

# FOURTEEN QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT CANCER

**THAT COULD SAVE YOUR LIFE!!**

Version 2.0 (October 26, 2011)  
(c) 2011, R. Webster Kehr

## About These Questions and Answers

The average American knows less about "alternative cancer treatments" (i.e. natural cancer treatments) than they know about nuclear physics. The reason is that people assume that Mother Nature doesn't have a clue how to cure cancer.

This is nonsense. Medical doctors were curing cancer with herbs, very gentle electromedicine and many other natural techniques more than 100 years ago.

But the technologies to cure cancer with natural medicine were buried when the vast, vast resources of the pharmaceutical industry "bought" the favors of the medical schools, the American Medical Association and the politicians.

Suddenly the general public started to believe that the greedy owners of giant corporations, who were lusting after ever greater fortunes and power, had more concerns about human beings than Mother Nature. This is not only illogical thinking, but it could be fatal.

The complete ignorance of the general public about alternative medicine is the planned result of decades of carefully designed and highly sophisticated tactics by these secret cartels. Their power and money have led to their total control of the media, the government, both federal and state, and all other forms of communication.

The fourteen questions and answers in this document will teach you things you never imagined. A new world will be opened to you. Literally, in a couple of hours of reading you could have the tools you need to have **no fear of cancer!!**

R. Webster Kehr, *Independent* Cancer Research Foundation, Inc.  
www.cancertutor.com

**Question #1:** If there were cures for cancer in Mother Nature, wouldn't the mass media tell me about these cures?

**Answer #1:** Consider the words to this popular hit song:

And when you trust your television  
What you get is what you got  
Cause when they own the information  
Oh, they can bend it all they want  
*John Mayer: "Waiting on the World to Change"*

Think of the media as a handful of giant corporations which generate massive amounts of revenue and profits by selling things for other giant corporations. It is a "good old boys" club. The goal of the media is to make money, and lots of it.

Their money comes primarily from their advertisers. This means they have a huge loyalty to their advertisers. But that is not all. There is a lot of cross-ownership between the media and the giant corporations which advertise in the media. It is literally a brotherhood.

One of the biggest advertising clients of the television industry is the pharmaceutical industry. The pharmaceutical industry pumps billions of dollars into the major media every year. Have you ever seen a news program that did not show at least one pharmaceutical ad?

On the other hand, Mother Nature doesn't have a checkbook, so the media doesn't get any money from Mother Nature. Thus, "Big Pharma" (i.e. the pharmaceutical industry) pays the media billions of dollars, every year, more than Mother Nature pays. Do you think that that much money would influence what you see and hear on television?? This is a quote from a famous journalist in the 1880s after he retired from the newspaper business. He is speaking to other journalists:

*"We are the tools and vassals of rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes."*

John Swinton (1829-1901) pre-eminent New York journalist & head of the editorial staff at the New York Tribune. Quoted one night between 1880-1883.

Quoted by Upton Sinclair in his 1919 book:

The Brass Check: A Study of American Journalism, page 400

Even though Upton Sinclair was famous by 1919, because he was criticizing corruption in the media, the media would not support his book and he had to self-publish.

The key phrase in this quote is "rich men behind the scenes." The richest and most

corrupt people on this planet let the politicians have the publicity; they are only interested in money and power and like to stay in the background. In fact, many of them spend millions of dollars a year hiring full-time staffs to provide them good public images, even though most of them avoid publicity themselves. The politicians are more than happy to get the glory of the world, get rich, and do what they are told to do.

Absolutely nothing has changed since the 1880s except that the tactics of the media have become far more effective than they used to be.

If the media were to tell the general public that [carrot juice and a special diet](#) (as one of many examples) can cure many cases of newly diagnosed cancer, the pharmaceutical industry would lose billions of dollars every year in revenues. And the media would ultimately lose billions of dollars in revenues. And many people would survive their cancer!!

The truth will never be told because the media has always sold their opinions to the highest bidder and has always been controlled by the ultra-wealthy who lust after even greater fortunes.

The media makes billions of dollars every year by [totally blacklisting](#) information about alternative medicine. By blacklisting alternative medicine, people assume that prescription drugs are far more effective against cancer than natural substances because they trust what they see on television.

It is a highly profitable deception which takes advantage of people's assumptions and trust. As John Mayer said: when you trust your television (i.e. giant corporations): "What you get is what you got."

What people trust is ultra-wealthy businessmen; [not](#) Mother Nature.

The more the media [can convince the general public that there are no cures for cancer in Mother Nature](#), the [more people will run to their doctor to get drugs when they get sick](#) and [thus the more money the pharmaceutical industry will make](#) and [the more money the ultra-wealthy will make](#), and [the more money the media will make!!!](#)

Ponder that carefully because that is the precise reason you know absolutely nothing truthful about the more than 600 cancer treatments in Mother Nature!!

By blacklisting the truth about alternative medicine, the media forges a strong relationship with the pharmaceutical industry that results in billions of dollars in revenue every year by selling advertisements to the drug industry.

The truth is that the medical cartel, the media, the pharmaceutical industry, and some types of chemical companies, have no more integrity than the tobacco industry, which knew in the 1930s that tobacco caused lung cancer. The tobacco industry tried for many years to cover-up the obvious truth that tobacco caused lung cancer.

It wasn't the media, the President, the Congress, the Food and Drug Administration or the other federal agencies that brought down the tobacco industry; it was the state of Minnesota.

The media, the medical cartel, almost every government on earth, *ad nauseum*, continue to totally blacklist the truth about alternative cancer treatments because there is no money in telling the truth.

**Question #2:** Why do television shows glorify medical doctors so much?

**Answer #2:** They glorify medical doctors because real medical doctors are the ones who prescribe the drugs that are sold by their advertisers. In other words, they glorify medical doctors because their advertisers want them to.

Because the media glorifies medical doctors on their television shows, when someone gets cancer, or any other serious disease, they immediately head for their doctor's office because television has conditioned them. Then they buy prescription drugs by the bucket. Do you see the connection?

The problem is not so much that what the media says is false; the bigger problem is that the media does not tell you the whole story. This is called blacklisting. What the media tells you is like a puzzle with half of the pieces missing.

You would not believe how fanatical the media is that NO MENTION of the missing pieces ever gets on the air!! The main job of "producers" is to make sure none of the missing pieces is ever discussed.

They don't tell you both sides of the story, they only tell you one side of the story - the most profitable side. They blacklist the other side of the story. This leads to assumptions by the general public and these assumptions are precisely what the pharmaceutical industry and media want people to make.

These puzzle pieces are missing by careful design!! The fact that there are cures for cancer, AIDS, malaria and many other diseases are just some of the many missing pieces. Many of the missing pieces have nothing to do with medicine.

Watching the media is more like watching a carefully directed play with professional actors than it is watching a group of people looking to uncover the real truth.

Because the media says nothing about alternative medicine, the general public automatically believes that alternative medicine is useless. Blacklisting is an incredibly powerful brainwashing tool!!

Neither Pavlov, nor Hitler's brainwashing machine, were in the same league as today's modern media at manipulating the minds of the public. The media has mastered the art of suppressing the things they do not want the public to hear without the public even knowing anything important is missing!!

And that is the key. The general public has absolutely no clue that massively important facts, life-saving facts, are intentionally missing from their television sets. The powers that be do not want the "worthless eaters," as they call us, to know their options.

"No one understood better than Stalin that the true object of propaganda is neither to convince nor even to persuade, but to produce a uniform pattern of public utterance in which the first trace of unorthodox thought immediately reveals itself as a jarring dissonance."

Alan Bullock, Hitler and Stalin: Parallel Lives

Has the media created a "uniform pattern of public utterance?" The truth is that more than 99% of all Americans, when they are first diagnosed with cancer, run to their medical doctor to use chemotherapy, radiation and surgery!! Americans can't be slashed, burned and poisoned fast enough.

Now that is a "uniform pattern of public utterance," especially considering that Mother Nature's cancer treatments are far, far more effective than those of orthodox medicine!! Furthermore, whenever I tell someone that modern medicine is totally corrupt and that Mother Nature can cure cancer, they look at me as if I had just escaped from a mental institution!! That is an example of the "jarring dissonance" created by the "uniform pattern of public utterance" created by the media!!

The media has achieved this "uniform pattern of public utterance," not by attacking alternative medicine, but by uniformly and universally blacklisting it. The media has created a fantasyland of false information which does not exist in the real world, but by using enough repetition it has become "reality" to those who are hypnotized by its propaganda. Isn't that the definition of "brainwashed?"

This is exactly how all dictators gain control of their subjects. They control everything the public hears and everything the public hears is a carefully orchestrated fantasy of deceit.

But the key is absolute and total control over what people hear. Any conflicting voice must be totally shut down and blocked out of the media. Hitler had people tortured and executed for handing out pamphlets which were critical of what he was doing.

Some people think the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) exists to guarantee freedom of speech in America. Nothing could be further from the truth. The FCC exists to crush freedom of speech. But you don't hear the truth about the FCC because the media are owned by the same "rich men behind the scenes" who control the FCC via their political clout!!

I have found it virtually impossible to convince anyone that Mother Nature is smarter than their medical doctor. The media has created a nation of walking zombies who think that God is too stupid to cure cancer. When people hear the truth they screech as if you were trying to rob them.

**Question #3:** Can Mother Nature's "natural cancer treatments" really cure cancer?

**Answer #3:** The late Dr. William D. Kelley, a dentist by training, and probably someone you have never heard of, used natural cancer treatments to treat more than 33,000 cancer patients.

Dr. Kelley used special diets, proteolytic enzymes, and other natural substances. He was able to **cure more than 90%** of the newly diagnosed cancer patients who went to him instead of "orthodox medicine" (i.e. chemotherapy, radiation, surgery, etc.).

[Compare Dr. Kelley's cure rate of 90% to the overall cure rate of less than 3% of orthodox medicine.](#)

Dr. Kelley's treatments are well documented and are more than 30 times more effective than those of "modern medicine" which uses man-made molecules which can be patented.

**What is wrong with the medical community?** They could have a 90% cure rate on newly diagnosed cancer patients if they used the highly documented approach of Dr. Kelley.

But instead they use treatments from the pharmaceutical industry which have cure rates of less than 1% for almost all kinds of cancer.

If the people in orthodox medicine were REALLY looking for cures for cancer they would be looking in Mother Nature. They wouldn't have to look very far because there are already [hundreds of known cures for cancer in Mother Nature](#). All they have to do is ask us and we will be happy to tell them all about these cures.

Don't believe orthodox medicine when they pretend they are "looking" for cures for cancer!!

The only thing they are looking for is [more profitable treatments](#). They want to maximize their profits before the patient dies. Curing cancer will never be as profitable as treating cancer as a chronic disease.

There are many reasons Mother Nature's treatments are far superior to those of Big Medicine. One reason is that scientists and medical doctors are not as smart, compared to Mother Nature, as you might think they are. This makes perfect sense if you happen to believe that God created Mother Nature's genius.

For example, no scientist in the world has the slightest clue how the algorithms, built into the nucleotides on our DNA, control the morphing of a fertilized egg, which has one DNA strand, but morphs into a human baby who has 100 [billion](#) brain cells and 100 [trillion](#) synaptic connections which connect its brain cells!!

Furthermore, this brain morphs in the fetus at the same time the liver, heart, kidneys,

arteries, toes, eyes, hair, etc. are morphing!! How this happens is far beyond the ability of a human being to even remotely grasp. [The same genius who designed DNA is the very intelligence behind Mother Nature!!](#)

*"If the brain were simple enough to understand, we would be too simple to understand it."*

Quoted in: Listening to Prozac by Peter D. Kramer

Do you think the being who designed DNA is smart enough to cure cancer??

Do our medical doctors (who don't use Mother Nature) cure cancer as well as the late

Dr. Kelley and many other experts in natural medicine? It's not even close.

Pieces of Dr. Kelley's protocol are frequently used in alternative cancer treatments, but the entire protocol is rarely used because there are better treatments now.

While medical doctors do have intelligence, intelligence without integrity is the worst possible combination. Medical doctors clearly have the intelligence to use Mother Nature's cures for cancer, but they choose not to use them.

But to be fair to individual medical doctors, it should be mentioned that individual medical doctors don't have the freedom that people may think they have. Our modern medical establishment is [so corrupt](#) that if a medical doctor integrated a [single treatment of Mother Nature](#) into a cancer treatment (as opposed to using Mother Nature to treat symptoms), they could lose their medical license!!

Think about that for a minute. Medical doctors are not even allowed to find out, disease by disease, whether natural treatments are more effective than worthless mutated drugs!! They must always use worthless mutated drugs unless they are dealing with the symptoms of disease.

It is "fish or cut bait" for individual medical doctors; meaning they have to choose orthodox medicine or alternative medicine. There is nothing in between. Many medical doctors have switched to alternative medicine.

Oh, it gets worse. Just in case someone with integrity gets a position of power in the AMA (the American Medical Association, the labor union of medical doctors), or the AMA loses a court case because of their corruption, the federal government can also yank a medical doctor's license.

Public Law 92-603 (i.e. PSRO), signed by President Nixon in 1972, authorizes a government agency, the Department of Health, Education and Welfare to create "standards" and yank the license of any medical doctor who does not obey these "standards."

The reason the medical cartel can persecute its own members is that Mother Nature is



"unapproved" for treating cancer by the equally corrupt Food and Drug Administration. This does not mean Mother Nature does not know how to cure cancer, it only means that using Mother Nature's treatments are not profitable enough to satisfy the greed and corruption of the medical cartel, Big Government and others who have their finger in the pie.

Big Pharma pays far better than Mother Nature. But that is because corruption in our government gives Big Pharma the right to "patent" their mutated molecules. More will be said about patents in the next question.

When someone tells you that Mother Nature's cancer treatments are "unproven," just remember to translate the word "unproven" into the word: "unprofitable." Mother Nature's cures are unprofitable because they cannot be patented plus they cure cancer instead of creating a chronic patient.

The corruption which yields massive amounts of profits is the underlying trigger that prevents the average person from knowing the truth about Mother Nature. It is patents that create massive profits for Big Pharma and it is these profits which control everything you hear in the media, the government, the universities and at your doctor's office.

The fantasyland that the media, drug companies and medical cartel have painted was carefully designed by many, many businessmen who lust after power and profits and who couldn't care less about your health or life!! Mother Nature cares about your health and life and has put hundreds of cures for cancer in her plants.

**Question #4:** Is the United States federal government totally corrupt or do they act in my best interests?

**Answer #4:** Starting in roughly 1910 (when the Flaxner Report came out under the umbrella of Rockefeller and Carnegie), foundations (controlled by ultra-wealthy families) started controlling the medical schools by using "charitable contributions."

These tax-free foundations, which were really nothing more than a tax haven for the ultra-rich, started forcing the medical schools, which they individually favored, to convert over to "modern medicine" (i.e. synthetic drugs).

At about the same time these same families also took over the "research" of the American Medical Association.

It didn't take long before all medical "research" done at medical schools and the AMA were "looking" solely for medical treatments which used synthetic drugs which could be patented.

Furthermore, these cartels controlled who was on the faculty of these medical schools and thus all medical schools eventually converted over to teaching nothing but drug medicine to their students. Natural medicine was totally dropped from their curriculum. Today, the average housewife knows more about natural medicine than the average medical doctor.

The U.S. Government was certainly no obstacle to the plans of Big Pharma and even handed the pharmaceutical industry its own police force (which is now called the FDA). In other words, the FDA is the police force for the pharmaceutical industry and the chemical industry. The ubiquitous phrase "FDA Approved" means absolutely nothing about the safety or effectiveness of a product. In fact, "FDA Approved" really means "Highly Profitable to the Pharmaceutical Industry."

The FDA has the police powers that the pharmaceutical industry needs and uses. The FDA will do whatever the pharmaceutical industry tells it to do.

The FDA uses their police powers to proactively crush alternative medicine. The FDA has shut down many medical clinics which were curing cancer. They have also jailed many vendors of products which cured cancer. Many state governments have also shut down clinics which were curing cancer.

This is actually a worldwide problem for alternative medicine. It seems that the governments of almost all nations have sold-out to pharmaceutical industry money. For example, the governments of Australia and Canada are just as corrupt as the United States. Only one nation in the world has "Freedom of Choice in Medicine" as part of their constitution.

In the United States the government has made it impossible for vendors of natural

substances to mount any competition against the pharmaceutical industry. They have done this in several ways.

First, natural substances cannot be patented. Thus, vendors of natural substances cannot make very much money selling their products because they cannot create a monopoly generated by patents.

Second, vendors of natural substances cannot make any "medical claims" about their products until they are "FDA Approved." However, due to a lack of profits because they cannot get patents and due to their inability to make truthful "medical claims," vendors of natural substances cannot raise enough money to get "FDA Approval."

Vendors of natural substances are in a paradoxical situation, they need to have money to make money. Thus, only huge corporations (which will always be controlled by ultra-wealthy families, directly or indirectly, due to a wide variety of tactics) can get something "FDA Approved."

The cost of getting a substance "FDA Approved" is gigantic. This is not because the FDA cares about human life; it is partly to price the cost of getting "FDA Approval" out of range of any entity which is not controlled by the "ultra-wealthy."

To pamper the pharmaceutical industry, the FDA has prohibited vendors of natural products from making medical claims about their products, even if those medical claims are based on [solid scientific evidence](#) and [extensive testimonials](#).

So what if one of these vendors of natural products did have hundreds of millions of dollars to get a product which cures cancer "FDA Approval?"

Not to worry, the FDA [has rigged their regulatory process to make it impossible](#) for a natural product, which cures cancer, to get FDA approval, no matter how much money they have.

The uniform behavior of Congress, the media, the FDA, *ad nauseum*, is not coincidental. All of these entities are totally controlled by the same money pie. It seems our government and media are nothing but whores who sell us out for personal profit. In summary, while the media blocks the general public from learning that alternative cancer treatments [even exist](#), the job of the FDA is to block the general public from hearing the truth [from vendors](#) about their products which can cure cancer. Plus the FDA has blocked the [availability](#) of many natural cancer treatments.

Congress and the White House, as always, are in the hip pocket of any industry with enough money to control them. They are like children looking for free candy.

So what happens when the rich and famous get cancer? They will frequently go to Germany or some other country to get [natural cancer treatments](#).

Here is a quote from a medical doctor in Germany, [who exclusively used natural cancer treatments](#), who treated many, many rich and famous people, including one president of the United States:

*"You wouldn't believe how many FDA officials or relatives or acquaintances of FDA officials come to see me as patients in Hanover. You wouldn't believe this, or directors of the AMA, or ACS [American Cancer Society], or the presidents of orthodox cancer institutes. That's the fact."*

*Hans Nieper M.D. (1928-1998 - Hanover, Germany)*

But there is another reason the FDA makes sure that all alternative cancer treatments are rated as "unproven treatments." By rigging their regulations they allow the media, the corrupt charities (e.g. the American Cancer Society, and many others), the health insurance companies, etc. etc. [to ignore and persecute alternative medicine.](#)

I personally have communicated by email with [thousands](#) of cancer patients. You would not believe how many times I have seen someone write: "we just can't afford that [alternative cancer treatment]." These patients (or their health insurers) have given hundreds of thousands of dollars to Big Medicine, but don't have \$3,000 left for something that may cure them.

The health insurance companies will gladly plop down a quarter of a million dollars so someone can die of cancer, but they won't spend a dime for someone to get cured by Mother Nature.

Mother Nature is not corrupt, orthodox medicine is corrupt. This is just one of the many truths that people who are new to alternative medicine must get used to. All of this is what makes the Cancer Tutor website ([www.cancertutor.com](http://www.cancertutor.com)), and other such websites, so important. Nothing is sold from this website, nor do I sell anything from any other website.

On this website, in a few days, a person can go from knowing nothing about alternative medicine, to understanding many of their options with alternative medicine. That is because this website has dozens of articles on [highly effective](#) alternative cancer treatments and related issues.

In other words, because I don't sell anything, I can make medical claims for products which the vendors cannot make. That is why it is so important that the reader study the Cancer Tutor website in addition to the vendor websites (even though they cannot make medical claims, they do provide some information).

Actually, the FDA works for the [large](#) pharmaceutical companies. For example, if a small pharmaceutical company wants a highly effective drug to be approved, which drug would cut into the profits of the large pharmaceutical companies, the FDA may harass this small company (such as by not approving their studies) until they "sell out" to the large pharmaceutical company or close their doors. If they choose to "sell out," the large pharmaceutical company will almost certainly "bury" the treatment.

Some federal judges also seem to be on the payroll of the pharmaceutical industry.

When the FDA is persecuting people who know how to cure cancer, some federal judges intentionally run "Kangaroo Courts" which will not allow the defense team to produce evidence regarding the effectiveness of the product or device. Helping people survive deadly diseases is irrelevant to these judges, only their level in the court system matters to them.

Only the Internet still has some semblance of freedom of speech (as long as you are not a vendor). However, the pharmaceutical industry controls so many large websites that even the Internet is largely useless to cancer patients.

But of far more importance is that because the media blacklists alternative medicine, people think alternative medicine is useless *and they are not likely to search the Internet for alternative medicine websites*. That is why people have to "stumble" across websites which speak the truth about alternative medicine.

Many "cancer researchers" are also in bed with Big Pharma. The National Institutes of Health, the National Cancer Institute, etc. all exist to *pretend* to look for cures for cancer, but it is also important that *they pretend that curing cancer is difficult* (e.g. by claiming it is DNA damage that causes cancer) and they also make alternative cancer treatments look weak and ineffective (partly because they have no idea how to use alternative cancer treatments).

Ditto for all large cancer charities and almost all small cancer charities which claim they are "looking" for cures for cancer.

University-based researchers would lose the bulk of their funding if they released a cure for cancer or *even claimed that cancer could be cured*.

Let us, for a moment, assume that DNA damage did cause cancer (which it *DOESN'T*). Does that mean someone could not cure cancer by safely and gently targeting and *killing* the cancer cells. Laetrile is a natural molecule in apple seeds and apricot seeds and some grains. Laetrile safely and gently targets and kills cancer cells. Laetrile, especially when used in clinics, has cured many cancer patients. But it is an element of some of the best alternative cancer treatments for home use.

What the general public hears in the media is a well-orchestrated fantasyland of bad science and the complete blacklisting of people who know how to cure cancer. The fantasy is driven by massive amounts of money.

The Savior of the world described what is going on in two words: "whited sepulcher." (See Matthew 23:27) Those people outside of the sepulcher (e.g. the general public) have no clue what is going on inside the sepulcher because the media has "whitewashed" the outside of the sepulcher by distracting their attention from what is really going on inside the sepulcher.

Outside of the sepulcher is a fantasyland created by the artistry of the media. The inside of the sepulcher is the real world that the average person knows nothing about and *may*

*become a victim of.*

Many books have been written by people who have not been deceived. These books discuss the corruption of the FDA, the pharmaceutical industry, orthodox medicine, etc. One of the best of these books is: "World Without Cancer - The Story of Vitamin B17", by G. Edward Griffin. This book is about laetrile (i.e. Vitamin B17) and starting with Chapter 13 it gets into the behind-the-scenes events that have led to our current situation.

**Question #5:** Chemotherapy is so toxic and powerful, how can natural medicine be more effective against cancer?

**Answer #5:** Let me borrow a story from one of my other articles:

Suppose you own an antique dining room table which is worth many tens of thousands of dollars. Suppose your butler tells you that there are dozens of cockroaches crawling around on your priceless table and you will be having dinner guests in one hour. Your butler informs you that his job description does not include killing cockroaches and as he is leaving your house he suggests four options for getting rid of the cockroaches:

- 1) He suggests you use a chainsaw to "slash" the little critters to pieces,
- 2) He suggests you use a large and powerful flamethrower to "burn" the critters to pieces,
- 3) He suggests you pour 2 gallons of a highly, highly toxic liquid chemical on the table to "poison" the critters, and
- 4) He suggests you use an old \$1 flyswatter.

Which of the four options would you use to get rid of the cockroaches? Would you choose one of the first three options (slash, burn and poison) because they are highly potent at killing cockroaches or would you choose the cheap, wimpy flyswatter?

Think about why you would make your choice.

Most likely you would pick the flyswatter because the other three items (which are far more powerful than a flyswatter at killing cockroaches) are also massively powerful at destroying your priceless table!!

Chemotherapy (the toxic chemical above), surgery (the chainsaw above) and radiation (the flamethrower above) do kill cancer cells, but they also kill healthy cells (the priceless antique table), damage the immune system, damage the ability of your digestive tract to process nutrients, etc. because they do not target cancer cells. Thus, these treatments cannot cure cancer (which has spread) without killing the patient first.

By comparison, alternative cancer treatments (the flyswatter), while not as powerful as the other items, are far more effective at treating cancer because these treatments either target cancer cells or do not damage your healthy cells, Thus they can be given in much higher doses than any of the "orthodox" cancer treatments.

For example, a person can drink a quart of carrot juice but they would die if they drank a quart of chemotherapy!!

But this is only part of the story. In addition to treatments which can safely kill cancer cells, alternative cancer treatments typically build the immune system (instead of

destroying the immune system), multiple alternative cancer treatments can generally be combined, and so on.



**Question #6:** Can alternative cancer treatments cure someone who has had extensive chemotherapy, radiation and surgery?

**Answer #6:** That is the key question because most people who look into alternative medicine have already had extensive orthodox cancer treatments!! Many of them have been sent home to die before they even begin looking for an alternative cancer treatment. As already mentioned, the cure rate of natural medicine (i.e. alternative medicine), for people who are newly diagnosed, can be over 90% if the patient works with an expert who knows what he or she is doing.

For alternative medicine experts, the cure rate for advanced cancer patients, who had had significant chemotherapy, radiation and surgery, used to be about 50%. Even though alternative cancer treatments are getting better and stronger, the cure rate has probably dropped slightly in the past few years because medical doctors are keeping their patients on chemotherapy and radiation longer and longer. Do not assume your doctor's estimate of how long you have to live is correct, especially if you start using alternative cancer treatments. If you work with an expert, your chance of survival is about 50%, but even those who die can have a more comfortable life and can live longer.

One very, very important thing to understand about alternative cancer treatments is that **not all alternative cancer treatments are equally strong**!!! This is true especially for cancer patients who have had a lot of orthodox cancer treatments!!

For example, carrot juice and a different diet can easily cure most newly diagnosed cancer patients, but it would probably **not be strong enough** for a cancer patient sent home to die by orthodox medicine.

However, carrot juice can be part of a highly potent protocol designed specifically for advanced cancer patients. For example, the Collect-Budwig protocol, which was designed specifically for advanced cancer patients, includes carrot juice but it also includes several other treatments.

When a cancer patient is first diagnosed, there are usually **hundreds** of alternative cancer treatments which can cure them, if they are combined with a good diet. However, by the time a cancer patient is sent home to die by orthodox medicine, the number of natural cancer treatments which can give them a real chance of survival has dwindled to **only a handful of treatments**. This is because **not all alternative cancer treatments are equally strong**.

Most cancer patients begin their cancer treatment with orthodox medicine and when they are sent home to die they simply go home and die.

Those sent home to die who look into alternative medicine at least have a fighting chance.

An "advanced cancer patient" (i.e. someone who has had extensive orthodox cancer treatments or someone who has a very nasty type of cancer) MUST work with an expert in alternative cancer treatments to even have a hope of survival. Helping an advanced cancer patient survive is an extremely complex process.

One option is to work with someone by telephone and another option is to go to an alternative cancer treatment clinic in the U.S. or another country. Do not assume that all clinics are better than all home treatments. Some home treatments (with expert telephone support) are far better than some clinics.

Since 2002 I have been researching alternative cancer treatments. I know I have seen more than 600 alternative cancer treatments. But since 2004 I have been sorting out these treatments, as time permits, to find the most potent treatments for advanced cancer patients.

It is this research which has allowed the Cancer Tutor website to help so many cancer patients. And I have never charged one cancer patient a single penny. But other cancer researchers work full-time to help cancer patients and by necessity these people do need to charge for their services and material.

**Question #7:** What kinds of alternative cancer treatments have and do exist?

**Answer #7:** M.D. run alternative medicine clinics in Germany are currently using hyperthermia to allow small amounts of chemotherapy to target and safely kill cancer cells.

Some clinics in the U.S. (in the states they are allowed to operate) are using insulin to allow small amounts of chemotherapy to target and safely kill cancer cells. This is called "Insulin Potentiation Therapy" or IPT.

In the 1930s, Dr. Royal Rife, a microbiologist, was curing cancer with very gentle electromedicine called "Rife Machines." Like more recent "electromedicine" protocols, the treatment was so gentle that the patient barely knew the device was turned on. The American Medical Association, the labor union of medical doctors, tried to buy Rife's technology; but Rife refused to sell because he knew they only wanted to "bury" his technology.

Because he would not sell-out, the FDA came in and shut down Dr. Rife. It was not until 2008 and 2010 that two of his technologies were completely replicated by alternative medicine cancer researchers.

The modern "Rife Machines" which replicated Rife's original technology are part of the GB-4000 family of devices. Each has the same frequencies and "carrier waves" of the original Rife devices.

The GB-4000 actually comes in two different versions. First, is the GB-4000 with SR-4 10 watt linear amplifier. While this is an excellent protocol for cancer, a newer and more powerful device has come out, the GB-4000 with M.O.P.A.

Basically, the GB-4000 creates the frequencies and the SR-4 or M.O.P.A. amplifies the frequencies. These two devices replicate what Royal Rife's most famous devices accomplished, but using modern technology.

The Cancer Tutor website ([www.cancertutor.com](http://www.cancertutor.com)) was actually the first website to provide step--by-step instructions on how to use the 2008 device (the GB-4000 with SR-4 amplifier) and the much more powerful 2010 device (the GB-4000 with M.O.P.A.).

However, for legal reasons these instructions have been moved to another website (the Cancer Tutor website links to this article in the "electromedicine" protocols article). Some other brands of Rife Machines today, such as the Rife-Bare, do not have a carrier frequency but yet are very effective at treating cancer.

The protocol of the late Dr. Bob Beck (he had a PhD in physics) for AIDS and cancer also used a "frequency generator" technology, but in an entirely different way as Rife. While the focus of Rife's technology was to revert cancer cells into normal cells, the focus of the Bob Beck Protocol was to kill the microbes in the bloodstream and

elsewhere in the body. By doing this the immune system was supercharged and the immune system was able to cure the cancer.

Actually, it was not so much that the immune system was "supercharged" as much as that the immune system was able to perform at its full potential by not being burdened by the presence of two pounds of microbes in the body.

Clearly, in my research since 2002, the direction of highly effective cancer treatments is in the direction of vibrational medicine, which includes both gentle electrical vibrations and lightwaves.

It is microbes *inside* the cancer cells which make a cell cancerous because they block the production of ATP molecules in the mitochondria (technically, these microbes block the production of pyruvate, which in turn blocks the production of ATP) and flood the cell with acidic mycotoxins.

(Note: See the answer to Question #13 for a detailed discussion on how microbes cause cancer and why DNA damage does not cause cancer.)

Killing these *microbes* was how Dr. Rife cured cancer in 100% of the cases.

And it is microbes *in the bloodstream and throughout the body* that heavily suppresses the immune system. Killing these microbes was the way that Dr. Bob Beck (PhD in physics) cured cancer in 95% of the cases.

ONLY vibrational medicine can safely get rid of these microbes. Microbes are very, very small compared to a human cell and can easily be killed or attenuated (i.e. made unable to breed) by electromedicine.

Some electromedicine treatments work by vibrating the microbes (at the correct frequency) until they burst. Other electromedicine protocols work by breaking the enzymes off of the outside surface of the microbes so that they cannot breed. Others lack finesse and simply electrocute the microbes.

But do not confuse the very gentle "electromedicine" of alternative medicine with the crude, barbaric, painful and worthless "radiation" therapy of orthodox medicine. Radiation therapy is like using a rifle to put out a carpet fire, but "electromedicine" in alternative medicine is more like using a flyswatter because it is so easy to kill microbes.

In the 1950s, Dr. Robert C. Olney, M.D. was curing cancer with ultraviolet blood irradiation therapy (UVBI). He would extract some blood from the patient, use Ultraviolet-A light to irradiate the blood and then he would put the blood back into the patient's body. See the book: [Into the Light - Tomorrow's Medicine Today](#) by William Campbell Douglass II, M.D. (Chapter 12).

UVBI is considered an "oxygen" treatment, meaning it floods the body with oxygen.

However, it is not known whether this oxygen kills the cancer cells or it kills the microbes inside the cancer cells or if it works in some other manner. It may actually be a vibrational medicine treatment.

Currently, some clinics in Mexico and other countries are using this technology (Google: UVBI cancer ultraviolet clinic).

While many alternative cancer treatments have solid cancer theory behind them, most alternative cancer treatments were designed by exchanging notes with other researchers and by using their own experiences and research. All of them use special diets. All of them try to build the immune system. All of them try to protect the non-cancerous cells, and so on.

Most highly potent alternative cancer treatments (i.e. protocols) combine several supplements (and may include gentle electromedicine) to accomplish all of the needed tasks. Some of the top alternative cancer treatments today, which can be done at home, include:

Collect-Budwig (nutrients),  
GB-4000 Protocols - i.e. Rife Machines (electromedicine, reverts cancer cells into normal cells),  
Cesium Chloride (minerals and nutrients),  
Bob Beck Protocol (electromedicine, builds immune system),  
Bill Henderson Protocol (nutrients and immune builders),  
LifeOne Protocol (nutrients),  
various Oleander protocols (nutrients),  
Brandt Grape Cure (nutrients), etc., etc.

In some cases these protocols can be combined and in some cases they cannot be combined.

See the left side-bar on any page on the Cancer Tutor website for links to these and other protocols *after you read the rest of the questions and answers.*

Of course, any alternative cancer treatment expert will give you a different list. This is because no single alternative cancer treatment expert has experience with every type of alternative cancer treatment. We do the best we can with the limited time and resources we have.

Some new alternative cancer treatments could restore and even improve on the old 50% cure rate on advanced cancer patients. Electromedicine, combined with one of the top nutritional protocols, has by far the best chance of doing that.

In fact, the GB-4000 with M.O.P.A., combined with the Collect-Budwig, is likely already at or above the 50% cure rate on very advanced cancer patients. Some of the clinics are also at or above the 50% cure rate on advanced cancer patients.

But [\*the most important key\*](#) to survival is working with an expert, either at a clinic, over the phone or by Internet. All of the treatments listed above, except the Bob Beck Protocol and Brandt Grape Cure (which have limited support), have expert support available.

See the "Clinics" link on the left side-bar of any page on the Cancer Tutor website for more information about clinics.

**Question #8:** What Is the approach of alternative medicine?

**Answer #8:** Every human being has cancer cells in their body!! Anyone who can read this sentence has many cancer cells in their body. However, our bodies were designed to have a very, very sophisticated immune system which, among other things, was designed to safely target and kill cancer cells.

When a person is "diagnosed" with cancer it means there is an *imbalance* in their body between the *strength of their immune system* and the *number of cancer cells* in their body. In other words, something has damaged their immune system and/or something has caused a high number of cancer cells to form.

The result of this imbalance is an abnormally high number of cancer cells which thrive in their body. The number of cancer cells may grow out of control and eventually the person may be diagnosed with cancer.

The approach of many alternative or natural health practitioners is four-fold:

**First**, change the diet and lifestyle of the person to change their "inner terrain" to make the body hostile to cancer cells (e.g. change to an alkaline diet),

**Second**, strengthen the immune system with very gentle electromedicine and/or natural nutrients,

**Third**, use very gentle treatments that safely target and kill the cancer cells or revert the cancer cells into normal cells,

**Fourth**, use supplements to protect and energize the non-cancerous cells damaged by orthodox treatments.

As to the third item, if you kill all of the microbes which are inside of a cancer cell it will be able to restore its metabolism, start creating ATP molecules again (without using fermentation) and will revert into a normal cell. Dr. Royal Rife knew this in the 1930s but his discoveries have been ignored by orthodox medicine.

By doing all four of the above things the cancer patient has the balance between their immune system and the number of cancer cells restored without damaging the body. They are cured because the balance has been restored back to normal!!

The approach of orthodox medicine, however, severely damages the immune system with chemotherapy, radiation and surgery. *This makes the imbalance even worse.* Orthodox cancer treatments also kill many healthy cells and can damage organs and the lining of the stomach and colon; which can make it difficult for the patient to extract nutrients from healthy foods and supplements.

One of the reasons alternative medicine cancer researchers are so interested in

developing electromedicine protocols is because so many cancer patients have damage to the lining of their stomach and colon (due to chemotherapy or surgery). Electromedicine becomes their only hope for survival because these things work without the ability of the stomach and colon to digest nutrients from foods.

Is it any wonder that alternative cancer treatments, when used on newly diagnosed cancer patients and when administered by experts, have a massively higher cure rate than orthodox medicine? Alternative cancer treatments build-up the person's body (especially the immune system) and gently deals with getting rid of the cancer cells.

However, even when orthodox medicine puts someone into "remission" (i.e. their cancer appears to be gone), their treatments have damaged the immune system so badly that they have made the imbalance even worse, so even if orthodox medicine claims they have put someone into remission, it is almost certain the cancer will come back!! This is called: regression.



**Question #9:** Is orthodox medicine using the right approach to treating cancer?

**Answer #9:** Your medical doctor probably told you that you would get a "response" if you used their protocols. A "response" simply means they were trying to shrink your tumors slightly and has absolutely nothing to do with extending life!!

Here is what a medical doctor said about the focus of orthodox medicine on shrinking tumors:

*"When a patient is found to have a tumor, the only thing the doctor discusses with that patient is what he intends to do about the tumor. If a patient with a tumor is receiving radiation or chemotherapy, the only question that is asked is, "How is the tumor doing?" No one ever asks how the patient is doing.*

*In my medical training, I remember well seeing patients who were getting radiation and/or chemotherapy. The tumor would get smaller and smaller, but the patient would be getting sicker and sicker.*

*At autopsy we would hear, "Isn't that marvelous! The tumor is gone!" Yes, it was, but so was the patient. How many millions of times are we going to have to repeat these scenarios before we realize that we are treating the wrong thing?*

*In primary cancer, with only a few exceptions, the tumor is neither health-endangering nor life-threatening. I am going to repeat that statement. In primary cancer, with few exceptions, the tumor is neither health-endangering nor life-threatening. What is health-endangering and life-threatening is the spread of that disease through the rest of the body.*

*There is nothing in surgery that will prevent the spread of cancer. There is nothing in radiation that will prevent the spread of the disease. There is nothing in chemotherapy that will prevent the spread of the disease.*

*How do we know? Just look at the statistics! There is a statistic known as "survival time." Survival time is defined as that interval of time between when the diagnosis of cancer is first made in a given patient and when that patient dies from his disease.*

*In the past fifty years, tremendous progress has been made in the early diagnosis of cancer. In that period of time, tremendous progress had been made in the surgical ability to remove tumors.*

*Tremendous progress has been made in the use of radiation and chemotherapy in their ability to shrink or destroy tumors.*

*But, the survival time of the cancer patient today is no greater than*

*it was fifty years ago. What does this mean? It obviously means that we are treating the wrong thing!"*  
*Philip Binzel, M.D., Alive and Well, Chapter 14*

Dr. Binzel was one of those medical doctors who switched from orthodox medicine to alternative medicine. He used liquid laetrile therapy by I.V. in Ohio before his death. His son was an attorney or likely he would not have been permitted to use that protocol in Ohio.

If your medical doctor told you that orthodox treatments extend life, compared to doing nothing, they did not know what they were talking about (see the next question).

On the other hand, Dr. Linus Pauling, the only two-time unshared Nobel Prize winner, did extend the life of cancer patients (compared to those who used chemotherapy) by ten times, and all he used was 10 grams of Vitamin C a day by I.V.

In other words, those who used vitamin C by I.V., instead of chemotherapy, lived ten times longer!! These patients had no pain from their treatment and some of them were totally cured.

Do you think "modern medicine" was happy about the discovery that Vitamin C is ten times more effective than chemotherapy? Orthodox medicine was not at all happy about Dr. Pauling and they did three intentionally faulty studies which tried to discredit him.

This should answer any questions you might have about whether the medical labor union, the AMA, cares about patients.

Whenever someone comes up with a treatment or cure for cancer, that is superior to orthodox medicine, it is time for damage control. Even when a two-time Nobel Prize winner comes up with something better than chemotherapy and radiation (and surgery in almost all cases), it is time for damage control.

It is rare when damage control is necessary, because of the media, but when a movie star or other high profile person supports alternative medicine; the propaganda machine is turned on in high gear.

**Question #10:** Does chemotherapy and radiation extend life?

**Answer #10:** Study this quote:

*A German epidemiologist from the Heidelberg/Mannheim Tumor Clinic, Dr Ulrich Abel, has done a comprehensive review and analysis of every major study and clinical trial of chemotherapy ever done. His conclusions should be read by anyone who is about to embark on the Chemo Express.*

*To make sure he had reviewed everything ever published on chemotherapy, Abel sent letters to over 350 medical centers around the world, asking them to send him anything they had published on the subject. Abel researched thousands of articles: it is unlikely that anyone in the world knows more about chemotherapy than he.*

*"The analysis took him several years, but the results are astounding: Abel found that the overall worldwide success rate of chemotherapy was 'appalling' because there was simply no scientific evidence available anywhere that chemotherapy can 'extend in any appreciable way the lives of patients suffering from the most common organic cancers'.*

*Abel emphasizes that chemotherapy rarely can improve the quality of life. He describes chemotherapy as 'a scientific wasteland' and states that at least 80 per cent of chemotherapy administered throughout the world is worthless and is akin to the 'emperor's new clothes'--neither doctor nor patient is willing to give up on chemotherapy, even though there is no scientific evidence that it works! (Lancet, 10 August 1991)*

*No mainstream media even mentioned this comprehensive study: it was totally buried.*

Tim O'Shea, [The Doctor Within](#)

**Question #11:** What is the true cure rate of orthodox medicine?

**Answer #11:** Among the many types of cancer, there are a very small number of cancers for which chemotherapy can actually keep a cancer patient in remission indefinitely. However, for most types of cancer, in fact the vast majority of types of cancer, chemotherapy is worthless and does more harm than good as was discussed above.

Thus, their overall 3% cure rate is somewhat deceptive because for most kinds of cancer the true cure rate is less than 1%. But even that number is deceptive because of the way they define "cure," the way they define "cancer," and so on.

The American Cancer Society is in charge of deceiving the general public as to the effectiveness of orthodox cancer treatments. They use a wide range of very sophisticated statistical tricks to make chemotherapy look far more effective than it really is. My first college degree was in mathematics so I understand their tricks. The board of the ACS is controlled by medical doctors, medical school executives, etc. and the ACS has absolutely no interest in curing cancer. Nor do most other "cancer research" organizations.

A "Non Sequitur" cartoon said it best (Friday, October 1, 2010). A dragon and a dragon-killing knight are talking to each other. The dragon says: "Look ... You're dead if you lose and out of a job if you win, so what I propose is a win-win that can be summed up in 3 words ... Dragon-slaying research."

The caption says: "Birth of the perpetual fundraising industry."

Yes, there are a few very small cancer research organizations that really are looking for cures for cancer (and if they are truly looking you can rest assured they have already found many cures), such as the Independent Cancer Research Foundation in Missouri and Utah and the American Anti-Cancer Institute in Washington state, but these organizations are blacklisted by the media and very few people know about them.

**Question #12:** Why do medical doctors use chemotherapy and radiation?

**Answer #12:** Medical doctors are only trained to use chemotherapy and radiation.

While they are expected to use these protocols, there are also financial reasons that they use these protocols.

Back in the mid-1960s, in Scotland (we know this from the writings of Linus Pauling); if medical doctors knew they could not significantly benefit a cancer patient they would simply send the patient home to die without any treatments!

They realized that the treatments of modern medicine caused a great deal of suffering but did not extend life.

These doctors had integrity because they did what was best for their patient's well-being. Such integrity is the subject of history books now.

*"My studies have proved conclusively that **untreated cancer victims** live up to **four times longer** than treated individuals. If one has cancer and opts to do nothing at all, he will live longer and feel better than if he undergoes radiation, chemotherapy or surgery ..."*

Prof Jones. (1956 Transactions of the N.Y. Academy of Medical Sciences, vol 6)

Now consider this quote:

*"In 1975, the respected British medical journal Lancet reported on a study which compared the effect on cancer patients of (1) a single chemotherapy, (2) multiple chemotherapy, and (3) no treatment at all. **'No treatment'** proved a significantly better policy for patients' survival and for quality of remaining life."*

Barry Lynes, The Healing of Cancer - The Cures - the Cover-ups and the Solution Now! - page 9

Today, about 20% of cancer patient who die are still taking chemotherapy!! If medical doctors had any integrity they would stop giving a cancer patient chemotherapy as soon as they knew the patient could not be significantly benefited by orthodox treatments (which is typically the day they meet the patient). The integrity of the 1960s is gone forever.

It seems that today no one considers quality of life or life-expectancy to be important. As already mentioned, if medical doctors today used the best of the alternative cancer treatments their patients would have a 90% survival rate and their patients would have zero pain and suffering from their treatment!!

The mother of one of my son-in-laws had a type of cancer which medical doctors knew they could not cure, but they did not send her home to die until they had all of her

insurance money and the money from 50 acres of her family's farm, which was the inheritance of her children.

Many medical doctors have seen this corruption and have moved from orthodox medicine to alternative medicine. Frequently they leave the United States and treat cancer patients in another country in order to avoid persecution by the AMA or their state medical board. Many of their patients are Americans!

**Question #13:** Why does a healthy cell become cancerous and is this knowledge important?

**Answer #13:** You have probably been taught over and over again that it is DNA damage that causes cancer. This is scientifically absurd. Whether this belief is what some people actually believe or whether it is nothing but a scam to convince the general public that cancer cannot be cured, I do not know.

The truth is that once a person understands what really causes cancer they will understand why it can be so easy to cure a newly diagnosed cancer patient of cancer. But even very advanced cancer patients can benefit from a clear understanding of what causes cancer.

When talking about what causes cancer we need to talk about it at two different levels. One level to talk about cancer is at the systemic level, meaning what causes cancer at the level of the entire body.

The other level of talking about what causes cancer is at the cellular level. In other words, why does an individual normal cell become cancerous? These two subjects are totally different subjects!! In this article we will also talk about the immune system and why it is so important.

We can compare these two levels by talking about a flood. We could talk all day long about the damage a rain storm caused, such as the flooding of rivers, the damage to crops caused by heavy rain, the damage to roads that have flooded, etc. But that is only one level to talk about rain. We could also ask what weather conditions cause rain to form in the clouds.

Thus, a scientist might talk about what causes rain up in the clouds, but a newscaster might talk about the flooding of a river caused by the rain.

The same is true about cancer. A discussion about what causes cancer at the cellular level is a totally different subject than talking about what causes cancer at the systemic level. And a discussion of the immune system is also critical.

Let us start by talking about cancer at the systemic level:

### **Part 1 of 3: What Causes Cancer At the Systemic Level**

Every human being has cancer cells in their body!! Anyone who can read this sentence has many cancer cells in their body. However, God has designed our bodies to have a very, very sophisticated immune system which, among other things, was designed to safely target and kill cancer cells.

When a person is "diagnosed" with cancer it means there is an imbalance in their body between the strength of their immune system and the number of cancer cells in their

body. In other words, something has damaged their immune system and/or something has caused a high number of cancer cells to form.

The result of this imbalance is an abnormally high number of cancer cells which thrive in their body. The number of cancer cells may grow out of control and eventually the person may be diagnosed with cancer.

The approach of many alternative or natural health practitioners is four-fold:

**First**, change the diet and lifestyle of the person to change their "inner terrain" to make the body hostile to cancer cells (e.g. change to an alkaline diet),

**Second**, strengthen the immune system with electromedicine and/or natural nutrients,

**Third**, use very gentle treatments that safely target and kill the cancer cells or revert them into normal cells,

**Fourth**, use supplements to protect and energize the non-cancerous cells damaged by orthodox treatments.

Of course, in many cases other things are needed, but these are the most common aspects of an alternative cancer treatment.

Note that in this process no damage is done to the body (except in rare cases where orthodox medicine is needed to deal with a special situation).

By doing these things the balance (between their immune system and the number of cancer cells) has been restored. *They are cured!!*

The approach of orthodox medicine, however, is to severely damage the immune system with chemotherapy, radiation and surgery. *This makes the imbalance even worse.* Orthodox cancer treatments also kill many healthy cells and can damage organs.

Ponder this carefully: even when orthodox medicine puts someone into "remission" (i.e. their cancer appears to be gone), they have made the *imbalance even worse*, so it is almost certain the cancer will come back!! This is called: regression.

Is it any wonder that alternative cancer treatments, when administered by experts, have a massively higher cure rate than orthodox medicine?

## **Part 2 of 3: What Causes Cancer At the Cellular Level**

So far we have only talked about what causes cancer at the systemic level. So what causes an individual cell to become cancerous? Many cancer cells form by a prior cancer cell dividing and creating two cancer cells. But how does a normal cell become cancerous?

In a normal cell, molecules called ATP (adenosine triphosphate) provide the energy of the cell. ATP molecules are created inside the mitochondria which are inside of every



human cell. In fact, there are thousands of mitochondria inside of every human cell.

The normal process of creating ATP molecules is this (this is highly simplified):

- 1) Glucose gets inside of the cell,
- 2) Some of the glucose is converted into pyruvate [this is a 10 step process],
- 3) Pyruvate gets inside of the mitochondria,
- 4) Once inside the mitochondria, pyruvate is at the beginning of two sequential chemical reactions (the Citric Acid Cycle and then the Electron Transport Chain which spins-off about half-way through the Citric Acid Cycle).

It is these two cycles which normally create the ATP molecules in the cell.

Cancer cells consume 15 times more glucose than a normal cell. [Thus, a person would logically expect a cancer cell to create 15 times more ATP molecules than a normal cell.](#)

But in reality, cancer cells create virtually [zero ATP molecules](#)!! Cancer cells are ATP molecule starved and they have to revert to fermentation to create what little ATP molecules they create.

With so much glucose there should be an abundance of ATP molecules!! Why in the world do cancer cells consume 15 times more glucose and yet not be able to create any ATP molecules in the normal way?

The thing that blocks the production of ATP molecules is a very special pleomorphic bacteria, which some alternative cancer treatment researchers believe is *Helicobacter Pylori* or *H. pylori*. However, it is not quite as simple as naming one bacteria as a cause of cancer, but I won't get into that.

So how does a bacteria block the production of ATP molecules and thus turn a cell cancerous?

The bacteria blocks ATP production in two different ways:

**First**, bacteria eat glucose so as the bacteria proliferates inside the cell (by the way, a bacteria is roughly the same size as a mitochondria) it intercepts more and more glucose. This means [less and less pyruvate is made](#). With less pyruvate there is less ATP.

**Secondly**, microbes excrete mycotoxins, which are highly acidic and totally worthless molecules. This also blocks the mitochondria from getting the key molecules it needs to create ATP.

The bottom line is that the mitochondria, instead of "swimming" in a sea of pyruvate are swimming in a sea of mycotoxins!!

This blocks the mitochondria from obtaining any pyruvate and thus blocks both the

Citric Acid Cycle and the Electron Transport Chain.

These discoveries were made by the author in 2004.

This microbe is highly pleomorphic, meaning it has many different sizes and shapes (16 to be exact), as discovered by Dr. Gaston Naessens. This microbe can literally be smaller than a virus. Many people think it is a virus or fungus that causes cancer, but it is actually a cell wall deficient pleomorphic bacteria in most cases and perhaps all cases.

The book: The Persecution and Trial of Gaston Naessens, by Christopher Bird, describes the 16 phases of the cancer microbe as discovered by Gaston Naessens. So what causes the DNA damage in a cancer cells.

In one of its smaller states it is the size of a virus and can enter inside of the cell nucleus. Like a virus, which gets inside of the nucleus, the DNA of the cancer microbe can interact with the DNA inside the cell nucleus and change the DNA structure of the cell.

The next quote discusses one of the discoveries of the Dr. Virginia Livingston, M.D. group of cancer researchers:

*More importantly, the Dillers showed that cancer germs [i.e. microbes] were able to gain entrance not only into the [non cancerous] cell (intra-cellular), but also [into the nucleus](#) of the cell. This intra-nuclear invasion meant that [cancer microbes could gain access to the genes contained within the nucleus itself](#).*  
Four Women Against Cancer, by Dr. Alan Cantwell, M.D, Page 47

Scientists see the DNA damage caused by these microbes and claim that it is DNA damage which causes cancer. This is like saying that smoke is the cause of a fire. It is a good guess, but it is false.

The DNA damage of a cancer cell is caused by the DNA of the same highly pleomorphic bacteria that blocked the production of ATP molecules. Actually, this bacteria does many other things to create cancer cells, protect cancer cells from the immune system and cause the spread cancer!!

Thus, the claim by cancer researchers (who are funded by the pharmaceutical industry) that DNA damage causes cancer is wrong. Whether this is an intentional error (i.e. to avoid finding a cure for cancer) or is caused by ignorance I do not know.

In any case, due to the lack of energy in cancer cells (i.e. due to the lack of ATP molecules), cancer cells are very weak. But because cancer cells steal glucose from the body (to provide energy) the non-cancerous cells have less glucose and are very sick!! They are sick for other reasons as well.

It gets worse.

Cancer cells create large amounts of lactic acid as they process large amounts of glucose. This lactic acid goes into the bloodstream and gets to the liver. The liver converts the lactic acid into glucose. This "ping pong ball" cycle, where the cancer cells convert glucose into lactic acid and the liver converts lactic acid into glucose, is called the "lactic acid cycle" or "cachexia cycle."

This cycle is what kills about half of all cancer patients because so much energy is consumed at both ends of the cycle.

Many cancer researchers, starting over 100 years ago in the 1890s, have isolated the cause of cancer to be microbes (at the cellular level), though they did not understand the mechanism inside the cell which caused a microbe to make a cell cancerous.

*In 1890 the distinguished pathologist William Russell (1852-1940) first reported "cancer parasites" in cancer tissue that was specially stained with carbol fuchsin, a red dye. The "parasite" was found inside and outside the cells. The smallest forms were barely visible microscopically; and the largest parasites were as large as red blood cells. Russell also found "parasites" in tuberculosis, syphilis and skin ulcers.*

Four Women Against Cancer, by Dr. Alan Cantwell, M.D, pages 5354

Note that the cancer microbe was found both inside and outside of the cancer cells. One method that cancer cells use to spread quickly (at least for some kinds of cancer) is for the cancer microbe to come out of the cell, travel through the blood and create a new "colony" of cancer cells far from the original colony.

Squamous Cell Carcinoma, Melanoma and Uterine Cancer are all thought to spread in this manner by the researchers of the Independent Cancer Research Foundation, Inc. (obviously an alternative medicine research organization).

In summary, cancer at the systemic level is caused by an imbalance between the strength of the immune system and the number of cancer cells. At the systemic level many, many things can cause cancer.

But cancer at the cellular level is caused by one and only one thing: a very unique microbe.

Let us ask one more question: have there been any cancer treatments that cured cancer by killing the microbes inside the cancer cells?

In the 1930s, as mentioned above, Dr. Royal Rife, a microbiologist, was able to cure cancer with gentle electromedicine, which had two frequencies. One frequency was designed to kill the cancer bacteria (inside the cancer cells) and the second frequency was a "carrier" frequency which got the other frequency through the cell membrane to get inside the cancer cells to kill the microbes.

In the book: Cancer & Natural Medicine - A Textbook of Basic Science and Clinical Research, author John Boik identifies a dozen substances which have been shown in vitro to be able to revert cancer cells into normal cells (he calls it "differentiation")!! All twelve of these items are anti-microbial.

DMSO also reverts cancer cells into normal cells, but is so important it is mentioned in its own table, Table 2.3!!

If cancer was caused by DNA damage, it would be impossible to revert cancer cells into normal cells. But several very effective alternative cancer treatments were designed to do just that.

There are likely many natural molecules in nature which cure cancer by killing the microbes inside the cancer cells, but which have not yet been identified as working in this manner. It is much easier to kill microbes than to kill cancer cells, if the substance can get inside of the cancer cell.

The Independent Cancer Research Foundation, Inc. (ICRF) researches both electromedicine protocols (such as Rife developed) and has also designed several cancer treatments using DMSO or MSM as carriers to get microbe killing substances (such as colloidal silver) inside of cancer cells. These treatments have been very successful, but research is still very preliminary.

In fact, for several years, the ICRF has been involved in researching ways to revert cancer cells into normal cells. The reason for this research is that these protocols can work much faster than protocols which kill cancer cells because there is no debris from dead cancer cells. This is the ideal way to cure cancer, along with building the immune system.

### **Part 3a of 3: The Immune System**

A normal person will not be diagnosed with cancer in their lifetime. The reason is that there is enough of a balance between their immune system and the number of cancer cells that the cancer cells do not get out of control.

However, what most people do not understand is how sophisticated and potent the immune system is if it is fully functioning. In fact, it is extremely rare when someone's immune system is fully functioning, that is why so little is known about the power of the immune system.

It is a fact that if a person's immune system was fully functional no one would ever get cancer.

The immune system creates two key molecules called: interleukin and interferon. These molecules are called neuropeptides or nerve proteins. There are more than 2,000 neuropeptides in the body, but the cancer-fighting effects of most of them are unknown.

Interleukin and interferon, and likely several other neuropeptides, are absolutely deadly to cancer cells!!

The problem is that the human body generally does not produce an optimal amount of these neuropeptides.

The reason is that microbes (but *not* the same microbes that live inside of cancer cells) block the effectiveness of the immune system.

The average person has about 2 pounds of microbes in their bloodstream and in other places in their body. It is these microbes which interfere with the immune system's ability to create these key neuropeptides.

How do we know this?

The reason is an alternative cancer treatment called the Bob Beck Protocol. This protocol is a simple electromedicine device which completely cleans the blood of microbes within a few weeks. It was originally designed as a cure for AIDS, which it is, but it also turns out to be a cure for cancer and I mean very advanced cancer cases!!

The question is this: how does this simple protocol cure cancer?

The reason is that when the body has no microbes in the bloodstream the immune system becomes supercharged as it can in no other way!! The body makes the neuropeptides in "large" amounts (this is a relative term, not an absolute term). The neuropeptides, when the immune system is supercharged by getting rid of the microbes, quickly destroys the cancer cells.

It takes about 3 weeks for the Bob Beck Protocol to get rid of all of the microbes in the bloodstream [Note: the protocol should continue for life or the microbes will come back!!!]. Exactly how long it takes the immune system to be fully recharged is unknown, but likely the immune system starts to become effective against cancer within three or four weeks of starting the protocol.

The contribution of Dr. Bob Beck, PhD (his PhD was in physics and he taught physics at USC) should not be underestimated!!

The point is that the Bob Beck Protocol should be used by every cancer patient who is NOT on chemotherapy or other toxic drugs or another electromedicine protocol (there are also a few alternative cancer treatments which should not be used with the Beck Protocol because of electroporation).

Drugs cannot be used with electromedicine because of "electroporation," which is a phenomenon which would allow the drugs to get inside of the wrong cells.

Another absolutely forbidden substance when using this protocol is garlic. Garlic should never be used by any human being because it kills brain cells.

### Part 3b of 3: The Immune System

It has been known for many years that some plants and other natural substances help build the immune system without getting rid of all of the microbes in the bloodstream. Certain mushrooms have been particularly identified as being helpful for the immune system.

Here is a list of some of the supplements that claim to help build the immune system.

The 4Life brand of Transfer Factor Plus may be the best immune building product available to cancer patients. It consists of:

Beta Glucans — polysaccharides, immune builder, anti-cancer, anti-tumor Maitake and Shiitake Mushrooms — antiviral, antifungal, antibacterial, cellular function (immune system) Cordyceps — polysaccharides, sterols (immune system and many other actions) Inositol Hexaphosphate (IP6) - anticancer Beta Sitosterol — phytosterol (immune system and anti-inflammatory) Olive Leaf Extract — antiviral, antifungal, antibacterial (even used for AIDS/HIV)

Here is a list of other excellent immune builders:

1) Organic Germanium [Recommended] See:

<http://www.positivehealth.com/permit/Articles/Nutrition/Germanium/chapter3.htm> See for vendors: Article and Vendors of Germanium

2) IP6 (Inositol hexaphosphate) [Recommended]

3) A Beta Glucan Supplement: "Beta-1,3D Glucan" [made by Transfer Point] (\*) [Recommended]

4) MGN3 / MGN-3 (available in the U.S. under the brand name: BioBran)

5) An AHCC Supplement: Impower, ImmunoKinoko, Immune-Assist includes AHCC

6) Immune Fx

7) Zeolites (heavy metals interfere with the immune system, zeolites remove heavy metals)

8) Aloe Immune glyconutrient product (see my Stage IV article for information about this product)

9) Moducare (a sterols and sterolins supplement)

10) Garlic - whole bulbs or a supplement designed for immune system (use only during active cancer treatment)

11) RM-10 Ultra [Garden of Life] (\*)

12) Oncolyn ([www.bellayre.com](http://www.bellayre.com)) (\*)

(\*) means the product is endorsed by cancer researcher Bill Henderson

There are many other products designed to build the immune system (e.g. Lentinan, PSK, PSP, Coriolan, D-Fraction, Mushroom Immunity Complex, etc.)!!

Be aware that every vendor claims their product is the best and also remember that alternative cancer researchers do not have lab facilities to test their claims!!

MGN-3 or BioBran has a single manufacturer, and has been studied in labs, and is also recommended. However, MGN-3 or MGN3 has been severely persecuted by the FDA and its availability and brand name changes from time to time.

In summary, there are several natural ways to cure cancer:

- 1) You can safely and gently kill the cancer cells with nutrients, oxygen therapies, etc.,
- 2) You can safely and gently kill the [microbes](#) which are inside the cancer cells with electromedicine, DMSO or other tactics and thus revert the cancer cells into normal cells,
- 3) You can safely and gently kill the microbes which are in the bloodstream and thus supercharge the immune system to create interleukin and interferon and other neuropeptides, which in turn kill the cancer cells,
- 4) You can supercharge the immune system with nutrients. This can also cure cancer though it does not work as fast as some of the other treatments. Many natural plants have been identified which can build the immune system without killing all of the microbes in the bloodstream (or perhaps some of them work by killing microbes in the bloodstream and no one realizes that that is the way they work),
- 5) You can block the "lactic acid cycle" with hydrazine sulphate (a.k.a hydrazine sulfate) (this is not a direct cure, but it extends the life of the patient so other treatments have longer to work), 6) You can supercharge the non-cancerous cells with nutrients and minerals. Note: this is not a direct cure, but is very commonly used to extend the life of the patient until other treatments can become effective. In fact, it is frequently the first thing that is done in a treatment.

A typical cancer treatment will actually do three or four of the above things and maybe all six of them.

If I were going to use the Bob Beck Protocol I would certainly also use plant and herbal protocols which also help build the immune system, however, I would not use the supplements and herbal products within two hours of each other.

**Question #14:** What are the best Alternative Cancer Treatments?

**Answer #14:** The reader might wonder about which of the roughly 600 alternative cancer treatments is the strongest, safest and fastest-acting for advanced cancer patients.

The answer is that no one knows because none of us has the time to work with more than a few dozen of the protocols.

For newly diagnosed cancer patients, there are hundreds of effective alternative cancer treatments if the patient does not have a fast-growing type of cancer or a cancer which has already spread significantly.

Thus, I will only address the question for those who have had significant orthodox cancer treatments.

For clinic use, the German clinics have the best reputation (especially the ones that use hyperthermia), but the German clinics are very busy and do not always keep up with new technologies so I am not sure if they are still the best.

The German cancer clinics also have a fairly significant rate of "regression" because few people realize that a cancer patient is NOT CURED by simply getting rid of the cancer cells. They are cured ONLY when the balance between their immune system and the number of cancer cells are in balance [AT NORMAL LEVELS](#).

For those who have had chemotherapy it generally takes 2 or 3 years to rebuild the immune system back to normal levels. Chemotherapy has destroyed the normal level of the immune system, and you CANNOT restore the immune system in three weeks while at a clinic.

Every alternative cancer clinic sends their patients home with a list of supplements to take, but they do not seem to grasp what it takes to fully restore the immune system.

Anyone who has been to a clinic actually needs to go on a full alternative cancer treatment after they are home. This protocol should include a nutritional protocol plus immune builders (immune builders could include the Bob Beck Protocol and nutritional nutrition builders).

As far as [home treatments](#) are concerned, for advanced cancer patients who have been sent home to die; it is [highly recommended](#) to combine an electromedicine protocol (which either reverts cancer cells into normal cells or supercharges the immune system) with a nutritional protocol which includes immune builders.

A "not-so-advanced" cancer patient can get by with just a nutritional protocol.

An electromedicine protocol is required when the person has had a lot of chemotherapy



(which damages the cells in the stomach or colon, thus the patient cannot properly digest supplements) OR they have had stomach or colon surgery.

In other words, if their stomach or colon are damaged, a nutritional protocol will likely not be enough.

In the experience of the cancer researchers of the Independent Cancer Research Foundation, the best electromedicine protocol is the GB-4000 M.O.P.A. plasma machine which was originally designed by Royal Rife, but has been replicated using modern technology.

However, there are scores of different brands of Rife Machines and we are only familiar with several of them. However, clearly the GB-4000 family is the most authentic brand because original Rife machines were carefully studied by the manufacturer (in fact he owns an original Rife machine).

However, this does not mean it is the most effective electromedicine device, only that it is the most authentic.

Electromedicine protocols do no harm to the body, but are able to revert cancer cells into normal cells. We know this because [they work](#) and because there is no way an electromedicine protocol can differentiate a cancer cell from a normal cell (which would be required for them to kill cancer cells and leave healthy cells alone). Nor were they designed to make that differentiation.

Unfortunately the GB-4000 with M.O.P.A. device costs close to \$5,000, and as already mentioned, many cancer patients don't have any money left. The GB-4000 with SR-4 amplifier costs about \$2,500.

A far less expensive device, which we know is [very effective](#), but don't know if it is as effective as the M.O.P.A., is the Bob Beck Protocol.

While the GB-4000 (which also has a little brother, the SR-4) reverts cancer cells into normal cells, the Bob Beck Protocol builds the immune system and lets the immune system take care of the cancer.

Those with a little electronics background can actually build the Bob Beck Protocol for less than \$200 if they watch the Bob Beck videos on YouTube (watch the Ventura and Granada videos as a minimum). However, these devices can also be purchased on the Internet.

So what should be combined with the electromedicine device? Here is a short list of candidates:

- 1) Collect-Budwig (a complete protocol by itself),
- 2) Bill Henderson Protocol (also a complete protocol by itself),
- 3) A quality Limu Juice,
- 4) Brandt Grape Cure,

5) and many, many others.

For those with considerable funds, they might combine the GB-4000 with M.O.P.A with the Cellect-Budwig. For those with more modest funds they may combine the Bob Beck Protocol (especially if it is made at home) with the Bill Henderson Protocol, which is very inexpensive.

Note that I have not mentioned the Kelley protocol. It is best used at a clinic, not at home, though it can be used at home. Like the Gerson protocol, it is a lot of work.

For a list of other excellent websites on alternative cancer treatments, go to the home page of the Cancer Tutor website ([www.cancertutor.com](http://www.cancertutor.com)) and search for the string: "Links To Other Cancer Websites"

R. Webster Kehr

[www.cancertutor.com](http://www.cancertutor.com)

May, 2011