10 Filed 01/17/12 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:84
Lodged
FILED Charles Nichols 1 PO Box 1302 2012 JAN 17 PM 3: 39 Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Voice: (424) 634-7381 2 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGEJOS E-Mail: Charles Nichols @Pykrete.info 3 In Pro Per 4 5 6 7 United States District Court 8 Central District of California 9 10 Charles Nichols, Case No.: 11 CV-11-9916 SJO (SS) Plaintiff, 12 VS. 13 EDMUND G. BROWN, Jr., in his 14 official capacity as Governor of 15 PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO SUMBIT California, KAMALA D. HARRIS, 16 DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL AND Attorney General, in her official REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF 17 NOTICE AND MEMORANDUM capacity as Attorney General of PURSANT TO L.R. 7-19 18 California, CITY OF REDONDO 19 BEACH, CITY OF REDONDO 20 BEACH POLICE DEPARTMENT, 21 CITY OF REDONDO BEACH 22 POLICE CHIEF JOSEPH LEONARDI 23 and DOES 1 to 10, 24 Defendants. 25 26 27 28

Nichols v. Edmund G Brown Jr et al PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO SUMBIT DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, by submission to the Honorable Suzanne H. Segal of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division 312 N. Spring St. Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Plaintiff Charles Nichols hereby applies ex parte to submit a document under seal. Specifically, a copy of an INCIDENT REPORT filed by Plaintiff with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department reporting a Criminal Threat (California Penal Code section 422) made against Plaintiff which is referenced in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

Plaintiff requests that the copy of the INCIDENT REPORT be kept under seal and not be made part of the public record nor be provided to Defendant's, their attorneys, nor to any other persons beyond those the Court deems essential.

None of the Defendant's are mentioned in the INCIDENT REPORT. This suit does not seek any monetary relief and the only relief requested is equitable relief. Were the contents of this document to become publicly known it would place Plaintiff in even greater danger.

In the interests of justice, plaintiff requests that memorandum and notice of counsel be waived. Defendant's attorneys are unknown to Plaintiff despite repeated requests. All Defendants were requested to waive service of summons, which was sent to all Defendants on December 3rd, the only reply from any Defendant was a form letter from the Attorney General's Office dated December 16th, with an "X" next to a line which reads "4. Other: Service is improper."

A request for waiver of service is not "service," neither is it "improper." Defendants were not even formally served with a summons until January 9th. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

15

18 19

> 20 21

> 22 23

> > 24

26

25

27

28

City of Redondo Beach held a closed session meeting to discuss Plaintiff's lawsuit on December 6th. Defendant Brown received his request for waiver of service on December 5th. Given the press coverage of this lawsuit and their actions, Defendants can hardly claim that they are unaware of the complaint and their attorneys should have contacted Plaintiff who is represented In Pro Per.

Plaintiff does not know who the counsel for all other parties is pursuant to L.R. 7-19.1 Despite his repeated attempts to ascertain their identities from Defendants. Plaintiff cannot compel Defendants to reveal the identity of their attorneys and therefore a waiver under L.R. 7-19 and submission of the requested document under seal is proper particularly because no harm comes to the Defendants by this Court granting permission to submit the document under seal and to waive notice and memorandum, while at the same time there is potentially great and even deadly harm to the Plaintiff were the contents of the document to become public knowledge.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2012.

Charles Nichols edondo Beach, CA 278 oice: (424) 634-7381

harlesNichols@Pykrete