

Fact Sheet Oregon Fire Service Needs Assessment

There has been substantial progress in reducing many fire department needs, although more remains to be done.

Protective Equipment and Clothing


The 2010 percentage of Oregon departments without enough equipment to equip all personnel (or all personnel on a shift, as appropriate) was:

37% for self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA), compared to 55% in 2001 and 37% in 2005;

Departments Where Not All Firefighters on a Shift Are Equipped with SCBA

2001
2005
2010
37%
0%
20%
40%
60%

> 3% for **personal protective clothing**, compared to 7% in 2001 and 4% in 2005; and



▶ 17% for personal alert safety system devices (PASS), compared to 42% in 2001 and 29% in 2005;

Departments Where Not All Firefighters on a Shift Are Equipped With PASS Devices

2001
2005
2010
17%

20%

30%

40%


50%

0%

10%

36% for portable radios, compared to 73% in 2001 and 65% in 2005.


Departments Where Not All Firefighters on a



Training


In many fire departments, **not all involved personnel have been formally trained** in their emergency response duties. The 2010 percentage of Oregon departments in which not all involved personnel have been formally trained was:

> 37% for **structural firefighting**, compared to 30% in 2001 and 33% in 2005; and



Departments Performing Structural

> 51% for emergency medical service (EMS), compared to 43% in 2001 and 48% in 2005.




Fitness and Health

In many fire departments, there is no program to maintain basic firefighter fitness and health. The 2010 percentage of Oregon departments with

The 2010 percentage of Oregon departments with no such program was:

> 53%, compared to 72% in 2001 and 71% in 2005.



Departments Without a Program

Unusually Challenging Incidents

There has been little or no progress in increasing the ability of fire departments to handle **various unusually challenging incidents** with local trained personnel and specialized equipment alone:

- Provide technical rescue and EMS at a structural collapse involving 50 occupants; and
- Provide hazardous material response and EMS at an incident involving chemical or biological agents and with 10 injuries.
- Wildland/urban interface (WUI) fire affecting 500 acres: and
- > Mitigation of a major developing flood.

However, there has been progress in the percentage of departments having written agreements for working with others. The 2010 percentage of Oregon departments with *no* such written agreement was:

- > 50% for structural collapse, compared to 64% in 2001 and 46% in 2005;
- > 39% for chemical or biological incidents, compared to 52% in 2001 and 41% in 2005;
- > 5% for wildland/urban interface fires, compared to 21% in 2001 and 13% in 2005; and
- > 36% for developing major flood, compared to 58% in 2001 and 36% in 2005.

Success requires more written agreements, with each participating department knowing its role, providing resources needed to play its role, and helping test the plan in simulations and rehearsals.

Stations and Apparatus

Some stations lack specific features, which are required by current standards but were not required when stations were constructed. Some stations are old enough that a variety of persistent or recurring problems are to be expected and replacement might be better and even cheaper. Some departments are using old fire apparatus.

- 35% of Oregon fire departments do not have backup power for their fire stations.
- > 58% of Oregon fire departments do not have exhaust emission control for their fire stations.
- > 34% of the fire stations in Oregon are over 40 years old.
- ➤ 10% of Oregon fire department engines and pumpers are at least 30 years old.

Cautions on interpretation

Trends. For some states and most needs assessment survey questions, even large changes from one survey to another will not be statistically significant. Be cautious in interpreting results as trends.

State-to-state comparisons. States where a large share of departments serve small communities will tend to have greater needs according to the measures used here than states where a small share of departments serve small communities. State-to-state comparisons must be viewed with caution, particularly if the states have very different mixes of urban and rural communities.

How rural is Oregon? The survey for Oregon was based on the following responses:

- ➤ 14 of the 31 departments protecting populations of 25,000 or more;
- ➤ 14 of the 34 departments protecting populations of 10,000 to 24,999; and
 - 47 of the 260 departments protecting populations of less than 10,000.

Access the full state report, other state reports and the national reports at http://www.nfpa.org/needsassessment.