Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools

Board of Education

Minutes

May 29, 2012

May 29, 2012				
TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME		
• Roll Call	Members Present: Gracie Porter, Chair; Mark North, Vice-Chair; Dr. Jo Ann			
	Brannon; Dr. Sharon Gentry (arrived at 4:40); Ed Kindall;			
	Cheryl Mayes; Anna Shepherd			
	Martin Alassia Matala IW II. and IZ. Channel			
	Members Absent: Michael W. Hayes and Kay Simmons			
	Ms. Porter called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.			
Pledge of Allegiance	Led by Dr. June Keel, Retiring Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources.			
	SPECIAL RECOGNITION			
Student Scholars	The Board recognized and presented student scholars with Certificates of			
	Recognition in the following categories:			
	Valedictorians and Salutatorians			
	National Merit Scholars			
	National Achievement Scholars			
	Perfect ACT			
	Perfect Attendance			
	• Scholarships			
	MNPS Middle College Associate Degree Recipients			
	GOVERNANCE ISSUES			
ACTIONS	Mr. North read the following consent agenda items: III-A-1-a- Approval			
1. Consent Agenda	of Minutes-5/08/2012 Regular Meeting; III-A-1-b- Awarding of Bids and			
Ũ	Contracts (1.) Lovaas Institute, (2.) Amaranth Designs, LLC, (3.) The			
	Gallup Organization, (4.) Distinguished Professionals Educational			
	Institute (DPEI), (5.) Austin Peay State University			
	Mr. Kindall made the motion to approve the consent agenda as read. Ms.			
	Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 6-0		
2. Recommended Approval of 2012-2013	Mr. Tony Majors reviewed changes and additions to the 2012-2013 Student			
Student Code of Conduct	Code of Conduct.			
	Mr. North made the motion to approve the 2012-2013 Student Code of			
	Conduct. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 6-0		
3. Charter School Application	Mr. Coverstone presented the following Charter School recommendations to			
Recommendations	the Board.			
	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee			
	recommended Antioch Preparatory Academy charter school application be			
	denied.			
	demed.			

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools Board of Education Minutes

May 29, 2012

TOPIC	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME	
3. Charter School Application Recommendations – continued	Mr. North made the motion to deny the charter school application of Antioch Preparatory Academy. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 7-0
	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee recommended Excel Academy charter school application be denied.	
	Mr. North made the motion to deny the charter school application of Excel Academy. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 7-0
	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee recommended Fusion Connection charter school application be denied.	
	Mr. North made the motion to deny the charter school application of Fusion Connection of Nashville. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 7-0
	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee recommended Gifted Achievers charter school application be denied.	
	Mr. North made the motion to deny the charter school application of Gifted Achievers. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 7-0
	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee recommended Great Hearts Academy charter school application be denied.	
	Mr. North made the motion to deny the charter school application of Great Hearts Academy. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 7-0
	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee recommended Genesis Transitions charter school application be denied.	
	Mr. North made the motion to deny the charter school application of Genesis Transitions. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 7-0
	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee recommended Purpose Preparatory Academy charter school application be denied.	
	Mr. North made the motion to deny the charter school application of Purpose Preparatory Academy. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 7-0

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools Board of Education Minutes

May 29, 2012

May 29, 2012			
TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME	
3. Charter School Application	Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee		
Recommendations – continued	recommended Intrepid Preparatory charter school application be approved.		
	Mr. North made the motion to deny Intrepid Preparatory's charter school		
	application. Mr. Kindall seconded.	VOTE: 1-yes (North), 6-no	
		(Brannon, Gentry, Shepherd,	
	Dr. Brannon called for the question.	Mayes, Kindall, Porter)	
	Ms. Shepherd made the motion to approve the charter school application		
	of Intrepid Preparatory's Charter School. Ms. Mayes seconded.	VOTE: 6-yes (Brannon,	
	of intreplu i reparatory's charter School. Mis. Mayes seconded.	Gentry, Shepherd, Mayes,	
	Mr. North stated that at a March Board meeting, Mr. Barbic said charter	Kindall, Porter), 1-no (North)	
	schools must be held to a higher standard that is better than average. It is		
	important that any charter school that the Board approves is a good one. In the		
	past, those decisions have been made on faith. KIPP and LEAD charter		
	schools have been around a while and can make a good comparison of what		
	Intrepid could look like in the future. After reviewing the Tennessee		
	Department of Education Report Card, the scores of MNPS charter schools and		
	all other MNPS schools, the following results were found: the Report Card		
	showed that in 2011, 24% of KIPP's students scored proficient or advanced in		
	Math and 43% scored proficient or advanced in Reading. At LEAD in 2011,		
	30% of students scored proficient or advanced in Math and 37% scored		
	proficient or advanced in Reading. Of the 39 MNPS middle schools, in Math,		
	KIPP is 21^{st} and LEAD is 16^{th} on the list. In Reading, KIPP is 22^{nd} and Lead is		
	tied for 26 th out of 39 schools. After averaging the scores in Reading, KIPP		
	and LEAD tie for 19 th place, which places them at the median for MNPS		
	middle schools. On Intrepid's application, it specifically sites Apollo and		
	Antioch middle schools as schools they will outperform. Currently, Apollo		
	and Antioch middle schools are performing better than LEAD and KIPP. Concerning Value-Added scores, Apollo and Antioch middle schools both		
	scored better than any charter school. Average charter schools are not good		
	enough, and there is concern that Intrepid will not perform any better than the		
	current charter schools. Intrepid also has grammatical errors in their charter		
	application, which brings much concern. Mr. Kindall asked Mr. Coverstone if		
	choice was considered when reviewing Intrepid's charter school application?		
	Mr. Coverstone said yes. Mr. Kindall asked if there are any differences		
	between the offerings at Antioch and Apollo middle schools and those of		
	Intrepid? Mr. Coverstone said Intrepid's application stressed emphasis on the		
	community schools model, and the school's financial literacy emphasis		
	impressed the committee. Though, we agree that charter schools must aim for		
N 20 2012			

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools Board of Education Minutes May 29, 2012

May 29, 2012 DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME	
 better results. Ms. Mayes asked if a location for Intrepid has been mentioned? Mr. Coverstone said they listed four locations in their application: Gold's Gym, Lakeshore Christian Church, a former Publix, and a modular plan backup. All of those locations also serve Antioch and Apollo. Dr. Gentry said charter schools should be evaluated on a rubric, and not in comparison with other schools/charter schools. There is a need for a system to help better approve successful charter schools, but we must be careful when comparing school data to make charter school approval decisions. Ms. Mayes said she feels confident that if parents believe their students are performing well, they will not withdraw their child to go to a charter school. Mr. Kindall said if Intrepid presents an appeal application, could we ask what they would be providing that Apollo and Antioch middle schools do not? Mr. Coverstone said the expectations must be set on the front end, and that can be set through the contracts that will be executed moving forward. The bottom line would be the parent's choice to send their child to the charter school; therefore, removing the comparison of Antioch and Apollo from the application process may on may not be the answer. Dr. Gentry asked if the performance contract is a way of showing charter schools should have performance contracts. Mr. Coverstone said a collaborative effort must be established in order to achieve results. Mr. North said Mr. Barbic's point is that charter schools must perform better than non-charter public schools. 		
 Ms. Shepherd made the motion to accept the Charter School Review Committee's recommendation to approve KIPP Academy's charter school application. Ms. Mayes seconded. Mr. North said in 2010-2011 KIPP did not perform up to standards. On the Tennessee Department of Education Report Card, there is a comparison for specific grade levels for Math, Reading, Social Studies, and Science. For 2010-11, KIPP's 5th grade Math was below MNPS average. 7th grade Math, Science, and Social Studies were below average. 8th grade Reading was below average, and 8th grade Social Studies was -10.2, which was the lowest MNPS Value-Added Score, and their Science score of -10.6 was also the lowest. With 	VOTE: 1-yes (Shepherd), 1- abstain (Mayes), 5-no (Gentry, Brannon, Kindall, North, Porter)	
	DISCUSSION/MOTION better results. Ms. Mayes asked if a location for Intrepid has been mentioned? Mr. Coverstone said they listed four locations in their application: Gold's Gym, Lakeshore Christian Church, a former Publix, and a modular plan backup. All of those locations also serve Antioch and Apollo. Dr. Gentry said charter schools should be evaluated on a rubric, and not in comparison with other schools/charter schools, but we must be careful when comparing approve successful charter school approval decisions. Ms. Mayes said she feels confident that if parents believe their students are performing well, they will not withdraw their child to go to a charter school. Mr. Kindall said if Intrepid presents an appeal application, could we ask what they would be providing that Apollo and Antioch middle schools do not? Mr. Coverstone said the expectations must be set on the front end, and that can be set through the performance targets that are set by Intrepid. Ms. Mayes said, ultimately, it is is the parent's choice to send their child to the charter school; therefore, removing the comparison of Antioch and Apollo from the application process may on be the answer. Dr. Gentry asked if the performance contract is a way of showing charter schools should have performance contracts. Mr. Coverstone said a collaborative effort must be established in order to achie	

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools Board of Education Minutes May 29, 2012

TOPIC	May 29, 2012 DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
3. Charter School Application Recommendations – continued	DISCUSSION/MOTION Coverstone said yes, the committee takes that into account in the supplemental application that is presented by the applicant. Mr. Coverstone stated that the Charter School Review Committee recommended Nashville Classical charter school application be approved. Mr. North made the motion to approve the charter school application of Nashville Classical. Dr. Gentry seconded. Mr. Kindall asked if, in the past, disadvantaged youth enrollment was a basis for charter approval? Also, since Nashville Classical will be locating in North Nashville, what does their application propose concerning recruitment of diversity? Mr. Coverstone said the committee believes that the Classical curriculum, combined with the location, will attract a wider range of students. Mr. Kindall asked where will the school be located? Mr. Coverstone said the school will begin in East Nashville, and then move to North Nashville. Mr. Kindall asked when will the appeal applications be brought back to the Board? Mr. Coverstone said at the end of June, the appeals will be submitted to the Board. Ms. Shepherd asked if information concerning each application denial will be presented to the applicants? Mr. Coverstone said yes. Mr. Kindall asked is Great Hearts asking to open all five schools at one time? Mr. Coverstone said they are requesting to open one school this year and open the other four schools in subsequent years. Currently, there is not a process in place to say what the benchmarks would be to allow Great Hearts to open more schools. Ms. Porter thanked Mr. Coverstone and Dr. Brannon for their hard	VOTE: 7-0
	work. REPORTS	
Director's ReportDr. June Keel Retirement	Dr. Register announced that Dr. June Keel will be retiring effective May 31, 2012. He and the Board thanked Dr. Keel for her service and hard work with MNPS.	
	ANNOUNCEMENTS	
East Literature and Middle College	Ms. Porter recognized East Literature and Middle College for graduating 100% of their senior class.	
Budget Hearing with Metro Council	Ms. Porter announced the Budget Hearing with Metro Council will be held June 6 th at 4:15 p.m.	
Board Meeting	The next scheduled Board meetings will be June 12 th and June 26 th . There will not be a work session in June.	

	-	ville Public Schools		
	Board o	of Education		
	Μ	inutes		
May 29, 2012				
TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME			
WRITTEN INFORMATION TO THE BOARD				
Board Calendar Items				
• Sales Tax Collections as of May 20, 2012				
Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Operating Budget Financial Report				
Adjournment	Mr. Kindall adjourned the meeting at 5:47 p.m.			
Signatures	Chris M. Henson Board Secretary	Gracie Porter Board Chair	Date	