A SHORT PROOF THAT ADDITIVE, MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS ARE LINEAR

CHRISTOPHER HENDERSON

For notation, we use λ to mean Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 0.1. If A, B are sets of positive measure, then there exists $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\lambda((A+q) \cap B) > 0$.

Proof. By the Lebesgue density thereom we get $\Delta > 0$, $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $\lambda(A \cap [a - \delta, a + \delta])$, $\lambda(B \cap [b - \delta, b + \delta]) \ge 3\delta/2$ for all $\delta \le \Delta$. If we assume that $\lambda((A + q) \cap B) = 0$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ then for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a + q is close to b, we get

$$\begin{split} 2\Delta & \geq \lambda(((A+q)\cap[a+q-\Delta,a+q+\Delta]) \cup (B\cap[a+q-\Delta,a+q+\Delta])) \\ & \geq \lambda(A\cap[a-\Delta,a+\Delta]) + \lambda(B\cap[b-(\Delta-|b-(a+q)|),b+(\Delta-|b-(a+q)|)]) \\ & \geq (3/2)\Delta + (3/2)\Delta - (3/2)|b-(a+q)| \end{split}$$

Since we can choose q to make the last term as small as we please, we get a contradiction.

Lemma 0.2. If A is a set with $\lambda(A) > 0$ which has the property that A + q = A for every $q \in Q$ then $\lambda(A^c) = 0$.

Proof. Notice that for all q, $(A+q) \cap A^c = \emptyset$. Hence, $\lambda(A^c) > 0$ would contradict the lemma above.

Theorem 0.3. If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is additive and measurable then f is linear.

Proof. Let g(x) = f(x) - f(1)x and we are finished if we show that g is 0. Notice that g is linear and measurable as well. We first show that g takes the value 0 on the rational numbers. Notice that g(1) = 0. Now, take a rational number $\frac{p}{g}$ and we get that:

$$0 = pg(1) = g(1) + \dots + g(1) = g(p) = g(p/q) + \dots + g(p/q) = qg(p/q)$$

Hence g(p/q) = 0. An important consequence of this is that g(x+r) = g(x) whenever $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Now we show that g is essentially bounded. Suppose this isn't true. Then for every M, the set $A_M = \{x : |g(x)| \ge M\}$ has positive measure. Since, for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, g(x+q) = g(x), then $A_M = A_M + q$. By the lemma above, $\lambda(A_M^c) = 0$. Notice that this holds for all M. However, since $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is necessarily finite, then $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_n A_n^c$. Hence $0 = \lim \lambda(A_n^c) = \lambda(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$. This is clearly a contradiction.

Now, we know that g is integrable on compact sets and that it is periodic. Hence, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\int_0^1 g(x)dx = \int_{0-y}^{1-y} g(x+y)dx = \int_0^1 g(x+y)dx = \int_0^1 g(x)dx + \int_0^1 g(y)dx = g(y) + \int_0^1 g(x)dx$$

This clearly implies g(y) = 0 for all y, finishing the proof.