

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



December 2016 No 999 — A


„Lügenpresse – Halt die Fresse!“

DID YOU KNOW




DURING HIS PRESIDENCY, JOHN F. KENNEDY NEVER COLLECTED HIS SALARY OF \$150,000 A YEAR. INSTEAD, HE DONATED THE FULL AMOUNT TO CHARITY.

JFK EXPOSES RUTHLESS CONSPIRACY THE PRESIDENT & THE PRESS SPEECH



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QeYqLLahHv8>



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mx1XmVZ9qY>


What's "heartbreaking" is the teacher

Andrew Bolt, Herald Sun

November 12, 2016 10:52am

A nine-year-old Muslim girl in Dandenong writes a letter about the "racist" Donald Trump. The reporter calls the letter "heartbreaking", but the real thing to cry about is the role of the teacher.

The story:



A nine-year-old Muslim girl from Melbourne has written a heartbreaking essay about America's President-elect Donald Trump, who she said is a "really mean, racist person"...

The handwritten essay was titled "Bad Donald Trump", and addressed the little girl's concerns about the new "president in America".

"He wants to make a wall so no Mexican people come, also Muslim people," she wrote.

"It makes me sad because I am Muslim myself and he does not respect us and women. He does not think about family, he just thinks about himself..."

Really?

But then some possible clues about how this letter came to be written and then published in the paper:

The full-page note was handed to her teacher on Thursday morning, who had been speaking with her year four class about the shock US election result the day before.

Do tell:

The girl also made mention of Democrat candidate Hillary Clinton, who she believed "was supposed to win president".

"She is not racist, a very good person. She would include both men and women, which is fair," she wrote.

"I wish Hillary Clinton was the president and my teacher would have cried tears of joy."

Hmm. The teacher would have cried "tears of joy" had this "very good" person been elected with her "fair" policies? She taught her students that?

Trump has won. What now?

The unthinkable has happened. Donald Trump will be the next President of the United States. Here's what he wants to do straight away.

- Suspend**
immigration from "terror-prone" regions of the world
- Deport**
illegal immigrants with criminal records
- Begin**
"extreme vetting" of migrants
- Repeal**
and replace Obamacare
- Withdraw**
from the Trans-Pacific Partnership
- Renegotiate**
the North American Free Trade Agreement with Canada and Mexico
- Stop**
all funding for UN climate change programmes

Who is this teacher in what is actually a state school?

The 28-year-old, who had spent 18 months living in the US before returning to Australia, admitted she was "super emotional" when she learnt of the gregarious businessman-turned-world leader's victory.

Super-emotional? The student sure picked up on that.

And what kind of vulnerable students is she instructing on her brand of politics?

She said there was heightened interest around the historic poll in the community where she works, which has a large refugee and immigrant population.

Great. What politics will they have preached at them?

[The teacher] was especially touched by her student's idea that Americans should move to Australia, where she believed they could experience a peaceful and inclusive lifestyle. That was really powerful for a nine-year-old to just get it, and to see it so clearly," she said.

Any conservative-minded students in the class? Or would they keep their opinions to themselves?

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/blogs/andrewbolt/whats-heartbreakingistheteacher/newsstory/6c09223681e79bd3ea6521522f93565f>

The Phenomenology of Donald Trump

By [Alexander Lee](#), 10 November 2016

The story of Italian game show host Mike Bongiorno suggests an alternative explanation for Trump's triumph.



Mike Bongiorno

Just a week ago, Donald Trump's victory seemed unlikely. His campaign had been disastrous. In one rambling speech after another, he denounced Mexicans as rapists and murderers, called for Muslims to be banned from entering the United States, demanded a trade war with China, voiced absurd conspiracy theories and insulted the parents of a dead war veteran. In the presidential debates, he displayed a preference for crude generalities and childish insults over detailed policy proposals. On the trail, he lied brazenly. He offended people of colour; he alienated Hispanics and he was caught making unacceptably vulgar remarks about women. At one point, he even suggested that Hillary Clinton should be assassinated. Any other presidential candidate would have been destroyed long ago had they made even half the gaffes and blunders as he had. In any ordinary election cycle, Donald Trump would have been, too. Indeed, pollsters were so confident that he would be defeated that on the day before the election, the *New York Times* gave him a paltry 15 per cent chance of winning, while the *Huffington Post* put the odds of a Trump presidency at just two per cent. So how did he win? How did this 'braggadocious', permatanned game show host confound expectations so dramatically? According to political analysts, a variety of factors were at play. There was political alienation. Feeling let down by the Washington 'establishment' and let down estranged from the two-party system, many Americans were ready to embrace an 'outsider'. There were voter demographics. Especially in the Mid-West, white, working-class voters without a college education abandoned the Democrats for the first time in a generation. Especially in rural areas – where Trump was strong – voters turned out in huge numbers. And then there was the news. Although the FBI's investigation into Hillary Clinton's use of a private email server during her time as Secretary of State did not result in any charges being brought, FBI Director James Comey's handling of the affair damaged her. There is perhaps something in all of these. But history suggests that there may be an alternative explanation for the Trump's triumph.

In the 1950s and early 1960s, Mike Bongiorno (1924-2009) was Italy's most successful game show host. He was an unlikely star. Despite his taste for well-cut suits, his bouffant hairdo, unnaturally white teeth and fake tan gave him a rather absurd appearance. Whenever he spoke, he seemed almost comically stupid. He had a painfully limited vocabulary and rarely uttered a sentence without making a number of glaringly obvious grammatical mistakes. He also had the incomprehensible habit of saying *Allegria!* ('Cheers!') at the beginning of every programme. What was more, he was ludicrously misogynistic, even by the standards of the day. The film director and poet, Pier Paolo Pasolini denounced him for treating women as nothing more than vallette (assistants), good only for wandering around in scanty costumes while he leered at them with unconcealed lasciviousness. And yet his shows – notably *Lascia o raddoppia?* (1955-9) and *Campanile sera* (1959-62) – were enormously popular, especially among women. Indeed, the more gaffes he made, the more ridiculous he made himself, the more people adored him.

For many Italian intellectuals, Bongiorno's popularity was inexplicable. How, they asked, could such a man be so well loved? How could the land of Dante, Petrarch and Boccaccio be besotted with someone who seemed to be so *stupid*? But for Umberto Eco – who was then working as a cultural editor at RAI (the Italian state broadcaster) and a lecturer at the University of Turin – Bongiorno's success was less paradoxical than it first appeared. In his 1961 essay, 'Fenomenologia di Mike Bongiorno', Eco argued that the game show host appealed to people not in spite of his 'gaffes', but *because* of them. It was all a matter of what he symbolised to his viewers.

At the time, Italy was going through a period of rapid socio-economic change. Following the abandonment of protectionism,

the country's burgeoning industrial sector had expanded dramatically, fuelled in part by advances in the production of petrochemicals, the development of new sources of energy and the state's investment in infrastructure. This had many positive effects. Particularly in the so-called 'industrial triangle' – between Milan, Turin, and Genoa – employment opportunities soared and wages started to rise. Vast numbers of people from impoverished rural areas in central and southern Italy began flocking to cities in the north. At last, social mobility increased and an ever-growing number of women entered the workforce. For the first time, many families were able to afford a growing range of commercial goods, including cars and televisions. But there were downsides, too. Economic growth was nothing if not uneven. Especially in the south, agricultural production collapsed as families abandoned the land for employment opportunities elsewhere. In the north, migrants were greeted with hostility, even violence, and were often unable to find adequate, or even hygienic, accommodation. Women who had once worked in the fields and who had made moved half-way across the country were often unable to find work in other sectors. Worst of all, the expansion of private industries failed to lead to improvements in public services. Hospitals, schools and public transport were all chronically short of money and the pressing need for affordable housing went unaddressed.

Eco saw that those who had been left behind by the 'economic miracle' felt alienated from the cultural norms of Italy's political and industrial 'establishment'. When they watched Bongiorno's game shows, however, they saw something different. They saw an ordinary man, without polish or guile. His lack of education, his crude manner of speaking, his wilful, dogged mediocrity were all part of his appeal. He seemed to speak to their own experiences. He even spoke like them. Indeed, he seemed to be one of them. And the more 'gaffes' he made, the more inappropriate, absurd things he said, the closer they felt to him. That he was on television appeared to vindicate them all. In him, Eco argued, they saw their 'own limitations glorified and supported by national authority'. By watching his programmes, they could live vicariously through him: they could feel ennobled by his daftness, and could share in his triumph.

The same arguments can help explain the sudden and unexpected rise of Donald Trump. Although the United States has not gone through an Italian-style 'economic miracle', it has experienced a period of sustained growth. Since 2010, GDP has grown at an average of 2.2 per cent per annum. The value of the real estate sector has increased by around 13 per cent since 2011 and, over the same period, unemployment has steadily fallen. Yet at the same time, heavy industry has declined (especially in the steel and automatic sectors), average household incomes have failed to keep pace with growth and agriculture has suffered. Although social mobility is high in absolute terms, many groups, particularly the less well-off and the poorly educated, have been stuck in a rut. And racial tensions have risen, notably after the shooting of Trayvon Martin on February 26th, 2012, and Keith Scott on September 20th, 2016.

As in Italy, many of those left behind by America's economic recovery have felt abandoned by a political and economic 'elite' that is out of touch with their needs and concerns. As many commentators have argued, this may have inclined them to look for fresh political solutions. But this was not what drove Trump's success. After all, even if this desire attracted some to Trump, it also drew others to Bernie Sanders' insurgent campaign for the Democratic nomination earlier in the electoral cycle. As Eco argued, far more important than any appetite for structured political alternatives was the search for new *cultural* symbols. Ironically, Trump triumphed not in spite of his brash, offensive and sometimes incomprehensible comments, but *because* of what they symbolised to those who felt forgotten.

Turned off by the cultural tastes of scripted career-politicians and well-groomed investment managers, many of those hardest hit by uneven economic development looked for a candidate in whom they could see themselves. When they saw Trump, they saw an angry, flawed man, lacking rhetorical polish and political tact. His vulgarity, his crudeness, his rambling manner of speaking seemed to remind them of how people they knew might talk over a few drinks in the bar. They might not have sympathised with what he was saying; they may not have

agreed with all of his policies; and they almost certainly did not share his values. They are, for the most part, decent, upstanding people, who care for their families and respect their neighbours. But somehow Trump seemed to be one of them. And the more controversies he caused, the more stupid, unscripted comments he made, the more this sentiment was reinforced. That he is rich doesn't seem to have troubled them. In fact, when they contemplated his wealth, they saw their own shortcomings raised on high. That he was running for president only made things better. His success – his triumph over one 'establishment' candidate after another – allowed them to feel

glorified in turn. They were not voting for Trump as much as they were voting for themselves – or rather, a version of themselves.

Alexander Lee is a fellow in the Centre for the Study of the Renaissance at the University of Warwick. His latest book, *The Ugly Renaissance*, is published by Arrow.

[A Rose by Any Other Name: Umberto Eco \(1932-2016\)](#)

By **Alexander Lee** – **First and foremost, Eco was an historian railing against modernism**

<http://www.historytoday.com/alexanderlee/phenomenology-donald-trump>

In eye of CFR, TC, Davos, Bilderberg storm

Will Donald Trump keep his promises?

What David Rockefeller would now tell The Donald, if David were being honest.

By [Jon Rappoport](#), [November 11, 2016](#)

All right. Hillary and Bill are gone. They're back in their coffins [and their supplies of blood are running low](#). That's a good thing. That is a major outcome of the election.

But will Donald Trump now keep his promises? I've framed this piece as a letter David Rockefeller, arch-Globalist-in-charge, might write to President-elect Trump. The updated "payoff" is in the last paragraph. If Rockefeller were being honest, this is what he would write:

Donald:

I won't waste time congratulating you. We both know you and America are in for a rough ride. That plucky little demon, George Soros, is already funding and orchestrating thuggish riots in American cities. They do induce a bit of chaos, and I take a certain delight in chaos.

The machinery of Washington DC is ready to chew you up, Donald. There are spies everywhere, and at least a few of them will infiltrate your Presidency at influential levels—if you yourself don't bring them in because you believe you need them.

I represent and lead an international order, as you know. Our basic plan is to eliminate sovereign nations and erase borders. We must do this, so we can usher in our own global system. We are winning. Surely, you see this.

On the issue of borders and immigration, we want none in the first case and no limit on immigrants in the second case. We want to overwhelm infra-structure and communities with the greatest possible number of people who refuse to assimilate and yet demand special treatment and consideration. We want people who utterly reject America and yet insist on taking whatever they can get from America. Do you really think you or anyone else can stop this wave?

I bring this up, because it represents just one, out of a whole host of strategies, by which we aim to undermine and reduce the country and bring it into our system, absent the precious freedom so many people talk about endlessly.

In other words, Donald, if you are more than a self-serving narcissist who has found a way to stir up the masses in your favor—if you really intend to "restore American values"—you are going to have to give something in order to take something. You're going to have to make deals. You, of all people, should understand this.

So the question is: what are you willing to give? Where are you willing to back down? Think about it.

Consider a few items on your long laundry list:

Close the southern border. Cancel NAFTA. Get rid of Obamacare. Induce corporations to close their factories in Third World hell holes and come back home. Lay on tariffs. Outlaw special-interest lobbyists. Reform the tax system. Set term limits on Congress. Take people in inner cities off Welfare by giving them good jobs. Root out terrorists at home and abroad. Refrain from

making foreign wars. Somehow fire all federal employees who are useless and just taking up space. End Common Core. Build a wall.

Naturally, all these changes you want to make...I want to stop. Why? Because continued corruption is good for my business, and my business is Control. I want to foster the worst in people, so I can enact the time-honored strategy of imposing A Better Order. I want to prove that humans are badly programmed and need correction.

I want one Planet, without liberty and justice for all.

On the outside chance you are more than just another self-seeking politician who has an instinct for the jugular, you will most certainly see you need to start making deals and compromises.

We knew, from the beginning, there was a chance you would win the election. Hillary Clinton, despite all the favorable press she received from our dupes and pawns, was basically a weak candidate. Aside from mouthing the same empty sentiments over and over, she offered little. We prepared for the possibility of your victory. For us and our agenda, your strongest asset was your innate ability to divide the country—or to be more precise, we could characterize you as a great divider. Doing this, for us, is child's play. Using the idiot youth and the badly misinformed inner city populations, we could paint you as the worst possible threat to America. We like that tactic because, again, we intend to bring down America into a sewer. We want conflict. Racial conflict, for example, is very good for us. We can play that tattered card again and again. We can roll out some very obnoxious characters, when we need them to stir up trouble.

So here you are, about to move into the White House. We can push a plan to make life miserable for you, or we can do business. It's up to you.

Would you like to see what a sudden economic collapse looks like? Would you like to see...well, I'll let you imagine the possibilities.

I'll quote myself here. This is from my 2003 Memoirs: "Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure—one world, if you will. If that is the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it."

—I'm just reminding you where I stand, Donald. And why don't I also quote my intellectual lackey, Mr. Brzezinski, while I'm at it. He wrote this in 1969, and yet people still don't seem to realize where we're going: "The nation state as a fundamental unit of man's organized life has ceased to be the principal creative force: International banks and multinational corporations are acting and planning in terms that are far in advance of the political concepts of the nation-state."

You see, we speak and write out in the open, and the fools don't get the message.

Donald, hundreds of thousands of influential press people and academics have sold out to us and our Globalist agenda. They did so long ago. I confess, I despise them. They're weak cowards of the first order. But we use them, and they constitute, all together, a formidable army. They love the pittance they earn, and they love the small degree of status they're given. Pathetic fools. But if you try to go up against them, it's rather like swinging at clouds. What can you achieve? And then they descend on your head and make it rain. It's amusing.

Have you been reading the editorial pages of the New York Times lately? A contingent of the paper's little grotesque turtles has been characterizing your election as a disaster for the nation. They pick away at you, they imply the gates of hell await. They're busy, in their own way, stirring up revolt. NBC News is also predicting doom and encouraging the perception that you are standing on a shore at which minorities can never arrive, and a revolt is necessary. And this is just the beginning, Donald.

In the coming days, I'll be sending one of my representatives to talk to you. Pay attention to what he says. He'll have specific "gives and takes" for you to ponder. All your life, you've done business. At times, you've dealt from what you thought was the bottom of the deck. You have no idea how far down that bottom actually goes. You're in the major leagues now.

In case you're wondering, we've contacted and worked with every President for decades. Many decades. Things operate best that way.

Meanwhile, watch your back, as they say. I'd hate to have to work with that dim bulb vice-president of yours. You're a lively fellow. I think we would enjoy each other's company.

Sincerely, David Rockefeller

PS—A note on mind control, a subject with which you may not be familiar. Or should I call it mind chaos? Our people exercise a great control over the medical system, including the psychiatric arena. They specialize in diagnosing and treating non-existent mental disorders with highly toxic drugs. In the process, they consign millions of people to an existence of confusion, despair, and debilitation. We are rotting out the country from the inside. Our other medical drugs are just as harmful. And then there are

the vaccines and the gross neurological damage they cause. We cover the waterfront, Donald. Will somebody go up against us? Are you that person? If so, my advice is: go all in. Risk your life. Or: let's make a deal. It's the smarter business move.

PPS—Update: It appears you're opting for "the gracious Trump" rather than "the attack-dog Trump." I take this as a good sign. You're getting the message. If I'm reading you correctly, you're going to leave the Clintons alone and let them fade into the twilight. You're going to appoint Washington insiders to important posts. You're going to revise Obamacare and still give Big Pharma (that's me) pretty much everything they want. You're going to go soft on deporting illegals. You're not going to build a wall. You'll induce a few corporations to come back home from foreign lands, but on the whole the Globalist framework (that's me) will remain intact. As President, you're going to back off your vicious attacks against major media (that's also me). Am I correct in these predictions? If so, you're going to need some very competent propagandists to run interference for you, because the millions of people who voted for you will rise up in outrage. I can help you out there. I have some of the best minds of this generation on my payroll. As Barack Obama famously said, "We're all in this together." Well, not all of us. Just the ones who count. Do you want to count, Donald? Or...do you want to be a hero? It's an interesting quandary. Wouldn't you agree?

Jon Rappoport

The author of three explosive collections, [THE MATRIX REVEALED](#), [EXIT FROM THE MATRIX](#), and [POWER OUTSIDE THE MATRIX](#), Jon was a candidate for a US Congressional seat in the 29th District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for CBS Healthwatch, LA Weekly, Spin Magazine, Stern, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe.

Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world. You can sign up for his free emails at [NoMoreFakeNews.com](#) or [OutsideTheRealityMachine](#).



World's Largest English Language News Service with Over 500 Articles Updated Daily
"The News You Need Today...For The World You'll Live In Tomorrow."

[What You Aren't Being Told About The World You Live In](#)
[How The "Conspiracy Theory" Label Was Conceived To Derail The Truth Movement](#)
[How Covert American Agents Infiltrate the Internet to Manipulate, Deceive, and Destroy Reputations](#)

November 10, 2016

Russia Warns US Is Now A Colour Revolution "Active Zone" Due To Trump Victory

By: Sorcha Faal, and as reported to her Western Subscribers

A grim new Ministry of Defense ([MoD](#)) report circulating in the Kremlin today states that intelligence analysts of the **System of Forward-looking Military Research and Development (SFLMRD)** have now placed the United States in the "active zone" of nations currently undergoing a "Colour Revolution" as both US and EU

elites have united to topple the soon to come government of President-elect Donald Trump. [Note: Some words and/or phrases appearing in quotes in this report are English language approximations of Russian words/phrases having no exact counterpart.]



According to this report, SFLMRD intelligence analysts are tasked with using “modern/sophisticated” technologies to ensure and protect the Federation’s national security interests—and of which the MoD has previously identified “Colour Revolutions” as being one of the gravest threats, not only to Russia, but the entire world.

During the MoD’s 2014 meeting of the [Moscow Conference on International Security](#), this report explains, Federation military commanders labeled “Colour Revolutions” as [a new US and European approach to warfare that focuses on creating destabilizing revolutions in other nations as a means of serving their security interests at low cost and with minimal casualties](#).

The some of first of these Western “Colour Revolutions” to be unleashed on an unsuspecting world, this report continues, was in 2003 when the legitimately elected democratic government of Georgia was overthrown in what was called the “[Rose Revolution](#)”—and that turned this once peaceful nation into a Western military puppet who, in 2008, [launched an unprovoked attack against the Federation](#), but that was quickly and decisively defeated.

Next to be attacked by a Western “Colour Revolution”, this report notes, was Ukraine, in 2004, who’s “[Orange Revolution](#)” resulted in the 2014 overthrow of that nation’s legitimately elected government in what the powerful American private intelligence agency [Stratfor](#) (aka [The Shadow CIA](#)) called “[the most blatant coup in history](#)”—and like Georgia before it, has, likewise, been [used by the West as a military puppet against the Federation](#).

In 2005, this report continues, the West then launched a “Colour Revolution” against Kyrgyzstan called the “[Tulip Revolution](#)”—but that ultimately failed leading that nation, in 2014, to [expel the US military from its territory](#).

Once the subversive tactics of the West in their using their “Colour Revolutions” against other nations was “deciphered/discovered”, this report says, both Iran (2009-“[Green Revolution](#)”) and Russia (2011-“[White \(Snow\) Revolution](#)”) were able to be stop them from being successful and causing great loss of life.

To exactly how the West “engineered/manipulated” their “Colour Revolutions”, this report explains, was through the use of what are called non-governmental organizations (NGO’s) using very innocuous sounding names that the United States and European Union would secretly funnel millions-of-dollars into for the purpose of fermenting rebellion—and which, in 2015, [President Putin signed a law against preventing their nefarious actions in the Federation](#).

Heading nearly all of these Western backed and financed “Colour Revolution” NGO’s, this report details, is the Hungarian-American billionaire [George Soros](#)—who has not only fomented rebellions across the world costing thousands of innocent lives, he was, also,

recently [exposed as being Hillary Clinton’s puppetmaster controlling all of her actions during her failed bid to become the US president](#).

Unlike the Federation that has protected itself against a George Soros led, and US-EU funded, NGO “Colour Revolution”, this report grimly warns, the United States government has now become the most vulnerable nation in the world to this type of “rebellion/warfare” as [he funds hundreds of organizations violently opposed to both America and that nations core moral values](#).

And immediately upon Donald Trump being elected as the 45th President of the United States, this report states, these George Soros funded NGO’s [launched their newest “Colour Revolution”](#) intended not only to destroy President Trump, but the entirety of America.

SOROS ADMITS RESPONSIBILITY FOR COUP AND MASS MURDER IN UKRAINE

Color revolution collaboration began soon after engineered fall of Soviet Union




Led by [the George Soros funded MoveOn.org NGO](#), this report continues, this new “Colour Revolution” striking American [began with tens-of-thousands of protesters striking nearly all of the United States largest cities](#)—and that was quickly followed with [thousands of these revolutionaries calling for the assassination of President-elect Donald Trump and Vice President-elect Mike Pence before they can take office](#).

To the main “purpose/reason” behind these protests, this report explains, is to initiate a “brutal/violent” response from US government forces seeking to suppress them and reestablish order—which in turn these revolutionaries will use as an example of how the American government is no longer legitimate because it’s killing innocent people, and, therefore, must be overthrown.

Not being understood by the American people about how these “Colour Revolutions” work, this report continues, is that they are intended to last for years—like in Ukraine that took a full 10 years before that government was overthrown.

To the most basic reason why this “Colour Revolution” is now targeting the United States, this report details, are the US-EU elites fear of President-elect Donald Trump whose [choice of nationalism over globalism](#) has [left European leftist leaders in “complete horror”](#) as the populace revolution he, Trump, is leading is soon to wash ashore in Europe as new elections for nearly all of the continent are nearing—with [Holland being the first fall](#), and [France soon to follow](#), and whose next leader, [Marine Le Pen](#), just proclaimed: “[Congratulations to the new President of the US, Donald Trump, and the American people – free!](#)”

U.S. Interference Network



With the US propaganda mainstream media [still in denial of what has happened to them](#) because of President-elect Donald Trump's victory, and Google now reporting that [their top search term is "How did Donald Trump win?"](#), this report further states, it bears notice that the Kremlin and its intelligence analysts were among the only entities in the world who correctly stated Donald Trump would win—and as we had detailed in numerous reports, including [Russia Confirms Supercomputer Findings Showing Donald Trump Landslide Victory](#) and [Russian Report Warns: American Revolution Has Now Begun, May Last Entire Decade](#).



But to the most global consequential outcome of Donald Trump becoming the 45th President of the United States, this report concludes, (and as we had previously alerted you to on 13 May in our report [Putin Warns Military Commanders: "If It's Hillary Clinton, It's War"](#)) was President Putin's advisor Sergei Glaziev stating just hours ago how close to catastrophe our world actually was: ["Americans had two choices: World War III or multilateral peace. Clinton was a symbol of war, and Trump has a chance to change this course."](#)

Let's all hope President Trump can, indeed, change this course because the world, literally, now hangs in the balance if he doesn't.

November 10, 2016 © EU and US all rights reserved. Permission to use this report in its entirety is granted under the condition it is linked back to its original source at [WhatDoesItMean.Com](#). Freebase content licensed under [CC-BY](#) and [GFDL](#).

[Note: Many governments and their intelligence services actively campaign against the information found in these reports so as not to alarm their citizens about the many catastrophic Earth changes and events to come, a stance that the [Sisters of Sorcha Faal](#) strongly disagree with in believing that it is every human beings right to know the truth. Due to our missions conflicts with that of those governments, the responses of their 'agents' has been a [longstanding misinformation/misdirection campaign designed to discredit us, and others like us](#), that is exemplified in numerous places, including [HERE](#).]



[Note: The WhatDoesItMean.com website was created for and donated to the Sisters of Sorcha Faal in 2003 by a small group of American computer experts led by the late global technology guru [Wayne Green](http://Wayne.Green) (1922-2013) to counter the propaganda being used by the West to promote their illegal 2003 invasion of Iraq.]

[Note: The word Kremlin (fortress inside a city) as used in this report refers to Russian citadels, including in Moscow, having cathedrals wherein female Schema

monks (Orthodox nuns) reside, many of whom are devoted to the mission of the Sisters of Sorcha Faal.]

* [Donald Trump Landslide Victory Races World War III To Finish Line](#)

* [They Are Going To Come For You...Why Are You Helping Them?](#)

* [Return To Main Page](#)

Why a small German museum is showing Nazi art

Nazi art is taboo in Germany. But one museum is juxtaposing it with artworks banned by the Nazis. The result is jarring. Curator Alexander von Berswordt-Wallrabe says it shows the divide between art and "unart."

Felixmüller's, on the other hand, depicts haggard workers in the industrial Ruhr region.



• NAZI ART JUXTAPOSED WITH NAZI-BANNED WORKS IN GERMAN EXHIBITION

Bodybuilding ideal versus reality

Arno Breker was Adolf Hitler's favorite artist. In the exhibition "Artige Kunst" in Bochum, his sculpture "Decathlete" is juxtaposed with "Ruhr District" by Conrad Felixmüller, who was defamed by the Nazis as "degenerate" in 1937. Art historian Max Imdahl described Breker's work as a "bodybuilding ideal."



Contradictory realities

[what contradiction? Just different realities]

A small boy walks past corpses that have been carelessly left on the side of the road. The picture was taken in 1945, just after the Bergen-Belsen concentration camp was liberated by the Americans. Next to the picture is a Nazi poster reading "Healthy Parents - Healthy Children" and a portrait of the "perfect" family by Hans Schmidt-Wiedenbrück



Fake ideal



Heroes at sea

"Combat Zone on the High Seas" is what Claus Bergen named this painting. The waves are dangerously high as the military ship fights its way through the spray toward the bright sky. The clouds exaggerate the drama and romance of the scene. The message is clear: The ocean is not a combat zone, but a playground for heroes.



Crisis-free art

[What an ideal to follow!]

Stylistically speaking, Nazi art represented decades of artistic regression. While modern artists were using painting to question the depiction of reality, artists like Hermann Otto Hoyer were returning to rosy, distorted images of life. In "Farmer's Meal" from 1935, Hoyer painted one of the Nazis' favorite motifs: the German family.



Sugarcoated childhood

[More like refuge from war at home!]

The photo "Captured Boy Soldier" by John Florea (left) and the painting "The Vacationers (on Vacation at Home)" by Paul-Mathias Padua hang side-by-side in the exhibition. Depicting soldiers as vacationers is pure mockery. The children listen intently as their father - in uniform - is probably telling war tales. Reality looked much different, as the photo of the young captured soldier shows.

He sees echoes of Nazi imagery in present-day political campaigns, says curator Alexander von Berswordt-Wallrabe from the "Museum unter Tage" in Bochum. He tells DW why he wants museum visitors to find out for themselves what made Nazi art so deplorable.

The exhibition "Artige Kunst" (Compliant Art) is a play on the word the Nazis used to label degenerate art: "entartet."

DW: Mr von Berswordt, "Artige Kunst" sounds like an antonym to the Nazi terminology of "degenerate art." Since the end of World War II, Nazi artists were rarely featured in German museums, if at all. Why did you come up with this exhibition?

Alexander von Berswordt: That's exactly why - the fact that these artists haven't been seen. For 50 years now, we've only ever seen these works as illustrations or as individual pieces. But we've learned that there are a lot of these works in storage. So we thought, why don't people talk about this?

It's completely out of question that these things could be in any way acceptable. But I believe that the public has to notice that for themselves. We shouldn't turn artworks into martyrs by hiding them. About 9,000 such works of art were taken to the US by Americans, and when many of them managed to make their way back to Germany in the 1980s, several such pieces also ended up at the Historical Museum in Berlin.

We decided to make our own impressions, and we nearly got sick doing so. But we thought that this art has to be exposed to the public so that everyone can make up their own mind.

DW: What exactly is it that is depicted on these "compliant" works? Is it all propaganda?

AvB: Yes and no. We initially believed that all these works of art had been created for propaganda purposes. However, portraits of Hitler, of war and of other Nazi party values only make up about 10 percent of all the works. Americans kept large parts of the propaganda items for themselves. But 90 percent and even more of what you get to see in this exhibition looks like harmless pictures at first sight.

In truth, they also fuel propaganda, but for a kind of reality that didn't exist at that period of time. You will see healthy families with blond children, idealized depictions of industrial landscapes, mythological images, and harmless portraits. But the problem is that they were created at a time, between 1939 and 1945, when everything around them was being bombed to smithereens. People were actually dying and six million were killed in the Holocaust. This is something that, as we now know today, was widely known at the time as well.

So these artists, who painted such harmless pictures on the request of the regime, appear so unreal that we can't even refer to their works as art.

DW: You represent works by artists who were promoted by the Nazis, and you juxtapose these with artists who were persecuted by them. What do you do in order to prevent that Nazi art is suddenly regarded as rehabilitated for being part of this exhibition?

AvB: The question is completely legitimate because that was also our concern. We let the pictures speak to each other. There are also the works from that time that were deemed "degenerate" by the Nazis. We are calling the Nazi art "artig"

(compliant) because that is the opposite of "entartet" (degenerate), which is what the Nazis called art they didn't agree with. When you think of the great names that were dubbed "degenerate" - Hans Albers, Kurt Schwitters, Paul Cézanne, Pablo Picasso, Paul Klee, Vincent van Gogh, Piet

Mondrian - then it's very agitating for visitors to see their works juxtaposed with Nazi works.

We have positioned the works in a kind of thematic dialogue. For example, the "artig" Madonna by Ivo Saliger is hung across from a dramatic crucifixion scene by George Grosz.



A portrait of the "perfect" family by Hans Schmidt-Wiedenbrück

We also bring in documentary photos from the situation after the Bergen-Belsen concentration camp was liberated. A young boy can be seen walking by a pile of corpses. Hanging next to the image are family pictures or Nazi advertisements depicting robust parents and healthy children. The boy and the children in the ad seem to be looking right at each other.

We also show a text on the wall in the foyer which explains the exhibition before visitors enter. We are exhibiting photographs of destroyed cities, including Mainz, so that people can't overlook the reality of everyday life.

Everyone notices that the works sponsored by the Nazis are not artistic. The art historian Max Imdahl explained it in a text he called "Unkunst" (Unart). That seems to be the proper term. It's not about good and bad art, but about art and "unart." The patron Peter Ludwig from Aachen commissioned a self-portrait together with his wife from Arno Breker in the 1980s. At the time, artist Klaus Staeck protested by basically saying, "No Nazi art in museums."

Klaus Staeck objected to such art in museums. He protested because, for him, Arno Breker was a central Nazi artist - Hitler's minion. I supported and disseminated his appeal at the time. I had been active for many years as a gallery owner and had a large network. Klaus Staeck asked me to convince Max Imdahl to join our protest against Peter Ludwig, but that didn't correspond with Imdahl's understanding of art, so he refused his signature.

Instead, since he still wasn't in agreement with Peter Ludwig's actions, he wrote his own text about the state of art in the Third Reich. It was a fabulous text in which he examined a sculpture by Breker and juxtaposed it with one by Michelangelo. Breker was a technically skilled sculptor, but no match for Michelangelo. The figures he created are dead and lifeless. They are ideal

figures - but if you take a closer look, you see they don't contain anything human. They are just what the Nazis wanted - healthy guys who could fight, didn't talk back, and let themselves be collectivized. [What a silly comment - ed AI]

DW: How does this imagery of a perfect world come across today? Can it still be instrumentalized today by the wrong people?

AvB: You can't do that with artworks dating from 1933, 1937 or 1941 because they can immediately be associated with the time. But if the images were further developed for our time, they could well be instrumentalized. I took a look at the last communal elections in Berlin. There were many right-leaning parties whose imagery was very close to Nazi art. And the slogans were similar to the messages of the Nazis. That worries me.

DW: The "Artige Kunst" exhibition was planned as a collaboration with a Polish museum. Why did that not work out?

AvB: As a second location, we planned a collaboration with a museum for contemporary art in Wroclaw, Poland. The city is a European Capital of Culture in 2016. The director of the museum, Dorota Monkiewicz, was committed to showing the exhibition in her museum. However, the ruling PiS government fired her. Her contract was not extended. All agreed projects were canceled.

When we asked how we could help, she said, "I was kicked out because I'm a left-leaning liberal." When I asked her whether it had anything to do with the exhibition, she said it wasn't a problem to show original works by Nazi artists, but that the works were being shown in a critical light. It's deeply concerning that something like this should happen in 2016 in Poland - a country that was invaded by the Nazis in 1939.

DW: So you had to resort to other partner museums...

AvB: Rostock in Germany is an important location because there are right-wing developments there. The museum director is very committed. Then we also found Regensburg. But we weren't able to win over major German museums.

DW RECOMMENDS

A fresh light on the Nazis' wartime drug addiction

During WWII, Nazi leaders not only relied on drugs for their soldiers, but Adolf Hitler himself may have been hooked on opiates. Historian Norman Ohler's book on the issue has just been published in English. (19.10.2016)

Metropolitan Museum of Art sued for return of Picasso sold 'under duress' during Nazi era

New York's Metropolitan Museum of Art has been sued by relatives of a German Jewish industrialist who once owned Picasso's "The Actor." It was allegedly sold "under duress" in 1938. (01.10.2016)

A New York museum returns Nazi-looted painting - and buys it back again

After the discovery of its true provenance, the Neue Galerie in New York has returned a Nazi-looted painting to the original owner's heirs, and then bought it back again at its "fair market value." (29.09.2016)

Critics say Bavaria not doing enough on looted art

The Bavarian Culture Ministry is coming under fire for failing to restore art stolen during the Third Reich. That includes cases in which works looted from Jews were sold back to the heirs of Nazi thieves. (13.10.2016)

Nazi art juxtaposed with Nazi-banned works in German exhibition

During Hitler's rule, art was often used as propaganda. A Bochum museum is showing some of these Nazi works - face-to-face with art that was banned by the Nazis.

Author Sabine Oelze (kbn, ss), 10.11.2016

<http://m.dw.com/en/why-a-small-german-museum-is-showing-nazi-art/a-36327258>

Caution urged over 18C inquiry

EVAN ZLTKIS, November 10, 2016



AIJAC executive director Colin Rubenstein.

COMMUNAL leaders have cautiously welcomed the announcement by the federal government of a parliamentary inquiry into freedom of speech, but maintain that sections 18C and 18D of the Racial Discrimination Act (RDA) should remain in their present form.

The inquiry, announced on Tuesday, will examine whether the RDA imposes unreasonable restrictions on freedom of speech, and whether the complaints-handling procedures of the Australian Human Rights Commission should be reformed.

Among other things, the inquiry will assess whether the existing processes of the commission are sufficient to ensure that trivial or vexatious complaints to the commission, and complaints which have no reasonable prospects of success, are identified and dismissed at an early stage.

"It is important that Australia strikes the right balance between laws which protect social harmony and mutual respect, and the fundamental democratic value of freedom of speech," federal Attorney-General George Brandis said. "The purpose of the inquiry is to ensure that we have that balance right."

Responding to the announcement, Executive Council of Australian Jewry (ECAJ) president Robert Goot and executive director Peter Wertheim said the national roof body "welcomes any constructive and appropriate proposal to improve the complaints-handling process and to identify and filter out unmeritorious complaints at an early stage".

However, they said they do not believe that any case has been made to alter sections 18C and 18D of the RDA. "Freedom of

speech is fundamental to our liberal democratic society but it is not, and has never been, an absolute freedom. Freedom does not mean licensing individuals to do just as they please, because that would ultimately result in the destruction of freedom," Goot and Wertheim noted.

Stating that ECAJ is "deeply concerned" a change to the substantive terms of sections 18C or 18D would "send a signal that racial vilification is now acceptable", the pair added, "We believe sections 18C and 18D of the RDA strike a careful balance between freedom of expression and freedom from racial vilification."

Australia/Israel & Jewish Affairs Council executive director Colin Rubenstein said, "Section 18C plays an important role in supporting Australian multiculturalism, which is so intrinsic to the cohesion of our society. However, that is not to say that the section and the way it is administered can't be improved."

Rubenstein said it is "important to give due weight to the right of all Australians to live free from vilification, to remember the many positive accomplishments of Section 18C in successfully resolving issues of discrimination in many cases, including Holocaust denial and other extreme forms of racism, and to remember that many other cases have been successfully resolved by conciliation".

"We trust that the inquiry will focus on ways to refine and improve this important legislation, and will not be dominated by the misguided ideologues who are determined to have it repealed," he concluded.

ECAJ and AIJAC will make submissions to the inquiry, which is expected to take under four months.

<https://www.jewishnews.net.au/caution-urged-18c-inquiry/56609>

NATIONAL AFFAIRS

Tony Abbott says Racial Discrimination Act 18C a bad law



ROSIE LEWIS, Reporter, Canberra, @rosieslewis, The Australian, 8:20AM November 11, 2016

Tony Abbott has labelled the Human Rights Commission a "crook organisation" and slammed an investigation into a cartoon by The Australian's Bill Leak as "just outrageous", as the government ratchets up an attack on embattled president Gillian Triggs.



Conservative MPs are increasing the pressure on Human Rights Commission chief Gillian Triggs.

Picture: Hollie Adams

Mr Abbott dropped reform to section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act when he was prime minister but insisted a case brought against three Queensland University of Technology students and a complaint made against Leak's controversial cartoon depicting an Aboriginal man who has forgotten his son's name proved change was now needed. Under section 18C, it is illegal to offend, insult, humiliate or intimidate a person because of their race.

"This is a bad law, it's a bad, bad law. But you've also got frankly a pretty crook organisation, the Human Rights Commission, which is persecuting people based on this bad law," Mr Abbott told ABC radio. "What happened to those students in Queensland is just outrageous, what's happening to Bill Leak right now is just outrageous.

"One of my colleagues who was rather less upset about this than I am told the partyroom the other day that there'd only

been 280 or so actions under [section 18C](#). Well that's 280 or so Australians who have been called in before these thought police, have been interrogated, have been made to feel un-Australian because they've spoken their minds.

"We are not precious little flowers in this country, we have robust debate and the best antidote to something that you find offensive or even insulting is to point out exactly why it's not true and not fair."

Mr Abbott said there should "obviously" be appropriate laws against incitement of violence and hatred but section 18C was not stopping people from standing up and declaring "death to the infidel".

"One of the reasons why I hesitated back in 2014 when it came to amending section 18C, and at that stage we really only knew about the (Andrew) Bolt case, but one of the reason why I hesitated was because I didn't want to see people standing up in the prayer rooms of this country saying about Jewish people the sort of things that we are hearing regularly," he said.

"This whole idea that it is okay to stand up and say 'death to the infidel' I think is just wrong and we need to stop that. But 18C is not stopping that, that's going on and on and on. 18C is being used against Andrew Bolt, against Queensland university students who responded sarcastically to what they understandably thought was pretty over the top and now it's being used against Bill Leak."

Immigration Minister Peter Dutton has accused Professor Triggs of "jamming some sort of language code" down people's throats and yesterday renewed calls for her resignation, saying people would not "tolerate" Leak being "raked over the coals" for his cartoon.

Conservative MPs are [increasing pressure on Professor Triggs](#) and Race Discrimination Commissioner Tim Soutphommasane after the duo split over whether to reform section 18C.

Professor Triggs declined to comment on Mr Dutton's remarks but issued a statement on Monday seeking to clarify the commission's role after Malcolm Turnbull warned it had done "a great deal of harm to its credibility" by pursuing the QUT case.

"At no stage does the commission initiate or prosecute a complaint. If the commission receives a complaint in writing alleging a discriminatory act, the Act provides that the commission must investigate the facts and attempt to conciliate the matter," she said.

The government has asked a parliamentary committee to examine whether sections 18C and 18D of the RDA restrict freedom of speech. It will also look at the HRC's complaints-handling process.

Federal Circuit Court judge Michael Jarrett ruled the QUT students had no case to answer in response to a \$250,000 compensation claim by indigenous staffer Cindy Prior over social

media comments they made in May 2013 after being asked to leave a computer lab for indigenous students.

Student Alex Wood wrote on Facebook at the time: "Just got kicked out of the unsigned indigenous computer room. QUT (is) stopping segregation with segregation."

Environment and Energy Minister Josh Frydenberg backed Mr Abbott, saying the former PM was "reflecting the broad frustration" people felt about the way in which the HRC was dealing with complaint cases.

"My view has been is that it's more than process, there are some principles at stake: freedom of speech is totemic to a free society. People are now starting to be quite upset about the way the current law is being implemented and the way the Human Rights Commission have conducted themselves," Mr Frydenberg told ABC radio. "That frustration is growing, I think the awareness of the issue is growing and that's why the government is responding."

Mr Frydenberg said the human rights parliamentary committee would try to "build a consensus" ahead of any possible change to section 18C.

NEWEST | OLDEST | TOP COMMENTS



Fredrick 17 HOURS AGO

Please note that under s18C TRUTH is no defence, as it would be in a defamation action.

S18D fails to protect because anyone causing hurt feelings, so the judges divine, cannot be doing things "in good faith".

The whole human rights legislation mindset is politically driven, which has morphed into a huge politically correct ideological shroud, something the expected US President-elect Donald Trump, has definitively shredded.

The racist tag, so devastatingly effective in stifling open public debate on vital issues of national importance, has also been shattered.

And now let's get back to what PC was initially all about - a return to good manners and civilized public discourse and not a mechanism to stifle debate on contentious and taboo topics. As a teacher I used to imbue students with the idea of fearlessly questioning anything at all - there are no taboo topics, but then limited this quest by stating that one need not have done everything that life offers. At this point a person's moral and intellectual integrity is established with truth, honour and justice being some of the important ideals that enable us to develop and embrace a civilized appreciation of life.

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/tony-abbottsaysracialdiscriminationact18cabadalaw/newsstory/e06a22c9cda49861f7c0f5efa6556746>

Racial discrimination complaint against cartoonist Bill Leak dropped



Adam Gartrell, NOVEMBER 12, 2016 - 8:14PM

The racial discrimination complaint against provocative newspaper cartoonist Bill Leak has been withdrawn.



Cartoonist Bill Leak. Photo: Steve Baccon

The Australian Human Rights Commission says it has closed the file on Mr Leak's August cartoon - which depicted a neglectful

indigenous parent - after Perth woman Melissa Dinnison decided not to proceed.

Ms Dinnison made an online complaint under section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act, claiming she had experienced racial hatred as a result of the cartoon. But the commission on Friday wrote to Leak's employer, *The Australian*, to inform them controversial case would not go ahead.

Mr Leak accused Ms Dinnison of making her complaint "very flippantly and recklessly. It shows what a farcical process this is. I've got News Corp backing me legally. But if I was a private citizen, this would have cost me an absolute fortune," he told *The Australian*.

"She has put me through a month or so of incredible stress. She never met me, she doesn't have to justify anything she does. No one asked her any questions and it doesn't cost her a cent. As a consequence my life has been thrown into utter chaos. And at

time when it just happens to suit her, she just decides this could turn into a bit of a hassle, so she can withdraw it." The case helped fuel calls from free speech advocates and some in the Turnbull government for changes to 18C. The government confirmed this week Parliament's human rights committee will examine freedom of speech, including possible changes to 18C and the commission's complaints-handling process. As it stands, the law makes it unlawful to "offend, insult, humiliate or intimidate" on the basis of race. Government backbencher Eric Abetz said the complainant's decision to drop the case was cold comfort.



The cartoon which appeared in The Australian newspaper.
Photo: Bill Leak

"Regardless of the outcome of this case or the QUT students case, the punishment has been in the process which has seen people fork out tens of thousands of dollars and have their reputation trashed while the Human Rights Commission bumbles along denying Australians natural justice," he said. "Mr Leak was fortunate to have the backing of News Limited. Any other Australian who didn't have that kind of backing would have been monstered into submission." Former prime minister Tony Abbott blasted the commission this week, calling it "crook" and describing members as the "thought police".

The cartoon showed an indigenous police officer presenting a boy to his father and saying: "You'll have to sit down and talk to your son about personal responsibility."

"Yeah righto, what's his name then?" the father replies.

<http://www.smh.com.au/federalpolitics/politicalnews/racial-discrimination-complaint-against-cartoonist-bill-leak-dropped-20161111-gsnsj9.html>

...from the Archives:

Adelaide, South Africa/South Australia

The Adelaide Dutch Reformed Church

Dops! Sorry, Wrong Country!!!

Adelaide, a small, restful village in the Eastern Cape, not far from Somerset East, came into existence as a military outpost during the Frontier Wars against the Xhosa and Pondo during the middle of the 19th century. It remained a sleepy, delightful little sheep-farming hamlet and was eventually occupied by the British forces during the Anglo-Boer War of 1899-1902.

During their occupation of Adelaide, the British commandeered the local Dutch Reformed Church and converted it into barracks for their men. The Rectory they converted into stables. This did not go down too well with the local Afrikaans townsfolk, but there was nothing much they could do about it because that is customary in such times. The occupying forces had scant regard for the property and possessions of the Adelaide townsfolk.

After the war and the withdrawal of the troops, the local community wished to restore their place of worship. Throughout South Africa, however, there was a dire shortage of money. Everyone in Adelaide was willing to lend a hand and donate their labour, but there were no funds to buy materials essential for the restoration.

Then a strange thing happened. Three months after the failed restoration donation drive, into the town came two long-wheeled transport or commissariat wagons. They were piled high with fine-cut timber, along with a beautifully hand-carved pulpit and matching chair. The congregation was astounded! They immediately withdrew some of the nastier names they had found for the British officers and troops during the occupation of their beloved town. They now realized that the British people had a

22

conscience, after all, and had sent the timber all the way from England as an apology.

The delighted members of the congregation immediately set to work and within a few months, the restored church and rectory was proudly standing. It looked spectacular and the people settled down to their normal Sunday routine.

A few years later, a letter addressed to the Mayor of Adelaide arrived. It said: To the Honourable Mayor, Adelaide, South Africa.

From: The Mayor of Adelaide, Australia.

Dear Sir,

It is with some trepidation that we enquire as to whether a consignment of oak wood, which we ordered from England about two years ago for our new church has not, perhaps, by mistake been delivered to your town in South Africa instead of ours.

Well there was not much that the town council could do. The restoration was complete. So they sent photographs of the beautiful new interior of their church and sent them off to the Mayor of Adelaide Australia, together with an explanatory letter telling of how the British had commandeered their church during the war. And that is how the interior of the church was restored and still stands today.



The Adelaide Dutch Reformed Church South Africa. With thanks to our Australian Brethren.



ONLINE JOURNAL

STRATEGIC CULTURE
FOUNDATION

The Clintons and Soros launch America's Purple Revolution

[Wayne MADSEN](#) | 11.11.2016 | [WORLD](#)

Defeated Democratic presidential candidate Hillary Rodham Clinton is not about to «go quietly into that good night». On the

morning after her surprising and unanticipated defeat at the hands of Republican Party upstart Donald Trump, **Mrs. Clinton and her husband, former President Bill Clinton, entered**

the ball room of the art-deco New Yorker hotel in midtown Manhattan and were both adorned in purple attire. The press immediately noticed the color and asked what it represented. Clinton spokespeople claimed it was to represent the coming together of Democratic «Blue America» and Republican «Red America» into a united purple blend. This statement was a complete ruse as is known by citizens of countries targeted in the past by the vile political operations of international hedge fund tycoon George Soros.



The Clintons, who both have received millions of dollars in campaign contributions and Clinton Foundation donations from Soros, were, in fact, helping to launch Soros's «Purple Revolution» in America. The Purple Revolution will resist all efforts by the Trump administration to push back against the globalist policies of the Clintons and soon-to-be ex-President Barack Obama. The Purple Revolution will also seek to make the Trump administration a short one through Soros-style street protests and political disruption.

It is doubtful that President Trump's aides will advise the new president to carry out a diversionary criminal investigation of Mrs. Clinton's private email servers and other issues related to the activities of the Clinton Foundation, especially when the nation faces so many other pressing issues, including jobs, immigration, and health care. However, House Oversight and Government Reform Committee Chairman Jason Chaffetz said he will continue hearings in the Republican-controlled Congress on Hillary Clinton, the [Clinton Foundation](#), and Mrs. Clinton's aide [Huma Abedin](#). President Trump should not allow himself to be distracted by these efforts. Chaffetz was not one of Trump's most loyal supporters.

America's globalists and interventionists are already pushing the meme that because so many establishment and entrenched national security and military «experts» opposed Trump's candidacy, Trump is «required» to call on them to join his administration because there are not enough such «experts» among Trump's inner circle of advisers. Discredited neo-conservatives from George W. Bush's White House, such as Iraq war co-conspirator Stephen Hadley, are being mentioned as someone Trump should have join his National Security Council and other senior positions. George H. W. Bush's Secretary of State James Baker, a die-hard Bush loyalist, is also being proffered as a member of Trump's White House team. There is absolutely no reason for Trump to seek the advice from old Republican fossils like Baker, Hadley, former Secretaries of State Rice and Powell, the lunatic former U.S. ambassador to the United Nations John Bolton, and others. There are plenty of Trump supporters who have a wealth of experience in foreign and national security matters, including those of African, Haitian, Hispanic, and Arab descent and who are not neocons, who can fill Trump's senior- and middle-level positions.

Trump must distance himself from sudden well-wishing neocons, adventurists, militarists, and interventionists and not permit them to infest his administration. If Mrs. Clinton had won the presidency, an article on the incoming administration would have read as follows:

«Based on the militarism and foreign adventurism of her term as Secretary of State and her husband Bill Clinton's two terms as president, the world is in store for major American military

aggression on multiple fronts around the world. President-elect Hillary Clinton has made no secret of her desire to confront Russia militarily, diplomatically, and economically in the Middle East, on Russia's very doorstep in eastern Europe, and even within the borders of the Russian Federation. Mrs. Clinton has dusted off the long-discredited 'containment' policy ushered into effect by Professor George F. Kennan in the aftermath of World War. Mrs. Clinton's administration will likely promote the most strident neo-Cold Warriors of the Barack Obama administration, including Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs Victoria Nuland, a personal favorite of Clinton».

President-elect Trump cannot afford to permit those who are in the same web as Nuland, Hadley, Bolton, and others to join his administration where they would metastasize like an aggressive form of cancer. These individuals would not carry out Trump's policies but seek to continue to damage America's relations with Russia, China, Iran, Cuba, and other nations.

Not only must Trump have to deal with Republican neocons trying to worm their way into his administration, but he must deal with the attempt by Soros to disrupt his presidency and the United States with a Purple Revolution

No sooner had Trump been declared the 45th president of the United States, Soros-funded political operations launched their activities to disrupt Trump during Obama's lame-duck period and thereafter. The swiftness of the Purple Revolution is reminiscent of the speed at which protesters hit the streets of Kiev, the Ukrainian capital, in two Orange Revolutions sponsored by Soros, one in 2004 and the other, ten years later, in 2014.

As the Clintons were embracing purple in New York, street demonstrations, some violent, all coordinated by the Soros-funded [Moveon.org](#) and «Black Lives Matter», broke out in New York, Los Angeles, Chicago, Oakland, Nashville, Cleveland, Washington, Austin, Seattle, Philadelphia, Richmond, St. Paul, Kansas City, Omaha, San Francisco, and some 200 other cities across the United States.

The Soros-financed Russian singing group «Pussy Riot» released on YouTube an anti-Trump music video titled «Make America Great Again». The video went «viral» on the Internet. The video, which is profane and filled with violent acts, portrays a dystopian Trump presidency. Following the George Soros/Gene Sharp script to a tee, Pussy Riot member Nadya Tolokonnikova called for anti-Trump Americans to turn their anger into art, particularly music and visual art. The use of political graffiti is a popular Sharp tactic. The street protests and anti-Trump music and art were the first phase of Soros's Purple Revolution in America.

President-elect Trump is facing a two-pronged attack by his opponents. One, led by entrenched neo-con bureaucrats, including former Central Intelligence Agency and National Security Agency director Michael Hayden, former Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff, and Bush family loyalists are seeking to call the shots on who Trump appoints to senior national security, intelligence, foreign policy, and defense positions in his administration. These neo-Cold Warriors are trying to convince Trump that he must maintain the Obama aggressiveness and militancy toward Russia, China, Iran, Venezuela, Cuba, and other countries. The second front arrayed against Trump is from Soros-funded political groups and media. This second line of attack is a propaganda war, utilizing hundreds of anti-Trump newspapers, web sites, and broadcasters, that will seek to undermine public confidence in the Trump administration from its outset.

One of Trump's political advertisements, released just prior to Election Day, stated that George Soros, Federal Reserve chair Janet Yellen, and Goldman Sachs chief executive officer Lloyd Blankfein, are all part of «a global power structure that is responsible for the economic decisions that have robbed our working class, stripped our country of its wealth and put that money into the pockets of a handful of large corporations and political entities». Soros and his minions immediately and ridiculously attacked the ad as «anti-Semitic». President Trump should be on guard against those who his campaign called out in the ad and their colleagues. Soros's son, Alexander Soros, called on Trump's daughter, Ivanka, and her husband Jared Kushner, to publicly disavow Trump. Soros's tactics not only seek to split apart nations but also families. Trump must be on guard against

the current and future machinations of George Soros, including his Purple Revolution.

<http://www.strategicculture.org/news/2016/11/11/clin-tons-and-soros-launch-america-purple-revolution.html>

<http://21stcenturywire.com/2016/11/11/color-revolution-george-soros-moveon-agitators-march-on-america-as-billionaire-instigator-sued/>

'COLOR REV' AGIT PROP: George Soros MoveOn Agitators March on America – as Billionaire Instigator Sued
November 11, 2016 By [Shawn Helton](#) [9 Comments](#)

Shawn

Helton

[21st Century Wire](#)

Anti-Trump street protests linked to the Soros' funded organization [MoveOn.org](#) have cascaded across the nation for the third day following the 2016 presidential election result.

Mainstream media outlets like CNN and MSNBC have given the protests around-the-clock media coverage, drowning out all other news stories, including a successful transition meeting between the President Elect and President Barack Obama.



'OUTSIDER VS. INSIDER' – Manufactured social unrest incited after announcement of Trump presidency. (Photo Illustration 21WIRE's Shawn Helton)

The *Free Thought Project* [weighed in on the new developments](#) concerning a series of centrally coordinated anti-Trump protests organized in part by the **George Soros** NGO [MoveOn](#):

"Washington, D.C. – Billionaire globalist financier **George Soros' [MoveOn.org](#)** has been revealed to be a driving force behind the organizing of nationwide protests against the election of Donald Trump – exposing the protests to largely be an organized, top-down operation – and not an organic movement of concerned Americans taking to the streets as reported by the mainstream media.

Wednesday saw protests in the streets of at least 10 major U.S. cities. **Chicago, New York, Los Angeles, Philadelphia, Boston, Washington, D.C., Portland, Ore., St. Paul, Minn., Seattle,** and several other cities saw protests, according to USA Today.

In light of the protests and rioting that have transpired since the election of Trump, a closer analysis of the dynamic at play is warranted to gauge whether it's an organic grassroots movement, or something much more organized, sophisticated and potentially dangerous."

Soros' affiliated organization [MoveOn.org](#) released the following press release yesterday afternoon:

"Americans to Come Together in Hundreds Peaceful Gatherings of Solidarity, Resistance, and Resolve Following Election Results
Hundreds of Americans, dozens of organizations to gather peacefully outside the White House and in cities and towns nationwide to take a continued stand against misogyny, racism, Islamophobia, and xenophobia.

Tonight, thousands of Americans will come together at hundreds of peaceful gatherings in cities and towns across the nation, including outside the White House, following the results of Tuesday's presidential election.

The gatherings – organized by [MoveOn.org](#) and allies – will affirm a continued rejection of Donald Trump's bigotry, xenophobia, Islamophobia, and misogyny and demonstrate our resolve to fight together for the America we still believe is possible.

Within two hours of the call-to-action, [MoveOn](#) members had created more than 200 gatherings nationwide, with the number continuing to grow on Wednesday afternoon."

Soros Agit-Prop Empire



Last year during the ongoing European migrant crisis, startling claims were levied by Hungarian PM **Victor Orbán** (left photo), concerning the role played by Soros.

PM Orbán contended that the founder and chair of **Open Society Foundations** (Open Society Institute), was entrenched in a "circle of activists," that "inadvertently became part of this international human-smuggling network."

The stunning declaration by Orbán recalled the misguided machinations of 'The Occupy Movement'(aka OWS) in 2011, as well as the Soros [funded protests](#) in Ferguson, Missouri in 2014, along with other Black Lives Matter protests this past year – all of which were uniquely *designed* to push naive participants (along with paid provocateurs) into violent action and social unrest.

Back in January, [MoveOn](#) publicly endorsed the **Bernie Sanders campaign** in what appeared to be a call to action for BLM associated groups seen backing Sanders. It's worth mentioning, that *MoveOn* also supported the Obama campaign in 2008 and according to the website, Sanders was the only other candidate to reach the "threshold for an endorsement."

As we said back in March, professional political agitator flash mobs, had been mobilized at future Trump campaign events, making it very difficult to run a normal campaign – as 'activists' attempted to take away Trump's 'strength in numbers' at his rallies. This was confirmed at events in both Ohio, and at a Trump primary campaign event the **University of Illinois Chicago** earlier this year, where hundreds of BLM activists and Sanders supporters, triggered violent clashes inside the venue and even [stormed the stage](#), shortly after Trump started speaking, then again at Reno he was *birddogged* just [prior to his election](#) forcing secret service to escort Trump away.

In a *Politico* article in early 2016 entitled "[Major donors consider funding Black Lives Matter](#)," we gained deeper insight into the political funding for BLM and the Soros linked Democratic Alliance:

"Major donors are usually not as radical or confrontational as activists most in touch with the pain of oppression," said Steve Phillips, a Democracy Alliance member and significant contributor to Democratic candidates and causes. He donated to a St. Louis nonprofit group called the Organization for Black Struggle that helped organize 2014 Black Lives Matter-related protests in Ferguson, Missouri, over the police killing of a black teenager named Michael Brown. And Phillips and his wife, Democracy Alliance board member Susan Sandler, are in discussions about funding other groups involved in the movement."

It worth noting how Soros, a long time contributor to Hillary Clinton, [donated \\$2 million in PAC money in 2015](#) and January of 2016, [Soros reportedly gave \\$8 million to "boost" Clinton's campaign](#). Soros also recently [funded \\$15 million](#) to Latino groups looking to stop Trump's rise towards a potential GOP nomination and beyond.

Look for these political engineers to pit choreographed conservative and liberal groups against each other across the country – as all of these hostile uprisings are designer engineered by party linked alliances.



'SOCIAL ENGINEERING' – Political memes and staged political flash mobs have been sparked by media, NGO's and social media. (Image Source: [abc news](#))

During the last month, it was revealed how investigative non-profit **Project Veritas** found evidence that the [DNC paid participants](#) to incite violence at Trump rallies during the course of the 2016 election.

If those reports are accurate – reports which have gone unchallenged so far – this would be in violation of both election bylaws and also US criminal law.



PAID PROTEST?' – Social justice warriors incite anti-Trump violence across the US. (Image Source: [youtube](#))
USA Color Revolutions

Both the purpose of these types of agitation activities seems to be to first cause a public disruption, and also to foment are a form of modern class warfare. These political protests are designed in such a way as to disguise its true intentions. Ultimately, a radical globalist and cultural marxist **"progressive"** agenda is at play – eroding the legitimacy of a democratically elected government through a series of artificial 'color' upheavals, and where possible – weakening a country's national sovereignty through forced dependency on multilateral globalist institutions like the UN or IMF, while presenting shock and awe imagery in order to manipulate the public's political perspective.

This is how the US and its CIA conduct their [destablization 'color revolution' campaigns overseas](#), and now astute observers will be able to see these exact same methods being deployed here inside the US.

Overseas, Washington tends to use the same cast of NGO fronts to build-up pro-US political opposition groups, as well as plan and generate civil unrest. They include the Albert Einstein Institute (AEI), National Endowment for Democracy (NED), International Republican Institute (IRI), National Democratic Institute (NDI), Freedom House and later the International Center for Non-Violent Conflict (ICNC), and the US Agency for International Development (USAID), the financial and contractor arm of the Department of State.

Inside the US, deep state actors in Washington generally work through Democratic Party affiliated organizations like [MoveOn.org](#), as well as through labor union organizations like [AFL-CIO](#), and [UNITE HERE](#). These, along with many other similar organizations have been involved in organizing this week's protests.

It still remains to be seen if Trump's stated dynamic political vision for America can unite an embattled nation by restoring international relations throughout the world, in addition to helping economic woes at home, or will it simply push the country further into authoritarianism. This remains to be seen.

Certainly, judging by President Obama and Hillary Clinton's total silence over their own party's role in fomenting this week's unrest – one can only conclude that both party leaders approve of the protests and riots. The political motivation is undeniable – to help delegitimize a new Trump presidency.

But one thing is for sure – there's no doubt now that a systematic design is in place to unsettle the 45th president and the public should question why.

Legal Action Against Soros Groups

In a recent report featured at [RT news](#) we learn that George Soros and BLM activists will be sued for the deaths of slain police officers in Dallas and Baton Rouge:

"The father of the Dallas police officer who was killed by a lone gunman during a Black Lives Matter protest is suing the organization's activists and George Soros for \$550 million. In a separate suit a Baton Rouge police officer is suing for injuries sustained during a BLM protest.

The father of Patrick Zamarripa filed a lawsuit against Black Lives Matter and other groups for allegedly "inciting a war on police" that led to the death of his son.

The lawsuit filed in US District Court in Dallas on Monday seeks \$550 million in damages.

"While Defendant Black Lives Matter claims to combat anti-black racism," the lawsuit said, according to the Fort Worth Star Telegram, "the movement has in fact incited and committed further violence, severe bodily injury and death against police officers of all races and ethnicities, Jews, and Caucasians. Defendant Black Lives Matter is in fact a violent and revolutionary criminal gang."

The misguided machinations behind the anti-Trump protests have dominated any peaceful transition into power for the president-elect. Will these protest groups be a reboot of the OWS movement, occupying the masses with their own hateful rhetoric as we move into 2017 and beyond?

More from the Duran below...



'NEO BLACK BLOC?' – Black bloc provocateurs, have violently escalated protest movements since the 1980's, all the way through 2011's Occupy Wall Street Movement and beyond. The riotous scene above is from the anti-trump protests in Portland.

(Image Source: [willamette week](#))

George Soros begins his color revolution in America, as MoveOn "activists" march against Trump

By Alex Christoforou

[The Duran](#)

Anti-Trump protesters march through the streets of New York shouting 'Not my president'.

[MoveOn.org](#) is a George Soros NGO...and George Soros NGOs have nothing to do with charity or justice, and everything to do with political leverage, and in extreme cases government insurrection.

We have seen Soros begin destructive movements to remove those he deems unsuitable to govern in a variety of countries, **most recently in Ukraine, with the Soros sponsored Maidan coup.**

Now it looks like Soros may be setting his sights on sabotaging the forthcoming Trump presidency.

We do know, thanks to Wikileaks, that George Soros was a huge supporter of Hillary Clinton, as [Hillary Clinton was always looking out of George Soros' best interests.](#)

We are certain Trump's victory is a bitter pill for globalist Soros to swallow. Between Putin and Trump, Soros may finally be starting to feel his power on the world stage falter.

<http://21stcenturywire.com/2016/11/12/post-trump-liberal-meltdown-counseling-cry-ins-therapy-dogs-and-poetry/>

Post-Trump Liberal Meltdown: Counseling, Cry-ins, Therapy Dogs and Poetry

November 12, 2016 By [21wire](#)

[21st Century Wire](#) says...

You can imagine how nations like China and Russia are now laughing at US students as mass psychological trauma has apparently set-in across liberal America. Schools, universities and political institutions across the country are now offering various services following Republican Donald Trump's insurgent victory in the US presidential election.

According to [NBC News](#), Democratic staffers were so distraught over this week's election that "therapy dogs" had to be brought in to help workers on Capitol Hill cope with their vulnerabilities and hurt feelings.

Therapy Dogs included two golden doodles, two American Eskimo dogs, and a beagle mix – walked around the offices of the House of Representatives. Students at Cornell University even staged a "cry-in" on campus the day after the election.

Other US campuses were organizing various therapeutic activities like poetry readings, where "healthy snacks will be served."

The dogs were organized by NGOs the Human Animal Bond Research Initiative, Pet Partners, the Pet Leadership Council and the Pet Industry Joint Advisory Council.

[Their press release](#) says that Democratic Party staffers needed, "the help of a non-judgmental, furry, four-legged best friend that enjoys bipartisan support."

"Organizers say it was a great way for workers to blow off steam especially for those whose bosses may have lost re-election bids," NBC added.

Also, "cry-ins" were staged on the campus of Cornell University, and Yale University even staged a "group scream" in order to help student vent their "internalized stress" the day after the election.

Watch RT America's Ed Schultz and Lionel, of Lionel Media, discuss the ridiculous liberal reaction and student meltdown to Trump's surprise victory:

<http://21stcenturywire.com/2016/11/12/henningsen-us-anti-trump-protests-similar-to-soros-color-revolutions-abroad/>

Henningsen: 'US Anti-Trump Protests Similar to Soros Color Revolutions Abroad'

November 12, 2016 By [21wire 7 Comments](#)

[21st Century Wire](#) says...

Organized efforts are underway by Democratic Party affiliated NGO's to try and somehow delegitimize the results of this week's US Presidential Election.

On the eve of the US Election before voters went to the polls, *21WIRE* political affairs analyst **Patrick Henningsen** accurately predicted this week's unrest when [he said](#):

"If Trump wins, expect the likes of Soros and MoveOn.org to unleash wave after wave of flash mobs, who will protest, riot, smash and burn their way on to CNN's 24 hour news rotation. Expect Occupy 2.0, and #BlackLivesMatter to rage."

On Friday, Henningsen talked to *RT International* about the post-elections protests that were coordinated in part by Democratic Party 'community organizing' online platform [MoveOn.org](#). Watch:

Not surprisingly, *MoveOn.org* have also launched a national 'activist' campaign to "[Abolish the Electoral College](#)" after Democratic candidate Hillary Clinton came up short with 232 (including New Hampshire) to Donald Trump's 306 (including Arizona and Michigan). Final totals are not yet in, but thus far 2016 would be the [fifth time in U.S. history](#) that a presidential candidate has won the White House while losing the total popular vote.

[SEE ALSO: \(VIDEO\) Female College Students Protesting Because 'Trump is a Rapist'](#)

21WIRE Associate Editor **Shawn Helton** [recently revealed more details](#) about how the near exact same methods used in CIA and Soros-funded 'color revolutions' overseas – are now being deployed on US domestic shores by similar NGO front organizations: has been the driving force behind nationwide protests against the election of Donald Trump.

"Overseas, Washington tends to use the same cast of NGO fronts to build-up pro-US political opposition groups, as well as plan and generate civil unrest. They include the Albert Einstein Institute (AEI), National Endowment for Democracy (NED), International Republican Institute (IRI), National Democratic Institute (NDI), Freedom House and later the International

Center for Non-Violent Conflict (ICNC), and the US Agency for International Development (USAID), the financial and contractor arm of the Department of State. Inside the US, deep state actors in Washington generally work through Democratic Party affiliated organizations like MoveOn.org, as well as through labor union organizations like [AFL-CIO](#), and [UNITE HERE](#). These, along with many other similar organizations have been involved in organizing this week's protests," says Helton.

Helton also raised the question as to why President Obama has stayed silent in the face of street protests, opting instead to "lead from behind." He explains:

"Certainly, judging by President Obama and Hillary Clinton's total silence over their own party's role in fomenting this week's unrest – one can only conclude that both party leaders approve of the protests and riots. The political motivation is undeniable – to help delegitimize a new Trump presidency."

Billionaire Soros linked to anti-Trump protests – WikiLeaks

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=14zszKEKz-I>

<https://www.rt.com/news/366647-trump-syria-isis-russia-relations/>
[rt.com](#)

Trump warns that by attacking Assad, US will 'end up fighting Russia' US President-elect Donald Trump has confirmed that he will most likely abandon the Obama administration policy on Syria to seek a possible rapprochement with Russia on the issue of Assad.

"I've had an opposite view of many people regarding Syria," the 70-year-old Republican [told](#) the Wall Street Journal in his first interview since the election.

From the start of the Syrian war, Barack Obama's foreign policy has been focused on the support and training of the so-called "moderate" rebel groups who were supposed to defeat Islamic State (IS, formerly ISIS/ISIL) terrorists, and survive to eventually overthrow Assad. That approach became deadlocked this year when Washington failed to honor its obligations under an agreement with Moscow to separate their moderate rebel forces from internationally-recognized terrorists.

Read more



Trump, on the other hand, said on Friday that the US should be focused on fighting Islamic State, instead of pursuing regime change in Syria.

"My attitude was you're fighting Syria, Syria is fighting ISIS, and you have to get rid of ISIS. Russia is now totally aligned with Syria, and now you have Iran, which is becoming powerful, because of us, is aligned with Syria... Now we're backing rebels against Syria, and we have no idea who these people are."

It has been widely documented and reported that American weapons supplied to the moderate rebels are often obtained by extremists in Syria. Those weapons, in turn, are being used by the jihadists to strike civilian positions and deploy them against Syrian forces.

The president-elect warned that if the US attacks Assad, "we end up fighting Russia, fighting Syria."

The US coalition bombing of Syrian Army positions near the city of Deir el-Zour on September 17 led to the collapse of the US-Russian peace initiative.

Rapprochement in US-Russia ties could, however, be on the horizon after Trump admitted receiving a "beautiful" letter from Russian President Vladimir Putin. Trump said a phone call between them is scheduled shortly.

Donald Trump and Vladimir Putin are "very much alike... in their basic approaches toward international affairs," Dmitry Peskov told the Associated Press earlier.

"[Trump] has been a very firm supporter of the idea of a good relationship between our countries, because we do carry a joint

responsibility for strategic stability in the world, strategic security," the spokesman said.

Immediately after Trump's victory, Russian President Vladimir Putin stated that Moscow looks forward to restoring bilateral relations with the United States.

The US military establishment, however, already seems to be working against Trump's policies. In an interview with CBS This Morning, Defense Secretary Ash Carter leveled a barrage of accusations at Russia.

He said the Russian campaign in Syria "fuels the fires" of ongoing violence in the country, claiming "they're not doing what we need to do and think needs to be done [in Syria]."

[READ MORE: A year of Russian anti-ISIS ops in Syria: 5 key milestones](#)

"What the Russians said, if you'll remember, was that they were going to come in and fight terrorism and help remove Assad," Carter said. "They haven't done either of those things. They haven't done any of that."

While Moscow has been undertaking efforts to eliminate Islamic State and Al-Nusra Front terrorists in Syria, it never said it would take part in the forcible removal of President Bashar Assad.

When the anchor Norah O'Donnell said "They're helping Assad?" Carter continued, "Exactly. Which in turn simply fuels the fires of the Syrians civil war. So the Russians have been completely backwards there, in what they've been doing.

"So we have not been able to, and I have not been in favor, and am not recommending to the president that we associate ourselves with or work with the Russians until they start doing the right thing," Carter concluded.

Adviser says Trump won't rip up Iran deal, signals he may not move embassy

Appearing to walk back statements made by president-elect and other advisers, Walid Phares says nuclear pact will be 'renegotiated,' US mission will only be moved to Jerusalem under 'consensus,' brokering Israeli-Palestinian peace deal will be top priority.

BY JOSHUA DAVIDOVICH AND TIMES OF ISRAEL STAFF November, 11, 2016, 3:41 am



Joshua Davidovich

Senior adviser to President-elect Donald Trump said the new US leader will "review" the Iran nuclear agreement, but will stop short of ripping up the landmark international pact.

Walid Phares, one of Trump's top foreign policy advisers, also signaled that Trump might not move the US Embassy to Jerusalem immediately and indicated he would make negotiating an Israeli-Palestinian peace deal a priority right off the bat.

The comments appeared to represent a break with some comments made by other Trump advisers and the president-elect himself, and highlighted persisting confusion over what the contours of a Trump administration's foreign policy may look like.

Speaking to BBC Radio on Thursday, Phares said the nuclear deal, which Trump has railed against and vowed to dismantle, would instead be renegotiated with Tehran.

"Ripping up is maybe a too strong of word, he's gonna take that agreement, it's been done before in international context, and then review it," he said, according to a CNN recording of the interview.

"He will take the agreement, review it, send it to Congress, demand from the Iranians to restore a few issues or change a few issues, and there will be a discussion," Phares added. "It could be a tense discussion but the agreement as is right now — \$750 billion to the Iranian regime without receiving much in return and increasing intervention in four countries — that is not going to be accepted by the Trump administration."

During the election campaign, Trump described the nuclear deal as "disastrous" and said it would be his "number one priority" to dismantle it.

Yet he also sowed confusion when he said he would demand greater oversight over the deal and enforce it, at a [speech to the pro-Israel lobby group AIPAC](#) in March. In that same speech, he also said he would dismantle the deal.



Donald Trump speaking at the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) 2016 Policy Conference at the Verizon Center in Washington, DC, March 21, 2016. (Saul Loeb/AFP/Getty Images via JTA)

"We must enforce the terms of the previous deal to hold Iran totally accountable. And we will enforce it like you've never seen a contract enforced before, folks, believe me," he said then.

On Thursday, State Department spokesman [Mark Toner warned](#) that nothing was stopping Trump from tearing up the agreement, rebuffing comments from [Iranian President Hassan Rouhani](#) that the pact was enshrined by the United Nations Security Council and could therefore not be canceled by one party.

The agreement, reached in July 2014 to thwart suspected work toward an atomic weapon, requires Iran to curb its nuclear enrichment activities in exchange for sanctions relief.

Israel was and remains the world's leading critic of the deal, calling it a "historic mistake" and arguing that it falls woefully short of preventing Tehran from obtaining nuclear weapons.

Toner said if Trump pulls out of the agreement, it could fall apart and lead to Iran restarting work toward a bomb.

It's not clear if Iran, which remains deeply distrustful of the United States and has complained of receiving a raw deal under the nuclear pact, would be open to renegotiating the agreement, the hard-fought result of years of intensive diplomatic activity.

Will move embassy 'under consensus'

Phares also told the BBC that while Trump was committed to moving the US Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, as other presidential candidates have vowed, he would not do so unilaterally.

"Many presidents of the United States have committed to do that, and he said as well that he will do that, but he will do it under consensus," Phares said.



Walid Phares - courtesy

Phares did not elaborate on what consensus would be sought for such a move, which would break with decades of precedent and put Washington at odds with nearly all United Nations member states.

A number of Israeli politicians, including Jerusalem Mayor Nir Barkat, have seized on Trump's victory by asking him to make good on his promises to recognize Jerusalem as Israel's undivided capital and move the embassy there, breaking with

long-standing US policy to await a final status agreement on the city.

During the campaign, Trump called Jerusalem "the eternal capital" of Israel and said he was "100 percent for" moving the embassy there.

Earlier Thursday, Trump Israel adviser Jason Dov Greenblatt told Israel's Army Radio that the president-elect would make good on his promise.

"I think if he said it, he's going to do it," Greenblatt said. "He is different for Israel than any recent president there has been, and I think he's a man who keeps his word. He recognizes the historical significance of the Jewish people to Jerusalem, unlike, say, UNESCO."



The US Embassy in Tel Aviv.

CC-BY Krokodyl, Wikimedia Commons

Congress passed a law in 1995 mandating the move of the embassy to Jerusalem, but allowed the president a waiver. Each president since then has routinely exercised the waiver, citing the national security interests of the United States, despite repeated campaign promises.

Phares also indicated efforts for an Israeli-Palestinian peace deal would be a top agenda item for Trump, casting doubt on a claim by Greenblatt that Trump would not necessarily prioritize trying to push the Israelis and Palestinians into peace negotiations.

"He is ready and he will immediately move to try and solve the problem between Palestinian and Israelis," Phares said. "He told me personally that, as the author of 'The Art of the Deal,' it's not going to be impossible for him to broker a deal between the Israelis and Palestinians. At least he's going to go in that direction and not waste eight years — four years for now — not doing something for the Palestinians and Israelis."



Jason Dov Greenblatt, Donald Trump's top real estate lawyer and an Orthodox Jew, is one of three members on the Republican nominee's Israel Advisory Committee. JTA/Uriel Heilman

On Wednesday, Greenblatt told The Times of Israel that Trump would only prioritize solving the conflict if that's what the sides wanted him to do.

"He will make it a priority if the Israelis and Palestinians want to make it a priority," Greenblatt said. "He's not going to force peace upon them, it will have to come from them."

The gap in signals coming out of Trump's camp is consistent with frustration some have pointed to in trying to demystify what Trump's foreign policy will be.

In comments published in German weekly Der Spiegel Thursday, Germany Foreign Minister Frank-Walter Steinmeier said it was urgent for the incoming US administration to set out its positions quickly since "very many questions are open" on its foreign policy.

Steinmeier said he had spoken several times with former US Secretary of State Henry Kissinger about what President-elect Donald Trump's foreign policies might look like. But Steinmeier said even Kissinger had no insights to offer.

"Many have already tried to read a foreign policy doctrine, or at least clear and coherent positions, out of Donald Trump's comments. Without much success," Steinmeier said.

In Israel, the Yedioth Ahronoth newspaper reported Thursday that Israeli officials were viewing Trump as "a puzzle," without a

clear sense of whether he will match his words with actions or how he will manage ties with Jerusalem.

Others close to the Israeli government, though, said the new US president will fall closer in line with their views than the current administration.



Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu with MK Tzachi Hanegbi (R) at the weekly Likud party meeting at the Knesset on March 28, 2016. Yonatan Sindel/Flash90

Tzachi Hanegbi, a minister-without-portfolio who is a close confidant of Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, said Thursday that the Iran nuclear deal and construction over the Green Line — the two most contentious topics between the Obama administration and Netanyahu — will no longer be a source of tension between Israel and the United States under a Trump presidency.

"On both of these issues, our view was much different than Obama's, while it is likely much more similar to that of Trump," Hanegbi told Army Radio.

The Associated Press contributed to this report

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/adviser-says-trumpwont-rip-up-iran-deal-signals-he-may-not-move-embassy/>

Opinion // When a racist is in power, the Cossacks are never far behind - Opinion
Anshel Pfeffer Nov 11, 2016 9:32 AM

Cast your mind back two or three decades. If, like me, you were born in the early 1970s, it's not so hard to remember a not too distant time when people of color were routinely spoken of, and to, in terms that are today seen as unambiguously racist. When gay people were regarded as mentally disturbed and in no way fit for senior positions in government. Blatant sexism towards women was still totally the norm and casual anti-Semitism had not yet disappeared from public life. You don't have to be old enough to remember pre-civil rights-era America or to have lived through the Second World War to realize we have been living the last 70 years in a period of unparalleled progress in liberalism and tolerance. It's been such a prolonged period that we have long been taking it for granted and assumed it would never come to an end.

All that may have changed on Tuesday night with Donald Trump's victory in the U.S. presidential election.

It's of course impossible to infer a total reversal from Trump's election; after all, a slight majority of the popular vote actually went for Hillary Clinton, and not every man or woman who voted for Trump necessarily repudiates all these values. We can read too much into his election, just as too much was assumed eight years ago on the election of the first African-American president.

But it sure feels that way. If the pendulum is indeed swinging away from liberalism, this is what it looks like. And while it is always too easy to make lazy comparisons between countries, it is impossible not to connect this to a wider global trend, which includes the Brexit referendum five months ago, followed by an acute rise of xenophobia in Britain and fascistic tabloid headlines such as "Enemies of the People" above the pictures of Supreme Court judges who dared rule that Parliament is the body that must have the final say over Britain leaving the European Union. Following the election of Donald Trump in the United States and with the growing assumption that even if the National Front's Marine Le Pen fails to win the French presidential election next year, the next president of France will be someone forced to tack towards the far-right to beat her. Five out of the six largest Jewish communities in the world are now in countries which are rapidly becoming less liberal — the U.S., Israel, France, Britain and Russia. Only Canada with the nice Mr. Trudeau seems, for now, to be bucking the trend.

Global backlash

Most of the Jews are now living in less liberal times than they were only a short while ago. It is a reversal of what seemed an inexorable trend of progress over the last seven decades. This is a generational shift, a global backlash against an entire set of values and assumptions. And while it has mainly been other minority groups who are already suffering or will be first on the receiving end of a new wave of bigotry that has already been unleashed, it is unthinkable that it won't impact in some way on the Jews as well.

Columnist Peter Beinart wrote in Haaretz on Wednesday that following Trump's victory he feels less American and more Jewish, but the obvious response to that would be: Who defines what it is to feel Jewish?

Exit polls and surveys show that a quarter of American Jews voted for Trump. Assuming there are around 7 million Jews in the United States, around three-quarters of them of voting age, and that their turnout was higher than average, that means over a million Jews voted for the candidate supported by neo-Nazis.

Among those million Jews who voted for Trump are many religious, Orthodox and Haredi Americans for whom being Jewish is the most important part of their identity. For a large number of them, probably the majority, these liberal values are the antithesis of Jewishness.

A couple of years ago, a Chabad rabbi who lived most of his life in the U.S. and is now a shaliach in Russia lectured me on why Putin's regime was better for Jews than liberal democracy. Growing up in Los Angeles, he argued, people could call him a "dirty Jew" and nothing would happen to them. In Russia, he said, when he complained about a local resident publishing an anti-Semitic pamphlet, the authorities intervened and the writer was prosecuted. How perfect – a society where homosexuals are persecuted, where feminism, secularism and liberalism are frowned upon, and the man sitting at the top of the pyramid, in this case President Vladimir Putin, is apparently philosemitic.

So what if Putin's propaganda channel Russia Today is the natural breeding ground for Holocaust-deniers and conspiracy theorists blaming 9/11 on Mossad? It's okay as long as he personally loves Jews and has a good relationship with the Israeli leadership. The very same argument is being employed on behalf of Trump.

How can you be worried about a man who has a Jewish daughter and grandchildren? So what if his last campaign ad channeled the Protocols of the Elders of Zion and is endorsed by the Klan? What's important is that he's surrounded by Jewish lawyers and is more right-wing on Israel than Naftali Bennett.

And besides, say Jewish supporters of Trump, you actually think Hillary Clinton, who served under a president who was hostile towards Israel and endorsed by Black Live Matters, a movement whose platform basically denies the Jewish state's right to exist, is any better?

Feeling vindicated

Many Jews simply cannot see what could be wrong with a Trump presidency. Not just right-wingers in Israel who believe that they now have license for a massive new settlement drive in the West Bank. The leader, of the ultra-Orthodox Shas party, Interior Minister Arye Dery, said yesterday at a gathering in Ashdod that "there is no doubt we should give thanks to God that all the detractors of the covenant and imitators of Judaism who sought to take control of the Land of Israel and implement all the reforms to destroy have received their blow." Or, in other words, those interfering Reform and Conservative Jews have been taught a divine lesson by Trump's victory. And neither is it just the Orthodox establishment that feels vindicated.

Matt Brooks, executive director of the Republican Jewish Coalition, actually said on Wednesday that the Anti-Defamation League should hold an "examination" of how it criticized anti-Semitic undertones in the Trump campaign and reported overt anti-Semitism from many of Trump's supporters. In other words, Trump-supporting Jews are blaming the main U.S. Jewish organization monitoring racism and bigotry for standing up to anti-Semitism.

Some British Jews are just as bad. In London, Jonathan Arkush, president of the Board of Deputies, is insisting, despite widespread criticism, that he did the right thing in sending an

unreserved and warm message of congratulation to Trump on his victory. This is the same Arkush who a few months ago was part of a delegation of Jewish "leaders" who visited Putin in Moscow to show their fealty to the new czar.

CRIF, the leadership body of French Jews, has so far valiantly withstood pressure to engage with Le Pen's National Front, but how long can they hold out against the trend? Unlike so many of their American, British and Israeli brothers, the leaders of French Jewry are aware that we cannot take the safety and prosperity of the last few decades for granted and that racist politicians, even those who claim they have nothing against Jews and support Israel to the hilt, will always ultimately be dangerous for the Jews, as they are for every other minority.

I have no problem believing that Trump and Putin and other xenophobes are philosemites, but that is worth little in an environment of hatred and persecution. Not only do we have an obligation, going back to the biblical commandment to remember our slavery in Egypt, to stand up for other oppressed groups, but we also have a historical commitment to remember that Jews never prospered for long in illiberal times and societies. The Cossacks may not yet be on their way to set the shtetl alight; right now the anti-Semitism is still prevalent only online and on the margins of society where few Jews live anyway. But we have a much more pressing mission right now to explain to other Jews that when racist leaders come to power, the Cossacks are never far behind.

Jerusalem and Babylon and its author are going on book-writing leave. See you again some time in 2017.

<http://www.haaretz.com/opinion/.premium-1.752471>

Donald Trump's victory may be good news for Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, who congratulated the president-elect and called him a "true friend" of Israel, but it is bad news for the overwhelming majority of American Jews, who voted (71-24) for Hillary Clinton.

Beyond boasting about his deal-making skills and saying he could be an impartial broker in Israeli-Palestinian talks, Trump has shown no real interest in reviving the peace process; neither have Israeli and Palestinian leaders.

He is opposed to the two-state solution and has no objection to unfettered settlement construction in the West Bank. He has talked about demanding Israel reimburse Washington for past foreign aid while saying he won't be bound by the cap on military aid in the recently signed bilateral military funding agreement.

Trump campaign insiders are saying his choice for secretary of state is former House of Representatives speaker Newt Gingrich, who has called the Palestinians an "invented" people with no claim to a homeland.

"Remember, there was no Palestine as a state. It was part of the Ottoman Empire," Gingrich said in a 2011 interview when he was running for president. "We have invented the Palestinian people, who are in fact Arabs and are historically part of the Arab people, and they had the chance to go many places." Gingrich's failed campaign was largely funded by US casino magnate Sheldon Adelson, an outspoken opponent of Palestinian statehood and top financial backer of Netanyahu.

Trump has shifted positions frequently on issues like the status of Jerusalem, the location of the US Embassy in Israel, American military assistance and peace negotiations. Most recently he said he would move the embassy to Jerusalem, but don't hold your breath waiting.

Trump has not withdrawn his call to ban Muslims from entering the US. And now he'll have strong congressional backing for his anti-immigration policies while they try to figure out how to pay for his Mexican wall.

Trump has called for renegotiating the Iran nuclear deal, but that will prove easier said than done. He'll support congressional calls for new sanctions on the Islamic Republic, but America's allies, including Russia, which is making billions selling reactors, radars and weapons to Iran, are unlikely to go along with any US demand to reopen the pact.

Russia will be an interesting challenge for Trump. He has spoken of his admiration for Vladimir Putin, who was among the first to praise Trump's election. The real estate mogul has said a top priority will be warming ties with Russia, including letting Putin

take the lead in dealing with ISIS. That has to deeply worry Israel because Putin's role in Syria is to protect his client, President Bashar Assad, whose closest allies are Iran and Hezbollah; all three have vowed to destroy Israel.

The mercurial president-elect based much of his campaign on renegotiating trade agreements, and that could be a problem for Israel, which signed the first US free trade agreement during the Reagan years. Last year the US had an \$11 billion trade deficit with the Jewish state.

American Jews are more supportive of resuscitating the peace process than the Israeli government, but they have more urgent worries about a Trump administration.

Millions of Americans stand to lose their health care coverage if the incoming president keeps his vow to immediately repeal the Affordable Care Act. Like other Republicans, he has spoken of "repeal and replace," but so far no one has offered a viable substitute.

With Republican control of the House and Senate, he plans to push through his vows to cut spending for education and welfare, end funding for Planned Parenthood, repeal a broad range of environmental regulations, end all gun restrictions, begin rounding up undocumented workers, suspend Syrian refugee resettlement and "defend the unborn."

Trump will never have to worry about demands to release his tax returns; look for his appointees at the IRS to quickly drop all audits of his finances and those of his family.

Judge Merrick Garland, who is Jewish, won't make it to the Supreme Court, and Trump will have a Republican Senate to confirm right wing judges who will shape the federal bench for a generation or more.

He has mentioned making former New York mayor Rudy Giuliani attorney-general. On Election Day Giuliani was calling for prosecution of Clinton for her handling of classified information in her emails. Trump repeatedly encouraged surrogates and crowds calling for prosecuting and imprisoning his opponent.

But most disturbing is the alarmingly high level of anti-Semite, racism, bigotry and xenophobia of a frightening number of his core followers that he inspired and encouraged.

He launched his campaign with attacks on Mexicans, broadening that to include Muslims, Hispanics, African-Americans, immigrants, assorted minorities, the disabled, the poor, gays and women. And Jews.

Trump's Jewish friends and business associates say he is not an anti-Semite, and they point to his daughter's conversion. I hope they're right about him personally, but his words and actions are poisonous.

Supporters insist he couldn't be an anti-Semite because he is a strong supporter of Israel. So was Richard Nixon, but listen to his tapes and you'll also hear a Jew-hater.

Trump did little to distance himself from white supremacists, nativists, anti-Semite, conspiracy theorists and xenophobes making up so much of his base. Occasionally and sometimes reluctantly he rejected their endorsements, but more often he, his sons and aides retweeted some of the most vile hate messages.

The anti-Semitic dog whistles coming out of his campaign were deafening.

Trump closed his campaign with an ad insinuating a secret international clique was conspiring for his defeat, and there was a clear intimation that it was run by Jews.

His ad echoed classic antisemitic tropes and depicted three prominent Jews - Janet Yellen, the chairwoman of the Federal Reserve Board; billionaire philanthropist George Soros; and Goldman Sachs CEO Lloyd Blankfein - as the presumed leaders of the conspiracy.

The Anti-Defamation League has repeatedly criticized Trump and his campaign for its ongoing and thinly disguised anti-Semitism. There were attacks on "international bankers" and "global financial powers," anti-Semitic buzzwords that sounded like they were taken right out of The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, most notably his charge that Hillary Clinton "meets in secret with international banks to plot the destruction of US sovereignty."

Jewish organizations will be watching closely to see how much voice and access these hate groups have in the Trump

administration. He can't sleep with so many anti-Semite, neo-Nazis and hate-mongers and still call himself a friend of Israel.

<http://www.jpost.com/Opinion/Washington-Watch-Trump-victory-good-for-Bibi-bad-for-Jews-472360>

When fact is dismissed as belief, how to prove the Holocaust

By Jeff Gammage, Staff Writer,

November 13, 2016—3:01 AM The Philadelphia Inquirer

Deborah Lipstadt has twice been famous, once for a lawsuit, now for a movie, each time because of the mission to which she's devoted her life:

Combating those who deny that the Holocaust took place, that the Nazis systematically exterminated six million European Jews in the 1940s.

It sounds ludicrous. Deny the Holocaust? That's like claiming the Earth is flat.

But the phenomena is real - and insidious, experts say, particularly in a modern "soft core" approach that skirts outright denial but distorts established fact in a way that subverts historical truth.

On Sunday, Lipstadt will deliver the keynote speech at a Holocaust teach-in at Gratz College, where high demand caused the institution to expand and then close registration for the event.

More than 700 people, many of them teachers, are coming to the Cheltenham campus from as far as Missouri and Maine, converging at a moment when science and fact are often dismissed as unreliable or ambiguous.

Fake news floods the internet. On Tuesday the nation elected Donald Trump as president, choosing a candidate who began his political career by spreading the false claim that President Obama was not born in this country.

"Given all the lies and misstatements of facts that he made, and that seemed not to have made a difference to people," Lipstadt, 69, said in an interview, "it is further proof that, though we may think deniers are akin to flat earthers, there will be people who believe."

Lipstadt, a professor of modern Jewish history at Emory University in Atlanta, stands among the nation's top authorities on denial and anti-Semitism.

She earned her reputation the hard way.

In 1996 author David Irving sued Lipstadt for libel in England - where such cases put the burden of proof on the defendant - for calling him a denier in her book *Denying the Holocaust: The Growing Assault on Truth and Memory*.

The court ruled against Irving, saying he had deliberately misrepresented and manipulated historical evidence - specifically, that the Holocaust occurred.

The new British-American screen drama *Denial*, starring Rachel Weisz as Lipstadt, tells the story of the court case.

The movie tagline: "The whole world knows the Holocaust happened. Now she needs to prove it."

That's not as easy as it sounds. Today the internet offers easy distribution for disinformative stories.

Websites such as Real Jew News and Bible Believers aim to expose the Holocaust as a hoax, while YouTube videos purport to reveal "the truth" about the mass killings.

"I don't believe the official version of the Holocaust is true, whatsoever," Pastor Steven Anderson of Faithful World Baptist Church in Arizona says in a video that's been viewed 380,000 times. "There are lots of things about the story that don't add up."

Others believe that too, despite statements by authorities including the American Historical Association, which asserts: "No serious historian questions that the Holocaust took place."

Yet a 2014 study by the Anti-Defamation League found that 35 percent of the world population never heard of the Holocaust. Among those who had, 32 percent believed it was either a myth or greatly exaggerated.

That, Gratz organizers said, explains the importance of the teach-in, which also will examine genocides like the one that occurred in Darfur during the 2000s.

"There's this question: Does the Holocaust conceal other genocides, because it takes up so much room, or does it reveal

other genocides?" asked Rutgers University doctoral candidate Jeff Benvenuto. "I think it's both."

At Gratz, Benvenuto teaches classes on the genocide carried out against American Indians, and how those killings have been subsumed by a larger, celebratory story of winning the West.

In fact, he said, nations often deny genocides that occurred at their founding, not wanting to cloud heroic creation stories. For instance, the Ottoman government exterminated about 1.5 million Armenians in 1915. Today the government of Turkey, its successor state, denies that genocide occurred.

Holocaust denial goes as far back as 1942, when the Germans sought to hide their annihilation of the Jews, according to the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, and it persists. This year lawmakers in Poland sought to ban phrases like "Polish concentration camps," saying that implied guilt for Nazi death camps operated in occupied Poland.

"It's not all about saying it never happened at all, it's about minimizing it," said Heidi Omlor, a Maine high school social-studies teacher who will be at Gratz on Sunday. "It takes away from those who died. It takes away from the survivors. It takes away from their testimony."

She teaches her students: Be alert to official-looking websites that promote falsehood as truth, or those that arrange facts in a way that's deceptive.

For example, she said, deniers often claim the Auschwitz gas chambers did not function long enough to kill six million people. And that's true. But it ignores the fact that millions were killed elsewhere, in other countries by different methods.

The thing about genocide, she said, is it starts small, with insults and petty cruelties that seem inconsequential.

"I tell the kids it starts in the lunchroom," Omlor said. "Find the kid that sits alone in the lunchroom. Go sit with him. Find someone who has been bullied. Stand up for them."

Her students study the "Pyramid of Hate," which shows how bias can grow in complexity, from a base of insensitive jokes to a pinnacle of mass murder.

The answer?

"In a day and age of so much open prejudice and hatred," Lipstadt said, "it behooves good people, when someone says something hateful and prejudicial: Say something. Do something."

jqammage@phillynews.com

215-854-4906@JeffGammage

http://www.philly.com/philly/news/20161113_When_fact_is_dismissed_as_belief_how_to_prove_the_Holocaust.html

Anti-racism protesters throw snowballs at Swedish neo-Nazis during huge march

Lucy Pasha-Robinson, 14 November 2016



The neo-Nazi Nordic Resistance Movement (Nordiska motståndsrörelsens) sympathisers demonstrate in central Stockholm on November 12, 2016 to protest against migrants *JONATHAN NACKSTRAND/AFP/Getty Images*

Violence erupted in Stockholm on Saturday as neo-Nazis took to the capital's streets following Donald Trump's election victory.

As many as 600 far-right demonstrators from Sweden's Nordic Resistance Movement (NMR) marched towards Sweden's parliament in the historic district of Gamla Stan, in the biggest march in the group's history.

Five people were arrested and two were injured after violence broke out between the demonstrators and anti-fascist protest groups.

A police spokesman said a number of people were held due to aggression and that approximately 50 had been suspended or removed from the march.

At least one police officer was injured during the clash and several people were taken away from the scene in ambulances.

According to [sources at the scene](#), the anti-racism protesters easily outnumbered the NMR marchers, and started pelting them with snowballs and fireworks.

Police officers treat an injured protester (Getty)

"A lot of loose objects, including snow, ice, bangers and fireworks of various kinds have been thrown," a police spokesman said.

"I have not heard that anyone has been harmed, but it is possible that they have been."

The NMR was set up in 1997 by former members of the White Aryan Resistance and employees of Folktribunen, a neo-National socialist magazine.

The group, which promotes openly racist and anti-Semitic views and vocally opposes non-white immigration to the country, is considered the figurehead for Sweden's white power movement. According to sources at the scene, the NMR announced Donald Trump's election was a sign that a "world revolution was beginning".

Vera Oredsson, who was a member of the Hitler youth as a child growing up in Nazi Germany, was also said to have delivered a speech.

Reports also suggest the crowd chanted "hang them, hand them" when a speech was made about splitting up Bonnier, the Swedish media empire, owned by one of Sweden's richest Jewish families.

Norwegian neo-Nazis were also in attendance at the rally, who last month told a Scandinavian news channel they considered Adolf Hitler to be a role model and denied the holocaust ever happening.

More about:

* [Donald Trump](#)

* [Sweden](#)

* [stockholm nordic resistance movement](#)

* [Adolf Hitler](#)

* <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/donald-trump-win-swedish-neo-nazis-stage-biggest-march-celebrate-a7414676.html>

LEIPZIGER VOLKSZEITUNG

Ermittlungen

**Leipziger Verlag verbreitet „Mein Kampf“ als unkommentierte Fassung im Netz
Für 30 Euro bietet ein Leipziger Verlag eine unkommentierte Ausgabe von Hitlers "Mein Kampf"
im Internet an. Dagegen ermitteln inzwischen zwei Staatsanwaltschaften – bislang ohne
durchschlagenden Erfolg.**

| Artikel veröffentlicht: 12. November 2016 11:33 Uhr | Artikel aktualisiert: 12. November 2016 11:49 Uhr
Leipzig. Adolf Hitlers Propagandawerk „Mein Kampf“ ist wieder im Handel. Der Leipziger Kleinverlag „Der Schelm“ bietet den Reprint einer Ausgabe aus dem Jahr 1943 zum Preis von 30 Euro auf seiner Internetseite an. Das berichtet das

Nachrichtenmagazin „Der Spiegel“ in einer am Samstag verbreiteten Vorabmeldung. Anders als in der Edition des Münchner Instituts für Zeitgeschichte, die im Januar vorgestellt und zum Bestseller wurde, fehlt in der Leipziger Ausgabe jeder kritische Kommentar.



Ende 2015 lief die Urheberrechtsfrist für Hitlers "Mein Kampf" aus. Quelle: dpa

Schelm-Verleger Adrian Preißinger, ein verurteilter Rechtsextremist, hatte das Projekt [im Frühjahr angekündigt](#), seither ermittelt die Leipziger Staatsanwaltschaft wegen des Verdachts der Volksverhetzung – bislang ohne Ergebnis. Das Buch kann weiterhin bestellt werden. Die Staatsanwaltschaft Bamberg war zielstrebig: Das Amtsgericht Forchheim verurteilte Ende Oktober eine Online-Buchhändlerin aus Oberfranken, die einen „Mein Kampf“-Nachdruck angeboten hatte, zu einer Geldstrafe von 4000 Euro. Die Staatsanwaltschaft legte inzwischen Berufung ein, sie fordert eine Bewährungsstrafe.



"Mein Kampf" im Online-Shop des Leipziger Verlags Der Schelm. Quelle: Screenshot

Die im Netz angebotene Fassung wird vom Verlag als „wissenschaftlicher Quellentext“ gepriesen. Das Vorwort für den Reprint schrieb der australische Holocaust-Leugner Fredrick Töben. Die Konferenz der Landesjustizminister hatte vor zwei Jahren beschlossen, dass neue Ausgaben von „Mein Kampf“ nach Ablauf der Urheberrechtsfrist Ende 2015 nur in kritisch kommentierter Form veröffentlicht werden dürfen. Sachsens Kultusministerin Brunhild Kurth (CDU) [gab das kommentierte Buch Anfang des Jahres auch für den Schulunterricht frei.](#)

nöb
 *<http://www.lvz.de/Mitteldeutschland/News/Leipziger-Verlag-verbreit-MeinKampfsunkommentierteFassung-im-Netz>

Horst Mahler über den Seelenmord am Deutschen Volk und die Umerzieher

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gjwzsdspji0>

Asatru - der germanisch nordische Glaube

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fPd_qqY0seI

Bücher, Borde und Deutsche Worte!

Hier handelt es sich um eine Zeitzeugen Reportage über das wichtige Werk eine Bücherei - Neudeutsch - eine "Library" aufzubauen.

Mein Freund Fabian Rimbach, der Gastwirt von Marlshausen war einfach überflutet, überschwemmt, überrannt und überfordert mit dem Zustrom von Geschenken von Büchern. Das Geheimnis war raus, Fabian wollte in der nahen Zukunft eine Bibliothek aufbauen, die der alten und zerstörten Bücherei in Alexandrien eines Tages den Rang ablaufen würde. Nun, auch Alexandrien hatte einmal klein angefangen, mit ein paar Schriftrollen auf Pergament, auf Tierhäuten und Papyrus Schriften. So war auch Fabians Bücherei ein kleiner und hoffnungsvoller Anfang.

Die Bücher kamen in Schüben, in Paketen, in Kartons, in Körben, in Plastik Behältern. Sie stapelten sich im Büro, im Gang, in der Scheune, in allen Ecken und wo auch immer Platz war. Bücher alter Herren, alter Damen, von Pensionären, von Wohnungsaufösungen, von wohlgesinnten Freunden, von Leuten, die meinten, "dieses Buch mußt du unbedingt lesen", vegetarische Rezepte, Kräuterwissen, Witze, Reiseberichte, esoterische Werke, Romane, Ernstes, Heiteres, Kriegsberichte, Politisches, Biographien, alles fand seinen Weg nach Marlshausen. Die Arbeit rief, nein schrie nach einem Menschen, der sich dieser wichtigen Arbeit mit Leidenschaft widmen konnte bevor Mäusezähne alles zernagte oder sie dem Schimmelpilz oder gar Feuchtigkeit zum Opfer fielen. In eben diesem Moment führte mich das Schicksal nach Deutschland, hungrig und heimatlos aber tatkräftig. Nach 50 Jahren in Australien war mir Deutsch fast eine Fremdsprache, vor allem der Thüringer Dialekt. Aber Bücher waren schon immer meine Leidenschaft. Nicht umsonst habe ich im Druckgewerbe gearbeitet. Ich war absolut geeignet für das Werk.

Ein Raum war schon ausgewählt worden die Bücherei zu beherbergen, warm und gemütlich, war er ideal dem Deutschen, der noch zum Lesen geneigt war einen Platz dafür zu bieten. Dieser Raum hatte auch dreierlei Lichtquellen: Deckenlampe und zwei Stehlampen. Wunderbar. Später wurden auch zwei enorm schwere Sofas reingeschaffte, die hübsch mit grünem Samt

bezogen waren. Offensichtlich sind sie nicht leicht zu stehlen, des Gewichts wegen. Manchmal dienten diese Sofas auch als Schlafplatz für müde übernachtende Freunde.

Nach etlichen Erwägungen wurden Gestelle und Borde gekauft, die sich ideal eigneten die Bücher zu beherbergen. Hier muß ich wirklich die Genealität der Schweden loben, die für preiswertes Geld diese Bücherborde in hübschen Ausführungen zum Kauf anboten. Sogar Eckborde und überhohe Gestelle gab es zum Zusammenfügen für den begabten Hausherrn und Handwerker. Fabian konnte hier seine Fähigkeiten entfalten.

Nun begann das Aussortieren der vielen Werke und Bücher, denn wie bei der Verleihung des Oskars wurde nicht jedes Buch gewählt die Bücherborde zu zieren, nein es wurden strengen Richtlinien gefolgt. Es mußte vor allem in das Thema: Schlesien und Deutsche Ostgebiete hineinpassen. Nach einigen hitzigen Debatten wurde alles eingeräumt und das Ergebnis war wirklich höchst erfreulich: Eine schöne Bücherei, ein Juwel in Fabian und Freas Landgasthof in Marlshausen.

Es kommt mir vor daß die Bücherei bald erweitert werden muß, denn bei meinem letzten Besuch in Marlshausen sah ich neue Bücherspenden in Kartons auf Aussortierung warten. Es ist ein also ein wachsendes Unternehmen. Die deutsche Sprache muß gefördert werden von Deutschen, die noch zum Lesen geneigt sind, wie nicht oft genug gesagt werden kann.



Flooding on the Niemur River near Moulamein, Australia, October 22, 2016. Blair Trewin

[World set for hottest year on record: World Meteorological Organization](#)

Blair Trewin, World Meteorological Organization: The final weeks of 2016 would need to be the coldest of the 21st century to avoid it becoming the hottest year

Andrew Bolt race-case judge 'had ALP links'



RACHEL BAXENDALE, Reporter, Canberra, @rachelbaxendale, The Australian, 12:00AM November 16, 2016

Labor's newest senator, Kimberley Kitching, says she remembers "being very surprised" when Federal Court judge Mordecai Bromberg decided to hear *Herald Sun* columnist Andrew Bolt's racial discrimination case, given his close relationship with the Labor Party.



Kimberly Kitching says judge Mordecai Bromberg 'was an active ALP person, he was active enough that he was in a faction, he ran for preselection'. Picture: David Smith

Speaking with Bolt on his Sky News program *The Bolt Report* on Monday night, Senator Kitching said she had known Justice Bromberg through the Labor Party.

A Federal Court judge since 2009 and former VFL footballer for St Kilda, Justice Bromberg ran unsuccessfully for Labor preselection for the since amalgamated federal seat of Burke in Melbourne's northern suburbs in 2001.

"He was an active ALP person, he was active enough that he was in a faction, he ran for preselection," she said. "Obviously he would have had some views about you, and perhaps he was not the best person to hear your case."

Bolt asked Senator Kitching whether as a lawyer she was able to cast such aspersions on Justice Bromberg's impartiality as a judge "under our ridiculous laws against free speech". "I think that it's an expression I should be able to take," she said.

In 2011, Justice Bromberg found Bolt had contravened section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act after nine "fair-skinned" Aboriginal applicants brought a class action against him and the *Herald* and *Weekly Times* for articles Bolt had written accusing them of seeking professional advantage from their Aboriginality. Justice Bromberg found that "fair-skinned Aboriginal people (or some of them) were reasonably likely, in all the circumstances, to have been offended, insulted, humiliated or intimidated by the imputations conveyed in the newspaper articles".

The contention centred on Bolt's assertion that the nine applicants had chosen to identify as Aboriginal and consequently win grants, prizes and career advancement, despite their apparently fair skin and mixed heritage.

The nine applicants were led by activist Pat Eatock and included artist Bindi Cole, NSW Australian of the Year Larissa Behrendt, author Anita Heiss and former Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission chief Geoff Clark.

The applicants' lawyers conceded that Bolt's writings did not incite "racial vilification or racial hatred", but successfully argued they "constituted highly personal, highly derogatory and highly offensive attacks" on the nine.

Senator Kitching said she believed Justice Bromberg should have read section 18C more narrowly in Bolt's case.

The Australian has sought comment from Justice Bromberg.

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/legal-affairs/andrew-bolt-racecase-judge-had-alp-links/news-story/4d479a528cb7f100ba467ce143f8e74e>

Netanyahu is taking on water as scandal surrounding sub purchases deepens

Haaretz Breaking News - [View in browser](#)



[Netanyahu's confidant appointed director in firm advising German submarine maker](#)

Chaim Levinson and Barak Ravid | 17.11.2016




[German submarine maker represented by Netanyahu's lawyer has history of bribing officials abroad](#)

Ofer Aderet, Chaim Levinson and Barak Ravid | 17.11.2016



[Gas, money, weapons || Arrest of Netanyahu's top security pick may reveal jumble of Israeli interests](#)

Amos Harel | 16.11.2016



[The Beast: On board IDF's most advanced and expensive war machine](#)

Amos Harel | 09.09.2016



[Netanyahu's office defends purchase of German submarines, despite accusations](#)

Barak Ravid | 17.11.2016



[Senior Israeli National Security Council official suspected of bribes named](#)

Yaniv Kubovich | 17.11.2016

http://ev.haaretz.com/HM?b=Tgz7gQVeuOORapsEfc8EeAqQXheA9TATVHhD6JA9Yb1340P7kUPXCpA_e9eec9l&c=3x9cBz1URSIRdM5D_FwOq