

On a hierarchy of Borel additive subgroups of reals

Ashutosh Kumar

February 2009

1 Introduction

In his “A course of pure mathematics”, G. H. Hardy considers the following limit (Example XXIV.14 in [1]):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin(n! \pi x)$$

He remarks that when x is rational, this limit is 0. Let G be the set of reals where this limit is 0. It is easily verified that G is an additive subgroup of \mathbb{R} . It is also not hard to see that Euler’s constant e is also in G . Going a little further, one can show the following.

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose $x \in [0, 1]$. Then x has a unique representation of the form*

$$x = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{x_n}{n!}$$

where $x_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Under this representation, $x \in G$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{n}$ is either 0 or 1.

Proof: Let $d(x, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the distance of x from the set of integers. First notice that for any sequence of reals $\langle x_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin(\pi x_n) = 0$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. For any $x \in [0, 1]$ with x_n ’s as above, $d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) = d(b_n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $b_n = \frac{x_{n+1}}{n+1} + \varepsilon_n$ where $0 \leq \varepsilon_n < 1/n$. Hence if $\frac{x_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ or 1, then $d(b_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$. Conversely suppose, along some subsequence n_k , $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n_k}}{n_k} = a$ where $0 < a < 1$. Then for all large enough k , $d((n_k - 1)!x, \mathbb{Z})$ is arbitrarily close to a so that $\sin(n! \pi x)$ is bounded away from zero on this subsequence.

2 A true Π_3^0 group

As we remarked above, G can also be described as follows:

$$G = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) = 0\}$$

In this section, we’ll show that G is a true Π_3^0 additive subgroup of \mathbb{R} . Recall the definition of the pointclasses Σ_α^0 , Π_α^0 :

- Σ_1^0 is the family of open subsets of \mathbb{R} , Π_1^0 is the family of closed sets.
- for each $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$, a set X is in Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) iff it is the union (resp. intersection) of a countable subfamily of $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Pi_\beta^0$ (resp. $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Sigma_\beta^0$).

Remark: We never use effective versions of these pointclasses hence all our pointclasses are boldface even if they don't look bold.

One can also consider these pointclasses over other Polish spaces (separable completely metrizable spaces) like 2^ω (Cantor space), ω^ω (Baire space). A set in Π_α^0 is a true Π_α^0 set if it is not in Σ_α^0 . A true Σ_α^0 set is defined similarly. We begin by recalling some basic facts about Wadge reductions. In what follows, all Polish spaces are uncountable and therefore the Borel hierarchies on such spaces do not terminate at any countable level.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between Polish spaces and let $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$. We write $f : (X, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Wadge}} (Y, B)$ (read “ f Wadge reduces A to B ”) if $f^{-1}[B] = A$. The following are easily proved.

Lemma 2.1. *If $f : (X, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Wadge}} (Y, B)$ where B is Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) in Y then A is Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) in X .*

Lemma 2.2. *If $f : (X, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Wadge}} (Y, B)$ and A is true Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) in X , and if B is Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) in Y then B is true Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) in Y .*

Let A be a Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) set in X . We say A is Σ_α^0 -complete (resp. Π_α^0 -complete) if for every Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) set B in any Polish space Y there is a Wadge reduction $f : (Y, B) \xrightarrow{\text{Wadge}} (X, A)$. The following result in Wadge's thesis shows that any two true Σ_α^0 (resp. Π_α^0) sets in Cantor space Wadge reduce to each other.

Theorem 2.3 (Wadge). *A subset A of 2^ω is Σ_α^0 -complete if A is true Σ_α^0 in 2^ω .*

Some examples follow.

- Any countable dense subset D of an uncountable Polish space X is Σ_2^0 -complete. E.g., $Q = \{x \in 2^\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) = 0\}$. The trueness of D follows by Baire category theorem. Hence by Wadge's theorem, D is Σ_2^0 -complete.
- Let $\langle , \rangle : \omega^2 \rightarrow \omega$ be a pairing function; for example, $\langle m, n \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(m+n+1)(m+n) + n$. Let $P = \{x \in 2^\omega : \forall m (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(\langle m, n \rangle) = 0)\}$. Then P is Π_3^0 -complete.

Proof: It is clear that P is Π_3^0 . To show that P is Π_3^0 -complete, fix an arbitrary Π_3^0 set A in a Polish space X . Let $A = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_n$, where A_n 's are Σ_2^0 . Since Q is Σ_2^0 -complete, there are Wadge reductions $f_m : (X, A_n) \xrightarrow{\text{Wadge}} (2^\omega, Q)$. Let $F : (X, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Wadge}} (2^\omega, P)$ be given by $F(x)(\langle m, n \rangle) = f_m(n)$.

Theorem 2.4. *The set $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) = 0\}$ is a true Π_3^0 additive subgroup of reals.*

Proof: It is clear that G is an additive subgroup of reals and that it is Π_3^0 :

$$x \in G \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 (\exists n_0 (\forall n \geq n_0 (d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) \leq \varepsilon)))$$

It suffices to construct a Wadge reduction from $P = \{x \in 2^\omega : \forall m (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(\langle m, n \rangle) = 0)\}$ to G . Let $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as follows. Given $x \in 2^\omega$, let $y_x : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be defined by letting $y_x(n)$ to be the least index $m < n$ such that $x(\langle m, n \rangle) = 1$. In case no such $m < n$ exists, we let $y_x(n) = n$. It is clear that the function $x \mapsto y_x$ is continuous and for every $x \in 2^\omega$, $x \in P \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_x(n) = \infty$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{a_n}{n!}$, where $a_n = \lfloor \left(\frac{n}{2 + y_x(n)} \right) \rfloor$, and $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes

the greatest integer not greater than x . Now if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_x(n) = \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$, hence $f(x) \in G$. On the other hand, if $x \notin P$, then along some subsequence $\langle n_k : k \in \omega \rangle$, $y_x(n_k)$ is constant so that $\frac{a_{n_k}}{n_k}$ does not go to either 0 or 1.

3 A few more groups

Let $G_0 = G$, $G_{k+1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) \in G_k\}$. Then one can easily check that, for each $k \in \omega$, G_k is an additive subgroup of \mathbb{R} . Next we show that

Lemma 3.1. G_k is Π_{k+3}^0 .

Proof: Let $W = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ exists}\}$. Then W is Π_3^0 since

$$x \in W \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 (\exists n_0 (\forall m, n \geq n_0 (|d(m!x, \mathbb{Z}) - d(n!x, \mathbb{Z})| \leq \varepsilon)))$$

Let $h : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(n!x, \mathbb{Z})$. For every open interval (a, b) , and for every $x \in W$,

$$h(x) \in (a, b) \Leftrightarrow \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0 (d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) \in [a + 1/n, b - 1/n])$$

This implies that for every open set U , $h^{-1}[U]$ is the intersection of a Σ_2^0 set with W . This implies that, if $G_k \in \Pi_{k+3}^0$, then $G_{k+1} = h^{-1}[G_k]$ is the intersection of a Π_{k+4}^0 set with W hence is also Π_{k+4}^0 .

In the remaining part of this section we will show that G_k is a true Π_{k+3}^0 set. First we need a nice family of Π_k^0 -complete sets for $k \geq 3$. The following construction appears in [?].

Let $\phi : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ be defined by $\phi(x)(m) = 1$ iff $\forall n (x(\langle m, n \rangle) = 0)$. Extend ϕ to $2^{\leq \omega}$ by defining $\phi(\sigma) = \phi(\sigma\bar{0})$, where $\sigma \in 2^{< \omega}$ and $\sigma\bar{0}$ is σ followed by 0's. Note that although ϕ is not continuous, (e.g., $0^n\bar{1}$ converges to $\bar{0}$, $\phi(0^n\bar{1}) = \bar{0}$ does not converge to $\phi(\bar{0}) = \bar{1}$), $\phi(x \upharpoonright n)$ does converge to $\phi(x)$. Let $H_1 = \{\bar{0}\}$, $H_{k+1} = \phi^{-1}[H_k]$. Then H_k is Π_k^0 -complete.

Theorem 3.2. For every $k \in \omega$, G_k is a true Π_{k+3}^0 additive subgroup of reals.

Proof: When $k = 0$, this was proved above. Suppose $f : (2^\omega, H_{k+3}) \xrightarrow{\text{Wadge}} (\mathbb{R}, G_k)$, where H_{k+3} is the Π_{k+3}^0 -complete set defined above. For $x \in 2^\omega$, let $a_n = f(\phi(x \upharpoonright n))$ and

$a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\phi(x \upharpoonright n)) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x \upharpoonright n)) = f(\phi(x))$. Put $b_n = \lfloor n(d(a_n, \mathbb{Z})) \rfloor$ and define $g : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{b_n}{n!}$. Then g is continuous. Also $g(x) \in G_{k+1}$ iff

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, \mathbb{Z}) = d(a, \mathbb{Z}) \in G_k$. Hence $x \in H_{k+4} \Leftrightarrow \phi(x) \in H_{k+3} \Leftrightarrow f(\phi(x)) \in G_k \Leftrightarrow a \in G_k \Leftrightarrow d(a, \mathbb{Z}) \in G_k \Leftrightarrow g(x) \in G_{k+1}$.

As a corollary, $G_\omega = \bigcup \{G_k : k \in \omega\}$ is in Σ_ω^0 but not in Σ_k^0 for any $k \in \omega$ since $G_\omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(n!x, \mathbb{Z}) \in G_\omega\}$.

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy: A course of pure mathematics (10th ed.), Cambridge University Press (1952)
- [2] A. Louveau, J. Saint Raymond: Borel classes and closed games: Wadge-type and Hurewicz-type results, Trans. of A.M.S., Vol. 304, No. 2, (Dec. 1987), pp. 431-467
- [3] W. WADGE: Reducibility and determinateness on the Baire space, PhD thesis Univ. of California Berkeley (1983)