

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

v.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,
Defendant

_____ /

FINAL INSTRUCTIONS IN PENALTY PROCEEDINGS

Members of the jury, you have heard all the evidence and the arguments of counsel. It is now your duty to make a decision as to the appropriate sentence that should be imposed upon NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ for each of the seventeen (17) counts of First Degree Murder charged in the indictment. There are two possible punishments: (1) life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, or (2) death.

Each crime and the evidence applicable to it must be considered separately when deciding an appropriate sentence for each count. Also, a separate verdict must be returned as to the appropriate sentence for each of the seventeen (17) counts of First Degree Murder. A decision as to one crime must not affect your decision as to the other crimes charged.

In making your decision, you must first unanimously determine whether the aggravating factor or factors alleged by the State have been proven beyond a

reasonable doubt. An aggravating factor is a circumstance that increases the gravity of a crime or the harm to a victim. No facts other than proven aggravating factors may be considered in support of a death sentence.

AGGRAVATING FACTORS

The aggravating factors alleged by the State are:

- 1. Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of other capital felonies or felonies involving the use or threat of violence to another person.**

The Court further instructs you that where a defendant is convicted of multiple murders, arising from the same criminal episode and involves multiple victims, the contemporaneous conviction of Murder in the First Degree or Attempted Murder in the First Degree as to one victim may support the finding of the prior violent felony aggravator as to the murder of another victim(s).

- a. The crime of First Degree Murder is a capital felony.**

The crimes of Murder in the First Degree of Count I (1), Luke Hoyer; Count II (2), Martin Duque-Anquiano; Count III (3), Gina Mantalto; Count IV (4), Alexander Schachter; Count V (5), Alaina Petty; Count VI (6), Alyssa Alhadeff; Count VII (7), Nicholas Dworet; Count VIII (8), Helena Ramsay; Count IX (9) , Christopher Hixon; Count X (10), Carmen Schentrup; Count XI (11), Aaron Feis; Count XII (12), Scott Biegel; Count XIII (13), Meadow Pollack; Count XIV (14), Cara Loughran; Count XV (15), Joaquin Oliver-Padauy; Count XVI (16), Jaime Guttenberg; and Count XVII (17), Peter Wang are capital felonies.

b. The crime of Attempted First Degree Murder is a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

The crimes of Attempted First Degree Murder of Count XVIII (18), Ashley Baez; Count XIX (19), William Olson; Count XX (20), Kheshava Managapuram; Count XXI (21), Justin Colton; XXII (22), Alexander Dworet; Count XXIII (23), Genesis Valentin; Count XXIV (24), Daniela Menescal; Count XXV (25), Samantha Grady; Count XXVI (26), Samantha Fuentes; Count XXVII (27), Isabel Chequer; Count XXVIII (28), Samantha Mayor; Count XXIX (29), Benjamin Wikander; Count XXX (30), Madeleine Wilford; Count XXXI (31), Marian Kabachenko; Count XXXII (32), Stacey Lippel; Count XXXIII (33), Anthony Borges; and Count XXXIV (34), Kyle Laman are felonies involving the use of violence to another person.

c. The crime of Attempted Aggravated Battery on a Law Enforcement Officer, Sergeant Raymond Beltran, with a Deadly Weapon, case number 18-14129CF10A, is a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

d. The crime of Battery on a Law Enforcement Officer, Sergeant Raymond Beltran, case number 18-14129CF10A, is a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

e. The crime of Depriving Officer, Sergeant Raymond Beltran, of Means of Protection, case number 18-14129CF10A, is a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

This aggravator applies to all seventeen (17) counts of First Degree Murder.

2. Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

This aggravator applies to all seventeen (17) counts of First Degree Murder.

3. The First Degree Murder was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

“Burglary” is defined as the entering of a structure without permission or consent owned by or in the possession of the Broward County School Board and at the time of entering the structure Nikolas Cruz had the intent to commit the crime(s) of First Degree Murder.

This aggravator applies to all seventeen (17) counts of First Degree Murder.

4. The First Degree Murder was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

“Heinous” means extremely wicked or shockingly evil.

“Atrocious” means outrageously wicked and vile.

“Cruel” means designed to inflict a high degree of pain with utter indifference to, or even enjoyment of, the suffering of others.

The kind of crime intended to be included as especially heinous, atrocious, or cruel is one accompanied by additional acts that show that the crime was conscienceless or pitiless and was unnecessarily torturous to Luke Hoyer; Martin Duque-Anquiano; Gina Mantalto; Alexander Schachter; Alaina Petty; Alyssa Alhadeff; Nicholas Dworet; Helena Ramsay; Christopher Hixon; Carmen Schentrup; Aaron Feis; Scott Biegel; Meadow Pollack; Cara Loughran; Joaquin Oliver-Padauy; Jaime Guttenberg; and Peter Wang.

This aggravator applies to all seventeen (17) counts of First Degree Murder.

5. The First Degree Murder was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

“Cold” means the murder was the product of calm and cool reflection.

“Calculated” means having a careful plan or prearranged design to commit murder.

A killing is “premeditated” if it occurs after the defendant consciously decides to kill. The decision must be present in the mind at the time of the killing. The law does not fix the exact period of time that must pass between the formation of the premeditated intent to kill and the killing. The period of time must be long enough to allow reflection by the defendant. The premeditated intent to kill must be formed before the killing.

However, in order for this aggravating factor to apply, a heightened level of premeditation, demonstrated by a substantial period of reflection, is required.

A “pretense of moral or legal justification” is any claim of justification or excuse that, though insufficient to reduce the degree of murder, nevertheless rebuts the otherwise cold, calculated, or premeditated nature of the murder. This aggravator applies to all seventeen (17) counts of First Degree Murder.

6. The First Degree Murder was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws.

This aggravator applies to the First Degree Murders of Count IX (9), Christopher Hixon; Count XI (11), Aaron Feis; and Count XII (12), Scott Biegel.

7. The victim was an appointed public official engaged in the performance of their official duties if the motive for the First Degree Murder was related, in whole or in part, to their official capacity.

For Count IX (9): Christopher Hixon was an appointed public official engaged in the performance of his official duties if the motive for the First Degree Murder was related, in whole or in part, to Christopher Hixon’s official capacity.

For Count XI (11): Aaron Feis was an appointed public official engaged in

the performance of his official duties if the motive for the First Degree Murder was related, in whole or in part, to Aaron Feis' official capacity.

For Count XII (12): Scott Biegel was an appointed public official engaged in the performance of his official duties if the motive for the First Degree Murder was related, in whole or in part, to Scott Biegel's official capacity.

You have heard evidence about the impact of this murder on the family, friends, and community of Luke Hoyer, Martin Duque-Anquiano, Gina Mantalto, Alexander Schachter, Alaina Petty, Alyssa Alhadeff, Nicholas Dworet, Helena Ramsay, Christopher Hixon, Carmen Schentrup, Aaron Feis, Scott Biegel, Meadow Pollack, Cara Loughran, Joaquin Oliver-Padauy, Jaime Guttenberg, and Peter Wang. This evidence was presented to show each victim's uniqueness as an individual and the resultant loss by their death. However, you may not consider this as evidence of an aggravating factor.

As explained before the presentation of evidence, the State has the burden to prove an aggravating factor beyond a reasonable doubt. A reasonable doubt is not a mere possible doubt, a speculative, imaginary, or forced doubt. Such a doubt must not influence you to disregard an aggravating factor if you have an abiding conviction that it exists. On the other hand, if, after carefully considering, comparing, and weighing all the evidence, you do not have an abiding conviction that the aggravating factor exists, or if, having a conviction, it is one which is not

stable but one which waivers and vacillates, then the aggravating factor has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt and you must not consider it in providing a verdict.

A reasonable doubt as to the existence of an aggravating factor may arise from the evidence, a conflict in the evidence, or the lack of evidence. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the existence of an aggravating factor, you must find that it does not exist. However, if you have no reasonable doubt, you should find the aggravating factor does exist.

A finding that an aggravating factor exists must be unanimous, that is, all of you must agree that each presented aggravating factor exists. You will be provided a form to make this finding as to each alleged aggravating factor and you should indicate whether or not you find each aggravating factor has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

If you do not unanimously find that at least one aggravating factor was proven by the State beyond a reasonable doubt, then the defendant is not eligible for the death penalty, and your verdict must be for a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility for parole. At such point, your deliberations are complete.

If, however, you unanimously find that one or more aggravating factors have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, then the defendant is eligible for the death penalty, and you must make additional findings to determine whether the appropriate sentence to be imposed is life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or

death.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

If you do unanimously find the existence of at least one aggravating factor and that the aggravating factor is, or the aggravating factors are, sufficient to impose a sentence of death, the next step in the process is for you to determine whether any mitigating circumstances exist. A mitigating circumstance is anything that supports a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, and can be anything which might indicate that the death penalty is not appropriate. It is not limited to the facts surrounding the crime. A mitigating circumstance may include any aspect of the Defendant's character, background, or life or any circumstance of the offense that may reasonably indicate that the death penalty is not an appropriate sentence in this case.

It is the Defendant's burden to prove that one or more mitigating circumstances exist. Mitigating circumstances do not need to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Instead, the defendant need only establish a mitigating circumstance by the greater weight of the evidence, which means evidence that more likely than not tends to establish the existence of a mitigating circumstance. If you determine by the greater weight of the evidence that a mitigating circumstance exists, you must consider it established and give that evidence such weight as you determine it should receive in reaching your verdict about the appropriate sentence to be imposed. Any juror persuaded as to the existence of a mitigating circumstance

must consider it in this case.

Among the mitigating circumstances you may consider are:

- 1. Nikolas Cruz is a human being**
- 2. Nikolas Cruz has neurodevelopmental disorder associated with prenatal alcohol exposure**
- 3. Nikolas Cruz has Alcohol-Related Neurodevelopmental Disorder**
- 4. Nikolas Cruz was not correctly diagnosed as a child and did not, therefore receive the appropriate interventions at various stages of his life**
- 5. Nikolas Cruz was exposed to alcohol in utero**
- 6. Nikolas Cruz was exposed to drugs in utero**
- 7. Nikolas Cruz was exposed to nicotine utero**
- 8. Nikolas Cruz's birth mother did not obtain proper prenatal care**
- 9. Nikolas Cruz was 19 years of age at the time of the crime.**
- 10. Nikolas Cruz's brain was not fully developed at the time of the crime.**
- 11. Nikolas Cruz witnessed death of his father**
- 12. Nikolas Cruz grew up without a father – raised by single mother**
- 13. Nikolas Cruz witnessed the death of his adoptive mother shortly before the crime**
- 14. Nikolas Cruz lived with parent who abused alcohol**
- 15. Nikolas Cruz's adoptive mother was not forthcoming to medical, mental**

- 16. health and educational providers regarding his birth mother's use of alcohol, drugs and nicotine during pregnancy**
- 17. Nikolas Cruz's adoptive mother was inconsistent with his medication regimen**
- 18. Nikolas Cruz's adoptive mother did not follow all the recommendations of his medical, mental health and educational providers**
- 19. Nikolas Cruz suffered food deprivation throughout his childhood**
- 20. Medicated throughout his childhood**
- 21. Nikolas Cruz is immature for his age**
- 22. Nikolas Cruz struggled academically throughout his life**
- 23. Nikolas Cruz continues to try to educate himself, despite incarceration and learning difficulty**
- 24. Nikolas Cruz was sexually abused by a trusted peer**
- 25. Nikolas Cruz has the following deficits or disorders or impairments:**
 - a. developmentally delayed**
 - b. severe phonological delay**
 - c. memory deficits**
 - d. motor function delays**
 - e. neurological impairments**
 - f. social skills impairments**
 - g. adaptive functioning deficits**

- h. executive functioning deficits**
- i. central nervous system abnormalities**
- j. independent functioning deficits**
- k. cognitive deficits**
- l. intellectual deficits**

26. Nikolas Cruz was diagnosed with the following during his life:

- a. Attention deficit disorder**
- b. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder**
- c. Oppositional defiance disorder**
- d. Reactive attachment disorder**
- e. Learning disability**
- f. Speech and language delay**
- g. Pervasive developmental disorder**
- h. Developmental receptive language disorder**
- i. Conduct disorder**
- j. Disruptive mood dysregulation disorder**
- k. Impulse control disorder**
- l. Anxiety disorder**
- m. Antisocial personality disorder**
- n. Borderline personality disorder**

27. Nikolas Cruz experienced risk factors of Antisocial Personality

Disorder including:

- a. Unstable or erratic parenting.**
 - b. Inconsistent parental discipline**
 - c. Having two first degree relatives (his mother and sister) that exhibit Antisocial behavior**
- 28. Nikolas Cruz was declared a vulnerable adult due to mental illness by the Florida Department of Children and Families**
- 29. Nikolas Cruz was bullied and ostracized by younger brother and peers**
- 30. Nikolas Cruz lacked self-confidence and had feelings of inadequacy growing up**
- 31. Nikolas Cruz grew up in a verbally abusive household**
- 32. Nikolas Cruz has remorse**
- 33. Nikolas Cruz was a diligent and conscientious employee and has a good employment history**
- 34. Nikolas Cruz pled guilty and accepted responsibility for his crimes**
- 35. Nikolas Cruz has the ability to succeed in a highly structured and supervised environment such as Florida State Prison**
- 36. Nikolas Cruz exhibited appropriate courtroom behavior**
- 37. Nikolas Cruz is currently under the care of a psychologist and a psychiatrist in the Broward County Jail**
- 38. Nikolas Cruz was compliant with his medication regimen in the**

Broward County Jail

39. Nikolas Cruz will be punished in prison and society will be protected

**40. Nikolas Cruz is loved by people who will continue to be present in his
life**

**41. The existence of any other factors in defendant's character,
background, or life or the circumstances of the offense that would
mitigate against the imposition of the death penalty.**

Your decision regarding the appropriate sentence should be based upon proven aggravating factors and established mitigating circumstances that have been presented to you during these proceedings.

The next step in the process is for each of you to determine whether the aggravating factor or factors that you have unanimously found to exist outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstance or circumstances that you have individually found to exist. The process of weighing aggravating factors and mitigating circumstances is not a mechanical or mathematical process. In other words, you should not merely total the number of aggravating factors and compare that number to the total number of mitigating circumstances. The law contemplates that different factors or circumstances may be given different weight or values by different jurors. Therefore, in your decision-making process, each individual juror must decide what weight is to be given to a particular factor or circumstance. Regardless of the results of each juror's individual weighing process – even if you find that the sufficient aggravators outweigh the mitigators – the law neither compels nor requires you to determine that the Defendant should be sentenced to death.

Once each juror has weighed the proven factors, he or she must determine the appropriate punishment for the Defendant. The jury's decision regarding the appropriate sentence must be unanimous if death is to be imposed. To repeat what I have said, if your verdict is that the Defendant should be sentenced to death, your

finding that each aggravating factor exists must be unanimous, your finding that the aggravating factor or factors are sufficient to impose death must be unanimous, and your finding that the aggravating factor or factors found to exist outweigh(s) the established mitigating circumstances must be unanimous, and your decision to impose a sentence of death must be unanimous.

You will be provided seventeen (17) forms to reflect your findings and decision regarding the appropriate sentence for each count of First Degree Murder. If your vote on the appropriate sentence is less than unanimous, the Defendant will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole.

The fact that the jury can make its decision on a single ballot should not influence you to act hastily or without due regard to the gravity of these proceedings. Before you vote, you should carefully consider and weigh the evidence, realizing that a human life is at stake, and bring your best judgment to bear in reaching your verdict.

WEIGHING THE EVIDENCE (Page 1 of 2)

When considering aggravating factors and mitigating circumstances, it is up to you to decide which evidence is reliable. You should use your common sense in deciding which is the best evidence and which evidence should not be relied upon in making your decision as to what sentence should be imposed. You may find some of the evidence not reliable, or less reliable than other evidence.

You should consider how the witnesses acted, as well as what they said. Some things you should consider are:

1. Did the witness seem to have an opportunity to see and know the things about which the witness testified?
2. Did the witness seem to have an accurate memory?
3. Was the witness honest and straightforward in answering the attorneys' questions?
4. Did the witness have some interest in how the case should be decided?
5. Did the witness's testimony agree with the other testimony and other evidence in the case?
6. Had the witness been offered or received any money, preferred treatment or other benefit in order to get the witness to testify?
7. Had any pressure or threat been used against the witness that affected the truth of the witness's testimony?

8. Did the witness at some other time make a statement that is inconsistent with

WEIGHING THE EVIDENCE (Page 2 of 2)

the testimony he or she gave in court?

9. Has the witness been convicted of a felony or of a misdemeanor involving dishonesty or false statement?

The fact that a witness is employed in law enforcement does not mean that their testimony deserves more or less consideration than that of any other witness.

Expert witnesses are like other witnesses with one exception-the law permits an expert witness to give an opinion. However, an expert's opinion is only reliable when given on a subject about which you believe that person to be an expert. Like other witnesses, you may believe or disbelieve all or any part of an expert's testimony.

It is entirely proper for a lawyer to talk to a witness about what testimony the witness would give if called to the courtroom. The witness should not be discredited by talking to a lawyer about their testimony.

You may rely upon your own conclusion about the credibility of any witness. A juror may believe or disbelieve all or any part of the evidence or the testimony of any witness.

The defendant exercised a fundamental right by choosing not to be a witness in this case. You must not be influenced in any way by his decision. No juror should ever be concerned that the defendant did or did not take the witness stand to give

testimony in the case.

RULES FOR DELIBERATION (Page 1 of 4)

These are some general rules that apply to your discussions. You must follow these rules in order to make a lawful decision.

1. You must follow the law as it is set out in these instructions. If you fail to follow the law, your decision will be a miscarriage of justice. There is no reason for failing to follow the law in this case. All of us are depending upon you to make wise and legal decisions in this matter.
2. Your decisions must be based only upon the evidence that you have heard from the testimony of the witnesses, have seen in the form of exhibits in evidence, and these instructions.
3. Your decision must not be based upon the fact that you feel sorry for anyone or are angry at anyone.
4. Remember, the lawyers are not on trial. Your feelings about them should not influence your decisions.
5. Your decisions should not be influenced by feelings of prejudice or racial or ethnic bias. Your decisions must be based on the evidence and the law contained in these instructions.

RULES FOR DELIBERATION (Page 2 of 4)

In just a few moments you will be taken to the jury room by the court deputy. The first thing you should do is choose a foreperson who will preside over your deliberations. The foreperson should see to it that your discussions are carried on in an organized way and that everyone has a fair chance to be heard. When you have reached decisions in conformity with these instructions, the appropriate forms should be signed and dated by your foreperson.

Your decision must be in writing and for your convenience the necessary verdict forms have been prepared for you. They are as follows:

During deliberations, jurors must communicate about the case only with one another and only when all jurors are present in the jury room. If a juror goes to the restroom the deliberations should stop until the juror returns. You are not to communicate with any person outside the jury about this case, and you must not talk about this case in person or through the telephone, writing, or electronic communication, such as a blog, Twitter, e-mail, text message, or any other means.

Many of you have cell phones here in the courtroom. The rules do not allow you to bring your phones into the jury room. Kindly leave your cellphones on your seats where they will be guarded by the court deputy while you deliberate.

Do not contact anyone to assist you during deliberations. These

communications rules apply until I discharge you at the end of the case. If you

RULES FOR DELIBERATION (Page 3 of 4)

become aware of any violation of these instructions or any other instruction I have given in this case, you must tell me by giving a note to the court deputy.

Remember, as I told you at the beginning of the trial, any notes that you took will be for your personal use. After you have completed your deliberations, the court deputy will deliver your notes to me. They will be destroyed. No one will ever read your notes.

Your notes should be used only as aids to your memory.

Whether or not you took notes, you should rely on your memory of the evidence and you should not be unduly influenced by the notes of other jurors. Notes are not entitled to any greater weight than each juror's memory of the evidence.

During this trial, items were received into evidence as exhibits. You may examine whatever exhibits you think will help you in your deliberations. These exhibits will be sent into the jury room with you when you begin to deliberate. Prior to the start of deliberations, you will be shown how to operate the equipment in the jury room which will allow you to view or hear any recordings. Firearms sent back to the jury room will be rendered inoperable so they cannot be discharged. If you wish to view ammunition or biohazardous material, please put that request in writing and we will decide upon the best way to facilitate that request.

I cannot participate in your deliberations in any way. Please disregard

anything I may have said or done that made you think I preferred one decision over

RULES FOR DELIBERATION (Page 4 of 4)

another. If you need to communicate with me, send a note through the court deputy, signed by the foreperson. If you have questions, I will talk with the attorneys before I answer, so it may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while you wait for my answer. I will answer any questions, if I can, in writing or orally here in open court.

In closing, let me remind you that it is important that you follow the law spelled out in these instructions. There are no other laws that apply to this case. Even if you do not like the laws that must be applied, you must use them. For more than two centuries we have lived by the constitution and the law. No juror has the right to violate rules we all share.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA,
PLAINTIFF,

CASE NO. 18-1958CF10A
JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

v.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,
DEFENDANT.

_____ /

CERTIFICATE

The foregoing jury instructions were given by the Court in the above-captioned case
on the _____ day of, _____ 2022.

HONORABLE ELIZABETH SCHERER
Circuit Court Judge

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT I

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count I (Victim, Luke Hoyer):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the state has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Luke Hoyer was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Luke Hoyer was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Luke Hoyer was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count I:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count I.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count I.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT II

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count II (Victim, Martin Duque-Anquiano):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Martin Duque-Anquiano was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Martin Duque-Anquiano was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Martin Duque-Anquiano was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count II:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count II.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count II.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT III

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count III (Victim, Gina Mantalto):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Gina Mantalto was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Gina Mantalto was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Gina Mantalto was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count III:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count III.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count III.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT IV

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count IV (Victim, Alexander Schachter):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alexander Schachter was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alexander Schachter was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alexander Schachter was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count IV:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count IV.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count IV.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT V

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count V (Victim, Alaina Petty):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alaina Petty was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alaina Petty was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alaina Petty was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count V:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count V.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count V.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT VI

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count VI (Victim, Alyssa Alhadeff):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alyssa Alhadeff was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alyssa Alhadeff was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Alyssa Alhadeff was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count VI:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count VI.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count VI.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT VII

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count VII (Victim, Nicholas Dworet):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Nicholas Dworet was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Nicholas Dworet was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Nicholas Dworet was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count VII:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count VII.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count VII.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT VIII

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count VIII (Victim, Helena Ramsay):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____
NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____
NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Helena Ramsay was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Helena Ramsay was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Helena Ramsay was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count VIII:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count VIII.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count VIII.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT IX

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count IX (Victim, Christopher Hixon):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Christopher Hixon was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Christopher Hixon was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Christopher Hixon was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

6. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Christopher Hixon was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws.

YES _____
NO _____

7. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Christopher Hixon was an appointed public official engaged in the performance of his official duties if the motive for the First Degree Murder was related, in whole or in part, to Christopher Hixon's official capacity.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count IX:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count IX.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count IX.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT X

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count X (Victim, Carmen Schentrup):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Carmen Schentrup was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Carmen Schentrup was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Carmen Schentrup was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count X:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count X.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count X.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT XI

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count XI (Victim, Aaron Feis):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Aaron Feis was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Aaron Feis was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Aaron Feis was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

6. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Aaron Feis was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws.

YES _____

NO _____

7. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Aaron Feis was an appointed public official engaged in the performance of his official duties if the motive for the First Degree Murder was related, in whole or in part, to Aaron Feis' official capacity.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count XI:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count XI.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count XI.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT XII

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count XII (Victim, Scott Biegel):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Scott Biegel was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Scott Biegel was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Scott Biegel was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

6. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Scott Beigel was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws.

YES _____
NO _____

7. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Scott Biegel was an appointed public official engaged in the performance of his official duties if the motive for the First Degree Murder was related, in whole or in part, to Scott Biegel's official capacity.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count XII:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count XII.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count XII.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT XIII

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count XIII (Victim, Meadow Pollack):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Meadow Pollack was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Meadow Pollack was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Meadow Pollack was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count XIII:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count XIII.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count XIII.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT XIV

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count XIV (Victim, Cara Loughran):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Cara Loughran was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Cara Loughran was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Cara Loughran was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count XIV:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count XIV.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count XIV.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT XV

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count XV (Victim, Joaquin Oliver-Padauy):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____
NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____
NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Joaquin Oliver-Padauy was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____
NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Joaquin Oliver-Padauy was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____
NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Joaquin Oliver-Padauy was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count XV:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____
NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count XV.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count XV.

YES _____
NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT XVI

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count XVI (Victim, Jaime Guttenberg):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Jaime Guttenberg was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Jaime Guttenberg was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Jaime Guttenberg was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count XVI:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count XVI.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count XVI.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 18-1958CF10A

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: ELIZABETH SCHERER

vs.

NIKOLAS JACOB CRUZ,

Defendant

_____ /

JURY VERDICT FORM AS TO COUNT XVII

WE THE JURY find as follows as to Nikolas Cruz in this case:

A. Aggravating Factors as to Count XVII (Victim, Peter Wang):

1. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to another person.

YES _____

NO _____

2. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: Nikolas Cruz knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

YES _____

NO _____

3. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Peter Wang was committed while Nikolas Cruz was engaged in the commission of a burglary.

YES _____

NO _____

4. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Peter Wang was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel.

YES _____

NO _____

5. We the jury unanimously find that the State has established beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the aggravating factor: The First Degree Murder of Peter Wang was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner, without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answer YES to at least one of the aggravating factors listed, please proceed to Section B. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, do not proceed to Section B; Nikolas Cruz is not eligible for the death sentence and will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. If you answered NO to every aggravating factor listed, please sign and date the verdict form.

B. Sufficiency of the Aggravating Factors as to Count XVII:

Reviewing the aggravating factors that we unanimously found to be established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), we the jury unanimously find that the aggravating factors are sufficient to warrant a possible sentence of death.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section B, please proceed to Section C. If you answered NO to Section B, do not proceed to Section C; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

C. Mitigating Circumstances:

One or more individual jurors find that one or more mitigating circumstances was established by the greater weight of the evidence.

YES _____

NO _____

Please proceed to Section D, regardless of your findings in Section C.

D. Eligibility for the Death Penalty for Count XVII.

We, the jury, unanimously find that the aggravating factors that were proven beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A) outweigh the mitigating circumstances established (Section C above) as to Count XVII.

YES _____

NO _____

If you answered YES to Section D, please proceed to Section E. If you answered NO to Section D, do not proceed; Nikolas Cruz will be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

E. Jury Verdict as to Death Penalty

Having unanimously found that at least one aggravating factor has been established beyond a reasonable doubt (Section A), that the aggravating factor or factors (is) (are) sufficient to warrant a sentence of death (Section B), and the aggravating factor or factors outweigh(s) the mitigating circumstances (Section D), we the jury unanimously find that Nikolas Cruz should be sentenced to death.

YES _____

NO _____

If your vote to impose death is less than unanimous, the trial court shall impose a sentence of life without the possibility of parole. Please sign and date the verdict form.

Dated this _____ day of October, 2022, in Broward County, Florida.

Foreperson