

RECONNECTION

1986

ISSUE 20

Production, Editor, Publisher & Artwork: Gary Earle.

Reconnection, 2, The Close, Copyhold Road, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19.

Well, I've finally managed to get out the second 1986 copy of Reconnection. I'm sorry about the large gap between this issue and issue 19. (It just goes to prove what an amazingly lazy person I can be.)

It would appear that several of you didn't receive issue 19. What happened is, for the first time since I've been running Reconnection, I used a professional mailing service. (I usually box the issues up and mail them myself.)

My reasons for this was 1. It was much cheaper and 2. I could mail to the whole mailing list which is extremely large. Anyway if you are a subscriber and didn't receive issue 19, let me know right away.

I know some of you have phoned or written to Jon or Steve or ever about not receiving issue 19, but please write to me, (dress at the top of this page), and then I can get reprinted the necessary copy. If you have written before and have not received an answer, please write again as I have misplaced several names. (Sorry!)

Also, a couple of articles promised for this issue will be in issue 21, (out shortly hopefully).

One last thing, The C of S has been putting out a load of black PR, (how unusual), as to the demise of the Independents. It is just that, black PR, and I will be covering it in full in issue 21.

Gary

FACETIOUS FACTS

I can't help myself. I'd like to do a calm, quiet analysis of the facts, but I can't keep a straight face. If there is anybody listening on high, among the gods of the CMO, please don't take my blasphemy too personally, but really chaps, I think you're going a bit too far.

I thought the photocopy of Flag Order 3879, 'The Sea Org & the Future', had to be a spoof. Surely, someone had touched up the LRH photo to give him that demented air? Surely, this nonsense about coming an 'Admiral' couldn't be real? And the mention of 'Loyal Officers', well, but surely?

So I phoned a friend in America, and said, 'Is this Flag Order real?' I deserved the answer: 'Is anything that comes out of the CofS real?' He has a point. A few days later I received the splendid, full-colour 'International Scientology News'. Nobody would do such an elaborate spoof. Over the days as one copy after another arrived, I realised it had to be the CofS; no-one else sends sixteen copies per household.

You've probably read it by now; read it, and thrown it out. Shame on you, treating history in such a shoddy way! Miscavige seemed to be gloating over LRH's ability to 'drop the body'. He's definitely led a sheltered existence in the Sea Org. Going exterior, and returning to the body is a miracle. Going exterior, and leaving the body is well-known even to 'wogs'. It isn't a new OT state, "beyond anything any of us ever imagined" (to quote DM), the technical term for it is 'death'.

Pat Broeker, 'Loyal Officer number one' (if you'll excuse their phrase), has at last come into the open. For years we've been saying he was with LRH in hiding, and so he was. His article 'There is only one Source', was a brave attempt at using the word 'Source' as many times as possible, without actually saying anything. I liked the "Nobody else - nobody - ever discovered it." Which rather contradicts those lists of originators at the beginning of the early books, or the credit LRH gave to Freud. Let alone all of those 'Fellows of Scientology' LRH awarded (look it up in the Tech dictionary, it says 'signal contributions').

Earl Cooley, after a year as a Scientologist, has taken a top management post. The extract from his talk sounded like something from the Nuremberg rallies: "Together you will win total victory and achieve the ultimate goals of Scientology". Something more than the thousand year Reich, no doubt.

Following this were the photographs of LRH's ranch, near Creston. Even my cynical heart melted a little to all of that scenic beauty. But my head soon hardened my heart. There are points the exuberant promo piece fails to mention. The 'Whispering Winds' ranch was bought under an assumed name (remember the confessional question?) in summer, 1983, for \$700,000. Rebuilding the house alone cost \$300,000. The CofS try to give the image of a smiling, gregarious LRH wandering around, chatting with the workers. In fact, the locals saw very little of him, and he was constantly complaining about work done on the house, and was forever changing the plan. A stone fireplace was replaced with a tile one, and then ripped out altogether. That was the pattern, so much so, that in two and a half years Hubbard never occupied the house, living instead in his \$250,000 Bluebird motor home.

Errol Rohrberg, who built the horse racing track illustrated in the CofS piece, confirmed LRH's general good health, though admitted LRH had some shaky days. Rohrberg was given \$50,000 in \$50 and \$100 bills for the race track, and commented, "They were just throwing money around like crazy ... the whole thing was kind of strange. They always paid in cash - no receipts or nothing". A neighbour estimated that \$2 million had been spent on the ranch, much of it on wasted or extravagant projects (for example the water line which was "large enough to quench the thirst of a small city").

Hubbard wore a white Colonel Saunders beard, and was somewhat corpulent during his last years. Locals were told he was Annie Broeker's father, 'Jack'. The Broekers posed as the 'Mitchells'. Never use lies in PR?

Rohrberg said local contractors were virtually tripping over one another trying to get work at the ranch. They saw it as a bottomless reservoir of cash.

With these details in mind, the CofS puff piece about the "horses, cattle, dogs, swans, ducks, geese, heron, chickens, llamas and buffalo" stuck in my throat. I also recalled the testimony of Homer Schomer, one time Treasury Secretary at Author Services Incorporated, who paid almost \$40 million from CofS accounts into LRH's personal accounts over a six month period in 1982. And the tapes of secret meetings held in 1980 ('Mission Corporate Category Sort-out', or 'MCCS'), where GC and LRH Personal staff discussed a system to do precisely that. I thought the photographs of the ranch would have been better captioned: 'WHAT YOUR FEES BUY'.

Jon Attack

LETTER TO ALL OUR FRIENDS AND SUPPORTERS

We (David and Julie Mayo) will be devoting more time to philosophical research and writing. We are looking forward to this exciting new adventure.

We want to thank all of our friends and supporters for their continuing help through donations, moral support, encouragement, volunteer work at the center, through taking auditing and training here and by becoming more able. We want to thank people for starting centers and by independently auditing and training people.

We are continuing our struggle to keep the tech free; please continue to help.

David and Julie Mayo

This Reconnection should have included in it Steve Bisbey's "Notes on the 2nd Dynamic part 2". It is not included here as it is still incomplete. Rumour has it that work on the article has been held up by Steve's 2D. Apparently she is in disagreement with certain practical field research in the 2D area which Steve insists is necessary to produce the full article! However we do have another of his articles included here.

A REALITY CONUNDRUM

To produce higher states of OT it is necessary to isolate and handle the barriers that prevent such. Here is some data on the subject of reality that constitutes a barrier. To remind you of the key tech dictionary definitions applicable to this article:

1. Is not what the individual thinks reality is. Reality is what the majority agrees it is.
2. The degree of agreement reached by two ends of a communication line. In essence, it is the degree of duplication achieved between Cause and Effect. That which is real is real simply because it is agreed upon, and for no other reason.
3. The agreement upon perceptions and data in the physical universe.
4. Agreement in the mental plane and solids in the physical plane.
5. That which is made and which is commonly experienced by agreement: that which is made, or one or many make, and can be commonly experienced. That, we will define as reality.

The essence of Reality then is agreement. Sanity and Insanity have been defined in Scientology in various ways not particularly relevant to this article. I want to mention it in terms of reality. Providing a persons reality (or agreements) more or less match the majority of others in his environment then he is regarded as sane. If his reality is quite different from the majority he tends to be regarded as insane. Severe differences in reality in what someone sees, hears, feels, thinks or does can wind him up in a mental institution. There is no such thing as "sane", "normal" or "insane". There is a gradient scale of sanity to insanity, although in society lines are drawn for practical purposes by psychiatrists, psychologists and the like.

A severe upset can be looked upon as a state of temporary insanity brought about by heavily invalidating someone's reality. (Some C of S Ethics Officers and Missionaires seemed to make a career out of doing this.)

Rightness and wrongness do not necessarily come into it. If there is a wide variance in reality between a majority of people and an individual he may well feel insane **EVEN IF HE IS RIGHT**. Some inval of reality is bound to occur as everyone is different. With social graces a lot of it is avoided by humouring people, not always a good thing. ("Humouring someone" is pretended agreement in an effort to prevent an upset.)

Preservation of one's sanity is consciously or unconsciously sought by connecting up with those of similar realities. A group is thus created. The wider the gap between this groups realities and the rest of society is, then the less integrated it will be. Examples are many; cults, religions and small ethnic minorities such as the Sea Org. (A Sea Org members reality is now so far out of kilter with everyone else's that he will not venture out alone for fear of going insane.)

Thus there is a survival factor. It is not survival to have a reality at considerably variance with those people one is around or dependent upon. An individual instinctively knows this, and if he thinks his reality will be invalidated, or that he will feel insane or be thought of as insane, he is then likely to withhold his reality from others. In view of this fact one can see how the C of S made the dissemination of Scientology almost impossible by their out reality modus operandi.

All this data on reality and sanity is mentioned because of its application right up to the upper levels. In Scientology, like some other previous practices, part of the effort has been to produce abilities much greater than the norm for mankind. In the C of S some people attested to some fantastic states of OT. I recall one person who attested to "total cause over all eight dynamics". This person (who is still in the church) was a central files clerk, had no 2D terminal and no more possessions than you could stuff under a bunk in a dormitory. Presumably he had a subjective reality on the state but his awareness was not even up to realising that everyone else thought he was nuts!

One of the Tech Dictionary definitions of sanity is "SANITY IS CERTAINTY, PROVIDING ONLY THAT THAT CERTAINTY DOES NOT FAIL BEYOND THE CONVICTION OF ANOTHER WHEN HE VIEWS IT.

Even if a very high state of OT is actually achieved, if it isn't real to others it is likely to be villified by others. As reality goes down so would affinity and communication. Reduced ARC with others means reduced survival potential for the OT along his dynamics.

Therefore, is a person going to permit himself to achieve high OT states or powers when he risks going out of ARC with others, being thought of as insane, feeling insane or reducing his MEST universe survival?

An actuality to exist must be agreed upon. (By the definition of reality.) The high OT states envisioned by Scientologists cannot be a physical universe reality unless agreed upon. An OT may be able to make an ashtray rise into the air for himself, but is it going to be witnessed? - not without the witnesses agreement, and probably not if they themselves can not also do it.

A reality pushed too far up becomes an unreality. Perhaps LRH's insistence that before he would issue OT 8 a lot more OT 7 completions were needed had valid reasoning behind it. Would their gains remain only subjective gains because few people would have the same realities?

We don't want to make realities into unrealities. We don't want to make everyone's reality absolutely identical either. We do want higher OT states and abilities. Whether or not it is possible to overcome the considerations about reality to go behind this I do not know. The first step is an analysis of the problem.

Steve Bisbey
AAC E.G. C/S

AN AUDITING TECHNIQUE

The following technique may be of use to auditors and C/Ss when cleaning up BPC on the Church of Scientology.

What wasn't it safe to tell.....

Fill in the blank with:

The C of S
An auditor
An ethics officer
A C/S
A missionary

Take best reading item and run the question repetatively to EP.
Do be prepared for a marathon session!

Steve Bisbey
AAC C/S

LEGAL MATTERS - ANOTHER VIEWPOINT

At time I feel that there is merit in all this lawyering business. I become thus deluded when I hear the impassioned appeals of right thinking folks engulfed by a tide of injustice. And when I think of the clods currently running the church and the heavy handed tactics they employ, my blood boils. I know how the defenders feel - I just want to help!

But common sense dictates that there is a better approach to all this. To be perpetually at loggerheads seems neither inevitable nor smart. After all we're just people going about our lawful business, trying to do good in our own sweet way. So, unless there is a monopoly on the actual purpose of the C of S, an interesting speculation in itself, what possible harm could we do?

But the last thing we might expect from the bonos running the church is rationale. In fact they think it's another dirty word - like 'reasonable'. But even these not-so-brights would have to give up if we just handled things a little better.

Contrary to some popular belief, pecuniary gain is not the main motivation behind the C of S and these persistent legal attacks. In fact the problem is not lessened by an insistence on this as a motive, because it is simply not true. Look into the eyes of the C of S management and you will see, not the ringing of the cash register, but the light of divine right burning there. Remember how it once burned in yours?

The bastards are just too dumb to see where it's at! And the fact that they exhibit a little simple cunning from time to time should not lead us to lose sight of this fact. But most churches in history have been taken over by suppressives at some time or other and historically these people have been characterised by ZEAL. What we are witnessing now is no different. We don't need them and they don't need us. In fact we could well do without each other. We'll shut up if they'll just leave us alone. A better arrangement I can't imagine. But they're not bright enough grasp that. Are we?

Someday L. Ron Hubbard will be a name in the history books. And unless he does something really bad, David Miscavage won't aspire even to that - a fact which possibly eludes him at this time. The tech is a tech amongst others. We don't need Ron, or the C of S or LNT or any other damned acronym to make our way in this universe. The fact that someone has pointed the way grants that person no patent on freedom, for that is a concept which has been around for considerably longer than any of the protagonists in this small game.

Yet the independent movement has well-and-truly nailed it's colours to the mast - a possibly fatal error. It has set itself up as the Neo-Church of Scientology - an institution which many of us are slaveringly grateful to have escaped from in the first place. The 'independents' seem to see themselves as the true successors to the mantle of practitioners of that sacred cow, standard tech: keepers of the faith! And by this act they are asking permission to practice the art of conversation between one person and another and to study the works of a late 20th century philosopher! Can this be right?

The C of S weeps, wails and gnashes it's teeth because we, the 'smart' ones, have allowed the situation to polarize and thus permit a flow of energy to occur. A smarter move would be to fold up our tents and blend into the woodwork - to seek refuge in that most comforting and protective of environments - SOCIETY!

We live in a decent world and it's inconsistencies and injustices should not cause us to lose sight of that fact. The human race has staggered it's way to this point in history because the basic decent impulses of man outweigh, by a large margin, his insanities. Had Ron approached the world with a little less paranoia he might have taken considerable advantage of this basic survival principle. He might now be a popular hero instead of an object of curiosity and ridicule, and the church might now be a different animal altogether. But he didn't and it isn't and there's an end to it. And those of us who saw the dream disintegrate before our very eyes should now wipe away the last of the tears and have done with the damn thing altogether. The tears and the grief and the gratitude are not worth a damn in the scheme of things. Scientology is just a word.

A clear planet is a thousand year goal. That we have been sold the idea as something we will see this lifetime is an absurdity. No doubt I'll be accused of being too literal. The tech is not an end in itself but a route to a better place. There is NO reason for it to become static any more than physics should have solidified with Newton nor then with Einstein. And to suggest that the rest of the human race are unfit participants is a massive conceit indeed. In fact it seems that learning cannot flourish, not ever, unless people are permitted some creative part in it, at some level or other. And so we see that people are already modifying the tech, researching new avenues, developing new methodologies. In ten years you might not recognize it. Then all that will be left will be the name. And if we change that then the C of S will be reduced to challenging the basic principles of existence in the courts. It will be interesting to see how they get on with it. No doubt they'll try!

The world wants us and what we've got. - They do not want the C of S as they have demonstrated time and again. Society will assist us if we approach it on terms which it understands. The C of S is doomed.

Why then, do we trot off like the seventh cavalry, setting ourselves up as the 'true successors', the REAL scientologists? For whilst this might seem a just premise, there is simply no premium in that approach. Are we out to free man, or to make the church wrong? Will there be deafening applause if we win this battle? Or merely a deafening silence? Will there be sweet victory when we storm the gates of Old Saint Hill like Jews at the Wailing Wall? Or will we just be the bunch of weirdos who licked the other bunch of weirdos in the courts? In the end we will have to make up our minds whether we are doing all this to save Ron's good name or to make real progress. Is this the Church of the Reborn Widget or a philosophical movement with some clout? Can we penetrate society with better ideas and a willingness to share them? And if so, what the hell are we doing in court?

Give them all the damn books back and promise not to do it again. And then DON'T do it again. Go belly-up, plead for mercy, tell 'em they're right - they need a win! We're not scientologists anymore! We're free people with minds of our own and that's NOT what a scientologist is. Let's write our own books - god were those scientology books BORING!

We seem to fear that, by complete disassociation, we will fail to woo from the C of S those people whom we have considered our friends. But that is not our future public, surely? If they're too stupid to get off the track when the train's coming, well...

It's time to shit - or get off the pot. We haven't a dog's chance until we can truly stop being like them.

GARY CLARK



ATACK'S STILL TALKING

LITIGATION

Hopefully, the editor has printed Gary Clark's article 'Legal Matters'. And hopefully you've read it, or there isn't much point in my writing this. For my part I wish his insults had been a little more subtle: 'bozo' and 'bastard' lack style (more word-mincing recommended, try 'poltroons', for example). However, I do agree that the motivation of the CofS in putting Independent heads on pikes is pure fanatical righteousness, and not simple greed of gain. To be frank, part of the Independents' response to the CofS is the same fanaticism. I even suspect myself at times. If you haven't read 'The True Believer' yet, take note.

Although I agree with Gary's logic, "we could well do without each other. We'll shut up if they'll leave us alone", I don't think it applies. We aren't dealing with logical people.

Mayo tried to avoid litigation. Now, the AAC Santa Barbara is out of business, and Mayo has two choices: 1. keep on fighting for the right to practice, or 2. do as Larry West did, and sign a contract saying he won't deliver the OT levels.

At the moment the heat is on Mayo, and his affiliates. Does Gary think that the 'squirrelbusters' will rest if they can stop Mayo? Or the AACs? What are the limits? If you promise not to call it 'Scientology'? If you promise not to use the Bridge? More likely the same promise they extracted from Larry West: No more OT levels. But even then, Jack Horner, who left in 1965, was still hassled long after he'd stopped calling it 'Scientology', and even though he thought the OT levels were nonsense, and didn't use them. If the 'squirrelbusters' can take the AACs, they'll take whoever comes next on the list, and 'null' them too. This isn't a 'games condition', it's an unfortunate fact derived from long observation.

Maybe some of the older derivatives of Scientology, like Co-counselling, Synergetics, est, and the Context Network will survive, but what they offer is very different to Scientology. That's probably the key. No business likes a perceived competitor. There are several groups who've gone underground. Their problem will come (if they survive long enough) when they start reaching a wider audience. So sauntering off, and saying 'who?', when LRH is mentioned, won't necessarily help you, and by then you may just be one little Independent against the righteous might of the CofS.

So, if you abandon the word 'Scientology', and the terminology that goes with it; avoid mentioning LRH; re-write the Tech, carefully omitting significant parts of it; avoid contact with members of the CofS (disconnect), so you're not poaching their public; don't communicate with any ex-

Scientologists; and stay small, then you'll have a chance. Oh, and of course, don't contribute to Reconnection, which Mike Garside reads devotedly.

But that isn't my reason for fighting the CofS. I'm fighting because they're dangerous. I'm fighting because I object to their violation of basic human rights. You can call it a games condition if you like, but I'm telling people about the CofS precisely because they attack anyone who does so. And I'm not really fighting because of what they have done, I'm fighting because of what they will do if no-one fights them. Ironically, it's down to what Hubbard called "the confront of evil". Ironical, because it's the CofS who are the evil force, not the World Bank Conspiracy, the AMA, or the Marcabians.

I'm not willing to let the bully carry on getting away with it. Consequently, I support David Mayo's right to use the NOTs materials (let alone his AA5), and I support the Class Action which is coming together in the States.

The Class Action particularly interests me. It is a way of saying exactly what is wrong with the CofS, and using the only method available to put Ethics in on them. They haven't paid any attention to our complaints. In fact, they've simply attacked us in reply.

A Class Action of sorts would be possible in England, and perhaps litigation is the only way to put an end to the abuses. I'd certainly like to see an end to enforced disconnection; to despicable conditions for SO staff and their children; to the harassing use of Private Investigators; to the use of material from PC folders for blackmail; to the ridiculous prices, and the lies told about the use of the money; to litigation intended solely to harass; to the ridiculous claims made for the Tech; to the scandalous intervention of the CofS into so-called independent schools (such as 'Greenfields' in England); to 'noisy investigation' (which I have been subjected to); to the lies told about LRI's background; to 'heavy regging'; to the dangerous misadministration of the Purif; to the psychological humiliation of the RPF; to the bully-boy tactics. Maybe something could be done. Maybe it would be better to keep quiet? Can we do so and retain our integrity?

ORGANIZATION

I recently received a letter from a man who was one of the stalwarts of the early Sea Org. He had also written to Otto Roos, who sent me a copy of his reply, which has been edited for publication:

"Taking responsibility for the future of our technology is indeed of paramount importance. Unfortunately, efforts at coordination between groups appear to be failing.

"Co-operative effort towards maintaining the tech is lacking, because there is a lot of individualism. Independent groups are not working together, so are being picked off legally by the RTC.

"It looks rather like a group of small shopkeepers failing to co-operate against the onslaught of Woolworths, while still competing with one another. So they are 'doing their own thing' while being brought to a halt by Woolworths.

"Your statement that 'It will be necessary only to get agreement from the splinter movement on the greater vision of attaining the most optimum set-up under which to operate' is very true indeed. It is a matter of willingness to co-operate, a matter of obtaining agreement."

SCIENCE AND SCIENTOLOGY

"... unhappily scientology is as often mistaken for science as theology is for worship". No, it's no use getting upset. The quotation comes from a book written in 1907, by Alan Upward. Nevertheless, it points up our dilemma: the differentiation of religious fanaticism from useful philosophical and therapeutic ideas. In the next Reconnection we'll have a long, and excellent article about Science and Scientology, called 'Whither the Tech?', and written by Lew Randall and Greg Schilling.

DIAL-A-POEM

George Hay is in the news again. In collaboration with Faber & Faber he launched 'Dial-a-poem' in April. This is part of George's ongoing campaign against the abuse of the English language. The CofS lost a powerful spokesman, who has a way with the media, when they chucked George out.

A STORY OF THE NEW ZEALAND INDEPENDENTS

HELLO THERE,

I would like the world to know that the Independents are alive and active in sunny New Zealand. I would also like to briefly tell you the story of our little outpost, perched down at the bottom of the globe. This is MY story, but please realize that there are many others who have helped make this happen.

In mid 1974, Daisy (my wife), my son and I returned to New Zealand after a crushing defeat at the Advanced Organisation, St Hill (Sea Org staff). We had sold everything; house, car, belongings, and gone overseas to help "Clear the Planet". We returned with an experience of how a group can act in an insane fashion, leaving subdued, battered and broken beings in a greater proportion than cleared beings. The gains were there but, my oh my, what cost to obtain them!

For some 9 years we sat around, clearing the debris off thetans, licking our wounds, and forever wondering what to do about our group.

Then in mid 1983 came the first overseas news from outside the official "Church" sources. It confirmed our observations that something was wrong, in fact, **DRASTICALLY** wrong with the "Church".

A few brave individuals in Auckland collected and agreed to disseminate the information to whoever could bear to listen or read it, and to continue to do so regardless of the consequences. Those same few, joined gradually by others, have continued to do so to this day and comprise the cornerstone of the movement today. Daisy wrote directly to some of the overseas individuals and soon we had first-hand information to relay. At all times we adopted the principle that a being has the right to receive or not receive communication, according to his/her wish. It appears that the Independent movement universally has unerringly adopted these rules of conduct which society generally recognises as courtesy and caring.

Then came news of the "Splinters". What a day of hope, joy and resurgence - born again. To this day I say THANK YOU to those few who first took the plunge and who sailed into outer space without a compass to create a safe space for others. It appears that Ron had gathered about him some of the right of courageous individuals after all!

By now we had established communications with a chappie called David Mayo. I had worked with David in the Auckland Org for some while about 1967. I had no idea he was such a little rascal until I received a preview copy of "The Story of a Squirrel: David Mayo". The article was supposed to be by Ron. We knew better. Fortunately, we also received a copy of the story giving a vastly different view from Merryl Mayo. So before the "Church" put out their "Story" we rushed out (to as many people as we could find addresses for) the counter-balancing view "An Open Letter To All Scientologists" by Merryl. A return address for more information was considered appropriate, and as I was short of titles at that time, I agreed I could become the "New Zealand Information Officer". A little fact emerged from this which bears highlighting. The mailing went to about 160 - 200 individuals. Even with a return address enclosed, the off-mailing requests from this and the following newsletter totalled 7. Furthermore, I was informed that Church members were requested to write to David and ask him to repent, or simply tell him he was no good; and when I checked with David how many had done so, the total there was sweet zero.

Here was a lesson.

1. If the Church members generally shared the OFFICIAL Church point of view, lots would have written David. They didn't.
2. If the Church members generally thought we were bad, lots would have asked to go off mail. Again they didn't.

So here we are today, active and growing because we pay attention to the truth; and a being can and will recognise

not all beings) - you can never COMPLETELY fool a being.

To continue if I may; we continued our mailings within NZ and open communication with the "bad little squirrels" overseas who were getting bigger each day.

Speaking straight and openly, I now became aware of just how many individuals were wanting to do something: wanting to get on with their next course, their next auditing, wanting to help someone else with their own abilities - all this but not getting on with DOING it. And hardly a single one willing to name the source of suppression - the long dark shadow cast by the Church. I learned that there was one fellow called John Crossley who was already auditing in Auckland out in the field - INDEPENDENTLY. I hoped that one day I would meet this God (which happened).

Then, in response to a sneaky little suggestion from David, and a tremendous amount of theta (moral) support, I leapt out of the bath dripping wet one evening and announced to my wife and to others by phone (puddles in the dining room and all) that we had begun our own Independent Group.

January 1984 found "the rebel 5" meeting and planning the creation of a fully operational Class IV organisation, with open communication, and management accountable to the field supporters. We surveyed the public and found the most favoured name to be "Personal Awareness Centre" for our type of activity; applied and received legal approval for an incorporated society to be formed with that name; we haven't completed negotiations on that yet; and in the meantime the simplest thing to do was to get started on an individual basis. Meantime we began showing to small groups a video of Captain Bill Robertson's launching of an Independent Group in East Grinstead, and in March we organised our own launching in the home of one of our supporters. Twenty-nine people packed in to hear myself talk excitedly of our plans for us all to get going, doing our own thing, independently. Mary-Jo Thomas came and gave support, telling how she had been happily operating out in the field for some years and how she was certain we also could do it. Some training and processing was scheduled at our tea break and we had begun in action as well as words.

Then came what could have been the "crunch-point" - the make or break point, for the NZ Independents. Just before our own launching I received a phone call all the way from the USA. "Bent Corydon here - do you want any help to start up a group?" For his arrival in April we set up a real big "bang" - (a bash?) and by a telephone network invited some 80 odd people to a "Meet Bent Corydon" evening. In our enthusiasm we invited all we could think of, new public, veterans and even local org staff. And what do you know, they came! What we didn't know was that the local org staff, students and preclears had gotten together and drilled up on what to do at the meeting. What else we didn't know, was that specialists had flown in from Melbourne and Sydney to give the polishing-up touch to the planned interference of our meeting and to add their expertise to the meeting's proceedings. What they didn't know was that we had done our homework too.

On the night 40 people attended. This is possibly a record number even for the local "Church". After my brief introduction and following Bent's second sentence, the attack started and carried on unabated; a personal attack on Bent, amounting in some cases to libel which we duly recorded on tape. Daisy and I, having done a little homework on "what could happen if", called the police and called for a tea break while we waited for them to arrive. We had clearly and pointedly asked for certain individuals to be polite or leave and had received no courteous response. In fact I found out that I was "lower than....." (something rather smelly) which quite surprised me. It was during this tea break that we "got alongside" our visitors and found that we entertained the distinguished Judy Tampion (Office of Special Affairs, i.e. GO, Melbourne) and Mark Hanna (Office of Special Affairs, i.e. GO, Sydney). One of these apparently was heard to complain that there was insufficient milk for the tea. Alas, we were poor hosts indeed.

In due time the police arrived, and still refusing and protesting about having to leave, some 6 or 7 unwanted visitors were escorted out the door, whereupon Mark Hanna informed one and all that he was a law abiding citizen and supported the police and Judy Tampion lectured one officer on how she should still be in the meeting as she had been invited! Amazing! But truth is stranger than fiction.

We "innies" who were left inside, then proceeded with our intention for the night and talked with Bent. I thank you all present that night for holding your position - the randomness was great during heckle time - and setting the pattern for the future growth of the NZ Independents - steadily onwards.

From then till now: Marianne Hagen went to Riverside, trained and audited, returned to New Zealand and opened up the whole bridge for us here. She has since trained one or two others to deliver auditing while ably fulfilling a very demanding schedule of delivering auditing to new people and Scientologists, training and C/Sing the upper levels, editing our bimonthly newsletter, providing a half-way house for wounded Scientology refugees, presented great articles on the common sense use of the technology, and a few other things besides.

We hold a monthly get-together to meet and exchange points of view, usually about 20 individuals; at our place we hold once a fortnight a new people's night, and once a fortnight for the oldies we have a fun night (read it, drill it, do it), as well as the on-going training and counselling at Bruce and Marianne's place.

Each day the Independent individuals look brighter and stronger than the day before, have generally started with their own personal progress, and are now setting goals for more fun, and sharing the fun with more people, this coming year of 1986.

I wish it recorded and carried forward that you may contact the Independents in New Zealand at the following 2 addresses at least. We look forward one day to meeting and personally acknowledging the many individuals who have put freedom for mankind ahead of possible thoughts of personal security or gain. I hold that theta (creative power) is stronger than entheta (destructive power) and that all that is decent, honest and kind in man will triumph, as that is his basic impulse. Here is freedom for us all, and to our many new friends we are about to make.

With love, OWEN KIRKBY

NEWS FROM THE PERSONAL AWARENESS ASSOCIATION - AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND

The current scene at 3 Cromwell St is that we are an informal delivery group working from home, and services are available the whole way to what is known in the Independent field as Advanced Level 7. We find that people come to us without us having to do much more than be here, communicate and produce good results.

Programming of auditing is very much on an individual basis, and very often the first thing that has to be done with a PC is address some area they've wanted handled ever since first contact with Scientology. The very first step taken with any person who has had previous auditing is a thorough interview to check over which of all possible grades, rundowns or auditing actions the PC has had; the results, any difficulties, and a variety of other questions designed to reconstruct a picture of the person's past auditing. This interview usually gets excellent TA and handles a lot of charge on past auditing all by itself. It also shows what is actually charged right now. The subsequent program also consults the PC's interest. Very often there's a choice of several ways to tackle a particular area and get charge off it, and action with high PC interest is obviously most optimum. Generally, once repair is accomplished, it is preferred to get the PC onto a co-audit if possible. We also place importance on how well they are doing on their other dynamics - good auditing will improve these automatically.

Part of our success stems from the fact that we concentrate on good delivery and satisfied PCs rather than statistics. For example, full application of the bulletin on persistent FNs (i.e. if the PC has a huge win, allow him time off to ENJOY it) is not hindered by demands to "get auditing hours up"; for the auditor has half a dozen other hats to do if the PC finishes ahead of time on Cloud 9! This is particularly relevant on Advanced Level PCs who seem to need some time to get used to each new plateau they reach.

One of our aims is to show that you CAN do it right so the public is happy, and that the apparent "unworkability" of Scientology as practised in the Church is merely a result of out-tech and off-policy actions forced on orgs and staff by people with misunderstandings, unpulled overts and withholds, and, on too frequent occasions, outright evil purposes left unhandled (missing tech).

We don't see the Church people - staff or public - as opponents in any way, knowing from experience as staff that they are fed full of false data from sources they misguidedly trust; we also can grant them the beingness to be deluded if they wish! The 1.1 tone level of the Church's PR people is VERY hard to truly see through - once I almost succumbed to it myself only about two years ago, despite KNOWING that what was being said was false data (this was an effort of an RTC Mission to get me

handled or back in good standing). So I tend to loosely subscribe to the viewpoint "There but for the grace of God, go I"! I like the majority of the people in the Church and they are not "all bad" anymore than the Independents are "all bad". A few rotten apples don't make the whole boxful bad - UNLESS you leave them in the box!

Communications, even hostile ones, from people in the Church, should still be handled with courtesy and ARC; and even publishing outnesses should be done if possible in a way that can produce a lessening of the outness, if the person who did it reads the publication. If one is rude or out-ARC, and trying to make wrong a person who merely had false data and believed he or she was acting right, one day that person may realize the truth, but may then be reluctant to contact an Independent who (though proclaiming free comm and granting of beingness as vital) wasn't capable of calmly receiving a comm, no matter how etheta, and appropriately acknowledging it. Even a cutting of comm. can be accomplished without "sniping" at the person. A proper acknowledgement may even end the cycle and allow the person to take a less biased view from thereon out.

One of the things that should be taken into account is the very real possibility that wrong indications, wrongly-assigned ethics conditions, out-lists, wrong whys, etc. have created havoc with the cases of people in the Church. Therefore they may be manifesting this as well as the PTFness to the suppressiveness of Church upper management, when they attack independents.

In my area I have found that out-lists etc. as above, is one of the commonest areas of out-tech I have to clean up on PCs from the Church, and the bypassed charge can be horrendous, exactly per the bulletins on Listing and Nulling.

So, unless our group comes under an attack that would affect our ability to deliver tech, we tend to make large allowances for any antagonistic type comm. or comments from people in the Church. And so far we haven't come under any real attack, which I believe is mostly because we are delivering tech effectively and partly because the consequences of attacking the group have been outlined rather thoroughly to the appropriate people in the Church! (None of us have any qualms about attacking back if someone else attacks us first!) But we are fortunate in New Zealand in that the 1968 Commission of Enquiry into Scientology laid down some definite rules of conduct for the Church to follow regarding disconnection, volume of promotion sent, and other matters; and as the Church is violating these of late they are now wide open to a re-opening of the enquiry. We are hopeful that they will come to their senses before this eventuates.

So that is a general outline of our operating basis, and we cover our activities more specifically in our bi-monthly newsletter "Viewpoint", which is mailed overseas as well as locally.

Marianne Hagen, C/S

Owen Kirkby (and my supportive mate Daisy)
17A Scotstoun Place
Glen Eden
AUCKLAND
New Zealand Phone 817 6667

Marianne Hagen
Personal Awareness Association
3 Cromwell St
Mt Eden
AUCKLAND
New Zealand Phone 605075

MY SEVERE REALITY ADJUSTMENT OF
SEEBING FRIENDS TURN INTO CULT FANATICS
by Marie Culloden

On Sunday, 3 Nov 85 about 8pm there was a loud insistant knock on the door of Fred and Valerie Stansfields home. Fred opened the door and a loud voice said "We've come for the channeling".

"We don't do that here", Fred said. He saw several people outside and realised they were from the Crusador Org. He shut the door but then several faces appeared outside the large living room window making comments about squirrels and pointing inside. Someone recognised me and exclaimed in surprise, "It's Marie!" It was a strange feeling to know that whoever knew me was part of a group that had elected to treat anyone found at Fred and Valerie's house as an opponent.

I sat for a minute being watched while Valerie was looking for her camera. She went to the door which Fred opened to allow her to take pictures. It was very confusing for a bit because

it was like opening a passage allowing a lot of very heavily restimulated bank force to be hurled inside.

There were about 12 people milling around and one person had stuck his foot in the door preventing it from closing; an egg flew inside and broke on the carpet. Fred and my husband Cliff were pushing on the door while an attempt was being made to force it open from the other side. All the while abusive language was being shouted outside.

Mike Walker was the one with his foot in the door. When he recognised Cliff he turned all his rage on him shouting "I should have punched you out in Chicago", as he thrust his fist with the middle finger extended through the opening in the door.

Somehow Mike Walker threw a punch at Fred and Fred threw one back. Walker screamed he was going to get him for hitting him on public property. Fred reminded him he was on private property so Walker again directed his abuse on Cliff. Cliff didn't say anything.

I was standing near the door and was stunned to see the face of Mike Walker livid with rage. My mind flashed to Evanston, Illinois in 1975 when I was helping a group of inspired people from the Chicago area put an Org there. I remembered Mike Walker who was high strung and volatile then. Gradually over the years I saw him "getting it together" and in '81 or '82 at Flag he was there with his wife and child for the upper levels. I was pleased to see his seemingly expanded awareness of c or dynamics, but now, as I looked at this contorted face, I saw theta awareness but rather a frenzied dramatization being acted out and I said nothing.

I looked at the spectacle of one girl with a camera who alternated between furiously taking pictures and shouting. I imagined she was the reactive mind for this group bank so they could recount the experience whenever necessary to get worked up to harrass the fabricated enemies of the Church.

The next thing I remember is Cliff saying in disbelief, "Roger Noll is here". I went dead as I tried to avoid duplicating what I just heard. Then Cliff said "Oh no, Mike Noll is here too". My heart sank.

There were 2 of many people DEAR to me from the Chicago area. I first started training and auditing them when they came to my mission in Manhattan Beach in 1970 and 1971. Over the years I'd expended much loving concern, energy, and hard work past my own physical well-being to do anything I could to help them and their friends play better games among themselves and in society. It was a shock to see them now trying to make an enemy out of me.

One of Noll's verbal attacks on me concerned me not paying back someone I owe money to for Flag services. What they didn't know is I also have \$44,000 mortgage on my mother's house for Scientology services. 5 days earlier as I participated in a march with other Independents around the Scn complex, I carried a sign which said "I'M A RECOVERING SCIENTOLOGIST - I'M RECOVERING MY MORTGAGED HOME". This is the same home Mike and Roger and the other Chicago people have been at many times for barbecues, including my mother's potato salad.

I felt such a strong urge to go out and talk to them. Through all the years I have cared about them and their friends. I have wished above all that they think for themselves; not be fooled by status and "authority". They will remember I preached at the mission "don't be taken in by Class VIII status or anyone with a high post from the uptown Orgs". I wanted to say now, "Please see how you are being used as a tool for hate and harrassment, without being allowed to communicate on your determinism with Independents or read data which has not been approved by "Church" management".

Ironically one major reason for my leaving the Church concerned the Nolls directly. Ed Mooney had a very successful mission in Highland Park, Illinois. Roger and several other people I trained in Manhattan Beach including Ed Mooney, created the H.P. mission, and I was very proud of their venture. But in Dec. of '82 when Cliff and I visited there the atmosphere was one of deep apathy. They had been hit by several orders from "upper management" that had broken their spirit.

The most insane order to me was forcing the mission to buy thousands of dollars worth of BOOKS they didn't need. (I later learned this order came from Author Services Inc., which issues orders through the Scn. network to increase HPI's personal income.)

Roger also related many other atrocities by S.O. missions that finally caused the contraction of the mission until Ed gave up

a venture he and his wife and staff had all been happy in creating. I felt so helpless. I had always been able to help them in the past but I realized then that there were severe outpoints in the management causing unnecessary spiritual and financial hardships on people who were trying to be free. This was not what I had agreed to when I joined the group, so I quietly bowed out.

Outside of Fred's house Roger's voice was challenging Cliff to "step out on the porch". Was this the same person I appointed Ethics Officer at the Manhattan Beach Mission to bring about same behaviour to a group that was young and wild?

Roger, you are going to have a big amends project to do before you can have any more of my mother's potato salad.

THE LEXAL ROUNDUP - WHAT PARISHIONER'S FEES BUY

In his original write-up on what your fees buy, LRI made scant mention of legal fees or that a high percentage of the Church's income goes for this purpose. Is this expenditure a legitimate defense of the exercise of freedom of religion or an attempt to delay justice being rendered on illegal actions? Those who are interested enough to investigate the basis of most of the suits can obtain enough data to draw valid conclusions. The total amount for which judgement is asked in the various suits against the Church runs into hundreds of millions of dollars.

1. The suit of the most widespread interest and implications is the suit of the RTC, Church of Scientology Int., and the Church of Scientology of California, Inc., the plaintiffs against Robin and Adrienne Scott, Ron Lawley, Morag Bellmaine, Steven Bisbey, the Advanced Ability Centre, a corp, AAC East Grinstead, Church of the New civilization, (dba AAC) a Cal. Corp., Harvey Haber, John Nelson, Jon Zegal, Vivien Zegal, David Mayo and Does 1 through 100. The Does are individuals whose names may be entered by plaintiffs as a result of discovery.

This suit was filed in the U.S. District Court of the Central District of Cal. on 31st Jan, 1985 and is still in the deposition and motion stage. A date for the hearings has not been set.

The complaints in this suit are for racketeering, false description of origin, common law unfair competition, statutory unfair competition, receipt and concealment of stolen property, breach of trust, breach of contract, trade secret misappropriation and injunctive relief and damages.

The racketeering cause of action is alleged in the complaint to fall under the Racketeering Influence and Corrupt Organizations Act which was legislated to combat drug traffic and racketeering. Copies of this Cause of Action may be obtained from the Free Spirit for which a donation of \$5.00, to cover reproduction and mailing costs, is invited.

2. After the suit of the RTC, Church of Scientology Int and Church of Scientology of Cal was filed against the AAC, the Church filed a counter suit against these entities on the basis of false designation of origin, libel, intentional infliction of emotional distress, racketeering influence, violation of the Sherman Anti Trust Act which deals with establishing monopolies, violation of the Cartwright Act which deals with the restriction of trade and commerce to prevent competition and to cancel the registration of certain trade marks such as OT, Scientology and Bridge.

The AAC has requested a deposition of LRI as a key witness in the case. The Church filed for a protective order that LRI not be deposed because he was not the management agent. This motion is before the court.

3. Larry Wollersheim as plaintiff vs Church Of Scientology of Cal., Church of Scientology of Florida, L. Ron Hubbard, Church of Scientology, a Corp. and Does 1 through 200 which include Maury Lerud, David Bowers, Dusty Rhodes, Michael Hensler, Roger Barnes, John Fisher, Amos Jessup, Bill Youde, Stephanie Silcock, Alex Zabirski, Barry Watson, Mrs. John Fisher, Katy Regan, Kip Hanson and Jim Cowger.

Causes of Action include false representation by defendants of results of processing, intent to defraud, fraud and deceit, outrageous conduct resulting in severe personality stress, disconnection from family and friends, divulging confidential information revealed in session to third persons and the use of this information to control, manipulate and/or embarrass and frighten plaintiff, use of the Fair Game Doctrine to harass, pressure and intimidate and to attack plaintiff's business from within and without, causing his Scientology affiliated staff to leave his employ and his Scientology customers to stop paying their bills and buying his products and there negligent infliction of emotional shock.

Judgement requested is general damages, medical expenses, lost earnings, general damages for mental, emotional and physical shock, suffering and distress, punitive and exemplary damages of \$25 million and costs to the suit.

The trial on this case was started in the California Superior Court, Judge Margolis presiding. In response to one of the preliminary motions the judge ruled that the OT 3 material would be handled as court documents. The defendants are or have appealed this decision. The judge also denied the motion by defendants to have the declarations by Laurel Sullivan, Bill Franks and Gerry Armstrong thrown out.

4. Martin Samuels as plaintiff vs Lafayette Ronald Hubbard being tried in the Oregon Circuit Court.

Causes of Action include conversion of property, i.e. taking \$31,970 of Martin's personal property, outrageous acts which included six weeks interrogation in 1979, being declared Fair Game and the object of an international black PR campaign, revealing contents of his PC folders, ordering plaintiff to sign over COSMOD Mission, being fined \$30,000, causing his personal accounts to be frozen, pressuring plaintiff's wife and children to disconnect, defamation, fraud.

Judgement requested totals approximately \$47,000,000.

This case was won by default. It was appealed to the Oregon Supreme which did not reverse this finding.

A recent motion by Church attorneys for the Church of Scientology Int. to act as LRI's indemnifiers in his behalf was turned down. It is expected that a trial date will be set in November to determine the amount of damages to be awarded.

5. Homer Shomer as plaintiff vs Author Services, L. Ron Hubbard, David Miscavige and Pat Broeker, in the U.S. District Court with Judge Rafeedic presiding.

Causes of Action: Assault and battery, false imprisonment, and infliction of emotional distress.

Judgement requested: Multi-million.

a. The judge ruled that the Church's motion that service on Miscavige was improper was not correct, i.e. he overruled the motion and did not allow it. He stated that it was proper service. Hence Miscavige, one of the defendants has been properly served. Other defendants to be served are ASI, LRI and Pat Broeker.

b. The judge ruled that CSI (Church of Scientology Int.) can't indemnify LRI, i.e. represent LRI on this case unless he requested this. (If this occurred, the lines to LRI would be thrown open via CSI, which would then be his legal representative.

c. He ruled that the statute of limitations of one year on the assault and battery charges in this case do not apply, inasmuch as there were extenuating circumstances.

No trial date has been set for this suit. One is expected in 1986.

6. It has been reported that the Paulette Cooper case was settled out of court through direct negotiations with the Church of Scientology for \$400,000 plus an alleged payment of \$10,000 for each affidavit she would write against Michael Flynn.

7. The Church of Scientology appeal of the decision in the trial last year of the Church of Scientology vs Jerry Armstrong is in the 1A Superior Court of Appeals, 2nd Appellate District. The appellate brief has been filed by the Church and the defendant's response is due in early November 1985.

8. The suit of Armstrong vs the Church of Scientology of Cal. is pending in Superior Court and the judge assigned is Judge Breckenridge, who heard the trial of the Church of Scientology vs Armstrong last year. It is expected to be heard in the fall of 1986. It is proceeding through discovery. The complaints in this suit are fraud, emotional distress, libel and breach of contract.

9. A trial date in 1986 is expected in the U.S. District Court, Judge Consuelo Marshall in the suit of Jane and Richard Peterson versus the Church of Scientology of California. The complaints in this suit are similar to those in the Wollersheim case, although not exactly the same.

10. A similar suit has been filed by Carole and Pat Garruty vs the Church of Scientology of California. Trial date expected in 1986.

11. A similar suit has been filed by Dana Lockwood vs the Church of Scientology. Trial date expected in 1986.

12. A similar suit has been filed by Thomas Jefferson vs the Church of Scientology. Trial date expected in 1986.

NOTE: These last four suits are now going through the discovery phase. It is expected that a motion will be made to consolidate them.

13. In October, the Church filed suit in the L.A. Superior Court, Judge Bonnie Lee Martin presiding, for a restraining order for Frank Nataro to not get within 50 yards of any Scientology Church or Organization or staff member. The plaintiff was Richard Hayworth. At the hearing, the Church was represented by attorney John Peterson and the defendant represented himself. The judge ruled that Nataro had a right to picket and dismissed the temporary restraining order and ordered the Church to pay court costs.

14. The Church of Scientology lost the case in Oregon in which former parishioner Christopherson sued and was awarded a judgement of 39 million dollars. However, in reviewing the case, the judge ruled that it was a mistrial. A new trial date is expected for this suit in 1986.

15. The suit of the Church against Laurel Sullivan was dismissed by the court.

16. The suit of Dr. John G. Clark, plaintiff against L. Ron Hubbard, defendant for interference with contractual and advantageous relations, invasion of privacy, defamation, malicious prosecution, abuse of process, intention inflicting of emotional distress and conspiracy. The plaintiff is suing for a judgement of \$35,000,000 and costs. A court date has not been set.

17. In addition, the following are some of the cases in litigation:

a. Tonja Burden as plaintiff against the Church in Florida, charging fraud and outrageous conduct. Trial date is set for April 1986.

b. Nan McLean as plaintiff against the Church in Florida, charging fraud and outrageous conduct. Trial date set for April 1986.

c. Former Mayor of Clearwater, Gabriel Cazares against the Church.

d. Flynn, as plaintiff, vs IRII, for 100 million. Three attempts by Mary Sue, CSI and CSC to intervene on behalf of IRII have been denied by the trial court. The case will be heard at appeals court level in about a month.

e. Hartwells in Nevada are plaintiffs in a suit charging fraud. It will go to trial in early 1986.

1st : N.F. Wynne
Plaintiff
February 1986

Affirmed

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
CHANCERY DIVISION

CH No. _____ of 1986

BETWEEN :

NEW ERA PUBLICATIONS
INTERNATIONAL APS
(a body corporate)

Plaintiffs

-and-

(1) VILLACROFT LIMITED
(a body corporate)
(2) EILEEN MARGARET GRISWOLD

Defendants

AFFIRMATION

I, NICHOLAS FREDERICK WYNNE of 45A Moreton Terrace, London
SW1, SOLEMNLY AND SINCERELY AFFIRM as follows:-

1. I am self-employed with a variety of business interests, including representing 3 musicians.

2. I am a British citizen and have since 1969 been a member of the Church of Scientology. I have taken many courses given by the Church of Scientology, most of them in Florida in the United States of America.

3. In about April 1985 I was approached by 2 French members of the Church of Scientology. They informed me that the Church was concerned to prevent the misuse of certain Scientology materials (which are nothing to do with the recordings the subject matter of the present litigation) by breakaway groups of dissident Scientologists. They asked if I could assist the Church in finding out what use was being made by breakaway groups of such materials. I believe that I was asked to assist primarily because I do not live in the East Grinstead area where many Scientologists, both "dissident" and "orthodox" ones, live and therefore would not be known in that area personally.

4. In about November 1985 I enrolled with a breakaway group based on "The Spinney" in Lewes Road, East Grinstead. The leaders of this group are Mr and Mrs Griswold, whose house is "The Spinney", and Mr Bisbey. My purpose in doing so was to act as a source of information for the Church about the type of Scientology courses which this group was delivering. These courses are called by the breakaway group AA6 and AA7 but are versions of orthodox Scientology courses called OT6 and OT7.

5. Whilst taking the courses (which I have still not completed) I have had several conversations with Mrs Eileen Griswold about the activities of the breakaway group. She told me that they not only provide Scientology courses which are based on those available from the Church of Scientology but also conduct both a vitamins selling business and a cassette tape selling business. She told me that the tapes which they sell were sold through a limited company. She added that the reason for the tape business being run in this way was so that if the copyright owners of the tapes, whom she described as "the Church", sued for infringement of copyright only the company would be liable and she would have no personal liability. When Eileen Griswold told me this, she did not specifically say at that time that she could provide copies of particular tapes. I did, however, notice that there were 2 cassette tape copying machines in the office area at "The Spinney". This is equipment with which I am familiar from my experience in the music industry as I mentioned earlier in this affirmation.

6. I reported what I had been told by Eileen Griswold and the existence of the tape copying equipment to Greg Smith, a representative of Religious Technology Centre "RTC" in the United Kingdom, in East Grinstead. RTC is the American organisation responsible for protecting Scientology trademarks and confidential information. He suggested that I try and buy a few tapes in order to see what Eileen Griswold was selling. I agreed to do so.

7. On 9th January 1986 I saw Eileen Griswold at "The Spinney". I asked her if I could buy 3 tapes connected with the course I was taking in order that I could listen to them at home and review the contents. Eileen Griswold offered to sell me copies of 3 lectures by L. Ron Hubbard forming part of the series known as Saint Hill Special Briefing Course ("SHSBC") lectures. I agreed to buy them and at her request made out a cheque for the purchase price to Villacroft Limited. A true copy of the paid cheque is now produced and shown to me marked "NFW 1". Whilst I was writing out the cheque Eileen Griswold volunteered to me that 300 or so SHSBC tapes would soon be available for sale and that she could also supply "the so-called OT tapes". I should also say that whilst I had been studying in the course room at "The Spinney" I had seen a shelf bearing a substantial number of cassettes with typewritten labels referring to titles with which, as a Scientologist, I was familiar.

8. When I paid for the tapes on 9th January 1986 I got at that time given them to take away with me. I returned on 13th January 1986 to collect them. Eileen Griswold told me that I would have to wait about 15 minutes so that she could finish copying them for me. She walked over to the tape copying machines and made some adjustment to one of them. I then went into another room. About 1 hour later, Eileen Griswold handed me 3 tapes which I had ordered and paid for on 9th January 1986. The 3 tapes are now produced and shown to me marked "NFW 2".

9. Following my purchase of the 3 tapes from Eileen Griswold I reported to Greg Smith of RTC by telephone and the following day handed the cassettes to Mrs Catherine Sproule, who at that time was assisting him.

FROM PRIVATE EYE

IN MEMORIAM

L. Ron Neasden

Best-selling Author • Founder of Signhereology.
Friend to Millions of Dollars

"In the history of human thought, certain figures stand out for the richness of their wisdom. L. Ron was one of the richest of all."
Dr R. F. Zuberman

"Light, peace and universal harmony to you all. L. Ron has passed into the clouds of unknowing where the Self is itself and the mind is as unmind and all that sort of thing. L. Ron may have melted from the earth like snow, but one thing lives on. His money. Please send cheque to address below."
The Maharshi Vengalil,
The Cayman Islands

"Light, peace and all the same sort of thing as from the other one with the beard. You've got to hand it to L. Ron - when it comes to pulling the wool over the eyes he was in a class of his own. I only wish I had a piece of his action."
The Bhagwanat Narish
Somewhere in India
(Address withheld on request)



"Life is both river and mountain, forest and sea.
To know life is to be part of life.
Give me your cheque immediately."

"Hurray, L. Ron was one of the most enlightened figures in the history of finance. He unravelled the mysteries of the tax system. He was wise, he was smart, he did not get caught."
Rev. Sun Yat-Lien,
Alcatraz

"I owe everything to L. Ron. Before that I owed it to the Inland Revenue."
Spencer Toper,
Leader of the Turds
(a popular singing group)

"I was just a small time banker - but then L. Ron Neasden walked in the door and put his account on deposit. I can remember it still as he stood there. A Colossus amongst investors. I said to myself 'Herman J. Hucklegruber, your life will never be the same again.'
Herman J. Hucklegruber,
President, 1st Bank of Hucklegruber,
California

These words written by the greatest genius who ever lived L. Ron Neasden, totally encapsulate the whole message that L. Ron was trying to put across to mankind.

No one ever has achieved as much as Ron in the whole history of human existence. Astronaut, brain surgeon, Nobel Prize-winning biodynamicist, philosopher, painter, statesman, travelling salesman, charlatan, truck driver, leprosy-stricken accessory, you name it and he did it better than any man

had ever done before him.

To his billions of followers in every corner of the globe he is the man to whom they sent their cheques every week.

It is hard to know where to begin in trying to sum up this mega-colossus who bestrode the worlds of science and religion, moulding them into the unique synthesis that he called Diabotex.

It was in the summer of 1954 that L. Ron Neasden first exploded onto the world stage with his first major best-selling work of

philosophy. How I Solved the Riddle of the Universe on \$5 a Day.

It was an instant No.1 smash best-seller. From then on Ron continued to write a book every week for the rest of his life - 257,000 of them - each one a complete masterpiece.

But writing books was just a sideline to this extraordinary multi-faceted super-human millionaire.

His real love was money and he made more of it than any other religious teacher in the history of the

world. His message he put across to his millions of followers was simple:

"Renounce all your material possessions," he said, "and give them to me."

If you would like to know more about L. Ron Neasden and his Church of Signhereology, just give us a ring on 580-1601 and a team of highly-trained 'disciples' will come round to assist you in your quest to reach what the Eastern sages called "The State of Complete Bankruptcy".

PARANOID'S CORNER

The Delphian Schools

OFFICE OF THE HEADMASTER

To: Scientologists™
From: Headmaster, Delphian Schools

April 24, 1986

Re: Whose side will the kids be on?

Subtitle: If you turn your kids over to the enemy all day for 12-15 years, which side do you think they will come out on?

Dear Friends,

Each of us must ask--

What resources can we contribute to the planetary salvage?

And at least one of the answers runs like this:

Whatever walk of life they choose, our children, as real and hatted Scientologists, are vital to the game plan.

We must not waste them.

Kids are tough. But when they are in the hands of psych-oriented people (however 'well-intentioned') they are fighting for their lives.

Because parents seldom see much of what is happening in school, it is too easy to overlook the fact that this environment is completely PTS to the middle class (see HCOB 16 Apr 82 "More on PTS Handling"). It is an environment that is full of wrong MWRs, wrong indications and wrong tech. And it is an environment in which your child will spend at least 13,000 hours of his life. No one in this environment has any concept of the nature or potential of a thetan, so the example that gets set can be very bad.

Yes, if you can get them into the chair, this can be run out, but after that long as hostage, it can be tough to get them into the chair. What side will they be on?

You would expect your kids to give you the best of Scientology® that they could if the roles were reversed. You can do no less for them.

Don't waste them. Put LRM™ tech into their whole lives.

Best wishes,

Alan Larson
Alan Larson
Headmaster

AL:hk

20930 SW Rock Creek Road, Sberikan, Oregon 97378. (503) 843-3521

ARMED at 23-28 Fleet Street.)
London EC4

this 21st day of February 1986)

Before me,

Helen M. Chivers
A SOLICITOR

HELEN M. CHIVERS



Sign

L. RON HUBBARD & FRIENDS THE ROAD TO FREEDOM

WEA

I DON'T need this pressure Ron. After all, it isn't as though the seat of learning and I aren't well acquainted. Didst I not sit upon a Scientologist toilet once, only to look up and find a poster of the great man silently observing my every movement, defying my defecation?

I don't need this album either Ron, sounding as it does like a predictably troublesome episode of "Fame" where Doris discovers, almost too late, a ladder in her tights, but her chums rally round, brainwash and abuse her, then bury her silently at sea.

Some tracks feature the modestly titled Celebrity Central Vocal Artists Association, which just about sums up the level of creative talent here - a rotting list of hasbeens or have-nots, most notably John Travolta, Karen Black, Sylvester Stallone's little brother Frank and Leif Garratt who these days looks like George Michael after he's been dragged through a Kenneth Williams backwards.

These people toy with light rock, jellied soul, portly Wakemanesque compost and Broadway salutations to Scientology, giving new depths to the meaning of slush funding, with all 11 tracks composed and written by old mother Hubbard, currently de-composing, himself! He will not be missed.

Worst of the lot is definitely "The Worried Being" with ...a children's choir and "Mommy" singing a lullaby, but then Ron makes a late appearance in the closer, "Thank You for Listening", sounding like a spaced out Stubby Kaye. Bubbling, boring and batty.

Avoid this album. You're meant to eat vegetables, not listen to them.

MICK MERCER

From 'The Melody Maker'

THOUGHTS OF A SEPTUAGENARIAN SCIENTOLOGIST NO 5

Sex and religion are two topics which seem to produce more aberration and obsession than almost anything else with the two frequently being regarded as being in opposition. Obsessions can be either positive or negative so that we often find that a person who is positively obsessed about religion is also negatively obsessed with sex. Many such folk seem to consider that their Creator, whom they believe to be responsible for all creation, made a very bad error of judgement in designing the unfortunately necessary methods of reproduction and waste disposal in the way that He did. One point which occurs to me here is that if they regard God as the sole creator then to regard any part or function of the human body as being indecent or obscene is nothing less than blasphemy. An interesting thought but one which I am sure they are all able to rationalise.

The fact of this opposition is not surprising when we read in the History of Man of the Halver incident which was designed to implant the idea of the opposition of these two things. Considering that implants were not exactly designed to increase one's survival level or to bring one to a full realisation of one's potentials but, on the contrary, to enforce upon one the belief that the things which one inherently knows to be survival are in reality non-survival and vice-versa, one must be drawn to the idea that sex and religion far from being opposites are related and work together in some way since an implant was necessary to force them apart. Despite this implant, many of the old religions here on earth, as well as elsewhere in the universe, do in fact regard the two things as being at least symbiotic. Hinduism in old times, I don't know what it is like now, considered that there were two valid ways to enlightenment, one of which was to renounce all earthly pleasures, including sex of course, and to live an ascetic life whilst the other way considered that the sexual act when practiced as an act of love could, in its mutual climax bring the participants into greater contact with and awareness of the gods and goddesses whilst inducing a great measure of self awareness. It was to this end that the Kama Sutra was written and, since much of the population was unable to read, temples such as that of Konarak were ornamented with carvings depicting variants on the sexual act. Later, puritanical groups of Moslems destroyed many such carvings but as Aldous Huxley once wrote - To the puritans all things are impure. I don't know a great deal about Alastair Crowley since his writings are very difficult to obtain but I have read that he made use of the sexual act as part of some rituals in order to achieve certain effects in his magic. The Old Religion, said to go back to Stone Age days is known to have made much use of sex in its rituals and this still continues, although perhaps in symbolic form, in what we know today as Witchcraft. This name derives from the Anglo Saxon Wicca - the Wise Ones. It is rather interesting to note that when the Press seeks to discredit a new or unfavoured religious movement it usually accuses it of indulging in sexual orgies. Scientology came in for this

condemnation some years back but no one in the Church seemed to know when or where these took place.

To return to the subject of obsessiveness, I have found that this often covers up or compensates for a lack of certainty. In the case of religion the intense belief in the powers of the god or saviour prevents the need for personal responsibility and leaves the person happy to be other-determined. Look at the words of some of the Christian hymns and you will see what I mean. With the positive form of sexual obsession there is usually a lack of an adequate and fully satisfying love life whilst the so-called Don Juan complex which entails a very frequent change of sexual partners and which in women is known as nymphomania often derives from the feeling of need to assure a man of his continuing virility or a woman of her attractiveness. The need for constant reassurance is an obvious symptom of uncertainty. There are, of course, other factors which can be involved. L.R.H., for instance, said that strong sexual impulses stem from the G.E.

Before going further let us take a look at what is meant by religion. The first definition is that it is the belief in and worship of God or gods - the capital and lower case initials being those of my dictionary. This excludes one of the world's major religions - Buddhism - which does not accept the existence of God, this being confirmed for me by a Buddhist whom I knew some years back. Later comes the definition (fig.) - i.e. figuratively which is defined as being not literally true, that it is something revered and sought after. Since religion may be divided into belief and practice, the two things, not always coinciding, this latter definition may be the more workable. I once heard religion defined as being whatever is the most dominant force in one's life and thereby determining one's motives and actions. Things like love of money, social position, sex... anything in fact which can become obsessive and over-ruling all else can become the dominant factor in the individual's life. His religion. In the P.D.C. lectures L.R.H. says that there are gods and creators of gods and that their sole purpose is to prevent thetans from realising their own creative powers. Whether this concept is true or untrue is for the individual to decide.

Sex, likewise, is a very complex subject and takes many forms. Referring again to the P.D.C. lectures, he describes sex as being a second-rate or, sometimes, an even lower rate sensation. I find it significant that in these lectures he never refers to lovemaking so, perhaps, he regarded the two things as being synonymous. He certainly has ideas on the subject of sex which I find peculiar, to say the least. Once, in my early days in the movement, he maintained that sex should be used only for the production of offspring - a consideration that is found in some of the more obsessive and narrow-minded religions. Then in the Tone Scale he considers that people who indulge in Free Love are lower toned than rapists. Here, at 1.1, where a large proportion of the populace can be found, he claims that at this level there can be no enjoyment of the sexual act and that this level includes perversion and irregular practices so I assume that he included homosexuality here. Well, in the days before I came into Scientology I was definitely 1.1 and, equally definitely, I did enjoy sex. Although I have no desire for homosexual activity myself used to be very antagonistic about it I found that at attaining O.T.3 I became tolerant of its practice by those who are that way inclined. I would be interested to know if there are homosexuals or former ones who are in Scientology and if being trained and processed has changed their outlooks about it or whether they retain the same feelings at the higher levels of the Bridge. Purely for information and as a matter of interest. However, to say that these people are lower in tone than rapists is, in my humble opinion, ridiculous. Even at the top end of the scale at 4.0 he places monogamy as a characteristic so we must assume that Moslems and all other groups who regard multiple marriage as being normal and regular are unable to reach this level whilst retaining this practice. With the memory of my many lives in the East I find this unlikely.

I have indicated that there is a distinction between the sex act and the act of love even though, physically, they are the same. There is a Latin tag, from Ovid I believe, which translates as 'after coitus all animals are sad'. This, I feel, is very descriptive of sex where a purely physical appetite is satisfied but leaves the spirit with a feeling of dissatisfaction. Lovemaking, however, is very different for here the bodily act is a physical expression of a very deep love for each other and far from resulting in sadness or lack of satisfaction it brings the two into a beautiful degree of mutual understanding and unity - a oneness which I, personally, have not experienced elsewhere and which lasts for quite a considerable time. There is also the feeling of newness each time but with each repetition feeling even better than the last when it has seemed that it couldn't possibly

become any better yet, somehow it does.

The distinction between these two aspects can be expressed in the idea that sex is taking whereas love is giving. It is only in lovemaking, I feel, that the Hindu beliefs, expressed earlier, can be experienced. To me the distinction is as great as and comparable with that which exists between eroticism and pornography. These two words derive from the Greek the former deriving from Eros, the Greek God of love and the latter from porn - writings about the lowest level of prostitutes. Here we find two totally different attitudes of mind and intention with pornography whether expressed in writing or other graphic forms debasing sex to a lustful, animal level with eroticism seeking to uplift lovemaking to the highest possible degree and this, I feel, is one of the factors that align it to religion. Sex is the lowest level of communication says L.R.H. and it is true that communication can exist at this level when all else has failed but at the other end of the scale, not mentioned by him, the act of love brings about an intensely high level of communication which is above the ability of mere words to express. Sex is also the most basic form of creativity in that it can produce more physical bodies but love can operate creatively at the very highest levels. It is, therefore, not surprising that so many of the great artists of the world have produced erotic art even if, in many cases they have had to keep it under cover and secret because of the prejudice of their social environment. To those who would like to explore this aspect more fully than I can do here I recommend - Peter Webb, *The Erotic Arts* - published by Secker and Warburg in 1979.

Is it that brings sex and religion so closely together that we had to be implanted to separate them? All the earliest forms of religion are concerned with nature and the physical universe as it affects mankind. The all important sun is most frequently found as a god or a symbol of a god and, usually, represents the dominant masculine element except in a society where women are held in greater esteem than men. Fertility, whether in the continuation of the human race or in the production of food to give it sustenance is a matter of survival which, according to our founder is the strongest urge experienced by us. Also, according to him, both religions and sex are bugged and are theta traps. In the P.D.C. lectures he advocates the speedy attainment of the level where one can live purely as a thetan and free from the necessity of being reborn in a physical universe. Echoes of Buddha's Nirvana? In 1952 this was presented as a fairly easily attainable goal but time has shown that even as far as Scientology has progressed this goal is still way beyond us. We are stuck with MEST and that includes bodies so we have to make best use of the circumstances in which we find ourselves until we are able to alter them. Do we, however, have all the knowledge that is available but, perhaps kept secret from all except initiates? Is there a power generated by the sex act itself in an unemotional intercourse or even stimulation? What is the power that makes it such a great selling agent when used by advertisers. What did it produce when used for magic? Perhaps to get all these answers and to find the full link with religion in whatever form they connect we have to venture into the field denied by the C of S to all its adherents - the forbidden land of Other Practices. There are answers if we can find them.

At the beginning I was concerned with obsessions and their causing those so afflicted to limit their powers and activities to a very limited range. For myself I believe and try to live as far as possible in avoiding extremes and following the middle path or, as St. Paul puts it - in all things be temperate. This I found became much easier after completing OT 3 and an equally important thing came the ability to confront with equanimity anything at all, whether one agrees with it or not.

The whole subject and its implications and applications are quite vast so here I have just put forward a few ideas for your consideration and provide some food for thought. It is the close consideration of as many aspects as possible on any given subject that enables us to expand our own thoughts, experience and knowledge, this being the basis of high level survival.

Leonard M. Dunn

LOOKING BACK

It is 9 months now since we moved over from The Church to the Independents after a period of sitting on the fence for a few months. A year later seems to be an appropriate time to cast a backward glance over the shoulder to check whether or not we did the 'right' thing. Sitting on the fence gave us a nice view but it was lonely and uncomfortable and sooner or later we would either get blown off or we would get bored and fall off.

vulnerable, exposed and unprotected apart from the fact that we were definitely not moving up the Bridge.

Technically, I suppose, one could easily draw up a list of the pro-survival and anti-survival aspects across all the dynamics and that would probably come out very similar to the list we prepared to enable us both to make the decision in the first place. What I really want to do is to simply look at those points which spring to mind easily. I admit that I am probably getting off some charge but I will try to make it interesting, amusing or informative rather than misemotional or enturbulative.

Whilst we were contemplating making The Decision one major delaying factor was the thought that we could easily lose touch with a number of our friends once we left the Church. This particular point was probably more important to my wife than to me as I have a larger number of non-Scientologist friends. Sure enough, we did embarrass some of our old friends by our decision to shop where the prices fitted our purse. It came as no surprise to find that their allegiance to the Church was expressed as a belief that Independents MUST be squirrels and therefore to be shunned like lepers in case we should contaminate their gains by caving in as a result of the altered Tech that we must obviously suffer outside the Org system. I am not sure if this is how they reason but the end result of their reasoning is to disconnect from those of us who cross over the road to shop at the Supermarket because we cannot afford their Delicatessen prices. Actually I see more than mere prices in this analogy - the volume of traffic seems to be much higher in the Supermarket.

The greatest benefit of the Independent way of life is the absence of ceremony of the Registrar which did consume much of my time and energy. This was the Church's most important ceremony; at least that is the appearance if the amount of attention given to a ceremony is an indication of its importance. The REGEMONEY varied in detail from time to time but the formula was always similar; some guy with a good set of TRs, usually looking hungry and just a little worried, attempts to force you to make a commitment of time and money that you quite clearly do not have. When we get to the PUNCH LINE, which is usually about some ludicrous high price, he tends to take it rather personally that you find the number mentioned is quite funny in a ridiculous sort of way. The next phase of this REGEMONEY is where you allow him to get off some charge about his loss over your attitude for he did not realise he was actually telling a funny story. In point of fact he was convinced it was all true including the PUNCH LINE. Finally you have to try to get out of his reach before he comes up with another of his exciting little 'jokes'. I now understand that this 'escape' phase of the REGEMONEY is the one true test of your gains in Scientology. If you succeed with your escape you should feel that you have now demonstrated a well developed sense of humour; the ability to confront another and his lack of stats; sound TRs and TRUE SELF DETERMINISM.

It is amazing that the Independents seem to have all the 'bodies in the shop' that they require yet they don't appear to do any body-routing. What is more these bodies are reaching for and getting the Upper Levels. No one persuades them to do this or that little course just to keep them coming into the Academy (a la Pavlov). As an Independent I am allowed to consolidate my gains before I decide I want some more. Now I have freedom granted easily instead of having to fight for it. In consequence I am making much more progress than I did when I was putting so much attention on fighting for my freedom. It is no exaggeration to say that I often had two phone calls in one evening persuading or demanding that I come into the Org for some reason; indeed on a number of occasions there have been three calls or more in one day.

On average I consider that I now have at least 5 more hours a month to do things in since I am no longer bombarded with the various aspects of the registration process.

However we did not run away because we could not handle hassle from the Registrars. We simply wanted to get the Tech at a time and a price that was real for us. So we must look at the quality of the Tech we are now receiving in order to answer any question about having done the 'right' thing.

My wife and I are at different levels and also have different auditors so we have seen quite a bit of the Tech in action at the AAC. We are agreed that the quality of the auditing is as high as we have ever experienced and the Case Supervision is 100% accurate. As for the administration - it is so smooth that it makes everything go right with no apparent effort. If all revolves about Tech, Admin and Ethics then what about Ethics amongst the Independents? Well it seems to have more meaning for everyone whereas there were times in the Church

different kinds of Ethics or whether some 'posts' were 'above' the mere considerations of Ethics.

Looking back I know we made the right decision and as we come across more and more of the good guys who have made a similar decision so we feel more and more validation for the Independent way of life which I sum up as:-

THE COMPLETE FREEDOM TO BECOME COMPLETELY FREE IN COMPLETE FREEDOM.

P.S. We have gained more old friends than we have lost in the move.

REGEMONEY = Slang term for REGISTRATION CEREMON(E)Y.

Jim

RESIGNATION

With considerable reluctance but after detailed deliberation, I have decided to resign from the Church of Scientology and the International Association of Scientologists.

The decision is based on my own observations over approximately a span of last two years and considerations thereof. In this period, I have increasingly become aware of differences of understanding, interpretation and approach about the philosophy and practice of Scientology and Dianetics, between the 'Church of Scientology' as an organisation and me as an Individual.

In communication of this kind, I do not propose to go into these in detail but do not wish to leave you with a mystery either. Essentially, when I left the Communist Movement in around 1969, I said goodbye to the philosophy of collectivism, welfarism, the sacrifice of Individual Values for the Good of the Cause, authoritarianism, fanaticism about the Party Line and to the slogans which sounded plausible and rational but which led inevitably to the enslavement of the individuals. At that time, I opted for my own individual freedom and for self-direction. I moved out of the trap of 'Our Group' and 'the Enemy of our Group' syndrome described as 'Class Struggle'. I assumed the responsibility for my own choices of Be-Do-Have and indeed Be Not - Do Not - Have Not and for the consequences of such choices. Such attitude I adopt for both the Spiritual and Mest Universes. As it happens, and as I see it, this viewpoint coincides with that expressed in 8-8008 and the Philadelphia Doctorate Course lectures.

However, my observations and some experiences, particularly since April of 1985, indicate to me a general drive in the Church in the direction of collectivism, group-think, conformity and subordination of individualism. On the D-E-I scale, the Desire of the Individual now appears to be inferior to the Enforcements and Inhibitions required by the 'goals' of the Church and the International Association of Scientologists.

I do not agree with such a drive. Nor do I believe that it will result in the achievement of the goals of farther reach at a profound level. But I do not have any desire to inject any 'counter-intention' or 'other-intention' in the path of Senior Management, who after all, devote more time and effort in the affairs of the Church than I, as a member of the public, do. Thus the only 'honourable' course for me is to resign and be true to myself. Accordingly, I tender my resignation.

Finally, as it has taken me several weeks after my completion of my last Cycle of Action (PIC Course) and much thought to arrive at this difficult decision, consistent with my sense of integrity as a person, I will appreciate if you desisted from attempts - conventional or unorthodox - to dissuade me from my present course of action. I do not wish to be subjected to pressure. In this regard, I trust that the materials in my Auditing and other folders shall remain confidential. I would welcome your assurances on this point.

I take this opportunity to express my apologies to those members of the staff who have tried to communicate with me on the phone regarding my arrival at the org for the start of the next service. As, apart from being genuinely busy at work, I was also engaged upon working out this 'problem'. I did not find it possible to communicate all my considerations freely and frankly for a short period. Especially, I did not wish to engage in 'natter' or 'entheta communication' of any sort. My gratitude to all those members of the staff who have helped me between September 1981 and September 1985 is enormous and for ever.

An appropriate high-tone attitude on both sides will ensure that this Cycle of Action will be completed without undue hassle and with maximum decorum.

R.M.

LRH QUOTES

"It is definitely none of my business how you apply these techniques. I am no policeman ready with boards of ethics and court warrants to come down on you with a crash simply because you are 'perverting Scientology.' If there is any policing to be done, it is by the techniques themselves, since they have in themselves a disciplines brought about by their own power. All I can do is put into your hands a tool for your own use and then help you use it." LRH, Tech 1:359

"... if Scientology faces any danger, it is that danger of stultifying because they no longer believe they have to observe, no longer have to apply, no longer have to get on the ball." LRH, study tape 5:57 col2



ANNOUNCEMENT

We regret to announce that due to the restrictions imposed upon us by the injunction and the cost of the legal action, maintaining the delivery of services for the AAC has become financially impossible.

In order to preserve our remaining funds, pay our obligations and to continue the legal action, we have come to the decision that we are closing the AAC. We feel that it is of the utmost importance that the legal battle be defended so that the tech remains free for all to use.

We know that many of you will be very disappointed and disturbed by this news but rest assured that we will continue the fight.

Please keep in mind that services will be available from independents in Palo Alto, the rest of the U.S. and the world. Virginia Downhough will be providing services in Santa Barbara with some independents you probably recognize... Linda Barrett, Jean Jopling, Scott Jarrett, Mary Wernike and Darin Senestraro.

In addition, Maude Castillo and Jan Nash will be available for information at 569-3252 for any questions you have. Any mail you wish to reach us please post to:

Suite 243
1187 Coast Village Circle #1
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

This is a post office box and NOT an office, and we will NOT be located at that address.

David and Julie Mayo will be devoting more of their time to technical activities such as technical consultations and philosophical research. They will of course continue to fight for the freedom of the tech.

We would like to apologize to all of our supporters, friends and parishioners for the abruptness of this decision and the fact that we were not able to give you more warning. Although we had initially announced that we were going to close or move at the end of May (per the April Journal), the economics of the situation dictated that we do so sooner.

We really look forward to hearing from you and seeing you again soon. Any assistance you can give in your area by having fund raising events to add to the monies to pay the legal fees will be greatly appreciated. Do contact us.

April 30, 1986

Maude Castillo
Acting for the Board of Directors
of the AAC, Santa Barbara
Church of the New Civilization