



#### HAARP Generated ELF/VLF Waves for Magnetospheric Probing

#### Mark Gołkowski

University of Colorado Denver

M.B. Cohen, U. S. Inan, D. Piddyachiy Stanford University

**RF** Ionospheric Workshop

20 April 2010





- > HAARP magnetospheric wave injection experiment
- Survey of geomagnetic indices (Kp, DST, AE)
- Relation to concurrent natural magnetospheric emissions
- Most recent HAARP campaign
- > Modeling of wave injection



# Wave Injection with HAARP

- HAARP generated ELF/VLF waves injected into the magnetosphere
- ELF/VLF waves undergo non-linear interaction with hot plasma electrons in magnetosphere
- Amplified waves observed on both ends of the magnetic field line













UC Denver Electrical

Engineering



### **Geomagnetic Conditions: Kp**



Average Kp Values (via Ap)

**JC Denver** 



### **Geomagnetic Conditions: Kp**

IC Denver





## **Geomagnetic Conditions: DST**

JC Denver





### **Geomagnetic Conditions: AE**

JC Denver





#### Relationship to Geomagnetic Indices



- Kp, DST, AE: quiet conditions 12-36 hours before observations are statistically significant, AE index most significant
- Kp and DST additionally show disturbed conditions 2-4 days prior to be significant

Not prolonged quiet but <u>quieting/recovering</u> conditions following a disturbance are most favorable for ground observations of HAARP induced magnetospheric amplification



## **Two Hour Evolution**

**JC Denver** 

Electrical Engineering



#### Unique ground observation





#### **Two Hour Evolution: Hiss**

JC Denver

Electrical Engineering

Chistochina 11-Dec-2008 UT dB-pT 3 -10 2.5 -15 Frequency (kHz) 2 -20 -25 1.5 -30 1 -35 0.5 40 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 Minutes after 02:00:00 UT Chistochina 11-Dec-2008 UT dB-pT 3 -10 Broadband hiss, 2.5 Frequency (kHz) -15 2 no 2-hop echoes -20 -25 .5 observed -30 HAARP Trans. -35 0.5 11 -40 5 10 15 20 25 Seconds after 02:20:00 UT



Frequency (kHz)

### **Two Hour Evolution: Chorus**

JC Denver

Electrical Engineering

Chistochina 11-Dec-2008 UT dB-pT 3 -10 2.5 -15 2 -20 -25 1.5 -30 -35 0.5 40 50 10 20 30 60 70 80 90 100 110 40 Minutes after 02:00:00 UT Chistochina 11-Dec-2008 UT dB-pT Hiss 3 -10 transitions to 2.5 2.5 2 1.5 1.5 -15 -20 chorus still no -25 echoes -30 -35 12 0.5 40 25 5 10 15

Seconds after 03:24:00 UT

20



#### **Two Hour Evolution: Echoes**

JC Denver

Electrical Engineering

Chistochina 11-Dec-2008 UT dB-pT 3 -10 2.5 -15 Frequency (kHz) 2 -20 -25 1.5 -30 -35 0.5 40 60 10 20 30 40 50 70 80 90 100 110 Minutes after 02:00:00 UT Chistochina 11-Dec-2008 UT dB-pT\_ Chorus gives -10 way to 2-hop 2 Frequency (kHz) -15 echoes of -20 -25 1.5 same -30 -35 amplitude 2 Hop Echoes 13 0.5 40 20 25 5 15 10 Seconds after 03:33:00 UT



## **Two Hour Evolution: Echoes**

**JC Denver** 





#### Significance of Natural Emissions to Amplification

- UC Denver Electrical Engineering
- Association of hiss, chorus, triggered emissions (1-hop, 2-hop echoes) previously observed
- ➤ Is the relationship
  - Causal through wave-particle interactions: hiss -> chorus [Koons et al., JGR, 1981] ?
  - Effect of propagation and dispersion: chorus ->hiss [Bortnik et al., Nature, 2008] ?
  - Linear (hiss) versus non-linear (chorus, echoes) radiation of free energy from anisotropy of electron distribution [*Omura et al., JGR*, 2008] ?
- Do observed emissions originate from the same place?



#### **Multiple Site Measurements**



Secs after 02:05:00 UT

**JC Denver** 

dB-pT

Electrical Engineering

Dot Lake



#### **Source Location: Emissions**

Denver





# HAARP Campaign: 4-15 Apr, 2010



**UC** Denver



# Very Strong ELF/VLF





# **Different Methods of Generation**



- Amplitude modulated signal
- 50% Duty cycle

- CW signal
- +- 15° line pattern

ELF frequency dictated by line frequency

- CW signal
- Circular beam pattern
- ELF frequency dictated by spin frequency

- AM signal
- 3x3 grid, 10 μs dwell time at each point

IC Denver

Electrical Engineering

 "Beam painting" technique



# **Different Methods of Generation**

JC Denver

Electrical Engineering



Different methods of excitation yield different magnetospheric results



# **Different Methods of Generation**

Chistochina 30-Aug-2007 UT



Sometimes the line sweep is better



# Magnetospheric Injection: Predictions







#### Survey of geomagnetic indices indicates that observations occur during quieting/recovery following a disturbance

#### Multi-station ground observation shows evolution of natural emissions from hiss to chorus to HAARP induced amplification

Modeling shows that AM and Geometric Modulation yield highest wave amplitudes



- J. Bortnik, R. M. Thorne, N. P. Meredith (2008), The unexpected origin of plasmaspheric hiss from discrete chorus emissions, *Nature*, 452, 62.
- Carpenter, D. L., and Z. T. Bao (1983), Occurrence properties of ducted whistlermode signals from the new VLF transmitter at Siple Station, Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, (A9), 7051-7057.
- Koons, H. C. (1981), The role of hiss in magnetospheric chorus emissions, *J. Geophys. Res., 86*, 6745–6754.
- Omura Y., Y. Katoh, D. Summers (2008), Theory and simulation of the generation of whistler-mode chorus, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, A04223, doi:10.1029/2007JA012622.