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## | Introduction

The Technical Studies Group (TSG) was composed of Luis Hernández (Cuba) and Keith Look Loy (Trinidad \& Tobago), with the support of Andrés Portabella (CONCACAF),
The TSC participated in all games in the tournament in the following capacities:

- Technical and tactical analysis, with reports presented following each game

Interviews with team coaches via questionnaires.
Compilation of statistics from each game
Selection of most valuable player (MVP) in each game
Selection of the tournament's most outstanding player

- Selection of the most outstanding goalkeeper.
- Selection of the leading goal scorer
- Selection of players for the All Star Tournament

Selection of the Fair Play team.
Preparation of provisional and final tournament reports.

## || Preliminary Analysis

Las siguientes son estadísticas importantes y conclusiones del GET, incluyend la fase de grupos, la ronda de cuartos de final y la ronda semifinal del torneo:

The following TSG statistics and conclusions include the group stage and quarter- and semifinals

- Tournament MVP:
- Lead Goal Scorer:
- Best Goalkeeper:
- Fair Play Award:

Antonio BRISEÑO (Mexico)
Amet RAMIREZ (Panama) Richard SANCHEZ (Mexico) El Salvador

All Star team featuring the tournament's most outstanding players:

- Goalkeeper: Richard SANCHEZ (1, Mexico)
- Right-winger:

Left-winger:

- Center right

Center left:

- Center midfielder
- Center midfielder:
- Right midfielder:

Left midfielder:
Forward:

- Forward:

Richard SANCHEZ (1, Mexico)
Francisco FLORES (2, Mexico) Bernardo HERNANDEZ (5, Mexico) Edgardo MARIN (3, Mexico) Antonio BRISENO (4, Mexico) José Carlos Van RANKIN (15, Mexico) William TRAPP (6, USA) Jairo HENRIQUEZ (7, El Salvador)
Luis GIL (10, USA)
Amet RAMIREZ (14, Panama)
José VILLAREAL (7, USA)


## TECHNICAL TACTICAL TRENDS

Tactical organization and focus:
Regardless of their system of play, the majority Regardless of their system of play, the majority
of the teams varied their basic formation during of the teams varied their basic formation during
attack or defense according to the characteristics of attack or defense according to the characteristics of example, some teams using a $1-4-4-2$ formation example, some teams using a $1-4-4-2$ formation
shifted to 1-2-4-4 for offensive play (deploying their lateral defense to centerfield, for instance, as in the cases of Mexico and the United States) and 1-5-4-1 for defense (by having a forward player fall back to centerfield, as in the cases of Costa Rica and Panama). Teams using a 1-3-5-2 system (Puerto Rico, Jamaica, Haiti) had significant problems fending off flanking counterattacks due to the lack of a permanent defense and excessive space between their lines.
The tournament's most outstanding teams demonstrated mobility and organized rotation of forward and midfield positions, allowing them to dominate the ball.

All of the teams deployed one or two defensive centerfielders in front of their central defense, a crucial factor in their general defense and attack organization. While collective defense strategy varied (for example, Mexico generally tried to Puerto Rico relied on a deep defensive position, Puerto Rico reilied on a deep defensive position, generally in midfield), all of the teams attempted
to apply pressing tactics. The most successful ones to apply pressing tactics. The most successful ones and defensive coverage. The less successful teams had more disorganized and incoherent strategies in
this sense, failing to achieve compact play between lines and leaving wide spaces for their opponents to move through.

Certain teams (Mexico, El Salvador, the US, Cuba) were better at spearheading attacks with midfield combinations, while others (Puerto Rico, Nicaragua) displayed serious technical problems. Canada, Costa Rica and Curacao, which relied excessively on possession of the ball. All of the treams, however attempted counterattack strategies, again with varying success. (Mexico, Panama and Cuba were most successful in this sense).



## V. I. Result

## First Round

## February 18 <br> Quarterfinals

 Haiti 1-2 United StateCuba 2-1 Canada
February 19
Puerto Rico 1 - 4 Jamaica
Mexico 3-0 Curacao

## February 20

Costa Rica 1-0 Haiti
Nicaragua 0-3Cuba

## February 21

Panama 4-0 Puerto Rico El Salvador 2-1 Curacao

## February 22

United States 1-0 Costa Rica
Canada 5-1 Nicaragua

## February 23

Jamaica 0-4 Panama
Mexico 3-0 El Salvado
IV. II. List of Goal Scorers

Players with 4 Goals
1- Amet Ramírez. Panama
(2 goals vs. Puerto Rico, 2 goals vs. Jamaica)
Players with 3 Goals
2- Maikel Reyes. Cuba
(2 goals vs. Canada, 1 vs. Nicaragua)
3- Jesús Corona. Mexico
(2 vs. Curacao, I vs. USA)

4-José Villarreal. USA
(1 vs. Costa Rica, 2 vs. Canada)

Players with 2 Goals
5-Kendan Anderson. Jamaica (2 goals vs. Puerto Rico)

February 26
United State 4-2 Canada Cuba 2-1 Costa Rica

## February 27

Panama 1-3 El Salvador Mexico 4-0 Jamaica

## Semifinals

March
United States 2-0 Cuba Mexico 2-0 El Salvador
3rd Place and Final

## March 3

Cuba 0-1 El Salvador
Mexico 3-1 United State

6-Marco Bueno. Mexico (1 vs. Curacao, 1 vs. Jamaica)

7-Caleb Clarke. Canada (2 vs. Nicaragua)

8- Daniel Cuevas. USA (1 vs. Haiti, 1 vs. Cuba)

9- Jesús Escoboza. Mexic (1 vs. El Salvador, 1 vs. Jamaica)

10-Luis Gil. USA (1 vs. Haiti, 1 vs. Canada)

11-Julio Cómez. Mexico (1 vs. El Salvador, 1 vs. USA)

12-aairo Henríquez. El Salvador (2 vs. Panama)

13-Arichell Hernández. Cuba (2 vs. Costa Rica) 14-Jairo Jiménez. Panama (1 vs. Jamaica, 1 vs. El Salvador) Players with 1 Coal
15-Olivier Ayala. El Salvador (1 vs. Curacao, own goal vs. Mexico)) 16-Roberto Chen. Panama (1 vs. Puerto Rico) 18-Adrián Arturo Diz Pe. Cuba (1 vs. Nitaragua) 18-Adríán Arturo Diz Pe. Cuba (1 vs. Nicarag
19-Iorge Espericueta. Mexico (1 vs. USA) 19-Jorge Espericueta. Mexico (1 vs. USA)
20-Mauro Eustaquio. Canada (1 vs. Nicaragua) 22-Francisco Flores. Mexico (1 vs. Jamaica) 23-Alejandro Conzález. Panama (1 vs. Puerto Rico) 24-Roberto Gonzales. El Salvador (1 vs. Cuba) 25-Omar Holness. Jamaica (1 vs. Puerto Rico) 26-Benjamin Joya. USA (1 vs. Mexico) 27 Damion Lowe. Jamaica (1 vs. Puerto Rico) 28-Daniel Luis Sáez. Cuba (1 vs. Nicaragua) 28-Daniel Luis Sáez. Cuba (1 vs. Nicaragua)
29-Dany Jean-Maurice. Haiti (1 vs. USA) 29-Dany Jean-Maurice. Haiti (1 vs. USA)
30-Ben McKendry. Canada (1 vs. Nicaragua) 31-Romel Mejía. El Salvador (1 vs. Curacao) 32-Vidarrell Merencia. Curacao (1 vs. El Salvador) 33-Eulises Pavón. Nicaragua (1 vs. Canada) 34-José Peña. El Salvador (1 vs. Panama) 35-Samuel Piette. Canada (1 vs. Nicaragua) 35-Samuel Pette. Canada (ivs. Nucaragua) 36-Romario Piggott. Panama (1 vs. Jamaica) 37-David Ramírez. Costa Rica (1 vs. Cuba) 38-Mario Rodríguez. USA (1 vs. Cuba) 39-John Jairo Ruiz. Costa Rica (1 vs. H 40-Reid Strain. Puerto Rico 41-William Trapp. USA (1 vs. Canada) 42-Stefan Vuckovic. Canada (1 vs. 43-Armando Zamorano. Mexico (1 vs. Jamaica)

IV. III. GOALS AND YELLOW /RED CARDS

| TEAM | GP | GS | GA | YC | RC |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CANADA | 3 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 1 |  |
| COSTA RICA | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 0 |  |
| CUBA | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |
| CURAZAO | 2 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 0 |  |
| EL SALVADOR | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 0 |  |
| HAITI | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |
| JAMAICA | 3 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 0 |  |
| MEXICO | 5 | 15 | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |
| NICARAGUA | 2 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |
| PANAMA | 3 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 |  |
| PUERTO RICO | 2 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |
| USA | 5 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 1 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 65 | 65 | 72 | 5 |  |



CONCACAF

## TOURNAMENT STATISTICS

IV. VIII.

Effective playing time per game
Game:

| Haiti vs. USA | 48:01 | 1. | Haiti vs. USA | 3,827 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Cuba vs. Canada | 48:56 | 2. | Cuba vs. Canada | 3,827 |
| 3. Puerto Rico vs. Canada | 54:11 | 3. | Puerto Rico vs. Canada | 21,000 |
| 4. Mexico vs. Curacao | 53:12 | 4. | Mexico vs. Curacao | 21,000 |
| 5. Costa Rica vs. Haiti | 52:00 | 5. | Costa Rica vs. Haiti | 3,128 |
| 6. Nicaragua vs. Cuba | 46:01 | 6. | Nicaragua vs. Cuba | 3,128 |
| Panama vs. Puerto Rico | 47:46 | 7. | Panama vs. Puerto Rico | 4,635 |
| 8. El Salvador vs. Curacao | 47:29 | 8. | El Salvador vs. Curacao | 4,618 |
| 9. USA vs. Costa Rica | 43:56 | 9. | USA vs. Costa Rica | 3,123 |
| 10. Canada vs. Nicaragua | 45.58 | 10. | Canada vs. Nicaragua | 3,123 |
| 11. Jamaica vs. Panama | 49:14 | 11. | Jamaica vs. Panama | 12,886 |
| 12. Mexico vs. El Salvador | 52:11 | 12. | Mexico vs. El Salvador | 36,602 |
| 13. USA vs. Canada | 48:00 | 13. | USA vs. Canada | 1,500 |
| 14. Cuba vs. Costa Rica | 49:53 | 14. | Cuba vs. Costa Rica | 1,685 |
| 15. Panama vs. El Salvador | 46:09 | 15. | Panama vs. El Salvador | 9,980 |
| 16. Mexico vs. Jamaica | 51:04 | 16. | Mexico vs. Jamaica | 39,700 |
| 17. USA vs. Cuba | 51:09 | 17. | USA vs. Cuba | 10,568 |
| 18. Mexico vs. El Salvador | 51:01 | 18. | Mexico vs. El Salvador | 33,525 |
| 19. Cuba vs. El Salvador | 54:08 | 19. | Cuba vs. El Salvador | 17,140 |
| 20. Mexico vs. USA | 48:25 | 20. | Mexico vs. USA | 40,621 |
| Average effective time: | 49:30 | Ave | age attendance: | 13,781 |

IV. IX.

Audience attendance at games

## Game:

## IV. X. Average Age Of Teams

| Canada | 19 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cuba | 19 |
| Costa Rica | 19 |
| Curaçao | 20 |
| El Salvador | 19 |
| Haití | 19 |
| Jamaica | 19 |
| Mexico | 19 |
| Nicaragua | 19 |
| Panama | 19 |
| Puerto Rico | 19 |
| United States | 19 |



## V <br> CONCLUSIONS

## V/ <br> RECOMMENDATIONS

The tournament was a success from a technical standpoint, clearly demonstrating:

- The world-class level of some of the participating teams, especially Mexico
- The participation of countries that rarely make it to the CONCACAF finals, among them Puerto Rico, Curacao and Nicaragua

Cuba and El Salvador's classification for FIFA's U-20 tournament for the first time.

- Friendly rivalry among teams seeking to emerge as winners.
- The teams' willingness to adapt their tactics and systems of play.
- The clean play the teams engaged in and their adherence to Fair Play principles.
- The participation of many talented players.


## - Public support in attending the games and cheering on the teams.



Analysis of the 12 teams participating in the tournarment and the 20 games played indicates a need to address the following
areas in training the players and their teams

## Adequate physical conditioning for

 engaging in high-level games.- Preparation of an appropriate training plan for competing in CONCACAF finals
- Development of warm-up routines with a gradual buildup of intensity.

Ability to execute technical skills under pressure and in a restricted space.

- Consistent defense and midfield organization.
- Increase possession of the ball and improve combination plays.
- Avoid depending on counterattacks alone
- Inconsistent striking techniques and shots rarely attempted outside the penalty area
- Achieving organized and compact offensive and defensive plays.
- Developing a training schedule with a sufficient number of games.
- Giving players with the appropriate competitive and playing experience access to these events.
- Work closely on the technical aspects of scoring in real game situations.
- Keep the player lineup stable from game to game.

Improve the execution, number and timing of substitutions during games.

Hold CONCACAF finals in countries with a tradition of soccer, excellent infrastructure and public support, to make such events true showcases for the sport.


The USA wins second place in the Pre-World Cup.


Third-place El Salvador celebrates its first-ever classification for a U-20 World Cup after a 3-1 defeat over Panama.





TACTICAL
Formation: 1-4-5-1

## 



TECHNICAL
Average technical skill with serious problems passing the ball under pressure. Technical difficulties when attempting to score, receiving the ball and executing combination plays. Limited control and handling of ball. Good heading techniques

PHYSICAL
Good physical conditioning. Tall, strong and fast players. Need to improve mobility among players and lines.

TACTICAL
Basic 1-4-5-1 formation with a center forward on point. This player was key to the team's performance in the first three games. Stratesy relies on direct attack and swift counterattack Little possession of the ball due to technical limitations and poor mobility of midfielders and forwards. Maintained a stable lineup of players throughout the tournament.

DEFENSE
Defensive bloc of 7-8 players stays far behind and relies mostly on long, direct passes.
Difficulty in $1 \times 1$ situations against skilled players Good on aerial defense and attack given the generally tall stature of the players. Failed to achieve compact defensive play between lines.

e golie
Defensive line has serious difficulties passing when the team gets the ball especially under pressure from the opponent.

ATTACK
Mostly relies on counterattacks and swift combination plays by forwards \#9 and \#14 effective in creating scoring opportunities. Very dangerous in standard plays (penalties and corner kicks) due to stature, jumping ability and good heading technique. Little support from the midfield and lateral
defensive lines. Failure to achieve compact attacks. Little develonment of combination plays for attack or possession of the hall.

DEFICIENCIES
1-Low level of technical skill under pressure from opponents, leading to bad passes in all games. 2-Little possession of the ball or development of plays in midfield.
3 -Serious difficulties in passing the ball from the defensive line.
4-Little mobility among midfielders and forwards to allow the team to advance.

OUTSTANDING PLAYERS \#14/\#1/\#2/\#9




TECHNICAL
Good level of individual and collective technical skill. Competent control of the ball in a restricted space with pressure from the opposing team. Technical deficiencies on long passes. Good heading technique by centerfielders and defense.

PHYSICAL
Good level of collective physical conditioning. Fast players with a good capacity to recover from mistakes; fast and decisive in 1-on-1 situations. Good physical performance throughout the tournament.

TACTICAL
Basic 1-4-5-1 formation with a 1-4-4-2 variation according to the conditions and circumstances of play. Four defensive players, 2 midfielders and one floater who supports the center forward in attacks and the midfield line in defense. Effectively mark opposing midfield players to close up spaces Swift counterattacks supported by the midfield and lateral defense. Changed its system in the match against the US to rely on direct attacks from the goal line and defensive plays by the center forward, which were mostly ineffective.

TACTICAL
Formation:
1-4-5-1 to 1-4-4-2


DEFENSE
Line of four supported by two midfielders. Intensive midfield presence to recover the ball. Center defense sometimes vulnerable in $1 \times 1$ plays. Problems blocking the opponent's offense and insufficient defensive coverage. The team captain was injured and left the field in minute 33 of the USA game, weakening the defensive line.

ATTACK
Good midfield possession of the ball, using the breadth of the field thanks to lateral defensive support on offense. Counterattack strategy sends player \#9 deep into the opponent's territory. Good use of space behind the opposing lateral defense in counterattacks.

DEFICIENCIES
1-Disorganized defensive teamwork.
2-Inconsistent $1 \times 1$ marking and defensive coverage. 3-Lose possession of the ball due to bad midfield passes.
4-Failure to execute combination offensive plays and poor coordination between lines.

OUTSTANDING PLAYERS
\#4 / \#6 / \#13 / \#9


TECHNICAL
Good level of individual and collective technique. Good defensive and midfield possession of the ball. Slow transitioning from defense to attack. Good level of skill in individual play. Good defensive headers. Technical difficulties when trying to score when the ball is in play.

PHYSICAL
Physical performance improved as the tournament progressed, but generally weaker in the second half of games, making it difficult to maintain compact play between offensive and defensive lines. Strong players, especially in aerial plays.

TACTICAL
Basic 1-4-4-2 formation with 1-4-3-3 variation according to conditions of play and the opponent's level. System of play based mostly on direct attacks with the goalkeeper moving far from the goal and the defensive line advancing to the center forward (\#17) position. Little offensive support from the midfield and defensive lines. Unable to achieve compact play between lines in attacks or defense. Do not go deep enough in attacks and ineffective strikes at goal. Only two goals scored in favor in three games.

TACTICAL
Formation:
1-4-4-1 to 1-4-3-3
 midfield spaces and pressure opposing team to recover ball. Good aerial defensive play. Slow transition to defensive coverage, allowing the opposing team to advance up the side of the field.

ATTACK
Offensive strategy based mostly on direct and counterattacks. Poor mobility during attacks. Little depth and infrequent proximity to the opponent's goal (only 2 goals scored in 3 games). nability to achieve compact play and insufficient support from midfield and defensive lines. Only rarely achieved effective midfield-forward combinations.

DEFICIENCIES

- Failure to maintain compact play between lines, whether in attacks or defense.
- Generally poor physical performance in the second half of games.
- Attacks do not go deep enough; poor strikes at goal.
- Lack of creative attack strategies.

OUTSTANDING PLAYERS \#11


TACTICAL
Formation: 1-3-6-1

DEFENSE
Three dedicated defensive players in the first two
games increasing to 5gainat Mexico.
Reliance on only 3 defensive players opened spaces Reliance on only 3 defensive players opened spaces
for the opposing team to counterattack. Used pressure tactics against opponents in midield. Defense became disorganized under pressure from solid defens Jamaica gave upo soals in 3 games, demonstrating serious problems with defense

ATTACK
Play based in midfield but lacking offensive clarity, depth or combinations.
Serious technical difficulties when attempting to score More priority given to individual players rather than teamwork during attacks. Ineffective scoring attempts from midfield.

## DEFICIENCIES

1-A generally disorganized defense throughout the event.
2-Too much space along the sides of the field for the opponent to penetrate
3 Failure to achieve compact defensive play

- Bricuty -Slow transitioning from defense to attack mode.

OUTSTANDING PLAYERS
\# 10 / \#11





Average age of players: 19. In Group C with Jamaica and Panama, and losing to both (1 goal for, 8 against). This was Puerto Rico's first appearance in a CONCACAF U-20 final.


TECHNICAL
Technical limitations passing the ball under pressure from the opposing team. Little possession of the ball due to these technical limitations. Problems when attempting to score the ball during ongoing play.

PHYSICAL
Poor physical conditioning, especially in the second half of games, making it impossible to achieve compact play between lines and mobility throughout the game.

TACTICAL
Basic 1-4-5-1 formation with 1-3-6-1 variant. A defined system for counterattacks with one forward isolated on point
Defensive bloc of 7-8 players relies on direct attacks from the goal line. In most cases, the defensive line is poor at passing. Little development of midfield plays and the team loses possession of the ball under pressure from the opposing team. Failure to achieve compact play between lines.

TACTICAL
Formation: 1-4-5-1 opening up spaces for the opposing team to attack on the flanks. Failure to achieve compact defensive play. Difficulty in $1 \times 1$ play against skilled players.

ATTACK
Mostly rely on direct attacks from the defensive line and disorganized and isolated counterattacks. Few combinations and little communication among attackers. Little depth or effectiveness in scoring attempts.

DEFICIENCIES
1-Disorganized offensive and defensive play.
2-Little possession of the ball or creation of offensive plays.
3-No support from the rear lines for offensive plays.
4-Lack of depth or ability to finalize attacks
5 -Lack of mobility, rotation of positions or development of offensive or midfield plays.

OUTSTANDING PLAYERS \#9



The Technical Study Group (TSG): Luis Hernandez and Keith Look Loy. Technical Study Office, CONCACAF.


CONCACAF

