Special Called Board of Education

Minutes April 1, 2013

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
Roll Call	Present: Cheryl D. Mayes, Chair	
	Dr. JoAnn Brannon	
	Amy Frogge	
	Dr. Sharon Gentry	
	Michael W. Hayes	
	Anna Shepherd, Vice-Chair	
	Jill Speering	
	Will Pinkston	
	Dr. Jesse Register, Director of Schools	
	Absent: Elissa Kim and Akaninyene Eyoh and Marquetta Bowling, Student Board Members	
	Ms. Mayes called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.	
Pledge of Allegiance	Led by Chris Henson, Chief Financial Officer.	
	GOVENANCE ISSUES	
Motion to Suspend the Rules	Ms. Mayes said because the item of discussion is included on the agenda, there	
	would be no need for a Motion to Suspend the Rules.	
House Bill 702 – Statewide Charter Authorizer	Mr. Clay presented the Board with an update on House Bill 702.	
	Mr. Pinkston made the motion to authorize the Director of Schools and	
	CLASS representatives to bring an amendment to the legislature that	
	would attempt to provide fiscal assurances concerning HB702. Ms.	
	Speering seconded.	VOTE: 8-0 – Unanimous
	Mr. Pinkston made the following statement: Due to the lack of the fiscal assurances in HB702, two assurances should be proposed: (1.) A guardrail to prevent the charter appeals process from inadvertently creating a fiscal cliff. (2.) Local accountability for schools that are majority locally funded. Ms. Speering read the following statement: The House Bill 702 attempts to overhaul the charter schools appeals process, and the proposed amendment restricts legislation to only five counties, with the bill, in part, led by my friend Karl Dean. Last week, the Mayor found himself in a similar situation where Metro Government was feared to lose local control when the Mayors of five satellite cities wanted to break away from Metropolitan Government. The Mayor sent a letter to the Davidson County Delegation asking for the lawmakers to work with him to defeat the legislation that would dilute the	
April 1 2013		Page Q1

Special Called Board of Education

Minutes

April 1, 2013

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
House Bill 702 – Statewide Charter	purpose of a consolidated government. A compromise with the satellite cities	
Authorizer – continued	has been reached, and the controversy that threatened a unified fifty year old	
	Metropolitan Government. I offer congratulations to the Mayor, who settled	
	this through compromise. As a city, we know the Mayor's unfaltering	
	commitment to education. I know the Mayor's heart, he is a caring man that	
	wants the best for the students of MNPS. I am afraid that he does not	
	understand how HB702 has the potential to bankrupt MNPS. I would like to	
	invite the Mayor to talk with the Board around HB702. MNPS' budget request	
	for 2013-2014 is \$764 million, a six percent increase over this year's budget.	
	The six percent increase will cover a small employee raise, rising insurance	
	costs and inflation, but a third of the increase is needed to accommodate five	
	new charter schools scheduled to open August 1 st costing \$14.8 million. With	
	those five charter schools opening, the total number of students attending	
	charter schools in MNPS will be 4,400 students. Charter schools draw from	
	the MNPS district, but facility and administrative expenses are not reduced and	
	there is no reduction in the cost to maintain MNPS. The appeals process must	
	be a compromise, but it must also ensure fiscal responsibility to taxpayers.	
	The fiscal impact of charter schools and vouchers must be limited in order to	
	guarantee our commitment to the Tennessee Constitution which states, "The	
	State of Tennessee recognizes the inherent value of education and encourages	
	its support. The General Assembly shall provide for the maintenance, support,	
	and eligibility standards of the system of free public schools." Local	
	decisions, accountability, and oversight must remain with the Board because it	
	represents the people of Davidson County. The Board is committed to be good	
	stewards of the taxpayers' dollars. On November 13 th , the Board voted to	
	close Smithson-Craighead Middle after three years of dismal scores. Although	
	the state failed to close the school for whatever reason, this Board took the	
	appropriate action by closing the school. Parents and students were offered an	
	array of choices for the following year. Drexel Academy, a school initially	
	denied by the Board, is one of the lowest performing schools in MNPS. If HB	
	702 is passed, it could result in large numbers of charter schools being forced	
	into the district without preparation nor local control or oversight. Davidson	
	County taxpayers are responsible for two-thirds of the cost of educating	
	children in Nashville; MNPS should maintain oversight of all charter schools	
	authorized by a state appeals panel.	

Special Called Board of Education

Minutes

April 1, 2013

TOPIC	April 1, 2013 DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
House Bill 702 – Statewide Charter	Ms. Frogge made the motion to authorize Dr. Register to consider	
Authorizer – continued	independent legal counsel for this Board to explore legal options with the	
	state concerning House Bill 702. Mr. Hayes seconded.	VOTE: (8-0) - Unanimous
	Ms. Frogge made the following statement: I am disappointed that Kevin	
	Huffman is not here, because I wanted to talk to him. I wanted to talk to him	
	because I am tired of folks communicating through news media, social media,	
	and sound bites about something as important as the education of Nashville's	
	children. Instead, here we are. The news will now report our talking points, it	
	will report their responses, and no one will actually sit down- like adults- and have an honest dialogue about the hard dogicions focing us. Instead of	
	have an honest dialogue about the hard decisions facing us. Instead of collaboratively focusing on the best interests of our 81,000 public school	
	children here in Nashville, we're being forced into a face-off that could result	
	in lawsuits, budget cuts, and other counterproductive measures for everyone	
	involved. But if we are truly committed to making Nashville a leader in	
	education, we should at the very least be able to sit down and talk like	
	grown-ups. What we need to discuss is our obligation to be the best stewards	
	of taxpayer dollars in funding our schools. A full 42% of Nashville's taxes go	
	toward public education. This board has expressed an authentic concern about	
	the fiscal impact of the charter authorizer bill that we hoped to discuss today-	
	not to mention the loss of local control of our schools to an appointed board far	
	removed from our communities. Unlike Mr. Huffman and the nine-member	
	panel that would review charter applications under this bill, I am an elected	
	representative of my community. I answer parent emails, I engage in public	
	dialogue, and I respond to requests to speak. In short, I am accountable to	
	voters, and they are free to remove me from office should I fail to do my job.	
	Right now, our legislature is considering some very experimental education	
	reforms. Nationally, only 17% of charter schools outperform traditional	
	public schools, which means that 83% perform the same or worse. I want to	
	preface my next remarks by saying I recognize that there are many great	
	individuals at our charter schools here in Nashville who are devoted to	
	education and doing wonderful things for children. I also have great respect	
	for Alan Coverstone and the work that his office is doing. Our charter schools	
	here seem to be doing well, and I think this is due in large part to careful calculation of the right aborton schools by the Office of Inneuration I January	
	selection of the right charter schools by the Office of Innovation. However,	
	the statement that all of our best schools in Nashville are charters is misleading, and I believe that charter school success here in Nashville has been	
	misicaumg, and i beneve that charter school success here in Nashville has been	

Special Called Board of Education

Minutes

April 1, 2013

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
House Bill 702 – Statewide Charter	greatly overplayed. The claim that our best schools are all charters is based on	
Authorizer – continued	a one-year snapshot of middle schools alone which took into account only	
	growth scores. As we learned at our last meeting, we begin to see reliable data	
	only after three years, and school growth is very fluid from year to year. We	
	also learned at our last meeting that right now we really have no fair way to	
	compare charter schools with our traditional schools because they are such	
	different animals. Other issues, including attrition rates at charter schools,	
	have yet to be explored. So, clearly, we are implementing experimental	
	reforms here, and I am not willing to barrel down this path without more	
	information and without robust discussion. Some are also circulating myths	
	about charter school funding. The argument goes like this: Districts spend the	
	same per pupil allotment on children regardless of whether the child attends a	
	traditional or charter school, and therefore we as school boards should not be	
	worried about the finances. Or worse yet, we school board members are using	
	financial concerns as scare tactics. The truth is that charters cost our district	
	more money. By contract, charters must receive the full per pupil allotment	
	and we also provide them with some free services, but at the same time,	
	districts must also maintain the infrastructure of traditional schools. Because	
	our district is not losing enough children to charter schools to affect our current	
	school infrastructure, our charter schools cost more. That is simply a fact. A	
	good charter school may be worth the extra cost if it fills a specific need for us	
	and performs well, but opening more and more charter schools with no regard	
	for budget will have disastrous effects for our city. If too many charters	
	serving small percentages of our student population are imposed on us without	
	notice, we could face increased taxes, fewer resources for our traditional	
	schools, or more likely, see neighborhood schools close. This is what is	
	happening nationwide, and it genuinely concerns me. Instead of hearing	
	meaningful dialogue and serious debate about these vital issues, we hear a lot	
	of blame statements, like this: "School boards are hostile, resistant to change,	
	not open to innovation, fear competition, and/or are somehow devoted to the	
	status quo." As it applies here in Nashville, this is a ridiculous argument,	
	given the fact that this district is approving greater than the national average of	
	charter schools and given the fact that this district has shown an extreme	
	openness to innovation, which helped us obtain Race to the Top dollars. I can	
	also tell you that I personally knew almost nothing about the status quo in advantion or abarter schools in general, when I decided to run for school heard	
	education, or charter schools in general, when I decided to run for school board	
	last year, and I will embrace any sort of reform that is proven to work. I have	

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools Special Called Board of Education

Minutes April 1 2013

Apri	11,	20.	13

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME	
House Bill 702 – Statewide Charter	no innate bias, I am beholden to no group, and I came into my seat with		
Authorizer – continued	absolutely no agenda other than to help provide the best education possible, by		
	whatever means, for my own children and thousands of others in this city. But		
	to win me over, you must give me the facts and engage in thoughtful		
	discussion, not feed me propaganda. I am not willing to use my own children		
	as guinea pigs. What I want for them is a balanced education with an emphasis		
	on educating the whole child through not only academics, but also through		
	exposure to many other drivers of achievement, including the arts, athletics,		
	and deep, creative thinking- not just an unrelenting focus on test scores alone.		
	This is what our best private schools offer, and it's what all children deserve.		
	So what do I think is the real answer for education reform? It is collaboration,		
	pure and simple. This is why I am disappointed today. We cannot continue to		
	have one-sided discussions with no real discourse, with no regard for reality,		
	and without collectively addressing the facts. To get where we want to be, we		
	must all work together to find the right direction for our schools. There are no		
	cheap, quick, easy fixes, and nothing good will happen if we all remain at		
	odds.		
	Mr. Hayes said what specifics need to be discussed with legal counsel? Ms.		
	Frogge said she would like the Board to discuss seeking legal counsel to		
	understand the Board's options. Mr. Pinkston said it is wise for the Board to		
	think about options, there a few issues to be considered. Is the bill		
	constitutional? Will the Board still fund schools? I support forward thinking		
	towards the future. Mr. Hayes stated that if the hiring of legal counsel does not		
	exceed \$25,000, it may not require a motion. Dr. Brannon asked if Metro		
	Legal could offer counsel? Ms. Frogge said she would prefer to use outside		
	entities. Dr. Brannon asked if legal counsel fees are more than \$25,000 what		
	would be the process? Ms. Frogge said she did not know. Dr. Register said if		
	he begins talks with legal counsel, direction from the Board would be required.		
• Adjournment	Ms. Frogge adjourned the meeting at 4:11 p.m.		
• Signatures			
	Chi Mamm		
	Chris M. Henson Cheryl D. Mayes Date		
	Board Secretary Board Chair		