



A guide to coordinate systems in Great Britain

An introduction to mapping coordinate systems and the use of GPS datasets with Ordnance Survey mapping

Contents

Section	Page no
1	Introduction.....3
1.1	Who should read this booklet?3
1.2	A few myths about coordinate systems4
2	The shape of the Earth6
2.1	The first geodetic question.....6
2.2	Ellipsoids.....6
2.3	The Geoid7
2.3.1	Local geoids8
3	What is position?9
3.1	Types of coordinates9
3.1.1	Latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height9
3.1.2	Cartesian coordinates10
3.1.3	Geoid height (also known as orthometric height).....11
3.1.4	Mean sea level height.....12
3.1.5	Eastings and northings.....14
3.2	We need a datum.....15
3.2.1	Datum definition before the space age.....16
3.3	Realising the datum definition with a Terrestrial Reference Frame.....16
3.4	Summary.....17
4	Modern GPS coordinate systems.....18
4.1	World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84).....18
4.2	Realising WGS84 with a TRF19
4.2.1	The WGS84 broadcast TRF19
4.2.2	The International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF).....20
4.2.3	The International GNSS Service (IGS).....20
4.2.4	European Terrestrial Reference System 1989 (ETRS89).....21
5	Ordnance Survey coordinate systems.....22
5.1	OS Net.....22
5.2	National Grid and the OSGB36 TRF23
5.2.1	The OSGB36 datum23
5.2.2	The OSGB36 TRF24
5.2.3	Relative accuracy of OSGB36 control points25
5.3	Ordnance Datum Newlyn.....25
5.3.1	The ODN datum25
5.3.2	The ODN TRF25
5.3.3	Relative accuracy of ODN bench marks26
5.4	Other height datums in use across Great Britain.....26
5.5	The future of British mapping coordinate systems27
6	From one coordinate system to another: geodetic transformations27
6.1	What is a geodetic transformation?27
6.2	Helmert datum transformations29
6.3	National Grid Transformation OSTN02 (ETRS89–OSGB36).....31
6.4	National Geoid Model OSGM02 (ETRS89–Orthometric height).....31
6.5	ETRS89 to and from ITRS32
6.6	Approximate WGS84 to OSGB36/ODN transformation33
7	Transverse Mercator map projections33
7.1	The National Grid reference convention35
8	Further information36
A	Ellipsoid and projection constants37
A.1	Shape and size of biaxial ellipsoids used in the UK37
A.2	Transverse Mercator projections used in the UK37
B	Converting between 3-D Cartesian and ellipsoidal latitude, longitude and height coordinates 38
B.1	Converting latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height to 3-D Cartesian coordinates38
B.2	Converting 3-D Cartesian coordinates to latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height39
C	Converting between grid eastings and northings and ellipsoidal latitude and longitude.....40
C.1	Converting latitude and longitude to eastings and northings.....40
C.2	Converting eastings and northings to latitude and longitude.....41
D	Glossary.....43

1 Introduction

1.1 Who should read this booklet?

This booklet is aimed at people whose expertise is in fields other than geodesy, who need to know the concepts of coordinate systems in order to deal with coordinate data, and who need information on using mapping coordinate systems in Great Britain. It explains:

- the basic concepts of terrestrial¹ coordinate systems;
- the coordinate systems used with the Global Positioning System (GPS) and in Ordnance Survey mapping; and
- how these two relate to each other.

Although this booklet deals with the GPS system, the concepts and techniques can also be applied to other Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS), for example, GLONASS (Russian), Galileo (European) and Compass (Chinese).

The subject of *geodesy* deals, amongst other things, with the definition of terrestrial coordinate systems. Users of coordinates are often unaware that this subject exists, or that they need to know some fundamental geodetic concepts in order to use coordinates properly. This booklet explains these concepts. If you work with coordinates of points on the ground and would like to know the answers to any of the following questions, or if you don't understand the questions, this booklet is a good place to start:

- How do geodesists define coordinate systems that are valid over large areas? What is difficult about this task, anyway? Why can't we all just use one simple coordinate system for all positioning tasks?
- What exactly is WGS84? How accurate is it? How does WGS84 relate to map coordinates? Why are there other GPS coordinate systems that seem to be very similar to WGS84? Why are there so many acronyms used to describe GPS coordinate systems?
- How is the Ordnance Survey National Grid defined? How does OSGB36[®] relate to the National Grid? Why does it seem to be difficult to relate the National Grid coordinates to GPS coordinates? How are grid references converted to latitude and longitude coordinates?
- Why do coordinate systems use ellipsoids? Why are there so many different ellipsoids? Why is it so difficult to convert coordinates from one ellipsoid to another? Is an ellipsoid the same thing as a datum? What is the difference between height above mean sea level and height above an ellipsoid?

Why are transformations between different coordinate systems not exact? How can GPS coordinates be related precisely to the National Grid and mean sea level (orthometric) heights?

¹ A *terrestrial* coordinate system is a coordinate system designed for describing the positions of objects on the land surface of the Earth.

1.2 A few myths about coordinate systems

Myth 1: 'A point on the ground has a unique latitude and longitude'

For reasons that are a mixture of valid science and historical accident, there is no one agreed 'latitude and longitude' coordinate system. There are many different meridians of zero longitude (prime meridians) and many different circles of zero latitude (equators), although the former generally pass somewhere near Greenwich, and the latter is always somewhere near the rotational equator. There are also more subtle differences between different systems of latitude and longitude which are explained in this booklet.

The result is that different systems of latitude and longitude in common use today can disagree on the coordinates of a point by more than 200 metres. For any application where an error of this size would be significant, it's important to know which system is being used and exactly how it is defined.

The figure below shows three points that all have the same latitude and longitude, in three different coordinate systems (OSGB36, WGS84 and ED50). Each one of these coordinate systems is widely used in Britain and fit for its purpose, and none of them is wrong. The differences between them are just a result of the fact that any system of 'absolute coordinates' is always arbitrary. Standard conventions ensure only that different coordinate systems tend to agree to within half a kilometre or so, but there is no fundamental reason why they should agree at all.




Figure 1: three points with the same latitude and longitude in three different coordinate systems. The map extract is 200 metres square.

Myth 2: ‘A horizontal plane is a level surface’

Of course it cannot be, because the Earth is round – any gravitationally level surface (such as the surface of the wine in your glass, or the surface of the sea averaged over time) must curve as the Earth curves, so it cannot be flat (that is, it cannot be a geometrical plane). But more than this, a level surface has a complex shape – it is not a simple curved surface like a sphere. When we say ‘a level surface’ we mean a surface that is everywhere at right angles to the direction of gravity. The direction of gravity is generally towards the centre of the Earth as you would expect, but it varies in direction and magnitude from place to place in a complex way, even on a very local scale. These variations, which are too small for us to notice without specialist measuring equipment, are due to the irregular distribution of mass on the surface (hills and valleys) and also to the variable density of the Earth beneath us. Therefore, all level surfaces are actually bumpy and complex.

This is very important to coordinate systems used to map the height of the ground, because the idea of quantified ‘height’ implies that there is a level surface somewhere below us which has zero height. Even statements about relative height imply extended level surfaces. When we casually say ‘Point A is higher than point B’, what we really mean is ‘The level surface passing through point A, if extended, would pass above point B’. So to accurately quantify the height difference between A and B, we would need to know the shape of the level surface passing through point A. In fact we choose a general ‘reference level surface’ of zero height covering the whole country to which we can refer all our measured heights. This reference level surface is not flat!

Myth 3: ‘The true coordinates of a ground point do not change’

They certainly do, due to the continuous deforming motions of the Earth. Relative to the centre of the Earth, a point on the ground can move as much as a metre up and down every day just because of the tidal influences of the sun and moon. The relative motion of two continents can be 10 centimetres a year, which is significant for mapping because it is constant year after year – after 50 years a region of the earth may have moved by 5 metres relative to a neighbouring continent. Many other small effects can be observed – the sinking of Britain when the tide comes in over the continental shelf (a few centimetres), the sinking of inland areas under a weather system ‘high’ (about 5 millimetres), and the rising of the land in response to the melting of the last Ice Age (about 2 millimetres per year in Scotland, up to 1 centimetre per year in Scandinavia). Generally, as the size of the region of the Earth over which we want to use a single coordinate system increases, the more these dynamic Earth effects are significant.

The modern trend is to use global coordinate systems even for local applications. Therefore it is important to realise that in a global coordinate system, the ground on which we stand is constantly moving. This leads to subtleties in coordinate system definition and use.

Myth 4: ‘There are exact mathematical formulae to change between coordinate systems’

Exact formulae only apply in the realm of perfect geometry – not in the real world of coordinated points on the ground. The ‘known coordinates’ of a point in one coordinate system are obtained from a large number of observations that are averaged together using a whole raft of assumptions. Both the observations and the assumptions are only ever approximately correct and can be of dubious quality, particularly if the point was coordinated a long time ago. It will also have moved since it was coordinated, due to subsidence, continental plate motion and other effects.

The result is that the relationship between two coordinate systems at the present time must also be observed on the ground, and this observation too is subject to error. Therefore only approximate models can ever exist to transform (convert) coordinates from one coordinate system to another. The first question to answer realistically is 'What accuracy do I really require?' In general, if the accuracy requirements are low (5 to 10 metres, say) then transforming a set of coordinates from one coordinate system to another is simple and easy. If the accuracy requirements are higher (anywhere from 1 centimetre to half a metre, say), a more involved transformation process will be required. In both cases, the transformation procedure should have a stated accuracy level.

2 The shape of the Earth

2.1 The first geodetic question

When you look at all the topographic and oceanographic details, the Earth is a very irregular and complex shape. If you want to map the positions of those details, you need a simpler model of the basic shape of the Earth, sometimes called the 'figure of the Earth', on which the coordinate system will be based. The details can then be added by determining their coordinates relative to the simplified shape, to build up the full picture.

The science of *geodesy*, on which all mapping and navigation is based, aims firstly to determine the shape and size of the simplified 'figure of the Earth' and goes on to determine the location of the features of the Earth's land surface – from tectonic plates, coastlines and mountain ranges down to the control marks used for surveying and making maps. Hence geodesists provide the fundamental 'points of known coordinates' that cartographers and navigators take as their starting point. The first question of geodesy, then, is 'What is the best basic, simplified shape of the Earth?' Having established this, we can use it as a reference surface, with respect to which we measure the topography.

Geodesists have two very useful answers to this question: *ellipsoids* and the *Geoid*. To really understand coordinate systems, you need to understand these concepts first.

2.2 Ellipsoids

The Earth is very nearly spherical. However, it has a tiny equatorial bulge making the radius at the equator about one third of one percent bigger than the radius at the poles. Therefore the simple geometric shape which most closely approximates the shape of the Earth is a *biaxial ellipsoid*, which is the three-dimensional figure generated by rotating an ellipse about its shorter axis (less exactly, it is the shape obtained by squashing a sphere slightly along one axis). The shorter axis of the ellipsoid approximately coincides with the rotation axis of the Earth.

Because the ellipsoid shape doesn't fit the Earth perfectly, there are lots of different ellipsoids in use, some of which are designed to best fit the whole Earth, and some to best fit just one region. For instance, the coordinate system used with the Global Positioning System (GPS) uses an ellipsoid called GRS80 (Geodetic Reference System 1980) which is designed to best-fit the whole Earth. The ellipsoid used for mapping in Britain, the Airy 1830 ellipsoid, is designed to best-fit Britain only, which it does better than GRS80, but it is not useful in other parts of the world. So various ellipsoids used in different regions differ in size and shape, and also in orientation and position relative to each other and to the Earth. The modern trend is to use GRS80 everywhere for reasons of global compatibility. Hence the local best-fitting ellipsoid is now rather an old-fashioned idea, but it is still important because many such ellipsoids are built into national mapping coordinate systems.




Figure 2: greatly exaggerated representation of a cross-section through the Earth showing cross-sections of a globally best-fitting ellipsoid (black) and a regionally best-fitting ellipsoid (grey). The regional ellipsoid is only intended for use in the region of best fit and does not fit the Earth in other areas. Note that the ellipsoids differ in centre position and orientation as well as in size and shape.

2.3 The Geoid

If we want to measure heights, we need an imaginary surface of 'zero height' somewhere underneath us to which the measurements will be referred. The stated height of any point is the vertical distance above this imaginary surface. Even when we talk in casual, relative terms about height, we are implicitly assuming that this surface exists.

The fact that increasing heights on the map are taken to mean 'uphill' and decreasing heights on the map are taken to mean 'downhill' implies that the height reference surface must be a level surface – that is, everywhere at right angles to the direction of gravity. It is clear that if we want to talk about the heights of places over the whole world, the reference surface must be a closed shape, and it will be something like the shape of an ellipsoid. Its exact shape will be defined by the requirement to be at right angles to the direction of gravity everywhere on its surface. As was pointed out in [section 1.2](#), level surfaces are not simple geometrical shapes. The direction of gravity, although generally towards the centre of the Earth, varies in a complex way on all scales from global to very local. This means that a level reference surface is not a simple geometric figure like the ellipsoid, but is bumpy and complex. We can determine level surfaces from physical observations such as precise gravity measurements. This is the scientific study known as gravimetry.

Depending on what height we choose as 'zero height', there are any number of closed level surfaces we could choose as our global height reference surface, and the choice is essentially arbitrary. We can think of these level surfaces like layers of an onion inside and outside the Earth's topographic surface. Each one corresponds to a different potential energy level of the Earth's gravitational field, and each one, although an irregular shape, is a surface of constant height. The one we choose as our height reference surface is that level surface which is closest to the average surface of all the world's oceans. This is a sensible choice since we are coastal creatures and we like to think of sea level as having a height of zero. We call this irregular three-dimensional shape the *Geoid*. Although it is both imaginary and difficult to measure, it is a single unique surface: it is the only level surface which best-fits the average surface of the oceans over the whole Earth. This is by contrast with ellipsoids, of which there are many fitting different regions of the Earth.




Figure 3: why the gravity field is important in height measurement. On the left is a hill in a uniform gravity field. On the right is a flat surface in a non-uniform gravity field. The white dotted lines are level surfaces. The experience of the blindfolded stick-men is the same in both cases. From this it is clear that the gravity field must be considered in our definition of height. This is why the Geoid is the fundamental reference surface for vertical measurements, not an ellipsoid.

The Geoid is very nearly an ellipsoid shape – we can define a best-fitting ellipsoid which matches the Geoid to better than two hundred metres everywhere on its surface. However, that is the best we can do with an ellipsoid, and usually we want to know our height much better than that. The Geoid has the property that every point on it has exactly the same height, throughout the world, and it is never more than a couple of metres from local mean sea level. This makes it the ideal reference surface on which to base a global coordinate system for vertical positioning. The Geoid is in many ways the true ‘figure of the Earth’ that we introduced in [section 2.1](#), because a fundamental level surface is intrinsic to our view of the world, living as we do in a powerful gravity field. If you like, the next step on from understanding that the shape of the Earth is ‘round’ rather than ‘flat’, is to understand that actually it is the complex Geoid shape rather than simply ‘round’.

For more explanation of this subject, see [section 3.1](#) below. A colour image of the global Geoid relative to a best-fitting ellipsoid surface is available on the Internet – see the further information list in [section 8](#).

2.3.1 Local geoids

Height measurements on maps are usually stated to be *height above mean sea level*. This means that a different level surface has been used as the ‘zero height’ reference surface – one based on a tide-gauge local to the mapping region rather than the average of global ocean levels. For most purposes, these local reference surfaces can be considered to be parallel to the Geoid but offset from it, sometimes by as much as two metres. For instance, heights in mainland Britain are measured relative to the tide-gauge in Newlyn, Cornwall, giving a reference surface which is about 80 centimetres below the Geoid.

What causes this discrepancy between average global ocean levels and local mean sea levels? We know that pure water left undisturbed does form a level surface, so the two should be in agreement. The problem is that the sea around our coasts is definitely not left undisturbed! The oceanic currents, effects of tides and winds on the coast, and variations in water temperature and purity all cause 'mean sea level' to deviate slightly from the truly level Geoid surface. So the mean sea level surface contains very shallow hills and valleys which are described by the apt term 'sea surface topography'. The sea around Britain happens to form a 'valley' in the sea surface, so our mean sea level is about 80 centimetres below the Geoid. Different countries have adopted different local mean sea levels as their 'zero height' definition. Consequently there are many 'zero height' reference surfaces used in different parts of the world which are (almost) parallel to, but offset from, the true global Geoid. These reference surfaces are sometimes called 'local geoids' – the capital G can be omitted in this case.

3 What is position?

We have introduced an irregular, dynamic Earth and the concepts of ellipsoid and Geoid that are used to describe its basic shape. Now we want to describe with certainty where we are on that Earth, or where any feature is, in a simple numerical way. So the challenge is to define a coordinate system with which we can uniquely and accurately state the position of any topographic feature as an unambiguous set of numbers. In the fields of geodesy, mapping and navigation, a 'position' means a set of coordinates in a clearly defined coordinate system, along with a statement of the likely error in those coordinates. How do we obtain this?

The answer to this question is the subject of the whole of this section. In [section 3.1](#), we review the different types of coordinates we commonly need to work with. In [sections 3.2](#) and [3.3](#) we will look at the two essential concepts in creating a terrestrial coordinate system that gives us a detailed insight into what a set of coordinates (a geodetic position) really tells us.

3.1 Types of coordinates

3.1.1 Latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height

The most common way of stating terrestrial position is with two angles, latitude and longitude. These define a point on the globe. More correctly, they define a point on the surface of an ellipsoid that approximately fits the globe. Therefore, to use latitudes and longitudes with any degree of certainty, you **must** know which ellipsoid you are dealing with.

The relationship between the ellipsoid and latitude and longitude is simple (see [figure 4](#)). North-south lines of constant longitude are known as *meridians*, and east-west lines of constant latitude are *parallels*. One meridian of the ellipsoid is chosen as the prime meridian and assigned zero longitude. The longitude of a point on the ellipsoid is the angle between the meridian passing through that point and the prime meridian. Usually, the scale of longitude is divided into eastern and western hemispheres (hemiellipsoids, actually!) from 0 to 180 degrees West and 0 to 180 degrees East. The equator of the ellipsoid is chosen as the circle of zero latitude. The latitude of a point is the angle between the equatorial plane and the line perpendicular to the ellipsoid at that point. Latitudes are reckoned as 0 to 90 degrees North and 0 to 90 degrees South, where 90 degrees either North or South is a single point – the pole of the ellipsoid.

So latitude and longitude give a position on the surface of the stated ellipsoid. Since real points on the ground are actually above (or possibly below) the ellipsoid surface, we need a third coordinate, the so-called *ellipsoid height*, which is simply the distance from the point to the ellipsoid surface along a straight line perpendicular to the ellipsoid surface. The term 'ellipsoid height' is actually a misnomer, because although this is an approximately vertical measurement, it does not give true height because it is not related to a level surface. It does, however, unambiguously identify a point in space above or below the ellipsoid surface in a simple geometrical way, which is its purpose.

With the coordinate triplet of latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height, we can unambiguously position a point with respect to a stated ellipsoid. To translate this into an unambiguous position on the ground,

we need to know accurately where the ellipsoid is relative to the piece of ground we are interested in. How we do this is discussed in [sections 3.2](#) and [3.3](#) below.




Figure 4: an ellipsoid with graticule of latitude and longitude and the associated 3-D Cartesian axes. This example puts the origin at the Geocentre (the centre of mass of the Earth) but this is not always the case. This system allows position of point P to be stated as either latitude ϕ , longitude λ , and ellipsoid height H or Cartesian coordinates X , Y and Z – the two types of coordinates give the same information.

3.1.2 Cartesian coordinates

Rectangular Cartesian coordinates are a very simple system of describing position in three dimensions, using three perpendicular axes X , Y and Z . Three coordinates unambiguously locate any point in this system. We can use it as a very useful alternative to latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height to convey exactly the same information.

We use three Cartesian axes aligned with the latitude and longitude system (see [figure 4](#)). The origin (centre) of the Cartesian system is at the centre of the ellipsoid. The X axis lies in the equator of the ellipsoid and passes through the prime meridian (0 degrees longitude). The negative side of the X axis passes through 180 degrees longitude. The Y axis also lies in the equator but passes through the meridian of 90 degrees East, and hence is at right angles to the X axis. Obviously, the negative side of the Y axis passes through 90 degrees West. The Z axis coincides with the polar axis of the ellipsoid; the positive side passes through the North Pole and the negative side through the South Pole. Hence it is at right angles to both X and Y axes.

It is clear that any position uniquely described by latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height can also be described by a unique triplet of 3-D Cartesian coordinates, and vice versa. The formulae for converting between these two equivalent systems are given in [annexe B](#).

It is important to remember that having converted latitude and longitude to Cartesian coordinates, the resulting coordinates are relative to a set of Cartesian axes that are unique to the ellipsoid concerned. They cannot be mixed with Cartesian coordinates associated with any other ellipsoid or coordinate system without first applying a *transformation* between the two coordinate systems (see [sections 3.2](#), [3.3](#) and [6](#)). When considering using coordinates from different sources together, beware that one named coordinate system can have several different *realisations* (see [section 3.3](#)), which are not necessarily compatible with each other.

Similarly, having converted Cartesian coordinates to latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height, the resulting coordinates are relative to the ellipsoid chosen, and also to the Cartesian reference system of the input coordinates. They cannot be used together with latitudes, longitudes and ellipsoid heights associated with any other ellipsoid or coordinate system, without first applying a suitable transformation (see ‘myth 1’ in [section 1.2](#)).

3.1.3 Geoid height (also known as orthometric height)

The term *ellipsoid height* is misleading because a distance above a reference ellipsoid does not necessarily indicate height – point A can have a greater ellipsoid height than point B while being downhill of B. As we saw in [section 2](#), this is because the ellipsoid surface is not level – therefore a distance above the ellipsoid is not really a height at all. The reference surface that is everywhere level is the Geoid. To ensure that the relative height of points A and B correctly indicates the gradient between them, we must measure height as the distance between the ground and the Geoid, not the ellipsoid. This measurement is called ‘orthometric height’ or simply ‘Geoid height’².

The relationship between ellipsoid height H and Geoid height (orthometric height) h is³

$$H = h + N \quad (1)$$

where N is (reasonably enough) the ‘Geoid-ellipsoid separation’⁴. Because the Geoid is a complex surface, N varies in a complex way depending on latitude and longitude. A lookup table of N for any particular latitude and longitude is called a *Geoid model*. Therefore you need a Geoid model to convert ellipsoid height to Geoid height and vice-versa. [Figure 5](#) shows these quantities for two points A and B. The orthometric height difference between A and B is

$$\Delta h_{AB} = h_B - h_A = \Delta H_{AB} - \Delta N_{AB} \quad (2)$$

Because both GPS determination of H and Geoid model determination of N are more accurate in a relative sense (differenced between two nearby points) than in a global ‘absolute’ sense, values of Δh_{AB} will always be more accurate than either h_A or h_B . This is because most of the error in h_A is also present in h_B and is removed by differencing these quantities. Fortunately it is usually Δh_{AB} that we are really interested in: we want to know the height differences between pairs of points.

² We are making some reasonable simplifications here. We assume that the level surfaces passing through our points of interest are parallel to the Geoid. This is not actually true, but the difference is negligible.

³ Unfortunately, some authors use the letters h and H the other way round!

⁴ Here we assume that the Geoid is parallel to the ellipsoid (actually it diverges by about 0.002° in Britain with respect to the GRS80 ellipsoid, which is negligible here) and that there is negligible curvature in a plumb-line extended to the Geoid.




Figure 5: ellipsoid height H and orthometric height h of two points A and B related by a model of Geoid-ellipsoid separation N

The development of precise Geoid models is very important to increasing the accuracy of height coordinate systems. A good Geoid model allows us to determine orthometric heights using GPS (which yields ellipsoid height) and equation (1) (to convert to orthometric height). The GPS ellipsoid height alone gives us the geometric information we need, but does not give real height because it tells us nothing about the gravity field. Different Geoid models will give different orthometric heights for a point, even though the ellipsoid height (determined by GPS) might be very accurate. Therefore orthometric height should never be given without also stating the Geoid model used. As we will now see, even height coordinate systems set up and used exclusively by the method of spirit levelling involve a Geoid model, although this might not be stated explicitly.

3.1.4 Mean sea level height

We will now take a look at the Geoid model used in Ordnance Survey mapping on the British mainland, although most surveyors might not immediately think of it as such. This is the Ordnance Datum Newlyn (ODN) vertical coordinate system. Ordnance Survey maps state that heights are given above 'mean sea level'. If we're looking for sub-metre accuracy in heighting this is a vague statement, since mean sea level (MSL) varies over time and from place to place, as we noted in [section 2.3](#).

ODN corresponds to the average sea level measured by the tide-gauge at Newlyn, Cornwall between 1915 and 1921. Heights that refer to this particular MSL as the point of zero height are called ODN heights. ODN is therefore a 'local geoid' definition as discussed in [section 2.3](#). ODN heights are used for all British mainland Ordnance Survey contours, spot heights and bench mark heights. ODN heights are unavailable on many offshore islands, which have their own MSL based on a local tide-gauge.

A simple picture of MSL heights compared to ellipsoid heights is shown in [figure 6](#). It shows ODN height as a vertical distance above a mean sea level surface continued under the land.




Figure 6: a simple representation of the ODN height of a point *p* – that is, its height above mean sea level. The dotted MSL continued under the land is essentially a geoid model.

What does it mean to continue mean sea level under the land? The answer is that the surface shown as a dotted line in [figure 6](#) is actually a local geoid model. The lower-case ‘g’ indicates a local geoid model as opposed to the global Geoid, as discussed in [section 2.3](#). It was measured in the first half of this century by the technique of spirit levelling from the Newlyn reference point, which resulted in the ODN heights of about seven hundred thousand Ordnance Survey bench marks (OSBMs) across Britain, the most important of which are the two hundred fundamental bench marks (FBMs). Hence we know the distance beneath each bench mark that, according to the ‘ODN geoid model’, the geoid lies. By measuring the ellipsoid height of an Ordnance Survey bench mark by GPS, we can discover the geoid-ellipsoid separation N according to the ODN model at that point.

ODN orthometric heights have become a national standard in Britain, and are likely to remain so. It is important to understand the reasons for the differences that might arise when comparing ODN orthometric heights with those obtained from modern gravimetric geoid models. These discrepancies might be as much as 1 metre, although the disagreement in Δh_{AB} in equation (2) is unlikely to exceed a few centimetres. There are three reasons for this:

Firstly, the ODN model assumes that mean sea level at Newlyn coincided with the Geoid at that point at the time of measurement. This is not true – the true Geoid is the level surface that best fits global mean sea level, not MSL at any particular place and time. MSL deviates from the Geoid due to water currents and variations in temperature, pressure and density. These produce watery ‘hills and valleys’ in the average sea surface. This phenomenon is known as sea surface topography (SST). Britain lies in an SST ‘valley’ – that is, MSL around Britain lies below the Geoid, typically by about 80 cm. The exact figure varies around the coast due to smaller features in the SST. The result is that the whole ODN geoid model is the better part of a metre below the true global Geoid. This effect is important only in applications that require correct relationships between orthometric heights in more than one country; for all applications confined to Britain, it is irrelevant. The ODN reference surface is a local geoid model optimised for Britain, and as such it is the most suitable reference surface for use in Britain.

Secondly, because the ODN geoid model is only tied to MSL at one point, it is susceptible to ‘slope error’ as the lines of spirit levelling progressed a long distance from this point. It has long been suspected that the whole model has a very slight slope error, that is, it may be tilted with respect to the true Geoid. This error probably amounts to no more than twenty centimetres across the whole 1000 km extent of the model. This error might conceivably be important for applications that require very precise relative heights of points over the whole of Britain. For any application restricted to a region 500 km or less in extent, it is very unlikely to be apparent. Unfortunately, modern gravimetric Geoid models are susceptible to the same type of ‘slope error’, so nothing certain can be said about it and there are currently no better alternatives.

Thirdly and most importantly, we have the errors that can be incurred when using bench marks to obtain ODN heights. Some OSBMs were surveyed as long ago as 1912 and the majority have not been rigorously checked since the 1970s. Therefore, we must beware of errors due to the limitations in the original computations, and due to possible movement of the bench mark since it was observed. There is occasional anecdotal evidence of bench mark subsidence errors of several metres where mining has caused collapse of the ground. This type of error can affect even local height surveys, and individual bench marks should not be trusted for high-precision work. However, ODN can now be used entirely without reference to bench marks, by precise GPS survey using OS Net[®] in conjunction with the National Geoid Model OSGM02[™]. This is the method now recommended by Ordnance Survey for the establishment of all high-precision height control. See [section 5](#) for more details.




Figure 7: the relationship between the Geoid, a local geoid model (based on a tide-gauge datum), mean sea level, and a reference ellipsoid. The ODN geoid model is an example of a local geoid model.

3.1.5 Eastings and northings

The last type of coordinates we need to consider is *eastings* and *northings*, also called *plane* coordinates, *grid* coordinates or *map* coordinates. These coordinates are used to locate position with respect to a map, which is a two-dimensional plane surface depicting features on the curved surface of the Earth. These days, the 'map' might be a computerised geographical information system (GIS) but the principle is exactly the same. Map coordinates use a simple 2-D Cartesian system, in which the two axes are known as eastings and northings. Map coordinates of a point are computed from its ellipsoidal latitude and longitude by a standard formula known as a *map projection*. This is the coordinate type most often associated with the Ordnance Survey National Grid.

A map projection cannot be a perfect representation, because it is not possible to show a curved surface on a flat map without creating distortions and discontinuities. Therefore, different map projections are used for different applications. The map projections commonly used in Britain are the Ordnance Survey National Grid projection, and the Universal Transverse Mercator projection. These are both projections of the Transverse Mercator type. Any coordinates stated as eastings and northings should be accompanied by an exact statement of the map projection used to create them. The formulae for the Transverse Mercator projection are given in [annexe C](#), and the parameters used in Britain are in [annexe A](#). There is more about map projections and the National Grid in [section 7](#).

In geodesy, map coordinates tend only to be used for visual display purposes. When we need to do computations with coordinates, we use latitude and longitude or Cartesian coordinates, then convert the results to map coordinates as a final step if needed. This working procedure is in contrast to the practice in geographical information systems, where map coordinates are used directly for many computational tasks. The Ordnance Survey transformation between the GPS coordinate system WGS84 and the National Grid works directly with map coordinates – more about this in [section 6.3](#).

3.2 We need a datum

We have come some way in answering the question ‘What is position?’ by introducing various types of coordinates: we use one or more of these coordinate types to state the positions of points and features on the surface of the Earth.

No matter what type of coordinates we are using, we will require a suitable origin with respect to which the coordinates are stated. For instance, we cannot use Cartesian coordinates unless we have defined an origin point of the coordinate axes and defined the directions of the axes in relation to the Earth we are measuring. This is an example of a set of conventions necessary to define the spatial relationship of the coordinate system to the Earth. The general name for this concept is the *Terrestrial Reference System* (TRS) or *geodetic datum*⁵. Datum is the most familiar term amongst surveyors, and we will use it throughout this booklet. TRS is a more modern term for the same thing.

To use 3-D Cartesian coordinates, a 3-D datum definition is required, in order to set up the three axes, X, Y and Z. The datum definition must somehow state where the origin point of the three axes lies and in what directions the axes point, all in relation to the surface of the Earth. Each point on the Earth will then have a unique set of Cartesian coordinates in the new coordinate system. The datum definition is the link between the ‘abstract’ coordinates and the real physical world.

To use latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height coordinates, we start with the same type of datum used for 3-D Cartesian coordinates. To this, we add a reference ellipsoid centred on the Cartesian origin (as in [figure 4](#)), the shape and size of which is added to the datum definition. The size is usually defined by stating the distance from the origin to the ellipsoid equator, which is called the semi-major axis a . The shape is defined by any one of several parameters: the semi-minor axis length b (the distance from the origin to the ellipsoid pole), the squared eccentricity e^2 , or the inverse flattening $1/f$. Exactly what these parameters represent is not important here. Each conveys the same information: the shape of the chosen reference ellipsoid.

The term *geodetic datum* is usually taken to mean the ellipsoidal type of datum just described: a set of 3-D Cartesian axes plus an ellipsoid, which allows positions to be equivalently described in 3-D Cartesian coordinates or as latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height. This type of datum is illustrated in [figure 4](#). The datum definition consists of eight parameters: the 3-D location of the origin (three parameters), the 3-D orientation of the axes (three parameters), the size of the ellipsoid (one parameter) and the shape of the ellipsoid (one parameter).

There are, however, other types of geodetic datum. For instance, a local datum for orthometric height measurement is very simple: it consists of the stated height of a single fundamental bench mark. (*NOTE: modern height datums are becoming more and more integrated with ellipsoidal datums through the use of Geoid models. The ideal is a single datum definition for horizontal and vertical measurements.*)

What all datums have in common is that they are specified *a priori* – they are in essence, arbitrary conventions, although they will be chosen to make things as easy as possible for users and to make sense in the physical world. Because the datum is just a convention, a set of coordinates can in theory be transformed from one datum to another and back again exactly. In practice, this might not be very useful, as we shall see in [sections 3.3](#) and [6.1](#).

⁵ This term is often misused. The term datum refers only to the arbitrarily chosen elements of a coordinate system necessary to define the origin of coordinates – not to any control network based on this.

3.2.1 Datum definition before the space age

How do we specify the position and orientation of a set of Cartesian axes in relation to the ground, when the origin of the axes, and the surface of the associated ellipsoid, are within the Earth? The way it used to be done before the days of satellite positioning (GPS and so on) was to use a particular ground mark as the *initial point* of the coordinate system. This ground mark is assigned coordinates that are essentially arbitrary, but fit for the purpose of the coordinate system.

Also, the direction towards the origin of the Cartesian axes from that point was chosen. This was expressed as the difference between the direction of gravity at that point and the direction towards the origin of the coordinate system. Because that is a three-dimensional direction, three parameters were required to define it. So we have six conventional parameters of the initial point in all, which correspond to choosing the centre (in three dimensions) and the orientation (in three dimensions) of the Cartesian axes. To enable us to use the latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height coordinate type, we also choose the ellipsoid shape and size, which are a further two parameters.

Once the initial point is assigned arbitrary parameters in this way, we have defined a coordinate system in which all other points on the Earth have unique coordinates – we just need a way to measure them! We will look at the principles of doing this in the next section.

Although it is a good example of defining a datum, these days, a single initial point would never be used for this purpose. Instead, we define the datum ‘implicitly’ by applying certain conditions to the computed coordinates of a whole set of points, no one of which has special importance. This method has become common in global GPS coordinate systems since the 1980s. Avoiding reliance on a single point gives practical robustness to the datum definition and makes error analysis more straightforward.

3.3 Realising the datum definition with a Terrestrial Reference Frame

With our datum definition we have located the origin, axes and ellipsoid of the coordinate system with respect to the Earth’s surface, on which are the features we want to measure and describe. We now come to the problem of making that coordinate system available for use in practice. If the coordinate system is going to be used consistently over a large area, this is a big task. It involves setting up some infrastructure of points to which users can have access, the coordinates of which are known at the time of measurement. These reference points are typically either on the ground, or on satellites orbiting the Earth. All positioning methods rely on line-of-sight from an observing instrument to reference points of known coordinates. Putting some of the reference points on orbiting satellites has the advantage that any one satellite is visible to a large area of the Earth’s surface at any one time. This is the idea of *satellite positioning*.

The network of reference points with known coordinates is called the coordinate *Terrestrial Reference Frame* (TRF), and its purpose is to *realise* the coordinate system by providing accessible points of known coordinates. Examples of TRFs are the network of Ordnance Survey triangulation pillars seen on hilltops across Britain, and the constellation of 24 GPS satellites operated by the United States Department of Defense. Both these TRFs serve exactly the same purpose: they are highly visible points of known position in particular coordinate systems (in the case of satellites, the points move so the ‘known position’ changes as a function of time). Users can observe these TRF points using a positioning tool (a theodolite or a GPS receiver in these examples) and hence obtain new positions of previously unknown points in the coordinate system.

A vital conceptual difference between a datum and a TRF is that the former is errorless while the latter is subject to error. A datum might be unsuitable for a certain application, but it cannot contain errors because it is simply a set of conventionally adopted parameters – they are correct by definition! A TRF, on the other hand, involves the physical observation of the coordinates of many points – and wherever physical observations are involved, errors are inevitably introduced. Therefore it is quite wrong to talk of errors in a datum: the errors occur in the *realisation* of that datum by a TRF to make it accessible to users.

Most land surveyors do not speak of TRFs – instead we often misuse the term ‘datum’ to cover both. This leads to a lot of misunderstandings, especially when comparing the discrepancies between two coordinate systems. To understand the relationship between two coordinate systems properly, we need to understand that the difference in their datums can be given by some exact set of parameters, although we might not know what they are. On the other hand, the difference in their TRFs (which is what we generally really want to know) can only be described in approximate terms, with a statistical accuracy statement attached to the description.

In [sections 4](#) and [5](#) below, we look at real geodetic coordinate systems in terms of their datums and TRFs in some detail. These case studies provide some examples to illustrate the concepts introduced here.

3.4 Summary

With the three concepts summarised in table 1, we can set up and use a coordinate system.

Coordinate system concept	Alternative name	Role in positioning
datum (section 3.2)	Terrestrial Reference System (TRS)	The set of parameters which defines the coordinate system and states its position with respect to the Earth’s surface.
datum realisation (section 3.3)	Terrestrial Reference Frame (TRF)	the infrastructure of ‘known points’ that makes the coordinate system accessible to users
type of coordinates (section 3.1)		the way we describe positions in the coordinate system

Table 1: coordinate system concepts

We have answered the question ‘What is position?’ in a way that is useful for positioning in geodesy, surveying and navigation. A position is a set of coordinates, hopefully with an accuracy statement, together with a clear understanding of the coordinate system to which it refers in terms of the three items in table 1.

The following two sections are case studies of two coordinate systems in common use in Britain – that used for GPS positioning, and that used for Ordnance Survey mapping. As we shall see, a close look at either of these examples shows that even within one coordinate system, there are alternative datums and TRFs in use, sometimes under the same name.

4 Modern GPS coordinate systems

4.1 World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84)

In this section, we look at the coordinate systems used in GPS positioning, starting with WGS84. We'll discuss GPS coordinate systems in terms of the coordinate system concepts summarised in table 1.

The datum used for GPS positioning is called WGS84 (World Geodetic System 1984). It consists of a three-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system and an associated ellipsoid so that WGS84 positions can be described as either XYZ Cartesian coordinates or latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height coordinates. The origin of the datum is the Geocentre (the centre of mass of the Earth) and it is designed for positioning anywhere on Earth.

In line with the definition of a datum given in [section 3.2](#), the WGS84 datum is nothing more than a set of conventions, adopted constants and formulae. No physical infrastructure is included, and the definition does not indicate how you might position yourself in this system. The WGS84 definition includes the following items:

- The WGS84 Cartesian axes and ellipsoid are geocentric; that is, their origin is the centre of mass of the whole Earth including oceans and atmosphere.
- The scale of the axes is that of the local Earth frame, in the sense of the relativistic theory of gravitation.
- Their orientation (that is, the directions of the axes, and hence the orientation of the ellipsoid equator and prime meridian of zero longitude) coincided with the equator and prime meridian of the Bureau Internationale de l'Heure at the moment in time 1984.0 (that is, midnight on New Year's Eve 1983).
- Since 1984.0, the orientation of the axes and ellipsoid has changed such that the average motion of the crustal plates relative to the ellipsoid is zero. This ensures that the Z-axis of the WGS84 datum coincides with the International Reference Pole, and that the prime meridian of the ellipsoid (that is, the plane containing the Z and X Cartesian axes) coincides with the International Reference Meridian.
- The shape and size of the WGS84 biaxial ellipsoid is defined by the semi-major axis length $a = 6378137.0$ metres, and the reciprocal of flattening $1/f = 298.257223563$. This ellipsoid is the same shape and size as the GRS80 ellipsoid.
- Conventional values are also adopted for the standard angular velocity of the Earth, and for the Earth gravitational constant. The first is needed for time measurement, and the second to define the scale of the system in a relativistic sense. We will not consider these parameters further here.

There are a couple of points to note about this definition. Firstly, the ellipsoid is designed to best-fit the Geoid of the Earth as a whole. This means it generally doesn't fit the Geoid in a particular country as well as the non-geocentric ellipsoid used for mapping that country. In Britain, GRS80 lies about 50 metres below the Geoid and slopes from east to west relative to the Geoid, so the Geoid-ellipsoid separation is 10 metres greater in the west than in the east. Our local mapping ellipsoid (the Airy 1830 ellipsoid) is a much better fit.

Secondly, note that the axes of the WGS84 Cartesian system, and hence all lines of latitude and longitude in the WGS84 datum, are not stationary with respect to any particular country. Due to tectonic plate motion, different parts of the world move relative to each other with velocities of the order of ten centimetres per year. The International Reference Meridian and Pole, and hence the WGS84 datum, are stationary with respect to the *average* of all these motions. But this means they are in motion relative to any particular region or country. In Britain, all WGS84 latitudes and longitudes are changing at a constant rate of about 2.5 centimetres per year in a north-easterly direction. Over the course of a decade or so, this effect becomes noticeable in large-scale mapping. Some parts of the world (for example Hawaii and Australia) are moving at up to one metre per decade relative to WGS84.

The full definition of WGS84 is available on the Internet – see [section 8](#) for the address.

4.2 Realising WGS84 with a TRF

So much for the theoretical definition of WGS84 – how can we use it? At first sight a coordinate system centred on the centre of mass of the Earth, oceans and atmosphere might seem very difficult to realise. Actually, this definition is very convenient for satellite positioning, because the centre of mass of the Earth (often called the geocentre) is one of the foci of the elliptical orbits of all Earth satellites⁶, assuming the mass of the satellite itself is negligible. Therefore observing a satellite can tell us, more or less, where the centre of the Earth is.

There are no fewer than three Terrestrial Reference Frames realising WGS84 that are very important to us in Britain. They are: the United States military ‘broadcast’ realisation; the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) precise scientific realisation; and the European Terrestrial Reference Frame (ETRF) Europe-fixed realisation. We will look at each in turn. We will see that each of these actually realises a slightly different datum, although all of them are loosely referred to as ‘WGS84 realisations’.

4.2.1 The WGS84 broadcast TRF

The primary means of navigating in the WGS84 coordinate system is via the WGS84 positions of the 24 GPS satellites, which are continuously broadcast by the satellites themselves. This satellite constellation is a TRF – that is, it is a general-purpose access tool making the WGS84 coordinate system available to users.

The WGS84 satellite positions are determined by the US Department of Defense using a network of tracking stations, the positions of which have been precisely computed. The tracking stations observe the satellites and hence determine the WGS84 coordinates of the satellites. The quality of the resulting satellite coordinates depends on the quality of the known tracking station coordinates. These were initially not very good (probably 10 metre accuracy) but have been refined several times. The most recent coordinate set including thirteen tracking stations distributed over the globe was introduced in January 2002. The tracking station coordinates are now accurate to better than five centimetres, and are in very close agreement with the International Reference Meridian and International Reference Pole.

The network of GPS tracking stations can be considered the original WGS84 TRF. The satellite constellation, which is a derived TRF, can be seen as a tool to transfer this realisation ‘over the horizon’ to wherever positioning is needed in the world. The current coordinates of the tracking station antennae at epoch 1997.0 are available on the Internet (see [section 8](#) for addresses). These coordinates implicitly state the physical origin, orientation and scale of the system – they have been computed such that these elements are as close as possible to the theoretical requirements listed in [section 4.1](#). Of course, no TRF is perfect – this one is probably good to five centimetres or so.

Prior to May 2000, the full accuracy of the US tracking station TRF was not made available to non-military users. In the transfer of this TRF to satellite positions, positional accuracies were deliberately worsened by a feature known as selective availability (SA). This meant that a civilian user, with a single GPS receiver, could not determine WGS84 position to an accuracy better than about 100 metres. In May 2000, this intentional degradation of the GPS signals was officially switched off.

With a pair of GPS receivers we can accurately measure their relative positions (that is, the three-dimensional vector between the two receivers can be accurately determined). We must put one of these receivers on a known point and leave it there. This is known as relative GPS positioning or differential GPS. Fortunately, there are methods of accurately determining the real WGS84 position of the known point and hence recovering correct WGS84 positions, using the civil GPS TRFs which are the subject of the following sections.

If you want to know more about the GPS positioning methods that allow you to get around this, see the further information list in [section 8](#).

⁶ Orbiting satellites naturally move in ellipses, which have two focal points. The centre of mass of the earth-satellite system lies at one focus of the ellipse. A circle is the special case of an ellipse where the two focal points coincide.

4.2.2 The International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF)

The ITRF is an alternative realisation of WGS84 that is produced by the International Earth Rotation and Reference System Service (IERS) based in Paris, France. It includes many more stations than the broadcast WGS84 TRF – more than 500 stations at 290 sites all over the world. Four different space positioning methods contribute to the ITRF – Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI), Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR), Global Positioning System (GPS) and Doppler Ranging Integrated on Satellite (DORIS). Each has strengths and weaknesses – their combination produces a strong multi-purpose TRF. ITRF was created by the civil GPS community, quite independently of the US military organisations that operate the broadcast TRF.

Each version of the ITRF is given a year code to identify it – the current version is ITRF2005. ITRF2005 is simply a list of coordinates (X Y and Z in metres) and velocities (dX, dY and dZ in metres per year) of each station in the TRF, together with the estimated level of error in those values. The coordinates relate to the time 2005.0 – to obtain the coordinates of a station at any other time, the station velocity is applied appropriately. This is to cope with the effects of tectonic plate motion. ITRF2005 is available as a SINEX format text file from the IERS Internet website – see [section 8](#) for the addresses.

The datum realised by the ITRF is actually called ITRS (International Terrestrial Reference System) rather than WGS84. There used to be a difference between the two, but they have been progressively brought together and are now so similar that they can be assumed identical for almost all purposes. Because the ITRF is of higher quality than the military WGS84 TRF, the WGS84 datum now effectively takes its definition from ITRS. Therefore, although in principle the broadcast TRF is the principal realisation of WGS84, in practice ITRF has become the more important TRF because it has proven to be the most accurate global TRF ever constructed. The defining conventions of ITRS are identical to those of WGS84 given in [section 4.1](#).

The ITRF is important to us for two reasons. Firstly, we can use ITRF stations equipped with permanent GPS receivers as reference points of known coordinates to precisely coordinate our own GPS stations, using GPS data downloaded from the Internet. This procedure is known as ‘fiducial GPS analysis’. Secondly, we can obtain precise satellite positions (known, rather bizarrely, as *ephemerides*) in the ITRF2005 TRF that are more accurate than the ephemerides transmitted by the GPS satellites. Both these vital geodetic services are provided free by the International GNSS Service on the Internet.

4.2.3 The International GNSS Service (IGS)

The IGS is essential for anybody requiring high accuracy WGS84 positions. The IGS operates a global TRF of 374 GPS stations (4 May 2010) and from these produces the following free products, distributed via the Internet:

- IGS tracking station dual-frequency GPS data.
- Precise GPS satellite orbits (ephemerides).
- GPS satellite clock parameters.
- Earth orientation parameters.
- IGS tracking station coordinates and velocities; many of these stations are also listed in the ITRF.
- Zenith path delay estimates.

Of these, the first two are commonly used for general-purpose high-accuracy positioning, and the third is becoming increasingly important. The satellite ephemerides, clock parameters and Earth rotation parameters are available two days after the time of observation, and also in advance of the observation in a less accurate predicted version.

The IGS products give us access to a high-accuracy realisation based on ITRF (currently, ITRF2005). Used in conjunction with the ITRF2005 coordinates of nearby IGS tracking stations and the dual-frequency GPS data from those stations, a user can position a single geodetic-quality GPS receiver to within a few millimetres. Hence the IGS products are a vital part of the civil GPS community’s access to the ITRF.

Because the subject of this booklet is coordinate systems, not GPS positioning methods, no more will be said about IGS here. Please see the further information list in [section 8](#) for more information on precise GPS positioning and the IGS web page address.

4.2.4 European Terrestrial Reference System 1989 (ETRS89)

With each of the three GPS TRFs we have encountered so far (US DoD tracking stations, broadcast GPS orbits, and IERS/IGS TRF) a new version of the WGS84 datum has been introduced. Geodetic datums are like this – in theory the datum is exactly specified by the adopted conventions (listed in [section 4.1](#)) but in practice, each TRF intended to realise that specification actually implements a slightly different datum. Often, there are deliberate reasons for this, as in the case of the deliberate random element (Selective Availability) that was at one time introduced to the WGS84 datum in the broadcast satellite orbits.

Another type of deliberate variation to the WGS84 datum definition is found in realisations that are intended to serve a particular geographic region for mapping purposes. As we saw in [section 4.1](#), the WGS84 position of any particular point on the Earth's surface is changing continuously due to various effects, the most important of which is tectonic motion. So WGS84 itself is unsuitable for mapping – the ground keeps sliding across the surface of any WGS84 mapping grid!

However, it is still useful to have a mapping coordinate system that is compatible with GPS. This is done by selecting a particular moment in time (in geodesy a moment in time is called an *epoch*, which is an unusual usage of that word), and stating the WGS84 coordinates of points in the region of interest at that epoch, regardless of the time of observation. Remember that the Cartesian axes and ellipsoid of WGS84 move steadily such that the motion is minimal with respect to the average of tectonic plate motions worldwide. Fixing the datum epoch has the effect of creating a new datum definition (that is, a new set of Cartesian axes and ellipsoid location and orientation) which initially coincides exactly with WGS84, but from then on remains stationary with respect to the particular piece of the Earth's crust where the fixed points are, while moving steadily away from the WGS84 axes and ellipsoid.

This adoption of a particular WGS84 epoch to remove the effect of tectonic motion has been done in various places in the world – in fact, everywhere WGS84 has been adopted for mapping. Examples of WGS84-like datums which are gradually diverging from WGS84 are North American Datum 1983, New Zealand Geodetic Datum 2000, and the Geocentric Datum of Australia. There is also a European example, the European Terrestrial Reference System 1989 (ETRS89), which as the name suggests is a datum that coincided with WGS84 at the moment in time 1989.0, and has been slowly diverging ever since, moving with the Eurasian land mass. ETRS89 has not yet been used for a Europe-wide consistent mapping project, but it would be appropriate for this purpose.

There is a TRF associated with ETRS89, called ETRF89. This is based on ITRF89, an old version of the series of realisations of WGS84 which has now reached ITRF2005. Since 1989, this TRF has been densified by GPS in many parts of Europe to give good local access to the datum.

Although not identical with WGS84, these locally-fixed GPS datums are very easily and accurately related back to WGS84. This is because tectonic plate motion is very steady, predictable and precisely known. The ETRS89 coordinate of any point can easily be converted to a WGS84 coordinate via a simple transformation that is given in [section 6.5](#).

The importance of ETRS89 and ETRF89 to us in Britain is that this is the datum and TRF used for all Ordnance Survey GPS positioning. It is a convenient system because we can forget about the tectonic effects apparent in WGS84 (which do not concern us in British mapping), while still being able easily to convert these coordinates to WGS84 when required. OS Net uses ETRS89 as its datum, and is a densification of the ETRF89 TRF. More about this in the next section.

5 Ordnance Survey coordinate systems

Let's now take a look at the coordinate systems used by Ordnance Survey for mapping Great Britain. There are three coordinate systems to consider:

- *OS Net*, a modern 3-D TRF using the ETRS89 datum (as just described in [section 4.2.4](#)). This coordinate system is the basis of modern Ordnance Survey 'control survey' (the surveyor's jargon for adding local points to a TRF for mapping purposes), and is the basis of definition of all Ordnance Survey coordinates. A subset of OS Net (see [section 5.1](#)) has been ratified as the official densification of ETRF89 in GB.
- The *National Grid*, a 'traditional' horizontal coordinate system, which consists of: a traditional geodetic datum (see [section 3.2](#)) using the Airy ellipsoid; a TRF called OSGB36 (Ordnance Survey Great Britain 1936) which was observed by theodolite triangulation of trig pillars; and a Transverse Mercator map projection (see [section 7](#) below) allowing the use of easting and northing coordinates. This coordinate system is important because it is used to describe the horizontal positions of features on British maps. However, its historical origins and observation methods are not of interest to most users and will be skipped over in this booklet. National Grid coordinates are nowadays determined by GPS plus a transformation rather than theodolite triangulation.
- *Ordnance Datum Newlyn* (ODN), a 'traditional' vertical coordinate system, consisting of a tide-gauge datum with initial point at Newlyn (Cornwall), and a TRF observed by spirit levelling between 200 fundamental bench marks (FBMs) across Britain. The TRF is densified by more than half a million lower-accuracy bench marks. Each bench mark has an orthometric height only (not ellipsoid height or accurate horizontal position). This coordinate system is important because it is used to describe vertical positions of features on British maps (for example, spot heights and contours) in terms of height above 'mean sea level'. Again, its historical origins and observation methods are not of interest to most users. The word 'Datum' in the title refers, strictly speaking, to the tide-gauge initial point only, not to the national TRF of levelled bench marks.

Because triangulation networks need hilltop stations whereas levelling networks need low-lying, easily accessible routes, there are hardly any common points between the height bench mark network and the OSGB36 horizontal network. OS Net provides a single 3-D TRF that unifies ODN and OSGB36 via *transformation* software (see [section 6](#) below). Using transformation techniques, precise positions can be determined by GPS in ETRS89 using OS Net and then converted to National Grid and ODN coordinates. This is the approach used today by Ordnance Survey.

5.1 OS Net

GPS is the standard tool for precise surveying and mapping, used for all Ordnance Survey precise surveying work. Some characteristics of GPS as a surveying tool are:

- GPS is a three-dimensional positioning system: a precise GPS fix yields latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height.
- The highest precision of GPS positions is at the 2 mm level horizontally relative to a global datum. To achieve this requires networks of permanently-installed GPS receivers. Typical field GPS survey gives accuracies of a few centimetres relative to a global datum. Vertical position quality is generally about 2.5 times worse than horizontal.
- GPS is a purely geometric positioning tool; that is, GPS coordinates do not give you any information in relation to level surfaces, only in relation to the geometric elements of coordinate system axes and ellipsoid. For this reason, GPS does not give orthometric height information.
- GPS does not require intervisibility between ground reference points; neither is the geometric arrangement of the ground network crucial to the results as it is in theodolite triangulation survey.
- With care, GPS can be used very accurately for terrestrial survey over any distance – even between points on opposite sides of the world. For this reason, global datums are used for GPS positioning. This feature makes GPS vastly more powerful than traditional survey techniques.

The datum of the OS Net is the European Terrestrial Reference System 1989 (ETRS89), which we looked at in [section 4.2](#). Since this datum is realised by many European precise GPS reference points, OS Net is actually just a TRF enabling easier access to this datum in Britain.

OS Net comprises of a network of over 100 continuously operating permanent GNSS receivers (COGRs). Because precise GPS positioning is always carried out in relative mode (that is, observing the vector difference in coordinates between two simultaneously recording GPS stations), a network of COGRs with precisely known coordinates is a very useful infrastructure. Precise positioning can then be carried out by one person with a single geodetic-quality GPS receiver, using data downloaded from the COGRs.

All OS Net stations are coordinated in three dimensions by GNSS in the ETRS89 terrestrial reference system. Hence the user obtains ETRS89 coordinates for their unknown points, which are suitable for use with the Ordnance Survey transformations to the mapping coordinate systems OSGB36 and ODN (see [section 6](#) below). ETRS89 coordinates can also very easily be converted to the international ITRS datum (using the transformation given in [section 6.5](#) below) – this is usually only required for international scientific applications.

5.2 National Grid and the OSGB36 TRF

The latitudes and longitudes of all features shown on Ordnance Survey maps are determined with respect to a TRF called OSGB36 (Ordnance Survey Great Britain 1936). This is what land surveyors would call a ‘traditional triangulation datum’ (as we saw before, this is strictly a misuse of the term ‘datum’ – OSGB36 consists of a datum *and* a TRF). OSGB36 is usually used with the latitude and longitude coordinate types, but we can also work with OSGB36 ellipsoid heights and OSGB36 Cartesian coordinates if required. OSGB36 latitudes and longitudes can be directly converted into National Grid easting and northing coordinates (see [section 7](#) below) using the formulae given in [annexe C](#).

5.2.1 The OSGB36 datum

OSGB36 was not created in quite the logical way specified in traditional surveying textbooks for the establishment of a geodetic coordinate system. Those textbooks say one should first choose an astronomical observatory as the ‘initial point’ at which the datum will be defined. Here one measures the latitude and the direction of north by astronomy, and defines the direction towards the centre of the mapping ellipsoid⁷. By defining an ellipsoidal latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height for the initial point, the mapping ellipsoid and Cartesian axes of the coordinate system is fixed in space relative to that point – that is, the datum is defined. This is the traditional procedure we outlined in [section 3.2](#).

The original triangulation of Britain was carried out between 1783 and 1853 and is known as the ‘Principal Triangulation’. However, when the country was entirely retriangulated between 1936 and 1953 to create OSGB36, the datum definition (the arbitrary position and orientation of the ellipsoid relative to the primary control stations) was adopted from the original triangulation using the average of 11 old primary control station coordinates⁸. Therefore OSGB36 does not have an initial point – the datum is defined implicitly by the primary control station coordinates. This is an equally acceptable way of defining a datum: the datum being a matter of convenience, it does not matter much how you define it.

⁷ This direction is defined in terms of the angle between it and the local direction of gravity.

⁸ So OSGB36 was an early example of a network with a datum defined by an average at a selection of stations. This procedure is now universally used in GPS networks!

The ellipsoid used in the OSGB36 datum is that defined by Sir George Airy in 1830 (later Astronomer Royal). Its defining constants are $a = 6377563.396$ m and $b = 6356256.909$ m (see [annexe A](#) for a summary of datum constants). Hence The Airy 1830 ellipsoid is a bit smaller than GRS80 and a slightly different shape. Also, it is not geocentric as GRS80 is: it is designed to lie close to the Geoid beneath the British Isles. Hence only a tiny fraction of the surface of the ellipsoid has ever been used – the part lying beneath Britain. The rest is not useful. So the Airy ellipsoid differs from GRS80 in size, shape, position and orientation, and this is generally true of any pair of geodetic ellipsoids⁹.

5.2.2 The OSGB36 TRF

Before the 1950s, coordinate system TRFs contained many angle measurements but very few distance measurements. This is because angles could be measured relatively easily between hilltop primary control stations with a theodolite, but distance measurement was very difficult. A consequence of this was that the shape of the TRF was well known, but its size was poorly known. The distance between primary control stations was established by measuring just one or two such distances, then propagating these through the network of angles by trigonometry (hence the name ‘trig pillars’).

When the OSGB36 triangulation TRF was established, no new distance measurements were used. Instead, the overall size of the network was made to agree with that of the old 18th century Principal Triangulation using the old coordinates of the 11 control stations mentioned in the previous section. Hence the overall size of the TRF still used for British mapping came to be derived from the measurement of a single distance between two stations on Hounslow Heath in 1784 using eighteen-foot glass rods! The error thus incurred in OSGB36 is surprisingly low – only about 20 metres in the length of the country.

The 326 primary control stations of the OSGB36 TRF cover the whole of Great Britain, including Orkney and Shetland but not the Scilly Isles, Rockall or the Channel Islands. It is also connected to Northern Ireland, the Irish Republic, and France. This primary control network was densified by the addition of 2 695 secondary control stations, 12 236 tertiary control stations and 7 032 fourth order control stations. Hence until recently the ‘official OSGB36’ included more than 22 000 reference points. In continuous survey work over the decades, Ordnance Survey surveyors have added many tens of thousands more ‘minor control’ points, which are used in map revision.

OSGB36 coordinates are latitudes and longitudes on the Airy ellipsoid. Ideally, ellipsoid heights relative to the Airy ellipsoid would be added to give complete three-dimensional information. Unfortunately, no easy method of measuring ellipsoid height was available before the late 1980s, so we only have horizontal information in OSGB36. The coordinates of all OSGB36 control points are available from Ordnance Survey.

In 2002 the definition of OSGB36 underwent a fundamental change with the release of the Definitive Transformation OSTN02TM. OSTN02 in combination with the ETRS89 coordinates of the OS Net stations, rather than the fixed triangulation network, now define the National Grid. This is a subtle change in definition only and does not mean that existing OSGB36 coordinates need to be changed in any way.

⁹ If we want to convert latitudes and longitudes on one ellipsoid to those quantities on another ellipsoid, we need to take account of the differences in position and orientation of the ellipsoids as well as the differences in size and shape.

5.2.3 Relative accuracy of OSGB36 control points

The following table is a statistical statement of the accuracy of OSGB36 control points relative to surrounding control stations of the same type. The primary layer of the OSGB36 TRF consists of the primary triangulation stations, which are considered error-free within the OSGB36 coordinate system. The Ordnance Survey ETRS89–OSGB36 transformation (see [section 6.3](#) below) describes the real distortions in OSGB36 that are not considered here. Table 2 shows the expected accuracy of control station positions in relation to other control stations of the same type within a circular area of the given diameter.

Type of OSGB36 horizontal control station	Expected accuracy (m) (1 standard error)	Within circular area of diameter (km)
Primary control station	Error free	N/A
Secondary control station	0.06	15
Tertiary control station	0.05	7
Minor control station	0.04	3
Chain survey station	0.08	3
Map detail station	0.06	2

Table 2: relative accuracy of control stations within the OSGB36 coordinate system.

5.3 Ordnance Datum Newlyn

Before satellite surveying methods became available in the 1970s, ellipsoid height was a rare and specialised coordinate type. The everyday vertical coordinate type was orthometric height, or more exactly mean sea level height relative to the national tide gauge datum, as realised in mainland Britain by the ODN heights of Ordnance Survey bench marks. Even though anybody with a GPS receiver can now measure ellipsoid heights quite easily, Geoid-related height coordinates such as ODN heights are much more useful for most applications because they are heights relative to a level surface.

5.3.1 The ODN datum

Like horizontal datums, vertical datums were ‘traditionally’ defined at a single initial point. In the case of horizontal datums, this is an astronomical observatory. In the case of vertical datums it is a coastal tide gauge. Tide gauge-based vertical datums are still used in most countries.

The tide gauge has a height reference benchmark, the height of which on the gauge scale is known. A long series of sea level records from the tide gauge is averaged to give the vertical offset of MSL from the bench mark. This is the MSL height of the bench mark.

In Britain, the MSL of the tide-gauge benchmark at Newlyn near Penzance in Cornwall is used – hence the name Ordnance Datum Newlyn. Its MSL height was established from continuous tide readings between 1915 and 1921. That adopted value has remained unchanged in the ODN system, even though MSL at the Newlyn gauge has slowly changed. Hence the height of a point above mean sea level given by Ordnance Survey is not affected by variations in mean sea level, and the proper description of an Ordnance Survey height is ‘orthometric height relative to Ordnance Datum Newlyn’.

5.3.2 The ODN TRF

A network of about 200 fundamental bench marks for height measurement was constructed across Britain in the first half of the twentieth century. These are underground chambers containing a height reference object, topped by a small pillar on which is another reference point. The idea is that the point on the top is easy to access for normal use, whereas the hidden underground mark is less susceptible to damage.

The orthometric height of each fundamental bench mark relative to Newlyn was determined by a network of precise levelling lines across Britain. You can find descriptions of the precise levelling technique in land surveying textbooks. This technique is capable of transferring orthometric height from one point to another with an error of less than $2 \times \sqrt{d}$ millimetres, where d is the distance levelled in kilometres. Today, the accuracy of the precise levelling technique is rivalled by the combination of GPS ellipsoid heighting with a precise gravimetric Geoid model that allows the ellipsoid height difference between two points to be easily converted to an orthometric height difference.

The ODN TRF was subsequently densified by less precise levelling to obtain the heights of about three-quarters of a million Ordnance Survey bench marks all over Britain. These lower-order bench marks are often seen cut into stone at the base of a building, church or bridge. About half a million of them are in existence and usable today. An important error source to bear in mind is possible subsidence of Ordnance Survey bench marks, especially in areas where mining has caused collapse of the ground. In these areas, cases of bench mark subsidence of several metres have been reported. Ordnance Survey from time-to-time installs new bench marks that are needed for surveying projects requiring accurate height control over a large area – precise GPS survey and the National Geoid Model OSGM02 (see [section 6.4](#)) are used for this task.

5.3.3 Relative accuracy of ODN bench marks

The following table is a statistical statement of ODN bench mark accuracy relative to other bench marks in the same area. The primary layer of the ODN TRF consists of the fundamental bench marks, which are considered error-free within the ODN coordinate system. The Ordnance Survey National Geoid Model (see [section 6.4](#) below) relates ODN orthometric heights to the GPS ellipsoid GRS80. Table 3 shows the expected maximum levelling error between bench marks on the same levelling line. This only describes the original measurement error. The Ordnance Survey bench mark network has not been maintained since the 1970s, so beware of possible bench mark subsidence.

Type of bench mark	Maximum error
fundamental	Error free
Geodetic	$\pm 2\text{mm} \times \sqrt{d}$
Secondary	$\pm 5\text{mm} \times \sqrt{d}$
Tertiary	$\pm 12\text{mm} \times \sqrt{d}$

Table 3: accuracy of Ordnance Survey bench mark levelling, where d is distance levelled in km.

5.4 Other height datums in use across Great Britain

As discussed in [section 5.3](#), ODN is the national height datum used across mainland Great Britain. There are, however, a number of other British height datums that are used on the surrounding islands. The main ones being; *Lerwick* on the Shetland Islands, *Stornoway* on the Outer Hebrides, *St. Kilda*, *Douglas* on the Isle of Man and *St. Marys* on the Scilly Isles. All of these height datums are incorporated within the National Geoid Model OSGM02.

5.5 The future of British mapping coordinate systems

OSGB36 and ODN will be retained as the basis of Ordnance Survey mapping, but OS Net will be used to access both these coordinate systems by GPS, using coordinate transformations from ETRS89 to OSGB36 and ODN provided by Ordnance Survey. These transformations are currently the National Grid Transformation OSTN02 and the National Geoid Model OSGM02. In this way, Ordnance Survey surveyors and GPS-equipped customers will have access to the national horizontal and vertical datums at any point without visiting traditional control points. In this way, the traditional TRFs of OSGB36 and ODN (the trig points and bench marks) are now being replaced by OS Net as the definitive realisation of the Ordnance Survey mapping coordinate systems. Using OSTN02 and OSGM02, OSGB36 and ODN coordinates are obtained by 3-D transformation software that converts ETRS89 GPS coordinates obtained from OS Net into the equivalent OSGB36/height datum position.

Using this method of access, OSGB36 and the appropriate datum heights will be continuously accessible, rather than being available only at Ordnance Survey monuments. With the release of OSTN02, the National Grid has become *by definition* a transformation of the ETRS89 GPS coordinate system. Because both horizontal and vertical national mapping coordinate systems will be defined in terms of ETRS89, which is easily related to ITRS (see [section 6.5](#) below), the geodetic basis of British mapping will be implicitly related to all other national datums that have a known relationship to ITRS.

The coordinates and other information of the traditional networks are no longer actively maintained by Ordnance Survey. Access to these traditional control archives is made available through online services on the Ordnance Survey website.

6 From one coordinate system to another: geodetic transformations


6.1 What is a geodetic transformation?

A geodetic transformation is a mathematical operation that takes the coordinates of a point in one coordinate system and returns the coordinates of the same point in a second coordinate system. Hopefully we will also have an 'inverse transformation' to get back to the first coordinate system from given coordinates in the second. Many types of mathematical operation are used to accomplish this task. The term 'geodetic transformation' does not indicate a particular type of formula.

To properly understand geodetic transformations, it is essential to understand the relationship between a datum and the TRF that realises the datum. Having looked at several examples of real TRFs in [sections 4](#) and [5](#), we know that they are of widely variable quality. Unless a TRF is perfect, the datum it realises is ambiguous. We can think about this in the following way: the given three-dimensional coordinates of any three ground points in the TRF imply the location of the coordinate origin, and the orientation of the coordinate axes, relative to those three points. If we select a different set of three ground points, the origin and orientation implied will be slightly different. This is due to observational errors in the determination of the coordinates of the ground points. Taking the TRF as a whole, the datum that it implies has some degree of uncertainty, because the origin positions implied by different selections of TRF ground points are never in perfect agreement with each other.

The usual situation in geodesy is that we have two TRFs realising two different datums, with a large number of points in common between the two TRFs. That is, a particular ground point will be included in both TRFs, but it will have different coordinates in each. Because the TRFs are not perfect realisations of their respective datums, it is impossible to say exactly what the transformation between the two datums is. We can only give a *best estimate* of the transformation with a statistical measure of the quality of the estimate.

To clarify this idea, let's look at an example. To keep things simple, we'll consider one-dimensional coordinate systems (Ordnance Datum Newlyn in [section 5.3](#) is an instance of a real one-dimensional coordinate system). Imagine we have two coordinate systems: A and B, each of which state coordinates for three points called *White*, *Grey* and *Black*. So here we have 100% overlap between the TRFs of the two coordinate systems – all three points appear in both TRFs.



point	coordinate system A	coordinate system B
White	4.1	7.3
Grey	9.8	12.7
Black	12.2	15.0

We want to work out a transformation from the datum of coordinate system A to the datum of coordinate system B. This transformation will be a single number t that can be added to any coordinate in system A to give the equivalent coordinate in system B. We don't have any theoretical datum definitions of these two systems to work from, and even if we did we would not use them (in this example, we don't even know what the coordinates represent – it doesn't matter). We want a practical transformation that will convert any coordinate in coordinate system A to coordinate system B. So we use points that have known coordinates in both systems – in other words, we relate the two datums by using their TRFs.

In this example, we have three points that are coordinated in both TRFs – *White*, *Grey* and *Black*. The best estimate of the transformation t between the frames is simply the average of the transformation at each of these points:

$$t_{white} = white_B - white_A = 3.2,$$

$$t_{grey} = grey_B - grey_A = 2.9,$$

$$t_{black} = black_B - black_A = 2.8,$$

so
$$t = \frac{t_{white} + t_{grey} + t_{black}}{3} = 2.97$$

However, this 'best estimate' value for t doesn't actually agree with the translation at *any* of the three known points. We need to compute a statistic that represents the 'amount of disagreement' with this estimate among the known points. This is the *standard deviation* of the three individual t values from the average t value:

$$\sigma_t = \sqrt{\frac{(t_{white} - t)^2 + (t_{grey} - t)^2 + (t_{black} - t)^2}{3}} = 0.17$$

So our estimate of t would be written $t = 2.97 \pm 0.17$, that is, t could quite probably be anything from 2.80 to 3.14 based on the information we have available. It is common to state a range of three standard deviations as a likely range within which the true figure lies, that is, between 2.46 and 3.48.

So what is the transformation between datum A and datum B? We don't know exactly, and we can never know exactly. But we have a best estimate and a measure of the likely error in the estimate.

The point of all this is that since TRFs aren't perfect, neither are transformations between the datums they realise. In practice, this means that no exact transformation exists between two geodetic coordinate systems. People often assume, quite reasonably, that the transformation between two ellipsoidal datums can be exactly specified, in the way that a map projection can. In theory, this is true, but what use would it be? What you really want to know is what the transformation is for real points on the ground – so you are actually asking a question about TRFs. And the answer to such a question is always an approximation.

The degree of error in a geodetic transformation will depend on the patterns of errors present in the TRFs A and B (which are often characteristic of the methods used to establish the TRF in the first place), and also on how carefully the transformation has been designed to take account of those errors. For example, TRFs established by triangulation generally contain significant errors in the overall size of the network and often, this scale error varies in different parts of the network. Therefore, a real transformation is likely to represent not only the difference between geodetic datums, but also the difference between the TRFs that realise those datums due to errors in the original observations.

6.2 Helmert datum transformations

The ways in which two ellipsoidal datums can differ are (i) position of the origin of coordinates; (ii) orientation of the coordinate axes (and hence of the reference ellipsoid) and (iii) size and shape of the reference ellipsoid. If we work in 3-D Cartesian coordinates, item (iii) is not relevant (and any position given in latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height coordinates can be converted to 3-D Cartesian coordinates using the formulae in [annexe B](#)). Working with 3-D Cartesian coordinates, therefore, we use six parameters to describe the difference between two datums, which are three parameters to describe a 3-D translation between the coordinate origins, and three parameters to describe a 3-D rotation between the orientations of the coordinate axes.

It has become traditional to add a seventh parameter known as the 'scale factor', which allows the scale of the axes to vary between the two coordinate systems. This can be confusing because the scale factor is nothing to do with the conventional definition of the datum expressed by the six parameters mentioned above. It was introduced to cope with transformations between TRFs measured by theodolite triangulation, which was the standard method before the latter half of the 20th century. These TRFs have the characteristic that network shape (which depends on angle measurement) is well measured, but network size (which depends on distance measurements) is poorly measured, because distances were very hard to measure accurately before electronic techniques arrived in the 1950s. Strictly speaking, a Cartesian datum transformation has only six parameters, not seven. However, the scale factor is included in the usual formulation of the datum transformation, which is variously known as the 'Helmert transformation', '3-D conformal transformation', '3-D similarity transformation', or 'seven parameter transformation'. All these terms refer to the same thing.

When this transformation is applied to a TRF, it has the effect of rotating and translating the network of points relative to the Cartesian axes and applying an overall scale factor (that is, a change of size), while leaving the shape of the figure unchanged. Equivalently, we can think of the network of points remaining still while the Cartesian axes are rotated, translated and rescaled. Since our application of this transformation is to 'change datum' with the points firmly fixed on the ground, we usually use the second mental picture.

The Helmert transformation can only provide a perfect transformation between perfect mathematical reference systems. In practice, we determine and use transformations between sets of real ground points, that is, between TRFs that are subject to the errors of the real world. The more distortion, subsidence, and so on present in the TRF, the worse the Helmert transformation will perform. Both the parameters of the transformation and the output coordinates will contain errors. Bear this in mind especially when working with pre-GPS coordinate sets and orthometric heights over large areas.

The usual mathematical form of the transformation is a linear formula which assumes that the rotation parameters are ‘small’. Rotation parameters between geodetic Cartesian systems are usually less than 5 seconds of arc, because the axes are conventionally aligned to the Greenwich Meridian and the Pole. Do not use this formula for larger angles – instead apply the full rotation matrices, which can be found in most photogrammetry and geodesy textbooks.

In matrix notation, the coordinates of each point in reference system *B* are computed from those in reference system *A* by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}^B = \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \\ t_z \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1+s & -r_z & r_y \\ r_z & 1+s & -r_x \\ -r_y & r_x & 1+s \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}^A \quad (3)$$

where t_x , t_y and t_z are the translations along the X, Y and Z axes respectively in metres, r_x , r_y and r_z are the rotations about the X, Y and Z axes respectively in radians, and s is the scale factor (unitless) minus one. This definition of s is convenient, since its deviation from unity is usually very small. s is often stated in parts per million (ppm) – remember to divide this by a million before using equation 3. Rotations are often given in seconds of arc, which must be converted to radians.

Beware that two opposite conventions are in use regarding the rotation parameters of the Helmert transformation. Equation (3) uses the ‘right-hand-rule’ rotation convention: with the positive end of an axis pointing towards you, a positive rotation about that axis is anticlockwise. A way to remember this is: if your right-hand thumb in hitch-hiking pose is the positive end of an axis, the curl of the fingers indicates positive rotation. Some published transformations use the opposite convention. In this case, reverse the signs of the three rotation parameters before applying equation (3). For safety, always include a test point with coordinates in systems A and B when stating a transformation.

Sometimes, three-parameter (translation only) or six-parameter (translation and rotation only) transformations are encountered, which omit some of the seven parameters used here. The same formula can be used to apply these transformations, setting parameters not used to zero.

Any ‘small’ Helmert transformation can be reversed by simply changing the signs of all the parameters and applying equation (3). This is valid only when the changes in coordinates due to the transformation are very small compared to the size of the network.

The Helmert transformation is designed to transform between two datums, and it cannot really cope with distortions in TRFs. As we’ve seen, in practice, we want an accurate transformation between the coordinates of points on the ground in the two coordinate systems for the area we’re interested in. If there are large regional distortions present in one or both of the TRFs, a single set of Helmert parameters for the whole coordinate system will not accomplish this. For the transformation from ETRS89 to OSGB36 in Britain, using a single Helmert transformation will give errors of up to 5 metres, depending where in the country the points of interest are. One solution to this is to compute a special set of Helmert parameters for a particular region – this is known as a ‘local transformation’. Alternatively, more complex transformation types that model TRF distortion can be used. An example of this is the National Grid Transformation OSTN02 discussed in [section 6.3](#) below.

To summarise: For a simple datum change of latitude and longitude coordinates from datum A to datum B, first convert to Cartesian coordinates (formulae in [annexe B](#)), taking all ellipsoid heights as zero and using the ellipsoid parameters of datum A; then apply a Helmert transformation from datum A to datum B using equation (3); finally convert back to latitude and longitude using the ellipsoid parameters of datum B (formulae in [annexe C](#)), discarding the datum B ellipsoid height.

NOTE: Molodensky datum transformations: *there are formulae to change between geodetic datums when the positions of points are expressed as latitude and longitude coordinates, without first converting the positions to Cartesian coordinates. These are known as the Full Molodensky formulae or the Abridged Molodensky formulae, the latter having a lower degree of accuracy. However, the Molodensky formulae cannot cope with a difference in orientation of the ellipsoid axes – it only deals with a translation of the origin and changes in ellipsoid size and shape. For this reason, the Molodensky formulae are not given here.*

6.3 National Grid Transformation OSTN02 (ETRS89–OSGB36)

To cope with the distortions in the OSGB36 TRF, different transformations are needed in different parts of the country. For this reason, the national standard datum transformation between OSGB36 and ETRS89 is not a simple Helmert datum transformation. Instead, Ordnance Survey has developed a ‘rubber-sheet’ style transformation that works with a transformation grid expressed in easting and northing coordinates. The grids of northing and easting shifts between ETRS89 and OSGB36 cover Britain at a resolution of one kilometre. From these grids, a northing and easting shift for each point to be transformed is obtained by a bilinear interpolation. This is called the National Grid Transformation OSTN02, and it is freely available in software packages from the Ordnance Survey GPS website, www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/gps.

The National Grid Transformation copes not only with the change of datum between the two coordinate systems, but also with the TRF distortions in the OSGB36 triangulation network, which make a simple datum transformation of the Helmert type limited to applications at 5 metres and larger accuracy levels. This transformation removes the need to estimate local Helmert transformations between ETRS89 and OSGB36 for particular locations.

Because the National Grid Transformation works with easting and northing coordinates, other ETRS89 coordinate types (3-D Cartesian or latitude and longitude) must first be converted to eastings and northings. This is done using the same map projection as is used for the National Grid (see [section 7](#) below), except that the GRS80 ellipsoid rather than the Airy ellipsoid is used. The parameters and formulae required to obtain these ETRS89 eastings and northings are given in [annexes A–C](#). After the transformation, the resulting National Grid eastings and northings can be converted back to latitude and longitude (this time using the Airy ellipsoid) if required.

6.4 National Geoid Model OSGM02 (ETRS89-Orthometric height)

A vertical transformation between ETRS89 ellipsoid height and orthometric height is also available from Ordnance Survey. This transformation is based on a gravimetric Geoid model covering Great Britain, Ireland and Northern Ireland aligned with their respective vertical datums. In mainland Britain, OSGM02 therefore gives Ordnance Datum Newlyn (ODN) orthometric heights directly from GPS survey for direct compatibility with Ordnance Survey mapping, without the surveyor having to visit Ordnance Survey bench marks.

OSGM02 also covers areas of Britain not related to ODN such as the Shetland Isles, Outer Hebrides and the Isle of Man. Orthometric heights in these areas are related to specific local vertical datums.

The National Geoid Model OSGM02 is a grid of geoid-ellipsoid separation values at 1 kilometre resolution, from which a value is obtained for any location by bi-linear interpolation. The nominal accuracy of the geoid model is 2 cm rms (root mean square) in mainland UK and less than 4 cm rms for other areas. This transformation is freely available from the Ordnance Survey GPS website, www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/gps. Ordnance Survey recommends the use of the National Geoid Model OSGM02 and OS Net for high-accuracy orthometric heighting, in preference to Ordnance Survey bench marks.

6.5 ETRS89 to and from ITRS

A six-parameter transformation between ETRS89 (European TRS 1989, the Europe-fixed version of WGS84) and the various versions of the ITRS (International Terrestrial Reference System) is published by IERS (International Earth Rotation Service) via their Internet site. The following is extracted from that document.

The most recent IERS TRF is ITRF2005 and it is this datum that will be called 'ITRS' here. Previous versions (ITRF94, ITRF96, ITRF97 and ITRF2000) use a different TRS and transformation parameters and these are given below. These transformations are not suitable for ITRFs before ITRF94 (these are no longer in common use).

ITRS2005 to ETRS89 datum transformation						
t_x (m)	t_y (m)	t_z (m)	s (ppm)	r_x (sec)	r_y (sec)	r_z (sec)
0.056	0.048	- 0.037	0	$0.000054 \cdot \Delta t$	0.0005	$18 \cdot \Delta t - 0.000781 \cdot \Delta t$

ITRS2000 to ETRS89 datum transformation						
t_x (m)	t_y (m)	t_z (m)	s (ppm)	r_x (sec)	r_y (sec)	r_z (sec)
0.054	0.051	- 0.048	0	$0.000081 \cdot \Delta t$	0.0004	$9 \cdot \Delta t - 0.000792 \cdot \Delta t$

ITRS94/96/97 to ETRS89 datum transformation						
0.041	0.041	- 0.049	0	$0.00020 \cdot \Delta t$	0.0005	$0 \cdot \Delta t - 0.00065 \cdot \Delta t$

Because ETRS89 is slowly diverging from ITRS due to the motion of the Eurasian tectonic plate, the rotation parameters are time-dependent and must be multiplied by Δt , the time difference in years between the epoch at which ITRS is being used and the fixed epoch of ETRS89 (1989.0). If the epoch of the ITRS-consistent coordinates is t_{SURVEY} in decimal years, then:

$$\Delta t = t_{\text{SURVEY}} - 1989.0$$

The usual application of this transformation is in dealing with GPS coordinates determined in a 'fiducial network' using IGS permanent GPS stations as fixed control points and using IGS products such as satellite ephemerides, satellite clock solutions and Earth orientation parameters. In this application, the coordinates adopted for the fixed IGS stations should be ITRS coordinates in the same realisation of ITRS as used to determine the IGS precise ephemerides and other products. That realisation is currently ITRF2005. The ITRF2005 coordinates of the IGS reference stations at the epoch of observation are obtained by multiplying the ITRF2005 station velocity by the time difference between the ITRF2005 reference epoch and t_{SURVEY} , and adding this position update to the ITRF2005 reference epoch station positions.

The resulting coordinates of the unknown stations are in ITRS at epoch t_{SURVEY} . The transformation above can then be used in equation (3) to convert these coordinates to the ETRS89 datum.

6.6 Approximate WGS84 to OSGB36/ODN transformation

The following Helmert transformation converts WGS84 (or ETRS89 or ITRS, the differences are negligible here) coordinates to 'something like' OSGB36 and 'something like' ODN heights. The error is up to five metres both horizontally and vertically. This is good enough for certain applications. This transformation is for use with equation (3). Note the remarks made about Helmert transformations in [section 6.2](#).

ETRS89 (WGS84) to OSGB36/ODN Helmert transformation						
t_x (m)	t_y (m)	t_z (m)	s (ppm)	r_x (sec)	r_y (sec)	r_z (sec)
- 446.448	+ 125.157	- 542.060	+ 20.4894	- 0.1502	- 0.2470	- 0.8421

NOTE 1: OSGB36 is an inhomogeneous TRF by modern standards. Do not use this transformation for applications requiring better than 5 metre accuracy in the transformation step, either vertically or horizontally. Do not use it for points outside Britain.

NOTE 2: OSGB36 does not exist offshore.

7 Transverse Mercator map projections

When features on the curved surface of the Earth are represented on a plane surface, distortions of distances, angles or both are inevitable. Originally the 'plane surface' was a map sheet; now it is often the plane coordinate system of GIS software. A *map projection* is any function that converts ellipsoidal latitude and longitude coordinates to plane easting and northing coordinates. Ordnance Survey maps use a type of projection known as the Transverse Mercator (TM). The same type of projection is used in a worldwide mapping standard known as Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM). The parameters of the National Grid TM and UTM projections are given in [annexe A](#).

The TM projection can be thought of as a sheet of paper carrying the mapping grid (of eastings and northings), which is curved so as to touch the ellipsoid along a certain line. This line of contact is chosen to be a north-south *central meridian*. Points on the ellipsoid are projected onto the curved sheet, giving easting and northing coordinates for each point. The effect is to distort the distance between projected points, except on the central meridian, where the ellipsoid touches the mapping grid. This *scale distortion* effect increases east and west of the central meridian. The scale distortion can be measured by a *local scale factor* which is 1 on the central meridian and greater than one everywhere else.

To reduce the worst scale distortion effect in the extreme eastern and western regions of the mapping area, a scale reduction factor is introduced over the whole mapping area. This makes projected distances on the central meridian slightly too small, but lessens the scale distortion for points far to the east or west of the central meridian. With the overall scale reduction applied, there are now two lines (either side of the central meridian) on which the local scale factor is one. Inside these lines, the local scale factor is less than one (with a minimum on the central meridian), and outside these lines it is more than one. On the central meridian, the local scale factor is now equal to the scale reduction factor that was introduced.

The TM projection used for all Ordnance Survey maps has a central meridian at longitude 2° West and a central meridian scale factor of approximately 0.9996 (see [annexe A](#) for exact value). The two lines of true scale are about 180 km to the east and west of the central meridian. The stated scale of an Ordnance Survey map is only exactly true on these lines of true scale, but the scale error elsewhere is quite small. For instance, the true scale of Ordnance Survey 1:50 000 scale map sheets is actually between 1:49 980 and 1:50 025 depending on easting.

The UTM projections are a way of mapping the whole world in a systematic way by dividing the Earth by longitude into 60 zones, each 6 degrees of longitude wide. The 60 UTM zones each have a different central meridian. The zones relevant to British mapping are 29 (central meridian 9° W), 30 (central meridian 3° W) and 31 (central meridian 3° E). The scale on the central meridian is 0.9996 for all UTM zones. The International 1924 ellipsoid is usually used in the UTM projection in Europe, but other ellipsoids can also be used. When working with UTM coordinates, check which ellipsoid is being used.

[Annexe C](#) gives formulae for conversion between latitude and longitude and TM eastings and northings. [Annexe A](#) gives the TM parameters for the National Grid and the British UTM zones.

7.1 The National Grid reference convention

The map projection used on Ordnance Survey Great Britain maps is known as the National Grid. The TM eastings and northings axes are given a 'false origin' just south-west of the Scilly Isles to ensure that all coordinates in Britain are positive. The false origin is 400 km west and 100 km north of the 'true origin' on the central meridian at 49°N 2°W.

To reduce the number of figures needed to give a National Grid reference, the grid is divided into 100 km squares, which each have a two-letter code. National Grid positions can be given with this code followed by an easting between 0 and 100 000 m and a northing between 0 and 100 000 m.




Figure 8: the National Grid, showing the true origin (t.o.) and false origin (f.o.)

8 Further information

Quite a lot of information on this subject is available on the Internet. You might find online implementations of the formulae given in the annexes, although beware that Ordnance Survey does not check or endorse these. Here are a few addresses directly related to the information in this booklet:

- <http://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/gps> this is the Ordnance Survey GPS website and contains useful information for all users of GPS and Ordnance Survey mapping, both recreational and professional.
- http://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/coordsys/csatsat_pubs.html is the US NGA Geodesy and Geophysics department publications page. This has lots of information on the WGS84 datum and the EGM96 global Geoid model.
- <http://igsceb.jpl.nasa.gov/> is the IGS home page. This gives access to all the IGS products and information about them.
- <http://www.euref-iaig.net/> is the EUREF home page. EUREF is the governing body of the ETRS89 coordinate system.
- <http://www.epncb.oma.be/> is the EUREF permanent GPS network information system.
- <http://etrs89.ensg.ign.fr/memo-V7.pdf> is the text file from which the ETRS89-ITRS transformation given in section 6.5 is taken.
- <http://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/oswebsite/gi/nationalgrid/nghelp1.html> is an interactive guide to the National Grid on the Ordnance Survey website. A detailed online guide to the National Grid can be found on the Ordnance Survey GPS website at <http://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/gps>.

Here are a few book titles on geodesy, GPS surveying, and map projections:

- *Introduction to geodesy: The history and concepts of modern geodesy* by James R Smith, published by John Wiley & Sons, 1997.
- *Geodesy: The concepts* by Petr Vanicek and Edward Krakiwsky, published by North-Holland 1982.
- *GPS satellite surveying 2nd edition* by Alfred Leick, published by John Wiley and Sons 1995
- *GPS Theory and Practice, 4th edition*, by B Hofmann-Wellenhof, H Lichtenegger and J Collins, published by Springer-Verlag 1997.
- *Map Projections: Theory and Applications* by Frederick Pearson, published by CRC Press 1990.
- *Map Projections: A Reference Manual* by Lev Bugayevskiy and John Snyder, published by Taylor and Francis 1995.

Specific enquiries concerning the Ordnance Survey coordinate systems, OS Net, the National Grid transformation and the National Geoid Model can be answered by Ordnance Survey Customer Service Centre, telephone 08456 05 05 05. The Ordnance Survey GPS website <http://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/gps> will feature an increasing amount of information and online services related to coordinate systems and GPS positioning; it also gives email contact information.

A Ellipsoid and projection constants

A.1 Shape and size of biaxial ellipsoids used in the UK

Name	Semi-major axis a (m)	Semi-minor axis b (m)	Associated datums and projections
Airy 1830	6 377 563.396	6 356 256.909*	OSGB36, National Grid
Airy 1830 modified	6 377 340.189	6 356 034.447	Ireland 65, Irish National Grid
International 1924 aka Hayford 1909	6 378 388.000	6 356 911.946	ED50, UTM
GRS80 aka WGS84 ellipsoid	6 378 137.000	6 356 752.3141	WGS84, ITRS, ETRS89.

The ellipsoid squared eccentricity constant e^2 is computed from a and b by equation (B1).

* For a long time, in previous versions of this publication and other Ordnance Survey publications, the Airy 1830 value for b was quoted as 6 356 256.**910**. Research (*Empire Survey Review*, Vol. XI, No.84, 1952) shows the correct rounding is actually .909. The original dimensions for the Airy 1830 ellipsoid are quoted as $a = 20,923,713$ feet and $b = 20,853,810$ feet. The conversion of these to metres is derived from the length of a standard bar ('O₁'). This bar was the length standard for the principal triangulation and the retriangulation. The defined conversion to metric is:

$$10^{(\log(\text{axis}) + 9.48401603)}$$

This results in a metric value for the axis given in tenths of a nanometre. An easier way to express the conversion to metres is to multiply the axis length in feet by:

$$\left(\frac{10^{0.48401603}}{10} \right)$$

Both methods result in the 3–decimal place values in the table above. The resulting difference in eastings and northings when using the .909 or .910 values for b is approximately 0.016 mm and is therefore insignificant.

A.2 Transverse Mercator projections used in the UK

Projection	Scale factor on central meridian F_0	True origin, ϕ_0 and λ_0	Map coordinates of true origin (metres), E_0 and N_0	Ellipsoid
National Grid	0.9996012717	lat 49° N long 2° W	E 400 000 N -100 000	Airy 1830
Irish National Grid	1.000035	lat 53°30' N long 8° W	E 200 000 N 250 000	Airy 1830 modified
UTM zone 29	0.9996	lat 0° long 9° W	E 500 000 N 0	International 1924
UTM zone 30	0.9996	lat 0° long 3° W	E 500 000 N 0	International 1924
UTM zone 31	0.9996	lat 0° long 3° E	E 500 000 N 0	International 1924

B Converting between 3-D Cartesian and ellipsoidal latitude, longitude and height coordinates

B.1 Converting latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height to 3-D Cartesian coordinates

NOTE: these formulae are used to convert the format of coordinates between 3-D Earth Centred Earth Fixed (ECEF) Cartesian coordinates and latitude, longitude and ellipsoidal height. This is **not** a transformation (see [section 6](#) for more information).

Values are required for the following ellipsoid constants: the semi-major axis length a and eccentricity squared e^2 . The latter can be calculated from a and b or the flattening f by

$$e^2 = \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2} = 2f - f^2 \quad \text{B1}$$

The Cartesian coordinates x , y and z of a point are obtained from the latitude ϕ , longitude λ and ellipsoid height H by

$$v = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin^2 \phi}} \quad \text{B2}$$

$$x = (v + H) \cos \phi \cos \lambda \quad \text{B3}$$

$$y = (v + H) \cos \phi \sin \lambda \quad \text{B4}$$

$$z = ((1 - e^2)v + H) \sin \phi \quad \text{B5}$$

Here's a worked example using the Airy 1830 ellipsoid. Intermediate values are shown here to 10 decimal places. Compute all values using double-precision arithmetic.

Latitude, ϕ	52	$^{\circ} 39' 27.2531''$ N
Longitude, λ		$1^{\circ} 43' 4.5177''$ E
Ellipsoidal height, H		24.700 m
e^2	6.6705	397616E-03
v	6.3910	506260E+06
x	3874	938.849 m
y	1162	18.624 m
z		5 047168.208 m

B.2 Converting 3-D Cartesian coordinates to latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height

Again, we need the defining constants of the ellipsoid. Longitude λ is easily computed from Cartesian coordinates, remembering to be careful about the quadrant of the resulting angle:

$$\lambda = \arctan(y/x) \quad \text{B6}$$

The latitude is obtained by an iterative procedure. The initial value of latitude is given by

$$\phi = \arctan\left(\frac{z}{p(1-e^2)}\right) \quad \text{B7}$$

where
$$p = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.$$

ϕ is iteratively improved by repeatedly computing ν from equation (B2) (using the latest value of ϕ) and then a new value for ϕ by

$$\phi = \arctan\left[\frac{z + e^2\nu \sin \phi}{p}\right] \quad \text{B8}$$

until the change between two successive values of ϕ is smaller than the precision to which you want to calculate the latitude. Ellipsoid height H is then given by:

$$H = \frac{P}{\cos \phi} - \nu$$

Here's a worked example using the Airy 1830 ellipsoid. Intermediate values are shown here to 10 decimal places. Compute all values using double-precision arithmetic.

x 3874	938.849 m
y 1162	18.624 m
z 5047	168.208 m

e ² 6.6705	397616E-03
Initial ϕ 9.1904	799045E-01 rad
ν 6.3910	506263E+06
2nd ϕ 9.1904	797796E-01 rad
ν 6.3910	506260E+06
3rd ϕ 9.1904	797793E-01 rad

Latitude, ϕ	52° 39' 27.2531" N
Longitude, λ	1° 43' 4.5177" E
Ellipsoid Height, H	24.700 m

C Converting between grid eastings and northings and ellipsoidal latitude and longitude

C.1 Converting latitude and longitude to eastings and northings

NOTE: these formulae are used to convert the format of coordinates between grid eastings and northings and ellipsoidal coordinates (latitude and longitude) on the same datum. This is **not** a transformation. If you need to transform between GPS coordinates and OSGB36 National Grid coordinates, you need to apply either the OSTN02 transformation (see [section 6.3](#)) or the approximate Helmert type transformation (see [section 6.6](#)).

To convert a position from the graticule of latitude and longitude coordinates (ϕ, λ) to a grid of easting and northing coordinates (E, N) using a Transverse Mercator projection (for example, National Grid or UTM), compute the following formulae. Remember to express all angles in radians. You will need the ellipsoid constants a , b and e^2 and the following projection constants. [Annexe A](#) gives values of these constants for the ellipsoids and projections usually used in Britain.

N_0	northing of true origin
E_0	easting of true origin
F_0	scale factor on central meridian
ϕ_0	latitude of true origin
λ_0	longitude of true origin and central meridian.

$$n = \frac{a-b}{a+b} \tag{C1}$$

$$v = aF_0(1 - e^2 \sin^2 \phi)^{-0.5}$$

$$\rho = aF_0(1 - e^2)(1 - e^2 \sin^2 \phi)^{-1.5}$$

$$\eta^2 = \frac{v}{\rho} - 1 \tag{C2}$$

$$M = bF_0 \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 + n + \frac{5}{4}n^2 + \frac{5}{4}n^3 \right) (\phi - \phi_0) - \left(3n + 3n^2 + \frac{21}{8}n^3 \right) \sin(\phi - \phi_0) \cos(\phi + \phi_0) \\ + \left(\frac{15}{8}n^2 + \frac{15}{8}n^3 \right) \sin(2(\phi - \phi_0)) \cos(2(\phi + \phi_0)) - \frac{35}{24}n^3 \sin(3(\phi - \phi_0)) \cos(3(\phi + \phi_0)) \end{array} \right) \tag{C3}$$

$$I = M + N_0$$

$$II = \frac{v}{2} \sin \phi \cos \phi$$

$$III = \frac{v}{24} \sin \phi \cos^3 \phi (5 - \tan^2 \phi + 9\eta^2)$$

$$IIIA = \frac{v}{720} \sin \phi \cos^5 \phi (61 - 58 \tan^2 \phi + \tan^4 \phi)$$

$$IV = v \cos \phi$$

$$V = \frac{v}{6} \cos^3 \phi \left(\frac{v}{\rho} - \tan^2 \phi \right)$$

$$VI = \frac{\nu}{120} \cos^5 \phi (5 - 18 \tan^2 \phi + \tan^4 \phi + 14\eta^2 - 58(\tan^2 \phi)\eta^2)$$

$$N = I + II(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 + III(\lambda - \lambda_0)^4 + IIIA(\lambda - \lambda_0)^6 \quad C4$$

$$E = E_0 + IV(\lambda - \lambda_0) + V(\lambda - \lambda_0)^3 + VI(\lambda - \lambda_0)^5 \quad C5$$

Here's a worked example using the Airy 1830 ellipsoid and National Grid. Intermediate values are shown here to 10 decimal places. Compute all values using double-precision arithmetic.

ϕ 52	° 39' 27.2531" N	III 1.5606	875424E+05
λ	1° 43' 4.5177" E	IIIA -2.0671123011E+	04
		IV 3.8751	205749E+06
ν	6.3885023333E+06	V -1.700	0078208E+05
ρ	6.3727564399E+06	VI	-1.0134470432E+05
η^2	2.4708136169E-03		
M	4.0668829596E+05	E	651409.903 m
I 3.0668	829596E+05	N	313177.270 m
II 1.5404	079092E+06		

C.2 Converting eastings and northings to latitude and longitude

Obtaining (ϕ, λ) from (E, N) is an iterative procedure. You need values for the ellipsoid and projection constants $a, b, e^2, N_0, E_0, F_0, \phi_0$, and λ_0 as in the previous section. Remember to express all angles in radians. First compute

$$\phi' = \left(\frac{N - N_0}{aF_0} \right) + \phi_0 \quad C6$$

and M from equation (C3), substituting ϕ' for ϕ . If the absolute value of $(N - N_0 - M) \geq 0.01$ mm, obtain a new value for ϕ' using

$$\phi'_{new} = \left(\frac{N - N_0 - M}{aF_0} \right) + \phi_0 \quad C7$$

and recompute M substituting ϕ' for ϕ . Iterate until the absolute value of

$(N - N_0 - M) < 0.01$ mm, then compute ρ, ν and η^2 using equation (C2) and compute

$$VII = \frac{\tan \phi'}{2\rho\nu}$$

$$VIII = \frac{\tan \phi'}{24\rho\nu^3} (5 + 3 \tan^2 \phi' + \eta^2 - 9(\tan^2 \phi')\eta^2)$$

$$IX = \frac{\tan \phi'}{720\rho\nu^5} (61 + 90 \tan^2 \phi' + 45 \tan^4 \phi')$$

$$X = \frac{\sec \phi'}{\nu}$$

$$XI = \frac{\sec \phi'}{6\nu^3} \left(\frac{\nu}{\rho} + 2 \tan^2 \phi' \right)$$

$$XII = \frac{\sec \phi'}{120\nu^5} (5 + 28 \tan^2 \phi' + 24 \tan^4 \phi')$$

$$XIIA = \frac{\sec \phi'}{5040\nu^7} (61 + 662 \tan^2 \phi' + 1320 \tan^4 \phi' + 720 \tan^6 \phi')$$

$$\phi = \phi' - \text{VII}(E - E_0)^2 + \text{VIII}(E - E_0)^4 - \text{IX}(E - E_0)^6 \quad \text{C8}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + \text{X}(E - E_0) - \text{XI}(E - E_0)^3 + \text{XII}(E - E_0)^5 - \text{XIIIA}(E - E_0)^7 \quad \text{C9}$$

Here's a worked example using the Airy 1830 ellipsoid and National Grid. Intermediate values are shown here to 10 decimal places. Compute all values using double-precision arithmetic.

<i>E</i> 6514	09.903 m	η^2	2.4642205195E-03
<i>N</i> 3131	77.270 m	VII	1.6130562490E-14
ϕ'	9.2002324604E-01 rad	VIII 3.3395	547442E-28
<i>M</i>	4.1290347144E+05	IX 9.4198	561719E-42
2nd ϕ' 9.2006	619470E-01 rad	X 2.5840	062509E-07
<i>M</i> 4.1317	717541E+05	XI 4.6985	969968E-21
3rd ϕ' 9.2006	620953E-01 rad	XII 1.6124	316622E-34
<i>M</i> 4.1317	726997E+05	XIIIA 6.6577	316330E-48
final ϕ'	9.2006620954E-01 rad		
ν	6.3885233415E+06	ϕ	52° 39' 27.2531" N
ρ	6.3728193094E+06	λ	1° 43' 4.5177" E

D Glossary

The following list of technical terms shows the section of this booklet where each term is explained or first used:

Airy 1830 ellipsoid	2.2	IGS	4.2
biaxial ellipsoid	2.2	ITRF	4.2
datum	3.2	ITRS	4.2
Cartesian coordinates	3.1	latitude	3.1
Compass	1.1	longitude	3.1
differential GPS	4.2	map projection	7
DORIS	4.2	mean sea level	3.1
ED50	1.2	meridian	3.1
ellipsoid	2.2	Molodensky transformation	6.2
ellipsoid height	3.1	National Grid	3.1
ephemerides	4.2	Ordnance Datum Newlyn	3.1
epoch	4.2	orthometric height	3.1
ETRF89	4.2	OS Net	5.1
ETRS89	4.2	OSGB36	5.2
fiducial analysis	4.2	OSGM02	6.4
Galileo	1.1	OSTN02	6.3
geodesy	1.1	prime meridian	3.1
geodetic datum	3.2	realisation	3.3
geoid	2.3	satellite positioning	3.3
geoid height	3.1	SINEX	4.2
GLONASS	1.1	SLR	4.2
GNSS	1.1	terrestrial	1.1
GPS	1.1	terrestrial reference frame	3.3
gravimetry	2.3	terrestrial reference system	3.2
GRS80	2.2	transformation	6.1
Helmert transformation	6.2	VLBI	4.2
IERS	4.2	WGS84	4.1

For more information, visit our website at www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk

or contact us at:

Ordnance Survey
Adanac Drive
SOUTHAMPTON
SO16 0AS

General enquiries (calls charged at local rate): +44 (0)8456 05 05 05

Fax: +44 (0)8450 990494

Dedicated Welsh language Helpline: 08456 05 05 04

Textphone (deaf and hard of hearing users only please): +44 (0)23 8005 6146

Email: customerservices@ordnancesurvey.co.uk

Trademarks

Ordnance Survey, the OS Symbol, OSGM02, OS Net and OSGB36 are registered trade marks and OSTN02 is a trademark of Ordnance Survey, the national mapping agency of Great Britain.