

MAY-21-96 THU 09:00

CHILDREN & YOUTH SERVICE

FAX NO. [REDACTED]

P.02

RECEIVED MAY 20 1996



Renaissance Psychological Corporation

**COPY**

**Renaissance Psychological Corporation**  
**Service Evaluation**

Name: [REDACTED]

DOB: [REDACTED]

Dates of Evaluation: 5/8/98

**Referral Source**

This referral was made by John Miller who is currently a case worker for Centre County Children and Youth Services. No other information was provided to the interviewer prior to the initial meeting with [REDACTED]

**Reason for Referral**

[REDACTED] was referred to Renaissance Psychological Corporation for evaluation of possible sexual victimization.

**History**

**Identifying Data**

[REDACTED] is an eleven year old Caucasian male of average height and weight who appeared to be his stated age. He was evaluated at the Centre County Children and Youth Services Office, located in Bellefonte, PA. He was brought to the interview site by his biological mother [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was informed that he would be talking to a counselor who just had some questions to ask him.

**Medical Status/History**

[REDACTED]

**Psychiatric History/Psychological History**

[REDACTED]

MAY-21-13 THU 09:01

CHILDREN & YOUTH SERVICE

FAX NO. 0160510038

P. 03

Educational History

[REDACTED]

Legal History

[REDACTED]

History of Drug and Alcohol Use/Abuse

[REDACTED]

Cognitive Status

[REDACTED]

Results of Interview

Physical and Emotional Abuse

[REDACTED]

Sexual History

[REDACTED]

Inappropriate Sexual Behavior

[REDACTED]

Sexual Victimization

The original purpose of this evaluation was to determine whether or not an incident of sexual victimization had occurred in [REDACTED]'s life. Upon the opening of the interview, this evaluator had asked [REDACTED] why he thought he was speaking to me today. [REDACTED]'s straight answer was, because of "Jerry". This evaluator basically stated, "I don't understand what you mean" [REDACTED] went on to explain the following to this evaluator. [REDACTED] reported that Jerry is an older friend, an adult, who works with a program called Second Mile. [REDACTED] described Second Mile as a program for people with parents who have parents who are divorced or not married. He reports that he met his friend Jerry through Second Mile and then was invited to a game of football. He explained that this football game was a game of two-hand touch with some Penn State University players. He reported that approximately a week later he was invited to the locker room at Penn State University by Jerry, who evidently has some connections with the

MAY-21-98 THU 09:02

CHILDREN & YOUTH SERVICE

FAX NO. [REDACTED]

P. 04

college. [REDACTED] reported that he was extremely thrilled at this offer. He reported that he and Jerry went to work out on machines that included things like treadmills and other various equipment pieces, then he and Jerry made up a game called Polish Locker and Polish Bowling [a ball made from sticky tape used by football players used to tape hands and joints was formed into a ball and was then kicked into a garbage can or used to knock over drinking cups]. [REDACTED] reported that after playing this game he wrestled with Jerry. [REDACTED]'s description of wrestling appeared to be more along the lines of horseplay that occurred for brief seconds during the transition from the workout area to the locker room. The horseplay incident basically consisted of [REDACTED] attacking Jerry while sitting on what was described as a mat which turned into some tickling. Upon assessment of the tickling there was nothing that appeared to be inappropriate to this evaluator. The tickling occurred for several seconds, as defined by [REDACTED]. This evaluator questioned [REDACTED] as to why he thought we were here to talk about Jerry, because what he had just told me appeared to be fine. [REDACTED] reported, "my mom was concerned that Jerry did something to me." This evaluator questioned, "Did something?" [REDACTED] reported that Jerry at one point said that he was going to take a shower and that he asked if [REDACTED] needed a shower. [REDACTED] said yes. [REDACTED] did not relate any evidence of being forced into taking a shower and portrayed it as nothing more than an offer. [REDACTED] reported that he was nervous about the situation because he had never showered with an adult before but he did not state his feelings at any time to Jerry. [REDACTED] reported that he did take a shower at the Penn State facility with Jerry. [REDACTED] reported that he was sweaty and did actually feel that he needed to take a shower. Again [REDACTED] did emphasize that at no time did he feel forced to take a shower. He related that Jerry gave him a towel and that he did feel uncomfortable taking his clothes off in front of another person. He related that Jerry appeared to have his own area which appeared to be a coach's room where he disrobed and then went into the shower separately from [REDACTED]. He related that Jerry went in and showered first. [REDACTED] reported that the water was already running because the shower's were turned on when they first entered the locker room so the water could warm up. [REDACTED] reported that he went into the shower and initially went to turn on another shower unit a distance from Jerry because he was uncomfortable. [REDACTED] reported that Jerry said that he already had a shower on and that he should use one that was already running. The shower was closer to Jerry and he did use that shower. [REDACTED] reported that he felt weird and that he just wanted to get showered and get out, he felt funny but again never said anything to Jerry about how he felt. [REDACTED] reported that he had finished washing himself when Jerry came from behind, made a large growl and gave him what he termed as a large "bare hug" which he laughed at and thought was funny. [REDACTED] reported that Jerry basically gave him a hug around the guts and said he was going to squeeze his guts out, picked him up a bit and then put him down. He reported that the whole incident happened in a matter of several seconds and that no contact between bodies had occurred. At one point [REDACTED] reported that he still had shampoo on his head and that Jerry had lifted him up from behind and had stuck his face in the shower head to wash him off, this lasted several seconds and then he was placed back down on the shower floor. This was done half to get the soap out and half as what appeared to be a gag. [REDACTED] again portrayed this as fun. [REDACTED] reported as they exited the shower, Jerry turned the showers off, went back into his coaching area to get dried off and changed and that [REDACTED] had gone into a separate area to do the same. [REDACTED] related that after they got dressed, they left the locker room, got into Jerry's car, and Jerry took him home. Jerry said that in the future he might call again, and [REDACTED] was dropped off at his residence.

[REDACTED] reported that many people have come to talk to him about this event and many people asked him if Jerry had touched him. [REDACTED] reported that nothing like this had never occurred. This interviewer questioned for any type of manipulation that could have possibly been done with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] related that there were never any secrets discussed, no threats that were ever made, no time when [REDACTED] felt that something sexual was going to happen to him, and that overall he had a good time. [REDACTED] reported that at present he still wants to see Jerry but because what happened in the shower was wrong, he couldn't see Jerry. [REDACTED] related that as long as Jerry didn't touch him or "try anything" he thought things were fine.

MAY-21-98 THU 09:03

CHILDREN & YOUTH SERVICE

FAX NO. [REDACTED]

P. 05

[REDACTED] felt it necessary to give the full name of Jerry which is Jerry Sandusky, and it was related that he is a Penn State Defensive coach. [REDACTED] asked if this interviewer knew him and I related to [REDACTED] that I am not familiar with Penn State football. [REDACTED] also reported that he has a friend named [REDACTED] who had a similar experience with Jerry. [REDACTED] related that he talked to [REDACTED] and told him that his mother was worried that they had been sexually abused. [REDACTED] related what he did with Jerry to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] said that he did basically the same thing. This interviewer asked again if [REDACTED] felt that he had experienced what would be termed as sexual abuse, and [REDACTED] reported no. [REDACTED] was then asked to explain what sexual abuse meant and he was actually able to explain the appropriate definition as good touch, bad touch or when another person touches a child on their "penis". It should be noted that this interviewer knew that previous investigation had been done for the possibility of sexual abuse. The attempt of this interview was to possibly establish a pattern of what would typically seen in an adult sexual offender who would manipulate children into sexualized situations. Through this "cold interview" with [REDACTED] there seems to be no incident which could be termed as sexual abuse, nor did there appear to be any sequential pattern of logic and behavior which is usually consistent with adults who have difficulty with sexual abuse of children. This evaluator does work consistently with sexual offenders and does attempt to establish, through interview, the actual patterning of a sexual offender known as a motive for operation. Again, from the interview with [REDACTED] there was no evidence of a sexual offense that occurred nor any consistent evidence of offense patterning.

#### Consultation/Extended Interview

Upon completion of an independent interview with [REDACTED] this evaluator had the opportunity to gain access to the prior interviews that had been done with [REDACTED] through the local police department and Children and Youth Services. It is evident that [REDACTED] gave explicitly consistent interviews with the local police department, Children and Youth Services and this interviewer. This fact does lend credence to the validity of what [REDACTED] had reported. There were only very slight differences between the interviews.

Through the statements that were consistently by [REDACTED] it does not appear that a sexual victimization occurred directly between [REDACTED] and Jerry Sandusky. However, there is still concern about whether or not [REDACTED] had been placed in a situation where he was being "groomed for future sexual victimization". To either rule in or rule out this concern, one should specifically look at the testimony supplied by [REDACTED]. If one places such information under specific scrutiny it can be determined that [REDACTED] had not been groomed for future sexual behavior, nor did a situation of inappropriate sexual behavior occur. If one pays special attention to Jerry's reported behavior, it will be found that Jerry engaged in behavior that can be considered indicative of most people termed as "(male)coach". It appears that Mr. Sandusky engaged in a routine of behavior that has been repeated countless times over his history as a coach. All the interactions reported by [REDACTED] can be typically defined as normal between a healthy adult and a young adolescent male. The only cause of contention that would cause any doubt in the situation would be the fact that Jerry and [REDACTED] had taken a shower together. This immediately caused appropriate concern about whether or not a sexual victimization occurred which then put all of the other activities into a framework which could have been used to groom a child for sexual behavior.

1. Through [REDACTED]'s reports there was no evidence of threat, intentional manipulation, or force designed to elicit sexual behavior.
2. [REDACTED] never disclosed any discomfort with the situation giving Jerry no opportunity to alter his behavior.



MAY-21-98 THU 09:04

CHILDREN & YOUTH SERVICE

FAX NO. [REDACTED]

P. 06

3. The reported wrestling was more indicative of horseplay, consistent with the developmental behavior of a young adolescent.
4. [REDACTED] specifically reported that he had never been touched sexually.
5. Mr. Sandusky changed in a separate area from [REDACTED] which is not consistent with how adult sexual offenders utilize children for sexual arousal. What usually happens in these events is that the adult watches the child disrobe and uses that to elicit sexual arousal within themselves. It was explicitly related in all three interviews that Mr. Sandusky did not evident sexual arousal in any way through various situations. Additionally what was missing was that at the initial onset of the day Mr. Sandusky did not view [REDACTED] changing into a different set of workout clothes, which as previously stated, would have been used for sexual arousal.
6. Mr. Sandusky had the opportunity to help [REDACTED] dry off which is also a patterning used for adult sexual offenders. If Mr. Sandusky was going to use the situation for his own sexual gratification would be expected to have engaged in such activity.
7. Through previous interviews it was apparent that there had been another coach present within the locker room / shower facility. An adult sexual offender, at all costs, attempt to do such activities within private arenas.
8. The behavior exhibited by Mr. Sandusky is directly consistent with what can be seen as an expected daily routine of being a football coach. This evaluator spoke to various coaches from high school and college football teams and asked about their locker room behavior. Through verbal reports from these coaches it is not uncommon for them to shower with the players. This appears to be a widespread acceptable situation, and it appears that Mr. Sandusky followed through with patterning that he has probably done without thought for many years. I believe the mistake that was made was that Mr. Sandusky did not take into account the pre-adolescent age of this boy and the level of sexual development that he was at.
9. The amount of physical contact that occurred between Mr. Sandusky and [REDACTED] is not consistent with what is commonly found in adult sexual offenders. There is usually ongoing touches that do not necessarily have to be reciprocated. Prolonged touches are what are usually used to elicit some sort of sexual arousal sequence.
10. It was not reported that Mr. Sandusky had purposely stared at [REDACTED] while in the shower according to [REDACTED]. Again, if Mr. Sandusky was using this child for sexual arousal, direct visual stimulation is what some adult sexual offenders utilize, again this is not consistent.

There are several other inconsistencies that follow throughout the report but at the present time, this evaluator does not feel that it is necessary to go into line by line statements.

#### Discussion

Taking into account the large amount of data that has been gathered about this situation involving [REDACTED] it does not appear that a sexual offense nor does it appear that this young gentleman is been placed in a situation where he is being groomed for future sexual behavior. What does appear evident to this evaluator is that we have a person who has been termed as a local football coach who has been