Current Comments

FUGENE GARFIELD

INSTITUTE FOR SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION®
3501 MARKET ST., PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104

Remembering the Holocaust, Part 2

Number 28

July 15, 1985

It is a terrible paradox that physicians-men and women ostensibly dedicated to healing-served as Hitler's vanguard in the extermination of millions of Jews, Poles, Slavs, and other peoples during World War II. Concentrationcamp doctors selected those who would live from among the new arrivals. The others were exterminated immediately in the gas chambers. Some of these doctors initiated and conducted so-called experiments on victims in the camps. Sigmund Rascher, a physician at Dachau, introduced a new category of human experimentation. He called it the "terminal experiment." (p.4) His sinister meaning, of course, was that the test subject would not survive the procedure. The Nazi doctors claimed that their "experiments" were carried out in the name of science. The use of the word "experiment" and other such grotesque euphemisms, however, cannot legitimize their acts of torture and murder. Most of the victims died, and all suffered miserably. Some doctors published the data from their grisly work in German medical journals. And some researchers today, especially those working in the field of hypothermia, use the Nazi data in their work, even citing them in their publications.

Bernard Dixon, writing recently about this practice in the New Scientist, has asked: "Is it not a gratuitous insult to those pathetic human guinea pigs, and to the memory of the dead, for journals and textbooks today to cite data acquired in such an odious manner?" The scientists who use the Nazi data are, of

course, appalled by the way the data were obtained. However, they feel obligated to use the information because, they believe, it contributes to the advance of scientific knowledge or even to discovering how to save lives. They argue that they are trying to salvage some good from a tragedy.

The article by Kristine Moe reprinted here, which was originally published in the Hastings Center Report,3 raises the ethical question of whether or not the data collected by Nazi doctors should be cited, and if so, in what manner. When this article was written. Moe was the science reporter for the Bellevue, Washington, newspaper the Journal-American. In addition to this article, Moe wrote a three-part series on Nazi "experimental" data and their use today for the Journal-American in 1984.46 This past year she held a Vannevar Bush Fellowship in science journalism at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge. Recently, Moe has joined the San Diego Union as a science reporter. The present article is reprinted here with the permission of both the author and the publisher.

While the extent to which Nazi "experimental" data have been cited carries no weight in the consideration of whether or not it is ethical to cite such data, a quantitative analysis of citations to these articles indicates that this question does arise and is not hypothetical. Initially, we had intended to count the citations to journal articles that were known to have been written by Nazi doctors and that reported on their "experiments" on con-

centration-camp victims. This proved difficult, however. Although we spoke to experts at numerous Holocaust research institutions (Table 1), we were unable to locate a list of such Nazi articles. We then attempted to compile our own bibliography of these articles. A published list of Nazi doctors, including information on the camp to which each was assigned and the type of "experiment" each conducted, was consulted,7 and we attempted to match doctors' names and "experiments" with articles published in German medical journals during World War II. We had only limited success in this effort, and we realized that our list was inadequate and therefore not representative. We concluded that a citation study that attempted to characterize the extent of citations to the Nazi pseudo-medical articles was impossible without extensive investigative work. Nevertheless, our study did prove that certain articles, unquestionably written by Nazi doctors concerning their "experiments," were cited frequently. This was enough to demonstrate the reality of the ethical dilemma.

For example, one Prof. Dr. Gutzeit infected concentration camp victims with hepatitis virus at his medical clinic in Breslau, now Wrocław. An assistant physician at the clinic, Dr. H. Voegt, published their "results" in an article entitled "Zur Aetiologie der Hepatitis epidemica" in the journal Münchener Medizinische Wochenschrift.8 Alexander Mitscherlich and Fred Mielke, authors of Doctors of Infamy: The Story of the Nazi Medical Crimes, have observed that Voegt's paper is a "...very clear example of the tactics of obscuration as to whether the experiments were voluntary or not. In the case of the first test series voluntary participation is expressly emphasized; in a second, embracing six persons, this is not mentioned."1 (p. 53) A search of ISI®'s citation indexes revealed that this paper has been cited 50 times since 1955, the first year for which Science Citation Index® (SCI®) data are available.

In addition to the direct citation of Nazi articles, such information can also Table 1: Research institutions for Holocaust studies that were consulted in ISI® 's search for a list of Nazi pseudo-medical articles.

Anne Frank Institute of Philadelphia (formerly The National Institute on the Holocaust) P.O. Box 2147 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Center for Holocaust Studies 1610 Avenue J Brooklyn, NY 11230

Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

Leo Baeck Institute 129 East 73rd Street New York, NY 10021

Simon Wiesenthal Center 9760 West Pico Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90035

US Holocaust Memorial Council 200 L Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

be cited indirectly. A citation to Leo Alexander's The Treatment of Shock from Prolonged Exposure to Cold, Especially in Water9 is an example of indirectly referring to Nazi "experimental" data. Alexander, a major in the US Army Medical Corps, and the psychiatric consultant to the Secretary of War and to the Chief of Counsel for War Crimes at the Nuremberg "Doctors' Trial," wrote a report summarizing the Nazi "experiments" at Dachau in which victims were immersed in cold water and often died. The report, originally classified, was made public in 1945 and published by the Office of Publication Board, US Department of Commerce. The Alexander report was cited in at least 44 publications during the period 1955-1984.

While the Voegt article and the Alexander report would not qualify as Citation Classics®, our citation searches for these two works demonstrate that the ethical dilemma posed by the existence of such papers is a real one. Of course, our analysis reveals only those instances in which the researcher decided in favor

of citing the data (or made no ethical judgment because he or she was unaware of the source of the data). We do not know how many researchers have refused, whether explicitly or implicitly, to cite Nazi data on ethical grounds.

We have developed and are printing here three select bibliographies (Tables 2-4). The first bibliography lists studies on the physiological and psychological effects of the concentration-camp experience on survivors. The second lists books and articles concerning medicine in Nazi Germany and "experimentation" on concentration-camp victims. The third bibliography includes studies about science and scientists under the Third Reich. These bibliographies are limited to works published in English,

French and German; however, readers should remember that numerous and highly important Hebrew, Polish, Russian, and Slavic language studies on these topics are available and will be consulted with profit by those who read these languages.

With these three bibliographies, the Moe article, and the Radil-Weiss article that we reprinted last week, ¹⁰ we conclude our commemoration of the 40th anniversary of the end of World War II, and our look at the Holocaust and its reflection in the scientific literature. However, the broader issue of involuntary participation in medical experiments, among other ethical questions, will continue to be the subject of review in *Current Contents*[®].

Table 2: Physiological and psychological effects of the concentration-camp experience on survivors. (A select bibliography.)

- Antonovsky A, Maoz B, Dowty N & Wijsenbeek H. Twenty-five years later: a limited study of the sequelae of the concentration camp experience. Soc. Psychiat. 6:186-93, 1971.
- Bensheim H. Die K.Z.-Neurose rassisch Verfolgter (The concentration-camp syndrome of the racially persecuted). Nervenarzt 31:462-9, 1960.
- Berger D M. Recovery and regression in concentration camp survivors: a psychodynamic re-evaluation. Can. J. Psychiat. 30(1):54-9, 1985.
- Bettelheim B. Surviving and other essays. New York: Knopf, 1979. 432 p.
- Brenner R R. The faith and doubt of Holocaust survivors. New York: Free Press, 1980. 266 p.
- Chodoff P. Effects of extreme coercive and oppressive forces: brainwashing and concentration camps. (Arieti S, ed.) American handbook of psychiatry. New York: Basic Books, 1966. Vol. 3. p. 384-405.

- Cohen E A. Human behavior in the concentration camp. London: J. Cape, 1954. 295 p.
- Daniell Y. Differing adaptational styles in families of survivors of the Nazi Holocaust. Child. Today 10:6-10; 34-5, 1981.
- Davidson S. The clinical effects of massive psychic trauma in families of Holocaust survivors.

 J. Marital Fam. Ther. 6:11-21, 1980.
- Dimsdale J E. The coping behavior of Nazi concentration camp survivors. Amer. J. Psychiat. 131:792-7, 1974.
- -----, ed. Survivors, victims, and perpetrators. Washington, DC: Hemisphere, 1980. 474 p.
- Dor-Shav N K. On the long-range effects of concentration camp internment on Nazi victims: 25 years later. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 46:1-11, 1978.
- Eaton W W, Sigal J J & Weinfeld M. Impairment in Holocaust survivors after 33 years: data from an unbiased community sample. Amer. J. Psychiat. 139:773-7, 1982.
- Eltinger L. Concentration camp survivors in Norway and Israel. Oslo, Norway: Universitetsforlaget, 1964, 199 p.

- Eitinger L & Strøm A. Mortality and morbidity after excessive stress. New York: Humanities Press, 1973, 153 p.
- Fédération Internationale des Résistants. La thérapeutique de l'asthénie et de la sénescence prématurée chez les anciens déportés et résistants (Therapy for those suffering from asthenia and premature senescence among former [concentration-camp] deportees and Resistance fighters). Proceedings of the 3rd International Medical Conference, 17-19 March 1961, Liège, Belgium. Vienna, Austria: Éditions de la FIR, 1961. 272 p.
- Frankl V E. Man's search for meaning. New York: Washington Square Press, 1963. 222 p.
- -----. Ein Psycholog erlebt das Konzentrationslager (A psychologist survives the concentration camp). Vienna, Austria: Verlag für Jugend und Volk, 1947. 130 p.
- Friedman P. Some aspects of concentration camp psychology. Amer. J. Psychiat. 105:601-5, 1949.
- Gronvik O & Lonnum A. Neurological conditions in former concentration camp inmates.

 J. Neuropsychiat. 4:50-4, 1962.
- Gyomrol E L. The analysis of a young concentration camp victim. Psychoanal. Stud. Child. 18:484-510, 1963.
- Helweg-Larsen P, Hoffmeyer H, Kieler I, Hess Thaysen E, Hess Thaysen I, Thygesen P & Hertel Wulff M. Famine disease in German concentration camps: complications and sequels. (Whole issue.)

 Acta Med. Scand. 144(Suppl. 274), 1952. 460 p.
- Hoppe K D. The aftermath of Nazi persecution reflected in recent psychiatric literature. Int. Psychiat. Clin. 8:169-204, 1971.

- The psychodynamics of concentration camp victims. Psychoanal. Forum 1:75-85, 1966.
- Iacob W. Gesellschaftliche Voraussetzungen zur Überwindung der KZ-Schaden (Social prerequisites for the amelioration of the effects of the concentration-camp experience). Nervenarzt 32:542-5, 1961.
- Kautsky B. Teufel und Verdammte; Erfahrungen und Erkenntnisse aus sieben Jahren in deutschen Konzentrationslagern (Satan and the damned; experience and knowledge from seven years in a German concentration camp). Zürich: Büchergilde Gutenberg, 1946. 328 p.
- Klein H, Zellermayer J & Shanan J. Former concentration camp inmates on a psychiatric ward. Arch. Gen. Psychiat. 8:334-42, 1963.
- Kogon E. The theory and practice of hell; the German concentration camps and the system behind them. New York: Farrar, Straus, 1950. 307 p.
- Krell R. Holocaust survivors and their children: comments on psychiatric consequences and psychiatric terminology. Compr. Psychiat. 25:521-8, 1984.
- Krystal H. The aging survivor of the Holocaust. J. Geriat. Psychiat. (Whole issue.) 14(2), 1981. 287 p.
- -----, ed. Massive psychic trauma. New York: International Universities Press, 1968. 369 p.
- Leon G R, Butcher J N, Kleinman M, Goldberg A & Almagor M. Survivors of the Holocaust and their children: current status and adjustment. J. Personal. Soc. Psychol. 41:503-16, 1981.
- Levav I & Abramson J H. Emotional distress among concentration camp survivors—a community study in Jerusalem. Psychol. Med. 14:215-8, 1984.
- Levinger L. Psychiatrische Untersuchungen in Israel an 800 Fällen mit Gesundheitsschaden-Forderungen wegen Nazi-Verfolgung (Psychiatric studies on 800 Israeli citizens seeking reparations for health injuries sustained from the Nazi persecution). Nervenarzt 33:75-80, 1962.
- Luchterhand E. Early and late effects of imprisonment in Nazi concentration camps. Soc. Psychiat. 5:102-10, 1970.
- Matussek P. Die Konzentrationslagerhaft als Belastungssituation. (Concentration camp imprisonment as a stress situation). Nervenarzt 32:538-42, 1961.

- Meertoo J A M. Delayed mourning in victims of extermination camps. J. Hillside Hosp. 12:96-8, 1963.
- Amer. J. Psychiat. 120:65-6, 1963.
- Müller-Hegemann D & Spitzner G. Reihenuntersuchungen bei Verfolgten des Naziregimes—mit besonderer Berücksichtigung von Einzelhaftfolgen (Sequential studies with victims of the Nazi regime, with special attention to prisoners in solitary confinement). Deut. Gesund. Wochenschr. Gesam. Med. 18:107-16. 1963.
- Nathan T S, Eltinger L & Winnik H Z. A psychiatric study of survivors of the Nazi Holocaust. A study in hospitalized patients. Isr. Ann. Psychiat. Relat. Discipl. 2:47-80, 1964.
- Niederland W G. Clinical observations on the "survivor syndrome." Int. J. Psycho-Anal. 49:313-5, 1968.

- Ornstein A. The aging survivor of the Holocaust. The effects of the Holocaust on life-cycle experiences: the creation and recreation of families. J. Geriat. Psychiat. 14:135-63, 1981.
- Rappaport E A. Beyond traumatic neurosis. Int. J. Psycho-Anal. 49:719-31, 1968.
- Rieck M & Eltinger L. Controlled psychodiagnostic studies of survivors of the Holocaust and their children. Isr. J. Psychiat. Relat. Sci. 20:312-24, 1983.
- Roden R G. Suicide and Holocaust survivors. Isr. J. Psychiat. Relat. Sci. 19:129-35, 1982.
- Schmolling P. Human reactions to the Nazi concentration camps: a summing up. J. Hum. Stress 10:108-20. 1984.
- Shuval J T. Some persistent effects of trauma: five years after the Nazi concentration camps. Soc. Probl. 5:230-43, 1957-58.
- Strøm A C S, ed. Norwegian concentration camp survivors. New York: Humanities Press, 1968. 185 p.
- Tas J. Psychical disorders among inmates of concentration camps and repatriates. Psychiat. Quart. 25:679-90, 1951.
- Thygesen P. The concentration camp syndrome. Dan. Med. Bull. 27:224-8, 1980.
- Trautman E C. Psychiatrische Untersuchungen an Überlebenden der nationalsozialistischen Vernichtungslager 15 Jahre nach der Befreiung (Psychiatric studies on survivors of the Nazi extermination camps 15 years after their emancipation). Nervenarzt 32:545-51, 1961.
- Tuteur W. One hundred concentration camp survivors. Twenty years later. Isr. Ann. Psychiat. Relat. Discipl. 4:78-90, 1966.
- Uttz E. Psychologie des Lebens im Konzentrationslager Theresienstadt (Psychology of life in the Theresienstadt concentration camp). Vienna, Austria: Continental Edition Verlag A. Sexl, 1948. 80 p.
- von Baeyer W, Häfner H & Kisker K P. Psychiatrie der Verfolgten (Psychiatry of victims). Berlin, FRG: Springer, 1964. 397 p.
- Weinfeld M, Sigal J J & Eaton W W. Long-term effects of the Holocaust on selected social attitudes and behaviors of survivors: a cautionary note, Soc. Forces 60:1-19, 1981.
- Winnick H Z. Further comments concerning problems of late psychopathological effects of Nazipersecution and their therapy. Isr. Ann. Psychiat. Relat. Discipl. 5:1-16, 1967.
- Table 3: Medicine in Nazi Germany and "experimentation" on concentration-camp victims. (A select bibliography.)
- Alexander L. Medical science under dictatorship. N. Engl. J. Med. 241:39-47, 1949.
- DC: US Department of Commerce, Office of the Publication Board, 1945. Report No. 250. 228 p.
- Bayle F. Croix gammée contre caducée: les expériences humaines en Allemagne pendant la Deuxième Guerre Mondiale (Swastika against caduceus: human experimentation in Germany during World War II). Neustadt, FRG: [n.p.], 1950. 1521 p.
- Boozer J S. Children of Hippocrates: doctors in Nazi Germany. Ann. Amer. Acad. Polit. Soc. Sci. 450:83-97, 1980.
- Brieger G H. The medical profession. (Priedlander H & Milton S, eds.) The Holocaust: ideology, bureaucracy, and genocide. Millwood, NY: Kraus International, 1980. p. 141-50.

- Bringmann F. Kindermord am Bullenhuserdamm (The murder of children at Bullenhuser Damm). Frankfort, FRG: Röderberg, 1978. 72 p.
- Feltes J & Langers P. Menschenexperimente in Dachau (Human experimentation in Dachau). Esch-Alzette, Luxembourg: A. Wagner, 1945. 67 p.
- Flood P, ed. Medical experimentation on man. Cork, Ireland: Mercier Press, 1955. 145 p.
- Hollander A. The tribulations of Jewish dermatologists under the Nazi regime. Amer. J. Dermatopathol. 5:19-26, 1983.
- International Auschwitz Committee. Anthology. Warsaw, Poland: IAC, 1970-71. 3 vols.
- International Committee of the Red Cross. On behalf of victims of pseudo-medical experiments: Red Cross action. Int. Rev. Red Cross (142):3-21, 1973.
- Ivy A C. Nazi war crimes of a medical nature. Fed. Bull. 33:129-31; 133-46, 1947.
- Kaul F K. Ärzte in Auschwitz (Doctors in Auschwitz). Berlin, FRG: Volk und Gesundheit, 1968. 337 p.
- Lachman E. Anatomist of infamy: August Hirt. Bull. Hist. Med. 51:594-602, 1977.
- Lifton R J. Medicalized killing in Auschwitz. Psychiatry 45:283-97, 1982.
- Mendelsohn J, ed. The Holocaust. 9. Medical experiments on Jewish inmates of concentration camps. New York: Garland, 1982. 245 p.
- Mellanby K. Human guinea pigs. London: Merlin Press, 1973. 200 p.
- Mitscherlich A & Mielke F. Doctors of infamy. The story of the Nazi medical crimes. New York: Henry Schuman, 1949, 172 p. (German edition: Medizin ohne Menschlichkeit. Frankfort, FRG: Fischer Bücherei, 1960.)
- Rosencher H. Medicine in Dachau. Brit. Med. J. 2:953-5, 1946.
- Schwarberg G. The murders at Bullenhuser Damm: the SS doctor & the children. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 1984. 192 p. (German edition: Der SS-Ärzt und die Kinder: Bericht über d. Mord vom Bullenhuser Damm. Hamburg, FRG: Gruner und Jahr, 1979, 223 p.)
- Ternon Y & Helman S. Les médecins allemands et le National-Socialisme (German physicians and Naziism). Paris: Casterman, 1973. 218 p.
- Trials of war criminals before the Nürnberg Military Tribunals under Control Council law no. 10. Nürnberg, October 1946-April 1949. Washington, DC: GPO, 1949-53, 15 vols.
- Wuttke-Groneberg W. Medizin im Nationalsozialismus: ein Arbeitsbuch (Medicine in Nazi Germany: a record). Rottenburg, FRG: Schwäbische Verlagsgesellschaft, 1982. 413 p.
- Table 4: Science and scientists under the Third Reich. (A select bibliography.)
- Beyerchen A D. Scientists under Hitler. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1977. 287 p.
- Cocks G. Psychotherapy in the Third Reich: the Göring Institute. New York: Oxford University Press, 1985. 326 p.
- Duggan S & Drury B. The rescue of science and learning. New York: Macmillan, 1948. 214 p.
- Goudsmit S A. Alsos. Los Angeles, CA: Tomash, 1983. 259 p.
- Haberer J. Politics and the community of science. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1969. 337 p.
- Hoch P K. The reception of Central European refugee physicists of the 1930s: USSR, UK, USA. Ann. Sci. 40:217-46, 1983.
- Holt N R. Monists & Nazis: a question of scientific responsibility. Hastings Center Report 5(2):37-43, 1975
- Holton G. The migration of physicists to the United States. Bull. Atom. Sci. 40(4):18-24, 1984.
- Hunt L. US coverup of Nazi scientists. Bull. Atom. Sci. 41(4):16-24, 1985.

- Huzel D K. Peenemünde to Canaveral. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1962. 247 p.
- Irving D J C. The virus house. London: Kimber, 1967. 288 p.
- Iackman J C & Borden C M, eds. The muses flee Hitler: cultural transfer and adaptation, 1930-1945.
 Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1983. 347 p.
- Lasby C G. Project Paperclip. New York: Atheneum, 1971, 338 p.
- Ludwig K-H. Technik und Ingenieure im Dritten Reich (Technology and engineering in the Third Reich). Düsseldorf, FRG: Droste, 1974. 544 p.
- Michaelis A R. The recovery of science in Germany. Interdisciplin. Sci. Rev. 6:283-311, 1981.
- Mehrtens H & Richter S, eds. Naturwissenschaft. Technik und NS-Ideologie: Beiträge zur Wissenschaftsgeschichte des Dritten Reichs (Natural sciences, technology and Nazi ideology: contributions to the history of science under the Third Reich). Frankfort, FRG: Suhrkamp, 1980. 288 p.
- Michel J & Nucera L. Dora. New York: Holt, Reinhart and Winston, 1980. 308 p.
- Müller-Hill B. Tödliche Wissenschaft: Die Aussonderung von Juden, Zigeunern und Geisteskranken 1933-1945 (Deadly science: the elimination of Jews, Gypsies and the mentally ill, 1933-1945). Hamburg, FRG: Rowohlt Taschenbuch, 1984, 188 p.
- Needham I. The Nazi attack on international science. Science, internationalism, and war. New York: Arno Press, 1975. p. 154-98.
- Pini M & Furtmüller L. Mathematicians under Hitler. (Weltsch R, ed.) Leo Baeck Institute Year Book XVIII. New York: Secker & Warburg, 1973. p. 129-82.
- Planck M. Mein Besuch bei Hitler (My visit with Hitler). Phys. Blätter 3:143, 1947.
- Reingold N. Refugee mathematicians in the United States of America, 1933-1941. Reception and reaction. Ann. Sci. 38:313-38, 1981.
- Smith W B. Chemistry and the Holocaust. J. Chem. Educ. 59:836-8, 1982.
- Weinreich M. Hitler's professors. New York: Yiddish Scientific Institute, 1946. 291 p.
- Zwerling C S. The influence of the Nazis on physics in German universities. Dissertation, Harvard University, 1966.

REFERENCES

- Mitscherlich A & Mielke F. Doctors of infamy: the story of the Nazi medical crimes. New York: Henry Schuman, 1949. 172 p.
- Dixon B. Citations of shame. New Sci. 105(1445):31, 1985.
- 3. Moe K. Should the Nazi research data be cited? Hastings Center Report 14(6):5-7, 1984.
- 4. Medical data with Nazi taint. J.-Amer. 15 April 1984. p. A1; A4.
- 5. ----. First aid in the cold comes from Nazi data. J.-Amer. 16 April 1984. p. A1; A4.
- 6. ----- Avoiding abuses of medical ethics. J.-Amer. 17 April 1984. p. A1; A2.
- Wuttke-Groneberg W. Medizin im Nationalsozialismus: ein Arbeitsbuch (Medicine in Nazi Germany: a record). Rottenburg, FRG: Schwäbische Verlagsgesellschaft, 1982. p. 322-5.
- Voegt H. Zur Aetiologie der Hepatitis epidemica (Etiology of infectious hepatitis). München. Med. Wochenschr. 89(4):76-9, 1942.
- Alexander L. The treatment of shock from prolonged exposure to cold, especially in water.
 Washington, DC: US Department of Commerce, Office of the Publication Board, 1945. Report No. 250. 228 p.
- 10. Garfield E. Remembering the Holocaust, Part 1. Current Contents (27):3-13, 8 July 1985.

Should the Nazi Research Data Be Cited?

by Kristine Moe

The gruesome medical experiments that Nazi doctors conducted on unconsenting prisoners in concentration camps in World War II are notorious. The revelations at the Nuremberg "doctors' trial" in 1946-47 sparked intensive discussion of how to protect future research subjects from improper and even criminal actions. One tangible

Reprinted by permission of the Hastings Center Report, December, 1984. © Institute of Society, Ethics, and the Life Sciences, 360 Broadway, Hastings-on-Hudson, NY 10706.

result was the Nuremberg Code, which is one of the major sources of the current federal research regulations in the United States.

Debates have also raged over whether to allow publication of the results of research that have been deemed unethical. Not as much attention, however, has focused on what to do about research, now labeled unethical, that has already been published. Should the work ever be cited?

The issue may strike some as merely an academic exercise, a case of pursuing an argument to a logical but absurd extreme. Yet a research article is only as strong as the data that support it. The long lists of monotonous references at the end of an article generally elicit a dispassionate response. Imagine my consternation, then, when I found in an otherwise conventional 1983 review on hypothermia—the effects of cold on the body—a citation to the Dachau experiments in a Nazi report that had been published in the proceedings on the Nuremberg war trials.

And this is not an isolated instance. At least forty-five research articles published since World War II draw upon data from the Nazi experiments. Most of these articles are in the field of hypothermia research. The articles quote the measurements collected by Nazi doctors when they plunged Dachau prisoners, usually naked, into tanks of ice water and left them for two to five hours to shiver and often die.

The issues surrounding the use of Nazi data are similar to the concerns regarding the original publication of unethical research. The Nazi experiments, however, have already been published in court documents and even some German medical journals. In both published and unpublished cases there are questions of the scientific validity of the data and of the propriety of referring to it. If the experiments were conducted in an unethical manner, can the results be considered reliable? If the results are useful, can we afford to ignore them? Does the use of the data imply an endorsement of the methods by which they were gathered, and provide a justification for further unethical research?

Is the Conventional Wisdom Wise?

Most people assume that the Nazi experiments were not only criminal and morally repugnant, but that they yielded nothing of scientific value. Brig. Gen. Telford Taylor, chief counsel for the prosecution in the

Nuremberg trial, claimed in his opening statement: "These experiments revealed nothing which civilized medicine can use." During the trial, Taylor successfully challenged the Nazis' defense that their actions were scientifically useful. Fifteen of twenty-three defendants were convicted; seven were sentenced to death by hanging, and the others to long prison terms. Most commentators on the Nazi experiments, if they even raised the issue, have echoed or supported Taylor's contention.

This denial of scientific validity to Nazi research may have become entrenched partly to distance the Nazi doctors from postwar researchers, who argued that the medical profession could regulate itself to ensure good science and good ethics without needing participation of government and lay people. The Nazi doctors' failure to do so was an awkward hole in their argument, so researchers willingly agreed with Taylor that the Nazi doctors were simply exceptions, out of the mainstream of science. Now, as it has become more accepted for lay people to participate in medical research review-through regulations, institutional review boards, and other policies—this argument has become less important.

Despite the conventional wisdom, many of the scientists I spoke to regard the Nazi data as useful and necessary to their work. Typical was the comment by John S. Hayward of the University of Victoria in British Columbia, who uses the Nazi measurements of the rate of body cooling in cold water: "I don't want to have to use this data, but there is no other and will be no other in an ethical world. I've rationalized it a little bit. But to not use it would be equally bad. I'm trying to make something constructive out of it. I use it with my guard up, but it's useful."

Much of his hypothermia research involves testing cold-water survival suits that are put on on fishing boats in Canada's frigid ocean waters. He uses the Nazi cooling curves to extrapolate how long the suits would protect people at near-fatal temperatures—information used by search-and-rescue teams to determine the likelihood that a capsized boater is still alive.

Hayward says he wouldn't trust any of the other information from the Nazi hypothermia reports. For example, the Nazis documented the specific temperatures at which the prisoners became unconscious, had irregular heart beats, or died. Those data are not of general value, he says, since they were measurements of emaciated individuals with

little insulating body fat. Even though the specific temperatures are not reliable, Hayward says, the general linear shape of the cooling curve as a person nears death appears to be consistent with the cooling curve at warmer temperatures. Therefore he believes it to be valid.

After the war, Leo Alexander, a major in the US Army Medical Corps, evaluated the Nazi experiments on hypothermia and concluded that the experiments appeared to have been conducted in a reliable manner. He wrote a classified report on the experiments entitled, "The Treatment of Shock from Prolonged Exposure to Cold, Especially in Water." in which he stated that the experiments on humans had been unnecessary since earlier studies with animals showed the same results.1 He also described Sigmund Rascher, the chief orchestrator of the hypothermia experiments, as a man who "wallowed in blood...and in obscenity." Nonetheless. Alexander declared that most of the Nazi hypothermia data "satisfies all of the criteria of objective and accurate observation and interpretation."

Now a psychiatrist near Boston, Alexander told me recently that scientists using information from the Nazi experiments were "overimpressed with the originality of the research. I've never believed there was any original contribution the Nazis did. If they had never done these experiments, science would be no different today." His report, declassified after the war and now available through the National Archives, has been the source of most of the modern references.

Robert Harnett of Louisiana Technical University, another hypothermia researcher who has cited the Nazi data, admits that they are weak. He uses them only to corroborate more reliable experimental results and case reports of accidental hypothermia.

This grudging acceptance of the usefulness of the Nazi data is countered by a more clear-cut opposition. The Nazi experiments "are such a gross violation of human standards that they are not to be trusted at all," Arnold Relman, editor of the New England Journal of Medicine, asserts. That view is echoed by other scientists and Holocaust scholars. "I wouldn't trust the man who produced the data; how can you trust a man who would do that?" said Seymour Siegel, executive director of the US Holocaust Memorial Council. Commenting on the link between bad ethics and bad science, philosopher Allen Buchanan of the University of Arizona said: "I found that in the vast major-

ity of cases [reviewed in five years of work on a human subjects review committee at the University of Minnesota], the experiments that are ethically unsound are also scientifically unsound. Very rarely have I seen an experiment that is very good and valuable that had serious ethical problems. Most of the time if there was an ethical consideration, it could be eliminated without destroying the scientific value."

The debate over the validity of the Nazi research data raises the more general question of what standards a journal ought to apply in judging the ethical acceptability of research. The late Henry K. Beecher argued in a classic article that information obtained in an unethical manner should not be published lest there be "an odor of hypocrisy" medicine.2 He drew an analogy between the publication of unethically obtained data and the inadmissibility in court of unconstitutionally obtained evidence (although a recent Supreme Court decision on the "exclusionary rule" weakens that analogy). "Even though suppression of such data (by not publishing it) would constitute a loss to medicine in a specific and localized sense," Beecher wrote, "this loss, it seems, would be far less important than the far reaching moral loss to medicine if the data thus obtained were to be published.'

"The two most important and useful sources of information on lethal limits of hypothermia for unanesthetized man are: first, the literature search by Britton of cases reported to 1930...and, second, the Alexander Report of experiments conducted at Dachau during World War II. The former are cases of accidental exposure to subfreezing air temperatures, suffered predominantly by inebriates, whereas the latter deal with cold-water immersion..." Albert H. Hegnauer, Annals New York Academy of Science, Sept. 1959, Vol. 80, p. 315-319.

The military officers who decided to declassify Alexander's report apparently thought publication of the Nazi data would have value. The Army and Navy Office of the Publication Board included this statement on the cover of the document: "The Publication Board, in approving and distributing this report, hopes that it will be of direct benefit to US science and industry." The scientific standards of the New England Journal of Medicine would prevent citation of the Nazi hypothermia experiments, according to Relman. References must come from peer-reviewed journals; the hypothermia information comes from Alexander's

military report. However, some of the modern references to the Nazi data could escape detection under that rule. Some scientists published information collected second-hand from Alexander's report, by citing other journals that had cited Alexander's directly. For example, Nazi data are included in several citations to the Journal of the American Medical Association and the Annual Review of Physiology.

Relman's guidelines are further complicated because some of the Nazi experiments were published in German wartime medical journals. These reports concerned the Nazi experiments with typhus and malaria vaccines, in which prisoners were deliberately infected and left untreated to serve as a control group. The journal articles do not say the research was conducted on prisoners, or that the subjects often died. However, at least one of the scientists who used the information in a 1948 article—John P. Fox of the University of Washington—said he suspected the source of the data at the time he used it.

"I was very much interested in it because of the great problem in getting evidence...of the effectiveness of typhus vaccines," Fox recalled. "The information...was later verified by information gathered legitimately. But at the time, it was of some value in reassuring western investigators" [of the vaccines's value].

The camouflaged nature of the German articles is reason enough to be suspicious of citations to any German wartime medical journal, Relman cautions. He adds that even if the references passed scientific standards, his journal's ethical standards would not allow citations to the Nazi work. "Obviously, the Nazi work is notorious; that would concern me. I would allow references to it only if it were an article about ethical research, he said. Regarding other unethical research. he said, "I could imagine some extreme hypothetical circumstance in which the information was obtained in ways considered trustworthy, but without attention to all the legal and ethical niceties, and we would not want to throw away the information because it was so valuable. But that would have to be an unusual circumstance. In general, we editors have to be prepared to enforce certain ethical standards '

Robert J. Levine, chairman of the Human Investigation Committee at Yale University School of Medicine, takes a somewhat different view. He declares: "If in the judgement of an editor an article is scientifically sound but ethically questionable, the deci-

sion should be to publish the article along with an editorial in which the ethical questions are raised. The author should be notified that this is what is to be done and invited to prepare a rebuttal to be published simultaneously." In Levine's view, the harmful consequences of such an "acceptance" policy would be less than those of a "rejection" policy, which creates the "false illusion that no unethical research is being done."

In fact, many journals have no policy at all regarding the publication of unethical research or the citation of research considered to be unethical. In 1980 Yvonne Brackbill and the late André Hellegers surveyed the editors of major medical journals and found that a majority did not either "instruct reviewing editors to judge manuscripts on the basis of ethics as well as substantive material, methodology, and style" or "require authors to submit evidence of IRB approval along with their manuscripts."

Buchanan says that from a practical standpoint, there would be no easy way to consistently eliminate references to unethical research. "If you exclude from use all the experiments now viewed as unethical, you'd have to tear up half the medical textbooks. There may have to be some kind of concession here to the fact that we are evolving standards of acceptability."

How unethical would research have to be before a person should avoid any reference to it? "Is there some kind of 'line of horribleness' over which you don't cross? I don't think there's any kind of formula you can give," Buchanan said. "Even with the Nazi work, if you came across some experimental data that you thought would save lives right now, then you could say: As a general rule we shouldn't use it, but in this particular case the benefit would be so great, and after all, we're not in any danger at this point of becoming Nazis. You have to look at the data in the political context. In some countries, using that kind of data might be more dangerous than in others."

Another important consideration is the tone in which the unethical work is cited. Some of the modern citations to the Nazi data include comments about the "infamous," "criminal," or "gruesome" experiments. One report describes at length how "(t)hese sordid investigations proved to the satisfaction of the executioners that the best method of resuscitating hypothermia prisoners was by rapid and intensive rewarming."5

Most of the articles, however, offer no opinions or qualifiers about the manner in which the information was obtained. They

present straightforward scientific citations. Harnett, for example, directly quotes the Nazi doctors: "The Dachau experiments report: 'A great number of experimental subjects showed profuse oversecretion of mucus, with vesicular foam at the mouth reminiscent of that seen in pulmonary edema It had no prognostic significance with regard to the fatal or non-fatal outcome of any one experiment." "6

The lack of moral apology for using the Nazi data is disturbing to many people, such as Ronald Banner of the Jewish Ethical Medical Study Group in Philadelphia. "I'm not against someone citing [the experiments], but I'm chagrined that someone would refer to those experiments without mentioning something about the way the information was gained. It shows a lack of conscience. There are times that something, morally, stinks so bad that you have to hold your nose even while you refer to it," he said.

Harnett explained why he didn't include words of censure in his article. "We concluded we didn't think it was necessary to tell people we don't condone murder as a way to get data. We think it is self-evident to a rational person." Banner counters by saying that an author cannot presume the readers have sensitivity to that issue. "The reason this has to be expressed in the scientific journal is because some people read those journals and nothing else. Scientific journal writing is always so cold and calculated to begin with," he said.

Salvaging Some Good

Uncritical censorship is not the answer, though. That would raise a regrettable parallel with a tactic of the Nazis themselves. According to the Berlin correspondent for the Journal of the American Medical Association in 1939, medical students in Germany were forbidden from citing Jewish sources in

their doctoral dissertations unless the citations were "indispensable."

Scientists have learned from other ugly moments in history. Jewish doctors imprisoned within the walls of the Warsaw ghetto in World War II kept extensive clinical notes on how the residents, many of them children, died of starvation. The notes were smuggled out of the ghetto and now are published as a landmark study on hunger disease.7 The survivors of Hiroshima and Nagasaki provided a tragic opportunity to understand more about radiation sickness.

To justify the use of Nazi data in a research article, I would expect scientists to use the findings only in circumstances where the scientific validity is clear and where there is no alternative source of information. With the volumes of data involved, a layman cannot know what can be considered scientifically valid among all the Nazi experiments. However, the experts in each field should critically evaluate the data before using it.

Apparently, many of the data have not undergone that scrutiny, according to Roger Goodman, editor of the desk diary of the Chief Judge of the Nuremburg "doctors' trial."8 He wrote in the introduction to the book that he checked with the German archives where the original Nazi reports are kept, and found that the experimental data had not been touched; "Perhaps it was the brutality and horror occasioned by the events surrounding these experiments that precluded their scientific exploitation. For no effort was made in the postwar years to mine the thousands of research findings for the benefit of peacetime medicine.'

A decision to use the data should not be made without regret or without acknowledging the incomprehensible horror that produced them. We cannot imply any approval of the methods. Nor, however, should we let the inhumanity of the experiments blind us to the possibility that some good may be salvaged from the ashes.

REFERENCES

- 1. Combined Intelligence Objectives Subcommittee, The Treatment of Shock from Prolonged Exposure to Cold. Especially in Water, Item No. 24, Office of the Publication Board, Department of Commerce, Washington, DC, Report No. 250, 1946.

 2. Henry K. Beecher, "Ethics and Clinical Research," New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 274 (1966), pp. 1354-60.
- 3. Robert J. Levine, Ethics and Regulation of Clinical Research (Baltimore, MD) Urban & Schwarzenberg, 1981), p. 20.
 4. Yvonne Brackbill and André Hellegers, "Ethics and Editors," Hastings Center Report, April 1980, pp. 20-24.
 5. John P. Fernardez, et al., "Rapid Active External Rewarming in Accidential Hypothermia,"
- Journal of the American Medical Association, 212 (April 6, 1970) 153-56.
- Robert M. Harnett, et al., "A Review of the Literature Concerning Resuscitation from Hypothermia: Part I—The Problem and General Approaches," Aviation, Space and Environmental Medicine 54 (May 1983), 428.
 Myron Winlek, ed., Hunger Disease (New York: Wiley, 1979).
- 8. Walter B. Beals, The First German War Crimes Trial (Salisbury, NC: Documentary Publications, no date).