solely on the credentials of specific countries. In the first instance, at the thirty-fourth session, the delegation of Viet Nam (see para. 20) questioned the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea

and in the other, at the thirty-fifth session, the delegation of Cameroon (see para. 45) questioned the credentials of South Africa.

10. The credentials of South Africa were challenged by a Member State and, on two separate occasions, were rejected in the Credentials Committee and in the General Assembly (see paras. 45-48).

11. As of the thirty-sixth session, as well as at the emergency special sessions covered in this *Supplement*, the Credentials Committee included a preambular paragraph in its resolutions which reflected the reservations that had been expressed by members of the Committee during its debates.

12. During the period under review, the credentials of Israel were questioned when the reports of the Credentials Committee were considered in the plenary. Beginning with the thirty-seventh session, a member of the Group of Arab States would introduce an amendment by which the Assembly would approve all credentials except those of the representatives of Israel. A member of the five Nordic countries, on a point of order, would move, under rule 74 of the rules of procedure, that no action be taken on the proposed amendment and would request that its motion be immediately put to the vote. The motion of no action would be adopted by an overwhelming majority (see paras. 51-64).

13. During the period under review, Member States sought the advice of the Legal Counsel who, on two separate occasions, addressed the Assembly on specific questions and practices of the Assembly relating to points of order, the nature of amendments and other procedural questions and practices (see paras. 23-25, 52-55 and 60).

II. ANALYTICAL SUMMARY OF PRACTICE

A. Practice relating to Article 9(1)

14. During the period under review, which covers the thirty-fourth to thirty-ninth regular sessions, the sixth to ninth emergency special sessions and the eleventh and twelfth special sessions, the membership of the United Nations was enlarged through the admission of eight new Members, as follows:⁴

<i>Member State</i>	<i>Resolution number</i>	<i>Date</i>
Saint Lucia	34/1	18 September 1979
Zimbabwe	A/S-11/1	25 August 1980
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	35/1	16 September 1980
Vanuatu	36/1	15 September 1981
Belize*	36/3	25 September 1981
Antigua and Barbuda	36/26	11 November 1981
Saint Kitts and Nevis	38/1	23 September 1983
Brunei Darussalam	39/1	21 September 1984

* See para.6 below

15. As in the past, membership became effective on the date on which the General Assembly took its decision on the application, in accordance with the rules of procedure.⁵ Zimbabwe was admitted as a new member during the eleventh special session of the General Assembly.

16. Belize was admitted as a new Member of the United Nations at the thirty-sixth session of the General Assembly, following a recorded vote that had been requested by the delegation of Guatemala.⁶ The draft resolution was adopted by 144 votes to 1. This was the only instance of voting on the admission of a new Member State in the period covered by this *Supplement*.

B.**Practice relating to Article 9(2)**

1. COMPOSITION OF DELEGATIONS TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

*** a. Number of representatives**b. Accreditation by Governments of their representatives: issuance of credentials*

17. The practice regarding the issuance of the credentials of representatives to the General Assembly remained unchanged.

c. Representation of a Member State in the General Assembly

18. As had been the case during the period covered by the previous *Supplements*, objections were raised both in the Credentials Committee and in the plenary as to the validity of the credentials of the representatives of some Member States.

19. While proposals had been made for inclusion in the agenda of items regarding the representation of certain Member States in the General Assembly during the sessions covered by previous *Supplements*, none were proposed during the period under review.

2. CONSIDERATION BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF CREDENTIALS OF REPRESENTATIVES

*a. Procedure for examination and approval***(i) Democratic Kampuchea***Thirty-fourth session*

20. At the thirty-fourth session, during the second plenary meeting, the representative of Viet Nam,⁷ on a point of order, questioned the validity of credentials of Democratic Kampuchea. The President, citing rules 71 and 29 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly, ruled that Democratic Kampuchea should be seated provisionally and requested the Credentials Committee to meet and to submit its first report to the Assembly on 21 September 1979.

21. At the Credentials Committee's first meeting, the delegate of China formally proposed that the Committee should accept the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea.⁸ The proposal was adopted by 6 votes to 3.⁹ The Committee decided to recommend that the Assembly adopt the draft resolution contained in its report.¹⁰

22. In the plenary, in introducing the Credentials Committee report, the Chairman noted that those members who voted in favour of the draft resolution, stated that for the most part their acceptance of the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea did not mean agreement with the past policies of its leaders.¹¹ The representative of Bulgaria introduced a draft resolution (A/34/L.2) by which the report of the Credentials Committee would be disregarded. The representative of India, on behalf also of six other sponsors, submitted an amendment (A/34/L.3 and Add.1) to the draft resolution, contained in the Committee's report. By the amendment, the Assembly would decide, without prejudice to the positions of other Member States,

to suspend consideration of the report of the Credentials Committee and to keep the seat of Democratic Kampuchea vacant for the time being.

23. A discussion ensued as to whether the proposal to leave the seat vacant was to be considered an amendment within the meaning of rule 90 of the rules of procedure.¹² The delegate of Thailand¹³ proposed that the Legal Counsel address the Assembly on whether document A/34/L.3 was an amendment or a new proposal. The delegate of Singapore¹⁴ then requested a separate vote on the proposal to invite the Legal Counsel. The delegate of Algeria¹⁵ expressed opposition to the proposal. The President, citing rule 71 of the rules of procedure, called for a recorded vote on the proposal by Singapore. By 81 votes to 31, with 26 abstentions, the Assembly¹⁶ decided to invite the Legal Counsel to address the Assembly. The President requested the Legal Counsel to prepare a legal opinion prior to taking a decision on the Indian amendment (A/34/L.3 and Add.1).

24. The Legal Counsel¹⁷ stated that A/34/L.3 did not seem to fall within the definition of an amendment as described in rule 90 of the rules of procedure. He noted, however, that the Assembly had always been extremely flexible on such matters and thus it would be entirely within its past practice to determine for itself the nature of document A/34/L.3. The delegate of Bulgaria withdrew draft resolution A/34/L.2 and stated that his delegation and those of the other sponsors would instead support A/34/L.3, on the understanding that this should not be taken in any way as implying a change in the position of principle.

25. The President put to the vote the question whether the text contained in A/34/L.3 and Add.1 constituted an amendment. By 80 votes to 43, with 19 abstentions, the Assembly decided that the text did not constitute an amendment. The President then put to a vote the proposal of the delegate of India to give a priority vote to document A/34/L.3 and Add.1, which was rejected by 76 votes to 39, with 23 abstentions. The Assembly then adopted by 71 votes to 35, with 34 abstentions, the draft resolution recommended by the Credentials Committee in paragraph 26 of its report.¹⁸ The Assembly did not take action on document A/34/L.3 and Add.1.

*Sixth, Seventh and Ninth Emergency Special Sessions
Eleventh and Twelfth Special Sessions*

26. At the meetings of the Credentials Committee at the respective sessions, several delegations stated their opposition to the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea. In all cases, the Committee adopted a resolution by which it took into account the different reservations expressed by delegations in the debate.¹⁹

27. At the eleventh²⁰ and twelfth²¹ special sessions, in explanation of their positions in the plenary, delegations expressed reservations regarding the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea.

Thirty-fifth session

28. The delegation of Viet Nam²² requested the circulation of two documents to the General Assembly which in effect questioned the validity of the credentials of the representative of Democratic Kampuchea.

29. The following day, the Permanent Representative of Democratic Kampuchea,²³ in a letter to the Secretary-General and the President of the General Assembly, requested the Credentials Committee to examine the credentials of representatives to the thirty-fifth session which had been received by the Secretary-General up to that date (17 September 1980), including those of Democratic Kampuchea.

30. Subsequently the Credentials Committee was convened at the request of the General Assembly to consider that challenge and to submit recommendations thereon to the Assembly.

31. At the first meeting of the Credentials Committee, several members expressed reservations regarding the credentials of the delegation of Democratic Kampuchea. The Chairman made a statement²⁴ concerning

the mandate of the Committee and proposed to reflect the reservations expressed by delegations in the text of the draft resolution as follows:

“The Credentials Committee,

“Having examined the credentials of the representatives to the thirty-fifth session of the General Assembly of the Member States referred to in paragraphs 4 and 5 of this report,

“Taking into account the different reservations expressed by delegations during the debate,

“Accepts the credentials of the representatives of the Member States concerned.”

32. The Credentials Committee adopted the draft resolution without a vote.

33. In the plenary, the Chairman drew attention to the report of the Credentials Committee²⁵ as well as to a number of communications (see footnotes 22 and 23). The delegation of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic²⁶ expressed reservations regarding the credentials of the delegation of Democratic Kampuchea and introduced an amendment²⁷ by which the credentials of all representatives would be accepted “except with regard to the credentials of the representatives of Democratic Kampuchea”. The amendment²⁸ was rejected by 74 votes to 35, with 32 abstentions. The General Assembly then adopted the draft resolution recommended by the Credentials Committee in paragraph 18 of its report.²⁹

Thirty-sixth session

34. Four communications³⁰ were circulated as General Assembly documents at the request of a Member State, which effectively challenged the validity of the credentials of the delegation of Democratic Kampuchea. Similar communications were circulated at the thirty-seventh session. No action was taken on any of the communications.

35. At the third plenary meeting, while considering the report of the Credentials Committee,³¹ the delegate of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic³² submitted an amendment³³ to the draft resolution contained in paragraph 22 of the report, by which the credentials of all representatives would be accepted “except with regard to the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea”. In accordance with rule 90 of the rules of procedure, the President put the amendment to the vote. The amendment was rejected by 77 votes to 37, with 31 abstentions.³⁴ The General Assembly then adopted the draft resolution recommended by the Credentials Committee in paragraph 22 of its report.³⁵

Thirty-seventh session

36. Prior to the adoption of the draft resolution in the Credentials Committee, the delegation of Seychelles asked the Chairman for clarification regarding the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea. The Chairman stated that the questions raised by the delegation could not be dealt with at the current stage, as some went beyond the issue as stated in the memorandum by the Secretary-General. He added that the General Assembly would only be asked to approve the first report of the Committee and that the matters raised by the delegation could be considered at subsequent meetings, if required. The Committee approved its report.³⁶

37. In the plenary, the delegate of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic³⁷ introduced an amendment³⁸ regarding the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea.

38. The Assembly³⁹ rejected the amendment by 90 votes to 29, with 26 abstentions. The following day,⁴⁰ the Assembly adopted the draft resolution recommended by the Credentials Committee without a vote.⁴¹

Thirty-eighth and thirty-ninth sessions

39. At the thirty-eighth⁴² and thirty-ninth⁴³ sessions, reservations were expressed in the plenary by delegations on the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea. The Assembly adopted the resolutions⁴⁴ contained in the respective reports.⁴⁵

(ii) Chile

40. At the second meeting of the Credentials Committee during the thirty-fourth session,⁴⁶ the delegation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics expressed reservations regarding the credentials of the delegation of Chile. This trend began at the thirtieth session (*Supplement No.5*) and continued at the thirty-fifth through the thirty-ninth sessions,⁴⁷ as well as at the sixth to eighth emergency special sessions⁴⁸ and at the eleventh and twelfth special sessions.⁴⁹

(iii) Afghanistan

41. During the sixth emergency special session on the question of Afghanistan, at the meeting of the Credentials Committee,⁵⁰ the delegations of China, Ecuador, Pakistan, Panama and the United States of America stated that, in view of their delegations, the fact that no objection had been made to the participation of the Afghan delegation should in no way be interpreted as acquiescence in the situation created by the armed intervention in Afghanistan. On the other hand, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics indicated that statements made within the Committee questioning the credentials of the delegation of Afghanistan reflected a desire by some delegations to use the Credentials Committee for improper purposes and that those statements constituted an interference in the internal affairs of Afghanistan. Other delegations expressed the opinion that the Committee's task was a technical one and did not involve approval of the policies of the countries concerned. The draft resolution adopted by the Committee reflected the "different reservations expressed by delegations during the debate". In the plenary, the draft resolution was adopted without a vote.⁵¹

42. At the seventh to ninth emergency special sessions⁵² and at the thirty-fifth through thirty-ninth sessions,⁵³ similar reservations to the credentials of Afghanistan were expressed by delegations.

43. At the thirty-sixth session, during the General Assembly's consideration of the second report of the Credentials Committee,⁵⁴ reservations were again expressed regarding the credentials of Afghanistan.⁵⁵

(iv) Viet Nam

44. At the eleventh⁵⁶ and twelfth⁵⁷ special sessions, the delegation of Democratic Kampuchea questioned the credentials of Viet Nam, following the adoption of the Credential Committee's report.

(v) South Africa

Thirty-fifth session

45. At the start of the debate on the question of Namibia in the General Assembly, the delegation of Cameroon challenged the credentials of South Africa.⁵⁸ The President immediately requested the Credentials Committee to meet. At the following meeting, on a motion by the delegation of Cameroon,⁵⁹ which was adopted by 113 votes to 23, with 1 abstention, the Assembly decided not to hear the representative of South Africa before action on the third report of the Credentials Committee. The

Chairman of the Committee presented an oral report⁶⁰ and stated that it was clear from the discussion in the Committee that there was no consensus on the matter. The Credentials Committee, by 6 votes to 1, with 2 abstentions, decided to reject the credentials of South Africa. The draft resolution in the plenary was adopted by 112 votes to 22, with 6 abstentions.⁶¹ Fifteen delegations took the floor in explanation of vote.⁶²

Eighth emergency special session

46. At the first plenary meeting of the eighth emergency special session on the question of Namibia, the delegation of Algeria,⁶³ speaking on a point of order, requested that the Credentials Committee be convened immediately so that it might submit its report to the Assembly as soon as possible. He also presented a motion for the adjournment of the meeting under rule 76 of the rules of procedure, pending the receipt of the Committee's report. The motion to adjourn the meeting was adopted by 139 votes, with 1 abstention.

47. At the first meeting of the Credentials Committee, the Chairman informed the members that only three credentials had been received, namely, Guinea, South Africa and Yemen. Following a discussion during which no consensus was reached, the Committee rejected the credentials of South Africa by 6 votes to 1, with 2 abstentions.⁶⁴

48. In the plenary, after the Chairman of the Credentials Committee presented its report,⁶⁵ the delegation of South Africa asked to speak on a point of order under rule 29 of the rules of procedure. As the delegate of South Africa⁶⁶ commenced his statement, the President interrupted him and recognized the delegate of Algeria,⁶⁷ also on a point of order. Following an exchange between the President⁶⁸ and the delegation of Algeria,⁶⁹ the delegate of Algeria appealed against the decision of the President in accordance with rule 71 of the rules of procedure. The appeal⁷⁰ against the President's ruling was sustained by 113 votes to 24, with 6 abstentions. The report of the Credentials Committee was adopted by 117 votes to 22, with 6 abstentions.⁷¹

(vi) Israel

Thirty-fifth through thirty-ninth sessions

49. At the thirty-fifth through the thirty-ninth session, Member States requested the circulation of communications⁷² conveying their reservations regarding the credentials of the delegation of Israel. No action was taken on any of the communications.

50. At the thirty-sixth to thirty-ninth sessions, reservations in the plenary were expressed by delegations relating to the credentials of Israel.⁷³

Thirty-seventh session

51. During the Assembly's consideration of the report of the Credentials Committee,⁷⁴ the delegation of the Islamic Republic of Iran⁷⁵ proposed an oral amendment to the draft resolution contained in paragraph 19 of the report, by which the phrase "except the credentials of the representatives of Israel" would be added at the end of the operative paragraph. The delegation of Singapore⁷⁶ requested that the amendment be circulated before a vote was taken in accordance with rule 78 of the rules of procedure. The Assembly decided to circulate the amendment in document form.⁷⁷

52. At the request of the President for a legal opinion on the proposed amendment by the Islamic Republic of Iran, the representative of the Legal Counsel⁷⁸ stated that the Assembly could not amend the resolution contained in paragraph 19 of the report since it had already been adopted by the Credentials Committee. It was not a recommendation by the Committee to the Assembly and it was not within the authority of the Assembly to amend a resolution that had been adopted. The sponsor⁷⁹ of the amendment subsequently

corrected his earlier proposal and requested that the amendment should be to the resolution contained in paragraph 25 of the report.⁸⁰

53. As the voting process had commenced, the delegate of Spain,⁸¹ on a point of order, pointed out that in effect the Assembly would be splitting its vote, contrary to rule 88 of the rules of procedure, if the Assembly were to vote on the amendment submitted by the Lao People's Democratic Republic (A/37/L.8 and Add.I) relating to the credentials of Democratic Kampuchea (see paras. 37-38) at the present meeting and on the amendment submitted by Iran, relating to the credentials of Israel, at the following meeting.

54. Although a decision had been taken by the Assembly to circulate the amendment by Iran, the President⁸² asked whether the Assembly was ready to proceed with the voting on both amendments and referred the question concerning the procedure for the application of rule 88 to the Legal Counsel.

55. The representative of the Legal Counsel⁸³ stated that the reference to rule 88 had been correct in the normal practice of the Assembly. However, he noted that it was not an invariable practice as long as the Assembly completed a particular voting process, which had been deemed sufficient in the past. He also reminded the Assembly that it had accepted the President's proposal to dispose of amendment A/37/L.8 and Add.I at the present meeting and the following day of the amendment by the Islamic Republic of Iran.

56. At the 45th meeting, the Assembly had before it amendment A/37/L.9, submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran. The delegate of Finland,⁸⁴ on behalf of the Nordic countries and speaking on a point of order, formally moved that no action be taken on the amendment. He further requested that his motion⁸⁵ be immediately put to the vote in accordance with rule 74 of the rules of procedure. The motion⁸⁶ was adopted by 74 votes to 9, with 32 abstentions.⁸⁷

57. The Assembly then adopted the draft resolution recommended by the Credentials Committee in paragraph 25 of its report without a vote.⁸⁸

Thirty-eighth session

58. As at the thirty-seventh session, the delegation of the Islamic Republic of Iran⁸⁹ proposed an oral amendment to the draft resolution in paragraph 11 of the report of the Credentials Committee⁹⁰ by which the phrase "except with regard to the credentials of Israel" would be added at the end of the operative paragraph.

59. The delegate of Norway,⁹¹ speaking on behalf of the five Nordic countries, formally moved, under rule 74 of the rules of procedure, that no action be taken on the amendment proposed by the Islamic Republic of Iran and requested that his motion be put to the vote immediately. In clarification to a member's request as to the scope of the motion, the delegate of Norway⁹² stated that he had requested that no action be taken at any time during the present session of the Assembly.

60. At the request of the President, the Legal Counsel⁹³ stated that rule 74 had been used in the recent past to refer either to an item as a whole, to a specific question or text under consideration. It was his view that the motion was receivable from a legal standpoint. Further questions were raised to which the Legal Counsel responded. He stated⁹⁴ that there had been precedents at the thirty-fourth, thirty-sixth and thirty-seventh sessions to such motions. The only precedent for a motion for no action applied to the report of the Credentials Committee had occurred at the thirty-seventh session. As to whether the motion for no action was unconstitutional, the Legal Counsel⁹⁵ stated that similar motions had been presented and acted upon in the past and cited such an instance at the 111th meeting of the thirty-seventh session.

61. The President then put the motion by Norway for no action on the amendment to the vote. The motion was adopted by 79 votes to 43, with 19 abstentions.⁹⁶

62. The Assembly adopted the draft resolution recommended by the Credentials Committee in paragraph 23 of its report without a vote.⁹⁷

Thirty-ninth session

63. As at the thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth sessions, an identical amendment⁹⁸ was proposed by the delegation of the Islamic Republic of Iran. Speaking on behalf of the Nordic countries, the delegate of Denmark⁹⁹ moved that no action be taken on the amendment and that the motion be put to a vote immediately in accordance with rule 74 of the rules of procedure. The motion was adopted by 80 votes to 41, with 22 abstentions.¹⁰⁰

64. The Assembly adopted the draft resolution recommended by the Credentials Committee in paragraph 24 its first report.¹⁰¹

(vii) Grenada

65. At the second meeting of the Credentials Committee during the thirty-ninth session¹⁰² the delegations of Cuba and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics expressed reservations regarding the credentials of the delegation of Grenada. No reservations were expressed in the plenary.

b. Provisional admission of representatives to a session

66. During the period under consideration, the Credentials Committee continued its practice of recommending to the General Assembly that representatives who did not have credentials in conformity with rule 27 of the rules of procedure should be seated with the same rights as other representatives pending the receipt of formal credentials.

***c. Statement on the scope of credentials*

Notes

¹ See *Repertory, Supplement* No.4, Article 9, para.8.

² G A (34), Plen., 3rd and 4th mtgs.

³ G A (36), Plen., 3rd and 4th mtgs.

⁴ See also this *Supplement* under Article 4.

⁵ Rule 138 (A/520/Rev.15), United Nations publication, Sales No.E.85.I.13.

⁶ G A (36), Plen., 13th mtg., paras. 27-33.

⁷ G A (34), Plen., 2nd mtg., paras. 12-13.

⁸ *Ibid.*, Annexes, a.i. 3, A/34/500, para. 9.

⁹ *Ibid.*, para. 23.

¹⁰ *Ibid.*, para. 26; G A resolution 34/2A.

¹¹ G A (34), Plen., 3rd mtg., para. 3.

¹² *Ibid.*, Plen., 4th mtg., paras. 223-289.

¹³ *Ibid.*, para. 226.

¹⁴ *Ibid.*, para. 229.

¹⁵ *Ibid.*, para. 232.

¹⁶ *Ibid.*, para. 233.

¹⁷ *Ibid.*, paras., 265-267.

-
- 18 G A resolution 32/2A.
19 A/ES-6/5, A/ES-7/13 and Add.1, A/ES-8/6/Add.1, A/ES-9/6, A/S-11/23, A/S-12/28.
20 G A (S-11), Plen., 17th mtg.
21 *Ibid.*, (S-12), Plen., 29th mtg.
22 G A (35), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/35/454, A/35/455.
23 *Ibid.*, A/35/460.
24 *Ibid.*, A/35/484, para. 15.
25 *Ibid.*
26 *Ibid.*, Plen., 34th mtg., paras. 49-57.
27 A/35/L.5 and Add.1.
28 G A (35), Plen., 35th mtg., para. 249.
29 G A resolution 35/4A.
30 G A (36), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/36/492, A/36/508, A/36/510, A/36/511.
31 *Ibid.*, A/36/517.
32 *Ibid.*, Plen., 3rd mtg., paras. 67-69.
33 A/36/L.2 and Add.1.
34 G A (36), Plen., 3rd mtg., para. 173.
35 G A resolution 36/2A.
36 G A (37), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/37/543.
37 *Ibid.*, Plen., 42nd mtg., para. 22.
38 A/37/L.8 and Add.1.
39 G A (37), 43rd mtg., para. 222.
40 *Ibid.*, 45th mtg., para. 14.
41 G A resolution 37/5A.
42 G A (38), Plen., 34th mtg.
43 G A (39), Plen., 32nd mtg.
44 G A resolutions 38/2 and 39/3A.
45 G A (38-39), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/38/508, A/39/574.
46 G A (34), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/34/500/Add.1.
47 G A (35-39), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/35/484, A/36/517, A/37/543, A/38/508, A/39/574.
48 *Ibid.*, A/ES-6/5, A/ES-7/13, A/ES-8/6/Add.1.
49 *Ibid.*, A/S-11/23, A/S-12/28.
50 *Ibid.*, A/ES-6/5.
51 G A resolution ES-6/1.
52 G A, Annexes, a.i. 3, A/ES-7/13, A/ES-8/6/Add.1, A/ES-9/6.
53 G A (35-37, 39), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/35/484, A/36/517/Add.1, A/37/543, A/39/547.
54 G A (36), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/36/517/Add.1.
55 *Ibid.*, Plen., 103rd mtg.
56 G A (S-11), Plen., 17th mtg., paras. 8-13.
57 *Ibid.*, (S-12), Plen., 29th mtg., paras. 268-277.
58 GA (35), Plen., 102nd mtg., paras. 13-15.
59 *Ibid.*, 103rd mtg., para. 2.
60 *Ibid.*, Annexes, a.i. 3, A/35/484/Add.2.
61 G A resolution 35/4C.
62 G A (35), Plen., 103rd mtg., paras. 15-70.
63 G A (ES-8), Plen., 1st mtg., paras. 13-15.
64 *Ibid.*, Annexes, a.i. 3, A/ES-8/6.
65 *Ibid.*
66 *Ibid.*, Plen., 2nd mtg., para.5.
67 *Ibid.*, paras. 7-9.
68 *Ibid.*, paras. 10, 12-13.
69 *Ibid.*, paras. 11, 14-16.
70 *Ibid.*, para. 18.
71 G A (ES-8), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/ES-8/6; GA resolution ES-8/1A.
72 G A (35-39), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/35/515, A/36/516, A/37/563, A/38/520, A/39/584 and Add.1.

-
- ⁷³ G A (36), Plen., 4th mtg., (37), Plen., 43rd mtg., (38), Plen., 34th mtg., (39), Plen., 32nd mtg.
- ⁷⁴ G A (37), Annexes, a.i. 3, A/37/543.
- ⁷⁵ *Ibid.*, Plen., 43rd mtg., paras. 125-127.
- ⁷⁶ *Ibid.*, paras. 131-132.
- ⁷⁷ A/37/L.9.
- ⁷⁸ G A (37), Plen., 43rd mtg., para. 139-140.
- ⁷⁹ *Ibid.*, paras. 142-143.
- ⁸⁰ *Ibid.*, Annexes, a.i. 3, A/37/543.
- ⁸¹ *Ibid.*, paras. 182-186.
- ⁸² *Ibid.*, paras. 145, 199-200.
- ⁸³ *Ibid.*, paras. 204-206.
- ⁸⁴ *Ibid.*, 45th mtg., para. 3.
- ⁸⁵ A/37/L.11.
- ⁸⁶ G A (37), 45th mtg., paras. 6-7.
- ⁸⁷ *Ibid.*, para. 12.
- ⁸⁸ G A resolution 37/5A.
- ⁸⁹ G A (38), Plen., 34th mtg., para.11.
- ⁹⁰ *Ibid.*, Annexes, a.i. 3, A/38/508.
- ⁹¹ *Ibid.*, paras. 43-44.
- ⁹³ *Ibid.*, paras. 55-58.
- ⁹⁴ *Ibid.*, paras. 80-81.
- ⁹⁵ *Ibid.*, para. 87.
- ⁹⁶ *Ibid.*, para. 88.
- ⁹⁷ G A resolution 38/2.
- ⁹⁸ G A (39), Plen., 32nd mtg., para. 23.
- ⁹⁹ *Ibid.*, paras. 49-51.
- ¹⁰⁰ *Ibid.*, paras. 52, 53, 59.
- ¹⁰¹ G A (39), Annexes, i.e. 3, A/39/574; G A resolution 39/3.
- ¹⁰² *Ibid.*, A/39/574/Add.1, paras. 6-7.