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## FOREWORD

The Caribbean Community Council of Ministers, acting on the advice and recommendations of the Standing Committee of Caribbean Statisticians (SCCS), in February 2000, approved the use of a regionally coordinated approach for the 2000 Round of Population and Housing Censuses. The strategy included an activity on the Analysis and Dissemination of Census Data and Results, which comprised the preparation of National Census Reports (NCRs) and Regional Special Topic Monographs (RSTMs).

Fourteen Member States and four Associate Members participated in the programme. The participation of these countries in the Regional Census programme was in recognition of the value and economy of regional co-operation and coordination in executing the Censuses and for the production of comparable, high quality socio-economic data, useful in planning, and improving the quality of life and in achieving overall progress of the peoples of the Region.

The NCRs were undertaken by writers from the Region with experience in Demography, with two reviewers from the University of the West Indies (UWI) ensuring the soundness of the quality of the publications. On the basis of the review and comments by the respective National Statistical Offices and consultation with the writers and reviewers, the Reports were finalised by the CARICOM Secretariat.

The first and final drafts of this publication, "2000 Round of Population and Housing Census of the Caribbean Community: National Census Report, Belize" were prepared by Dr. Leopold Perriott of Belize and reviewed by Dr. Godfrey St. Bernard of the Sir Arthur Lewis Institute for Social and Economic Studies (SALISES), UWI, St. Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago. The tables for the Report were generated by Mr. Wendell Thomas, Data Processing Consultant of Trinidad and Tobago as well as by the staff of the CARICOM Secretariat, specifically with respect to the RSTMs. The final draft was extensively reviewed by the Secretariat, including technical and language review and general formatting.

The analysis of the Census was funded by the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) through the CARICOM Capacity Development Programme (CCDP). The CCDP was designed as a strategic response to key trends and emerging priorities in the CARICOM environment with the objective of promoting the economic and social development of CARICOM through the deepening of the regional integration process. The overall aim of the CCDP was the strengthening of the institutional capacity of CARICOM to provide leadership in the regional integration process, and the enhancing of the implementation capacity of the CARICOM Secretariat to achieve clear results in core programme areas.

Specifically, the outputs of the Census Statistics Sub-Project under the CCDP were to lead to improved development planning in Member Countries and in the Region through the use of the census data and information. The deliverables anticipated are eighteen (18) National Census Reports; five (5) Regional Special Topic Monographs; a volume of Basic Tables; training of personnel in demographic analysis through a seven-week workshop facilitated by UWI; and the establishment of an online facility to enable access to census data by users for analysis, research, policy formulation and decision-making.

The Census Data Analysis project was aimed at filling the gap existing in the Region and specifically within the national statistical systems in the area of demographic and population analysis, thereby enabling its use in policy and decision-making. Statisticians are in short supply in the Region and the area of demography is even more severely affected. The Census Data Analysis project provided a common framework for enabling comparability of the demographic transition and population characteristics across Member States based on the elements outlined in the content of the National Census Report. Additionally the reports are able to highlight trends in the demographic transition of the population of Member Countries from youthful to ageing populations; to make significant linkages with respect to education, training and economic activity; or economic activity with gender and fertility. The process of preparing the reports also allowed for quality checks on data, with the support of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) and the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin American and the Caribbean (UNECLAC).

A major challenge that persists is that of having clean data sets for analysis. To mitigate these data challenges, a series of four training courses is being undertaken to train personnel in the Region, with the first one funded out of the CCDP and the remaining three from a multi-programme technical assistance project, with funds received from the Caribbean Development Bank (CDB). In addition, a short course for senior officials from statistical officers was also undertaken with CDB funding.

It is hoped that these Reports will benefit the countries through providing the analysis with regard to their age, sex, education, occupation, economic activity and other critical characteristics that are important to aid the formulation of policy and decision-making, both public and private, such as government officials, researchers, academics, members of the business community and civil society. Furthermore, the experience gained, together with the efforts to strengthen capacity, will equip the Region to analyse the results of the 2010 Census.

The CARICOM Secretariat takes this opportunity to thank all persons and organisations who have been associated with this Statistics project.
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## INTRODUCTION

Census Day for the Belize 2000 Population and Housing Census was May 12, 2000. Population composition and dynamics as highlighted in this report reflect the state of the nation on this date. The identification of Census Day is based on a number of variables within the country. These variables are mainly politically, socio-culturally and legally determined.

To facilitate a better understanding of the Population and Housing Census Report, it is necessary to comprehend population concepts used. Two types of populations were addressed in the Belize 2000 Census Report:

- the enumerated population and
- the tabulated population.

The enumerated population is a total headcount of the entire population and includes a count of both the institutional (Prisons, Hospitals, Old-Age Homes etc.) and the noninstitutional populations. Included in the enumerated population are persons who could not be contacted; for example, persons not at home, persons who had serious language barriers, persons too old to respond, persons incapacitated by serious illness, the homeless and persons who refused to answer questions.

The tabulated population on the other hand, comprises the population who responded to the census questionnaires. It is expected as such that the enumerated population will be greater than the tabulated population.

While the census is designed to enumerate everyone resident in a population, this is almost next to impossible as there will always be errors related to enumerating a population such as over-counting or under-counting, of which the latter is usually more likely to occur. The mere idea of an enumerated population lends itself to the possibility of undercounting because persons may not be reached for various reasons. Estimates by the Central Statistical Office (CSO) place undercounting at about 3.5 per cent for the 2000 Round of the Population and Housing Census and based on this estimate of error,
counts obtained from the census were adjusted accordingly to correct for errors related to undercounting.

In order to be conform with the current practices in Belize, the population was grouped into the following age groups:

1. Less than 5 years (Infants)
2. 5 years to 14 years (Children of compulsory school age)
3. 15 years to 24 years (Young adults)
4. 25 years to 54 years (Adults of prime working age)
5. 55 years to 64 years (Adults of retirement age)
6. 65 Plus years (Retired adults)

These age groups are used by the various organizations which use age statistics in Belize.

## Geography Of Belize

Belize spans approximately 8,866 square miles; it borders the Caribbean Sea to the east with 386 km of coastline. It consists of a total of 516 km of land and borders with Mexico 250 km to the north- northwest and with Guatemala 266 km to the south-southwest. At its narrowest (East to West) Belize measures about 60 miles and about 200 miles north to south. Belize is the only English-speaking country in Central America and the only one without a Pacific coastline. The capital city of Belmopan is where the Government of Belize is seated. There are numerous islands in Belize some of which are unpopulated, coral reefs and cays.

On the mainland, the vegetation inhere dense rainforest in the south and south-west and sugar cane and cattle farms in the north. Extensive pine forests can be found in the mountainous mid-south-west while grassland savanna is present in the West of the country. Occupying the east along the entire coastline of the country is Mangrove forests.

There are six administrative districts in Belize each with their own urban administrative centers (town or city). These districts are:

- the Corozal District in the North which borders Mexico and the Caribbean Sea,
- the Orange Walk District in the north and north-west which borders Guatemala and the Belize District,
- the Cayo District in the mid-west which borders Guatemala on the western side and Belize District on the eastern side,
- the Belize and Stann Creek Districts in the mid-east which borders the Caribbean Sea on the eastern side and,
- the Toledo District in the south which borders Guatemala and the Caribbean Sea.


## Summary of Chapters

## Chapter 1: The Population of Belize

This chapter examines the size and growth of the population at census years over the last four censuses, 1970-2000. Analysis is conducted separately for males and females and for the urban and rural populations. Age profiles are used to examine the characteristics of select subgroups of the population and to demonstrate changes in the age composition of the population over several decades.

## Chapter 2: The Ethnic, Religious and Educational Structure of Belize

This chapter analyses population diversity as it relates to ethnicity, religiosity and education. Because of their diversity and impact on the general culture of Belize, ethnicity and religion are key factors to note in any study of Belize. Ethnicity in particular strongly impacts on the sub-culture in terms of language, religion and other social, demographic and economic traits and is therefore important to study. Education is focused on in a gender oriented manner as well as place of residence. The chapter also gleans on economic activities within Belize.

## Chapter 3: Population Redistribution and Patterns of Migration

This chapter focuses on population movements within Belize and how this movement influences the population composition and distribution and by extension population change. Internal migration in Belize generally occurs with the movement of people from
areas sparsely populated to the more densely populated areas and so rural-urban migration is highlighted in this chapter. Additionally, foreign born residents are important to the everyday life, economic and social of Belize and their contributions are examined in this chapter. Returned migration is also a key feature of this chapter.

## Chapter 4: Education and Training

In this chapter, education levels of the general population are discussed and issues of adequacy, coverage and gender are also addressed as it relates to education. Particular attention is paid to children less than 5 years old and the 5 years or older age group is also examined. In addition, qualifications and training for the 15 years and older age group are examined and discussed.

## Chapter 5: Economic Activity

This chapter delves into the economic activities within Belize and primarily addresses working activities of the population in the past week, that is, over the week of the census. Accordingly, this chapter looks at occupation in the past week, type of industry in which people are involved and the type of worker involved in these occupations and industries.

## Chapter 6: Housing and Living Arrangements

This chapter addresses the housing situation in Belize. It focuses on the number of housing units available to the population along with the quality of construction by way of building materials in addition to highlighting matters of potential overcrowding by shedding light on the number of persons per household. It gives an overview of not only the types of housing that people occupy but also the types of facilities and amenities that are available within these households.

## Chapter 7: Health and Disability

This chapter primarily focuses on matters of health with a strong emphasis on issues of disability. Health is a critical component of any society's development. Akin to health in general, disability is a key health indicator and persons with disabilities comprise a very special group in the population and so they require special services and facilities to
function adequately in society. This chapter illustrates what the health status of the Belizean population is, including its disabled populace and provides profiles for persons at risk of various diseases and disabilities.

## Chapter 8: Children

This chapter addresses issues related to children 0-14 years. The issues focused on in this chapter are the economic status, housing arrangements, attendance at schools, special needs and health issues of children.

## Chapter 9: Youth

This chapter addresses matters related to the youth population (those between ages 15 and 24). A brief profile of youth as classified into two age groups; 15 to 19 years and 20 to 24 years is given in this chapter with the main issues being school attendance, training and economic activity.

## Chapter 10: The Elderly

This chapter presents information on issues related to the elderly population (those 60 years and over). A profile of the elderly is presented in this chapter: where they live, union status, economic activity and disabilities.

## Chapter 11: Gender and Development Issues

In this chapter, the issues of work, economic activity, and education and their variation across categories of gender are explored. The chapter gives an overview of the types of jobs that are occupied by the different sexes and looks at the types of training and levels of education as they are achieved by the different sexes. This is in an effort to glean on patterns of gender differentials in these socio-economic areas.

## Chapter 12: Households and the Characteristics of Headship

This chapter defines what constitutes a household and examines the social profiles of heads of households including sex, age, marital status and education levels.

## CHAPTER 1

## THE POPULATION OF BELIZE

### 1.1. Population Size and Growth

The total enumerated population of Belize more than doubled between the 1970 and 2000 Population and Housing Censuses, increasing from a total enumerated population of 119 645 persons in 1970 to 240204 persons in 2000 (Table 1.1) Over the 30 year period between 1970 and 2000, the highest percentage increase in the population took place within the 11 year interval between 1980 and 1991 at 30.30 per cent. The lowest percentage increase in the population occurred between 1970 and 1980 at 21.49 per cent while between 1991 and 2000 the population increased by 26.83 per cent.

In the 1970's and 1980's, the majority of the population resided in the urban areas, 54.26 per cent and 51.70 in 1980. However, this changed in 1991 and continued into 2000, with more than 50 per cent of the population residing in the rural areas. In 1991, 99387 persons representing 52.48 per cent of the population resided in the rural areas compared with 90005 persons or 47.52 per cent who resided in the urban areas while in 2000, 125 663 persons representing 52.32 per cent of the population resided in the rural areas compared with 114541 persons or 47.68 per cent who resided in the urban areas.

Table 1.1 further details the population change from urban to rural dominance over the 30 years period between the 1970 and 2000. It illustrates that the urban population had an increase of 76.45 per cent over the 30 years from 64915 to 114541 while the rural population more than doubled with a population increase of 129.61 per cent from 54730 in 1970 to 125663 in 2000. The annual growth for the entire population over the 30 years period is recorded at 2.32 per cent per annum; for the rural areas over the 30 years the annual growth rate is recorded at 2.77 per cent per annum while that of the urban areas is at 1.89 per cent per annum

# Table 1.1: Distribution of Total Enumerated Population by Urban/Rural ${ }^{1}$ Characteristics and Census Year and Annual Growth Rate 

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Total | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent | Annual <br> Growth <br> Rate |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9 6 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5 3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 3 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 2}$ |  |
| Urban | 64915 | 54.26 | 75152 | 51.70 | 90005 | 47.52 | 114541 | 47.68 | $\mathbf{1 . 8 9}$ |  |
| Rural | 54730 | 45.74 | 70201 | 48.30 | 99387 | 52.48 | 125663 | 52.32 | $\mathbf{2 . 7 7}$ |  |

Source: CSO, Belize

Table 1.2 presents the distribution of the population at the district level. In 1970, Belize District, the most urban district in Belize, had the largest number of persons with a total of 49615 persons followed by Orange Walk (16 638), Cayo (16 023), Corozal (15 403), Stann Creek (13 012) and the least populated Toledo with 8954 persons. Up until 2000, Belize District continued to be the most populous district with 68197 persons and Toledo continued to be the least populated with 23297 persons. However, over the 30 years period between 1970 and 2000, Cayo District saw the highest percentage increase in population, increasing by a factor of 3.28 . On the other hand, Belize District had the lowest percentage increase even though it remained the most populous district from 1970 to 2000, increasing by a factor of only 1.37 . Other districts posted population increases by factors of 2.60 (Toledo), 2.34 (Orange Walk), 2.12 (Corozal), and 1.89 (Stann Creek). It is noteworthy that Toledo District grew by the second highest factor even though it continued to be the country's least populated district between 1970 and 2000.

[^0]Table 1.2: Distribution of Total Enumerated Population by District and Census Year

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Division | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9 6 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5} \mathbf{3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9} \mathbf{3 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0} \mathbf{2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Corozal | 15403 | 12.87 | 22902 | 15.76 | 28464 | 15.03 | 32708 | 13.62 |
| Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk | 16638 | 13.91 | 22870 | 15.73 | 30681 | 16.2 | 38890 | 16.19 |
| Belize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District | 49615 | 41.47 | 50801 | 34.95 | 57030 | 30.11 | 68197 | 28.39 |
| Cayo | 16023 | 13.39 | 22837 | 15.71 | 37693 | 19.90 | 52564 | 21.88 |
| Stann |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Creek | 13012 | 10.88 | 14181 | 9.76 | 18085 | 9.55 | 24548 | 10.22 |
| Toledo | 8954 | 7.48 | 11762 | 8.09 | 17439 | 9.21 | 23297 | 9.70 |

### 1.2. Population Urban/Rural Composition

As indicated earlier, the population of Belize remains largely rural with 52.32 per cent of the country's residents in 2000, living in rural areas (Table 1.1). The 2000 census reveal that, based on population size, the only predominantly urban district in Belize is the Belize District with over 60000 persons (Table 1.3). All other districts are mainly rural, but special note must be made to the Cayo District which is seemingly on the verge of becoming urban with over 50000 persons. This is probably due to the inclusion of the city of Belmopan as one of the three urban centers of the Cayo District. Given observed population concentrations, it should be noted that all districts, with the exception of the Belize District and Cayo District, have exhibited population concentrations that render them more rural than urban. Even the Belize District which is the only urban district based on the 2000 census, has been slowly exhibiting population concentration that renders it less urban and hence a bit more rural. For Belize District as Table 1.3 indicates, the percentage of its population in rural areas was 19.45 per cent in 1980, and 21.48 per cent in 2000. Strong gains in the rural compositions in the Corozal and Orange Walk Districts have also been recorded over the last 9 years between 1991 and 2000 (Table
1.3). The rural population of Belize increased by a factor of 1.32 while the urban population increased by 1.17.

Table 1.3: Distribution of Total Enumerated Population by Urban/Rural Classification, District and Census Year, 1991 and 2000

| District | 1991 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent |
| Total | 189392 | 100.00 | 240204 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 90005 | 47.52 | 114541 | 47.68 |
| Rural | 99387 | 52.48 | 125663 | 52.32 |
| Corozal | 28464 | 100.00 | 32708 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 7062 | 24.81 | 7888 | 24.12 |
| Rural | 21402 | 75.19 | 24820 | 75.88 |
| Orange Walk | 30681 | 100.00 | 38890 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 11014 | 35.90 | 13483 | 34.67 |
| Rural | 19667 | 64.10 | 25407 | 65.33 |
| Belize District | 57030 | 100.00 | 68197 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 45936 | 80.55 | 53549 | 78.52 |
| Rural | 11094 | 19.45 | 14648 | 21.48 |
| Cayo | 37693 | 100.00 | 52564 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 16100 | 42.71 | 26478 | 50.37 |
| Rural | 21593 | 57.29 | 26086 | 49.63 |
| Stann Creek | 18085 | 100.00 | 24548 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 6435 | 35.58 | 8814 | 35.91 |
| Rural | 11650 | 64.42 | 15734 | 64.09 |
| Toledo | 17439 | 100.00 | 23297 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 3458 | 19.83 | 4329 | 18.58 |
| Rural | 13981 | 80.17 | 18968 | 81.42 |

### 1.3. Population Gender Composition

Over the period 1970-2000, the proportion of males in the population continued to be slightly greater than that of females (Table 1.4). The percentage of males in the population varied from a low of 50.23 per cent in the 1970 census to a high of 50.86 per cent in the 1991 census. In 2000, the percentage of males in the population was 50.49. Although the general populace in the four census years of 1970, 1980, 1991 and 2000 consisted of slightly more males than females, when controlling for area of residence, it is seen that the same is not true for the urban population. A look at the four census periods between 1970 and 2000 reveal that males were always outnumbered by females in the total population in all the urban areas while females were always outnumbered by males in the total population in all the rural areas. In 2000, in the urban areas, there were 49.38 per cent males compared with 50.62 per cent females. In the rural areas however, males outnumbered females with 51.50 per cent of the rural population being males compared with 48.50 per cent females.

In $2000,46.64$ per cent of all males resided in the urban areas while 53.36 per cent resided in the rural areas. It is also seen that in 2000, 48.75 per cent of the total female population resided in the urban areas compared with 51.25 per cent who resided in the rural areas.

Table 1.4: Distribution of Total Enumerated Population by Sex, District and Census Year

| District | 1970 |  | 1980 |  | 1991 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per <br> Cent | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| Total | 119645 | 100.00 | 145353 | 100.00 | 189392 | 100.00 | 240204 | 100.00 |
| Male | 60092 | 50.23 | 73617 | 50.65 | 96325 | 50.86 | 121278 | 50.49 |
| Female | 59553 | 49.77 | 71736 | 49.35 | 93067 | 49.14 | 118926 | 49.51 |
| Urban | 64915 | 100.00 | 75152 | 100.00 | 90005 | 100.00 | 114541 | 100.00 |
| Male | 31032 | 47.80 | 36543 | 48.63 | 44412 | 49.34 | 56565 | 49.38 |
| Female | 33883 | 52.20 | 38609 | 51.37 | 45593 | 50.66 | 57976 | 50.62 |
| Rural | 54730 | 100.00 | 70201 | 100.00 | 99387 | 100.00 | 125663 | 100.00 |
| Male | 29060 | 53.10 | 37074 | 52.07 | 51913 | 52.23 | 64713 | 51.50 |
| Female | 25670 | 46.90 | 33127 | 46.53 | 47474 | 47.77 | 60950 | 48.50 |
| Corozal | 15403 | 100.00 | 22902 | 100.00 | 28464 | 100.00 | 32708 | 100.00 |
| Male | 8081 | 52.46 | 12000 | 52.40 | 14545 | 51.10 | 16422 | 50.21 |
| Female | 7322 | 47.54 | 10902 | 47.60 | 13919 | 48.90 | 16286 | 49.79 |
| Orange Walk | 16638 | 100.00 | 22870 | 100.00 | 30681 | 100.00 | 38890 | 100.00 |
| Male | 8744 | 52.55 | 12004 | 52.49 | 16027 | 52.24 | 19948 | 51.29 |
| Female | 7894 | 47.45 | 10866 | 47.51 | 14654 | 47.76 | 18942 | 48.71 |
| Belize District | 49615 | 100.00 | 50801 | 100.00 | 57030 | 100.00 | 68197 | 100.00 |
| Male | 24116 | 48.61 | 25005 | 49.22 | 28402 | 49.80 | 34035 | 49.91 |
| Female | 25499 | 51.39 | 25796 | 50.78 | 28628 | 50.20 | 34162 | 50.09 |
| Cayo | 16023 | 100.00 | 22837 | 100.00 | 37693 | 100.00 | 52564 | 100.00 |
| Male | 8422 | 52.56 | 11549 | 50.57 | 19149 | 50.80 | 26417 | 50.26 |
| Female | 7601 | 47.44 | 11288 | 49.43 | 18544 | 49.20 | 26147 | 49.74 |
| Stann Creek | 13012 | 100.00 | 14181 | 100.00 | 18085 | 100.00 | 24548 | 100.00 |
| Male | 6343 | 48.75 | 7140 | 50.35 | 9334 | 51.61 | 12734 | 51.87 |
| Female | 6669 | 51.25 | 7041 | 49.65 | 8751 | 48.39 | 11814 | 48.13 |
| Toledo | 8954 | 100.00 | 11762 | 100.00 | 17439 | 100.00 | 23297 | 100.00 |
| Male | 4386 | 48.98 | 5919 | 50.32 | 8868 | 50.85 | 11722 | 50.32 |
| Female | 4568 | 51.02 | 5843 | 49.68 | 8571 | 49.15 | 11575 | 49.68 |

### 1.4. Population Age Composition

Belize has a fairly young population with 60.88 per cent of the tabulable population in the 2000 census being less than 25 years of age (Table 1.5). Table 1.5 reveals that the 5-14 age group has the highest percentage share of the population, approximately 27 per cent. The 55-64 age group accounts for the smallest proportion of the population with only 3.57 per cent of the population found in this age category.

While the 5-14 years age group recorded the highest proportion of the population in 2000, there has been a steady decrease in the percentage of children belonging to this age group over the last four decades. In 1970, this group represented 31.22 per cent of the total population compared with 29.45 per cent in 1980, 27.85 per cent in 1991 and 26.55 per cent in 2000. Despite declining relative shares of the total population over the last few censuses, total population figures in this age group show an increase of 64.62 per cent between 1970 and 2000 from 37440 to 61 632. Between 1991 and 2000 this group increased by 19.80 per cent from 51445 to 61632 children while between 1980 and 1991 this percentage increase was 20.18 from 42806 to 51445 . Between 1970 and 1980 there was a percentage increase of 14.33 per cent from 37440 to 42806 .

A comparison of the less than 25 years population over the period 1970-2000, has indicated that between 1970 and 1980, this group recorded percentage shares of the total population of 66.69 per cent (1970), and 67.10 per cent (1980). By 1991 however, it had decreased to 63.99 per cent and continued to decrease into 2000 when it was 60.88 per cent.

Table 1.5 further indicates that over the period between 1970 and 2000, the population of Belize 25-54 years almost tripled, increasing by 150.97 per cent from 28997 to 72775 . Between 1991 and 2000 the total number of persons 25-54 years old, increased by 41.55 per cent from 51414 to 72775 persons, 46.16 per cent between 1980 and 1991 from 35 176 to 51414 and, 21.31 per cent between 1970 and 1980 from 28997 to 35176 persons. The 25-54 years age group population increased over the period 1970-2000, from 24.18 per cent of the total population in 1970 to 31.35 per cent of the total population in 2000.

The percentage distribution as a share of the total population steadily increased over the period. In 1970 and 1980, this population accounted for 24.18 and 24.20 per cent of the total population respectively, while in 1991 this increased to 27.83 after which it increased to 31.35 per cent in 2000.

The population 55-64 years has exhibited a decrease in percentage share of the total population over the last four censuses. In 1970 this group accounted for 4.84 per cent of the total population, and this decreased in 1980 accounting for 4.10 per cent of the total population. Further, in 1991, this group accounted for 3.97 per cent and continued to decrease into 2000 when this group accounted for 3.57 per cent of the total population. This group increased by 42.53 per cent between 1970 and 2000 from 5807 persons in 1970 to 8277 persons in 2000. Between 1991 and 2000, this group increased by 12.98 per cent from 7326 persons in 1991.

## Table 1.5: Distribution of Total Tabulated Population by Age Group and Census Year

| Age <br> Group | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | 21728 | 18.12 | 24310 | 16.72 | 29710 | 16.08 | 33470 | 14.42 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 4}$ | 37440 | 31.22 | 42806 | 29.45 | 51445 | 27.85 | 61632 | 26.55 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ | 20820 | 17.36 | 30415 | 20.92 | 37047 | 20.06 | 46213 | 19.91 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 4}$ | 11743 | 9.79 | 15844 | 10.90 | 26246 | 14.21 | 33819 | 14.57 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 4}$ | 10028 | 8.36 | 10320 | 7.11 | 15776 | 8.54 | 24639 | 10.62 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 4}$ | 7226 | 6.02 | 9012 | 6.20 | 9392 | 5.08 | 14317 | 6.17 |
| $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4}$ | 5807 | 4.84 | 5960 | 4.10 | 7326 | 3.97 | 8277 | 3.57 |
| $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ | 5142 | 4.29 | 6686 | 4.60 | 7780 | 4.21 | 9744 | 4.20 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9 9 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5 3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source: CSO, Belize

The $65+$ population represented 4.29 per cent of the total population in 1970 and 4.60 per cent, the highest percentage share recorded for this age group in 1980. Additionally, in 1991 this age group represented 4.21 per cent of the total population and only decreased by 0.01 to 4.20 per cent in 2000 .

Table 1.6: Distribution of Total Tabulated Population by Sex, Age Group and Census Year

| Age Group/ Sex | 1970 |  | 1980 |  | 1991 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per <br> Cent | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent |
| Total | 119934 | 100.00 | 145353 | 100.00 | 184722 | 100.00 | 232111 | 100.00 |
| Male | 60091 | 50.10 | 73617 | 50.65 | 93371 | 50.55 | 116669 | 50.26 |
| Female | 59843 | 49.90 | 71736 | 49.35 | 91351 | 49.45 | 115442 | 49.74 |
| 0-4 | 21728 | 100.00 | 24310 | 100.00 | 29710 | 100.00 | 33470 | 100.00 |
| Male | 10996 | 50.61 | 12254 | 50.41 | 15017 | 50.55 | 16884 | 50.45 |
| Female | 10732 | 49.39 | 12056 | 49.59 | 14693 | 49.45 | 16586 | 49.55 |
| 5-14 | 37440 | 100.00 | 42806 | 100.00 | 51445 | 100.00 | 61632 | 100.00 |
| Male | 18883 | 50.44 | 21646 | 50.57 | 26025 | 50.59 | 31202 | 50.63 |
| Female | 18557 | 49.56 | 21160 | 49.43 | 25420 | 49.41 | 30430 | 49.37 |
| 15-24 | 20820 | 100.00 | 30415 | 100.00 | 37047 | 100.00 | 46213 | 100.00 |
| Male | 10367 | 49.79 | 15479 | 50.89 | 18395 | 49.65 | 22833 | 49.41 |
| Female | 10453 | 50.21 | 14936 | 49.11 | 18652 | 50.35 | 23380 | 50.59 |
| 25-34 | 11743 | 100.00 | 15844 | 100.00 | 26246 | 100.00 | 33819 | 100.00 |
| Male | 5878 | 50.06 | 8054 | 50.83 | 13221 | 50.37 | 16569 | 48.99 |
| Female | 5865 | 49.94 | 7790 | 49.17 | 13025 | 49.63 | 17250 | 51.01 |
| 35-44 | 10028 | 100.00 | 10320 | 100.00 | 15776 | 100.00 | 24639 | 100.00 |
| Male | 4999 | 49.85 | 5320 | 51.55 | 8153 | 51.68 | 12499 | 50.73 |
| Female | 5029 | 50.15 | 5000 | 48.45 | 7623 | 48.32 | 12140 | 49.27 |
| 45-54 | 7226 | 100.00 | 9012 | 100.00 | 9392 | 100.00 | 14317 | 100.00 |
| Male | 3648 | 50.48 | 4656 | 51.66 | 4934 | 52.53 | 7420 | 51.83 |
| Female | 3578 | 49.52 | 4356 | 48.34 | 4458 | 47.47 | 6897 | 48.17 |
| 55-64 | 5807 | 100.00 | 5960 | 100.00 | 7326 | 100.00 | 8277 | 100.00 |
| Male | 2887 | 49.72 | 3030 | 50.84 | 3844 | 52.47 | 4450 | 53.76 |
| Female | 2920 | 50.28 | 2930 | 49.16 | 3482 | 47.53 | 3827 | 46.24 |
| 65+ | 5142 | 100.00 | 6686 | 100.00 | 7780 | 100.00 | 9744 | 100.00 |
| Male | 2451 | 47.67 | 3178 | 47.53 | 3782 | 48.61 | 4812 | 49.38 |
| Female | 2691 | 52.33 | 3508 | 52.47 | 3998 | 51.39 | 4932 | 50.62 |

Source: CSO, Belize

## CHAPTER 2

## ETHNIC, RELIGIOUS, EDUCATIONAL AND ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

## Introduction

Although English is the official language of Belize, a variety of different languages can be heard in the streets, towns and villages. This language diversity is a reflection of the rich mixture of different ethnicities and cultures which are integral facets of life in Belize. There is also a corresponding diverse mixture of religious traditions. This chapter explores the cultural diversities of the population as it is distributed throughout the various districts and the urban and rural settings of Belize.

Education is also a priority throughout the nation and a state-church partnership is ever proactive in providing training and schooling to all sectors and groups across the country. Some attention will be given to the interplay of ethnicity, religion and education in this chapter.

Additionally, issues related to the economic activities will be addressed in the last section of this chapter. Specific emphasis will be on the profile of those within the labour force and to some extent those outside of it.

### 2.1. Population and Ethnicity

The Belize population comprises a diverse mix of ethnic groups and Table 2.1 shows that in 2000, the majority of the Belize population were local born; approximately 85 per cent. Table 2.2 indicates that in 2000, the Mestizo/Spanish was the largest group accounting for 48.70 per cent of the total population (113 045 persons), followed by Creoles who accounted for 24.93 per cent of the total population ( 57859 persons). Mayans accounted for 10.56 per cent of the total population ( 24501 persons), Garifunas 6.06 per cent (14 061 persons) and the Mennonites 3.57 per cent ( 8276 persons). All other ethnic groups accounted for 6.17 per cent of the total population (14 372 persons).

Table 2.1: Total Tabulated Population by Place of Birth and Sex, 2000

|  | Total | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Place of Birth | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent | Number | Percent |  |
| Belize | 197657 | 85.16 | 99081 | 84.90 | 98576 | 85.42 |  |
| Abroad | 34279 | 14.77 | 17530 | 15.02 | 16749 | 14.51 |  |
| Not Stated | 175 | 0.87 | 95 | 0.08 | 80 | 0.07 |  |
| Country Total | $\mathbf{2 3 2 ~ 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 ~ 7 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5} \mathbf{4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |

Source: CARICOM

Table 2.2: Total Tabulated Population by Ethnicity, 2000 and 1991

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ethnicity | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Black/African | 582 | 0.25 | - | - |
| Caucasian/White | 1758 | 0.76 | 1494 | 0.81 |
| Chinese | 1716 | 0.74 | 747 | 0.40 |
| Creole | 57859 | 24.93 | 55051 | 29.80 |
| East Indian | 6868 | 2.96 | 6455 | 3.49 |
| Garifuna | 14061 | 6.06 | 12274 | 6.64 |
| Maya Ketchi | 12366 | 5.33 | 7954 | 4.31 |
| Maya Mopan | 8980 | 3.87 | 6770 | 3.66 |
| Maya Yucatec | 3155 | 1.36 | 5686 | 3.08 |
| Mennonite | 8276 | 3.57 | 5763 | 3.12 |
| Mestizo/Spanish | 113045 | 48.70 | 80477 | 43.57 |
| Syrian/Lebanese | - | - | 167 | 0.09 |
| Other | 2610 | 1.12 | 1867 | 1.01 |
| Not Stated | 835 | 0.36 | 17 | 0.01 |
| Country Total | $\mathbf{2 3 2 ~ 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ |  |
| Source: CSO Belize |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |

Source: CSO, Belize

The Mestizo/Spanish represent the ethnic group with the highest number and percentage of persons in the population in 1991 and 2000 ( 80477 and 113045 respectively).

On the other hand, the Chinese had the lowest number and percentage of persons in 1991 and 2000 (747 and 1716 respectively). Despite this however, it is the Chinese that represent the fastest growing group in the population with their population more than doubling between 1991 and 2000. Between 1991 and 2000, the Chinese population increased by a percentage of 129.72 . Though the Mestizo/Spanish was the largest ethnic group between 1991 and 2000, they recorded the fourth highest percentage increase in population of 40.47 behind the Chinese, the Maya Ketchi ( 55.47 per cent), and the Mennonite 43.61 per cent. The lowest percentage increases in population was with the Creoles and the East Indians with 5.10 per cent and 6.40 per cent respectively. It is important to note however that the Creoles recorded the second highest absolute increase in the population behind the Mestizo/Spanish over the period 1991 and 2000 of 2808 persons from 55051 in 1991 to 57859 persons in 2000 for the respective years. The East Indian population remained relatively small over the period with 6455 persons in 1991 compared with 6868 persons in 2000. In 2000, Blacks/Africans ${ }^{2}$ had the smallest numbers of persons in the population (582). In 1991, Syrians/Lebanese ${ }^{3}$ had the smallest number of persons in the population (167). The Maya Yucatec is the only group to record a percentage decrease in its population size between 1991 and 2000, decreasing from 5 686 to 3155 representing a 44 per cent decline.

### 2.2. Urban/Rural and Ethnicity

As mentioned in chapter one, majority of the Belize population resides in the rural areas. However a breakdown of rural versus urban residence by ethnicity as shown in Table 2.3 reveal that among all ethnic groups except East Indians, Mayans, Mennonites and Mestizo/Spanish, there is a higher percentage of persons residing in the urban areas based on the 2000 census. The same patterns hold true for 1991 with the addition of Syrians/Lebanese who were most likely urban dwellers. The most outstanding figures, those representing over 60 per cent of the population in 2000 show that 83.97 per cent of Chinese, 77.84 per cent of Blacks/Africans, 76.62 per cent of Garifunas and 65.72 per cent of Creoles reside in the urban areas. Among the ethnic groups with higher

[^1]percentages of rural dwellers than urban dwellers, Mennonites was the most outstanding group with 98.71 per cent of them residing in the rural areas. This was followed by Mayans with 90.23 per cent residing in the rural areas, Mestizos/Spanish with 59.96 per cent residing in the rural areas and finally East Indians with 50.83 per cent residing in the rural areas.

In 1991, the most outstanding group among urban dwellers was Syrian/Lebanese with 96.41 per cent residing in the urban areas. This was followed by Chinese with 90.09 per cent residing in the urban areas, Garifunas with 76.82 per cent residing in the urban areas and Creoles with 68.77 per cent residing in the urban areas. Among ethnic groups more likely to be rural dwellers, Mennonites were the most outstanding with 98.55 per cent of them residing in the rural areas. This is followed by Mayans with 90.69 per cent residing in the rural areas and Mestizos with 61.55 per cent residing in the rural areas. Additionally, it is seen that 51.94 per cent of East Indians resided in the rural areas.

### 2.3. Ethnicity Patterns by Districts

The 1991 and 2000 censuses have revealed patterns indicating that there is a preference for certain ethnic groups to reside in particular districts.

### 2.3.1. Mestizo/Spanish

Mestizos/Spanish are mainly located in the three northerly districts which borders Mexico and Guatemala. In essence, they are most likely to reside in Cayo, Orange Walk and Corazol Districts. Table 2.4 shows that of the 80477 Mestizos/Spanish recorded in 1991, they were more likely to reside in Orange Walk District with a total number of 21 859 persons ( 27.16 per cent) followed by Cayo District with a total of 21170 ( 26.31 per cent) and Corozal District with a total of 21056 ( 26.16 per cent). The 2000 censuses however reveals a slight contrast, in which of the 113045 Mestizos/Spanish, they were more likely to reside in Cayo District followed by Orange Walk District and then Corozal District. Nearly one-third of the Mestizo/Spanish reside in Cayo District. In fact, 32637 Mestizos/Spanish (28.87 per cent) resided in Cayo District showing an increase of 11467 persons from 1991. There were 29296 ( 25.92 per cent) Mestizo/Spanish residing in the

Orange Walk District in 2000, and 24487 ( 21.65 per cent) in the Corazol District. Mestizos/Spanish were least likely to live in Toledo with 2080 ( 2.58 per cent) residing in that area in 1991 and 3384 ( 2.99 per cent) in 2000.

Table 2.3: Total Tabulated Population by Ethnicity and Urban/Rural Classification, 2000 and 1991

| Ethnicity | Total | Urban <br> Number | Per Cent | Rural <br> Number | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black/African | 582 | 453 | 77.84 | 129 | 22.16 |
| Caucasian/White | 1758 | 1021 | 58.08 | 737 | 41.92 |
| Chinese | 1716 | 1441 | 83.97 | 275 | 16.03 |
| Creole | 57859 | 38026 | 65.72 | 19833 | 34.28 |
| East Indian | 6868 | 3377 | 49.17 | 3491 | 50.83 |
| Garifuna | 14061 | 10774 | 76.62 | 3287 | 23.38 |
| Maya Ketchi | 12366 | 1016 | 8.22 | 11350 | 91.78 |
| Maya Mopan | 8980 | 996 | 11.09 | 7984 | 88.91 |
| Maya Yucatec | 3155 | 382 | 12.11 | 2773 | 87.89 |
| Mennonite | 8276 | 107 | 1.29 | 8169 | 98.71 |
| Mestizo/Spanish | 113045 | 45261 | 40.04 | 67784 | 59.96 |
| Other | 2610 | 1967 | 75.36 | 643 | 24.64 |
| Not Stated | 835 | 442 | 52.93 | 393 | 47.07 |
| Country Total | 232111 | 105263 | 45.35 | 126848 | 54.65 |
| 1991 |  | Urban |  | Rural |  |
| Ethnicity | Total | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent |
| White | 1494 | 844 | 56.49 | 650 | 43.51 |
| Chinese | 747 | 673 | 90.09 | 74 | 9.91 |
| Creole | 55051 | 37856 | 68.77 | 17195 | 31.23 |
| East Indian | 6455 | 3102 | 48.06 | 3353 | 51.94 |
| Garifuna | 12274 | 9435 | 76.87 | 2839 | 23.13 |
| Maya Ketchi | 7954 | 509 | 6.40 | 7445 | 93.60 |
| Maya Mopan | 6770 | 718 | 10.61 | 6052 | 89.39 |
| Maya Other | 5686 | 673 | 11.84 | 5013 | 88.16 |
| Ger/Dutch/Mennonite | 5763 | 64 | 1.11 | 5699 | 98.55 |
| Mestizo | 80477 | 30947 | 38.45 | 49530 | 61.55 |
| Syrian/Lebanese | 167 | 161 | 96.41 | 6 | 3.59 |
| Other | 1867 | 1070 | 57.31 | 797 | 42.69 |
| Not Stated | 17 | 17 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Country Total | 184722 | 86069 | 46.59 | 98653 | 53.41 |

Source: CSO, Belize

### 2.3.2. Creole

People of Creole ethnicity tend to be more likely to reside in the Belize District and this is revealed in both the 1991 and 2000 censuses. Creoles were most likely to be found in the Belize District with about six out of every ten Creoles living in this area in 1991 and 2000, with a total population of 36875 in 1991 and 37211 in 2000. They were least likely to reside in Toledo with 2.12 per cent (1226) residing there in 2000 compared with 1.82 per cent ( 1003 ) residing there in 1991. Noteworthy is the fact that over the 9 years between 1991 and 2000 though Creoles continued to be most dominant in Belize District, there was a decrease in their presence from 66.98 per cent in 1991 to 64.31 per cent in 2000. Their presence in other districts though remaining small, less than 10 per cent in all districts except Cayo with 16.09 per cent, increased by a few percentage points between 1991 and 2000.

Table 2.4: Total Tabulated Population by Ethnicity and District, 2000 and $1991{ }^{4}$

| Ethnicity and Districts |  | 2000 |  | 1991 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per Cent |
| Mestizo |  | 113045 |  | 80477 |  |
|  | Corozal | 24478 | 21.65 | 21056 | 26.16 |
|  | Orange Walk | 29296 | 25.92 | 21859 | 27.16 |
|  | Belize | 15865 | 14.03 | 10170 | 12.64 |
|  | Cayo | 32637 | 28.87 | 21170 | 26.31 |
|  | Stann Creek | 7385 | 6.53 | 4142 | 5.15 |
|  | Toledo | 3384 | 2.99 | 2080 | 2.58 |
| Creole |  | 57857 |  | 55051 |  |
|  | Corozal | 2302 | 3.98 | 2151 | 3.91 |
|  | Orange Walk | 2604 | 4.5 | 2243 | 4.07 |
|  | Belize | 37211 | 64.31 | 36875 | 66.98 |
|  | Cayo | 9308 | 16.09 | 8390 | 15.24 |
|  | Stann Creek | 5206 | 9 | 4389 | 7.97 |
|  | Toledo | 1226 | 2.12 | 1003 | 1.82 |
| Maya |  | 24501 |  | 20410 |  |
|  | Corozal | 921 | 3.76 | 1401 | 6.86 |
|  | Orange Walk | 1229 | 5.02 | 2777 | 13.61 |
|  | Belize | 708 | 2.89 | 671 | 3.29 |
|  | Cayo | 3616 | 14.76 | 3161 | 15.49 |
|  | Stann Creek | 2898 | 11.83 | 1412 | 6.92 |
|  | Toledo | 15129 | 61.75 | 10988 | 53.84 |
| Garifuna |  | 14061 |  | 12274 |  |
|  | Corozal | 332 | 2.36 | 361 | 2.94 |
|  | Orange Walk | 372 | 2.65 | 368 | 3.00 |
|  | Belize | 3487 | 24.8 | 2852 | 23.24 |
|  | Cayo | 747 | 5.31 | 619 | 5.04 |
|  | Stann Creek | 7584 | 53.94 | 6323 | 51.52 |
|  | Toledo | 1539 | 10.95 | 1751 | 14.27 |
| Mennonite |  | 8276 |  | 5763 |  |
|  | Corozal | 2108 | 25.47 | 1407 | 24.41 |
|  | Orange Walk | 3671 | 44.36 | 2622 | 45.5 |
|  | Belize | 30 | 0.36 | 37 | 0.64 |
|  | Cayo | 2309 | 27.9 | 1671 | 29 |
|  | Stann Creek | 33 | 0.4 | 11 | 0.19 |
|  | Toledo | 125 | 1.51 | 15 | 0.26 |
| Other |  | 14371 |  | 10745 |  |
|  | Corozal | 2068 | 14.39 | 2041 | 18.99 |
|  | Orange Walk | 888 | 6.18 | 636 | 5.92 |
|  | Belize | 5760 | 40.08 | 3707 | 34.5 |
|  | Cayo | 2604 | 18.12 | 1512 | 14.07 |
|  | Stann Creek | 1337 | 9.3 | 1200 | 11.17 |
|  | Toledo | 1714 | 11.93 | 1649 | 15.35 |

[^2]
### 2.3.3. Maya

Mayans were mainly found in Toledo District with more than half of this ethnic group residing in this area in 1991 and 2000, with a total population of 10988 in 1991 and 15 129 in 2000. The period 1991 to 2000 as shown in Table 2.4 demonstrates patterns of a southerly movement of Mayans from the Northern Districts (Corozal and Orange Walk Districts) to the Southern Districts of Stann Creek and Toledo. Both absolute numbers and percentages, as presented in Table 2.4, show reductions in Mayans residency between 1991 and 2000 for the Corozal and Orange Walk Districts while both the Stann Creek and Toledo Districts, post significant gains. In 1991, the Corozal District had a total Mayan population of 1401 and this decreased to 921 in 2000 while the Orange Walk District which had a total population of 2777 in 1991 decreased to 1229 in 2000. The population of Stan Creek on the other hand which had a population of 1412 in 1991, increased to 2898 in 2000 while Toledo increased from a Mayan population of 10988 in 1991 to 15129 in 2000. In the Cayo District, the number of Mayans increased from 3161 in 1991 to 3616 in 2000.

### 2.3.4. Garifuna

Garifunas were mostly present in Stann Creek with over 50 per cent of this population present in this area in both 1991 and 2000, with a population of 6323 in 1991 and 7584 in 2000. Belize District also had a significant number of Garifunas between 1991 and 2000 with 24.80 per cent of Garifunas present in Belize District in 2000, a small percentage increase over the 23.24 per cent present in the same district in 1991. Garifunas were least likely to be present in the Cayo and Orange Walk Districts with 5.04 per cent in 1991 and 5.31 per cent in 2000 in Cayo District and 3.00 per cent in 1991 and 2.65 per cent in 2000 in the Orange Walk district.

### 2.3.5. Mennonite

About two in every five Mennonites resided in Orange Walk District between 1991 and 2000 accounting for the area with the largest number of Mennonites with a total of 2622 in 1991 and 3671 in 2000. A significant number of Mennonites were also found in
other districts; in Cayo there were 2309 Mennonites and in Corozal there were 2108 based on the 2000 census and in 1991, 1671 and 1407 Mennonites found in the respective districts.

### 2.3.5. Other Ethnic Groups

In 2000, other ethnic groups combined were mostly found in Belize District with 5760 persons ( 40.08 per cent). This was followed by Cayo District with a total of 2604 (18.12 per cent) and Corozal with 2068 (14. 39 per cent). Other ethnicities were least likely to reside in Orange Walk District with 888 persons ( 6.18 per cent).

### 2.4. Religious Group

The most dominant religious groups in Belize are the Roman Catholics and Anglicans with Roman Catholics far outnumbering Anglicans based on both the 1991 and 2000 censuses. It is evident that there has been substantial eroding of the traditional religious structure of Belize's population. Between 1991 and 2000 the number of persons who were not religiously affiliated more than doubled, increasing from 11025 persons ( 5.97 per cent of the country's population) in 1991 to 23162 persons or 9.98 per cent of the Belize population in 2000 (Table 2.5).

There was a small decrease in the number of Roman Catholics between 1991 and 2000 from 106596 to 115 035. The proportion of Roman Catholics in Belize decreased from 57.71 per cent of the total population in 1991 to 49.56 per cent in 2000.

A substantial decrease in the number of persons and also in the percentage of Anglicans in the general population is evident between 1991 and 2000. In 1991 there were 12836 or 6.95 per cent Anglicans but this decreased by 2000 to 12386 or 5.34 per cent Anglicans.

Despite the decrease in popularity among the two major religious groups roman Catholicism and Anglicanism, other non-traditional groups seem to be breaking ground, increasing in number and share of the total population. Between 1991 and 2000 the Pentecostal church has surpassed the Anglicans by expanding their congregational base
to 17189 persons ( 7.41 per cent) in 2000, up from 11660 persons ( 6.31 per cent) in 1991. The Seventh Day Adventists have also increased in numbers. In 1991 there were 7 594 Seventh Day Adventists representing 4.11 per cent of the population and this number increased by 2000 to 12160 persons representing 5.24 per cent of the population. The religious groups of Jehovah's Witnesses, Mennonites, Methodists and Nazarenes have all had increases in their numbers and percentages (Table 2.5). Table 2.5 also indicates that there was a significant increase in the number and percentage of Baptists between the last two censuses with this religious group increasing from 272 ( 0.15 per cent) in 1991 to 8 077 ( 3.48 per cent) in 2000. Importantly also, is the evidence of a decrease in the number of Hindus from 4560 persons ( 2.47 per cent) in 1991 to 367 persons or 0.16 per cent of the general population in 2000.

Table 2.5: Total Tabulated Population by Religion, 2000 and 1991

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Religion | Total | Per cent | Total | Per cent |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 2 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Anglican | 12386 | 5.34 | 12836 | 6.95 |
| Baptist | 8077 | 3.48 | 272 | 0.15 |
| Hindu | 367 | 0.16 | 4560 | 2.47 |
| Jehovah's Witnesses | 3366 | 1.45 | 2608 | 1.41 |
| Mennonite | 9497 | 4.09 | 7430 | 4.02 |
| Methodist | 8024 | 3.46 | 7805 | 4.23 |
| Nazarene | 6117 | 2.64 | 4631 | 2.51 |
| Pentecostal | 17189 | 7.41 | 11660 | 6.31 |
| Roman Catholic | 115035 | 49.56 | 106596 | 57.71 |
| Seventh Day Adventist | 12160 | 5.24 | 7594 | 4.11 |
| Other | 16731 | 7.21 | 7705 | 4.17 |
| None/Not Stated | 23162 | 9.98 | 11025 | 5.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM and CSO, Belize
The religious groups of Bahai, Mormon, Muslim and Salvation Army are a part of the "other" category in Table 2.5.

### 2.5. Gender and Religion

In 2000, 10.96 per cent (12 786) of all males indicated no religious preference compared with 7.81 per cent ( 9009 ) of all females who also indicated no religious preference. Several of the smaller religious also had more males than females. These groups include the Hindus ( 209 males to 158 females), Mormons ( 447 males to 451 females), Mennonites (4 794 males to 4703 females) and Muslims ( 168 males to 75 females). All other religious groups had more females than males (Table 2.6).

Table 2.6: Total Tabulated Population by Religion and Sex, 2000

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Religion | Total | Males | Per Cent | Female | Per cent |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 2 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 7 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Anglican | 12386 | 6099 | 5.23 | 6287 | 5.45 |
| Bahai | 205 | 100 | 0.09 | 105 | 0.09 |
| Baptist | 8077 | 3894 | 3.34 | 4183 | 3.62 |
| Hindu | 367 | 209 | 0.18 | 158 | 0.14 |
| Jehovah's Witnesses | 3366 | 1526 | 1.31 | 1840 | 1.59 |
| Mennonite | 9497 | 4794 | 4.11 | 4703 | 4.08 |
| Methodist | 8024 | 3906 | 3.35 | 4118 | 3.57 |
| Mormon | 928 | 477 | 0.41 | 451 | 0.39 |
| Muslim | 243 | 168 | 0.14 | 75 | 0.06 |
| Nazarene | 6117 | 2956 | 2.53 | 3161 | 2.74 |
| Pentecostal | 17189 | 8415 | 7.21 | 8774 | 7.60 |
| Roman Catholic | 115035 | 57247 | 49.05 | 57788 | 50.07 |
| Seventh Day Adventist | 12160 | 5813 | 4.98 | 6347 | 5.50 |
| Salvation Army | 371 | 165 | 0.14 | 206 | 0.18 |
| Other | 14984 | 7326 | 6.28 | 7658 | 6.64 |
| None | 21795 | 12786 | 10.96 | 9009 | 7.81 |
| Not Stated | 1367 | 825 | 0.71 | 542 | 0.47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM

### 2.6. Education

Belize was once thought to be one of the most literate countries in Central America. Certainly in colonial times, before the armed conflicts in Central America initiated large movements of people fleeing the wars, this was the case. However, over the last few
decades, the natural growth of the population along with the influx of displaced persons and other types of immigrants, great stress has been placed on the education system reducing its effectiveness.

## Table 2.7: Total Tabulated Population by Level of Education and Sex, 2000 and 1991

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level of Education | Total | Per Cent | Males | Per Cent | Females | Per cent |
| Census 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 8 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| None | 64453 | 29.56 | 32356 | 29.54 | 32097 | 29.59 |
| Nursary/Kindergarten | 2903 | 1.33 | 1402 | 1.28 | 1501 | 1.38 |
| Primary | 109900 | 50.41 | 55984 | 51.11 | 53916 | 49.70 |
| Secondary | 26083 | 11.96 | 12621 | 11.52 | 13462 | 12.41 |
| Pre Univ/Post Sec. | 9691 | 4.45 | 4404 | 4.02 | 5287 | 4.87 |
| University | 4223 | 1.94 | 2335 | 2.13 | 1888 | 1.74 |
| Other | 279 | 0.13 | 147 | 0.13 | 132 | 0.12 |
| DK/NS | 477 | 0.22 | 286 | 0.26 | 191 | 0.18 |
| Census 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 4 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 3 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| None | 40379 | 21.86 | 20617 | 22.08 | 19762 | 21.63 |
| Nursary/Kindergarten | 4809 | 2.60 | 2382 | 2.55 | 2427 | 2.66 |
| Primary | 106775 | 57.80 | 54145 | 57.99 | 52630 | 57.61 |
| Secondary | 25063 | 13.57 | 11988 | 12.84 | 13075 | 14.31 |
| Pre Univ/Post Sec. | 4278 | 2.32 | 2061 | 2.21 | 2217 | 2.43 |
| University | 2366 | 1.28 | 1532 | 1.64 | 834 | 0.91 |
| Other | 516 | 0.28 | 270 | 0.29 | 246 | 0.27 |
| DK/NS | 536 | 0.29 | 376 | 0.40 | 160 | 0.18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CSO, Belize
Note: Total population for $2000(218009)$ does not match the total population of 232111.

In 2000, 68.76 per cent of Belize's population had received primary school education or more (Table 2.7). This represents a decline when compared to 1991 where 74.97 per cent of the population was educated with primary level education or above. Additionally, the percentage of persons who had no education rose from 21.86 per cent (40 379 persons) in 1991 to 29.56 per cent ( 64453 persons) in 2000.

University graduates however increased in number significantly from 2366 persons in 1991 to 4223 persons in 2000, representing 78.49 per cent growth. There was also a significant growth in the number of persons who received Pre University/Post Secondary level education. Over the nine years between 1991 and 2000 the number of persons in this category grew by a factor of 2.27 with 4278 persons in 1991 and increasing to 9691 persons in 2000.

### 2.7. Gender and Level of Education

Traditionally, both males and females had equal access to education in Belize. It is not surprising therefore that in 2000; relatively a similar proportion of males and females had received no education, 29.54 per cent and 29.59 per cent respectively (Table 2.7). Akin to that, the percentage of males and females who received primary education or more was approximately equal, 68.78 per cent and 68.72 per cent respectively.

However, in both 1991 and 2000 there were noticeably more males than females at the university level. In 1991, there was approximately 1.84 times more male university graduates than female university graduates, 1532 males compared with 834 females (Table 2.7). By 2000 however, this gap had closed significantly with approximately 1.24 times more male university graduates than females. Despite the improvements in closing the gender gap at the university level, there is still evidence of gender inequality in favour of males. It is important to note however, that there has been a higher increase in the number of females receiving university level education than the number of males. The number of university educated females between 1991 and 2000 increased by a factor of 2.26 while the number of university educated males only increased by a factor of 1.52 over the same period.

### 2.8. Level of Education by Districts

There are significant differences in the educational profiles of the population at the district level. These profiles at the district level also vary significantly in many instances from the profile as exhibited by the population at the national level. Percentages of persons with no education vary from district to district as indicated in Table 2.8.

### 2.8.1. Corozal District

In Corozal District, a dramatic increase in the number and percentage of persons with no education is observed between 1991 and 2000 from 6269 persons ( 22.06 per cent) to 10 415 persons ( 34.13 per cent) respectively. These percentages are higher than the national percentages as shown in Table 2.7 of 21.86 per cent in 1991 and 29.56 per cent in 2000.

### 2.8.2. Cayo and Toledo Districts

Both Cayo and Toledo Districts boast population percentages of persons with no education that are above the country's average. This is true for both the 1991 and 2000 period. Significant increases in numbers and percentages of the population with no education are observed over the period 1991 to 2000 for the Cayo District and Toledo District. In 1991, 9347 persons or 25.59 per cent of the Cayo population had received no education compared with 17020 persons or 35.52 per cent in 2000. In Toledo District in 1991, 6078 persons or 34.76 per cent of the population had received no education compared with 9210 persons or 43.21 per cent in 2000.

### 2.8.3. Orange Walk, Stann Creek and Belize Districts

With respect to the proportion of their respective populations with no education, Orange Walk and Stann Creek Districts post percentages approximately equal to the national averages for 1991 and 2000 (Table 2.8) with 23.85 per cent and 31.06 per cent for the respective years in Orange Walk District and 21.12 per cent and 30.55 per cent for the respective years in Stann Creek District. Belize District is the only district with the percentage of persons with no education significantly lower than the country's average with 14.21 per cent in 1991 and 16.41 per cent in 2000.

Table 2.8: Total Tabulated Population by Level of Education and District, 2000 and 1991

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District | Education | Number | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | Per cent | Number |$]$ Per cent

[^3]
### 2.8.4. Higher Education

Of the 4223 university graduates in Belize in 2000, 2078 or 49.21 per cent can be found in the Belize District. The remainder of the university graduates are scattered in the other five districts with the Cayo District having the second highest percentage with 890 persons or 21.08 per cent of the graduates. As observed in Table 2.8, the Toledo District accounts for the least number of university graduates with 156 persons or 3.69 per cent of the total.

### 2.9. Economic Activity

The work force in Belize is measured in terms of persons 15 years or older who are willing, able and available for work. A person is considered to be in the labour force if he/she was working during the week of the census, had a job but did not work, or if he/she did not have a job but desired to have one and was actively seeking work. In 2000, 50.11 per cent or 71422 persons in Belize aged 15 years or older were working or had a job. Majority of those who were working or had a job were males, 70.31 per cent (50 219 males). Table 2.9 indicates that women made advances however in becoming a part of the active workforce over the period 1991 to 2000; with 22.61 per cent of the females in 1991 working or had a job compared with and 29.82 per cent in 2000.

Table 2.9: Total Tabulated Population 15 years and over by Economic Activity and Sex, 2000 and 1991

| Economic Activity | Total | Per Cent | Males | Per Cent | Females | Per Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 2 5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Worked | 70538 | 49.49 | 49641 | 69.50 | 20897 | 29.39 |
| Had a Job | 884 | 0.62 | 578 | 0.81 | 306 | 0.43 |
| Wanted Work/Available | 18175 | 12.75 | 7586 | 10.62 | 10589 | 14.89 |
| Home Duties | 23749 | 16.66 | 363 | 0.51 | 23386 | 32.89 |
| Attending School | 15254 | 10.70 | 7644 | 10.70 | 7610 | 10.70 |
| Retired | 4809 | 3.37 | 2435 | 3.41 | 2374 | 3.34 |
| No Interest in Work | 3404 | 2.39 | 869 | 1.22 | 2535 | 3.57 |
| Other | 4139 | 2.90 | 1688 | 2.36 | 2451 | 3.45 |
| DK/NS | 1584 | 1.11 | 627 | 0.88 | 957 | 1.35 |
| Census 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 54893 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 53895 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Worked | 52372 | 48.14 | 40305 | 73.42 | 12067 | 22.39 |
| Had a Job | 641 | 0.59 | 522 | 0.95 | 119 | 0.22 |
| Wanted Work/Available | 2131 | 1.96 | 1865 | 3.40 | 266 | 0.49 |
| Home Duties | 36737 | 33.77 | 2545 | 4.64 | 34192 | 63.44 |
| Attending School | 10938 | 10.05 | 5410 | 9.86 | 5528 | 10.26 |
| Retired | 2151 | 1.98 | 1670 | 3.04 | 481 | 0.89 |
| No Interest in Work | 2166 | 1.99 | 1302 | 2.37 | 864 | 1.60 |
| Other | 990 | 0.91 | 745 | 1.36 | 245 | 0.45 |
| DK/NS | 662 | 0.61 | 529 | 0.96 | 133 | 0.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sorce |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CSO, Belize
Note: Total population 15 years and over is different from the corresponding population in Table 1.5 which is 137009 in 2000 and 103567 in 1991 based on Table 1.5

### 2.9.1. Unemployed

Overall the labour force consisted of 89597 persons in 2000 with 18175 persons or 20.29 per cent unemployed, that is wanted work and available. The comparative figures for 1991 show 55144 persons in the labour force with only 3.86 per cent or 2131 persons unemployed. In 1991, 87.52 per cent of the unemployed were males while in 2000, 58.26 per cent were females. This could be as a result of the changes in the sex structure of the labour force. In that, in 1991 nearly 80 per cent of the labour force was males but this was reduced to 64.52 per cent in 2000 . This also meant that there were a
higher percentage of females in the labour force in 2000 than in 1991.
There was a dramatic increase in unemployment rates over the period 1991 to 2000. In 1991, 1.96 per cent of the population wanted and was available for work but did not have a job while the corresponding figure for 2000 stood at 12.75 per cent. It is possible that this increase could be caused by the increased demand for jobs particularly by women who were leaving home duties and seeking work outside the home. Table 2.9 shows that in 1991, 0.49 per cent of women wanted and was available for work, while in 2000, the percentage of women in this same category stood at 14.89 per cent. Additionally, while 63.44 per cent of women in 1991 performed home duties, only 32.89 per cent worked at home full time in 2000.

### 2.9.2. Urban/Rural

Differences in the economic activity between the urban and rural population were not significant for the most part (Table 2.10). Those who engaged in home duties in 2000 were about twice as likely to be rural dwellers with 15872 rural persons engaging in home duties versus 7877 urban persons. In 1991, 21305 persons from the rural areas were engaged in home duties compared with 15432 from the urban areas. It is seen that nearly twice as many persons performed home duties in urban Belize in 1991 when compared to 2000 and a similar trend exists in rural Belize where in 1991, 21305 persons worked at home.

Table 2.10: Total Tabulated Population 15 years and over by Economic Activity and Urban/Rural, 2000 and 1991

| Economic Activity | Total | Per <br> Cent | Urban | Per cent | Rural | Per <br> Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 2 5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 2 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Worked | 70538 | 49.49 | 34748 | 50.92 | 35790 | 48.17 |
| Had a Job | 884 | 0.62 | 530 | 0.78 | 354 | 0.48 |
| Wanted Work/Avail | 18175 | 12.75 | 8583 | 12.58 | 9592 | 12.91 |
| Home Duties | 23749 | 16.66 | 7877 | 11.54 | 15872 | 21.36 |
| Attending School | 15254 | 10.70 | 8861 | 12.98 | 6393 | 8.60 |
| Retired | 4809 | 3.37 | 2954 | 4.33 | 1855 | 2.50 |
| No Interest in Work | 3404 | 2.39 | 1673 | 2.45 | 1731 | 2.33 |
| Other | 4139 | 2.90 | 2227 | 3.26 | 1912 | 2.57 |
| DK/NS | 1584 | 1.11 | 788 | 1.15 | 796 | 1.07 |
| Census 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 54542 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 54246 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Worked | 52372 | 48.14 | 26440 | 48.48 | 25932 | 47.80 |
| Had a Job | 641 | 0.59 | 343 | 0.63 | 298 | 0.55 |
| Wanted Work/Avail | 2131 | 1.96 | 1299 | 2.38 | 832 | 1.53 |
| Home Duties | 36737 | 33.77 | 15432 | 28.29 | 21305 | 39.27 |
| Attending School | 10938 | 10.05 | 7299 | 13.38 | 3639 | 6.71 |
| Retired | 2151 | 1.98 | 1437 | 2.63 | 714 | 1.32 |
| No Interest in Work | 2166 | 1.99 | 1149 | 2.11 | 1017 | 1.87 |
| Other | 990 | 0.91 | 651 | 1.19 | 339 | 0.62 |
| DK/NS | 662 | 0.61 | 492 | 0.90 | 170 | 0.31 |

Source: CSO, Belize
Note: Total population 15 years and over is different from the corresponding population in Table 1.5 which is 137009 in 2000 and 103567 in 1991 based on Table 1.5

## CHAPTER 3 POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND PATTERNS OF MIGRATION

## Introduction

Migration is not a new phenomenon in Belize. Belize has a very diverse ethnic background and people have been coming to its shores or leaving to live abroad for hundreds of years. Not only have members of the population migrated abroad but there has been substantial mobility within Belize as people have sought out better living conditions within the country itself. In general, net movement of people internally in Belize goes from areas of sparse population to the more densely populated areas.

Foreign-born residents came to Belize for a number of reasons; to escape persecution and armed conflicts, for better economic prospects as well as for retirement or other personal reasons. These residents are important to the everyday life of Belize and their contributions are examined in this chapter.

Migration in Belize is not limited to any particular group as migrants belong to varying social, economic and demographic backgrounds. Age group classifications reflecting one of the main characteristic of migrants are provided. Infants are classified as those less than 5 years, children of compulsory school age as those 5 years to 14 years. Additionally, young adults are classified as those 15 to 24 years while mature working adults fall within the 25 to 64 age group. It should be noted that mandatory retirement for the Government of Belize workers is 55 years while 65 is considered by many countries to be the age of retirement. Hence, the age group 55 to 64 is called the age of retirement and the age group $65+$ years is accordingly reserved for retired persons.

### 3.1. Migration within Belize

### 3.1.1. District Migration

Table 3.1 indicates that in the year 2000 approximately 12 per cent of people born in the more northerly and more densely populated Orange Walk, Belize and Cayo Districts and approximately 13 per cent born in Corozal District, migrated to other districts. In the southern districts, migration to other districts was even more pronounced. It is seen that 21.3 per cent of the population of the Stann Creek District and 24.4 per cent of Toledo's population migrated to other districts. Evidently, people moved from the more rural districts those sparsely populated to the larger urban districts, those more densely populated. One could conjecture that the hope of finding work in an urban setting can explain this movement.

Proximity in Belize plays an important role in the internal migration process. There is a tendency for people to move from their place of birth to nearby locations within Belize. It can be seen from Table 3.2 that in general, people moved to nearby districts but almost universally the Belize District was the most popular destination for migrants from other districts. Toledo District, the most southerly in Belize, is the only district from which the migration of people to the Belize District is less than the migration to nearby districts with 8.43 per cent of out-migrants from Toledo moving to Stann Creek compared with 7.21 per cent who moved to Belize District. The remoteness of the Toledo District from the Belize District probably accounted for this relatively low migration rate.

### 3.1.2. Migration by Sex

Differences in the migration patterns between males and females were not very pronounced however females were slightly more likely to be migrants than males. All districts except the Belize District exhibited this pattern (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1: Percentage Distribution of Male and Female Out-Migrants ${ }^{5}$

| Districts | Out Migrants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Per Cent | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal District | 4043 | 13.20 | 1900 | 12.47 | 2143 | 13.93 |  |  |  |  |
| Orange walk District | 4041 | 11.85 | 1937 | 11.12 | 2104 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Belize District | 5682 | 10.98 | 2872 | 11.12 | 2810 | 10.83 |  |  |  |  |
| Cayo District | 4342 | 11.41 | 2178 | 11.28 | 2164 | 11.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Stann Creek District | 4161 | 21.30 | 2014 | 20.79 | 2147 | 21.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Toledo District | 5754 | 24.40 | 2785 | 23.99 | 2969 | 24.8 |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM

### 3.2. Foreign Born Population

### 3.2.1. Demographic Profile

Over the last two censuses between 1991 and 2000, the proportion of foreign-born persons in Belize increased by almost one percentage point from 13.83 per cent in 1991 to 14.77 per cent in 2000. Table 3.3 indicates that in 2000,34279 persons of the population of Belize were foreign born compared with 25548 persons in 1991. Of the foreign-born population, males existed in slightly larger numbers than females; 17530 versus 16749 representing 15.02 per cent of the total male population of Belize and 14.51 per cent of the total female population respectively in 2000 . The corresponding figures for 1991 is 13739 vs. 11809 representing 14.71 per cent of the total male population and 12.93 per cent of the total female population. Table 3.4 indicates that males aged 55 years and older constituted 58.1 per cent of that age group. In other age groups males and females were evenly distributed at about 50 per cent. This result for the census 2000 is consistent with results from the 1991 census where 60 per cent of persons 55 years or older were males.

Majority ( 80.31 per cent or 27258 persons) of the foreign-born population were of working age, that is, between the ages of 15 and 64 years. Only 12.51 per cent of foreign-born persons were of compulsory school age; that is between the ages of 5 and 14

[^4]years (Table 3.4). This does not mirror the general total population of Belize where 26.55 per cent of the population was of compulsory school age and 54.83 per cent was of working age.

The foreign-born population, of retirement age (65 years and older) also constituted a larger percentage share when compared to the general population 65 years and older. In that, 4.20 per cent of the general population was 65 years or older compared with 5.09 per cent of the foreign-born population. Evidently, the foreign-born aged 65 years and older are slightly over-represented in the general population and as such impact significantly on the age structure of the population.

Table 3.2: Local Born Population by District of Birth, District of Residence and Sex, 2000

| District of Birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Corozal District |  |  |  | Orange Walk District |  |  |  | Belize District |  |  |  |  |
| District of Residence Sex | Total | Tot | Per Cent Male | Fem | Total | Tot | Male F | Fem | Total | Tot | Male | Fem |  |
| Total <br> Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30,626 \\ & 15,239 \\ & 15,387 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34,107 \\ & 17,412 \\ & 16,695 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 51,756 \\ & 25,821 \\ & 25,935 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ | $100$ |  |
| Corozal <br> Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 26,583 \\ & 13,339 \\ & 13,244 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $86.80$ | $87.53$ | 86.07 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,261 \\ & 594 \\ & 667 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $3.70$ | $3.41$ | 4.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 792 \\ & 410 \\ & 382 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.53$ | $1.59$ | $1.47$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Orange Walk } \\ \text { Male } \\ \text { Female } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,034 \\ & 474 \\ & 560 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $3.37$ | $3.11$ | 3.64 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30,066 \\ & 15,475 \\ & 14,591 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $88.15$ | $88.88$ | $87.40$ | $\begin{aligned} & 857 \\ & 428 \\ & 429 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.66$ | $1.66$ | $1.65$ |  |
| Belize <br> Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 2,001 \\ & 968 \\ & 1,033 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $6.53$ | $6.35$ | 6.71 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,418 \\ & 655 \\ & 763 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $4.16$ | $3.76$ | 4.57 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 46,074 \\ & 22,949 \\ & 23,125 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $89.02$ | $88.88$ | $89.17$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Cayo } & \\ & \text { Male } \\ & \text { Female } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 650 \\ & 285 \\ & 365 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $2.12$ | $1.87$ | 2.37 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,042 \\ & 519 \\ & 523 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $3.06$ | $2.98$ | 3.13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,599 \\ & 1,301 \\ & 1,298 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $5.02$ | $5.04$ | 5.00 |  |
| Stann Creek Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 124 \\ & 132 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.84$ | $0.81$ | 0.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 231 \\ & 123 \\ & 108 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.68$ | $0.71$ | 0.65 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,032 \\ & 537 \\ & 495 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.99$ | $2.08$ | $1.91$ |  |
| Toledo <br> Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 49 \\ & 53 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.33$ | $0.32$ | 0.34 | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 46 \\ & 43 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.26$ | $0.26$ | 0.26 | $\begin{aligned} & 402 \\ & 196 \\ & 206 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.78$ | $0.76$ | $0.79$ |  |
|  | Cayo District |  |  |  | Stann | Creek District |  |  | Toledo District |  |  |  | Total |
| District of Residence Sex | Total | Tot | Male | Fem | Total | Tot | Male | Fem | Total | Tot | Male | Fem |  |
| Total <br> Male Female | 38,050 19,312 <br> 18,738 | $100$ | $100$ | 100 | 19,536 <br> 9,686 <br> 9,850 | $100$ | $100$ | $100$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23,582 \\ & 11,611 \\ & 11,971 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 197,657 \\ & 99,081 \\ & 98,576 \end{aligned}$ |
| Corozal <br> Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 431 \\ & 222 \\ & 209 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.13$ | 1.15 | 1.12 | $\begin{aligned} & 169 \\ & 77 \\ & 92 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.87$ | $0.79$ | $0.93$ | $\begin{aligned} & 209 \\ & 109 \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.89$ | $0.94$ | 0.84 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29,445 \\ & 14,751 \\ & 14,694 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Orange Walk } \\ \text { Male } \\ \text { Female } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 916 \\ & 464 \\ & 452 \end{aligned}$ | $2.41$ | 2.40 | 2.41 | $\begin{aligned} & 255 \\ & 146 \\ & 109 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.31$ | $1.51$ | $1.11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 298 \\ & 151 \\ & 147 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.26$ | 1.30 | $1.23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33,426 \\ & 17,138 \\ & 16,288 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Belize <br> Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 2,084 \\ & 994 \\ & 1,090 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $5.48$ | $5.15$ | 5.82 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,185 \\ & 1,016 \\ & 1,169 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $11.18$ | $10.49$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,700 \\ & 756 \\ & 944 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $7.21$ | $6.51$ | 7.89 | 55,462 27,338 28,124 |
| Cayo <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 33,708 \\ & 17,134 \\ & 16,574 \end{aligned}$ | $88.59$ | 88.72 | 88.45 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,081 \\ & 529 \\ & 552 \end{aligned}$ | $5.53$ | $5.46$ | 5.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,560 \\ & 764 \\ & 796 \end{aligned}$ | $6.62$ | $6.58$ | 6.65 | $\begin{aligned} & 40,640 \\ & 20,532 \\ & 20,108 \end{aligned}$ |
| Stann Creek Male Female | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 592 \\ 343 \\ 249 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1.56$ | 1.78 | 1.33 | $\begin{aligned} & 15,375 \\ & 7,672 \\ & 7,703 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $78.70$ | $79.21$ | 178.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,987 \\ & 1,005 \\ & 982 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $8.43$ | 8.66 | 8.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 19,473 \\ & 9,804 \\ & 9,669 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Toledo <br> Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 319 \\ & 155 \\ & 164 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.84$ | 0.80 | 0.88 | $\begin{aligned} & 471 \\ & 246 \\ & 225 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $2.41$ | $2.54$ | 2.28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17,828 \\ & 8,826 \\ & 9,002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $75.60$ | $76.01$ | $75.20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,211 \\ & 9,518 \\ & 9,693 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Source: CARICOM

Table 3.3: Total Tabulated Population by Place of Birth (Local/Foreign) and Sex, 2000 and 1991

| Place of Birth | Total | Per Cent | Males | Per Cent | Females | Per <br> Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Born in Belize | 197657 | 85.16 | 99081 | 84.90 | 98576 | 85.42 |
| Abroad | 34279 | 14.77 | 17530 | 15.02 | 16749 | 14.51 |
| Not Stated | 175 | 0.08 | 95 | 0.08 | 80 | 0.07 |
| Country Total | $\mathbf{2 3 2 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 7 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Born in Belize | 159113 | 86.14 | 79601 | 85.25 | 79512 | 87.04 |
| Abroad | 25548 | 13.83 | 13739 | 14.71 | 11809 | 12.93 |
| Not Stated | 61 | 0.03 | 31 | 0.03 | 30 | 0.03 |
| Country Total | $\mathbf{1 8 4 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 3 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soure CSO, Belize and CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CSO, Belize and CARICOM

Table 3.4: Foreign Born Population by Age Group and Sex, 2000 and 1991

| Age Group | Total | Per Cent | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per <br> Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | $\mathbf{3 4 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | 716 | 2.09 | 367 | 2.09 | 349 | 2.08 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 4}$ | 4290 | 12.52 | 2144 | 12.23 | 2146 | 12.81 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ | 7416 | 21.63 | 3591 | 20.49 | 3825 | 22.84 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 5 4}$ | 18105 | 52.82 | 9247 | 52.75 | 8858 | 52.89 |
| $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4}$ | 2007 | 5.85 | 1208 | 6.89 | 799 | 4.77 |
| $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ | 1745 | 5.09 | 973 | 5.55 | 772 | 4.61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | $\mathbf{2 5 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | 1159 | 4.54 | 564 | 4.11 | 595 | 5.04 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 4}$ | 4773 | 18.68 | 2399 | 17.46 | 2374 | 20.10 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 5}$ | 5663 | 22.17 | 2968 | 21.60 | 2695 | 22.82 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 5 4}$ | 11516 | 45.08 | 6356 | 46.26 | 5160 | 43.70 |
| $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 5}$ | 1297 | 5.08 | 752 | 5.47 | 545 | 4.62 |
| $\mathbf{6 5}+$ | 1140 | 4.46 | 700 | 5.10 | 440 | 3.73 |
| Source CSO Belize |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CSO, Belize and CARICOM

### 3.2.2. District of Residence of the Foreign Born Population

Most foreign-born persons based on the 2000 census resided in the Belize and Cayo Districts ( 30.86 per cent in Cayo and 21.79 per cent in Belize). Foreign residency in the Belize District rose by 67.18 per cent between 1991 and 2000 while residency in the Cayo District increased by 28.78 per cent over the same period (Table 3.5). However, as a percentage of the total foreign born population, foreign born residency slightly decreased in Cayo between 1991 and 2000 from 32.15 per cent in 1991. A comparison between the 1991 and 2000 censuses reveal a seeming preference of the foreign-born population to reside more in the southern districts. Over the period the Corozal, Orange Walk and Cayo Districts all had diminished foreign born residence rates while the Southern Districts of Belize, Stann Creek and Toledo all posted modest increases (Table 3.5).

Note: it is not clear if the Belize District is in the north or south. Section 3.11 "District Migration" says north and here it says south.

Table 3.5: Foreign Born Population by District of Residence and Sex, 2000 and 1991

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District of Residence | Total | Per Cent | Males | Per Cent | Females | Per Cent |
| Census 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4 2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Corozal | 2758 | 8.05 | 1455 | 8.31 | 1303 | 7.77 |
| Orange Walk | 4629 | 13.51 | 2341 | 13.36 | 2288 | 13.65 |
| Belize | 7468 | 21.79 | 3597 | 20.54 | 3871 | 23.10 |
| Cayo | 10577 | 30.86 | 5134 | 29.31 | 5443 | 32.48 |
| Stann Creek | 4959 | 14.47 | 2882 | 16.45 | 2077 | 12.39 |
| Toledo | 3885 | 11.33 | 2107 | 12.03 | 1778 | 10.61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Per Cent | Males | Per Cent | Females | Per Cent |
| Census 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Corozal | 2898 | 11.34 | 1651 | 12.02 | 1247 | 10.56 |
| Orange Walk | 4180 | 16.36 | 2262 | 16.46 | 1918 | 16.24 |
| Belize | 4467 | 17.49 | 2308 | 16.80 | 2159 | 18.28 |
| Cayo | 8213 | 32.15 | 4178 | 30.41 | 4035 | 34.17 |
| Stann Creek | 3299 | 12.91 | 1934 | 14.08 | 1365 | 11.56 |
| Toledo | 2491 | 9.75 | 1406 | 10.23 | 1085 | 9.19 |

Note: The total foreign-born population (34276) is different from the 34279 in Table 3.4. Across the categories of sex, the male population (17516) is different for the 17530 in table 3.4 while for females the (16 760) is different from the 16749 in Table 3.4

### 3.2.3. Place of Birth

The 2000 census reveals that most foreign-born residents were born in Central America, 82.63 per cent (28 229 persons). Table 3.6 demonstrates the diminishing percentage share in total foreign born population of the recognized countries of birth over the 19912000 period. The 'Other Countries' category is the only category to have recorded growth in percentage share of the total foreign born population and this is reflected in increases in numbers from 1368 persons or 5.34 per cent of the foreign-born population in 1991 to 3189 persons or 9.3 per cent of the foreign-born population in 2000. Canada also recorded a decrease in absolute number of foreign born persons over the period 1991 to 2000 in addition to its diminishing percentage share of the total foreign born population from 576 in 1991 to 442 in 2000. While the foreign born population from Central America, the USA and the Caribbean had increased in absolute numbers between 1991 and 2000, their percentage share of the total foreign born population decreased. It is also important to note that data from the Central Statistical Office (CSO) in Belize indicate that in 2000, 1649 representing approximately 5 per cent of foreign born residents were born in China or Taiwan, a two fold increase from 1991 where 503 representing approximately 1 per cent of foreign born residents were from China or Taiwan.

Table 3.6: Foreign Born Population by Country of Origin and Sex, 2000 and 1991

|  |  | Per |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Place of Birth | Total | Cent | Males | Per cent | Females | Per Cent |
| Census 2000 | $\mathbf{3 4 2 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Total | 28320 | 82.63 | 14224 | 81.22 | 14096 | 84.11 |
| Central America | 1738 | 5.07 | 921 | 5.26 | 817 | 4.87 |
| USA | 366 | 1.07 | 224 | 1.28 | 142 | 0.85 |
| Canada | 661 | 1.93 | 367 | 2.10 | 294 | 1.75 |
| Caribbean | 3189 | 9.30 | 1778 | 10.15 | 1411 | 8.42 |
| Other Countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Central America | 21551 | 84.35 | 11502 | 83.72 | 10049 | 85.10 |
| USA | 1600 | 6.26 | 841 | 6.12 | 759 | 6.43 |
| Canada | 458 | 1.79 | 226 | 1.64 | 232 | 1.96 |
| Caribbean | 576 | 2.25 | 329 | 2.39 | 247 | 2.09 |
| Other Countries | 1363 | 5.34 | 841 | 6.12 | 522 | 4.42 |

### 3.3. Returning Residents

### 3.3.1. Demographic Profile

Returning residents to Belize who went abroad for various reasons also form a small but important part of the population. In 2000, there was a total of 5173 persons who had returned from all parts of the world. Returning residents constitute 2.23 per cent of the general population (Table 3.7). About 2772 or 53.59 per cent of returning residents were males and 2401 or 46.41 per cent were females. The majority of the returning residents arrived from the USA, 3021 persons or 58.4 per cent followed by Latin America with 1 505 persons or 29.09 per cent of all returning residents (Table 3.8).

In 2000, most returning residents were in the 25 to 54 years age group ( 2824 persons or 54.59 per cent of all returning residents). Retired persons ( $65+$ years) represented 16.35 per cent of returning residents (Table 3.8).

Table 3.7: Returning Residents by Country/Region of Origin and Sex, 2000

|  | Total | Per Cent | Males | Per Cent | Females | Per Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 5173 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 2772 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 2401 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| USA | 3021 | 58.40 | 1600 | 57.72 | 1421 | 59.18 |
| Canada | 161 | 3.11 | 80 | 2.89 | 81 | 3.37 |
| Caribbean | 217 | 4.20 | 117 | 4.22 | 100 | 4.17 |
| Latin America | 1505 | 29.09 | 845 | 30.48 | 660 | 27.49 |
| Other Countries | 269 | 5.20 | 130 | 4.69 | 139 | 5.79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CSO, Belize

### 3.3.2. Residence of Returning Residents in Belize

Most of the returning residents $65+$ years resided in the Belize District 42.49 per cent. This is followed by the Stann Creek District accounting for 20.31 per cent of returning residents $65+$, and Cayo District with 13.97 per cent. In the combined age groups 25 years to 64 years, Belize District accounted for 39.33 per cent of the returning residents. This was followed by the Cayo District with 22.61 per cent and the Stann Creek District with 13.54 per cent.

Table 3.8: Total Returning Residents by Age Group and Country/Region of Origin, 2000

| Country/Region of Origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | USA |  |  | Canada |  |  |  | Caribbean |  |  |  |
| Age Group | Sex | Total | Tot Male | Fem | Total | Tot | Male | Fem | Total | Tot | Male | Fem |
| All Ages | Total Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 3,021 \\ & 1,600 \\ & 1421 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 100 & \\ & 100 \end{array}$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 161 \\ & 80 \\ & 81 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 217 \\ & 117 \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ |  |
| 0-4 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 9 \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.70 & \\ & 0.56 \end{array}$ | 0.84 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $1.24$ | $2.50$ | 0.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.46$ | $0.00$ | 1.00 |
| 5-14 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 134 \\ & 69 \\ & 65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 4.44 & \\ & 4.31 \end{array}$ | 4.57 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $8.07$ | $7.50$ | 8.64 | $\square$ | $3.23$ | $3.42$ | 3.00 |
| 15-24 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 321 \\ 141 \\ 180 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $10.638$ | 12.67 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 19 \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $20.50$ | $23.75$ | $17.28$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 12 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $9.22$ | $10.26$ | $8.00$ |
| 25-54 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,724 \\ & 968 \\ & 756 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 57.07 & \\ & 60.50 \end{array}$ | 53.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 37 \\ & 45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $50.93$ | $46.25$ | 55.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 145 \\ & 74 \\ & 71 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $66.82$ | $63.25$ | $71.00$ |
| 55-64 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{array}{r} 305 \\ 162 \\ 143 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 10.10 & \\ & 10.13 \end{array}$ | $10.06$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $10.56$ | $10.00$ | $11.11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 17 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $9.68$ | $14.53$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \\ 4.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 65+ | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 516 \\ & 251 \\ & 265 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 17.08 & \\ & 15.69 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 18.65 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14 \\ & 8 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $8.70$ | $10.00$ | $7.41$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 10 \\ & 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $10.60$ | $8.55$ |  |
|  |  | Latin America |  |  | Rest of World |  |  |  | Group Totals |  |  |  |
| Age Group | Sex | Total | Tot Male | Fem | Total | Tot | Male | Fem | Total | Tot | Male | Fem |
| All Ages <br> Female | Total <br> Male | $\begin{aligned} & 1,505 \\ & 845 \\ & 660 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 100 & \\ & 100 \end{array}$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 269 \\ & 130 \\ & 139 \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,173 \\ & 2,772 \\ & 2,401 \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | $100$ | $100$ |
| 0-4 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 6 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 1.40 & \\ & 0.71 \end{array}$ | 2.27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.49$ | $0.77$ | 2.16 | 49 <br> 18 <br> 31 | $0.95$ | $0.65$ | $1.29$ |
| 5-14 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 110 \\ & 61 \\ & 49 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 7.31 & \\ & 7.22 \end{array}$ | 7.42 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16 \\ & 6 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $5.95$ | $4.62$ | 7.19 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 280 \\ & 146 \\ & 134 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $5.41$ | $5.27$ | $5.58$ |
| 15-24 | Total Male Female | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 254 \\ 125 \\ 129 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 16.88 & \\ & 14.79 \end{array}$ | $19.55$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 11 \\ & 16 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $10.04$ | $8.46$ | 11.51 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 655 \\ & 308 \\ & 347 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $12.66$ | $11.11$ | $14.45$ |
| 25-54 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 715 \\ & 401 \\ & 314 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 47.51 & \\ & 47.46 \end{array}$ | 47.58 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 158 \\ & 69 \\ & 89 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $58.74$ | $53.08$ | 64.03 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,824 \\ & 1,549 \\ & 1,275 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $54.59$ | $55.88$ | $53.10$ |
| 55-64 | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 137 \\ & 84 \\ & 53 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 9.10 & \\ & 9.94 \end{array}$ | 8.03 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39 \\ & 26 \\ & 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $14.50$ | $20.00$ | 9.35 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 519 \\ & 297 \\ & 222 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $10.03$ | $10.71$ | 9.25 |
| 65+ | Total <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 268 \\ & 168 \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 17.81 & \\ & 19.88 \end{array}$ | 15.15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25 \\ & 17 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $9.29$ | $13.08$ | 5.76 | 846 <br> 454 <br> 392 | $16.35$ | $16.38$ | 16.33 |

Source: CARICOM

Table 3.9: Total Returning Residents by Age Group, Sex and Administrative Division of Residence, 2000

| Age <br> Group | Corozal | Per <br> Cent | Orange <br> Walk | Per <br> Cent | Belize | Per <br> Cent | Cayo | Per <br> Cent | Stann Creek | Per <br> Cent | Toledo | Per <br> Cent | Group <br> Total | Per <br> Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 10 | 1.69 | 12 | 2.65 | 16 | 0.80 | 7 | 0.61 | 3 | 0.41 | 5 | 1.77 | 53 | 1.02 |
| 5-9 | 28 | 4.73 | 44 | 9.71 | 84 | 4.20 | 82 | 7.17 | 24 | 3.25 | 20 | 7.09 | 282 | 5.42 |
| 15-24 | 76 | 12.84 | 79 | 17.44 | 214 | 10.71 | 175 | 15.31 | 84 | 11.37 | 31 | 10.99 | 659 | 12.66 |
| 25-54 | 328 | 55.41 | 236 | 52.10 | 1,116 | 55.86 | 668 | 58.44 | 365 | 49.39 | 126 | 44.68 | 2839 | 54.52 |
| 55-64 | 57 | 9.63 | 35 | 7.73 | 206 | 10.31 | 92 | 8.05 | 90 | 12.18 | 42 | 14.89 | 522 | 10.02 |
| 65+ | 93 | 15.71 | 47 | 10.38 | 362 | 18.12 | 119 | 10.41 | 173 | 23.41 | 58 | 20.57 | 852 | 16.36 |
| Total | 592 |  | 453 | 100.00 | 1998 |  | 1143 |  | 739 |  | 282 |  | 5207 |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 4 | 1.25 | 4 | 1.69 | 6 | 0.58 | 3 | 0.50 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 1.75 | 20 | 0.72 |
| 5-9 | 14 | 4.36 | 24 | 10.13 | 41 | 3.95 | 41 | 6.84 | 12 | 2.84 | 14 | 8.19 | 146 | 5.23 |
| 15-24 | 42 | 13.08 | 43 | 18.14 | 93 | 8.96 | 78 | 13.02 | 39 | 9.22 | 13 | 7.60 | 308 | 11.04 |
| 25-54 | 169 | 52.65 | 120 | 50.63 | 597 | 57.51 | 361 | 60.27 | 225 | 53.19 | 85 | 49.71 | 1557 | 55.83 |
| 55-64 | 36 | 11.21 | 22 | 9.28 | 114 | 10.98 | 52 | 8.68 | 52 | 12.29 | 23 | 13.45 | 299 | 10.72 |
| 65+ | 56 | 17.45 | 24 | 10.13 | 187 | 18.02 | 64 | 10.68 | 95 | 22.46 | 33 | 19.30 | 459 | 16.46 |
| Total | 321 | 100.00 | 237 | 100.00 | 1038 | 100.00 | 599 | 100.00 | 423 | 100.00 | 171 | 100.00 | 2789 | 100.00 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 6 | 2.21 | 8 | 3.70 | 10 | 1.04 | 4 | 0.74 | 3 | 0.95 | 2 | 1.80 | 33 | 1.37 |
| 5-9 | 14 | 5.17 | 20 | 9.26 | 43 | 4.48 | 41 | 7.54 | 12 | 3.80 | 6 | 5.41 | 136 | 5.62 |
| 15-24 | 34 | 12.55 | 36 | 16.67 | 121 | 12.60 | 97 | 17.83 | 45 | 14.24 | 18 | 16.22 | 351 | 14.52 |
| 25-54 | 159 | 58.67 | 116 | 53.70 | 519 | 54.06 | 307 | 56.43 | 140 | 44.30 | 41 | 36.94 | 1282 | 53.02 |
| 55-64 | 21 | 7.75 | 13 | 6.02 | 92 | 9.58 | 40 | 7.35 | 38 | 12.03 | 19 | 17.12 | 223 | 9.22 |
| 65+ | 37 | 13.65 | 23 | 10.65 | 175 | 18.23 | 55 | 10.11 | 78 | 24.68 | 25 | 22.52 | 393 | 16.25 |
| Total | 271 | 100.00 | 216 | 100.00 | 960 |  | 544 | 100.00 | 316 | 100.00 | 111 |  | 2418 | 100.00 |
|  | Source: <br> Note: Tota compared table. For | ARICOM returning ith 5207 males the | M residents in this tab numbers | different <br> . Acros <br> re 2401 | from tho the cate versus 2 | in tabl ories of 18 respe | 3.7 and ex, mal ively. | 3.8. The <br> in Tab | otal ret 3.7 an | ning res <br> 3.8 sum | dents in <br> to 2772 | ese tabl ersus 2 | is 5173 9 in this |  |

## CHAPTER 4

## EDUCATION AND TRAINING

## Introduction

Management of the national education system is the responsibility of the Ministry of Education. This responsibility is shared with various religious organizations at the primary and secondary levels. Under this church-state partnership, the church organizations are responsible for managing and maintaining their schools and dealing with personnel issues. Government establishes educational objectives, provides funds to pay teachers' salaries, contributes half the costs of facilities and maintenance, develops curricula and administrative standards, trains teachers and administers examinations.

By law, the compulsory school age for children is 5 to 14 years. Sometimes, if space is available, some children may start school before the age of 5 years while others may stay in primary school beyond the age of 14 years. Preschools for children younger than 5 years are becoming popular, but access to these facilities are limited and concentrated mainly in the urban areas.

Centres for Employment Training (CET) are being built in various parts of the country and emphasis is being placed on vocational training. This training is intended for children 15 years of age and older and provides an alternative to high school for persons no longer attending primary school. Sixth Forms, Junior Colleges and the University of Belize provide tertiary education up to the Bachelor's level in various disciplines and also training for nurses, teachers and in the field of agriculture.

Schools can be categorized according to the 2000 census as Primary, Secondary, Professional (Teacher Training, Nursing and Agricultural), Pre-University (Sixth Forms and Junior Colleges) and University. In 2000, The University of Belize was launched amalgamating The University College of Belize, The Teachers College, and The School of Nursing and the Agricultural College. As a result, the category consisting of Professional Schools no longer exists. Of great importance at this time is the addition of
several new Vocational and Technical Education Centres. Since most of these centres are new, information about them is not reflected in the 2000 census tables.

### 4.1. Level of Education In Belize

In Belize between 1991 and 2000, there was an increase in the number of persons who had no formal education. Table 4.2 indicates that in 199140379 persons or 21.86 per cent of the total population had no education. In 2000 the numbers were greater 64453 persons or 29.56 per cent of the total population. This does not mean that no significant gains were made regarding education. In 1991 there were 143807 persons who received some form of education while in 2000 the corresponding numbers were 153079 persons. This represents an increase of 9272 persons or 6.45 per cent between 1991 and 2000. However, the rate at which educational services are expanding is being outstripped by the rate at which the population is growing.

The increases in the number and percentage of persons receiving some form of education spans all levels. Table 4.2 shows these increases as 2.93 per cent at the primary level, from 106775 persons in 1991 to 1099000 persons in 2000, 4.07 per cent at the secondary level, from 25036 persons to $26083,126.53$ per cent at the pre-university/post secondary level, from 4278 to 9691 and 78.49 per cent at the university level, from 2 366 to 4223 persons. This clearly indicates an improvement of educational servicing at the pre-university/post secondary and university levels. However, primary and secondary education though improved; require such improvement on a much wider scale.

The proportion of persons with no formal education is relatively equal among males and females. Based on the 2000 census, 50.20 per cent of persons with no formal education were males compared with 49.80 per cent females. With respect to the 1991 census, corresponding proportions for males and females were 51.06 per cent and 48.94 per cent respectively. This unbiased structure is not true at all levels of education however. In the 199164.75 per cent of the persons who attained university level education were men. The comparative 2000 census shows that men though decreasingly, continue to outweigh women at the higher education levels with 55.29 per cent of the persons who attained
university level education being men. Despite this however, in 199151.82 per cent of the Pre-University/Post Secondary students were women while the corresponding proportion based on the 2000 census was 54.56 per cent.

### 4.2. Education for less than 5 Year Old Children

There is a growing tendency in Belize for children less than 5 years of age to be attending preschools. In 1991, about 9.44 per cent of children in this age range were attending preschools (Table 4.1). This figure was low when compared to the preschool enrollment figure of 11.8 per cent in 2000 as indicated by the CSO, Belize. However, it should be noted that actual numbers decreased between 1991 and 2000 from 2789 children to 2 283 children.

Table 4.1: Children Less than 5 Years Old by Type of Schooling, 2000 and 1991

| Year | None | Per cent | Preschool | Per cent | Primary | Per <br> Cent | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ Census | 16499 | 85.30 | 2283 | 11.80 | 560 | 2.90 | 19342 |
| 1991 Census | 26650 | 90.20 | 2789 | 9.44 | 107 | 0.36 | 29546 |

Source: CSO, Belize
Note: Total children less than 5 for 1991 and 200029546 and 19342 respectively is different from the corresponding figures in Table 1.6 of 29710 and 33470 respectively.

Table 4.1 also demonstrates that a few children less than 5 years old were attending primary schools, 107 in 1991 and 560 in 2000 representing 0.36 per cent and 2.9 per cent respectively of the total population 5 years or less.

Table 4.2: Total Population by Level of Education Reached and Sex, 2000 and 1991

| Age Group | Total | Per Cent | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Census 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 8 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| None | 64453 | 29.56 | 32356 | 29.54 | 32097 | 29.59 |
| Preschool | 2903 | 1.33 | 1402 | 1.28 | 1501 | 1.38 |
| Primary | 109900 | 50.41 | 55984 | 51.11 | 53916 | 49.70 |
| Secondary | 26083 | 11.96 | 12621 | 11.52 | 13462 | 12.41 |
| Pre-Uni/Post Sec | 9691 | 4.45 | 4404 | 4.02 | 5287 | 4.87 |
| University | 4223 | 1.94 | 2335 | 2.13 | 1888 | 1.74 |
| Other | 279 | 0.13 | 147 | 0.13 | 132 | 0.12 |
| DK/NS | 477 | 0.22 | 286 | 0.26 | 191 | 0.18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census 1991 | $\mathbf{1 8 4 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 3 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Total | 40379 | 21.86 | 20617 | 22.08 | 19762 | 21.63 |
| None | 4809 | 2.60 | 2382 | 2.55 | 2427 | 2.66 |
| Preschool | 106775 | 57.80 | 54145 | 57.99 | 52630 | 57.61 |
| Primary | 25063 | 13.57 | 11988 | 12.84 | 13075 | 14.31 |
| Secondary | 4278 | 2.32 | 2061 | 2.21 | 2217 | 2.43 |
| Pre-Uni/Post Sec | 2366 | 1.28 | 1532 | 1.64 | 834 | 0.91 |
| University | 516 | 0.28 | 270 | 0.29 | 246 | 0.27 |
| Other | 536 | 0.29 | 376 | 0.40 | 160 | 0.18 |
| DK/NS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]The majority of children less than 5 years of age who attended some form of school are from the most populous, Belize District. In fact, Table 4.3 shows that more than half of the children 5 years or less attending either preschool or primary school, 51.35 per cent came from the Belize District Only 24.6 per cent of the children less than 5 years old in the general population live in Belize District and so it is clear that a disproportionately large percentage of children in the less than 5 years age group who attend some form of school, live in the Belize District. One can infer that school attendance for this age group is predominantly an urban phenomenon and depends also on economic activities of parents. The Belize District remains the most urban district and the centre of commerce for the nation. Surprisingly however, following Belize District, Stann Creek District accounted for 15 per cent of all children in less than 5 years who attended school. Other
districts posted smaller percentages of children attending school than would be expected based on the size of their respective populations.

Furthermore, Table 4.3 indicates that 29.51 per cent of the less than 5 years age group living in the Belize District attended school. This exceeded similar figures for all other districts. Once again the Stann Creek District was next in line with 18.46 per cent of its less than 5 year-old population attending some form of school. In the less than 5 years age group, there seems to be a small difference in school attendance across the sexes. Males constituted 49.04 per cent of the school attendees in this age category while females constituted 50.96 per cent. Similarly, among males in this age group 13.9 per cent attended some form of school while among females 14.51 per cent attended some form of school.

Table 4.3: Children Less than 5 Years Old by School Attendance, District and Sex, 2000

| District | Attending School | Per <br> Cent | Not <br> Attending | Per <br> Cent | Not Stated | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 2773 | 100.00 | 16803 | 100.00 | 27 | 100.00 | 19603 | 100.00 |
| Corozal | 233 | 8.40 | 2289 | 13.62 | 1 | 3.70 | 2523 | 12.87 |
| Orange Walk Belize | 280 | 10.10 | 2743 | 16.32 | 14 | 51.85 | 3037 | 15.49 |
| District | 1424 | 51.35 | 3396 | 20.21 | 5 | 18.52 | 4825 | 24.61 |
| Cayo | 354 | 12.77 | 4210 | 25.06 | 2 | 7.41 | 4566 | 23.29 |
| Stann Creek | 416 | 15.00 | 1833 | 10.91 | 5 | 18.52 | 2254 | 11.50 |
| Toledo | 66 | 2.38 | 2332 | 13.88 | 0 | 0.00 | 2398 | 12.23 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 117 | 8.60 | 1155 | 13.60 | 0 | 0.00 | 1272 | 12.89 |
| Orange Walk Belize | 137 | 10.07 | 1410 | 16.60 | 9 | 56.25 | 1556 | 15.77 |
| District | 706 | 51.91 | 1742 | 20.51 | 2 | 12.5 | 2450 | 24.83 |
| Cayo | 173 | 12.72 | 2113 | 24.88 | 2 | 12.5 | 2288 | 23.19 |
| Stann Creek | 193 | 14.19 | 920 | 10.83 | 3 | 18.75 | 1116 | 11.31 |
| Toledo | 34 | 2.50 | 1152 | 13.57 | 0 | 0.00 | 1186 | 12.02 |
| Total | 1360 | 100.00 | 8492 | 100.00 | 16 | 100.00 | 9868 | 100.00 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 116 | 8.21 | 1134 | 13.64 | 1 | 9.09 | 1251 | 12.85 |
| Orange Walk Belize | 143 | 10.12 | 1333 | 16.04 | 5 | 45.45 | 1481 | 15.21 |
| District | 718 | 50.81 | 1654 | 19.90 | 3 | 27.27 | 2375 | 24.40 |
| Cayo | 181 | 12.81 | 2097 | 25.23 | 0 | 0.00 | 2278 | 23.40 |
| Stann Creek | 223 | 15.78 | 913 | 10.99 | 2 | 18.18 | 1138 | 11.69 |
| Toledo | 32 | 2.26 | 1180 | 14.20 | 0 | 0.00 | 1212 | 12.45 |
| Total | 1413 | 100.00 | 8311 | 100.00 | 11 | 100.00 | 9735 | 100.00 |

[^6]
### 4.3. Education for Persons 5 Years or Older

Table 4.4 presents results pertaining to the educational attainment of persons 5 years or older in Belize. Countrywide, 34.59 per cent of all persons 5 years or older were attending some form of school. Of those attending, 50.26 per cent were males.

Belize District has the largest number of persons aged 5 years or older attending some form of school, 18899 persons or 27.49 per cent, This result not surprising since the Belize District is the most populous district. However, it is the Toledo District which has the largest percentage of persons attending schools which is indicative of substantial investment in education in this district over the decade between 1991 and 2000. Table 4.4 justifies this by indicating that 37.09 per cent of Toledo District's population 5 years or older, attended some form of school. This is larger than Cayo District with 35.9 per cent, Stann Creek District with 34.76 per cent and Belize District with 34.43 per cent. It should be noted that the observed pattern of school attendance across the districts for the population 5 years and older remains unchanged irrespective of the sex of individuals.

In $2000,34.59$ per cent ( 68757 persons) of the general population 5 years or older was attending some form of school. Of these persons 27.49 per cent came from the Belize District, 22.2 per cent from the Cayo District, 16.11 per cent from the Orange Walk District, 13.56 per cent from the Corozal District, 10.43 per cent from the Stann Creek District and 10.21 per cent from the Toledo District (Table 4.4).

For persons 5 years or older, there were differences in school attendance across the sexes for most levels of education. At the primary level, the sex composition of school attendees was evenly split. At the secondary level, about 48.38 per cent of school attendees were males (Table 4.5(a)). There is a distinct bias in favor of the females attending the professional schools however (Teaching, Nursing and Agriculture). Table 4.5(b) indicates that at the professional level, 69.43 per cent of the students were females. This bias toward the females persisted at the Bachelors, Masters and PhD levels where the males accounted for 41.45 per cent of persons at the Junior Colleges, 40.04 per cent at the Bachelors level, 37.68 per cent at the Masters level and 40 per cent at the PhD level.

Table 4.4: Persons 5 Years and Over by School Attendance, District and Sex, 2000

| District | Attending School | Per Cent | Not <br> Attending | Per Cent | Not Stated | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 68757 | 100.00 | 129727 | 100.00 | 303 | 100.00 | 198787 | 100.00 |
| Corozal Orange | 9322 | 13.56 | 18681 | 14.40 | 5 | 1.65 | 28008 | 14.09 |
| Walk Belize | 11078 | 16.11 | 21750 | 16.77 | 24 | 7.92 | 32852 | 16.53 |
| District | 18899 | 27.49 | 35803 | 27.60 | 192 | 63.37 | 54894 | 27.61 |
| Cayo | 15267 | 22.20 | 28177 | 21.72 | 33 | 10.89 | 43477 | 21.87 |
| Stann Creek | 7169 | 10.43 | 13429 | 10.35 | 24 | 7.92 | 20622 | 10.37 |
| Toledo | 7022 | 10.21 | 11887 | 9.16 | 25 | 8.25 | 18934 | 9.52 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal Orange | 4709 | 13.63 | 9393 | 14.41 | 1 | 0.63 | 14103 | 14.12 |
| Walk Belize | 5700 | 16.49 | 11086 | 17.01 | 12 | 7.59 | 16798 | 16.81 |
| District | 9171 | 26.54 | 17598 | 27.00 | 101 | 63.92 | 26870 | 26.90 |
| Cayo | 7825 | 22.64 | 13946 | 21.39 | 15 | 9.49 | 21786 | 21.81 |
| Stann Creek | 3603 | 10.43 | 7174 | 11.01 | 15 | 9.49 | 10792 | 10.80 |
| Toledo | 3548 | 10.27 | 5991 | 9.19 | 14 | 8.86 | 9553 | 9.56 |
| Total | 34556 | 100.00 | 65188 | 100.00 | 158 | 100.00 | 99902 | 100.00 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal Orange | 4613 | 13.49 | 9288 | 14.39 | 4 | 2.76 | 13905 | 14.06 |
| Walk Belize | 5378 | 15.72 | 10664 | 16.52 | 12 | 8.28 | 16054 | 16.24 |
| District | 9728 | 28.44 | 18205 | 28.21 | 91 | 62.76 | 28024 | 28.34 |
| Cayo | 7442 | 21.76 | 14231 | 22.05 | 18 | 12.41 | 21691 | 21.94 |
| Stann Creek | 3566 | 10.43 | 6255 | 9.69 | 9 | 6.21 | 9830 | 9.94 |
| Toledo | 3474 | 10.16 | 5896 | 9.14 | 11 | 7.59 | 9381 | 9.49 |
| Total | 34201 | 100.00 | 64539 | 100.00 | 145 | 100.00 | 98885 | 100.00 |

[^7]Table 4.5(a): Persons 5 Years and Over Attending Primary and Secondary Schools by District and Sex, 2000

| District | Primary <br> School | Per cent | Secondary School | Per Cent | Total | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 53820 | 100.00 | 10438 | 100.00 | 64258 | 100.00 |
| Corozal | 7485 | 13.91 | 1317 | 12.62 | 8802 | 13.70 |
| Orange Walk | 9070 | 16.85 | 1418 | 13.58 | 10488 | 16.32 |
| Belize District | 13105 | 24.35 | 3812 | 36.52 | 16917 | 26.33 |
| Cayo | 12209 | 22.69 | 2154 | 20.64 | 14363 | 22.35 |
| Stann Creek | 5765 | 10.71 | 1085 | 10.39 | 6850 | 10.66 |
| Toledo | 6186 | 11.49 | 652 | 6.25 | 6838 | 10.64 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 3760 | 13.64 | 688 | 13.62 | 4448 | 13.64 |
| Orange Walk | 4663 | 16.92 | 732 | 14.50 | 5395 | 16.55 |
| Belize District | 6666 | 24.19 | 1729 | 34.24 | 8395 | 25.75 |
| Cayo | 6351 | 23.05 | 1063 | 21.05 | 7414 | 22.74 |
| Stann Creek | 2978 | 10.81 | 503 | 9.96 | 3481 | 10.68 |
| Toledo | 3140 | 11.39 | 335 | 6.63 | 3475 | 10.66 |
| Total | 27558 | 100.00 | 5050 | 100.00 | 32608 | 100.00 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 3725 | 14.18 | 629 | 11.67 | 4354 | 13.76 |
| Orange Walk | 4407 | 16.78 | 686 | 12.73 | 5093 | 16.09 |
| Belize District | 6439 | 24.52 | 2083 | 38.66 | 8522 | 26.93 |
| Cayo | 5858 | 22.31 | 1091 | 20.25 | 6949 | 21.96 |
| Stann Creek | 2787 | 10.61 | 582 | 10.80 | 3369 | 10.64 |
| Toledo | 3046 | 11.60 | 317 | 5.88 | 3363 | 10.63 |
| Total | 26262 | 100.00 | 5388 | 100.00 | 31650 | 100.00 |
| Percentage Females | 48.80 |  | 51.62 |  |  | 49.25 |
| Percentage Males | 51.20 |  | 48.38 |  |  | 50.75 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The sum of the totals of 4.5 a , b and $\mathrm{c}(67 \mathbf{2 0 2 )}$ ) of those 5 years and over attending school does not add to the total population 5 years and over attending school in Table 4.4 (68757).

Table 4.5(b): Persons 5 Years and Over Attending Technical Schools by
Type, District and Sex, 2000

| District | Teachers College | Nursing <br> School | Agricultural College | Total | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 25 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 13.99 |
| Orange Walk | 25 | 1 | 2 | 28 | 14.51 |
| Belize District | 37 | 17 | 0 | 54 | 27.98 |
| Cayo | 45 | 5 | 3 | 53 | 27.46 |
| Stann Creek | 9 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 5.18 |
| Toledo | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 10.88 |
| Total | 162 | 25 | 6 | 193 | 100.00 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 18.64 |
| Orange Walk | 8 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 16.95 |
| Belize District | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 16.95 |
| Cayo | 14 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 25.42 |
| Stann Creek | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6.78 |
| Toledo | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15.25 |
| Total | 53 | 2 | 4 | 59 | 100.00 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 11.94 |
| Orange Walk | 17 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 13.43 |
| Belize District | 28 | 16 | 0 | 44 | 32.84 |
| Cayo | 31 | 5 | 2 | 38 | 28.36 |
| Stann Creek | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4.48 |
| Toledo | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8.96 |
| Total | 109 | 23 | 2 | 134 | 100.00 |
| Percentage Females | 67.28 | 92.00 | 33.33 | 69.43 |  |

## Source: CARICOM

Note: The sum of the totals of $4.5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{c}(67 \mathbf{2 0 2 )}$ of those 5 years and over attending school does not add to the total population 5 years and over attending school in Table 4.4 (68757).

Table 4.5(c): Persons 5 Years and Over Attending Tertiary Schools by Type, District and Sex, 2000

| District | 6th Form or Junior College | Bachelors | Masters Degree | PhD | Total | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corozal | 287 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 12.18 |
| Orange Walk | 277 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 339 | 12.32 |
| Belize District | 891 | 331 | 50 | 9 | 1281 | 46.56 |
| Cayo | 450 | 74 | 11 | 0 | 535 | 19.45 |
| Stann Creek | 164 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 6.4 |
| Toledo | 71 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 85 | 3.09 |
| Total | 2140 | 532 | 69 | 10 | 2751 | 100 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 146 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 14.96 |
| Orange Walk | 145 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 177 | 15.66 |
| Belize District | 318 | 121 | 21 | 4 | 464 | 41.06 |
| Cayo | 205 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 237 | 20.97 |
| Stann Creek | 57 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 5.58 |
| Toledo | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1.77 |
| Total | 887 | 213 | 26 | 4 | 1130 | 100.00 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 141 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 10.24 |
| Orange Walk | 132 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 162 | 9.99 |
| Belize District | 573 | 210 | 29 | 5 | 817 | 50.4 |
| Cayo | 245 | 44 | 9 | 0 | 298 | 18.38 |
| Stann Creek | 107 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 6.97 |
| Toledo | 55 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 65 | 4.01 |
| Total | 1253 | 319 | 43 | 6 | 1621 | 100.00 |
| Percentage Males | 41.45 | 40.04 | 37.68 | 40 | 41.08 |  |
| Percentage Females | 58.55 | 59.96 | 62.32 | 60 | 58.92 |  |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The sum of the totals of $4.5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{c}(67202)$ of those 5 years and over attending school does not add to the total population 5 years and over attending school in Table 4.4 (68757).

### 4.4. Education for Persons 15 Years or Older

The 15 years or older age group comprise 59.15 per cent of the total population. From this group the following percentages of persons reside in the respective districts: 14.08 per cent the Corozal District, 16.34 per cent the Orange Walk District, 29.22 per cent the Belize District, 21.25 per cent the Cayo District, 10.5 per cent the Stann Creek District and 8.66 per cent lived in the Toledo District (Table 4.6).

Qualifications, measured by examinations passed, are provided in Table 4.6. It is seen that 34.26 per cent of all persons 15 years or older had no formal qualifications and an additional 43.9 per cent had Primary School certificates only. The remaining 21.84 per cent was divided into those with High School diplomas (12.73 per cent), Sixth Form diplomas ( 4.43 per cent), Professional certificates ( 1.32 per cent) and University degrees (2.65 per cent).

Table 4.6: Persons Aged 15 Years and Over by Highest Examination Passed and Districts, 2000

|  | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Certification | Corozal | Orange <br> Walk | Belize | Cayo | Stann <br> Creek | Toledo | Total | Total Per <br> Cent |
| None | 7755 | 8185 | 6659 | 12609 | 5265 | 6570 | 47043 | 34.26 |
| Primary | 8648 | 10964 | 19582 | 10353 | 6686 | 4037 | 60270 | 43.90 |
| High School | 1510 | 1842 | 7834 | 3621 | 1332 | 728 | 16867 | 12.28 |
| GCE/CXC | 118 | 24 | 256 | 138 | 32 | 49 | 617 | 0.45 |
| Sixth Form | 677 | 736 | 3071 | 1003 | 461 | 140 | 6088 | 4.43 |
| Teacher | 254 | 234 | 397 | 291 | 129 | 101 | 1406 | 1.02 |
| Nursing | 26 | 13 | 140 | 62 | 33 | 17 | 291 | 0.21 |
| Agriculture | 14 | 19 | 5 | 43 | 28 | 16 | 125 | 0.09 |
| GCE A Level | 21 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 58 | 0.04 |
| Bachelor | 219 | 288 | 1269 | 518 | 213 | 85 | 2592 | 1.89 |
| Masters | 58 | 50 | 379 | 195 | 76 | 37 | 795 | 0.58 |
| PhD | 6 | 18 | 70 | 50 | 16 | 5 | 165 | 0.12 |
| Post Graduate | 5 | 4 | 23 | 41 | 13 | 3 | 89 | 0.06 |
| Other | 18 | 29 | 183 | 157 | 42 | 72 | 501 | 0.36 |
| DN/NS | 4 | 23 | 235 | 83 | 24 | 26 | 395 | 0.29 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 3 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Total Per Cent | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Sourc: CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: The total population $\mathbf{1 5}$ years and older (137 | $\mathbf{3 0 2 )}$ does not match with the corresponding figures in table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 (137 009). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Qualifications at the district level were not proportional to the number of persons 15 years or older living in the various districts. Belize District had the most qualified cadre of persons 15 years or older. It is demonstrated that only 16063 per cent ( 6659 persons) of the 15 years and older persons in Belize District had no formal qualifications. Since the Belize District account for 29.23 per cent of the persons 15 years or older, it is clear that a high percentage of Belize District residents are qualified at some level. At the other extreme, in relative terms, Toledo District faired the worst insofar as it accounted for 8.66 per cent of persons 15 years or older, but with 55.36 per cent of this same population having no qualifications. Table 4.6 indicates that in the Cayo District, 43.2 per cent of persons 15 years or older had no formal qualifications. However, this high rate must be kept in context bearing in mind that the Cayo District accounts for 21.23 per cent of the population in the 15 years or older age group.

Exposure to some form of training is also an important issue for persons 15 years or older. By far, the Belize District has the largest cadre of trained persons in this age group 38.39 per cent (Table 4.7). Once again, the Toledo District fairs the worst, accounting for only 8.67 per cent of persons 15 years or older who have been exposed to some form of training.

Table 4.7: Total Unqualified and Trained Persons 15 Years or Older By District, 2000

|  | Total | Per Cent | Not <br> Qualified | Per Cent | Trained | Per Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District | 19306 | 14.09 | 7755 | 40.17 | 1525 | 7.90 |
| Corozal | 22370 | 16.33 | 8185 | 36.59 | 1720 | 7.69 |
| Belize | 40048 | 29.23 | 6659 | 16.63 | 5698 | 14.23 |
| Cayo | 29093 | 21.23 | 12609 | 43.34 | 2647 | 9.10 |
| Stann Creek | 14325 | 10.46 | 5265 | 36.75 | 1974 | 13.78 |
| Toledo | 11867 | 8.66 | 6570 | 55.36 | 1287 | 10.85 |
| Total | 137009 | 100.00 | 47043 | 34.34 | 14842 | 10.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CSO, Belize and CARICOM

Table 4.8: Persons 15 Years and Over by Age Group, District, Exposure to Training and Sex, 2000

| 药 | Age Group | Trained |  |  |  |  | Not Trained |  |  |  |  | Not Stated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J. } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 15-24 | 352 | 218 |  | 134 |  | 5998 | 2941 |  | 3057 |  | 10 |
|  | 25-64 | 1092 | 704 |  | 388 |  | 10487 | 5188 |  | 5299 |  | 16 |
|  | 65+ | 81 | 61 |  | 20 |  | 1293 | 665 |  | 628 |  | 4 |
|  | Total | 1525 | 983 | 64.46 | 542 | 35.54 | 17778 | 8794 | 49.47 | 8984 | 50.53 | 30 |
|  | 15-24 | 407 | 259 |  | 148 |  | 7226 | 3571 |  | 3655 |  | 23 |
|  | 25-64 | 1250 | 856 |  | 394 |  | 12140 | 6036 |  | 6104 |  | 34 |
|  | 65+ | 63 | 47 |  | 16 |  | 1280 | 707 |  | 573 |  | 6 |
|  | Total | 1720 | 1162 | 67.56 | 558 | 32.44 | 20646 | 10314 | 49.96 | 10332 | 50.04 | 63 |
|  | 15-24 | 1479 | 1039 |  | 440 |  | 11335 | 5203 |  | 6132 |  | 86 |
| N: N: | 25-64 | 3904 | 2505 |  | 1399 |  | 19859 | 9077 |  | 10782 |  | 251 |
|  | 65+ | 306 | 201 |  | 105 |  | 2867 | 1199 |  | 1668 |  | 35 |
|  | Total | 5689 | 3745 | 65.83 | 1944 | 34.17 | 34061 | 15479 | 45.44 | 18582 | 54.56 | 372 |
|  | 15-24 | 624 | 380 |  | 244 |  | 9293 | 4388 |  | 4905 |  | 81 |
| è è | 25-64 | 1909 | 1266 |  | 643 |  | 15112 | 7188 |  | 7924 |  | 148 |
|  | 65+ | 114 | 84 |  | 30 |  | 1879 | 962 |  | 917 |  | 17 |
|  | Total | 2647 | 1730 | 65.36 | 917 | 34.64 | 26284 | 12538 | 47.70 | 13746 | 52.30 | 246 |
|  | 15-24 | 388 | 278 |  | 110 |  | 4541 | 2273 |  | 2268 |  | 16 |
| تِّ نِّ | 25-64 | 1437 | 1016 |  | 421 |  | 6796 | 3458 |  | 3338 |  | 64 |
|  | 65+ | 149 | 91 |  | 58 |  | 954 | 427 |  | 527 |  | 9 |
|  | Total | 1974 | 1385 | 70.16 | 589 | 29.84 | 12291 | 6158 | 50.10 | 6133 | 49.90 | 89 |
|  | 15-24 | 294 | 190 |  | 104 |  | 4058 | 1968 |  | 2090 |  | 10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & H \end{aligned}$ | 25-64 | 907 | 658 |  | 249 |  | 5743 | 2745 |  | 2998 |  | 41 |
|  | 65+ | 86 | 53 |  | 33 |  | 743 | 363 |  | 380 |  | 5 |
|  | Total | 1287 | 901 | 70.01 | 386 | 29.99 | 10544 | 5076 | 48.14 | 5468 | 51.86 | 56 |
|  | 15-24 | 3544 | 2364 |  | 1180 |  | 42451 | 20344 |  | 22107 |  | 229 |
| N | 25-64 | 10499 | 7005 |  | 3494 |  | 70137 | 33692 |  | 36445 |  | 551 |
|  | 65+ | 799 | 537 |  | 262 |  | 9016 | 4323 |  | 4693 |  | 76 |
|  | Total | 14842 | 9906 | 66.74 | 4936 | 33.26 | 121604 | 58359 | 47.99 | 63245 | 52.01 | 856 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: the total population 15 years and older ( 137302 ) does not match the corresponding figure in table 4.7 (137 009).

Table 4.8 shows that across all districts, a greater percentage of persons exposed to some form of training were males. In the 15 years or older age group 66.74 per cent of all persons trained are males. Similar figures were posted in each of the districts: 64.46 per cent in the Corozal District, 67.56 per cent in the Orange Walk District, 65.83 per cent in the Belize District, 65.36 per cent in the Cayo District, 70.16 per cent in the Stann Creek District and 70.01 per cent in the Toledo District (Table 4.8).

Contrasts are obtained by categorizing the persons in the 15 years or older age group who received no training. Table 4.8 shows that overall 52.01 per cent of persons not trained are females. There was relatively no difference at the district levels between males and females in persons receiving no training with it being approximately 50 per cent for males and females respectively. This however is with the exception of the Belize and Cayo Districts which showed a slight bias in favour of females in respective proportions of 54.56 per cent and 52.3 per cent of persons 15 years or older with no training, were females.

## CHAPTER 5

## ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

## Introduction

Census data on economic activity of the population is important in the planning activities by government and other agencies, policy makers and stakeholders. Information on persons 15 years of age or older is collected and indicators concerning economic activity are compiled based on this portion of the population.

Economic activity data addresses issues akin to arrangements associated with individuals' engagement or non-engagement in work during the past week, that is, over the week of the census. Accordingly, this chapter looks at occupation in the past week, type of industry in which people were involved and the type of worker involved in these occupations and industries.

### 5.1. Economic Activity in the Past Week

Table 5.1 shows that in 2000, 50.83 per cent of persons 15 years or older were not working during the census week. Of those not working, 41 per cent were in the 15 to 24 years age group and 41.01 per cent in the 25 to 54 years age group.

Working men greatly outnumbered working women. Some 70.67 per cent of the population 15 years or older were working males and there were about 2.4 times as many working men as women.

Table 5.1: Population 15 Years and Over by Economic Activity in the Past Week and Sex, 2000

| Worked During Census Week | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 | Per Cent | 25-54 | Per Cent | 55-64 | Per <br> Cent | 65+ | Per <br> Cent | Total |
| Total Yes | 17496 | 37.85 | 43642 | 59.92 | 3751 | 44.91 | 2276 | 23.01 | 67165 |
| No | 28622 | 61.92 | 29004 | 39.82 | 4579 | 54.82 | 7587 | 76.71 | 69792 |
| Not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated | 106 | 0.23 | 188 | 0.26 | 23 | 0.28 | 28 | 0.28 | 345 |
| Total | 46224 | 100.00 | 72834 | 100.00 | 8353 | 100.00 | 9891 | 100.00 | 137302 |
| Male Yes | 12367 | 54.17 | 30210 | 82.69 | 2990 | 66.46 | 1897 | 38.75 | 47464 |
| No | 10404 | 45.58 | 6212 | 17.00 | 1499 | 33.32 | 2984 | 60.96 | 21099 |
| Not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated | 57 | 0.25 | 112 | 0.31 | 10 | 0.22 | 14 | 0.29 | 193 |
| Total | 22828 | 100.00 | 36534 | 100.00 | 4499 | 100.00 | 4895 | 100.00 | 68756 |
| Female $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { Not }\end{array}$ | 5129 | 21.92 | 13432 | 37.00 | 761 | 19.75 | 379 | 7.59 | 19701 |
|  | 18218 | 77.87 | 22792 | 62.79 | 3080 | 79.92 | 4603 | 92.13 | 48693 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated | 49 | 0.21 | 76 | 0.21 | 13 | 0.34 | 14 | 0.28 | 152 |
| Total | 23396 | 100.00 | 36300 | 100.00 | 3854 | 100.00 | 4996 | 100.00 | 68546 |
| Source: CARICOM <br> Note: Population 15 years and over (137 302) differs from the corresponding figure in Table 1.5 ( 137 009). There is no clarity as to those who were inactive and these persons appear to have been included in the category 'no' (those who were not working). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.2. Occupational Classification

Table 5.2 shows persons aged 15 years and over by main occupation and sex. Elementary occupations (unskilled labour) proved to be the most common for persons working. Of the persons 15 years or older, 32.85 per cent were engaged in such pursuits. Craft and Skilled/Agricultural occupations had the next highest proportions accounting for respective proportions of 14.6 per cent and 10.27 per cent of the population under review (See also Figure 5.1).

A large percentage ( 40.89 per cent) of persons in the 15 to 24 year age group who were working was engaged in elementary occupations. This compares with 29.71 per cent of persons in the 25 to 54 year age group who were also working in elementary occupations (Table 5.2)

Table 5.2: Persons 15 Years and Over who Worked in the Past Week by Main
Occupation and Sex, 2000

| Occupation | 15-24 |  | 25-54 |  | 55-64 |  | 65 Plus |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per Cent | Number | Per cent |
| Country Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislator/Manager | 220 | 1.19 | 2989 | 6.48 | 394 | 9.73 | 234 | 9.37 | 3837 | 5.39 |
| Professional | 265 | 1.43 | 1822 | 3.95 | 127 | 3.14 | 44 | 1.76 | 2258 | 3.17 |
| Technical | 1095 | 5.91 | 4826 | 10.45 | 209 | 5.16 | 71 | 2.84 | 6201 | 8.71 |
| Clerical | 1561 | 8.43 | 2660 | 5.76 | 123 | 3.04 | 51 | 2.04 | 4395 | 6.17 |
| Services/Sales | 1662 | 8.97 | 4241 | 9.19 | 258 | 6.37 | 159 | 6.37 | 6320 | 8.87 |
| Agricultural | 1673 | 9.03 | 4155 | 9.00 | 803 | 19.82 | 682 | 27.30 | 7313 | 10.27 |
| Craft | 2690 | 14.52 | 6953 | 15.06 | 502 | 12.39 | 255 | 10.21 | 10400 | 14.60 |
| Machine Operator | 1158 | 6.25 | 4127 | 8.94 | 318 | 7.85 | 106 | 4.24 | 5709 | 8.01 |
| Elementary | 7574 | 40.89 | 13713 | 29.71 | 1265 | 31.23 | 846 | 33.87 | 23398 | 32.85 |
| Defence Force | 124 | 0.67 | 328 | 0.71 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 0.04 | 454 | 0.64 |
| Not Stated | 502 | 2.71 | 347 | 0.75 | 51 | 1.26 | 49 | 1.96 | 949 | 1.33 |
| Total | 18524 | 100.00 | 46161 | 100.00 | 4051 | 100.00 | 2498 | 100.00 | 71234 | 100.00 |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislator/Manager | 123 | 0.94 | 1766 | 5.56 | 282 | 8.83 | 171 | 8.33 | 2342 | 4.68 |
| Professional | 126 | 0.97 | 1017 | 3.20 | 81 | 2.54 | 37 | 1.80 | 1261 | 2.52 |
| Technical | 520 | 3.99 | 2303 | 7.26 | 127 | 3.97 | 41 | 2.00 | 2991 | 5.98 |
| Clerical | 482 | 3.70 | 791 | 2.49 | 68 | 2.13 | 38 | 1.85 | 1379 | 2.76 |
| Services/Sales | 784 | 6.01 | 2087 | 6.57 | 139 | 4.35 | 104 | 5.06 | 3114 | 6.22 |
| Agricultural | 1587 | 12.17 | 3995 | 12.59 | 775 | 24.26 | 648 | 31.55 | 7005 | 14.00 |
| Craft | 2453 | 18.81 | 6286 | 19.80 | 434 | 13.58 | 210 | 10.22 | 9383 | 18.75 |
| Machine Operator | 998 | 7.65 | 3759 | 11.84 | 307 | 9.61 | 102 | 4.97 | 5166 | 10.33 |
| Elementary | 5588 | 42.85 | 9187 | 28.94 | 943 | 29.51 | 670 | 32.62 | 16388 | 32.76 |
| Defence Force | 115 | 0.88 | 304 | 0.96 | 1 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.00 | 420 | 0.84 |
| Not Stated | 264 | 2.02 | 247 | 0.78 | 38 | 1.19 | 33 | 1.61 | 582 | 1.16 |
| Total | 13040 | 100.00 | 31742 | 100.00 | 3195 | 100.00 | 2054 | 100.00 | 50031 | 100.00 |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislator/Manager | 97 | 1.77 | 1223 | 8.48 | 112 | 13.08 | 63 | 14.19 | 1495 | 7.05 |
| Professional | 139 | 2.53 | 805 | 5.58 | 46 | 5.37 | 7 | 1.58 | 997 | 4.70 |
| Technical | 575 | 10.49 | 2523 | 17.50 | 82 | 9.58 | 30 | 6.76 | 3210 | 15.14 |
| Clerical | 1079 | 19.68 | 1869 | 12.96 | 55 | 6.43 | 13 | 2.93 | 3016 | 14.22 |
| Services/Sales | 878 | 16.01 | 2154 | 14.94 | 119 | 13.90 | 55 | 12.39 | 3206 | 15.12 |
| Agricultural | 86 | 1.57 | 160 | 1.11 | 28 | 3.27 | 34 | 7.66 | 308 | 1.45 |
| Craft | 237 | 4.32 | 667 | 4.63 | 68 | 7.94 | 45 | 10.14 | 1017 | 4.80 |
| Machine Operator | 160 | 2.92 | 368 | 2.55 | 11 | 1.29 | 4 | 0.90 | 543 | 2.56 |
| Elementary | 1986 | 36.21 | 4526 | 31.39 | 322 | 37.62 | 176 | 39.64 | 7010 | 33.06 |
| Defence Force | 9 | 0.16 | 24 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.23 | 34 | 0.16 |
| Not Stated | 238 | 4.34 | 100 | 0.69 | 13 | 1.52 | 16 | 3.60 | 367 | 1.73 |
| Total | 5484 | 100.00 | 14419 | 100.00 | 856 | 100.00 | 444 | 100.00 | 21203 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The number who worked ( 71 234) differs from the corresponding number in Table 5.1 ( 67 165).


There were also differences in the occupations between males and females as evidenced in Table 5.2. Males were more likely to be engaged in Elementary, Machine Operator, Craft and Agricultural occupations. Females, however, were most likely to be found in Elementary, Services, Clerical or Technical occupations. Machine Operator occupations were more popular among the 25 to 54 year old males than among younger and older males. Clerical and technical occupations were popular for the young females 15 to 24 years and their counterparts in the 25 to 54 years age group, while older women of 55
plus years were less likely to be in clerical or technical occupations. Services and sales seemed to be a popular occupation for women of all age groups.

### 5.3. Industrial Classification

With reference to persons 15 years or older who worked during the census week, Table 5.3(a) sheds light on the following industrial classification that is indicative of the distribution of such persons according to their principal economic pursuits. Table 5.3(b) and Table 5.3(c) present similar results disaggregated according to individuals' sex.

Wholesale/Retail: While 17.04 per cent of young persons between the ages of 15 years and 24 years and about 15.21 per cent of adults 25 to 54 years old participate in this industry. Similarly high percentages were observed among older persons aged 55 to 64 years and 65 years or older, the respective proportions being 16.54 per cent and 20.62 per cent. It is also worth noting that the majority, ( 62.87 per cent), of wholesalers/retailers were male.

Government Services: The government is the single largest employer in the country hiring 9438 persons or 13.25 per cent of all persons 15 years or older who worked for profit during the census week. Government employees fall mainly in the 25 to 54 year age group and this age group accounts for 77.8 per cent of government jobs. For persons in age groups 15 to 24 years and 25 to 54 years, there is virtually an even split across the sexes with respect to employment in government services though there is a very slight bias in favour of men. However, the rate of retention in the government services increases for males in older age groups insofar as men constitute respective proportions of 67.29 per cent of the age group 55 to 64 years and 79.67 per cent of the age group $65+$ years.

Sugar: The sugar industry is an important revenue earner for the country. Most of the work in this industry is done by males. It is seen that 96.75 per cent of sugar workers are males with 87.69 per cent of these workers under the age of 55 years.

Agriculture NEC: General agriculture and farming, like sugar, is an industry mostly dominated by young males. About 93.38 per cent of agricultural workers are males with 82.5 per cent of them less than 55 years of age.

Community, Social and Personal: This industry is one of the few in which female workers outnumber their male counterparts. Some 64.6 per cent of the workers in this industrial group are females outnumbering males 1.8 to 1 . Mostly young females are involved in this industry to the extent that approximately 24 per cent of the female workers are in the 15 to 24 years age group and about 67 per cent in the 25 to 54 year age group.

Construction: Construction is an industry that provides employment principally for young males. While 98.06 per cent of construction workers are male, 94.31 per cent of such workers are under 55 years of age.

Tourism: The tourism industry attracts mainly younger people. In general, there is virtually an even split between the sexes with respect to employment in tourism (3 271 males and 2982 females). However, there are a greater number of females among the 15 to 24 years age group with 806 females compared with 695 males. In the older age groups however, male workers outnumber their female counterparts. One industry of note is the small Manufacture of Textiles which is dominated by females; there are 3.6 times as many females in this industry as males.

# Table 5.3(a): Persons 15 Years and Over Whom Worked in the Past Week by Industry and Age Group, 2000 

| Industry | 15-24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 25-54 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 55-64 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 65+ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugar | 2016 | 10.87 | 4517 | 9.79 | 576 | 14.22 | 345 | 13.81 | 7454 | 10.46 |
| Citrus | 157 | 0.85 | 288 | 0.62 | 26 | 0.64 | 4 | 0.16 | 475 | 0.67 |
| Bananas | 745 | 4.02 | 1239 | 2.69 | 94 | 2.32 | 38 | 1.52 | 2116 | 2.97 |
| Agriculture N.E.C. | 2061 | 11.12 | 3929 | 8.52 | 693 | 17.11 | 579 | 23.18 | 7262 | 10.19 |
| Forestry Logging \& Sawmilling | 316 | 1.70 | 524 | 1.14 | 60 | 1.48 | 31 | 1.24 | 931 | 1.31 |
| Fishing and Fish Processing | 415 | 2.24 | 772 | 1.67 | 57 | 1.41 | 40 | 1.60 | 1284 | 1.80 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 54 | 0.29 | 170 | 0.37 | 21 | 0.52 | 2 | 0.08 | 247 | 0.35 |
| Manufacture of Food Products | 450 | 2.43 | 928 | 2.01 | 82 | 2.02 | 47 | 1.88 | 1507 | 2.12 |
| Manufacture of Textile | 266 | 1.43 | 750 | 1.63 | 69 | 1.70 | 29 | 1.16 | 1114 | 1.56 |
| Manufacturing N.E.C. | 506 | 2.73 | 1015 | 2.20 | 102 | 2.52 | 65 | 2.60 | 1688 | 2.37 |
| Electricity Gas and Water | 172 | 0.93 | 614 | 1.33 | 21 | 0.52 | 7 | 0.28 | 814 | 1.14 |
| Construction | 1855 | 10.00 | 4468 | 9.68 | 275 | 6.79 | 106 | 4.24 | 6704 | 9.41 |
| Wholesale and Retail | 3159 | 17.04 | 7020 | 15.21 | 670 | 16.54 | 515 | 20.62 | 11364 | 15.95 |
| Tourism | 1501 | 8.10 | 4233 | 9.17 | 351 | 8.66 | 168 | 6.73 | 6253 | 8.78 |
| Transport N.E.C | 629 | 3.39 | 1686 | 3.65 | 110 | 2.72 | 55 | 2.20 | 2480 | 3.48 |
| Financial Intermediation' | 272 | 1.47 | 794 | 1.72 | 28 | 0.69 | 15 | 0.60 | 1109 | 1.56 |
| Real Estate | 396 | 2.14 | 1079 | 2.34 | 79 | 1.95 | 53 | 2.12 | 1607 | 2.26 |
| General Government Services Community Social \& Personal | 1662 | 8.96 | 7332 | 15.89 | 321 | 7.92 | 123 | 4.92 | 9438 | 13.25 |
| Services | 1645 | 8.87 | 4600 | 9.97 | 386 | 9.53 | 236 | 9.45 | 6867 | 9.64 |
| Not Stated | 264 | 1.42 | 184 | 0.40 | 30 | 0.74 | 40 | 1.60 | 518 | 0.73 |
| Total | 18541 | 100.00 | 46142 | 100.00 | 4051 | 100.00 | 2498 | 100.00 | 71232 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The number who worked ( 71 232) differs from the corresponding number in Table 5.2 (71 234).

Table 5.3(b): Males 15 Years and Over who Worked in the Past Week by Industry and Age Group, 2000

| Industry | 15-24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 25-54 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 55-64 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 65+ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar | 1958 | 15.02 | 4366 | 13.76 | 558 | 17.46 | 330 | 16.07 | 7212 | 14.42 |
| Citrus | 117 | 0.90 | 229 | 0.72 | 26 | 0.81 | 4 | 0.19 | 376 | 0.75 |
| Bananas | 562 | 4.31 | 977 | 3.08 | 80 | 2.50 | 36 | 1.75 | 1655 | 3.31 |
| Agriculture N.E.C. | 1876 | 14.39 | 3689 | 11.62 | 663 | 20.75 | 553 | 26.92 | 6781 | 13.55 |
| Forestry Logging \& Sawmilling | 304 | 2.33 | 504 | 1.59 | 57 | 1.78 | 31 | 1.51 | 896 | 1.79 |
| Fishing and Fish Processing | 350 | 2.68 | 666 | 2.10 | 52 | 1.63 | 39 | 1.90 | 1107 | 2.21 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 54 | 0.41 | 167 | 0.53 | 21 | 0.66 | 2 | 0.10 | 244 | 0.49 |
| Manufacture of Food Products | 319 | 2.45 | 571 | 1.80 | 52 | 1.63 | 29 | 1.41 | 971 | 1.94 |
| Manufacture of Textile | 58 | 0.44 | 153 | 0.48 | 24 | 0.75 | 15 | 0.73 | 250 | 0.50 |
| Manufacturing N.E.C. | 467 | 3.58 | 922 | 2.90 | 99 | 3.10 | 64 | 3.12 | 1552 | 3.10 |
| Electricity Gas and Water | 139 | 1.07 | 495 | 1.56 | 21 | 0.66 | 7 | 0.34 | 662 | 1.32 |
| Construction | 1806 | 13.85 | 4394 | 13.84 | 270 | 8.45 | 104 | 5.06 | 6574 | 13.14 |
| Wholesale and Retail | 1982 | 15.20 | 4366 | 13.76 | 425 | 13.30 | 372 | 18.11 | 7145 | 14.28 |
| Tourism | 695 | 5.33 | 2215 | 6.98 | 246 | 7.70 | 115 | 5.60 | 3271 | 6.54 |
| Transport N.E.C | 498 | 3.82 | 1388 | 4.37 | 91 | 2.85 | 49 | 2.39 | 2026 | 4.05 |
| Financial Intermediation' | 114 | 0.87 | 328 | 1.03 | 24 | 0.75 | 7 | 0.34 | 473 | 0.95 |
| Real Estate | 236 | 1.81 | 726 | 2.29 | 69 | 2.16 | 52 | 2.53 | 1083 | 2.16 |
| General Government Services Community Social \& Personal | 861 | 6.60 | 3843 | 12.11 | 216 | 6.76 | 98 | 4.77 | 5018 | 10.03 |
| Services | 513 | 3.93 | 1616 | 5.09 | 179 | 5.60 | 123 | 5.99 | 2431 | 4.86 |
| Not Stated | 130 | 1.00 | 126 | 0.40 | 22 | 0.69 | 24 | 1.17 | 302 | 0.60 |
| Totals | 13039 |  | 31741 |  | 3195 |  | 2054 |  | 50029 |  |

## Table 5.3(c): Females 15 Years and Over Who Worked in the Past Week by Industry and Age Group, 2000

| Industry | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 25 & - \\ 54 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 64 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} - & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 65+ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar | 58 | 1.06 | 151 | 1.05 | 18 | 2.10 | 15 | 3.38 | 242 | 1.14 |
| Citrus | 40 | 0.73 | 59 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 99 | 0.47 |
| Bananas | 183 | 3.34 | 262 | 1.82 | 14 | 1.64 | 2 | 0.45 | 461 | 2.17 |
| Agriculture N.E.C. | 185 | 3.37 | 240 | 1.66 | 30 | 3.50 | 26 | 5.86 | 481 | 2.27 |
| Forestry Logging \& Sawmilling | 12 | 0.22 | 20 | 0.14 | 3 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 35 | 0.17 |
| Fishing and Fish Processing | 65 | 1.19 | 106 | 0.74 | 5 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.23 | 177 | 0.83 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.01 |
| Manufacture of Food Products | 131 | 2.39 | 357 | 2.48 | 30 | 3.50 | 18 | 4.05 | 536 | 2.53 |
| Manufacture of Textile | 208 | 3.79 | 597 | 4.14 | 45 | 5.26 | 14 | 3.15 | 864 | 4.07 |
| Manufacturing N.E.C. | 39 | 0.71 | 93 | 0.64 | 3 | 0.35 | 1 | 0.23 | 136 | 0.64 |
| Electricity Gas and Water | 33 | 0.60 | 119 | 0.83 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 152 | 0.72 |
| Construction | 49 | 0.89 | 74 | 0.51 | 5 | 0.58 | 2 | 0.45 | 130 | 0.61 |
| Wholesale and Retail | 1177 | 21.46 | 2654 | 18.41 | 245 | 28.62 | 143 | 32.21 | 4219 | 19.90 |
| Tourism | 806 | 14.70 | 2018 | 14.00 | 105 | 12.27 | 53 | 11.94 | 2982 | 14.06 |
| Transport N.E.C | 131 | 2.39 | 298 | 2.07 | 19 | 2.22 | 6 | 1.35 | 454 | 2.14 |
| Financial Intermediation' | 158 | 2.88 | 466 | 3.23 | 4 | 0.47 | 8 | 1.80 | 636 | 3.00 |
| Real Estate | 160 | 2.92 | 353 | 2.45 | 10 | 1.17 | 1 | 0.23 | 524 | 2.47 |
| General Government Services <br> Community Social \& Personal | 801 | 14.61 | 3489 | 24.20 | 105 | 12.27 | 25 | 5.63 | 4420 | 20.85 |
| Services | 1114 | 20.31 | 3002 | 20.82 | 207 | 24.18 | 113 | 25.45 | 4436 | 20.92 |
| Not Stated | 134 | 2.44 | 58 | 0.40 | 8 | 0.93 | 16 | 3.60 | 216 | 1.02 |
| Totals | 5484 | 100.00 | 14419 | 100.00 | 856 | 100.00 | 444 | 100.00 | 21203 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The number males added to females who worked (71 232) differs from the corresponding number in Table 5.2 (71 234).

### 5.4. Type of Worker

Table 5.4 summarizes persons aged 15 years or older who worked during the week preceding the census according to their worker status. The majority are paid employees, either paid by private employers or the government. Government finances the salaries of 14.65 per cent of the workers which include both government service workers and other workers (for example, school teachers) while private businesses pay about 57.18 per cent of all workers.

Table 5.4: Persons 15 Years and Over who Worked in the Past Week by Type of Worker, Sex and District, $2000{ }^{6}$

| Country Count | Corozal | Per <br> Cent | Orange <br> Walk | Per <br> Cent | Belize | Per <br> Cent | Cayo | Per <br> Cent | Stann <br> Creek | Per <br> Cent | Toledo | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paid Employee-Public | 958 | 9.88 | 991 | 9.13 | 4214 | 19.00 | 2678 | 18.92 | 841 | 10.26 | 751 | 12.19 | 10433 | 14.65 |
| Paid Employee -Private | 5666 | 58.45 | 6669 | 61.46 | 13200 | 59.51 | 7431 | 52.50 | 5598 | 68.28 | 2172 | 35.24 | 40736 | 57.18 |
| Unpaid Worker | 604 | 6.23 | 503 | 4.64 | 382 | 1.72 | 912 | 6.44 | 327 | 3.99 | 668 | 10.84 | 3396 | 4.77 |
| Own Business With Paid Help | 685 | 7.07 | 789 | 7.27 | 1207 | 5.44 | 624 | 4.41 | 375 | 4.57 | 285 | 4.62 | 3965 | 5.57 |
| Own Business Without Paid Help | 1745 | 18.00 | 1822 | 16.79 | 2991 | 13.49 | 2351 | 16.61 | 1014 | 12.37 | 2262 | 36.70 | 12185 | 17.10 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 35 | 0.36 | 77 | 0.71 | 186 | 0.84 | 157 | 1.11 | 44 | 0.54 | 25 | 0.41 | 524 | 0.74 |
| Total | 9693 | 100.00 | 10851 | 100.00 | 22180 | 100.00 | 14153 | 100.00 | 8199 | 100.00 | 6163 | 100.00 | 71239 | 100.00 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid Employee - Public | 571 | 7.57 | 614 | 7.05 | 2235 | 16.90 | 1577 | 15.91 | 484 | 8.37 | 489 | 10.06 | 5970 | 11.93 |
| Paid Employee -Private | 4671 | 61.93 | 5528 | 63.46 | 7753 | 58.62 | 5349 | 53.98 | 4170 | 72.10 | 1720 | 35.38 | 29191 | 58.34 |
| Unpaid Worker | 355 | 4.71 | 385 | 4.42 | 206 | 1.56 | 689 | 6.95 | 169 | 2.92 | 539 | 11.09 | 2343 | 4.68 |
| Own Business With Paid Help | 588 | 7.80 | 679 | 7.79 | 877 | 6.63 | 441 | 4.45 | 285 | 4.93 | 237 | 4.88 | 3107 | 6.21 |
| Own Business Without Paid Help | 1338 | 17.74 | 1461 | 16.77 | 2032 | 15.36 | 1766 | 17.82 | 649 | 11.22 | 1861 | 38.28 | 9107 | 18.20 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 19 | 0.25 | 44 | 0.51 | 122 | 0.92 | 88 | 0.89 | 27 | 0.47 | 15 | 0.31 | 315 | 0.63 |
| Total | 7542 | 100.00 | 8711 | 100.00 | 13225 | 100.00 | 9910 | 100.00 | 5784 | 100.00 | 4861 | 100.00 | 50033 | 100.00 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid Employee - Public | 387 | 17.99 | 377 | 17.62 | 1979 | 22.10 | 1101 | 25.95 | 357 | 14.78 | 262 | 20.12 | 4463 | 21.05 |
| Paid Employee -Private | 995 | 46.26 | 1141 | 53.32 | 5447 | 60.83 | 2082 | 49.07 | 1428 | 59.13 | 452 | 34.72 | 11545 | 54.44 |
| Unpaid Worker | 249 | 11.58 | 118 | 5.51 | 176 | 1.97 | 223 | 5.26 | 158 | 6.54 | 129 | 9.91 | 1053 | 4.97 |
| Own Business With Paid Help | 97 | 4.51 | 110 | 5.14 | 330 | 3.69 | 183 | 4.31 | 90 | 3.73 | 48 | 3.69 | 858 | 4.05 |
| Help | 407 | 18.92 | 361 | 16.87 | 959 | 10.71 | 585 | 13.79 | 365 | 15.11 | 401 | 30.80 | 3078 | 14.51 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 16 | 0.74 | 33 | 1.54 | 64 | 0.71 | 69 | 1.63 | 17 | 0.70 | 10 | 0.77 | 209 | 0.99 |
| Total | 2151 | 100.00 | 2140 | 100.00 | 8955 | 100.00 | 4243 | 100.00 | 2415 | 100.00 | 1302 | 100.00 | 21206 | 100.00 |

[^8]Many persons run their own businesses and most of them have no employees. In all, 17.1 per cent of workers fall into this category and run their own business without paid help. Only 5.57 per cent of the workers operate their own businesses with paid help. Most of the privately paid employees are males, 71.9 per cent.

## CHAPTER 6

## HOUSING AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

## Introduction

Proper and adequate housing is a basic human right. In order to assess the adequacy of housing it is necessary to determine the current quantities and quality of housing structures and then to investigate the facilities available in households. Only then is it possible to determine substandard areas that require attention.

### 6.1. Housing Characteristics

### 6.1.1. Dwelling Units

Dwelling units are classified in the 2000 Census as
Undivided Private House
Part of House
Flat
Double House
Business Dwelling
Barracks
Other.

Using these classifications, Table 6.1 shows that there were a total of 51945 dwelling units in Belize in 2000. This is an increase of 37 per cent in the number of dwelling units counted in 1991. Undivided private homes comprised the majority of the dwelling units in 2000, 83.7 per cent.

## Table 6．1：Dwelling Unit by Type and District， 2000

| Dwelling Units |  |  |  | U | 蔦 | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{U} \\ & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { U. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ü } \\ \text { Ü } \\ \end{gathered}$ |  | تِ تِ |  | تِ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ٓ⿹丁口 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undivided Private House | 6135 | 91.27 | 7250 | 92.02 | 11724 | 72.65 | 9536 | 88.03 | 4658 | 79.22 | 4187 | 93.17 | 43490 | 83.72 |
| Part of House | 162 | 2.41 | 205 | 2.60 | 1466 | 9.08 | 556 | 5.13 | 291 | 4.95 | 55 | 1.22 | 2735 | 5.27 |
| Flat | 21 | 0.31 | 36 | 0.46 | 1118 | 6.93 | 156 | 1.44 | 55 | 0.94 | 26 | 0.58 | 1412 | 2.72 |
| Double House | 185 | 2.75 | 145 | 1.84 | 1287 | 7.98 | 192 | 1.77 | 213 | 3.62 | 19 | 0.42 | 2041 | 3.93 |
| Business／Dwelling | 203 | 3.02 | 235 | 2.98 | 311 | 1.93 | 340 | 3.14 | 173 | 2.94 | 113 | 2.51 | 1375 | 2.65 |
| Barracks | 3 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.00 | 82 | 0.51 | 6 | 0.06 | 474 | 8.06 | 85 | 1.89 | 650 | 1.25 |
| Other | 6 | 0.09 | 6 | 0.08 | 98 | 0.61 | 35 | 0.32 | 15 | 0.26 | 8 | 0.18 | 168 | 0.32 |
| Not Stated | 7 | 0.10 | 2 | 0.03 | 51 | 0.32 | 12 | 0.11 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 0.02 | 74 | 0.14 |
| Total | 6722 | 100.00 | 7879 | 100.00 | 16137 | 100.00 | 10833 | 100.00 | 5880 | 100.00 | 4494 | 100.00 | 51945 | 100.00 |

Source：CARICOM

The Belize District has the largest number of undivided private homes， 26.96 per cent in the entire country while the Toledo District garnered only 9.63 per cent of the total undivided private dwelling units．However，undivided private homes constitute 72.65 per cent of the dwelling units in Belize District while 93.17 per cent of the dwelling units in the Toledo District are undivided private homes（Table 6．1）．One can surmise that most of the dwelling units in the Toledo District were privately owned，while a substantial number of dwelling units in the Belize District were rented（Part of Houses， 9.08 per cent；Double Houses， 7.98 per cent and Flats， 6.93 per cent）．In Stann Creek，housing is characterized by substantial numbers of low income dwelling units as 8.06 per cent of the dwelling units assumed the form of barracks．

## 6．1．2．Household Units and Overcrowding

In Belize， 62.6 per cent of the population lives in Undivided Private Houses， 19.4 per cent in Flats and 12.2 per cent live in Barracks（Table 6．2）．

Average household sizes in Toledo District is 4.8 persons per household，Corozal District and Orange Walk District is 4.7 persons per household， 4.2 in Cayo District， 4.1 in Stann Creek

District and 3.8 in Belize District. The average household size in the general population of Belize is 4.3 persons per household. These averages were calculated from the data in Table 6.3.

Also calculated from Table 6.3 was the average number of persons per bedroom. In the country this was 2.3 persons per bedroom. This same average hold true for the northern districts of Corozal, Orange Walk and Belize. The Cayo District recorded the highest likelihood of overcrowding with 2.4 persons per bedroom while the Stann Creek and Toledo districts had averages of 2.1 and 2.0 persons per bedroom respectively.

Table 6.2: Dwelling Unit by Size of Household, Number of Bedrooms and District, 2000


Table 6.2: Cont'd Dwelling Unit by Size of Household, Number of Bedrooms and District, 2000

| Country | Size Of Household | Number of Persons per Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One | Two | Three | Four | Five | Six | Seven | Eight | Total |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | One | 520 | 289 | 125 | 22 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 965 |
|  | Two | 323 | 303 | 143 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 803 |
|  | Three | 248 | 330 | 171 | 28 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 787 |
|  | Four | 186 | 341 | 188 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 767 |
|  | Five | 119 | 273 | 196 | 47 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 657 |
|  | Six | 87 | 212 | 175 | 44 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 532 |
|  | Seven | 42 | 135 | 133 | 40 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 360 |
|  | Eight | 62 | 142 | 170 | 75 | 33 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 500 |
|  | Nine | 30 | 49 | 73 | 22 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 188 |
| $\frac{0}{0}$ | Total | 1617 | 2074 | 1374 | 347 | 92 | 33 | 8 | 14 | 5559 |
|  | One | 196 | 99 | 44 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 356 |
|  | Two | 187 | 140 | 52 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 395 |
|  | Three | 177 | 144 | 60 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 401 |
|  | Four | 183 | 176 | 65 | 22 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 453 |
|  | Five | 150 | 137 | 80 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 407 |
|  | Six | 149 | 137 | 71 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 403 |
|  | Seven | 96 | 90 | 51 | 27 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 274 |
|  | Eight | 145 | 147 | 113 | 42 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 485 |
|  | Nine | 69 | 49 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 161 |
|  | Total | 1352 | 1119 | 566 | 193 | 65 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 3335 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: Total dwelling units (49 494) differ from the corresponding figure in table 6.1 ( 51945 ). By Districts, the figures are Corozal ( 6467 vs. 6 722), Orange Walk ( 7614 vs. 7 879), Belize ( 15912 vs. 16 137), Cayo (10 607 vs. 10 833), Toledo ( 3335 vs. 4 494).

### 6.1.3. Structure of Dwelling Units

Table 6.3 shows the number of dwellings constructed on a yearly basis in Belize from 1995 to 2000. The table indicates that the least number of dwellings constructed in all districts in Belize was in the year 2000 and the most constructed was in the year 1995 in all districts except Stan Creek and Toledo. In Stann Creek the most dwellings were constructed in 1996 and this is not really significant as it is only one more than was constructed in 1995, 356 versus 357 . In Toledo, most dwellings were constructed in 1999 (534) but there was a significant decrease to 172 in 2000. These findings possibly indicate that there might be considerable under-reporting in the year 2000 of the number of new houses constructed.

Table 6.3: Dwelling Unit by Year Built and District, 2000

| Year of <br> Construction | Corozal <br> District | Orange <br> Walk <br> District | Belize <br> District | Cayo <br> District | Stann <br> Creek <br> District | Toledo <br> District | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1995 | 348 | 447 | 697 | 609 | 356 | 456 | 2913 |
| 1996 | 232 | 282 | 474 | 439 | 357 | 453 | 2237 |
| 1997 | 236 | 311 | 500 | 524 | 275 | 388 | 2234 |
| 1998 | 261 | 325 | 563 | 601 | 322 | 496 | 2568 |
| 1999 | 282 | 298 | 538 | 601 | 308 | 534 | 2561 |
| 2000 | 73 | 100 | 211 | 253 | 100 | 172 | 909 |
| Not Stated | 799 | 1766 | 5710 | 1875 | 1342 | 349 | 11841 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM

In order to determine one's standard of living it is necessary to have an idea of not only the quantity of dwelling units, but also the quality of these structures. Table 6.4 shows that the most popular type of roofing material used in housing construction is sheet metal with 80.19 per cent of dwelling units having metal sheet roofs. Across the districts, there were varying degrees of preference for this roof type with a high of 92.97 per cent of dwelling units with metal sheet roofs in the Belize District to a low of 46.82 per cent in the Toledo District (Table 6.4). Significant numbers of dwelling units had concrete roofs in the Corozal District, 23.27 per cent, and the Orange Walk District, 12.21 per cent. It is believed that this form of construction was adapted from the Mexican mode of construction, a short distance to the North. In the Toledo District substantial numbers of dwelling units, 46.51 per cent had thatched roofs, the single highest percentage.

Wood and concrete are the main building materials for the walls of dwelling units in Belize. Table 6.6 indicates that 44.17 per cent of all units had wooden walls while 40.32 per cent had walls that were made of Concrete. Wooden walls were most popular in the Toledo District, 70.03 per cent and least popular in the Corozal District, 22.48 per cent. Wooden walls as material for house walls were also prominent in the Stann Creek District with 62.81 per cent, Belize District with 46.31 per cent and Cayo District with 43.04 per cent of the population using the said. Concrete walls, on the other hand, were most popular in the Corozal District with 50.80 per cent and least popular in the Toledo District with 22.85 per cent of all dwelling units being
constructed of such. In the Cayo District and the Orange Walk District, the majority of dwelling units were constructed with concrete as the main material for outer walls, the respective proportions being 45.52 per cent and 42.15 per cent respectively.

Table 6.4: Dwelling Unit by Type of Roofing Material and District, 2000

| Main <br> Roof <br> Material |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{U} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üむ } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | تِ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üd } \\ & \text { Ü } \end{aligned}$ | T | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal | 4237 | 63.03 | 5990 | 76.02 | 15002 | 92.97 | 9531 | 87.98 | 4793 | 81.51 | 2104 | 46.82 | 41657 | 80.19 |
| Shingle Ruber | 22 | 0.33 | 7 | 0.09 | 119 | 0.74 | 19 | 0.18 | 29 | 0.49 | 8 | 0.178 | 204 | 0.39 |
| Rye | 118 | 1.76 | 356 | 4.52 | 39 | 0.24 | 282 | 2.60 | 325 | 5.53 | 125 | 2.781 | 1245 | 2.40 |
| Concrete | 1564 | 23.27 | 962 | 12.21 | 756 | 4.68 | 487 | 4.50 | 332 | 5.65 | 149 | 3.316 | 4250 | 8.18 |
| Thatch | 623 | 9.27 | 503 | 6.38 | 46 | 0.29 | 412 | 3.80 | 317 | 5.39 | 2090 | 46.51 | 3991 | 7.68 |
| Asbestos | 60 | 0.89 | 18 | 0.23 | 22 | 0.14 | 25 | 0.23 | 50 | 0.85 | 8 | 0.178 | 183 | 0.35 |
| Other | 95 | 1.41 | 38 | 0.48 | 74 | 0.46 | 69 | 0.64 | 25 | 0.43 | 8 | 0.178 | 309 | 0.59 |
| Not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated | 3 | 0.04 | 5 | 0.06 | 79 | 0.49 | 8 | 0.07 | 9 | 0.15 | 2 | 0.045 | 106 | 0.20 |
| Total | 6722 | 100.00 | 7879 | 100.00 | 16137 | 100.00 | 10833 | 100.00 | 5880 | 100.00 | 4494 | 100.00 | 51945 | 100.00 |
| Source: CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.5: Dwelling Unit by Material of Outer Walls and District, 2000

| Main Material of Outer Walls |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{U} \\ & U \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { Üd } \end{aligned}$ | 烒 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{U} \\ & U \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | U 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{U} \\ & U \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ü } \\ \text { Ü } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{U} \\ & \text { ÜU } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | - | Ü |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wood | 1511 | 22.48 | 2457 | 31.18 | 7473 | 46.31 | 4663 | 43.04 | 3693 | 62.81 | 3147 | 70.03 | 22944 | 44.17 |
| Plywood | 54 | 0.80 | 85 | 1.08 | 1156 | 7.16 | 101 | 0.93 | 251 | 4.27 | 22 | 0.49 | 1669 | 3.21 |
| Concrete | 3415 | 50.80 | 3321 | 42.15 | 6674 | 41.36 | 4920 | 45.42 | 1586 | 26.97 | 1027 | 22.85 | 20943 | 40.32 |
| Wood \& Concrete | 323 | 4.81 | 407 | 5.17 | 518 | 3.21 | 641 | 5.92 | 210 | 3.57 | 135 | 3.00 | 2234 | 4.30 |
| Sticks/Palmetto | 1092 | 16.25 | 1295 | 16.44 | 81 | 0.50 | 369 | 3.41 | 87 | 1.48 | 123 | 2.74 | 3047 | 5.87 |
| Brick | 3 | 0.04 | 4 | 0.05 | 12 | 0.07 | 9 | 0.08 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.00 | 29 | 0.06 |
| Stucco | 192 | 2.86 | 42 | 0.53 | 16 | 0.10 | 27 | 0.25 | 3 | 0.05 | 1 | 0.02 | 281 | 0.54 |
| Makeshift | 7 | 0.10 | 2 | 0.03 | 38 | 0.24 | 13 | 0.12 | 4 | 0.07 | 5 | 0.11 | 69 | 0.13 |
| Other | 125 | 1.86 | 266 | 3.38 | 169 | 1.05 | 90 | 0.83 | 45 | 0.77 | 34 | 0.76 | 729 | 1.40 |
| Total | 6722 |  | 7879 |  | 16137 |  | 10833 |  | 5880 |  | 4494 |  | 51945 |  |

### 6.2. Household Facilities

### 6.2.1. Toilet Facilities

Table 6.6 reveals that toilet facilities are still very basic in Belize. It is revealed that 45.77 per cent of all septic arrangements in Belize are pit latrines. Non-ventilated pit latrines, the most basic form of pit latrines, accounts for a quarter of all sanitary arrangements in Belize. Water Closets linked to septic tanks accounts for 36.56 per cent of all sanitary arrangements while only 15.96 per cent of Water Closets are linked to a sewer system (Table 6.6).

Sewer systems are available only in the Belize District (Belize City) and the Cayo District (Belmopan City). Non-ventilated pit latrines are used in 42.76 per cent of homes in the Toledo District, 39.36 per cent in Corozal District, 36.69 per cent in the Cayo District, 32.01 per cent in the Orange Walk District, 26.21 per cent in the Stann Creek District and 3.64 per cent of the homes in the Belize District. Clearly, the Belize District, the dominant urban district, is most completely serviced by sewer and septic tank systems. All districts other than the Belize District tend to be rural in composition and this probably accounts for the high levels basic types of toilet facilities. Self contained septic tanks are the most prevalent type of septic disposal facility in the Stann Creek District with 46.45 per cent of households having this, 41.01 per cent in the Belize District, and 23.52 per cent in the Toledo District.

Table 6.6: Household by Type of Toilet Facilities and District, 2000

| Toilet Facilities | 페 0 0 0 |  |  |  | N: | U | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { N } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üd } \\ & \text { Ü } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | - | U | - | Ü |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W.C. <br> Linked to Sewer | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 6972 | 44.72 | 879 | 8.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 7851 | 15.96 |
| W.C. <br> Linked to <br> Septic <br> Tank | 2152 | 32.75 | 2355 | 30.61 | 6394 | 41.01 | 3758 | 35.30 | 2600 | 46.45 | 729 | 23.52 | 17988 | 36.56 |
| Pit <br> Latrine Elevated | 735 | 11.19 | 1089 | 14.15 | 439 | 2.82 | 676 | 6.35 | 520 | 9.29 | 473 | 15.26 | 3932 | 7.99 |
| Pit <br> Latrine <br> Ventilated and Not Elevated | 1014 | 15.43 | 1583 | 20.57 | 425 | 2.73 | 995 | 9.35 | 826 | 14.76 | 486 | 15.68 | 5329 | 10.83 |
| Pit <br> Latrine <br> Ventilated <br> Compost | 78 | 1.19 | 196 | 2.55 | 167 | 1.07 | 405 | 3.80 | 58 | 1.04 | 42 | 1.36 | 946 | 1.92 |
| Pit <br> Latrine <br> Not <br> Ventilated | 2586 | 39.36 | 2463 | 32.01 | 567 | 3.64 | 3906 | 36.69 | 1467 | 26.21 | 1325 | 42.76 | 12314 | 25.03 |
| Other | 5 | 0.08 | 8 | 0.10 | 626 | 4.02 | 26 | 0.24 | 127 | 2.27 | 44 | 1.42 | 836 | 1.70 |
| Total | 6570 | 100.00 | 7694 | 100.00 | 15590 | 100.00 | 10645 | 100.00 | 5598 | 100.00 | 3099 | 100.00 | 49196 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: Total households (49196) differs from the corresponding figure in table 6.8.

### 6.2.2. Electricity

In Belize, electricity is used mainly for lighting and the operation of household appliances. Most of the needs of the country ( 78.97 per cent of the electricity used for lighting) is met by the Belize Electricity Limited (BEL) company. However, 13.20 per cent of all lighting is through the use of Kerosene (Table 6.7). At the district level, the usage of BEL supplied electricity for the purpose of lighting is highest among households in the Belize District, 93.40 per cent while the usage of this form of power is lowest in the Toledo District, 41.26 per cent. Kerosene is a more popular source of lighting in Toledo with 49.84 of the lighting used in Toledo supplied from kerosene. Evidently the rural and remote nature of the Toledo District is critical in explaining the limited access to electricity.

Table 6.7: Households by Sources of Lighting and Districts, 2000

| Sources of Lighting |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ÜUّ } \\ & \text { Üٌ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üةٍ } \\ & \text { Uِّ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U. } \\ & \text { n } \\ & \text { On } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Uِ دِ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üd } \\ & \text { Uٍ } \end{aligned}$ | - | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas <br> Lamp | 114 | 1.70 | 355 | 4.51 | 29 | 0.18 | 219 | 2.02 | 285 | 4.85 | 66 | 1.47 | 1068 | 2.06 |
| Kerosene | 618 | 9.19 | 804 | 10.20 | 569 | 3.53 | 1948 | 17.98 | 680 | 11.56 | 2240 | 49.84 | 6859 | 13.20 |
| Electricity BEL | 5751 | 85.55 | 6353 | 80.63 | 15072 | 93.40 | 7780 | 71.82 | 4213 | 71.65 | 1854 | 41.26 | 41023 | 78.97 |
| Electricity Generator | 40 | 0.60 | 187 | 2.37 | 102 | 0.63 | 464 | 4.28 | 383 | 6.51 | 218 | 4.85 | 1394 | 2.68 |
| Other | 195 | 2.90 | 174 | 2.21 | 284 | 1.76 | 411 | 3.79 | 311 | 5.29 | 113 | 2.51 | 1488 | 2.86 |
| Not Stated | 4 | 0.06 | 6 | 0.08 | 81 | 0.50 | 11 | 0.10 | 8 | 0.14 | 3 | 0.07 | 113 | 0.22 |
| Total | 6722 | 100.00 | 7879 | 100.00 | 16137 | 100.00 | 10833 | 100.00 | 5880 | 100.00 | 4494 | 100.00 | 51945 | 100.00 |

## Source: CARICOM

### 6.2.3. Water

The supply of potable drinking water to homes is much more underdeveloped than the supply of electricity. Table 6.8 shows that 25.99 per cent of homes in the entire country have public water pumped into the dwelling. A further 16.99 per cent have public water pumped into the yard. Overall, access to publicly pumped water into homes is limited to 42.99 per cent of all homes in Belize. A large percentage ( 31.26 per cent) of all homes maintain their own private vats, drums or wells where water has to be carried from the fixture to the home (Table 6.8).

An urban phenomenon is the use of purified water in homes. In the country, 16.88 per cent of all homes use this type of water. However, 56.37 per cent of all users of purified water reside in the Belize District followed by 18.62 per cent in the Orange Walk District. On the other hand, public stand pipes or hand pumps are rural fixtures and are used by 4.25 per cent of homes in the country. In the Toledo District, 54.06 per cent of households are serviced by stand pipes or hand pumps.

Public water piped into 45.95 per cent of the dwellings in the country along with public water piped into 20.91 per cent of yards altogether account from 66.86 per cent of homes being serviced with public water for bathing purposes. Overall, only 15.65 per cent of homes in Belize use water from vats, drums or wells for bathing purposes (Table 6.9).

Table 6.8: Household by Main Source of Drinking Water and District, 2000

| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Sources of } \\ \text { Water } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تِ } \\ & \text { Üd } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{U} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | تِ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & 0 \\ & 0, ~ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü3 } \\ & \text { Un } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private, Piped Into Dwelling | 85 | 1.26 | 188 | 2.39 | 453 | 2.81 | 1038 | 9.58 | 199 | 3.38 | 87 | 1.94 | 2050 | 3.95 |
| Private, Vat/Drum/Well, Not Piped | 4340 | 64.56 | 3568 | 45.28 | 3621 | 22.44 | 1208 | 11.15 | 752 | 12.79 | 701 | 15.60 | 14190 | 27.32 |
| Public, Piped Into Dwelling | 588 | 8.75 | 876 | 11.12 | 5448 | 33.76 | 3653 | 33.72 | 2277 | 38.72 | 656 | 14.60 | 13498 | 25.99 |
| Public, Piped Into Yard | 743 | 11.05 | 1398 | 17.74 | 1132 | 7.01 | 2770 | 25.57 | 1802 | 30.65 | 983 | 21.87 | 8828 | 16.99 |
| Public Standpipe or Hand Pump | 382 | 5.68 | 81 | 1.03 | 203 | 1.26 | 204 | 1.88 | 144 | 2.45 | 1193 | 26.55 | 2207 | 4.25 |
| Public Well | 22 | 0.33 | 32 | 0.41 | 23 | 0.14 | 46 | 0.42 | 25 | 0.43 | 308 | 6.85 | 456 | 0.88 |
| River/Stream/Creek/Pond/ Stream | 0 | 0.00 | 16 | 0.20 | 66 | 0.41 | 448 | 4.14 | 250 | 4.25 | 386 | 8.59 | 1166 | 2.24 |
| Purified Water | 512 | 7.62 | 1632 | 20.71 | 4942 | 30.63 | 1293 | 11.94 | 273 | 4.64 | 115 | 2.56 | 8767 | 16.88 |
| Other | 49 | 0.73 | 80 | 1.02 | 185 | 1.15 | 148 | 1.37 | 154 | 2.62 | 63 | 1.40 | 679 | 1.31 |
| Not Stated | 1 | 0.01 | 8 | 0.10 | 64 | 0.40 | 25 | 0.23 | 4 | 0.07 | 2 | 0.04 | 104 | 0.20 |
| Total | 6722 | 100.00 | 7879 | 100.00 | 16137 | 100.00 | 10833 | 100.00 | 5880 | 100.00 | 4494 | 100.00 | 51945 | 100.00 |

Table 6.9: Household by Main Source of Bathing Water and District, 2000

| Sources of Bathing Water |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ü } \\ \text { Üd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { Ü } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { Ü } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { Üd } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Uِّ $\begin{array}{r} \text { E } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { En } \\ \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { Ü } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \text { Ü } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private, Piped Into Dwelling | 313 | 4.66 | 298 | 3.78 | 1193 | 7.39 | 1166 | 10.76 | 201 | 3.42 | 96 | 2.14 | 3297 | 6.35 |
| Private, Vat/Drum/Well, Not Piped | 2695 | 40.09 | 2385 | 30.27 | 1420 | 8.80 | 601 | 5.55 | 706 | 12.01 | 321 | 7.14 | 8225 | 15.83 |
| Public, Piped Into Dwelling | 2017 | 30.01 | 2768 | 35.13 | 11159 | 69.15 | 4650 | 42.92 | 2285 | 38.86 | 772 | 17.18 | 23867 | 45.95 |
| Public, Piped Into Yard | 1237 | 18.40 | 2107 | 26.74 | 1586 | 9.83 | 3135 | 28.94 | 1880 | 31.97 | 917 | 20.40 | 10978 | 21.13 |
| Public Standpipe or Hand Pump | 389 | 5.79 | 110 | 1.40 | 289 | 1.79 | 172 | 1.59 | 37 | 0.63 | 342 | 7.61 | 1350 | 2.60 |
| Public Well | 40 | 0.60 | 63 | 0.80 | 31 | 0.19 | 99 | 0.91 | 21 | 0.36 | 123 | 2.74 | 379.9 | 0.73 |
| River/Stream/Creek/Pond/ Spring | 5 | 0.07 | 95 | 1.21 | 141 | 0.87 | 883 | 8.15 | 655 | 11.14 | 1887 | 41.99 | 3687 | 7.10 |
| Other | 12 | 0.18 | 41 | 0.52 | 194 | 1.20 | 91 | 0.84 | 80 | 1.36 | 19 | 0.42 | 441.1 | 0.85 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 14 | 0.21 | 12 | 0.15 | 124 | 0.77 | 36 | 0.33 | 15 | 0.26 | 17 | 0.38 | 219.7 | 0.42 |
| Total | 6722 | 100.00 | 7879 | 100.00 | 16137 | 100.00 | 10833 | 100.00 | 5880 | 100.00 | 4494 | 100.00 | 51945 | 100.00 |

## Source: CARICOM

### 6.2.4. Fuel for Cooking

Table 6.10 indicates that most households in Belize use gas (Butane) for cooking ( 79.47 per cent). This is a most convenient source of fuel but there is a significant number of households (15.78 per cent of the households) that use wood as main source of fuel for cooking. All districts with the exception of the Belize District have substantial numbers of households that use wood as fuel. Table 6.11 indicates that 54.83 per cent of the households in the Toledo District use wood. The second highest users of wood are those from the Corozal District with 22.30 per cent of the households using wood as fuel. This is followed by the Orange Walk District with 17.04 per cent, Cayo District with 16.28 per cent and Stann Creek with 13.84 per cent. In the Belize District, the percentage of households using wood as a fuel source is 1.53 per cent while the percentage of households using gas in the Belize District is 90.70 per cent. Few households use Kerosene for cooking and the Stann Creek District accounts for the highest percentage of users with 4.12 per cent of households using this type of fuel.

Table 6.10: Household by Type of Fuel Used Mostly for Cooking and District, 2000

| Most <br> Used <br> Cooking <br> Fuel |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üd } \\ & \text { نِّ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üةٍ } \\ & \text { Üة } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | تِ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üةٍ } \\ & \text { Üة } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üむٍ } \\ & \text { Üة } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Üةٍ } \\ & \text { Üة } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{y} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wood | 1566 | 23.30 | 1343 | 17.05 | 247 | 1.53 | 1764 | 16.28 | 814 | 13.84 | 2464 | 54.83 | 8270 | 15.92 |
| Gas | 5014 | 74.59 | 6352 | 80.62 | 14637 | 90.70 | 8853 | 81.72 | 4526 | 76.97 | 1899 | 42.26 | 41686 | 80.25 |
| Kerosene | 43 | 0.64 | 49 | 0.62 | 341 | 2.11 | 69 | 0.64 | 242 | 4.12 | 37 | 0.82 | 789.1 | 1.52 |
| Electricity | 30 | 0.45 | 13 | 0.16 | 193 | 1.20 | 33 | 0.30 | 22 | 0.37 | 5 | 0.11 | 298.5 | 0.57 |
| Other | 50 | 0.74 | 100 | 1.27 | 501 | 3.10 | 91 | 0.84 | 147 | 2.50 | 59 | 1.31 | 956.5 | 1.84 |
| Not Stated | 19 | 0.28 | 22 | 0.28 | 218 | 1.35 | 23 | 0.21 | 129 | 2.19 | 30 | 0.67 | 445.3 | 0.86 |
| Total | 6722 | 100.00 | 7879 | 100.00 | 16137 | 100.00 | 10833 | 100.00 | 5880 | 100.00 | 4494 | 100.00 | 51945 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM

## CHAPTER 7

## DISABILITY

## Introduction

Persons with disabilities comprise a very special group in the population. They require special services and accommodations and so it is important to have a true representation of the number of persons who are disabled and to be able to discern their special needs. Disabilities range from those which are associated to the physical body of the person, for example disabilities of sight, hearing, speech and mobility, to those which are linked to the mind, for example, learning and behavioral disabilities.

### 7.1. Disability in Belize

In 2000, 12386 persons were recorded as having some form of disability. This amounted to 5.34 per cent of the total population. Table 7.2 shows that of these disabled persons, 50.8 per cent were females compared with 49.24 per cent males. Infants and children of compulsory school age (ages 0 to 14 years) constituted a third ( 33.51 per cent) of all disabled persons. In the general population 0-14 years, 4.36 per cent of children 14 years or younger had some form of disability, this being obtained by combining data from Table 7.1 and Table 7.2.

There is also evidence of a surprising number of young adults, classified as persons between the ages of 15 years and 24 years having some form of disability. This age group constitutes 19.79 per cent of the population of disabled persons or 5.3 per cent of the entire population of the 15 to 24 year age group. Table 7.3 confirms what one would expect from progressively older age groups insofar as there is a tendency for the prevalence of disabilities to increase with age so persons in older age groups were more likely disabled, this being the case irrespective of sex. Accordingly, in the general population 25-44 years, 5.76 per cent of persons were disabled, while among those 45-64 years, 6.51 per cent were disabled and among those $65+$ years, 9.73 per cent were disabled (Table 7.3).

Table 7.1: Total Population by Sex and Age Group, 2000

| Age Groups | Total | Per Cent | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 - 1 4}$ | 95102 | 40.97 | 48086 | 41.22 | 47016 | 40.73 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ | 46213 | 19.91 | 22833 | 19.57 | 23380 | 20.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 4}$ | 58458 | 25.19 | 29068 | 24.91 | 29390 | 25.46 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 6 4}$ | 22594 | 9.73 | 11870 | 10.17 | 10724 | 9.29 |
| $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ | 9744 | 4.20 | 4812 | 4.12 | 4932 | 4.27 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 2 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 4 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Source: CSO Belize |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.2: Number of Persons Reporting Disability by Sex and Selected Age Groups, 2000

| Age Groups | Total | Per Cent | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 - 1 4}$ | 4151 | 33.51 | 2083 | 34.15 | 2068 | 32.89 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ | 2451 | 19.79 | 1210 | 19.84 | 1241 | 19.74 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 4}$ | 3366 | 27.18 | 1623 | 26.61 | 1743 | 27.72 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 6 4}$ | 1470 | 11.87 | 759 | 12.44 | 711 | 11.31 |
| $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ | 948 | 7.65 | 424 | 6.95 | 524 | 8.33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 3 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Source: CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.3: Percentage of General Population Reporting Disability by Sex and Age Group, 2000

| Age <br> Group | Total Population | Total Disabled | Total <br> Per <br> Cent <br> Disabled | Total <br> Male <br> Population | Male Disabled | Per <br> Cent <br> Male <br> Disabled | Total Female Population | Female Disabled | Per <br> Cent <br> Female <br> Disabled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-14 | 95102 | 4151 | 4.36 | 48086 | 2083 | 4.33 | 47016 | 2068 | 4.40 |
| 15-24 | 46213 | 2451 | 5.30 | 22833 | 1210 | 5.30 | 23380 | 1241 | 5.31 |
| 25-44 | 58458 | 3366 | 5.76 | 29068 | 1623 | 5.58 | 29390 | 1743 | 5.93 |
| 45-64 | 22594 | 1470 | 6.51 | 11870 | 759 | 6.39 | 10724 | 711 | 6.63 |
| 65+ | 9744 | 948 | 9.73 | 4812 | 424 | 8.81 | 4932 | 524 | 10.62 |
| Total <br> Source: | 232111 <br> SO Belize and | $\begin{aligned} & 12386 \\ & \text { CARICOM } \end{aligned}$ | 5.34 | 116669 | 6099 | 5.23 | 115442 | 6287 | 5.45 |

In the age groups 0 to 14 years and 45 to 64 years, males are slightly more prone to disabilities than women, the respective proportion cases being male amounting to 51.2 per cent and 51.63 per cent (Table 7.2). Regarding females, the corresponding percentages were 49.82 among those $0-14$ years and 48.37 among those 45-64 years. Females 65+ years were especially prone to disabilities with 55.27 per cent of the cases being female. This over representation is real since 51.62 per cent of those in $65+$ in the general population are females (See Table 7.1).

## CHAPTER 8

## CHILDREN

## Introduction

Children constitute a vital segment of any population. In the following discussion persons 14 years or younger are classified as children. In Belize, infants range between the ages of 0 years to 4 years and children 5 to 14 years of age are required by law to be in school. Clearly, it is important to investigate the economic status, housing arrangements, attendance at schools, special needs and infirmaries of children so that resources can be allotted in a rational manner to meet and satisfy their needs. This Chapter provides a profile of the children and takes these issues into consideration.

### 8.1. Children and Characteristics of Household Head

### 8.1.1. Sex of Household Head

There were 94808 children 14 years or younger living in Belize in 2000. Table 8.1 shows that 78.24 per cent of these children came from male-headed households. As children get older however, there was a decrease in the number that came from male-headed households. While 35.92 per cent of the children in the 0 to 4 years age group belong to households with male heads, the corresponding proportions among children aged 5 to 9 years and 10 to 14 years are 33.5 per cent and 30.57 per cent respectively (Table 8.1 ). In contrast, there is an increase in the number of children who are from female-headed households as children get older.

Table 8.1: Number of Children by Selected Age Groups and
Sex of Head of Household, 2000

|  | Male <br> Heads | Per Cent | Female <br> Heads | Per Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | 26649 | 35.92 | 6675 | 32.36 | 33324 | 35.15 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 24855 | 33.50 | 6942 | 33.66 | 31797 | 33.54 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 4}$ | 22679 | 30.57 | 7009 | 33.98 | 29688 | 31.31 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 1 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Source: CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^9]Note: Number of children (94 809) differs from the corresponding figure in Table 1.5 (95 102)

### 8.1.2. Age of Household Head

Table 8.2(a) shows that most children ( 66.82 per cent) are from households in which the head is 25 to 44 years old. A substantial percentage ( 22.74 per cent) of children is from households in which the head is 45-64 years. While 5.34 per cent of the children live in households with young heads ( 15 to 24 years), only 5.11 per cent of the children are from households with heads in the $65+$ age group.

Figure 8.1: Percentage Children by Age of Head of Household, 2000


Heads 15 to 24 years old: A total of 3800 children or 11.4 per cent of children 0 to 4 years old are from households with heads in the 15 to 24 year age group. Furthermore, there are 364 children ( 1.23 per cent) in the 10 to 14 years age group living in households with heads 15 years to 24 years. This implies that at the worst, a person could be responsible for a child a few years their junior. It is the case however, that 15 to 24 year old heads of household's take care mainly of 0 to 4 year old children (Table 8.2).

With respect to head of household, the 15 to 24 years age group, the number of children in maleheaded households is greater than the number in female-headed households by a factor that is greater than two. In fact, 68.65 per cent of children are from male-headed households in the 15 to 24 year age group.

Heads 25 to 44 years old: Most of the children live in households with heads in the age group 25 to 44 years. Such persons head households containing 66.82 per cent of all children under 15 years. Of these, male headed households contain 79.58 per cent of all children under 15 years of age while their female counterparts head households containing 20.42 per cent of these children.

Heads aged 25-44 years cared for approximately 35 per cent of 0 to 4 year olds and 5 to 9 year olds. In successively older age groups, it is also the case that there are fewer children in maleheaded households. In contrast, the opposite holds true in female-headed households in which there are larger numbers of children in successively older age groups. Nevertheless, male heads took care of three times as many children in the 10 to 14 years age group when compared to their female counterparts.

Heads 45 to 64 years old: Heads in this age group tend to be more likely to take care of older children. Table $8.2(\mathrm{~b})$ indicates that 41.877 per cent of children cared for by these heads were in the 10 to 14 years age group, 31.69 per cent in the 5 to 9 years age group and 26.54 per cent in the 0 to 4 years age group.

Table 8.2(a): Number of Children by Selected Age Groups, Sex and Age Group of Head of Household, 2000


# Table 8.2(b): Number of Children by Selected Age Groups, Sex and Age Group of Head of Household, 2000 

| Age Groups of Head of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group of Children | 15-24 | Per Cent | 25-44 | Per <br> Cent | 45-64 | Per Cent | $65+$ | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| All Heads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 3800 | 75.11 | 22314 | 35.22 | 5720 | 26.54 | 1490 | 30.76 | 33324 | 35.15 |
| 0-9 | 895 | 17.69 | 22485 | 35.49 | 6831 | 31.69 | 1586 | 32.74 | 31797 | 33.54 |
| 10-14 | 364 | 7.20 | 18551 | 29.28 | 9005 | 41.77 | 1768 | 36.50 | 29688 | 31.31 |
| Total | 5059 | 100.00 | 63350 | 100.00 | 21556 | 100.00 | 4844 | 100.00 | 94809 | 100.00 |
| Male Heads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 2728 | 78.55 | 18602 | 36.90 | 4365 | 25.47 | 954 | 30.21 | 26649 | 35.92 |
| 0-9 | 502 | 14.45 | 17914 | 35.53 | 5395 | 31.48 | 1044 | 33.06 | 24855 | 33.50 |
| 10-14 | 243 | 7.00 | 13900 | 27.57 | 7376 | 43.04 | 1160 | 36.73 | 22679 | 30.57 |
| Total | 3473 | 100.00 | 50416 | 100.00 | 17136 | 100.00 | 3158 | 100.00 | 74183 | 100.00 |
| Female Heads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 1072 | 67.59 | 3712 | 28.70 | 1355 | 30.66 | 536 | 31.79 | 6675 | 32.36 |
| 0-9 | 393 | 24.78 | 4571 | 35.34 | 1436 | 32.49 | 542 | 32.15 | 6942 | 33.66 |
| 10-14 | 121 | 7.63 | 4651 | 35.96 | 1629 | 36.86 | 608 | 36.06 | 7009 | 33.98 |
| Total | 1586 | 100.00 | 12934 | 100.00 | 4420 | 100.00 | 1686 | 100.00 | 20626 | 100.00 |

Heads 65 + years old: Heads in this age group care for as many children as heads in the 15 to 24 years age group ( 5.2 per cent). However, the 65+ age group show traits similar to those of the 45 to 64 years age group as they tend to take care of a greater number of the older children. Evidently, however, female heads are beginning to catch up with their males counterparts with respect to the number of children cared for. Males in this age group take care of 1.9 times as many children than females.

### 8.2. Children In Overcrowded Households

Tables 8.3(a) and 8.3(b) indicate that, 63.52 per cent of children less than 15 years old live in overcrowded households. Evidently, 64.47 per cent of children in the 0 to 4 years age group live in overcrowded households while for the 5 to 14 years age group the percentage living in overcrowded households is 63.01 per cent. Overcrowding is almost equally distributed between
the sexes. It is seen that 63.64 per cent of males under 15 years and 63.40 per cent of females in this same age group live in overcrowded households. In the less than 5 years age group 65.10 per cent of males and 63.83 per cent of females live in overcrowded households.

Overcrowding for children less than 15 years is most pronounced in the Corozal District with 68.20 per cent of children in this district living in overcrowded homes. This is followed by the Stann Creek District (67.71 per cent) and the Orange Walk and Cayo Districts (66.81 and 65.85 per cent respectively). In the Belize District 58.06 per cent of children less than 15 years old lived in overcrowded conditions while Toledo District is where the least overcrowded households lived in by children less than 15 years resided with 56.39 per cent of children living in overcrowded households.

Table 8.3(a): Number of Children in Overcrowded Households by Selected Age Groups, Sex and District, 2000

|  |  |  |  | Age Group of Children |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District and Sex <br> of Children | $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | Per Cent | $\mathbf{5 - 9}$ | Per Cent | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 4}$ | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| Country Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 2886 | 13.37 | 3107 | 15.16 | 2807 | 15.31 | 8800 | 14.57 |
| Orange Walk | 3502 | 16.23 | 3614 | 17.64 | 3372 | 18.39 | 10488 | 17.36 |
| Belize District | 4986 | 23.11 | 4476 | 21.84 | 3900 | 21.26 | 13362 | 22.12 |
| Cayo | 5207 | 24.13 | 5024 | 24.52 | 4340 | 23.66 | 14571 | 24.12 |
| Stann Creek | 2674 | 12.39 | 2190 | 10.69 | 1981 | 10.80 | 6845 | 11.33 |
| Toledo | 2323 | 10.77 | 2081 | 10.16 | 1940 | 10.58 | 6344 | 10.50 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 5 7 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 9 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 8 3 4 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 0 4 1 0}$ |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 1451 | 13.20 | 1549 | 15.01 | 1393 | 14.99 | 4393 | 14.35 |
| Orange Walk | 1803 | 16.40 | 1805 | 17.49 | 1726 | 18.58 | 5334 | 17.43 |
| Belize District | 2596 | 23.62 | 2247 | 21.77 | 1942 | 20.90 | 6785 | 22.17 |
| Cayo | 2635 | 23.97 | 2565 | 24.85 | 2292 | 24.67 | 7492 | 24.48 |
| Stann Creek | 1316 | 11.97 | 1107 | 10.72 | 976 | 10.50 | 3399 | 11.11 |
| Toledo | 1190 | 10.83 | 1049 | 10.16 | 962 | 10.35 | 3201 | 10.46 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 9 9 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 0 3 2 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{9 2 9 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 0 6 0 4}$ |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 1435 | 13.55 | 1558 | 15.32 | 1414 | 15.63 | 4407 | 14.79 |
| Orange Walk | 1699 | 16.05 | 1809 | 17.79 | 1646 | 18.19 | 5154 | 17.29 |
| Belize District | 2390 | 22.57 | 2229 | 21.92 | 1958 | 21.64 | 6577 | 22.07 |
| Cayo | 2572 | 24.29 | 2459 | 24.18 | 2048 | 22.63 | 7079 | 23.75 |
| Stann Creek | 1358 | 12.83 | 1083 | 10.65 | 1005 | 11.11 | 3446 | 11.56 |
| Toledo | 1133 | 10.70 | 1032 | 10.15 | 978 | 10.81 | 3143 | 10.54 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 5 8 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 0 1 7 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{9 0 4 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 9 8 0 6}$ |  |
| Source: CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.3(b): Number of Children by Selected Age Groups, Sex and District, 2000

| Age Groups of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distirct and <br> Sex Of <br> Children  | 0-4 | Per Cent | 5-14 | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| Corozal | 4219 | 12.61 | 8684 | 14.09 | 12903 | 13.57 |
| Orange Walk | 5244 | 15.67 | 10455 | 16.96 | 15699 | 16.51 |
| Belize District | 8210 | 24.53 | 14803 | 24.02 | 23013 | 24.20 |
| Cayo | 7780 | 23.24 | 14348 | 23.28 | 22128 | 23.27 |
| Stann Creek | 3824 | 11.43 | 6285 | 10.20 | 10109 | 10.63 |
| Toledo | 4193 | 12.53 | 7057 | 11.45 | 11250 | 11.83 |
| Total | 33470 |  | 61632 |  | 95102 |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 2113 | 12.51 | 4317 | 13.84 | 6430 | 13.37 |
| Orange Walk | 2710 | 16.05 | 5295 | 16.97 | 8005 | 16.65 |
| Belize District | 4175 | 24.73 | 7441 | 23.85 | 11616 | 24.16 |
| Cayo | 3901 | 23.10 | 7402 | 23.72 | 11303 | 23.51 |
| Stann Creek | 1900 | 11.25 | 3201 | 10.26 | 5101 | 10.61 |
| Toledo | 2085 | 12.35 | 3546 | 11.36 | 5631 | 11.71 |
| Total | 16884 |  | 31202 |  | 48086 |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corozal | 2106 | 12.70 | 4367 | 14.35 | 6473 | 13.77 |
| Orange Walk | 2534 | 15.28 | 5160 | 16.96 | 7694 | 16.36 |
| Belize District | 4035 | 24.33 | 7362 | 24.19 | 11397 | 24.24 |
| Cayo | 3879 | 23.39 | 6946 | 22.83 | 10825 | 23.02 |
| Stann Creek | 1924 | 11.60 | 3084 | 10.13 | 5008 | 10.65 |
| Toledo | 2108 | 12.71 | 3511 | 11.54 | 5619 | 11.95 |
| Total | 16586 |  | 30430 |  | 47016 |  |

Source: Population Census 2000, CSO, Belize
Note: Number of children differs from corresponding in Table 8.2 but corresponds to figures in Table 1.5

### 8.3. Children's School Attendance

Table 8.4 shows that about 72.47 per cent of children between the ages of 0 years and 14 years attended school and this attendance rate is also true for males and females. Majority of the children attending school are from male-headed households ( 76.23 per cent).

The occupation of the head of household seems to have a significant effect on school attendance of children. It is seen that 81.08 per cent of children from households with heads in the Defense

Force attends school (Table 8.5). The percentage of children from households with a Legislator, Senior Official or Manager attending school is 80.31 per cent while the percentage of children from households headed by Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers who attended school was 65.42 per cent. A notably high proportion of children ( 74.45 per cent) from households in which the head was not working attended school.

The occupation of heads of households seems to affect the school attendance of male and female children differently. For male children, school attendance was high among those who came from households where the head was from the Defense Force with approximately 85 per cent or worked as a Legislator, Senior Official or Manager with approximately 81 per cent. The lowest school attendance was evident among male children with household heads employed as Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers.

In the case of female children, school attendance was highest for those who came from households with Professional heads followed by those who came from households with technical and associate heads (approximately 81 per cent and 80 per cent respectively). In the case of female children from households where the head was from the Defense Force, a lower proportion (approximately 78 per cent) attended school. Similar to the males, females from households where heads were employed as Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers had the lowest school attendance.

The educational levels of the heads of households also seem to have a bearing on the school attendance rates of children. In general, as the level of education of household heads increased, the percentage of children attending school also increased (Table 8.6). Accordingly, approximately 82 per cent of children from households whose heads had Technical College and University background attended school. For households where the heads had no education, the percentage of children attending school was approximately 69. A notably high proportion of approximately 86 per cent was recorded for the 'Other' category (Table 8.6) but this was most likely an artifact of the small number of children in this category.

Table 8.4: Number of Children Attending and Not Attending School by Sex of Head of Household and Sex of Children, 2000

|  | Head of Households |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Attendance <br> And Sex of Child | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Per cent |
| Country Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attending | 44795 | 70.97 | 13967 | 77.73 | 58762 | 72.47 |
| Not Attending | 18252 | 28.92 | 3991 | 22.21 | 22243 | 27.43 |
| Not Stated | 72 | 0.11 | 11 | 0.06 | 83 | 0.10 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 3 1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attending | 22819 | 71.37 | 6975 | 77.13 | 29794 | 72.64 |
| Not Attending | 9112 | 28.50 | 2063 | 22.81 | 11175 | 27.25 |
| Not Stated | 40 | 0.13 | 5 | 0.06 | 45 | 0.11 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attending | 21976 | 70.55 | 6992 | 78.33 | 28968 | 72.29 |
| Not Attending | 9140 | 29.34 | 1928 | 21.60 | 11068 | 27.62 |
| Not Stated | 32 | 0.10 | 6 | 0.07 | 38 | 0.09 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM
Note: number of children attending or not attending school (81 088) differs from the total number of children in Table 8.1 (94 809)

### 8.4. Children With Disabilities

In the general population, 2.45 per cent of all children between the ages of 0 and 14 years reported some form of disability. There was a little variation at the national level when the different age groups were considered. As such, 2.67 per cent of all 10 to 14 year olds, 2.15 per cent of all 5 to 9 year olds and 2.54 per cent of all 0 to 4 year olds reported some form of disability (Table 8.7). When the sex of children was taken into account, 2.6 per cent of the males and 2.3 per cent of the females were so afflicted.

Table 8.7 demonstrates that the Cayo District posted the highest percentage of disability rates across all age groups of children with 28.76 per cent in the 0 to 4 years age group, 28.28 per cent in the 5 to 9 years age group and 28.03 per cent in the 10 to 14 years age group.


| Attending School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation of Head of Household and Sex of Children | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | No | Per <br> Cent | Not Stated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Per Cent |
| Country Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 2717 | 4.62 | 663 | 2.98 | 3 | 3.61 | 3383 | 4.17 |
| Professionals | 1105 | 1.88 | 273 | 1.23 | - | - | 1378 | 1.70 |
| Technicians \& Associate Professionals | 3144 | 5.35 | 803 | 3.61 | 5 | 6.02 | 3952 | 4.87 |
| Clerks | 1393 | 2.37 | 372 | 1.67 | - | - | 1765 | 2.18 |
| Service Workers \& Shop \& Market Sales Workers | 3628 | 6.17 | 1156 | 5.20 | 3 | 3.61 | 4787 | 5.90 |
| Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers | 7858 | 13.37 | 4140 | 18.61 | 13 | 15.66 | 12011 | 14.81 |
| Craft \& Related Trades Workers | 7645 | 13.01 | 2863 | 12.87 | 5 | 6.02 | 10513 | 12.96 |
| Plant \& Machine Operators \& Assemblers | 5221 | 8.88 | 1772 | 7.97 | 11 | 13.25 | 7004 | 8.64 |
| Elementary Occupations | 13169 | 22.41 | 5795 | 26.05 | 11 | 13.25 | 18975 | 23.40 |
| Defense Force | 60 | 0.10 | 14 | 0.06 | - | - | 74 | 0.09 |
| Not Working | 12543 | 21.35 | 4273 | 19.21 | 31 | 37.35 | 16847 | 20.78 |
| Not Stated | 279 | 0.47 | 119 | 0.53 | 1 | 1.20 | 399 | 0.49 |
| Total | 58762 | 100.00 | 22243 | 100.00 | 83 | 100.00 | 81088 | 100.00 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 1358 | 4.56 | 321 | 2.87 | 2 | 4.44 | 1681 | 4.10 |
| Professionals | 553 | 1.86 | 147 | 1.32 | - | - | 700 | 1.71 |
| Technicians \& Associate Professionals | 1581 | 5.31 | 417 | 3.73 | 3 | 6.67 | 2001 | 4.88 |
| Clerks | 735 | 2.47 | 180 | 1.61 | - | - | 915 | 2.23 |
| Service Workers \& Shop \& Market Sales Workers | 1812 | 6.08 | 583 | 5.22 | 1 | 2.22 | 2396 | 5.84 |
| Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers | 4052 | 13.60 | 2034 | 18.20 | 7 | 15.56 | 6093 | 14.86 |
| Craft \& Related Trades Workers | 3895 | 13.07 | 1411 | 12.63 | 2 | 4.44 | 5308 | 12.94 |
| Plant \& Machine Operators \& Assemblers | 2681 | 9.00 | 866 | 7.75 | 3 | 6.67 | 3550 | 8.66 |
| Elementary Occupations | 6617 | 22.21 | 2926 | 26.18 | 11 | 24.44 | 9554 | 23.29 |
| Defense Force | 28 | 0.09 | 5 | 0.04 | - | - | 33 | 0.08 |
| Not Working | 6343 | 21.29 | 2229 | 19.95 | 15 | 33.33 | 8587 | 20.94 |
| Not Stated | 139 | 0.47 | 56 | 0.50 | 1 | 2.22 | 196 | 0.48 |
| Total | 29794 | 100.00 | 11175 | 100.00 | 45 | 100.00 | 41014 | 100.00 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 1359 | 4.69 | 342 | 3.09 | 1 | 2.63 | 1702 | 4.25 |
| Professionals | 552 | 1.91 | 126 | 1.14 | - | - | 678 | 1.69 |
| Technicians \& Associate Professionals | 1563 | 5.40 | 386 | 3.49 | 2 | 5.26 | 1951 | 4.87 |
| Clerks <br> Service Workers \& Shop \& Market Sales | 658 | 2.27 | 192 | 1.73 | - | - | 850 | 2.12 |
| Workers | 1816 | 6.27 | 573 | 5.18 | 2 | 5.26 | 2391 | 5.97 |
| Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers | 3806 | 13.14 | 2106 | 19.03 | 6 | 15.79 | 5918 | 14.77 |
| Craft \& Related Trades Workers | 3750 | 12.95 | 1452 | 13.12 | 3 | 7.89 | 5205 | 12.99 |
| Plant \& Machine Operators \& Assemblers | 2540 | 8.77 | 906 | 8.19 | 8 | 21.05 | 3454 | 8.62 |
| Elementary Occupations | 6552 | 22.62 | 2869 | 25.92 | - | - | 9421 | 23.51 |
| Defense Force | 32 | 0.11 | 9 | 0.08 | - | - | 41 | 0.10 |
| Not Working | 6200 | 21.40 | 2044 | 18.47 | 16 | 42.11 | 8260 | 20.61 |
| Not Stated | 140 | 0.48 | 63 | 0.57 | - | - | 203 | 0.51 |
| Total | 28968 | 100.00 | 11068 | 100.00 | 38 | 100.00 | 40074 | 100.00 |

## Source: CARICOM

Note: Number of children attending or not attending school ( $\mathbf{8 1} \mathbf{0 8 8 )}$ ) differs from the total number of children in Table 8.1 (94 809)

Table 8.6: Number of Children Attending and Not Attending School by Educational Level of Head of Household and Sex of Children, 2000

| Attending School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education of Head and Sex of Children | Yes | Per <br> Cent | No | Per <br> Cent | Not Stated | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| Country Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 22635 | 38.81 | 10401 | 46.96 | 19 | 22.89 | 33055 | 41.03 |
| Primary School | 25286 | 43.35 | 9031 | 40.77 | 32 | 38.55 | 34349 | 42.64 |
| High School | 5568 | 9.55 | 1571 | 7.09 | 2 | 2.41 | 7141 | 8.86 |
| Sixth Form | 1896 | 3.25 | 490 | 2.21 | 4 | 4.82 | 2390 | 2.97 |
| Technical College | 1035 | 1.77 | 221 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1256 | 1.56 |
| University | 1328 | 2.28 | 295 | 1.33 | 3 | 3.61 | 1626 | 2.02 |
| Other | 130 | 0.22 | 21 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 151 | 0.19 |
| Don't Know/Not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated | 450 | 0.77 | 119 | 0.54 | 23 | 27.71 | 592 | 0.73 |
| Total | 58328 | 100.00 | 22149 | 100.00 | 83 | 100.00 | 80560 | 100.00 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 11648 | 39.40 | 5192 | 46.64 | 13 | 28.89 | 16853 | 41.37 |
| Primary School | 12716 | 43.02 | 4582 | 41.16 | 17 | 37.78 | 17315 | 42.50 |
| High School | 2796 | 9.46 | 799 | 7.18 | 2 | 4.44 | 3597 | 8.83 |
| Sixth Form | 946 | 3.20 | 235 | 2.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 1181 | 2.90 |
| Technical College | 514 | 1.74 | 110 | 0.99 | 0 | 0.00 | 624 | 1.53 |
| University | 652 | 2.21 | 152 | 1.37 | 2 | 4.44 | 806 | 1.98 |
| Other Know/Not | 71 | 0.24 | 6 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.00 | 77 | 0.19 |
| Don't Know/Not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated | 218 | 0.74 | 56 | 0.50 | 11 | 24.44 | 285 | 0.70 |
| Total | 29561 | 100.00 | 11132 | 100.00 | 45 | 100.00 | 40738 | 100.00 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 10987 | 38.19 | 5209 | 47.28 | 6 | 15.79 | 16202 | 40.69 |
| Primary School | 12570 | 43.70 | 4449 | 40.38 | 15 | 39.47 | 17034 | 42.78 |
| High School | 2772 | 9.64 | 772 | 7.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 3544 | 8.90 |
| Sixth Form | 950 | 3.30 | 255 | 2.31 | 4 | 10.53 | 1209 | 3.04 |
| Technical College | 521 | 1.81 | 111 | 1.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 632 | 1.59 |
| University | 676 | 2.35 | 143 | 1.30 | 1 | 2.63 | 820 | 2.06 |
| Other | 59 | 0.21 | 15 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 74 | 0.19 |
| Don't Know/Not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stated | 232 | 0.81 | 63 | 0.57 | 12 | 31.58 | 307 | 0.77 |
| Total | 28767 | 100.00 | 11017 | 100.00 | 38 | 100.00 | 39822 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: Number of children attending or not attending school ( $80 \mathbf{5 6 0}$ ) differs from the total number of children in Table 8.5 (81 088)

Table 8.7: Number of Children Reporting Disability by Sex, Age Group and District, 2000
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Sex of Children } \\ \text { District }\end{array} & \text { and } & \mathbf{0 - 4} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Per } \\ \text { Cent }\end{array} & \mathbf{5 - 9} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Per } \\ \text { cent }\end{array} & \mathbf{1 0 - 1 4} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Per } \\ \text { cent }\end{array} & \text { Total }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Per } \\ \text { Cent }\end{array}\right]$

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total number of children with disability (2 323) differs from the corresponding figure in Table 7.2 (4151)

High rates in the Cayo District were also evident when sex was accounted for. In particular, in the 0 to 4 year age group, the percentage male children with disability are 27.19 per cent while for females it is 30.41 per cent. In the 5 to 9 age group, the percentages are 26.10 and 3.10 for males and females respectively while in the $10-14$ age group the percentages are 26.20 and 30.05 .

## CHAPTER 9

## YOUTH

## Introduction

Youth are classified as persons between the ages of 15 years and 24 years. They are no longer required to attend secondary school, since compulsory secondary school age ends at 14 years but may decide to continue their education. This is the time for many youth when employment begins or when they go on their own to start their own families. This Chapter provides a brief profile of youth as classified into two age groups; 15 to 19 years and 20 to 24 years.

### 9.1. Households

In 2000, there were 46224 youth living in Belize with about half ( 50.61 per cent) being females. Youth's in Belize account for 19.91 per cent of the general population.

Most youth live in households headed by males ( 75.86 per cent) but when divided into age groups 15 to 19 years and 20 to 24 years, a difference is observed. Table 9.2 indicates that a greater number of older youth live in households with heads in the 15 to 24 year age range than in households with older heads.

It is seen that 74.3 per cent of youth living in households with heads in the 15 to 24 year age group are between 20 and 24 years old. This percentage is almost reversed for households with heads older than 24 years. In households with heads in the 25 to 44 year age group the percentage of youth 15 to 19 years is 64.55 per cent while the percentage of youth 20 to 24 years is 35.45 per cent.

Table 9.1: Number of Youth by Selected Age Groups and Sex of Head of Household, 2000

|  | Sex of Heads |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total |
| $15-19$ | 19137 | 74.75 | 6463 | 25.25 | 25600 |
| $20-24$ | 15933 | 77.25 | 4691 | 22.75 | 20624 |
| Total | 35070 | 75.86 | 11154 | 24.13 | 46224 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total number of youths (46 224) differs from the corresponding figures of 46213 based on tables 1.5 and 1.6.

Table 9.2: Number of Youth by Selected Age Groups, Sex and Age Group of Head of Household, 2000

| Age of <br> Youth/Sex of <br> Head  | Age of Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 | Per <br> Cent | 25-44 | Per Cent | 45-64 | Per <br> Cent | $65+$ | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| All Heads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2056 | 25.70 | 11535 | 64.55 | 10251 | 59.72 | 1758 | 55.09 | 25600 | 55.38 |
| 20-24 | 5943 | 74.30 | 6335 | 35.45 | 6913 | 40.28 | 1433 | 44.91 | 20624 | 44.62 |
| Total | 7999 | 100.00 | 17870 | 100.00 | 17164 | 100.00 | 3191 | 100.00 | 46224 | 100.00 |
| Male Heads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1598 | 25.18 | 8180 | 62.13 | 8211 | 61.23 | 1148 | 53.52 | 19137 | 54.57 |
| 20-24 | 4749 | 74.82 | 4987 | 37.87 | 5200 | 38.77 | 997 | 46.48 | 15933 | 45.43 |
| Total | 6347 | 100.00 | 13167 | 100.00 | 13411 | 100.00 | 2145 | 100.00 | 35070 | 100.00 |
| Female Heads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 458 | 27.72 | 3355 | 71.34 | 2040 | 54.36 | 610 | 58.32 | 6463 | 57.94 |
| 20-24 | 1194 | 72.28 | 1348 | 28.66 | 1713 | 45.64 | 436 | 41.68 | 4691 | 42.06 |
| Total | 1652 | 100.00 | 4703 | 100.00 | 3753 | 100.00 | 1046 | 100.00 | 11154 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total number of youths ( 46 224) differs from the corresponding figures of 46213 based on tables 1.5 and 1.6.

### 9.2. School Attendance

Based on Table 9.3, about a quarter ( 25.58 per cent) of all youth was attending school during the week of the census. Virtually similar numbers of male and female youth attended with 51 per cent females versus 49 per cent males. There were more youth from male headed households attending school (69.93 per cent) than youth from female-headed households.

The highest rate of school attendance was among those who lived in households where heads engaged in Professional occupations. About 50.25 per cent of the youth from households with Professional heads attended school. The next highest rate of school attendance among youth was among those living in households where heads engaged in Technical and Associate Professional occupations or was Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers. Approximately 43 per cent of the youth from these households attended school. The lowest rate of school attendance was among Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers with only 12.91 per cent of the youth from these households attending school. These patterns persisted irrespective of the sex of the youth (See Table 9.4a and Table 9.4b).

Youth from households with higher human capital were more likely to attend school. Table 9.5 show higher rates of school attendance among youth from households where heads had higher levels of education, particularly in the case of those heads with education at a technical level.

Table 9.3: Number of Youth Attending and Not Attending School by Sex of Head of Household and Sex of Youth, 2000

| Attending School/ Sex <br> of Youth | Sex of Heads |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Males | Per Cent | Females | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| All Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 8269 | 23.58 | 3556 | 31.88 | 11825 | 25.58 |
| No | 26761 | 76.31 | 7576 | 67.92 | 34337 | 74.28 |
| Not Stated | 40 | 0.11 | 22 | 0.20 | 62 | 0.13 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 0 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 4206 | 23.77 | 1590 | 30.99 | 5796 | 25.39 |
| No | 13470 | 76.11 | 3531 | 68.83 | 17001 | 74.47 |
| Not Stated | 22 | 0.12 | 9 | 0.18 | 31 | 0.14 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 6 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 4063 | 23.39 | 1966 | 32.64 | 6029 | 25.77 |
| No | 13291 | 76.51 | 4045 | 67.15 | 17336 | 74.10 |
| Not Stated | 18 | 0.10 | 13 | 0.22 | 31 | 0.13 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 3 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total number of youths ( 46 224) differs from the corresponding figures of 46213 based on tables 1.5 and 1.6.

Table 9.4(a): Number of Youth Attending and Not Attending School by Occupational Status of Head of Household and Sex of Youth, 2000

| Sex of Youth and Head Occupation | Attending School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | Per <br> Cent | No | Per <br> Cent | Not Stated | Per <br> Cent | Total |
| Male Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 441 | 44.46 | 551 | 55.54 | 0 | 0.00 | 992 |
| Professionals | 204 | 53.83 | 174 | 45.91 | 1 | 0.26 | 379 |
| Technicians \& Associate Professionals | 485 | 46.10 | 567 | 53.90 | 0 | 0.00 | 1052 |
| Clerks <br> Service Workers \& Shop \& Market Sales | 200 | 37.59 | 331 | 62.22 | 1 | 0.19 | 532 |
| Workers | 388 | 28.24 | 984 | 71.62 | 2 | 0.15 | 1374 |
| Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers | 407 | 13.50 | 2608 | 86.50 | 0 | 0.00 | 3015 |
| Craft \& Related Trades Workers | 691 | 25.20 | 2049 | 74.73 | 2 | 0.07 | 2742 |
| Plant \& Machine Operators \& Assemblers | 521 | 29.30 | 1257 | 70.70 | 0 | 0.00 | 1778 |
| Elementary Occupations | 1028 | 18.68 | 4470 | 81.23 | 5 | 0.09 | 5503 |
| Defence Force | 3 | 21.43 | 11 | 78.57 | 0 | 0.00 | 14 |
| Not Working | 1395 | 26.25 | 3900 | 73.38 | 20 | 0.38 | 5315 |
| Not Stated | 33 | 25.00 | 99 | 75.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 132 |
| Total | 5796 | 25.39 | 17001 | 74.47 | 31 | 0.14 | 22828 |
| Female Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 425 | 40.79 | 617 | 59.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 1042 |
| Professionals | 203 | 47.10 | 226 | 52.44 | 2 | 0.46 | 431 |
| Technicians \& Associate Professionals | 516 | 40.86 | 745 | 58.99 | 2 | 0.16 | 1263 |
| Clerks <br> Service Workers \& Shop \& Market Sales | 213 | 33.81 | 417 | 66.19 | 0 | 0.00 | 630 |
| Workers | 486 | 29.72 | 1148 | 70.21 | 1 | 0.06 | 1635 |
| Skilled Agriculture and Fishery Workers | 358 | 12.31 | 2550 | 87.66 | 1 | 0.03 | 2909 |
| Craft \& Related Trades Workers | 786 | 26.46 | 2184 | 73.51 | 1 | 0.03 | 2971 |
| Plant \& Machine Operators \& Assemblers | 501 | 26.12 | 1415 | 73.77 | 2 | 0.10 | 1918 |
| Elementary Occupations | 1074 | 20.65 | 4127 | 79.33 | 1 | 0.02 | 5202 |
| Defence Force | 3 | 23.08 | 10 | 76.92 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 |
| Not Working | 1441 | 27.42 | 3793 | 72.18 | 21 | 0.40 | 5255 |
| Not Stated | 23 | 18.11 | 104 | 81.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 127 |
| Total | 6029 | 25.77 | 17336 | 74.10 | 31 | 0.13 | 23396 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total number of youths ( 46 224) differs from the corresponding figures of 46213 based on tables 1.5 and 1.6.

Table 9.4(b): Number of Youth Attending and Not Attending School by Occupational Status of Head of Household and Sex of Youth, 2000


Table 9.5: Number of Youth Attending and Not Attending School by Educational Level Attained by Head of Household and Sex of Youth, 2000

|  | Attending School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Head's Education/ <br> Sex of Youth | Yes | Per <br> Cent | No | Per <br> Cent | Not <br> Stated | Per <br> Cent | Total | Cent |  |
| All Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2962 | 25.58 | 14375 | 42.01 | 16 | 25.81 | 17353 | 37.84 |  |
| Primary School | 5396 | 46.61 | 14993 | 43.81 | 17 | 27.42 | 20406 | 44.50 |  |
| Secondary School | 1568 | 13.54 | 2914 | 8.52 | 2 | 3.23 | 4484 | 9.78 |  |
| Technical School | 404 | 3.49 | 353 | 1.03 | 2 | 3.23 | 759 | 1.66 |  |
| Tertiary Schools | 1051 | 9.08 | 1326 | 3.88 | 5 | 8.06 | 2382 | 5.19 |  |
| Other | 41 | 0.35 | 30 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 71 | 0.15 |  |
| Not Stated | 156 | 1.35 | 228 | 0.67 | 20 | 32.26 | 404 | 0.88 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 8 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Male Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1547 | 27.24 | 7405 | 43.67 | 6 | 19.35 | 8958 | 39.52 |  |
| Primary School | 2569 | 45.24 | 7404 | 43.66 | 11 | 35.48 | 9984 | 44.05 |  |
| Secondary School | 754 | 13.28 | 1288 | 7.60 | 1 | 3.23 | 2043 | 9.01 |  |
| Technical School | 179 | 3.15 | 168 | 0.99 | 0 | 0.00 | 347 | 1.53 |  |
| Tertiary Schools | 529 | 9.32 | 571 | 3.37 | 3 | 9.68 | 1103 | 4.87 |  |
| Other | 24 | 0.42 | 16 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 40 | 0.18 |  |
| Not Stated | 77 | 1.36 | 105 | 0.62 | 10 | 32.26 | 192 | 0.85 |  |
| Total | 5679 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Female Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1415 | 23.99 | 6970 | 40.38 | 10 | 32.26 | 8395 | 36.20 |  |
| Primary School | 2827 | 47.92 | 7589 | 43.96 | 6 | 19.35 | 10422 | 44.94 |  |
| Secondary School | 814 | 13.80 | 1626 | 9.42 | 1 | 3.23 | 2441 | 10.53 |  |
| Technical School | 225 | 3.81 | 185 | 1.07 | 2 | 6.45 | 412 | 1.78 |  |
| Tertiary Schools | 522 | 8.85 | 755 | 4.37 | 2 | 6.45 | 1279 | 5.51 |  |
| Other | 17 | 0.29 | 14 | 0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | 31 | 0.13 |  |
| Not Stated | 79 | 1.34 | 123 | 0.71 | 10 | 32.26 | 212 | 0.91 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM
Note: Total youth (45 859) differs from the corresponding figure in Tables 9.1 to 9.49 (46 224)

### 9.3. Working Youth

Educational levels of youth 15 to 24 years range from no education to university education. In general, youth who attain higher levels of education seem more likely to be working when compared to their counterparts who attain lower levels of education. Youth with primary or less education were less likely to be working than to be in a job. For those youth who were working, 73.89 per cent had a primary school education or less while for those youth who were not working about 83.25 per cent had primary school level or less education (Table 9.6 (a)).

This trend is especially relevant for youth in the 20 to 24 years age group where the percentage of youth with primary school education or less who worked or did not work was 64.58 per cent and 78.39 per cent respectively. Those youth with secondary or technical school education were more likely to be working than not with 16.04 per cent of youth with this level of education working compared with 12.57 per cent who were not working.

Table 9.7 indicates that equal proportions of males and females with primary education or less were not working across all age groups. The same is not true however for those youth who were working. In fact, approximately 81 per cent of all male youth were working while only approximately 46 per cent of all female youth were working. Further, approximately 92 per cent of male youth in the age group 15 years to 19 years worked and this compares to approximately 80 per cent of females in the same age group. Finally approximately 73 per cent of male youth 20 years to 24 years worked as opposed to an approximate 46 per cent of female youth.

Of importance are the youth with some form of technical training as they seem to be working at higher rates than all their counterparts who attained other levels of education. Interestingly, these patterns persist irrespective of the sex of the youth.

Table 9.6(a): Number of Youth by Economic Activity, Educational Attainment, Age Group and Sex, 2000

| School Level | Economic Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Worked | Per Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Did Not } \\ & \text { Work } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| All Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 4573 | 27.35 | 5879 | 33.25 | 10452 | 30.38 |
| Primary School Secondary | 7781 | 46.54 | 8840 | 50.00 | 16621 | 48.32 |
| School | 2623 | 15.69 | 2204 | 12.47 | 4827 | 14.03 |
| Technical School | 59 | 0.35 | 17 | 0.10 | 76 | 0.22 |
| Tertiary Schools | 1550 | 9.27 | 639 | 3.61 | 2189 | 6.36 |
| Other | 24 | 0.14 | 8 | 0.05 | 32 | 0.09 |
| Not Stated | 109 | 0.65 | 93 | 0.53 | 202 | 0.59 |
| Total | 16719 | 100.00 | 17680 | 100.00 | 34399 | 100.00 |
| Youth 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2218 | 35.51 | 3209 | 35.79 | 5427 | 35.68 |
| Primary School Secondary | 3373 | 54.00 | 4679 | 52.19 | 8052 | 52.93 |
| School | 511 | 8.18 | 890 | 9.93 | 1401 | 9.21 |
| Technical School | 3 | 0.05 | 3 | 0.03 | 6 | 0.04 |
| Tertiary Schools | 108 | 1.73 | 140 | 1.56 | 248 | 1.63 |
| Other | 6 | 0.10 | 4 | 0.04 | 10 | 0.07 |
| Not Stated | 27 | 0.43 | 41 | 0.46 | 68 | 0.45 |
| Total | 6246 | 100.00 | 8966 | 100.00 | 15212 | 100.00 |
| Youth 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2355 | 22.49 | 2670 | 30.64 | 5025 | 26.19 |
| Primary School Secondary | 4408 | 42.09 | 4161 | 47.75 | 8569 | 44.66 |
| School | 2112 | 20.17 | 1314 | 15.08 | 3426 | 17.86 |
| Technical School | 56 | 0.53 | 14 | 0.16 | 70 | 0.36 |
| Tertiary Schools | 1442 | 13.77 | 499 | 5.73 | 1941 | 10.12 |
| Other | 18 | 0.17 | 4 | 0.05 | 22 | 0.11 |
| Not Stated | 82 | 0.78 | 52 | 0.60 | 134 | 0.70 |
| Total | 10473 | 100.00 | 8714 | 100.00 | 19187 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: Total youth (34 399) differs from the corresponding figure in Tables 9.1 to 9.49 (46 224)

Table 9.6(b): Number of Male Youths by Economic Activity, Educational Attainment and Age Group, 2000

| School Level | Economic Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Worked | Per Cent | Did <br> Work | Not <br> Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| All Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 3723 | 31.03 | 1451 | 28.84 | 5174 | 30.38 |
| Primary School | 5966 | 49.72 | 2656 | 52.78 | 8622 | 50.62 |
| Secondary School | 1483 | 12.36 | 645 | 12.82 | 2128 | 12.49 |
| Technical School | 20 | 0.17 | 5 | 0.10 | 25 | 0.15 |
| Tertiary Schools | 723 | 6.03 | 230 | 4.57 | 953 | 5.60 |
| Other | 14 | 0.12 | 2 | 0.04 | 16 | 0.09 |
| Not Stated | 71 | 0.59 | 43 | 0.85 | 114 | 0.67 |
| Total | 12000 | 100.00 | 5032 | 100.00 | 17032 | 100.00 |
| Youth 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1793 | 37.66 | 936 | 31.91 | 2729 | 35.47 |
| Primary School | 2611 | 54.84 | 1621 | 55.27 | 4232 | 55.00 |
| Secondary School | 285 | 5.99 | 306 | 10.43 | 591 | 7.68 |
| Technical School | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 0.03 | 2 | 0.03 |
| Tertiary Schools | 47 | 0.99 | 43 | 1.47 | 90 | 1.17 |
| Other | 6 | 0.13 | 2 | 0.07 | 8 | 0.10 |
| Not Stated | 18 | 0.38 | 24 | 0.82 | 42 | 0.55 |
| Total | 4761 | 100.00 | 2933 | 100.00 | 7694 | 100.00 |
| Youth 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1930 | 26.66 | 515 | 24.54 | 2445 | 26.18 |
| Primary School | 3355 | 46.35 | 1035 | 49.31 | 4390 | 47.01 |
| Secondary School | 1198 | 16.55 | 339 | 16.15 | 1537 | 16.46 |
| Technical School | 19 | 0.26 | 4 | 0.19 | 23 | 0.25 |
| Tertiary Schools | 676 | 9.34 | 187 | 8.91 | 863 | 9.24 |
| Other | 8 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.09 |
| Not Stated | 53 | 0.73 | 19 | 0.91 | 72 | 0.77 |
| Total | 7239 | 100.00 | 2099 | 100.00 | 9338 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: Total male youth added to total female youth (34399) differs from the corresponding figure in Tables 9.1 to 9.49 (46 224) although this total matches the total in Table 9.6a.

Table 9.6(c): Number of Female Youths by Economic Activity, Educational Attainment and Age Group, 2000

| School Level | Economic Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Worked | Per Cent | Did Not Work | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| All Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 850 | 18.01 | 4428 | 35.01 | 5278 | 30.39 |
| Primary School | 1815 | 38.46 | 6184 | 48.89 | 7999 | 46.06 |
| Secondary School | 1140 | 24.16 | 1559 | 12.33 | 2699 | 15.54 |
| Technical School | 39 | 0.83 | 12 | 0.09 | 51 | 0.29 |
| Tertiary Schools | 827 | 17.52 | 409 | 3.23 | 1236 | 7.12 |
| Other | 10 | 0.21 | 6 | 0.05 | 16 | 0.09 |
| Not Stated | 38 | 0.81 | 50 | 0.40 | 88 | 0.51 |
| Total | 4719 |  | 12648 |  | 17367 |  |
| Youth 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 425 | 28.62 | 2273 | 37.68 | 2698 | 35.89 |
| Primary School | 762 | 51.31 | 3058 | 50.69 | 3820 | 50.81 |
| Secondary School | 226 | 15.22 | 584 | 9.68 | 810 | 10.77 |
| Technical School | 2 | 0.13 | 2 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.05 |
| Tertiary Schools | 61 | 4.11 | 97 | 1.61 | 158 | 2.10 |
| Other | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.03 | 2 | 0.03 |
| Not Stated | 9 | 0.61 | 17 | 0.28 | 26 | 0.35 |
| Total | 1485 |  | 6033 |  | 7518 |  |
| Youth 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 425 | 13.14 | 2155 | 32.58 | 2580 | 26.20 |
| Primary School | 1053 | 32.56 | 3126 | 47.26 | 4179 | 42.43 |
| Secondary School | 914 | 28.26 | 975 | 14.74 | 1889 | 19.18 |
| Technical School | 37 | 1.14 | 10 | 0.15 | 47 | 0.48 |
| Tertiary Schools | 766 | 23.69 | 312 | 4.72 | 1078 | 10.95 |
| Other | 10 | 0.31 | 4 | 0.06 | 14 | 0.14 |
| Not Stated | 29 | 0.90 | 33 | 0.50 | 62 | 0.63 |
| Total | 3234 |  | 6615 |  | 9849 |  |

Source: CARICOM
Note: Total male youth added to total female youth (34399) differs from the corresponding figure in Tables 9.1 to 9.49 (46 224) although this total matches the total in Table 9.6a.

Table 9.7. Percentage of Youth With Primary School Education or Less Who Worked or Did not Work by Sex and Age Group, 2000

| Age Group | Working <br> Males | Non Working <br> Males | Working Females | Non Working <br> Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Youth | 80.7 | 81.6 | 56.5 | 83.9 |
| $15-19$ | 92.3 | 87.2 | 79.9 | 88.4 |
| $20-24$ | 73.0 | 73.8 | 5.7 | 79.9 |

Source: Tables 9.6(a), (b), ( c); CARICOM

## CHAPTER 10

## THE ELDERLY

## Introduction

Elderly persons have become increasingly important moreso as their numbers increase at a very rapid rate. They will require more services and attention and this will have to be factored into planning at the national level. Over the next few decades, an increase in the percentage share of persons 60 years or more is foreseen. Major issues of health will come to the forefront and more will have to be expended on social services targeting this group to provide the elderly with a comfortable and acceptable quality of life. This chapter looks at the profile of the elderly: where they live, personal relationships, their work and disabilities.

### 10.1. Demographic Profile of The Elderly

In 2000, there were 13763 elderly persons $60+$ years old with 9891 of these elderly persons being $65+$ years old. In 1991, the corresponding numbers for elderly persons who were $65+$ years was 7 780. This increase of 27 per cent is indicative of the proportionate increases in quality and quantity of services necessary to keep pace with the growth of the elderly population.

Males and females are equally represented in the elderly age groups. In 2000, 51.05 per cent of those $60+$ year and 49.49 per cent of the $65+$ were males (Table 10.1).

Table 10.1: Elderly (Aged 60+ and 65+) by Household Size and Sex, 2000

| Size of Household and Age of Elderly | Sex of Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Cent } \end{gathered}$ |
| Aged 60+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 1105 | 15.73 | 825 | 12.25 | 1930 | 14.02 |
| Two | 1497 | 21.31 | 1661 | 24.65 | 3158 | 22.95 |
| Three | 989 | 14.08 | 1115 | 16.55 | 2104 | 15.29 |
| Four | 779 | 11.09 | 781 | 11.59 | 1560 | 11.33 |
| Five | 759 | 10.80 | 651 | 9.66 | 1410 | 10.24 |
| Six | 577 | 8.21 | 523 | 7.76 | 1100 | 7.99 |
| Seven | 442 | 6.29 | 404 | 6.00 | 846 | 6.15 |
| Eight+ | 878 | 12.50 | 777 | 11.53 | 1655 | 12.02 |
| Total | 7026 | 100.00 | 6737 | 100.00 | 13763 | 100.00 |
| Aged 65+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 830 | 16.96 | 713 | 14.27 | 1543 | 15.60 |
| Two | 1138 | 23.25 | 1272 | 25.46 | 2410 | 24.37 |
| Three | 693 | 14.16 | 805 | 16.11 | 1498 | 15.15 |
| Four | 504 | 10.30 | 555 | 11.11 | 1059 | 10.71 |
| Five | 501 | 10.23 | 461 | 9.23 | 962 | 9.73 |
| Six | 370 | 7.56 | 347 | 6.95 | 717 | 7.25 |
| Seven | 296 | 6.05 | 279 | 5.58 | 575 | 5.81 |
| Eight+ | 563 | 11.50 | 564 | 11.29 | 1127 | 11.39 |
| Total | 4895 | 100.00 | 4996 | 100.00 | 9891 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total population 60+(13763) cannot be validated. The total population 65+(9891) differs from the corresponding figure in Table 1.5 (9744).

### 10.2. Living Accommodation of The Elderly

Table 10.1 demonstrates that the majority of elderly persons live in two-person households, this being the case irrespective of sex and age. Altogether, 22.95 per cent of the $60+$ population and 24.37 per cent of the $65+$ live in two-person households with slightly larger proportions among the women than among the men. Concerning the $60+$ and the $65+$ population, respective proportions of 14.02 per cent and 15.6 per cent live alone with larger proportions being observed among males than among females. Irrespective of age group, more than half of the elderly live in households containing no more than three persons.

Table 10.2 shows that most of the elderly own their own homes ( 82.2 per cent of the $60+$ population and 83.04 per cent of the $65+$ ). A few rent private houses ( 6.87 per cent of the $60+$ population and 6.2 per cent of the $65+$ ). In addition, a few live in private homes but do not pay rent ( 6.68 per cent of the $60+$ population and 6.51 per cent of the $65+$ ). These patterns hold true irrespective of sex. However, males reside in rent free housing in relatively larger numbers than females and also are more likely to lease housing than females. Among those $60+$ who live in rent free houses, 57.93 per cent are males and among those who lease, 57.63 per cent are males. Among the $65+$ elderly, 52.8 per cent of free rent lodgers are males.

Table 10.2: Elderly (Aged 60+ and 65+) by Tenancy and Sex, 2000

| Tenancy of Dwelling and Age of Elderly | Sex of Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| Aged 60+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own | 5702 | 81.16 | 5611 | 83.29 | 11313 | 82.20 |
| Squat | 31 | 0.44 | 11 | 0.16 | 42 | 0.31 |
| Rent Private | 478 | 6.80 | 467 | 6.93 | 945 | 6.87 |
| Rent Gov't | 74 | 1.05 | 93 | 1.38 | 167 | 1.21 |
| Lease | 170 | 2.42 | 125 | 1.86 | 295 | 2.14 |
| Rent Free | 533 | 7.59 | 387 | 5.74 | 920 | 6.68 |
| Other | 27 | 0.38 | 32 | 0.47 | 59 | 0.43 |
| Not Stated | 11 | 0.16 | 11 | 0.16 | 22 | 0.16 |
| Total | 7026 | 100.00 | 6737 | 100.00 | 13763 | 100.00 |
| Aged 65+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own | 4039 | 82.51 | 4174 | 83.55 | 8213 | 83.04 |
| Squat | 21 | 0.43 | 8 | 0.16 | 29 | 0.29 |
| Rent Private | 294 | 6.01 | 319 | 6.39 | 613 | 6.20 |
| Rent Gov't | 53 | 1.08 | 75 | 1.50 | 128 | 1.29 |
| Lease | 117 | 2.39 | 84 | 1.68 | 201 | 2.03 |
| Rent Free | 340 | 6.95 | 304 | 6.08 | 644 | 6.51 |
| Other | 20 | 0.41 | 26 | 0.52 | 46 | 0.47 |
| Not Stated | 11 | 0.22 | 6 | 0.12 | 17 | 0.17 |
| Total | 4895 | 100.00 | 4996 | 100.00 | 9891 | 100.00 |
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### 10.3. Union Status of The Elderly

Quality of life is important in any age group and especially so for the elderly. Companionship becomes very important for persons nearing the end of life. Table 10.3 sheds some light on these issues. Almost a half of the elderly are legally married (48.91 per cent of the $60+$ population and 45.5 per cent of the $65+$ ). As individuals become older, the risk of losing a companion increases. In fact 19.52 per cent of the $60+$ population and 23.92 per cent of the $65+$ were widowed and not in a union. Elderly persons not married nor widowed also reported not being in any form of union ( 14.02 per cent of the $60+$ population and 14.89 per cent of $65+$ ). Some elderly however reported being in common-law relationships ( 11.17 per cent of the $60+$ population and 9.77 per cent of the $65+$ population).

Table 10.3: Elderly (Aged 60+ and 65+) by Marital/Union Status and Sex, 2000

| Union Status and Age of Elderly | Sex of Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| Aged 60+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legally Married | 4042 | 57.53 | 2690 | 39.93 | 6732 | 48.91 |
| Common Law Union | 1000 | 14.23 | 538 | 7.99 | 1538 | 11.17 |
| Visiting Partner | 29 | 0.41 | 18 | 0.27 | 47 | 0.34 |
| Married But Not in Union | 227 | 3.23 | 235 | 3.49 | 462 | 3.36 |
| Legally Separated and Not in Union | 54 | 0.77 | 64 | 0.95 | 118 | 0.86 |
| Widowed and Not in Union | 691 | 9.83 | 2003 | 29.73 | 2694 | 19.57 |
| Divorced and Not in Union | 93 | 1.32 | 110 | 1.63 | 203 | 1.47 |
| Not in Union | 872 | 12.41 | 1058 | 15.70 | 1930 | 14.02 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 18 | 0.26 | 21 | 0.31 | 39 | 0.28 |
| Total | 7026 | 100.00 | 6737 | 100.00 | 13763 | 100.00 |
| Aged 65+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legally Married | 2731 | 55.79 | 1769 | 35.41 | 4500 | 45.50 |
| Common Law Union | 627 | 12.81 | 339 | 6.79 | 966 | 9.77 |
| Visiting Partner | 18 | 0.37 | 12 | 0.24 | 30 | 0.30 |
| Married But Not in Union | 163 | 3.33 | 154 | 3.08 | 317 | 3.20 |
| Legally Separated and Not in Union | 33 | 0.67 | 40 | 0.80 | 73 | 0.74 |
| Widowed and Not in Union | 614 | 12.54 | 1752 | 35.07 | 2366 | 23.92 |
| Divorced and Not in Union | 59 | 1.21 | 81 | 1.62 | 140 | 1.42 |
| Not in Union | 637 | 13.01 | 836 | 16.73 | 1473 | 14.89 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 13 | 0.27 | 13 | 0.26 | 26 | 0.26 |
| Total | 4895 | 100.00 | 4996 | 100.00 | 9891 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM

Profiles for males and females differ substantially. For the males 60+ years, the following percentages were recorded based on the 2000 census: 57.53 per cent married, 14.23 per cent in common-law unions, 12.41 per cent not in a union and 9.83 per cent widowed and not in a union. For the female population $60+$, the profile is different: 39.93 per cent married, 7.99 per cent in common-law union, 15.7 per cent not in a union and 29.73 per cent widowed and not in a union. A similar pattern is evident among the 65+ year old to their younger counterparts.

However, as expected, lower proportions are legally married as well as in common-law unions and higher proportions are widowed and not in a union.

Evidently, elderly males remarry much more frequently than their female counterparts. Females who became widowed invariably remained single and tended to refrain from any form of union. As men as well as women became older, they were less likely to be in any form of union. Hence the percentages of the elderly (65+ years), who were widowed but who were not in any stable union increased when compared to the younger group (60+ years).

### 10.4. Economic Activity of The Elderly

Elderly persons though reaching and in some case surpassing the age of retirement still work though in smaller proportions to the rest of the population 15-49 years. Based on the 2000 census, Table 10.4 shows that 28.29 per cent of elderly persons $60+$ years and 23.01 per cent of those $65+$ years, worked during the week of the census. Additionally, greater proportions of elderly males worked when compared to their female counterparts. Specifically, 45.8 per cent of the elderly males $60+$ compared with 10.03 per cent of their female counterparts worked during the week of the census. As expected, the proportion of the elderly who worked decreased among the $65+$ population with the respective proportions for males and females being 38.75 per cent and 7.59 per cent.

Table 10.4: Elderly (Aged 60+ and 65+) by Economic Activity and Sex, 2000

| Economic Activity and <br> $\quad$ Age of Elderly | Sex of Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |  |
| Aged 60+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worked | 3218 | 45.80 | 676 | 10.03 | 3894 | 28.29 |  |
| Did Not Work | 3808 | 54.20 | 6061 | 89.97 | 9869 | 71.71 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Aged 65+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worked | 1897 | 38.75 | 379 | 7.59 | 2276 | 23.01 |  |
| Did Not Work | 2998 | 61.25 | 4617 | 92.41 | 7615 | 76.99 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source: CARICOM

### 10.5. Disability among Elderly Persons

Medical care for the elderly is important both for the elderly and for the society which has to provide care either directly or indirectly. Most elderly persons will suffer with some form of disability at some time or another. Table 10.5 indicates that during the census week 75.02 per cent of the $60+$ population olds and 88.96 per cent of the $65+$ year olds had some form of disability. These are large proportions and it is clear that the onset of disabilities increases with age. Table 10.5 also shows that a greater number of elderly women are disabled when compared to their male counterparts. Among disabled persons 60+ years and 65+ years, males constitute respective proportions of 45.32 per cent and 44.15 per cent. The most common disabilities were sight, mobility, body movements and hearing. Mobility and body movements are a major disability for females (Table 10.6).

Table 10.5: Percentage of Elderly (Aged 60+ and 65+) by Type of Disability and Sex, 2000

| Disability and Age of Elderly | Sex of Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| Aged 60+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sight | 1281 | 27.37 | 1424 | 25.22 | 2705 | 26.20 |
| Hearing | 711 | 15.19 | 593 | 10.50 | 1304 | 12.63 |
| Speaking | 150 | 3.21 | 117 | 2.07 | 267 | 2.59 |
| Mobility Body | 961 | 20.53 | 1316 | 23.31 | 2277 | 22.05 |
| Movements | 783 | 16.73 | 1107 | 19.61 | 1890 | 18.30 |
| Gripping | 335 | 7.16 | 451 | 7.99 | 786 | 7.61 |
| Learning | 108 | 2.31 | 136 | 2.41 | 244 | 2.36 |
| Behavioral | 65 | 1.39 | 106 | 1.88 | 171 | 1.66 |
| Personal | 178 | 3.80 | 245 | 4.34 | 423 | 4.10 |
| Other | 108 | 2.31 | 151 | 2.67 | 259 | 2.51 |
| Total | 4680 | 100.00 | 5646 | 100.00 | 10326 | 100.00 |
| Aged 65+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sight | 1019 | 26.23 | 1196 | 24.34 | 2215 | 25.17 |
| Hearing | 615 | 15.83 | 540 | 10.99 | 1155 | 13.13 |
| Speaking | 124 | 3.19 | 104 | 2.12 | 228 | 2.59 |
| Mobility Body | 802 | 20.64 | 1147 | 23.34 | 1949 | 22.15 |
| Movements | 665 | 17.12 | 963 | 19.60 | 1628 | 18.50 |
| Gripping | 275 | 7.08 | 388 | 7.90 | 663 | 7.53 |
| Learning | 92 | 2.37 | 128 | 2.60 | 220 | 2.50 |
| Behavioral | 53 | 1.36 | 93 | 1.89 | 146 | 1.66 |
| Personal Care | 159 | 4.09 | 229 | 4.66 | 388 | 4.41 |
| Other | 81 | 2.08 | 126 | 2.56 | 207 | 2.35 |
| Total | 3885 | 100.00 | 4914 | 100.00 | 8799 | 100.00 |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total number of disabled elderly (10 326) added to the total number of disabled children in Table 8.7 ( 2 323) sums to 12649 which is greater than the total number of reported elderly in Table 7.3 (12 386)

## CHAPTER 11

## GENDER AND DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

## Introduction

Gender is at the very foundation of the way in which work is performed. There are jobs that are considered females' work and jobs considered males' work. Importantly, work done in the home is usually by females and is usually not classified as an economic activity.

Education is recognized as a key tool for better jobs and hence a better economic life for both males and females, but moreso for females. There is gender parity of education at the primary level but some discrepancies exist at higher levels. It is the case however, that females are closing the education gap and in some cases have surpassed men in educational accomplishments.

### 11.1. The Working Population

Labour Force statistics reflect those persons who were willing and able to work who were working, had a job or were looking for work at the time of the census. Working statistics are different from Labour Force statistics and do not reflect the work done by women as home makers in the home.

Table 11.1 shows that in 200070.67 per cent of persons (47464) 15 years or older who were working were males. This does not reflect the work done by females inside the home.

Table 11.1: Persons 15 Years and Over Engaged in Specific Activities by Age Group and Sex, 2000

| Economically Active |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age Group | Male | Per <br> Cent | Female | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per cent |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 Years | 12367 | 26.06 | 5129 | 26.03 | 17496 | 26.05 |
| 25-44 Years | 24312 | 51.22 | 11102 | 56.35 | 35414 | 52.73 |
| 45-64 Years | 8888 | 18.73 | 3091 | 15.69 | 11979 | 17.84 |
| 65 Years and Over | 1897 | 4.00 | 379 | 1.92 | 2276 | 3.39 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Source: CARICOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 11.2. Economic Activity

Economic activity provides an indication of the labour force participation within the country. Traditionally, these activities were heavily male dominated. There were 52910 persons between the ages of 15 and 44 years engaged in some form of economic activity in 2000. Of these 69.32 per cent were males.

Of the persons 15-44 economically active, 30.91 per cent ( 11158 males) and 17.77 per cent (2 738 females) had no educational attainment while 44.84 per cent (16 187) males and 36.84 per cent (5 677) females attained primary school certificate. Thus, fully 69.42 per cent of the economically active population of Belize in 2001 was only qualified up to primary school level or less (Table 11.2).

It is seen that 13.41 per cent of males and 22.83 per cent females $15-44$ who were economically active had high school diplomas. Females were also more likely than males to be economically active at the tertiary level with 8.9 per cent males and 16.76 per cent females.

Economically active persons with technical diplomas were dominated by females at a rate of almost two to one ( 353 males compared with 736 women). Female teachers and nurses made up the majority of persons with Teacher's and Nurse's Diploma while males were more likely to hold a Diploma in Agriculture. At the tertiary level (Associate, Bachelor, Master's and Ph.D.) level, males were in the majority with 54.15 per cent of the tertiary degree holders in the labour force being males.

Table 11.2: Persons 15-44 Years Engaged in Economic Activity by Educational Attainment and Sex - Controlling for Age Group, 2000

| Economic Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age and Educational Level | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| 15-24 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 3723 | 31.03 | 850 | 18.01 | 4573 | 27.35 |
| Primary School Certificate | 5966 | 49.72 | 1815 | 38.46 | 7781 | 46.54 |
| High School Diploma | 1483 | 12.36 | 1140 | 24.16 | 2623 | 15.69 |
| Technical Diploma | 20 | 0.17 | 39 | 0.83 | 59 | 0.35 |
| Tertiary Degree | 723 | 6.03 | 827 | 17.52 | 1550 | 9.27 |
| Other | 14 | 0.12 | 10 | 0.21 | 24 | 0.14 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 71 | 0.59 | 38 | 0.81 | 109 | 0.65 |
| Total | 12000 | 100.00 | 4719 | 100.00 | 16719 | 100.00 |
| 24-44 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 7435 | 30.85 | 1888 | 17.66 | 9323 | 26.79 |
| Primary School Certificate | 10221 | 42.41 | 3862 | 36.12 | 14083 | 40.48 |
| High School Diploma | 3360 | 13.94 | 2378 | 22.24 | 5738 | 16.49 |
| Technical Diploma | 306 | 1.27 | 590 | 5.52 | 896 | 2.58 |
| Tertiary Degree | 2490 | 10.33 | 1755 | 16.42 | 4245 | 12.20 |
| Other | 55 | 0.23 | 60 | 0.56 | 115 | 0.33 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 236 | 0.98 | 158 | 1.48 | 394 | 1.13 |
| Total | 24103 | 100.00 | 10691 | 100.00 | 34794 | 100.00 |
| 15-44 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 11158 | 30.91 | 2738 | 17.77 | 13896 | 26.98 |
| Primary School Certificate | 16187 | 44.84 | 5677 | 36.84 | 21864 | 42.44 |
| High School Diploma | 4843 | 13.41 | 3518 | 22.83 | 8361 | 16.23 |
| Technical Diploma | 326 | 0.90 | 629 | 4.08 | 955 | 1.85 |
| Tertiary Degree | 3213 | 8.90 | 2582 | 16.76 | 5795 | 11.25 |
| Other | 69 | 0.19 | 70 | 0.45 | 139 | 0.27 |
| Don't Know/Not Stated | 307 | 0.85 | 196 | 1.27 | 503 | 0.98 |
| Total | 36103 | 100.00 | 15410 | 100.00 | 51513 | 100.00 |
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### 11.3. Industry

In all industries with the exception of one, male participation was greater than female participation. In the Hotel and Restaurant industry, 59.3 per cent of persons participating were females. Although not the majority, women were well represented in the financial industry with 47.75 per cent (Table 11.3). There remained a heavy bias in favour of men in the Agriculture and Fishing, Mining and Quarrying and the Construction industries.

There was a more even distribution between males and females in the non-agriculture industries as women were closing the gender gap in these industries with 57.23 per cent males compared with 42.77 per cent females (Table 11.5). However, it is noticeable that the gap increased with age. In general there was a tendency for the percentage of men in industry to increase as the population became older. That is, the percentage of males versus females remaining in industry grew larger as the age group increased (Table 11.4).

Table 11.3: Employed Adults Aged 15 Years and Over by Industry and Sex, 2000

| Industry | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per cent | Total | Per Cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture and Fishing | 16937 | 41.08 | 1419 | 7.25 | 18356 | 30.19 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 227 | 0.55 | 3 | 0.02 | 230 | 0.38 |
| Manufacturing | 3076 | 7.46 | 1452 | 7.42 | 4528 | 7.45 |
| Elec. Gas and Water | 248 | 0.60 | 61 | 0.31 | 309 | 0.51 |
| Construction | 30 | 0.07 | 1 | 0.01 | 31 | 0.05 |
| Wholesale/Retail | 6838 | 16.58 | 3895 | 19.90 | 10733 | 17.65 |
| Hotel and Restaurant | 1705 | 4.14 | 2485 | 12.69 | 4190 | 6.89 |
| Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication. | and | 1031 | 2.50 | 141 | 0.72 | 1172 |
| Financial | 10850 | 26.31 | 9915 | 50.65 | 20765 | 34.15 |
| Not Stated | 290 | 0.70 | 205 | 1.05 | 495 | 0.81 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 1 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM
Note: The total population 15 years and over employed ( 68809 ) is different from the corresponding figure in Table 5.1 (67 165)

Table 11.4: Employed Persons 15 Years and Over by Industry, Sex and Age Group, 2000

| Age/Industry | Male | Per Cent | Female | Per Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-24 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Fishing | 4859 | 45.57 | 514 | 10.11 | 5373 | 34.13 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 47 | 0.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 47 | 0.30 |
| Manufacturing | 882 | 8.27 | 371 | 7.30 | 1253 | 7.96 |
| Elec. Gas and Water | 70 | 0.66 | 14 | 0.28 | 84 | 0.53 |
| Construction | 8 | 0.08 | 1 | 0.02 | 9 | 0.06 |
| Wholesale/Retail | 1908 | 17.90 | 1116 | 21.96 | 3024 | 19.21 |
| Hotel and Restaurant | 446 | 4.18 | 648 | 12.75 | 1094 | 6.95 |
| Transport and Comm. | 137 | 1.28 | 43 | 0.85 | 180 | 1.14 |
| Financial | 2181 | 20.46 | 2247 | 44.21 | 4428 | 28.12 |
| Not Stated | 124 | 1.16 | 129 | 2.54 | 253 | 1.61 |
| Total | 10662 | 100.00 | 5083 | 100.00 | 15745 | 100.00 |
| 25-44 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Fishing | 7637 | 36.74 | 655 | 5.93 | 8292 | 26.05 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 120 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.01 | 121 | 0.38 |
| Manufacturing | 1595 | 7.67 | 796 | 7.21 | 2391 | 7.51 |
| Elec. Gas and Water | 138 | 0.66 | 40 | 0.36 | 178 | 0.56 |
| Construction | 13 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 0.04 |
| Wholesale/Retail | 3387 | 16.29 | 2012 | 18.22 | 5399 | 16.96 |
| Hotel and Restaurant | 857 | 4.12 | 1412 | 12.79 | 2269 | 7.13 |
| Transport and Comm. | 603 | 2.90 | 84 | 0.76 | 687 | 2.16 |
| Financial | 6339 | 30.50 | 5998 | 54.32 | 12337 | 38.76 |
| Not Stated | 98 | 0.47 | 44 | 0.40 | 142 | 0.45 |
| Total | 20787 | 100.00 | 11042 | 100.00 | 31829 | 100.00 |
| 45-64 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Fishing | 3536 | 44.28 | 209 | 6.80 | 3745 | 33.86 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 58 | 0.73 | 2 | 0.07 | 60 | 0.54 |
| Manufacturing | 494 | 6.19 | 262 | 8.52 | 756 | 6.84 |
| Elec. Gas and Water | 36 | 0.45 | 7 | 0.23 | 43 | 0.39 |
| Construction | 7 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.06 |
| Wholesale/Retail | 1194 | 14.95 | 643 | 20.91 | 1837 | 16.61 |
| Hotel and Restaurant | 345 | 4.32 | 383 | 12.46 | 728 | 6.58 |
| Transport and Comm. | 247 | 3.09 | 13 | 0.42 | 260 | 2.35 |
| Financial | 2024 | 25.35 | 1540 | 50.08 | 3564 | 32.22 |
| Not Stated | 44 | 0.55 | 16 | 0.52 | 60 | 0.54 |
| Total | 7985 | 100.00 | 3075 | 100.00 | 11060 | 100.00 |
| 65+ Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Fishing | 905 | 50.33 | 41 | 10.88 | 946 | 43.49 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 2 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.09 |
| Manufacturing | 105 | 5.84 | 23 | 6.10 | 128 | 5.89 |
| Elec. Gas and Water | 4 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.18 |
| Construction | 2 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.09 |
| Wholesale/Retail | 349 | 19.41 | 124 | 32.89 | 473 | 21.75 |
| Hotel and Restaurant | 57 | 3.17 | 42 | 11.14 | 99 | 4.55 |
| Transport and Comm. | 44 | 2.45 | 1 | 0.27 | 45 | 2.07 |
| Financial | 306 | 17.02 | 130 | 34.48 | 436 | 20.05 |
| Not Stated | 24 | 1.33 | 16 | 4.24 | 40 | 1.84 |
| Total | 1798 | 100.00 | 377 | 100.00 | 2175 | 100.00 |

Note: The total population 15 years and over employed ( 68809 ) is different from the corresponding figure in Table 5.1 (67 165)

## CHAPTER 12 HOUSEHOLDS AND THE CHARACTERISTICS OF HEADSHIP

## Introduction

A household is defined as one or more persons living together under the same roof for most of the week and sharing at least one daily meal (Belize CSO 2000 Census report). Each household has a head and the strength of the family unit is directly related to the sex, education, ethnicity, religious affiliation and economic participation of the head of household. Clearly, the strength of the nation is directly related to the strength of the family and hence to the household units which comprise the population.

### 12.1. Households And Their Spatial Distribution

Sometimes several households occupy the same dwelling unit as is commonly the case in extended family situations. Figure 12.1 provides a graphical representation of the relative sizes of households according to their location in the country. Clearly, the number of households is integral to determining the size of the population in each district. Most households were located in the Belize and Cayo Districts ( 31.02 per cent and 20.85 per cent respectively), while the Toledo District accounted for only 8.65 per cent of all households.

Figure 12.1: Percentage Households, 2000
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### 12.2. The Characteristics Of Household Heads

### 12.2.1. Sex Composition of Heads of Households

Table 12.1, shows there were 51,945 households and about 76.04 per cent were male-headed. Households were approximately equally divided between the urban ( 49.88 per cent) and the rural ( 50.12 per cent) locations. Not only did male heads greatly outnumber female heads but 84.68 per cent of the heads who live in rural areas were males while of those heads living in the urban areas, only 67.37 were males.

Heads of households were also not uniformly apportioned across the districts. Table 12.1 also shows that the Belize District has the largest number of households 16 137, but the smallest percentage of heads who were male (64.06). Corresponding percentages in the other districts range from 75.75 per cent in the Stann Creek District to 85.61 per cent in the Orange Walk District.

Table12.1: Households by Sex of Head and Selected Characteristics District and Region, 2000

| Division | Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per <br> Cent | Females | Per <br> Cent | Totals | Per <br> Cent |
|  | $\mathbf{3 9 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17454 | 67.37 | 8455 | 32.63 | 25909 | 49.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22046 | 84.68 | 3990 | 15.32 | 26036 | 50.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5731 | 85.26 | 991 | 14.74 | 6722 | 12.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6745 | 85.61 | 1134 | 14.39 | 7879 | 15.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10338 | 64.06 | 5799 | 35.94 | 16137 | 31.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cayo | 8458 | 78.08 | 2375 | 21.92 | 10833 | 20.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stann Creek | 4454 | 75.75 | 1426 | 24.25 | 5880 | 11.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toledo | 3774 | 83.98 | 720 | 16.02 | 4494 | 8.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CSO Census 2000 Report

### 12.2.2. Family Relations of Heads of Households

According to Table 12.2, reveals that almost one half ( 48.89 per cent) of the persons living in households are the children of household heads. The vast majority ( 78.90 per cent) of such children lived in male-headed households. Grandchildren of household heads accounted for 5.69 per cent of the persons living in households. Though such grandchildren were mostly found in male-headed households ( 56.18 per cent), it should be noted that female-headed households appeared more than twice as likely to include the head's grandchildren as male-headed households. Among all those living in female headed household, 11.43 per cent were grandchildren while among those living in male headed households 4.09 per cent were grandchildren.

Table 12.2: Total Population by Relationship to Head of Household and Sex of Head of Household, 2000

| Relationship to <br> Head | Male <br> Head | Per cent | Female <br> Head | Per cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 39543 | 21.79 | 12402 | 24.49 | 51945 | 22.38 |
| Spouse/Partner | 32487 | 17.90 | 2396 | 4.73 | 34883 | 15.03 |
| Child | 89532 | 49.34 | 23948 | 47.29 | 113480 | 48.89 |
| Son/daughter-in-Law | 1620 | 0.89 | 615 | 1.21 | 2235 | 0.96 |
| Grandchild | 7419 | 4.09 | 5787 | 11.43 | 13206 | 5.69 |
| Parent/Parent-in Law | 1247 | 0.69 | 483 | 0.95 | 1730 | 0.75 |
| Other Relative | 6851 | 3.78 | 4110 | 8.12 | 10961 | 4.72 |
| Non-Relative | 2767 | 1.52 | 904 | 1.78 | 3671 | 1.58 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 1 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 6 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM

### 12.2.3. Ethnicity of Heads of Households

Table 12.4 shows that the majority ( 46.16 per cent) of all heads of households was of Mestizo or Spanish ethnicity. Heads of Creole ethnicity were the next most numerous constituting 26.42 per cent of the heads. Households headed by Mennonites had 94.05 per cent of heads being male. Households headed by Mayans, Chinese, Mestizos/Spanish and East Indians follow, with 88.32 per cent. 86.36 per cent, 81.95 per cent and 74.90 per cent respectively having male heads. Male headship was least commonplace in households headed by Garifuna and Creoles, respective proportions being male-headed 58.11 per cent and 64.19 per cent (Figure 12.2 depicts this scenario). The less dominance of male headship is evident in these two ethnic groups and this is justified in Table 12.4 where the odds of being a male head were calculated for each ethnicity. As a point of comparison, the odds of a male Garifuna head is 1.39 male to a female while the statistics for the Mennonites were 15.82 male heads to a female head.

Table 12.3: Head of Households Aged 15 Years and Over by Ethnicity and Sex, 2000 Census

| Race | Male | Per <br> Cent | Female | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent | Odds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mestizo/Spanish | 19649 | 49.69 | 4327 | 34.89 | 23976 | 46.16 | 4.54 |
| Creole | 8808 | 22.27 | 4914 | 39.62 | 13722 | 26.42 | 1.79 |
| Maya | 4229 | 10.69 | 559 | 4.51 | 4788 | 9.22 | 7.57 |
| Garifuna | 2117 | 5.35 | 1526 | 12.3 | 3643 | 7.01 | 1.39 |
| Mennonite | 1392 | 3.52 | 88 | 0.71 | 1480 | 2.85 | 15.82 |
| East Indian | 1355 | 3.43 | 454 | 3.66 | 1809 | 3.48 | 2.98 |
| White | 642 | 1.62 | 158 | 1.27 | 800 | 1.54 | 4.06 |
| Chinese | 399 | 1.01 | 63 | 0.51 | 462 | 0.89 | 6.33 |
| Other | 952 | 2.41 | 313 | 2.52 | 1265 | 2.44 | 3.04 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM


### 12.2.4. Marital Status of Heads of Households

Profiles for heads of households differ dramatically dependent on the sex of the household head. Among the male heads 59.01 per cent were legally married, 27.38 per cent were in a commonlaw union and 13.58 per cent were not in any union. For the female heads the profile was just the opposite: 17.48 per cent of female heads were legally married, 23.13 per cent in a commonlaw union and 59.39 were not in a union.


Relative sizes of the various categories of marital status according to the sex of the heads were also of interest and it is easy to see from Table 12.5 that married males were in the majority as heads of households. It is seen that 49.10 per cent of heads of households were legally married males. Married females only made up 4.17 per cent of the heads of households. The pattern for males and females remained the same as indicated above in Figure 12.3. Here, males in common-law relationships comprised 20.84 per cent of the population of heads and males in no union made up 10.34 per cent of the heads. Female heads in common-law unions constituted 5.52 per cent of the population of heads while the corresponding proportion was 14.18 per cent for female heads in no union (Table 12.5).

Table 12.4: Head of Households by Marital Status and Sex, 2000

| Marital Status | Males | Per <br> Cent | Females | Per <br> Cent | Total | Per Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legally Married | 23336 | 59.01 | 2168 | 17.48 | 25504 | 49.10 |
| Common Law | 10825 | 27.38 | 2868 | 23.13 | 13693 | 26.36 |
| Not in Union | 5371 | 13.58 | 7366 | 59.39 | 12737 | 24.52 |
| Not stated | 11 | 0.03 | - | - | 11 | 0.02 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Source: CARICOM

### 12.2.5. Education of Heads of Households

Table 12.5 shows that about 76.89 per cent of all heads of households had no more than primary school education. The percentages of heads attaining a maximum of secondary and tertiary level education were 11.39 per cent and 9.44 per cent respectively. Male heads and female heads exhibited the same pattern with the large majority of either sex having attained primary education or less.

Table 12.5: Educational Attainment of Heads of Households by Sex, 2000

| Education Level | Males | Per cent | Females | Per Cent | Total | Per <br> Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary or Less | 30736 | 77.73 | 9205 | 74.22 | 39941 | 76.89 |
| Secondary | 4362 | 11.03 | 1553 | 12.52 | 5915 | 11.39 |
| Tertiary | 3668 | 9.28 | 1234 | 9.95 | 4902 | 9.44 |
| NA/DK | 777 | 1.96 | 410 | 3.31 | 1187 | 2.29 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{3 9 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CARICOM
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## GLOSSARY

Adults of Retirement Age: Persons 55-64 years of age
Adults of Prime Working Age: Persons 55-64 years
Children: Persons 0-14 years of age
Children of Compulsory School Age: Persons 5 to 14 years of age
Elderly: Population 65 or more years of age also called retired adults
Marital Status: this characterizes unions that are related to legal or religious marital behaviours
Out Migrants: Persons who have moved out of the district they were born in to reside in another district.

Sex Ratio: The number of males per 100 females
Union Status: Union status covers all consensual unions including cohabitation, common-law marriages etc. It essentially refers to the de facto unions in which couples are involved.

Working Age Population: The population aged 15 to 64 .

Young Adults: Persons 15-24 years of age
Youth: Population 15-24 years of age
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note: The definition of urban and rural classification is not explained.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Blacks were not represented in the 1991 Census.
    ${ }^{3}$ Syrian/Lebanese was not a category in the 2000 Census and perhaps is contained in the "Other" category

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note: The total population for 1991 sums to (184720) which is less by 2 when compared to the (184722) in Table 2.3.

[^3]:    Note: Total population for $2000(218 \mathbf{0 0 9 )}$ ) does not correspond with the total population of 232111 in Table 2.6.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Out-Migration Rate is calculated (Total Local Born - Non-Movers)/(Total Local-Born)*100

[^5]:    Source: CSO, Belize
    Note: Total population for $2000(218$ 009) does not match the total population of 232111.

[^6]:    Source: CARICOM
    Note: Total children less than 519603 is different from the corresponding figure in Table 4.1 (19 342) as well as the corresponding figures in Table 1.6 of 33470 . Additionally, total children less than 5 years attending school (2 773) is different from the corresponding figure in Table 4.2 (2 843)

[^7]:    Source: CARICOM
    Note: Total persons 5 years or more (198787) is different from the corresponding figure in Table 1.5 (198 641).

[^8]:    ${ }^{6}$ Note: The number who worked (71239) differs from the corresponding figure in Table 5.3a (71 232)

[^9]:    Source: CARICOM

[^10]:    Source: CARICOM
    Note: The total population 60+(13763) cannot be validated. The total population 65+ (9891) differs from the corresponding figure in Table 1.5 (9744).

[^11]:    Source: CARICOM
    Note: The total population 15-44 (51 513) is different from the corresponding figure in Table 11.1 (59 210)

