HARVARD ELECTRICITY POLICY GROUP SEVENTY-SIXTH PLENARY SESSION

Renewable Energy and Carbon Policy: What Exactly is the Relationship?

October 2, 2014 Charles Frank

QUESTION: Can renewable incentives substitute for carbon regulation?

- ☐ Renewable Incentives
- Feed-in tariffs
- Renewal Portfolio Standards and renewable energy certificates
- Tax benefits, including production tax credits and investment tax credits
- ☐ Carbon Regulation
- Carbon price through carbon tax
- Carbon price through cap and trade
- Carbon emission standards

SOME PROBLEMS WITH RENEWABLE INCENTIVES

- Can cause unnecessary increases in capacity
- Capacity increases may not be justified by energy savings and CO2 emission reductions
- May reduce emissions very little if renewable energy displaces CC natural gas or nuclear rather than coal
- Renewables provide little system benefits and may generate substantial system costs
- Are not technology neutral

ELECTRICITY CAPACITY ADDITIONS (GW)

TOTAL GENERATING CAPACITY WIND AND SOLAR CAPACITY

| | | | | % | | | | | % |
|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|---------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Year | 2007 | 2011 | Change | Change | Year | 2007 | 2011 | Change | Change |
| Germany | 134.3 | 159.5 | 25.2 | 18.8% | Germany | 26.1 | 54.2 | 28.1 | 107.9% |
| Spain | 88.8 | 101.8 | 13.1 | 14.7% | Spain | 15.6 | 26.0 | 10.4 | 67.2% |
| UK | 83.5 | 93.2 | 9.6 | 11.5% | UK | 2.5 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 202.0% |
| US | 994.9 | 1,052.9 | 58.0 | 5.8% | US | 17.0 | 47.5 | 30.5 | 179.4% |

Most additions to capacity are wind and solar capacity

CAPACITY AND CONSUMPTION

GENERATING CAPACITY (GW) ELECTRIC CONSUMPTION (TWh)

| | | | | % | | | | | % |
|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Year | 2007 | 2011 | Change | Change | Year | 2007 | 2011 | Change | Change |
| Germany | 134.3 | 159.5 | 25.2 | 18.8% | Germany | 550.6 | 537.9 | -12.7 | -2.3% |
| Spain | 88.8 | 101.8 | 13.1 | 14.7% | Spain | 255.2 | 243.9 | -11.3 | -4.4% |
| UK | 83.5 | 93.2 | 9.6 | 11.5% | UK | 345.0 | 320.9 | -24.1 | -7.0% |
| US | 994.9 | 1,052.9 | 58.0 | 5.8% | US | 3890.2 | 3882.6 | -7.6 | -0.2% |

Capacity increases not necessary to meet demand.

NET BENEFITS PER MW OF CAPACITY

| | DISPLACING COAL OFF PEAK AND GAS SC ON PEAK (\$thousand) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------|------------------|--------|---------------|---------|--------------|-----------|--|
| | ENERGY SAVINGS | | EMISSION SAVINGS | | TOTAL SAVINGS | | NET BENEFITS | | |
| | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar | |
| Germany | \$90.9 | \$62.4 | \$76.9 | \$39.0 | \$167.8 | \$101.4 | (\$102.4) | (\$250.0) | |
| Spain | \$115.9 | \$122.8 | \$98.2 | \$76.8 | \$214.0 | \$199.6 | (\$56.2) | (\$151.9) | |
| UK | \$132.1 | \$53.2 | \$112.0 | \$33.4 | \$244.0 | \$86.6 | (\$26.1) | (\$264.8) | |
| US | \$112.3 | \$74.9 | \$127.4 | \$75.2 | \$239.6 | \$150.0 | (\$30.6) | (\$201.4) | |
| | DISPLACING GAS CC OFF PEAK AND GAS SC ON PEAK (\$thousand) | | | | | | | | |
| | ENERGY S | SAVINGS | EMISSION SAVINGS | | TOTAL SAVINGS | | NET BENEFITS | | |
| | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar | |
| Germany | \$140.0 | \$84.1 | \$33.0 | \$19.7 | \$173.1 | \$103.8 | (\$97.1) | (\$247.7) | |
| Spain | \$178.0 | \$165.0 | \$42.2 | \$38.7 | \$220.2 | \$203.8 | (\$50.0) | (\$147.7) | |
| UK | \$202.3 | \$71.4 | \$48.1 | \$16.8 | \$250.4 | \$88.2 | (\$19.8) | (\$263.2) | |
| US | \$87.7 | \$62.3 | \$54.7 | \$37.9 | \$142.4 | \$100.2 | (\$127.8) | (\$251.3) | |

SYSTEM BENEFITS AND COSTS

- Wind and solar capacity contributes very little to system reliability, particularly with high penetration.
- Wind and solar production can cause premature retirement of nuclear and gas CC plants.
- Wind and solar may require substantial investments in transmission.
- Wind and solar intermittency can impose additional balancing costs.
- Intermittency imposes significant cycling costs by (a) increasing maintenance cost, (b) reducing energy efficiency and (c) increasing CO2 emissions of fossil fuel power plants.

TECHNOLOGY BIAS

- Renewable incentives are often technology biased, e.g. solar over other renewables
- Renewable incentives normally exclude nocarbon alternatives, e.g. hydro and nuclear
- Renewable incentives exclude increased fuel efficiency, e.g. gas CC and supercritical coal
- Renewable incentives not designed to minimize CO2 emissions

CARBON PRICE REGULATION

- Technology neutral; aimed at CO2 emission reductions whatever the energy source
- Can achieve emission reductions by meritorder dispatch with no capacity increases
- Can achieve the lowest cost CO2 emission reductions in both short- and long-term
- Can be combined with internalization of system benefits and costs

CAPACITY FACTOR ASSUMPTIONS

| | Full Year | | Off-F | Peak | On-Peak | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar | Wind | Solar |
| Germany (1) (5) | 18.8% | 10.3% | 18.1% | 8.0% | 25.9% | 33.5% |
| Spain (2) (5) | 24.0% | 20.3% | 23.1% | 15.7% | 33.1% | 66.0% |
| UK (3) (5) | 27.4% | 8.8% | 26.4% | 6.8% | 37.7% | 28.7% |
| US (4) (5) | 31.2% | 19.9% | 30.0% | 15.4% | 42.9% | 64.6% |

- (1) Full Year Mean 2007-2011 from EIA international data
- (2) Full year Mean 2010-2011 from EIA international data
- (3) Full Year Mean 2011-2013 from DUKES 2014, Chapter 6, Table 6.5
- (4) Full Year Mean from EIA, Monthly Electricity Report
- (5) Ratios of Off and On Peak based on Japanese data

FUEL PRICE ASSUMPTIONS

| | 2012 Dries /+ | 2013 Price/mmbtu | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2013 Price/ton | 2013 Price | e/mmbtu | | | | | | |
| | Coal | Coal (5) | Gas | | | | | | |
| Germany (1) | \$81.69 | \$3.84 | \$10.72 | | | | | | |
| Spain (2) | \$81.69 | \$3.84 | \$10.68 | | | | | | |
| UK (3) | \$81.69 | \$3.84 | \$10.63 | | | | | | |
| US (4) | \$71.39 | \$3.36 | \$3.71 | | | | | | |
| Source: BP Statistical Review 2014 | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) German Import Price for gas | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Average Ge | (2) Average Germany and UK for gas | | | | | | | | |
| (3) Heren NPB Index for gas | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) US Henry Hub for gas | | | | | | | | | |
| (5) mmbtu/metric ton coal 21.27 | | | | | | | | | |

OTHER ASSUMPTIONS

| | Coal | Gas CC | Gas SC | Nuclear |
|-------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| Heat Rates | | | | |
| (btu/KWh) | 10,498 | 7,050 | 10,850 | N/A |
| Variable | | | | |
| O&M/MWh | \$4.47 | \$3.27 | \$10.37 | \$2.14 |
| Emissions | | | | |
| (lbs/mmbtu) | 206.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 0.0 |
| Emissions | | | | |
| (lbs/MWh) | 2,162.6 | 824.9 | 1,269.5 | 0.0 |