Price Convergence in the European Car Market*
by
Salvador Gil-Pareja**
Simón Sosvilla-Rivero***
DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO 2005-22

November 2005

*   Corresponding author: Salvador Gil Pareja, Departamento de Estructura Económica, Av. de los Naranjos s/n, Valencia CP 46022, Spain; email: Salvador.Gil-Pareja@uv.es. We would like to thank José Antonio Martínez Serrano and Oscar Bajo Rubio for valuable comments and suggestions. We also thank Frank Verboven and Pinelopi Goldberg for sending us the price data in Denmark, Finland and Greece prior to 1999. Salvador Gil-Pareja acknowledges financial support from *Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología* (project SEC2003-05836/ECO). Simón Sosvilla-Rivero gratefully acknowledges the financial support by *Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología* grant SEJ2005-09094/ECON.
**   Universidad de Valencia.
*** FEDEA and Universidad Complutense de Madrid.

Abstract

This paper examines price convergence in the European Union Car market over the period 1995-2005. The results indicate that there is a clear evidence of price convergence among the EU15 countries, but not before 1999. Moreover, countries of the Economic and Monetary Union started convergence previously to the EU15 as a whole. Finally, exchange rate changes has significantly contributed to price dispersion over time across countries.

JEL classification numbers: F15; F30.

Key Words: Market integration, Automobiles, European Union, Euro, Exchange rates.

1.   Introduction

Over the past decades, important steps have been taken in the European Union (EU) to integrate markets. One of the expected effects of the process of market integration in Europe is price convergence. This hope relies on the argument that the elimination of administrative and technical barriers to trade, as a result of the Single Market Project, and the recent adoption of the euro reduce the potential for price discrimination across member States by bolstering cross-border trade and price transparency.[1]

There is a growing number of papers on the issues of price and inflation convergence among the EU member States (see, e. g., Camarero *et al*., 2000; Rogers, 2001; Rogers, *et al*., 2001; Gámez-Amián and Morales-Zumaquero, 2002; Sosvilla-Rivero and Gil-Pareja, 2004; Chen, 2004; or Gil-Pareja and Sosvilla-Rivero, 2004) and the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU) countries (see, e. g., Parsley and Wei, 2001; Rogers, 2001 and 2002; Baye *et al*., 2003, Mathä, 2003; Lutz, 2003; Gajewski and Kowalski, 2004; Isgut, 2004; Engel and Rogers, 2004; Goldberg and Verboven, 2004; or Allington *et al*., 2005).

One market that has attracted particular interest is the European car market. A number of studies have focused on price convergence in this market (Gaulier and Haller, 2000; Lutz, 2003 and 2004; Goldberg and Verboven, 2001, 2004 and 2005). The automobile industry provides a good opportunity for studying price convergence within both EU and EMU countries. On the one hand, cross-country price differentials in the automobile industry are an important source of concern under the European Commission´s competition policy. On the other hand, since May 1993, the European Commission publishes, twice a year, car price surveys for most car models sold in the EU. These surveys represent one of the rare comprehensive public sources of information on product prices in the EU at such detailed level.

The aim of this paper is to examine whether the EU15 and the eurozone car markets have become more integrated, using as a metric the dispersion of prices net of taxes. Several studies of cross-country price behaviour in the European car market have used the European Commission surveys. Our study extends those works by using a different approach to examining this data set and by incorporating the most recent information. In particular, unlike previous

---

[1] Specifically, the effect of the euro on trade has been analysed in several papers (see, Bun and Klaassen, 2002; Micco, Stein and Ordoñez, 2003; De Nardis and Vicarelli, 2003; Barr, Breedon and Miles, 2003; and Faruqee, 2004). They find a positive effect that ranges between 2.6% and 27% depending on the sample of countries, the periods analysed and the methodology used.

studies we use the concept of σ-convergence to individual car models and our data set includes 13 post-EMU and 7 post-euro price surveys.

Moreover, since the European Monetary System (EMS) represented an intermediary step to the EMU, fostering economic integration and economic policy coordination in the EU, we devote particular attention to the convergence of prices experienced by countries whose currencies participated in the core of EMS. In this regard, it has been claimed that international trade in a regime of relatively fixed exchange rates such as that established by EMS would result in price convergence. Therefore, by analysing price dispersion among EMS countries with different degrees of exchange rate stability we hope to shed new light on the success of this exchange rate agreement in terms of imposing price discipline among its members.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2, shortly discusses economic incentives and institutions generating deviations from the law of one price. Section 3 presents the data and Section 4 sets out the empirical findings. Finally, Section 5 offers some concluding remarks.

2. The law of one price and the European car market

Much work in international economics has focused on testing the validity of the law of one price across countries. There are two versions of the law of one price: the absolute and the relative versions. The absolute version states that, in the absence of transfer costs and under competitive conditions, identical tradable goods priced in a common currency should be equal across countries. The intuition is that international arbitrage should work until prices are aligned. In its relative form, the law of one price asserts that common currency prices for a particular product should change in the same way over time in different countries and, therefore, it is compatible with the existence of a stable price differential across markets.

Most of the empirical literature on the law of one price examines the validity of its relative version for two main reasons. First, arbitrage is not costless. Trading between locations itself has costs (such as transportation costs and trade barriers), so prices are very unlikely to be identical across locations. However, these costs may give rise to a stable price differential across markets. Second, the preference for testing the relative version is a consequence of data limitations rather than research interest. Typically, the data employed in price comparisons is in the form of price indices in different countries whose levels are arbitrary. However, in this paper, the price information is based on

recommended retail prices of specific car models. The prices used are in ECUs/euros, net of taxes and have been adjusted for equipment differences. It allows us to focus on the analysis of the convergence to the absolute version of the law of one price.

Two conditions are necessary for the existence of international price differences beyond transfer costs. First, firms must have market power as well as some profit incentives to set different prices in different countries. Different demand elasticities, import quotas, or an incomplete pass-through (of taxes or exchange rates) are the most frequently studied sources of markup differences across countries in the European car market and, therefore, of international price discrimination. Second, firms must be able to prevent arbitrage. Traditionally, several non-tariff barriers, such as the type approval or the national registration, have contributed to keep European car markets geographically segmented. Despite the removal of a great part of the barriers within the EU in 1993, some obstacles to cross-border trade have remained since then. In particular, during the sample period the major obstacle to cross-border trade stems from the selective and exclusive distribution system authorised by Regulation 123/85 (from 1985 to 1995) and 1475/95 (from 1995 up to 30 September 2002). This distribution system aimed to restrict sales of new cars in the EU to dealers chosen by manufacturers, becoming very difficult for independent wholesalers to buy cars in bulk in one country and resell them in another. An important liberalization of the distribution system was introduced in 2002 with transitions periods of at least one year.[2]

3. Data

The price data used in this study come from the biannual surveys of car price differentials between EU member States carried out by the European Commission since 1993. The methodology used has remained the same for all the surveys over the period 1993-2005. About 17 European and 8 Japanese manufacturers submit the recommended retail prices on 1 May and 1 November of each year of their top-selling products. The number of car models included in these surveys ranges from 72 to 91. The prices are adjusted for equipment differences and are given in local currency and in ECU/euros, both before and after tax. It shall be noted that actual retail prices may differ from recommended list prices, as dealers are free to set their own prices. In particular, the data set on pre-tax list prices used in this study consists of the surveys conducted over the

---

[2] See Goldberg and Verboven (2004) for a detailed discussion about both the profit incentives for price discrimination in the car market and the European institutions that have made international price discrimination feasible in this industry.

period from May 1995 to May 2005 (21 bi-annual surveys). The countries covered are all the EU-15 Member States.[3] The car models considered are those with appropriate data in the range of countries and periods for the purposes of this paper. As a result, we have selected a sample of 45 models.

4. Empirical results

There are various ways of measuring price dispersion, for example, the range of minimum price to maximum price, the ratio of maximum price to minimum price, the ratio of maximum price to mean price, the standard deviation, or the coefficient of variation. We use the coefficient of variation (the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean) as a measure of price dispersion because it has advantages over the cited alternative measures. The coefficient of variation is invariable to changes of scale, which is useful for comparing price dispersion across products or, for a given product, price dispersion over time. This affords an advantage with respect to the range and the standard deviation. Moreover, although the range and max-min ratio provide a measure of the *total* spread of the data, they only take into account the two extreme values of the data, and, therefore, they are susceptible to considerable distortion if there is an unusual extreme observation. Similarly, the max-mean ratio only considers all the observations in the computation of the mean, in contrast to the coefficient of variation, which takes into account each of the data observations in both the numerator (which measures the average spread around the mean) and the denominator (the mean).

For the purpose of assessing price convergence in the European car market we use the concept of σ-convergence.[4] Thus, to measure the degree of price convergence for each car model, first, we calculate the coefficient of price variation for each bi-annual survey across the corresponding set of European markets. Then, the time series of coefficients of variation for each car model (CV_t) are regressed on a constant and a linear time trend (TIME):

$$CV_t = \alpha + \sigma TIME + u_t \tag{1}$$

---

[3] The pre-tax car prices are available since May 1993 for 10 European countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, the United Kingdom). Pre-tax prices for Denmark and Greece are available since November 1994, while for Austria, Finland and Sweden they are available since May 1995. Data for the ten new members of the EU (Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Malta, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia) are not considered given that they are only available since May 2004.

[4] σ-convergence occurs if the cross-sectional dispersion of a variable decreases over time.

where α and σ are parameters to be estimated, and u_t is the error term. If price dispersion declined steadily, we would expect the regression to yield a negative and statistically significant coefficient for the linear time trend.

Tables 1-5 present the results for the 45 car models considered in five different samples of countries. The first table presents the results for EU15. Tables 2 and 3 focus on EMU11 and EMU12 (including Greece) countries, respectively. Table 4 ("group A") examines price convergence for EU15 countries whose currencies participated continuously in the Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) of the EMS from the outset (Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Luxembourg and the Netherlands) or were closely linked to the Deutschemark (Austria). Finally, Table 5 ("group B") includes EU15 countries whose currencies showed considerable fluctuations in value relative to the German mark (Finland, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom)[5]. Each table reports the estimated coefficients on the linear trend in the coefficients of variation for six periods. The first three periods (columns 1 to 3) start in 1995, ending in 1998 (the year before irrevocable exchange rates among EMU countries are fixed), 2001 (the year prior to the introduction of the euro) and 2005 (the last year in the sample), respectively. In column 4 we analyse price convergence over the period 1998-2005. Finally, in columns 5 and 6 this recent period is divided into two which allows us to distinguish the first years of the EMU (when the euro did not circulated) and from that time onwards.

In general, the evidence of price dispersion trends varies both according to the sample of countries and the periods considered. As can be observed in Table 1, during the period 1995-1998 about only half of the estimates are negative and just 5 of them are statistically significant at the 10% level. Furthermore, 4 of the 21 positive coefficients reach the statistical significance. Therefore, it is not surprising that the average coefficient of variation in 1995 (0.1008) be very similar to that found in 1998 (0.1002). One fact that may help to explain the scarce evidence of convergence during this period is the presence of an incomplete pass-through of exchange rates to prices. Several studies for the automobile industry have found an incomplete degree of exchange rate pass-through to import prices (Gross and Schmitt, 1996; Gron and Swenson, 1996;

---

[5] It is interesting to note that these two groups roughly correspond to the distinction made by the European Commission (1995) between those countries whose currencies continuously participated in the ERM from its inception maintaining broadly stable bilateral exchange rates among themselves over the sample period, and those countries whose currencies either entered the ERM later or suspended its participation in the ERM, as well as fluctuating in value to a great extent relative to the Deutschmark. These two groups are also basically the same found in Jacquemin and Sapir (1996), applying multivariate analysis techniques (i.e., principal components and cluster analysis) to a wide set of structural and macroeconomic indicators, to form an homogeneous group of countries.

Feenstra *et al.*, 1996), and the existence of international price discrimination induced by exchange rate movements (Gagnon and Knetter, 1995; Gil-Pareja, 2001; Gil-Pareja, 2003), a phenomenon termed pricing to market.[6] Extending the period to 2001, we find an increase in the number of negative and statistically significant coefficients, but the distribution of positive and negative coefficients remains unchanged. However, when we add to the sample period data from 2002 onwards, the existence of price convergence is evident: 44 out of 45 coefficients are negative and 89% of them are statistically significant. A similar result emerges when we analyse price convergence over the period 1998-2005, for which the estimated coefficients for the linear time trend is negative in all the cases and they are statistically significant at the 1% level in 87% of them. Splitting this period into two we find a clear evidence of price convergence in both sub-periods although it is stronger after 2001 than from 1998 to 2001.

In comparison with the EU15, the sample of EMU11 countries (Table 2) shows a remarkably greater number of negative coefficients during the periods 1995-1998 and 1995-2001 while for the full sample period the number of negative trends is slightly smaller. This result suggests that EMU countries started convergence previously to the EU as a whole, which, as noted before, does not show evidence of convergence until 1998. Moreover, the study of price convergence after that year provides broadly the same picture than for the EU in its entity. Nonetheless, two comments are in order. First, there is more evidence of convergence among the EU15 than among EMU countries. This might be expected since in 1998 price dispersion was considerably smaller across EMU countries (0.0669) than across EU member States (0.1002). Second, as was expected the evidence of price convergence is stronger in the post-euro period.[7] The inclusion of Greece among the set of EMU countries (Table 3) leads to the same conclusions.

Another important issue that is an aim of this paper is the analysis of the impact of exchange rates on convergence patterns. To this end, we study whether EU15 countries with relatively stable, credible exchange rates prior to the EMU (group A) showed a stronger tendency towards convergence than countries with relatively volatile exchange rates (group B) [8]. In the stable

---

[6] In particular, Gil-Pareja (2003) investigates pricing to market behaviour in European car markets during the period 1993-1998 using also the bi-annual data provided by the European Commission surveys. He concludes that local currency price stability is a strong and pervasive phenomenon across products that is consequence, at least in part, of the existence of market segmentation and international price discrimination, despite the completion of the *single market programme* in 1993.

[7] Friberg (2001) provides theoretical support to the notion that the single currency should reduce the potential for price discrimination across participating countries. He shows that a monetary union promotes market integration by reducing the option value of segmenting markets.

[8] See Ledesma-Rodríguez *et al.* (2005) for an empirical evaluation of the credibility of the commitment to maintain the exchange rate around a central parity in the ERM.

currencies group, a small majority, 26 out of 45, cases show a declining trend over the period 1995-1998, although only 10 of them are statistically significant at the 10% level. However, in group B only 6 estimates are negative and only one of them is statistically significant at conventional levels. In contrast, in the last group 24 out of 39 positive coefficients reach the statistical significance at the 10% level.[9] The enlargement of the sample period to 2001 increases the number of significant trends towards lower price dispersion in both groups, even though it is worth noting that there is again more evidence of convergence in group A (with 19 negative and statistically significant estimates) and that in group B (16 of the 34 positive coefficients are statistically significant). When we extend the sample period to 2005, we observe significantly lower price dispersion over time in 35 (23) car models in group A (B). Focussing attention on the period 1998-2005, the results indicate a significant trend toward lower dispersion in both samples, but in this case it is particularly intense in group B where it occurs in 42 models. As a result of these trends the average coefficient of variation in 2005 in group B (0.0561) is slightly smaller than in group A (0.0577). Finally, it is worth noting that the evidence that emerges after splitting this period into two does not differ markedly in both groups of countries, being greater the number of negative trends in the post-euro period.

5. Concluding remarks

This paper has offered empirical evidence of price convergence in one market that has attracted special attention during the last decade: the European car market. In particular, we have examined whether the EU15 and the eurozone car markets have become more integrated, using a different approach to that employed in previous works (the concept of σ-convergence to individual car models) and incorporating the most recent information. We have also explored the effect of exchange rates on price convergence patterns by reviewing the experience of European countries that participated in the exchange rate stability zone with a high degree of confidence with respect to the commitment to maintain the exchange rate around a central parity against countries with relatively volatile exchange rates.

Overall, the evidence of price convergence varies both according to the sample of countries and the periods considered. In the EU as a whole there is no tendency for price dispersion to fall over the period 1995-1998. In contrast, from

---

[9] Consistent with these trends, the average coefficient of variation in group A fall from 0.095 to 0.087 over the period 1995-1998, whereas, in group B, it increases from 0.079 to 0.108 over the same period.

1998 onwards the evidence of price convergence is pervasive and stronger in the post euro period than until 2001.

If we restrict the sample to the EMU markets, we observe significant lower price dispersion over time since 1995. It suggests that EMU countries started convergence previously to the EU as a whole. However, the study of price convergence after irrevocable exchange rates were fixed provides broadly the same picture than for the EU in its entity, being the average coefficient of variation in 2005 slightly smaller in EMU countries.

Finally, exchange rate movements over the period 1995-1998 has significantly contributed to price dispersion across countries. In particular, countries with relatively volatile exchange rates show a tendency towards a higher price dispersion over the period 1995-1998. However, from 1998 onwards there is a very clear evidence of lower price dispersion over time.

References

Allington, N. F. B., Kattuman, P. A. and Waldmann, F. A. (2005): "One market, one money, one price? Price dispersion in the European Union", The Judge Institute of Management, University of Cambridge, Working Paper P 01/2005.

Barr, D., Breedon, F. and Miles, D. (2003): "Life on the outside: Economic conditions and prospects outside euroland", *Economic Policy* 18, pp. 574-613.

Baye, M., Gatti, R., Kattuman, P. and Morgan, J. (2003): "Did the euro foster online price competition? Evidence from an International Price Comparison Site", forthcoming in *Economic Inquiry*.

Bun, M. J. G. and Klaassen, F. J. G. M. (2002): "Has the euro increased trade?", Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper, TI 2002-108/2.

Camarero, M., Esteve, V. and Tamarit, C. (2000): "Price convergence of the peripheral European countries on the way to the EMU: A time series approach", *Empirical Economics* 25, pp. 149-168.

Chen, N. (2004): "The behaviour of relative prices in the European Union: A sectoral analysis", *European Economic Review* 48, pp. 1257-1286.

De Nardis, S. and Vicarelli, C. (2003): "The impact of the euro on trade: the (early) effect is not so large", European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes, Working Paper No. 017.

Engel, C. and Rogers, J. H. (2004): "European product market integration after the euro", *Economic Policy* 19, pp. 347-384.

European Commission (1995): "The impact of exchange rate movements on trade within the single market", *European Economy, Reports and Studies*, No. 4.

Faruqee, H. (2004): "Measuring the trade effects of euro", International Monetary Fund Working Paper 04/154.

Feenstra, R. C., Gagnon, J. E. and Knetter, M. M. (1996): "Market share and exchange rate pass-through in world automobile trade", *Journal of International Economics* 40, pp. 187-207.

Friberg, R. (2001): "Two monies, two markets? Variability and the option to segment", *Journal of International Economics* 55, pp. 317-327.

Gagnon, J. E. and Knetter, M. M. (1995): "Markup adjustment and exchange rate fluctuations: evidence from panel data on automobile exports", *Journal of International Money and Finance* 14, pp. 289-310.

Gámez-Amián, C. and Morales-Zumaquero, A. (2002): "Complete or partial inflation convergence in the EU?", CentrA Working paper E2002/09.

Gajewski, P. and Kowalski, J. (2004): "Price convergence in the European Union countries", Institute of Economics, University of Lodz, mimeo.

Gaullier, G. and Haller, S. (2000): "The convergence of automobile prices in the European Union: an empirical analysis for the period 1993-1999", Centre d'Etudes Prospectives et d'Informations Internationales, Working Paper 2000-14.

Gil-Pareja, S. (2001): "Pricing to market in European automobile exports to OECD countries: a panel data approach", *Applied Economics* 14, pp. 1845-1856.

Gil-Pareja, S. (2003): "Pricing to market behaviour in European car markets", *European Economic Review* 47, pp. 945-962.

Gil-Pareja, S. and Sosvilla-Rivero, S. (2004): "Export market integration in the European Union", *Journal of Applied Economics* 7, pp. 271-301.

Goldberg, P. K. and Verboven, F. (2001): "The evolution of price dispersion in the European car market", *Review of Economic Studies* 68, pp. 811-848.

Goldberg, P. K. and Verboven, F. (2004): "Cross-country price dispersion in the euro era: a case study of the European car market", *Economic Policy* 19, pp. 483-521.

Goldberg, P. K. and Verboven, F. (2005): "Market integration and convergence to the law of one price: evidence from the European car market", *Journal of International Economics* 65, pp. 49-74 .

Gron, A. and Swenson, D. L. (1996): "Incomplete exchange rate pass-through and imperfect competition: the effect of local production", *American Economic Review* 86, pp. 71-76.

Gross, D. G. and Schmitt, N. (1996) "Exchange rate pass-through and rivalry in the Swiss automobile market", *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* 132, pp. 278-303.

Isgut, I. (2004): "Common currencies and market integration across cities: How strong is the link?", in V. Alexander, J. Mélitz, and G. M. von Furstenberg (eds.), *Monetary Unions and Hard Pegs: Effects on Trade, Financial Development, and Stability* (Oxford: Oxford University Press), Chapter 8.

Jacquemin, A. and Sapir, A. (1996): "Is a European hard core credible? A statistical analysis", *Kyklos* 49, pp. 105-117.

Ledesma-Rodríguez, F., Navarro-Ibáñez, M., Pérez-Rodríguez, J., and Sosvilla-Rivero, S. (2005): "Assessing the Credibility of a Target Zone: Evidence from the EMS", *Applied Economics* 37, pp. 2265-287.

Lutz, M. (2003): "Price convergence under the EMU? First estimates", Discussion, Department of Economics, University of St. Gallen, Paper No. 2003-08.

Lutz, M. (2004): "Pricing in segmented markets, arbitrage barriers and the law of one price: evidence from the European car market", *Review of International Economics* 12 pp. 456-475.

Mathä, T. Y. (2003): "What of expect to the euro? Analysing price differences of individual products in Luxembourg and its surrounding regions", Banque Centrale du Luxembourg, Working Paper 8.

Micco, A.; Stein, E. and Ordoñez, G. (2003): "The currency union effect on trade: Early evidence from EMU", *Economic Policy* 18, pp. 315-356.

Parsley, D. C. and Wei, S. J. (2001): "Limiting currency volatility to stimulate goods market integration", National Bureau Economic Research Working Paper No. 8468.

Rogers, J. H. (2001): "Price level convergence, relative prices, and inflation in Europe", Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System International Finance Discussion Paper 699.

Rogers, J. H. (2002): "Monetary union, price level convergence, and inflation: How close is Europe to the United States", Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, International Finance discussion Paper No. 740.

Rogers, J. H., Hufbauer, G. C. and Wada, E. (2001): "Price level convergence and inflation in Europe", Institute for International Economics Working Paper 01-1.

Sosvilla-Rivero, S. and Gil-Pareja, S. (2004): "Price convergence in the European Union", *Applied Economics Letters* 11, pp. 39-47.

Table 1. Trends in price dispersion. Sample: EU15.

Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	1995-1998	1995-2001	1995-2005	1998-2005	1998-2001	2001-2005
Alfa 145/147	0.0099**	0.0030	-0.0018	-0.0063***	-0.0068**	-0.0037***
Alfa 155/156	0.0043	0.0020**	-0.0029***	-0.0059***	-0.0011	-0.0058
Audi A4	-0.0026**	-0.0036***	-0.0042***	-0.0045***	-0.0035**	-0.0051***
Audi A6	0.0031*	-0.0017*	-0.0026***	-0.0039***	-0.0043*	-00064***
BMW 3-series	-0.0013	-0.0014*	-0.0024***	-0.0028***	-0.0008	-0.0037***
BMW 5-series	-0.005	-0.0024*	-0.0031***	-0.0034***	-0.0025*	-0.0043***
BMW 7-series	-0.0013	-0.0056*	-0.0043***	-0.0031***	-0.0058***	-0.00012
Citroën AX/saxo/C2	-0.0026	-0.0004	-0.0025***	-0.0044***	-0.0014	-0.0060*
Citroën ZX/ Xsara/C4	-0.0028	0.0020	-0.0015	-0.0044***	0.0017	-0.0090***
Citroën Xantia/C5	-0.0060***	0.0012	-0.0014*	-0.0030**	0.0032	-0.0054***
Fiat Cinq./Seicento/Panda	-0.0036	0.0038**	-0.0001	-0.0011	0.0123***	-0.0092***
Fiat Punto	0.0033	0.0021	-0.0018*	-0.0047***	-0.0021	-0.0018
Fiat Bravo/Stilo	0.0078**	0.0030	-0.0016	-0.0065***	-0.0057	-0.0050
Ford Fiesta	0.0043	-0.0018	-0.0029***	-0.0037***	-0.0046**	0.0000
Ford Escort/Focus	0.0019	-0.0007	-0.0021***	-0.0029***	-0.0016	-0.0032***
Ford Mondeo	0.0030	-0.0016	-0.0033***	-0.0052***	-0.0055***	-0.0059***
Honda Civic	0.0040	-0.0017	-0.0030***	-0.0054***	-0.0097***	-0.0018
Honda Accord	-0.0017	0.0008	-0.0019***	-0.0038***	0.0005	-0.0067***
Mazda 3-series	0.0061***	0.0027	-0.0029**	-0.0079***	-0.0035	-0.0076***
Mercedes 180/c180	-0.0039*	-0.0024***	-0.0016***	-0.0010***	-0.0018*	-0.0004
Mercedes 200E/E220	-0.0055**	-0.0032***	-0.0027***	-0.0017***	-0.0004	-0.0017*
Mercedes 320S/S350	-0.0021	-0.0038***	-0.0031***	-0.0329***	-0.0052**	-0.0011*
Nissan Micra	-0.0018	-0.0012	-0.0019***	-0.0114	0.0027*	-0.0039**
Nissan Sunny/Almera	0.0028	0.0021*	-0.0014*	-0.0034***	0.0026	-0.0064***
Nissan Primera	-0.0007	0.0012	-0.0014**	-0.0024**	0.0044*	-0.0053***
Opel Corsa	-0.0010	-0.0004	-0.0034***	-0.0047***	0.0015	-0.0067***
Opel Astra	0.0022	0.0009	-0.0018***	-0.0038***	-0.0012	-0.0041*
Opel Vectra	0.0017	0.0005	-0.0023***	-0.0038***	0.0012	-0.0061**
Opel Omega/Signum	-0.0008	0.0006	-0.0022***	-0.0042***	0.0005	-0.0072***
Peugeot 306/307	0.0030	0.0034***	-0.0007	-0.0039***	0.0021	-0.0076***
Peugeot 405/406/407	-0.0043*	0.0033***	0.0012	-0.0002	0.0072***	-0.0097*
Renault Clio	0.0020	0.0016*	-0.0014**	-0.0037***	-0.0002	-0.0039**
Renault 19/Megane	0.0030	0.0008	-0.0020***	-0.0039***	0.0006	-0.0062*
Renault Laguna	-0.0006	0.0021	-0.0018**	-0.0043***	0.0027	-0.0056***
Range Rover	-0.0065	-0.0043	-0.0077***	-0.0139***	-0.0224	-0.0039***
Seat Ibiza	-0.0014	-0.0026*	-0.0034***	-0.0048***	-0.0062*	-0.0017
Seat Cordoba	0.0012	-0.0014	-0.0034***	-0.0053***	-0.0057*	-0.0022
Seat Toledo	-0.0015	-0.0009	-0.0027***	-0.0040***	-0.0017	-0.0030***
Toyota Starlet/Yaris	-0.0005	-0.0034*	-0.0039***	-0.0040***	-0.0037*	-0.0028***
Toyota Corola	-0.0007	-0.0012	-0.0026***	-0.0041***	-0.0033	-0.0037
Toyota Carina/Avensis	0.0007	0.0006	-0.0023***	-0.0049***	-0.0027	-0.0057***
Volvo 440/s40	-0.0048	-0.0032***	-0.0017**	-0.0008	-0.0022	-0.0016
VW Polo	0.0024	-0.0038***	-0.0037***	-0.0046***	-0.0103***	0.0011
VW Golf	0.0067***	0.0006	-0.0014**	-0.0040***	-0.0062***	-0.0014***
VW Passat	0.0045	-0.0017	-0.0021***	-0.0031***	-0.0066***	-0.0002

Notes: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. Each trend is the coefficient estimate of biannual price dispersion (measured as the coefficient of variation) regressed on a time trend. The regressions include a constant.

Table 2. Trends in price dispersion. Sample: EMU11

Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	1995-1998	1995-2001	1995-2005	1998-2005	1998-2001	2001-2005
Alfa 145/147	-0.0011	-0.0003	-0.0013*	-0.0033***	-0.0062*	-0.0009
Alfa 155/156	-0.0059***	-0.0008	-0.0010	-0.0002	0.0024*	0.0004
Audi A4	-0.0020**	-0.0056***	-0.0040***	-0.0031***	-0.0070**	-0.0006
Audi A6	0.0025	-0.0035***	-0.0028***	-0.0026**	-0.0050	-0.0042***
BMW 3-series	-0.0008	-0.0009	-0.0011***	-0.0014***	-0.0014**	-0.0015*
BMW 5-series	-0.0022	-0.0015*	-0.0019***	-0.0025***	-0.0019***	-0.0032***
BMW 7-series	-0.0019	-0.0043***	-0.0042***	-0.0047***	-0.0073***	-0.0024
Citroën AX/saxo/C2	-0.0076***	-0.0063***	-0.0033***	-0.0016*	-0.0066***	0.0009
Citroën ZX/ Xsara/C4	-0.0067***	-0.0026***	-0.0025***	-0.0024***	-0.0010	-0.0029***
Citroën Xantia/C5	-0.0062***	-0.0020**	-0.0031***	-0.0036***	-0.0002	-0.0048***
Fiat Cinq./Seicento/Panda	-0.0082***	-0.0000	-0.0004	0.0005	0.0071***	-0.0052***
Fiat Punto	-0.0081***	-0.0032***	-0.0014***	0.0004	0.0007	0.0003
Fiat Bravo/Stilo	-0.0013	-0.0016	-0.0006	0.0002	-0.0017	0.0006
Ford Fiesta	0.0015	-0.0049***	-0.0034***	-0.0021**	-0.0061***	0.0009
Ford Escort/Focus	-0.0036*	-0.0027***	-0.0007	0.0010	-0.0006	-0.0002
Ford Mondeo	-0.0017	-0.0033**	-0.0026***	-0.0020***	-0.0029	-0.0029***
Honda Civic	-0.0017	-0.0024***	-0.0016***	-0.0018***	-0.0044***	-0.0006
Honda Accord	-0.0011	0.0000	-0.0006	-0.0009	0.0019	-0.0055***
Mazda 3-series	0.0031	0.0014	-0.00011	-0.0032***	-0.0005	-0.0038**
Mercedes 180/c180	-0.0056***	-0.0027***	-0.0018***	-0.0011***	-0.0014	-0.0009***
Mercedes 200E/E220	-0.0051**	-0.0042***	-0.0029***	-0.0017***	-0.0026***	-0.0008***
Mercedes 320S/S350	-0.0019	-0.0048***	-0.0035***	-0.0031***	-0.0072***	-0.0005***
Nissan Micra	-0.0033	-0.0033***	-0.0012***	0.0003	-0.0027***	0.0016
Nissan Sunny/Almera	-0.0005	0.0003	0.0001	0.0004	0.0022*	-0.0002
Nissan Primera	-0.0045**	-0.0002	-0.0000	0.0007	0.0032***	-0.0002
Opel Corsa	-0.0048**	-0.0005	-0.0020***	-0.0016	0.0055*	-0.0059**
Opel Astra	-0.0012	0.0004	-0.0013**	-0.0022**	0.0028	-0.0075***
Opel Vectra	-0.0009	0.0000	-0.0017***	-0.0019*	0.0041*	-0.0062***
Opel Omega/Signum	-0.0031**	-0.0002	-0.0013**	-0.0016	0.0031	-0.0075***
Peugeot 306/307	-0.0037*	-0.0017**	-0.0013***	-0.0007*	0.0006	-0.0018***
Peugeot 405/406/407	-0.0041*	-0.0006	-0.0000	0.0004	0.0022	-0.0061
Renault Clio	-0.0030	0.0002	-0.0005	-0.0003	0.0034***	-0.0020
Renault 19/Megane	-0.0038	-0.0003	-0.0006	-0.0004	0.0024***	-0.0029***
Renault Laguna	-0.0079***	-0.0025*	-0.0019***	-0.008	0.0022	-0.0012
Range Rover	-0.0107	-0.0085	-0.0088***	-0.0126***	-0.0246	-0.0032**
Seat Ibiza	-0.0025	-0.0007	-0.0012**	-0.0021***	-0.0022	-0.0013*
Seat Cordoba	-0.0039	-0.0005	-0.0007	-0.0007	0.0006	0.0002
Seat Toledo	-0.0058***	-0.0018**	-0.0018***	-0.0013***	0.0009	-0.0017
Toyota Starlet/Yaris	0.0021	-0.0030	-0.0020*	-0.0009**	-0.0028*	-0.0001
Toyota Corola	0.0008	-0.0006	0.0002	0.0004	-0.0017**	0.0000
Toyota Carina/Avensis	-0.0007	0.0005	-0.0002	-0.0008*	0.0007	-0.0021***
Volvo 440/s40	-0.0028	-0.0022**	0.0001	0.0017	-0.0010	0.0011
VW Polo	-0.0039**	-0.0049***	-0.0038***	-0.0031***	-0.0053***	-0.0018*
VW Golf	-0.0014	-0.0017***	-0.0014***	-0.0014***	-0.0022*	-0.0014***
VW Passat	-0.0013	-0.0038***	-0.0028***	-0.0017***	-0.0031**	-0.0011

Notes: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. Each trend is the coefficient estimate of biannual price dispersion (measured as the coefficient of variation) regressed on a time trend. The regressions include a constant.

Table 3. Trends in price dispersion. Sample: EMU12 (EMU11 plus Greece)

Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	1995-1998	1995-2001	1995-2005	1998-2005	1998-2001	2001-2005
Alfa 145/147	-0.0003	-0.0001	-0.0014**	-0.0038***	-0.0070**	-0.0008
Alfa 155/156	-0.0057***	-0.0009	-0.0011	-0.0003	0.0026*	0.0002
Audi A4	-0.0025***	-0.0054***	-0.0038***	-0.0028***	-0.0061**	-0.0009**
Audi A6	0.0030	-0.0034**	-0.0027***	-0.0027**	-0.0055	-0.0042***
BMW 3-series	-0.0002	-0.0010	-0.0012***	-0.0013***	-0.0012*	-0.0016*
BMW 5-series	-0.0008	-0.0025*	-0.0026***	-0.0024***	-0.0020***	-0.0031***
BMW 7-series	0.0021	-0.0050	-0.0049***	-0.0046***	-0.0073***	-0.0021
Citroën AX/saxo/C2	-0.0076***	-0.0023**	-0.0029***	-0.0029***	0.0005	-0.0042***
Citroën ZX/ Xsara/C4	-0.0067***	-0.0011	-0.0018***	-0.0022***	0.0010	-0.0044**
Citroën Xantia/C5	-0.0062***	-0.0011	-0.0031***	-0.0044***	0.0004	-0.0049***
Fiat Cinq./Seicento/Panda	-0.0079***	0.0002	-0.0004	0.0003	0.0072***	-0.0054***
Fiat Punto	-0.0053***	-0.0013	-0.0012***	-0.0008	0.0005	-0.0009
Fiat Bravo/Stilo	-0.0007	-0.0007	-0.0006	-0.0009	-0.0023	-0.0005
Ford Fiesta	0.0016	-0.0052***	-0.0036***	-0.0022**	-0.0067***	0.0013
Ford Escort/Focus	-0.0009	-0.0028**	-0.0011*	0.0003	-0.0021	-0.0004
Ford Mondeo	0.0011	-0.0031**	-0.0028***	-0.0028***	-0.0048**	-0.0024**
Honda Civic	-0.0047*	-0.0038***	-0.0022***	-0.0017***	-0.0048***	-0.0002
Honda Accord	-0.0006	0.0001	-0.0007	-0.0011	0.0015	-0.0054***
Mazda 3-series	0.0001	0.0003	-0.0017***	-0.0033***	-0.0008	-0.0037***
Mercedes 180/c180	-0.0056***	-0.0027***	-0.0018***	-0.0011***	-0.0014*	-0.0009***
Mercedes 200E/E220	-0.0044**	-0.0042***	-0.0030***	-0.0018***	-0.0030***	0.0009***
Mercedes 320S/S350	-0.0011	-0.0047***	-0.0035***	-0.0034***	-0.0079***	-0.0007***
Nissan Micra	-0.0032	-0.0025***	-0.0008**	0.0006	-0.0009	0.0004
Nissan Sunny/Almera	-0.0011	0.0003	0.0002	0.0007	0.0028**	-0.0010
Nissan Primera	-0.0043**	0.0002	0.0001	0.0006	0.0035***	-0.0006
Opel Corsa	-0.0010	-0.0002	-0.0022***	-0.0027***	0.0025	-0.0059**
Opel Astra	0.0003	0.0004	-0.0011**	-0.0020**	0.0027	-0.0062***
Opel Vectra	-0.0008	-0.0006	-0.0019***	-0.0016	0.0036	-0.0054**
Opel Omega/Signum	-0.0036***	-0.0001	-0.0015***	-0.0021**	0.0028	-0.0070***
Peugeot 306/307	-0.0037*	-0.0009	-0.0009***	-0.0005	0.0019	-0.0029***
Peugeot 405/406/407	-0.0041*	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0042**	-0.0082*
Renault Clio	-0.0036**	-0.0001	-0.0004	0.0002	0.0034***	-0.0011
Renault 19/Megane	-0.0030	-0.0001	-0.0008**	-0.0010	0.0017	-0.0029**
Renault Laguna	-0.0051*	-0.0014	-0.0015***	-0.0012	0.0010	-0.0004
Range Rover	-0.0107	-0.0085	-0.0088***	-0.0126***	-0.0246	-0.0032**
Seat Ibiza	-0.0040	-0.0011	-0.0015***	-0.0020***	-0.0012	-0.0013*
Seat Cordoba	-0.0038	0.0003	-0.0009	-0.0014*	0.0018	-0.0010
Seat Toledo	-0.0033	-0.0012	-0.0017***	-0.0017***	0.0005	-0.0018*
Toyota Starlet/Yaris	-0.0012	-0.0044*	-0.0027***	-0.0011**	-0.0033*	-0.0002
Toyota Corola	-0.0011	-0.0018***	-0.0004	0.0003	-0.0020***	-0.0000
Toyota Carina/Avensis	0.0010	0.0016*	-0.0001	-0.0015**	0.0000	-0.0027***
Volvo 440/s40	-0.0029	-0.0025**	-0.0002	0.0011	-0.0027	0.0014
VW Polo	-0.0032*	-0.0053***	-0.0042***	-0.0035***	-0.0062***	-0.0018*
VW Golf	-0.0003	-0.0010	-0.0010***	-0.0013***	-0.0017	-0.0016**
VW Passat	-0.0005	-0.0035***	-0.0021***	-0.000	-0.0029**	-0.0006

Notes: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. Each trend is the coefficient estimate of biannual price dispersion (measured as the coefficient of variation) regressed on a time trend. The regressions include a constant.

Table 4. Trends in price dispersion. Sample: group A

Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	1995-1998	1995-2001	1995-2005	1998-2005	1998-2001	2001-2005
Alfa 145/147	0.0080*	0.0030	-0.0006	-0.0049***	-0.0062**	-0.0041***
Alfa 155/156	0.0030	0.0011	-0.0033***	-0.0057***	-0.0002	-0.0066
Audi A4	-0.0031***	-0.0039***	-0.0045***	-0.0051***	-0.0054**	-0.0036**
Audi A6	0.0002	-0.0011**	-0.0026***	-0.0039***	-0.0014	-0.0077***
BMW 3-series	-0.0020	-0.0028***	-0.0030***	-0.0027***	-0.0015***	-0.0039***
BMW 5-series	-0.0013	-0.0020***	-0.0031***	-0.0036***	-0.0016*	-0.0056***
BMW 7-series	0.0009	-0.0002	-0.0011***	-0.0017***	0.0005	-0.0027*
Citroën AX/saxo/C2	-0.0003	0.0015	-0.0016***	-0.0039***	0.0009	-0.0066***
Citroën ZX/ Xsara/C4	0.0013	0.0068	0.0004	-0.0039*	0.0091***	-0.0135***
Citroën Xantia/C5	0.0006	0.0070***	0.0014	-0.0022	0.0076	-0.0036**
Fiat Cinq./Seicento/Panda	-0.0095***	-0.0014	-0.0015*	0.0002	0.0067	-0.0004
Fiat Punto	0.0059	0.0001	-0.0018*	-0.0029**	-0.0021	-0.0008
Fiat Bravo/Stilo	-0.0032**	-0.0007	-0.0010	-0.0016	-0.0017	-0.0023
Ford Fiesta	0.0003	-0.0024***	-0.0021***	-0.0021***	-0.0046***	0.0016
Ford Escort/Focus	-0.0043	-0.0041***	-0.0029***	-0.0017*	-0.0019	-0.0042*
Ford Mondeo	-0.0025	-0.0018	-0.0033***	-0.0043***	-0.0007	-0.0090***
Honda Civic	0.0008	-0.0048**	-0.0028***	-0.0019	-0.0080	-0.0008
Honda Accord	-0.0083***	-0.0030	-0.0024***	-0.0015	0.0001	-0.0055***
Mazda 3-series	0.0002	-0.00002	-0.0022***	-0.0045***	-0.0037	-0.0036
Mercedes 180/c180	-0.0024***	-0.0024***	-0.0019***	-0.0017***	-0.0031***	-0.0007***
Mercedes 200E/E220	-0.0010	-0.0017**	-0.0014***	-0.0008***	-0.0003	-0.0015***
Mercedes 320S/S350	-0.0003	-0.0020***	-0.0012***	-0.0005	-0.0018	0.0001
Nissan Micra	-0.0059*	-0.0047***	-0.0023***	-0.0001	-0.0020	0.0012
Nissan Sunny/Almera	-0.0062	-0.0021	-0.0016***	-0.0002	0.0032	-0.0019
Nissan Primera	-0.0069	0.0009	-0.0012	-0.0010	0.0093***	-0.0067***
Opel Corsa	-0.0036	-0.0008	-0.0027***	-0.0033***	0.0026	-0.0066***
Opel Astra	0.0002	0.0001	-0.0012*	-0.0026***	-0.0016	-0.0033
Opel Vectra	0.0009	0.0014	0.0025***	-0.0048***	0.0018	-0.0061
Opel Omega/Signum	-0.0006	-0.0007	-0.0026***	-0.0040***	-0.0015	-0.0047***
Peugeot 306/307	0.0045***	0.0051***	0.0015*	-0.0012	0.0045	-0.0065***
Peugeot 405/406/407	0.0046	0.0077**	0.0045***	0.0009	0.0059	-0.0074
Renault Clio	0.0006	-0.0003	-0.0029***	-0.0049***	-0.0010	-0.0079***
Renault 19/Megane	0.0030	0.0004	-0.0023**	-0.0044***	-0.0005	-0.0080*
Renault Laguna	0.0009	0.0014*	-0.0016***	-0.0039***	0.0004	-0.0056***
Range Rover	-0.0075**	0.0017	-0.0024	-0.0048	0.0042	-0.0032*
Seat Ibiza	-0.0058***	-0.0026**	-0.0044***	-0.0053***	-0.0020	-0.0040
Seat Cordoba	-0.0010	-0.0016	-0.0044***	-0.0064***	-0.0034	-0.0062***
Seat Toledo	-0.0054**	-0.0024*	-0.0028***	-0.0024*	0.0015	-0.0046***
Toyota Starlet/Yaris	-0.0052	-0.0028**	-0.0023***	-0.0015**	-0.0002	-0.0034**
Toyota Corola	-0.0072***	-0.0047***	-0.0034***	-0.0023	-0.0013	-0.0061
Toyota Carina/Avensis	-0.0019	-0.0017***	-0.0031***	-0.0043***	-0.0019***	-0.0071***
Volvo 440/s40	-0.0077	-0.0045***	-0.0035***	-0.0021	0.0005	-0.0068**
VW Polo	-0.0017	-0.0014***	-0.0016***	-0.0017**	-0.0020*	-0.0005
VW Golf	0.0027	0.0016*	0.0007*	-0.0004*	-0.0007	-0.0005
VW Passat	0.0007	-0.0014**	-0.0011***	-0.0010***	-0.0024**	-0.0002

Notes: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. Each trend is the coefficient estimate of biannual price dispersion (measured as the coefficient of variation) regressed on a time trend. The regressions include a constant. Group A includes: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands.

Table 5. Trends in price dispersion. Sample: group B

Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	1995-1998	1995-2001	1995-2005	1998-2005	1998-2001	2001-2005
Alfa 145/147	0.0142*	0.0049	-0.0023	-0.0089***	-0.0090	-0.0041**
Alfa 155/156	0.0128**	0.0057***	-0.0011	-0.0059***	-0.0016	-0.0051
Audi A4	0.0010	-0.0023*	-0.0035***	-0.0041***	-0.0021	-0.0069***
Audi A6	0.0104**	-0.0014	-0.0024**	-0.0043***	-0.0080**	-0.0053**
BMW 3-series	-0.0005	0.0004	-0.0015**	-0.0028***	0.0002	-0.0038***
BMW 5-series	0.0009	-0.0018	-0.0031***	-0.0041***	-0.0032*	-0.0047***
BMW 7-series	-0.0075	-0.0099***	-0.0067***	-0.0041***	-0.0092***	-0.0006
Citroën AX/saxo/C2	0.0059*	0.0018	-0.0027*	-0.0069***	-0.0036	-0.0093
Citroën ZX/ Xsara/C4	0.0098***	0.0039*	-0.0008	-0.0058***	-0.0045	-0.0074
Citroën Xantia/C5	0.0042*	0.0030*	-0.0010	-0.0045**	0.0007	-0.0091**
Fiat Cinq./Seicento/Panda	0.0138*	0.0127***	0.0023	-0.0055*	0.0127***	-0.0168***
Fiat Punto	0.0067	0.0056**	-0.0009	-0.0060***	-0.0018	-0.0024
Fiat Bravo/Stilo	0.0213***	0.0077*	-0.0009	-0.0098***	-0.0095	-0.0069
Ford Fiesta	0.0086	0.0002	-0.0026*	-0.0049***	-0.0045	-0.0009
Ford Escort/Focus	0.0088	0.0032	-0.0008	-0.0041***	-0.0017	-0.0030
Ford Mondeo	0.0114**	0.0003	-0.0031***	-0.0069***	-0.0094***	-0.0044**
Honda Civic	0.0107***	0.0001	-0.0035***	-0.0088***	-0.0144***	-0.0037
Honda Accord	0.0079**	0.0049***	-0.0012	-0.0063***	-0.0004	-0.0088***
Mazda 3-series	0.0120***	0.0057*	-0.0036*	-0.0112***	-0.0036	-0.0108***
Mercedes 180/c180	-0.0026	-0.0016	-0.0014***	-0.0011**	-0.0013	-0.0005
Mercedes 200E/E220	-0.0086***	-0.0040***	-0.0030***	-0.0016***	0.0000	-0.0021
Mercedes 320S/S350	-0.0048	-0.0047***	-0.0036***	-0.0033***	-0.0059**	-0.0018*
Nissan Micra	0.0020	0.0017	-0.0012	-0.0018	0.0067**	-0.0073***
Nissan Sunny/Almera	0.0111**	0.0071***	-0.0002	-0.0055***	0.0040	-0.0104***
Nissan Primera	0.0055	0.0029	-0.0009	-0.0034***	0.0015	-0.0045***
Opel Corsa	0.0025	0.0007	-0.0037***	-0.0060***	0.0008	-0.0073**
Opel Astra	0.0060*	0.0024	-0.0020*	-0.0051***	-0.0007	-0.0054*
Opel Vectra	0.0060	0.0008	-0.0019**	-0.0034***	0.0001	-0.0070***
Opel Omega/Signum	0.0008	0.0025**	-0.0022**	-0.0054***	0.0021	-0.0105***
Peugeot 306/307	0.0127***	0.0063***	-0.0012	-0.0071***	0.0010	-0.0112***
Peugeot 405/406/407	0.0019	0.0052***	0.0011	-0.0012	0.0097	-0.0118***
Renault Clio	0.0068**	0.0049***	0.0004	-0.0032***	0.0004	-0.0021
Renault 19/Megane	0.0025	0.0023	-0.0014	-0.0033**	0.0033	-0.0057**
Renault Laguna	0.0019	0.0049**	-0.0014	-0.0053**	0.0055	-0.0066*
Range Rover	-0.0068	-0.0059	-0.0102***	-0.0185***	-0.0313	-0.0047***
Seat Ibiza	0.0087*	0.0000	-0.0021**	-0.0056***	-0.0105***	-0.0011
Seat Cordoba	0.0100**	0.0017	-0.0016	-0.0052***	-0.0076**	0.0003
Seat Toledo	0.0113**	0.0052**	-0.0008	-0.0060***	-0.0029	-0.0029
Toyota Starlet/Yaris	0.0029	-0.0035	-0.0047***	-0.0056***	-0.0071*	-0.0017*
Toyota Corola	0.0073	0.0029	-0.0010	-0.0050***	-0.0046	-0.0016
Toyota Carina/Avensis	0.0050*	0.0036*	-0.0017	-0.0065***	-0.0030	-0.0068***
Volvo 440/s40	0.0025	0.0002	0.0010	0.0007	-0.0039	0.0028
VW Polo	0.0106***	-0.0014	-0.0047***	-0.0081***	-0.0150***	0.0017
VW Golf	0.0108***	0.0016	-0.0022**	-0.0068***	-0.0096***	-0.0028**
VW Passat	0.0122***	-0.0001	-0.0024**	-0.0056***	-0.0108***	-0.0006

Notes: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. Each trend is the coefficient estimate of biannual price dispersion (measured as the coefficient of variation) regressed on a time trend. The regressions include a constant. Group B includes: Finland, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom

RELACIÓN DE DOCUMENTOS DE FEDEA

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

2005-22: "Price Convergence in the European Car Market", **Salvador Gil-Pareja y Simón Sosvilla-Rivero**.

2005-21: "Implicit regimes for the Spanish Peseta/Deutschmark exchange rate", **Francisco Ledesma-Rodríguez, Manuel Navarro-Ibáñez, Jorge Pérez-Rodríguez y Simón Sosvilla-Rivero**.

2005-20: "A Projection of Spanish Pension System under Demographic Uncertainty", **Namkee Ahn, Javier Alonso-Meseguer y Juan Ramón García**.

2005-19: "The Dynamic of temporary jobs: Theory and Some Evidence for Spain (The Role of Skill)", **Elena Casquel y Antoni Cunyat**.

2005-18: "The Welfare Cost of Business Cycles in an Economy with Nonclearing Markets", **Luis A. Puch**.

2005-17: "Life Satisfaction among Spanish Workers: Importance of Intangible Job Characteristics", **Namkee Ahn**.

2005-16: "Persistence and ability in the innovation decisions", **José M. Labeaga y Ester Martínez-Ros**.

2004-15: "Measuring Changes in Health Capital", **Néboa Zozaya, Juan Oliva y Rubén Osuna**.

2005-14: "Discrete choice models of labour Supply, behavioural microsimulation and the Spanish tax reforms", **José M. Labeaga, Xisco Oliver y Amedeo Spadaro**.

2005-13: "A Closer Look at the Comparative Statics in Competitive Markets", **J. R. Ruiz-Tamarit y Manuel Sánchez-Moreno**.

2005-12: "Wellbeing and dependency among European elderly: The role of social integration", **Corinne Mette**.

2005-11: "Demand for life annuities from married couples with a bequest motive", **Carlos Vidal-Meliá y Ana Lejárraga-García**.

2005-10: "Air Pollution and the Macroeconomy across European Countries", **Francisco Álvarez, Gustavo A. Marrero y Luis A. Puch**.

2005-09: "The excess burden associated to characteristics of the goods: application to housing demand", **Amelia Bilbao, Celia Bilbao y José M. Labeaga**.

2005-08: "La situación laboral de los inmigrantes en España: Un análisis descriptivo", **Ana Carolina Ortega Masagué**

2005-07: "Demographic Uncertainty and Health Care Expenditure in Spain", **Namkee Ahn, Juan Ramón García y José A. Herce**.

2005-06: "EL NO-MAGREB. Implicaciones económicas para (y más allá de) la región", **José A. Herce y Simón Sosvilla Rivero**.

2005-05: "The real picture: Industry specific exchange rates for the euro area", **Simón Sosvilla-Rivero y Sonia Pangusión**.

2005-04: "A Residential Energy Demand System for Spain", **Xavier Labandeira, José M. Labeaga y Miguel Rodríguez**.

2005-03: "The Evolution of Retirement", **J. Ignacio Conde-Ruiz, Vincenzo Galasso y Paola Profeta**.

TEXTOS EXPRESS

2004-02: "¿Cuán diferentes son las economías europea y americana?", **José A. Herce**.

2004-01: "The Spanish economy through the recent slowdown. Current situation and issues for the immediate future", **José A. Herce y Juan F. Jimeno**.