The study listed may include approved and non-approved uses, formulations or treatment regimens. The results reported in any single study may not reflect the overall results obtained on studies of a product. Before prescribing any product mentioned in this Register, healthcare professionals should consult prescribing information for the product approved in their country.

Study No: P02-31UK					
Title: Examination of Bupropion and Ethanol, Alone and in Combination, on Hum	an Performance Tests, Subjective Rating				
Scales, EEG and Autonomic Responses	new closes of descension in subjects with				
Rationale: Given the ubiquitous use of alcohol by depressed individuals and the prevalence of depression in subjects with alcohol abuse or alcoholism, concern over an antidepressant-alcohol interaction is a significant public health consideration. The interaction of therapeutic dose levels of tricyclic antidepressants with alcohol is a well-known phenomenon, producing enhanced					
sedation or even coma. Death may occur at higher doses of tricyclics when taken in combination with non-lethal amounts of					
alcohol. Interestingly, even outpatient doses of amitryptyline given in conjunction	with low to moderate amounts of alcohol impair				
psychomotor performance and driving skills. Therefore, this study was conducted	to determine whether bupropion (BUP), like the				
tricyclic antidepressants, has a propensity to potentiate the central nervous syster	m (CNS) effects of alcohol. The effects of acute				
oral dose of BUP (100mg) and alcohol (16mL and 32mL of 100% alcohol), given singly and in combination, were evaluated on					
psychomotor performance tests and subjective ratings of healthy volunteers.					
Phase:					
Study Period: Study report issued on 3/18/82					
Study Design: A double-blind, double-dummy, 6-way, Latin Square cross-over, s	single-dose comparison study.				
Centres: A single centre in the United Kingdom.					
Indication: None					
Treatment:					
The following treatments were administered in a randomised, cross-over fashion in	n weekly intervals:				
BUP hydrochloride (HCI) 100mg and placebo (PBO)-alcohol					
BUP HCI 100mg and alconol 16mL (A16)					
Alcohol Jami (A16) and PRO PUP HOL					
PROPUBLIC ATOJANU PRO-BUP HOL					
RUP HCI 100mg and alcohol 32ml (A32)					
Objectives: The primary objectives of the study was to determine if the combinat	tion of BLIP and alcohol produces effects				
different from BUP alone or alcohol alone on the following measures:					
Behavioural performance (vigilance, reaction time, short-term memory, finger tap	ping)				
Autonomic functioning (heart rate [HR], blood pressure [BP], pupil size)					
Electroencephalogram (EEG; frequency band analysis)					
Mood (visual analog scale)					
Side effects					
Statistical Methods: All measured variables were analysed as raw scores by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Main effects of					
treatments (and also subjects and occasions of testing differences) were sought. Visual analog scale scores from alert/drowsy,					
clear-headed/muzzy, quick-witted/mentally slow, and attentive/dreamy were combined to give a mental sedation score. Fisher's					
missing plot technique was used to account for missing data. All analyses were performed on the total population. No formal					
sample size calculations were performed.					
Study Population: Healthy male and female volunteers. Subjects were interviewed regarding past illnesses and had full					
physical and clinical laboratory examinations. All female subjects had a pregnant	cy test phor to the study and during each week of				
Number of Subjects	Total				
Runned N	10101				
	12				
Completed n (%)	12 (100)				
Total Number Subjects Withdrawn, p. (%)	0				
Withdrawn Due to Adverse Events (AEc) n (%)	0				
Withdrawn Due to Lack of Efficacy n (%)	0				
Withdrawn Due to Lack of Efficacy, If (70)	0				
Demographice:	Total				
N (Total)					
	14				

Malaa F										
I Males Females										
Mean Age in Years (Range) 26 (20, 31) 22 (20, 25)	22 (20, 25)									
Mean Weight in Kg (Range) 72 (57, 94) 58 (48, 65)										
Race, n (%) Not available	Not available									
Study Endpoints:										
Performance Test Results										
(Mean Scores ^a) Treatment Means	SEM									
Auditory Vigilance A32 A16 PBO BUP/A32 BUP/A16 BUP	L1 00									
(Correct Detections/15min) 4.35 ^a 4.69 ^{ab} 4.73 ^{ab} 5.08 ^b 5.18 ^b 5.35 ^b	E1.09									
Tanning Pate (tans/min) BUP/A32 BUP A16 PBO BUP/A16 A32	L3 /0									
Tapping Rate (taps/min) 359° 361° 364° 367° 371°	5.49									
Auditory Reaction Time (ms) BUP A32 A16 PBO BUP/A16 BUP/A 32 :	<u>⊧</u> 6.08									
278 ^d 279 ^d 280 ^d 284 ^d 284 ^d 289 ^d										
Short-term Memory BUP/A16 A16 PBO BUP BUP/A32 A32	0.07									
(Total Errors) 54.0° 54.2° 58.8° 59.9° 63.4° 63.4°	£3.37									
A32=32mL alcohol + placebo BUP: A16=16mL alcohol + placebo BUP: BUP=BUP 100mg + placebo alcohol:										
BUP/A16= BUP 100mg + 16mL alcohol; BUP/A32= BUP 100mg + 32mL alcohol.										
Mean values (± standard error ISE, SEM) are presented for 12 subjects after each of the 6 treatments. Duncan's Multiple Range										
Test was used to compare mean scores. Means are ranked in ascending order.										
a-e : means in each test with a common letter do not differ significantly ($\tilde{p} > 0.05$). Means in each test with a different letter a	re									
significantly different ($p \le 0.05$).										
Autonomic Measures										
(Mean Values) PBO BUP A16 A32 BUP/A16 BUP/A32 Significant Differen	се									
Heart Rate (beats/min)										
Pre-treatment 65.3 63.9 68.7 63.1 65.0 63.7 None										
3h 15min post drug 61.8 62.8 63.8 64.2 63.0 69.8 BUP/A32 vs all other										
treatments										
69.8 71.7 71.2 72.2 73.3 74.8 None										
Pupil Diameter (mm, mean of both pupils)										
Pre-treatment 6.00 5.77 6.41 6.15 6.09 6.03 None										
alt dEmin mark daus C. 02 C. 05 C. 40 C. 00 C. 00 C. 02 BUP vs A16, BUP/A	16									
3n 15min post drug 6.23 6.25 6.40 6.08 6.09 6.33 PBO vs A16, BUP/A) vs A16, BUP/A16									
6h 30min post drug 6.01 6.39 6.23 6.24 6.28 6.46 None										
EEG Analysis (Significance of Differences)										
Conditions Treatments										
Eyes Open										
3hr post drug 4.0-7.5Hz BUP/A16a BUP a A16 a BUP/A32 a PBO ab A32 b										
ves Closed										
2.3-4.0Hz BUP/A16 ° BUPcd BUP/A32 ° A16 ° PBO d A32 ° A32 °										
4.0-7.5 Hz BUP/A32 BUP BUP/A16 A16ef PBOef A32 f										
Mean EEG energy in the 4 filter bands of 12 subjects after 6 treatments at different times with eyes open or closed was exar Duncan's Multiple Pange Test was used to compare differences in treatments. Means are ranked in according order	nined.									
a-f · means in each test with a common letter do not differ significantly (n > 0.05). Means in each test with a different letter a	ē									
and the additional test with a common letter do not differ significantly ($p \ge 0.05$). We and in each test with a different letter at significantly ($p \ge 0.05$).	C									
Significantly different ($p \ge 0.05$). Viewal Analogue Scale (Measure of Subjective Effects)										
Time Subjective Ecoling Treatment Means	- 14									
Clear headed/ RIID DRO DIID/A16 A16 DIID/A22 A22	-141									
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	±6.72									
41.3° 40.3° 54.4° 60.5° 54.0° 61.0°										
2hr 40min Quick-witted/ BUP BUP/A16 PBO BUP/A32 A16 A32	±5.74									
post drug Mentally Slow 48.6° 48.7° 49.5° 58.9 °d 59.5 °d 62.8 °d €										
Completely Sober/ BUP PBO A16 BUP/A16 BUP/A32 A32										
Extromoly Drupk 4.50 0.07 40.05 40.05 40.05 40.05	r.//									

5hr post drug	Clear-headed/ Muzzy	PBO	BUP/A16	BUP	A16	BUP/A32	A32	±3.78	
		45.8 ^h	48.5 ^{hi}	55.6 ^{hij}	58.2 ^{ij}	59.5 ^{ij}	61.4 ^j		
	Quick-witted/	BUP/A16	PBO	BUP/A32	BUP	A16	A32		
	Mentally Slow	46.0 ^k	46.2 ^k	53.3 ^{kl}	53.5 ^{kl}	57.31	58.8 ¹	1	
	Attentive/ Dreamy	BUP/A16	PBO	BUP	BUP/A32	A32	A16	±3.26	
		50.1 ^m	50.3 m	54.9 ^{mn}	57.0 ^{mn}	61.0 ^{no}	68.3°		
	Completely Sober/ Extremely Drunk	PBO	BUP	A16	BUP/A16	BUP/A32	A32	±1.48	
		0.67 p	0.75 p	1.08 p	1.25 p	6.50 q	7.08 q		
2hr 40min post drug	Mental Sedation	BUP	PBO	BUP/A16	BUP/A32	A16	A32	±4.00	
		49.3 ^r	50.0 r	54.2 ^{rs}	58.6 ^{rs}	60.1 ^{rs}	65.4 s		
5hr	Montal Codation	PBO	BUP/A16	BUP	BUP/A32	A32	A16	±0.70	
post drug	48.8 ^t	49.1 ^t	55.5 ^{tu}	56.9 ^{tu}	60.3 ^u	61.3 u	±2.12		
Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used to compare differences in treatments. Means are ranked in ascending order. a-u : means in each test with a common letter do not differ significantly (p > 0.05). Means in each test with a different letter are									

significantly different ($p \le 0.05$). Safety Results: Side effects were assessed via a checklist. There are no Adverse Events or SAE listed in study report or GSK database.

Conclusion:

See publication below

Publications:

Hamilton, MJ, Bush, MS and Peck AW. The effect of bupropion, a new antidepressant drug, and alcohol and their interaction in man. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 27:75-80, 1984

Date Updated: 17-Oct-2005