Vancouver, B.C. January 8, 2001

1	EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS
2 3	LEE LAKEMAN, Resumed:
4 5 7 8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Lakeman, to continue the cross- examination. Ms. Lakeman, you recall that on December the 19th you gave me a promise to tell this Tribunal the truth.
9 .0 .1	A Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: And you understand that you're still bound by that promise?
.2 .3 .4	A Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Ms. findlay? ms. findlay: Thank you.
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ms. findlay (cont'd.):
27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24	Q Ms. Lakeman, I believe where we left off, the last question that I had asked you was that you said the medical profession had a lot to answer for and I said to you that I would give you an opportunity to address that, and, then, unfortunately, we adjourned at that point. So, perhaps you could explain, pick up there, and explain what, in your view, the medical profession has to answer for?
25 26 27	A I think I did say it anyway on that day, which was that I think it advertises itself as being able to do what it can't do.
28	Q Which is?
29	A Change sex in a whole sense. I think they promote
30 31	themselves as being able to totally transform human beings in a way that they can't yet do.
32	Q So, do you consider that a fraudulent claim?
33	\widetilde{MS} . GRAY: I'm going to object, Madam Chair. I've given
34	complainant's counsel some latitude in exploring this,
35	but, in my submission, it is relevant what is the
86 87	what are the decisions and the political views of the Rape Relief collective. And you've heard the evidence
88	of the individuals that were present on the evening
39	relating to the complaint, and Ms. Lakeman is here as a
ŧO	representative of the present collective. But her
11	personal views, insofar as they're not adopted by the
12	collective, and in my view, are simply irrelevant.
13	ms. findlay:
4	Q Ms. Lakeman, does the collective share these views?
15 15	A I've never put them to the collective.
16	Q The collective I should say, just before we get into
10 17	this cross-examination, that your lawyer has made it

> EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

clear that she'd like to have this cross-examination finished today so that you can again be her instructing witness. Α Mm-hmm. So, I'm going to move quickly through these questions, 0 as opposed to my slow pace of the other day. You do have on your web site, though, these articles, which you agreed the other day that Rape Relief takes responsibility for? I agreed that we'd take responsibility for what's on Α our web site and, yes, this is on our web site. Q Does the collective agree with the views expressed in those articles? It hasn't come to a conclusion yet about any of these Α things. Q So, the collective has no opinion about transqenderism? Α It has no policy and it doesn't really -- I mean, other than policy, it has no other way of expressing an opinion. So, to say again, the collective has no Q All right. opinion about transgenderism? Α Yes, I think that's right. Notwithstanding that, the collective has 0 Thank you. chosen to put on its web site articles which, on the whole, express a view which is that transgendered women ought not to be permitted to participate in women only centres; is that correct? Yes, I think that's correct. Α And not to include articles which express the view that 0 transgendered women should be permitted to participate in women's only centres; is that correct? No, I don't think we've made that decision. Α I'm just asking, as a matter of fact, whether on the Q web site there are articles which express the view that women ought to be able to participate in women only centres? I don't think there are. Α ms. findlay: That's right. I'd like to mark these as an exhibit, Madam Chair. Does the collective have an understanding of gender, 0 Ms. Lakeman? THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. findlay, can I just ask you to give me a minute so I can mark these as an exhibit? ms. findlay: I'm sorry, Madam Chair. A working definition of gender, is that --Α THE CHAIRPERSON: Just a minute, Ms. Lakeman.

> EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

Α Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: So a package of pages printed from the Vancouver Rape Relief web site will be marked as Exhibit 37. EXHIBIT 37: A Package of Pages printed from the Vancouver Rape Relief Web Site ms. findlay: Does Rape Relief have an understanding of gender? Q We don't have a working definition in anything that I'm Α familiar with. We do historically. I mean, I can remember a time when there was some common agreement that gender referred to the socially constructed and sex was used to refer to biological characteristics or genetic reproductive characteristics or characteristics. I would say that that's -- even that's not true now. Clearly, these terms are being used in lots of different ways now. So, there is no common understanding of gender? Q Α I don't think there is now. Q Is there a common understanding of transgenderism? I don't think so. I think we have your working Α definitions. I think they may be on the web site, too, actually. In your view of Rape Relief, what is Ms. Q Nixon's gender? MS. GRAY: I'm going to object, Madam Chair. I say that's not what's at issue in this proceeding. (DISCUSSION ABOVE) THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm of the view that the evidence has, in fact, put in issue questions of gender and perceptions of gender, and that the question is appropriate and should be answered. ms. findlay: Again, Ms. Lakeman, in the view of Rape Relief, what is Q Ms. Dixon's gender? Rape Relief's never tried to answer that question. Α There's been no time when there was a collective discussion that required us to answer the question. Т think if I --Speaking on behalf of Rape Relief, do you have an Q opinion about Ms. Nixon's gender? Α I have an opinion, and other women have opinions, but as a group we don't have a common opinion.

47

3

Q There is no opinion in Rape Relief about Ms. Nixon's gender?
A No I think there isn't Except that it's true that we

- A No, I think there isn't. Except that it's true that we have a list, somewhat the same way that Dr. Pacey proposed a list, of woman for what purpose, or woman under what conditions. And that's the most helpful notion that we've been able to come up with over the five years of thinking about it, that it's in some ways a situational question.
- Q All right. For the purposes of volunteering at Rape Relief, is it the opinion of Rape Relief, using that list, that Ms. Nixon is a woman?
- A It's the opinion of Rape Relief that Kimberly Nixon doesn't have the life experiences that we hold in common, that we use to describe women's lives, and that that's -- so we reduced that to saying that in terms of this politics, no.
- Q All right.
- A That there's a political category women were using.
- Q And it is your evidence that unless Rape Relief is entitled to decide on its own who is and who is not a member of that category Rape Relief is unable to function?
- A Pretty much. I guess I'd qualify that by saying not Rape Relief alone but Rape Relief.
- Q I would appreciate it, actually, if you would answer the question and not carry on with explanations, because we are looking to move quickly through the evidence today, and I will ask the questions and you can provide me with your answer.
- A I'll do that, if it's accurate.
- Q Thank you. I'm suggesting to you that Rape Relief has been taking a leadership position across the country, and particularly in British Columbia, arguing that transgendered women ought not to be included in spaces designed exclusively for women; would you agree?
- A Since forced into this court case, yes; before that, no.
- Q And I asked you the other day that you use Ms. Nixon's full name of Kimberly, as she preferred, if you did not object. Your response was that you did object, as I perfectly well knew. Do you remember that evidence? A Mm-hmm. Yes, I do.
- Q On what basis do you object?
- A I thought you were forcing me to submit to a discipline I didn't choose.
- 47 Q And what was that discipline?

4

1

2

To have me say over and over again that, yes, Kimberly Α is a woman by the choice of language. You know very well I don't think it personally, so I --Ο I actually don't know what your views are, personally, and whether or not I know is irrelevant for the purposes of this proceeding. So, could you explain, the reason you objected to the answer was because you regarded it as a submission to a discipline you do not choose, to wit, an affirmation of Kimberly as a woman; is that correct? Α I was answering someone else's question at the time, and I was choosing to refer to "Kim Nixon," and you asked me to say "Kimberly." That's correct. Q Α And it's true, Ι thought you were _ _ you were intentionally asking me to submit to something I don't agree to. And what is it that you don't agree with? Q Α I don't agree to calling her Kimberly. Q And you don't agree because why? Α Because I think Kim is more accurate. And why do you think that? Q I don't think that having sex change operations totally Α transforms one from male to female. I'm sorry, I don't think so. Q Now, is that your view or is that Rape Relief's view? Α That's my view. 0 I would like you, in this proceeding, to answer in your capacity as the representative of Rape Relief, unless otherwise specify, please, because I you have difficulty determining which is which. I can see that. Α MS. GRAY: Madam Chair, in my submission, the proper thing is for the question to be posed to the witness in a way which will make it clear. A witness is under a lot of pressure in testifying, and to ask a witness to keep a whole lot of things in mind, in my submission, is just too difficult for a witness. Counsel should be able to frame the question to clarify whether the question is being posed to the individual personally or to the organization. ms. findlay: That's fine. I'll pose all of my questions in that. Q Were you responding as the representative of Rape Relief when you answered the question the other day that you knew I perfectly well objected -- or that I knew that you perfectly well objected?

1 2 3	A Q A	No. No, that was your personal belief? Yes.
5 4 5 6	Q A	What would Rape Relief's view be? I don't know of Rape Relief having a view about Kim Nixon's name.
7 8 9	Q A	Does Rape Relief have a view about Ms. Nixon's motivation in going to volunteer at Rape Relief? No.
.0 .1	Q	So, you have no reason to disagree with her evidence on that question?
.2 .3 .4 .5	MS.	GRAY: I object. I object, Madam Chair, because, in my submission, that's not a fair question. I'll leave it at that. I say that's not a fair question.
.6 .7		(DISCUSSION ABOVE)
8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE	CHAIRPERSON: I think I'm going to have to ask the Court Reporter to read back the series of questions that led up to the question that's now in dispute, and so that everybody is dealing with the same question. It seems that the sections may be on two different questions, so perhaps you could do that for us. Can you play it back?
26		(COURT REPORTER REPLAYS PORTION OF TAPE)
28 29 30	THE	CHAIRPERSON: All right. I'm going to retire to consider the objections to the question and I'll be back in about five minutes, I think.
51 52 53		(WITNESS ASIDE)
34 35 36		PROCEEDINGS RECESSED AT 11:20 A.M. PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 12:08 P.M.
87 88		LEE LAKEMAN, resumes:
89 99 10 12 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17	THE	CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Lakeman was asked whether Rape Relief had a view as to Ms. Nixon's motivation for volunteering at Rape Relief. She responded that Rape Relief did not. She was then asked whether she had any reason to disagree with Ms. Nixon's evidence in that regard. That question was objected to. In my view, that question and its answer would not be helpful to me. It is enough that Rape Relief has no view as to Ms. Nixon's motivation, as evidenced by Ms.

Lakeman's clear answer. It will then be up to me to determine if, on the record, there is any factual issue as to Ms. Nixon's evidence regarding her motivation. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ms. findlay (cont'd): In your experience at Rape Relief, women regularly come 0 to volunteer because of their experience with male violence; is that correct? Some women do. Α Q And those women may come either from the experience of sexual violence or the experience of having been battered; is that correct? Yes. Α You've testified that you believe that, or that Rape Q Relief believes that they must be able to make a determination which permits you to exclude Ms. Nixon from the volunteer program. I am going to explore some other decisions, and ask you whether you -- Rape Relief takes the view that you are entitled also to make decisions on these bases. Does Rape Relief take the view that a woman is not appropriate to volunteer because she has not been sexually assaulted or battered? Α No. Does Rape Relief take the view --Q THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. findlay, I'm going to have to ask you to slow down so I can keep up. ms. findlay: Sorry, Madam Chair, I actually can't see. I'm a little bit -- I apologize, because I'm now --THE CHAIRPERSON: It's hard for you to see. ms. findlay: Exactly. THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Yeah, it's hard for you to see whether I'm able to keep up, but -- thank you. Could you -- could you just repeat that last question? Α ms. findlay: No, I don't remember it. We'll have to have it read back. Does Rape Relief take the view that women THE CHAIRPERSON: are not appropriate to volunteer because they have not been assaulted or battered? And the answer was, no. ms. findlay: Does Rape Relief take the view that women who have been Q professionally trained, for example, as physicians, lawyers or social workers, are inappropriate as volunteers at Rape Relief? If I could go back one sec to the previous question the Α answer is more complicated than that. If a woman said to us that she didn't think she was subject to assault

and battering, we would consider we had a pretty strong political difference. So, it's not as simple as whether or not she personally has actually been on the receiving end of a blow. Q I only want the answer to the specific questions I've asked. And I understand your answer to this question, does Rape Relief believe that's it a requirement for a volunteer that she have been sexually assaulted or battered, and I understand your answer to be, no; is that correct? I'm trying to say that that's a falsely simple way to Α put it, that in our opinion no woman escapes the impact of rape and battering. So --So, the answer to my question is no? Q The answer to the question is that I would want to talk Α to her more if it was her firm opinion that she wasn't subject to the pressures of rape and battering. All right. Q Α Ι would think that indicated а big political difference. Q And would you -- do you regard it as appropriate to reject a woman who is, for volunteering, if she is not of the view that she has personally been subjected to assault or battery in the context of patriarchal culture? Α If she thinks that she's not been subject to violence against women and continues to think that, we've probably got a pretty big political difference. And I don't know where that would end up. hasn't Ιt happened. But I would think we probably are not going to agree at the end of the process. That's where I'd be starting. And the end of the process would be at the completion Q of her training program; is that correct? Α Could be that or could be -- depends how confident and articulate and firm her opinions are in the beginning. Q So, if she just seemed unfamiliar with the analysis --Α That would be one thing. 0 Please don't speak over me, because it makes it difficult for the Court Reporter. If she were simply unsophisticated in her analysis at the time of her initial interview, you would not reject her? Α No. If, by the end of the training session, she persisted Q in a view that she had not herself been subjected to male violence, one way or another, you would be likely

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

not to accept her into Rape Relief, would be likely not 1 to accept her into the collective; is that correct? We would either -- we would either be not accepting her Α or we'd be changing something about the basis of unity because she had convinced us. I mean, that could happen too, but it seems quite unlikely. Is it your view that a woman is -- that Rape Relief is Q entitled to reject a woman as an applicant for а volunteer program or for continuing in a volunteer program because she is a lesbian? Are we entitled to? Α Α Yes, not do you. Are you entitled to? Not would you. Are you entitled to? Α No, I don't think so. Would you consider Rape Relief entitled to reject a Q the training program because woman during she is pregnant? I'm having a little trouble with what you mean be Α entitled. I mean --Q I am using the term in the same way that you used the term, which is, "We are entitled to make the decision to exclude Ms. Nixon." А Okay. Using the word "entitled" in exactly that way, is Rape 0 Relief entitled to reject or discontinue a woman who -- because she is pregnant? Α No. Is Rape Relief entitled to reject a woman from the Q training program because of her race? Α No. Q And why is Rape Relief not entitled to make those decisions, in your view? Because how a woman is racialized and whether or not Α she's impregnated and whether or not she chooses to act out her sexual liberty is -- has no bearing on our political agreement, except that it may well be an indication that she's somebody who would be especially valuable. So, that is -- that would go to the question of whether Q you would reject a woman on those bases. Why do you consider yourself not -- why does Rape Relief consider itself not entitled to make those decisions? Α Because entitlement, in my use of the word, is about consistency with our politics. So, if your politics changed, you could become entitled Q to reject women on one of those grounds, however 47 unlikely that might be?

9

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

1	A	However very unlikely. So unlikely as to be
2		unimaginable.
3	Q	There are, I suggest to you, about 23 sexual assault
4		centres in B.C.; do you agree?
5	А	No.
б	Q	How many are there?
7	Ã	It depends on your count. By my count of autonomous
8		centres, I would say there's not more than five.
9	Q	And those would be?
10	Ā	Well, let's see. I would start with Vancouver Rape
11		Relief, WAVAW, Surrey, Kamloops, Cowichan, Victoria,
12		something like that; maybe another one in the North.
13^{12}	Q	And the other centres who might identify, self-identify
14^{13}	Q	as sexual assault centres would not be sexual assault
15^{14}		centres in your view, because?
	7	
16	A	Because they are programs of umbrella groups; because
17		they are victim assistance based programs. There's a
18		number of criteria. These criteria are currently being
19		discussed across the country, actually. The ability to
20		make a decision as a group of women is one of the main
21		criteria.
22	Q	There are, approximately, 80 Stopping the Violence
23		counselling programs across the province?
24	A	Oh, I have no idea.
25	Q	You have no idea?
26	A	I have no idea. There's many.
27	Q	Perhaps you would have no idea that whether there
28		are 45 specialized victim assistance programs either?
29	A	That seems about right, but I'm not sure of the count.
30	Q	And do you have any idea whether there are about 80
31		transition houses?
32	A	That seems about right.
33	Q	How many of them would satisfy your definition of
34		autonomous women centres?
35	А	I don't have a current count.
36	Q	Order of magnitude.
37	Ã	Sorry?
38	Q	What order of magnitude, one, three, five, ten, twenty
39	×.	
40	А	How many of them would meet my criteria of an
41	11	autonomous women's group?
42	0	Yes.
43	Q A	I honestly don't know. I'm more aware of the sexual
44	A	assault programs than I am of transition houses in
44 45		
	<u> </u>	terms of who's doing what.
46	Q	So, you have no idea as between two and 80?
47	A	Of the houses in B.C.?

That would be, by your definition, autonomous women's 1 Q centres? MS. GRAY: Madam Chair, I'm going to object. I just don't see where this is taking us in terms of relevance. This witness is -ms. findlay: The direction I'm going, Madam Chair, is to explore the statement --THE CHAIRPERSON: Let Ms. Gray finish with her objection, please. MS. GRAY: The witness's personal characterization of a number of other organizations, in my view, just isn't probative to anything nor relevant to any issue. ms. findlay: Perhaps I might ask the witness, is this Rape Relief's Q view? Sorry? Α Ο Is the view you've just given us about what counts as an autonomous women's centre the view of Rape Relief or the view of Lee Lakeman? Α These things are matters for political discussion. The discussion goes on all the time. There's a discussion going on within CASAC at the moment as to what criteria is important for naming an autonomous rape crisis Rape Relief's a member of that, so I quess centre. that's Rape Relief's opinion, that there is a debate going on right now as to how to identify autonomous women's political service centres, particularly as differentiated from government services or from police based services or Crown based services. Thank you. Q ms. findlay: Madam Chair, in my submission, Rape Relief has offered Ms. Lakeman as someone with experience nationally on the issues of violence against women, and out of -- her evidence has been that there is "almost a consensus" about the exclusion of trans-gendered women from women's organizations. It is my intention to explore that opinion. THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Rice? MS. RICE: I have nothing to say. THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Gray? MS. GRAY: Could I just have a moment? I won't persist with my objection. ms. findlay: So, I don't think you answered my question about Q whether you knew among the transition houses, as between two and 80 of them, which would qualify in Rape Relief's view of what is an autonomous women's centre.

Α No, I don't know the answer. All right. How many member groups are there in CASAC? Q Α It's a debate at the moment. There are some 35 to 50 old members, and there are as many in waiting. Q I beg your pardon? I didn't hear the end of your sentence. As many ...? Α There's somewhere between 35 and 50 who claim long-term membership, and there's another that many waiting. Q And one of the ways that groups might choose to join CASAC is that it is a precondition to attending some of the conferences, which have been funded by the Federal Government on violence against women; is that correct? No. Α Is membership in CASAC a precondition to attending Q those conferences, some of the conferences? Α No. Q There has never been such a condition? Α I'm not even sure which conferences you're referring to. All right. CASAC has funding to run conferences? Q Α No. Q All right. Are you referring to the consultations with the Justice Α Minister? I --Q No. Fine. Α Q I suggest to you that the vast majority of the 23 sexual assault centres, the 80 Stopping the Violence counselling programs, the 45 specialized --THE CHAIRPERSON: Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa. ms. findlay: Sorry, it's the same numbers we've just been through. THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I know, but -ms. findlay: 23 sexual assault centres, 80 Stopping the Violence 0 counselling programs, the 45 specialized victim assistance programs, the 80 transition houses, and the 50 Children Who Witness Violence programs, would identify themselves as feminist; do you agree? I think it would entirely depend on the context in Α which you asked them that question. Some would refuse to identify as feminists; some would herald it in all circumstances. And I think far too many of them would hedge their bets, actually. Q So, I'm not sure about your answer. Your answer is you disagree that the vast majority would identify as feminists?

1

1	А	That's right.
2	Q	Would self-identify as feminist?
3	Ã	Yeah.
4	Q	But you would agree that many of them would?
5	Ã	Yeah, many of them would.
6	Q	And I suggest to you that of the centres which you have
7	~	identified as autonomous women's centres, WAVAW is
8		willing to accept and work with transpeople; you are
9		aware of that?
10	А	No, I'm not aware of that.
11	Q	Have you read an article in the newspaper to that
12	~	effect recently?
13	А	Yes, I have.
14	Q	So, you're not otherwise aware of that?
15	Ã	Sorry? I don't know what that means.
16	Q	You are not otherwise aware of WAVAW's position with
17	~	respect to transgendered women working there except
18		through the newspaper?
19	А	Well and the debates that have gone on over several
20		years.
21	Q	Do you have any reason to believe that WAVAW's position
22		is other than that described in the newspaper?
23	A	Yes. Yes, I do.
24	Q	All right. And what is your reasoning?
25	A	Just casual information that circulates in the women's
26		movement.
27	Q	Would that be
28	A	I don't think WAVAW has a policy of including trans-
29		gendered women. If it does, it's totally new to me.
30	Q	And are you aware that the Surrey Women's Centre will
31		now accept transwomen as clients
32	A	Oh, I think that's quite a different question. Most of
33		us are dealing with transgendered people as clients.
34	Q	in their centre? Are you aware of their policy? Are
35		you aware of the policy of Surrey Women's Centre with
36		respect to transgendered clients: yes or no?
37	A	Not in particular but I don't
38	Q	Thank you.
39	A	find it surprising.
40	Q	And are you aware of the policy of the Cowichan Women's
41		Centre with respect to transgendered clients: yes or
42		no?
43	A	No.
44	Q	Are you aware of the policy of the Victoria Women's
45		Centre with respect to transgendered clients: yes or
46		no?
47	A	Victoria's policy?

Q A	Victoria Women's Centre. No.
Q	You would agree with me that those five centres are major players, for want of a better term, in the anti- violence movement in British Columbia?
А	What are you calling the major players? Cowichan
Q	The ones you identified to me as being the centres you would call autonomous women's centres.
A Q	I don't think we have the same list. Rape Relief, WAVAW, Surrey, Kamloops, Cowichan and Victoria was the list you gave me.
A	Oh, I see. Not the women's centre, but the Rape Crisis Centre in Victoria is what you're referring to?
Q	Yes.
A	Yes, they're all major players.
Q	Thank you.
Ã	And none of them have a policy of inclusion.
Q	Are you aware of the specific policies of the Cowichan Women's Centre relating to volunteers who are trans- gendered: yes or no?
А	No.
Q	Are you aware of the specific policies relating to transgendered volunteers of the Kamloops Women's
	Centre: yes or no?
A Q	If it's happened in the last six months, no. Are you aware of the specific policies of the Victoria Rape Crisis Centre relating to transgendered
A	volunteers: yes or no? Yes or no? This is you're requiring false answers from me. It's
	ridiculous.
MS .	GRAY: Madam Chair, I do object to counsel instructing the witness that the only answer can be yes or no. The witness should give a fair, honest, complete answer, as far as she can understand it, and should not be instructed as to how to answer.
	(DISCUSSION ABOVE)
ms.	findlay: Madam Chair, I will withdraw my objection. The witness is free to say whatever she likes about the extent of her knowledge and, in fact, I will inquire in detail about the source and nature of her information.
Q	From where is your information about the Victoria Rape Crisis Centre policies with respect to transgendered volunteers? From whom and when and what did they say?
A	Victoria Sexual Assault Centre operates quite differently from other centres in the province.

I'm not interested to know that. Q Α It's primarily counselling based. It's a professional based counselling --Ms. Lakeman, can I ask you to slow down, THE CHAIRPERSON: please. Α Yeah. THE CHAIRPERSON: It operates quite differently --Than other rape crisis centres in the province. А It's a professionally structured centre. Virtually, all serious counselling is done through the paid staff, who are all degree'd counsellors, none of whom publicly identify as transsexual. The role of the volunteer -ms. findlay: How do you know? Q None of them publicly identify. I would know. Α Q You individually know each of the volunteers currently at the Victoria Rape Crisis Centre? I would know. Α I'm sorry, Ms. Lakeman, I'm not asking what you would Ο I'm asking what you do know. know. Α I'd guarantee ya'. ms. findlay: Madam Chair, perhaps you could instruct the witness to answer from her knowledge, rather than her opinion. THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, frankly, ms. findlay, I think that the question got the answer it deserved. "What do you know?" she says, "I would know," and "What do you know?" she said, "I would guarantee you." I think you have an answer to the question as asked. If there's something further you want to explore, then ask a follow-up question. ms. findlay: How do you know? Q We're in the middle of public debate of this question. Α I know how the debate is being conducted. I've asked -- asked publicly and have responses from women's groups around the province and across the country as to We've looked for feminist opinions other than ours. leadership coming from other directions or having other things to say than what we've been able to find. know that there is a debate going on in virtually every group, and I know that most groups are avoiding taking formal positions, and I know that some women are very explicit in their public statements about what they're I think I have a pretty good map of where we doing. are. I also don't think I said there was a consensus. 47 Please stop. Q

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

What I said is that there's no agreement. Α 0 Please stop. I want to know the who, what, when and where of it, and I'm going to explore the source of your information over the last six months. First of all, what conversation have you had with women at the Victoria Rape Crisis Centre? You mean, other than party talk last Saturday night? Α Yes, I do, other than -- unless that's the source of Q your information. Is that the of source your information? Α No. Q So, would that be relevant in any way, the party talk? Α I think you have my answer to this question. Q To whom did you speak, at what point, at the Victoria Rape Crisis Centre? Α I have no intention of making trouble for the other women's groups in the province. I don't care how you ask it. Are you refusing to answer that question? Q Α I am refusing to answer it. THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Lakeman, it's not up to you to refuse to answer a question. You're being asked a question about to whom you spoke at the Victoria Rape Relief Crisis Centre. Your lawyer has not objected. The question is to be answered. Well, I'm going to object to this whole line, MS. GRAY: Madam Chair. It's not -- it's going down some, a very long collateral road, in my submission. Again, it's not -- the purpose of this hearing is not for the Tribunal to look at the -- assess the political views of a whole lot of other organization that aren't here, and assess whether they have been based on the evidence that's before this Tribunal. In my submission, this whole line of questioning is irrelevant, not probative, and objectionable. ms. findlay: Madam Chair, I do have a suggestion about how to deal with this matter. If the respondent is happy to withdraw the evidence that there is a consensus or a near consensus on the question of transgendered women in women's centres, I'm happy to abandon this line of questioning. Otherwise, it is my submission that I am entitled to explore the foundation for the evidence. Well, the witness just said that she didn't think MS. GRAY: it was a consensus, I thought. I don't think I ever said that. Α MS. GRAY: That there was no agreement to a particular area, but --

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

1	А	That I know of no group that has a policy.
2	ms.	
3	Q	I believe that your evidence on the last time we
4		were here, volunteered was that there was nearly a
5		consensus on the question. Do you remember that
б		evidence?
7	A	I don't, actually.
8	Q	Would you would you like to restate that evidence?
9	_	Is it perhaps a misstatement?
10	A	I think the strongest statement I can make is that I
11		know of no women's group that has the position of
12 13		including transgendered women on their staff and
$13 \\ 14$	0	volunteer group. So, you resile from the statement, which I had thought
$14 \\ 15$	Q	you made, that there was nearly a consensus on the
16		question?
17	A	I can certainly let go of that.
18	Q	You also do not know of any women's group which has a
19	~	policy excluding transgendered women for volunteering,
20		do you?
21	А	Well, yes, that's true.
22	Q	And, in fact, you are aware of some residential
23		facilities which accept transgendered women as clients;
24		are you not?
25	A	The only times I'm aware of it ever being an issue are
26	0	the house that was mentioned in this case.
27	Q	I suggest to you that also Powell Place accepts trans-
28 29		gendered women in its residential facility, as does the
29 30	A	Virginia Sound Transition House. That's entirely possible. I don't think either of
31	A	those places would identify themselves as the forefront
32		of the women's movement.
33	Q	But they provide services exclusively to women, do they
34	~	not?
35	А	I don't think Powell Place is exclusive to women.
36	Q	I suggest that is a service for women and children. You
37		don't know?
38	А	Actually, I don't know that it is exclusive to women
39		and children.
40	MS.	GRAY: Madam Chair, I don't know if this is the best
41		time. It's we took a there was a bit of a break
42		while, Madam Chair, you considered a ruling, but the
43 44		witness has been testifying for about an hour and a half, I think, and it might now is the time to take the
44 45		morning adjournment?
45 46	THE	CHAIRPERSON: Well, we'll take a 15-minute break and
47	1115	I'll come back at ten past.
± /		I II come back at cen pape.

Madam Chair, perhaps we'd take lunch? ms. findlay: We might as well. It's five to 12:00 and --THE CHAIRPERSON: I had actually, once again, lost track of I had no idea it was close to 12:00. the time. Whv don't we take the lunch hour now and come back at five to 1:00. (WITNESS ASIDE) --- PROCEEDINGS RECESSED AT 11:55 A.M. --- PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 1:05 P.M. LEE LAKEMAN, resumes: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ms. findlay (cont'd.): Ο Ms. Lakeman, do you recognize this article as being a copy of the article that you wrote for the book called "Downing: How to Start and Maintain a Group"? Yes, I think so. Yes. Α ms. findlay: May I have that entered as an exhibit, please, Madam Chair? THE CHAIRPERSON: It's marked as Exhibit 39, an article entitled "Downing: How to Start and Maintain a Group." RICE: Madam Chair, before you go any further, I'm MS. confused about the exhibit numbers. My records put us at Exhibit 38, now. THE CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 38 was the web pages. MS. RICE: And before that, I don't think we had an Exhibit 37. Perhaps we could give that --THE CHAIRPERSON: You could well be right. I had marked Exhibit 37, but I don't have recorded what it was, so you could well be right. It may have been something I thought was going to be marked as an exhibit and it wasn't. MS RICE: I think we all have the same record. THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that right? Okay, then. MS. GRAY: We don't have an Exhibit 37 yet. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So, then we should amend the record to reflect the web pages as Exhibit 37 and this will be Exhibit 38. EXHIBIT 38: "How to Start and Maintain a Group" by Lee Lakeman ms. findlay: 0 Ms. Lakeman, do you have any reason to -- do you agree with the contents of this article today?

You know, I haven't re-read it in a while, but I think Α I would probably still agree with it all. And since it's an article written about your experience Q in Rape Relief, would Rape Relief adopt this article as being something it agrees with? Α It's never been asked to do so. So, you don't know? Q I don't think there's anything in here that would be Α controversial, but it's never happened. I haven't asked them to agree to it. In your evidence last day you said that this question, Q being the question before the Tribunal, is not a question about intersexed individuals. Do you remember saying that? Α No. Q Do you remember talking about intersexed individuals at all? Mm-hmm. Yes. I'm not quite sure --Α Can you tell me what you remember having said about Q that? Α I'm sorry, I need to be reminded of my testimony, I think. Well, my recollection is that you said at that time --Q my note is that you said at that time, "This issue is not about intersexed individuals," as a remark made about transgendered women working at Rape Relief. Do you remember that? Α I -- I think that I remember that, and I think that I was differentiating between --Now, just before you go on, are you now speaking as Q Rape Relief? Is Rape Relief making a distinction between --No. Α No. All right. Is there any evidence that you can Q give about Rape Relief's position about individuals who were born intersexed and might apply to Rape Relief? There's no policy about intersexed people or --Α No. So, you're unable to tell the Tribunal what would Q happen if someone came to Rape Relief to volunteer and described herself as intersexed? А Well, I -- it is hypothetical. I don't know exactly what the group would do. Q Well, can you tell me what the group would do if a woman presented saying that she had been born with gender identity disorder but that her disorder had been corrected by sex re-assignment surgery when she was six?

A No, I can't tell you exactly what would happen. It hasn't happened.Q Can you articulate for me the standard which Rape

- Q Can you articulate for me the standard which Rape Relief believes should apply in the circumstance which we are dealing with? And I put that broadly, so as not to predetermine your answer. I can be more specific if you prefer.
- as А close we've come is talking about lived As experience from childhood into womanhood. We've talked about womanhood being something that's shaped by male behaviour, not something that's essential. And so, I imagine that it would matter to women how old and how long and how much someone had lived as a woman, girlchild to woman.
- Q Is that the standard that you're articulating for me, or is that --
- A I'm not sure that we have a standard. I'm not sure what you're asking me.
- Q That's what I'm asking is whether you have a standard.
- A We only had this one situation to deal with and we dealt with it at the time.
- Q I see. And --
- A Since then, we've, of course, been discussing many, many, many, many hypothetical possibilities, and trying to determine how likely are we to ever need a policy about such a thing, but, you know, trying to be more aware of whether or not there's a new social phenomenon or something new that has to be grappled with.
- Q And, in particular, you don't have a standard practice of asking women who come to the volunteer training about their experience as children?
- A Oh, I think we do have. I think it would be very unlikely for you to get through even three evenings without talking about some of your childhood experiences.
- Q I understand that, but my question is whether you have a standard practice of asking about a woman's childhood experience at any point in the training?
- A Well, you know, you get asked in the course of the training what your experience is of violence against women. That's one place it comes up. You get -- it would be very weird to not have a conversation very early on about your relationship to your father and/or your mother and/or your siblings. So, there isn't a moment when someone is asked "Describe, like, as a young girl," but there's sort of no moment when you aren't being asked it. It's very common to talk about

one's first sexual experiences, first experience of fear, fear of sexual violence, first impressions. And that would, in your view, be part of the training Q session? in the -- begins in the Α Begins -- begins first interview often, and I don't think it ends. Q I'm showing you the second page of Exhibit 36, which is headed "Vancouver Rape Relief and Women's Shelter Initial Volunteer Training Outline." In week one, you learn about taking a call from a techniques for establishing and maintaining woman, empathy for the caller. In week two, short а introduction to the history of Canadian law and social policy on violence against women, how to help her get a positive initial response from the police, medical personnel, or Social Services staff. And week three, a discussion and debate of the article "The Truth as We Know It," which is an exhibit in these proceedings, an introduction to the classics and important critics, and a handout with respect of constructive criticism. n week four, an introduction to productivity theory building processes, our uses of constructive criticism, and a reminder about public education assignment. Week five is public education in relation to crisis work. Week six is fund raising as public education and short introduction to Vancouver Rape Relief and Women's Shelters history. Now, in which of those topics would you find the question of a woman's childhood experience? Α In the first week, it'll happen under establishing empathy. Q And how is that? Α How does one establish empathy or how --How does the question to women about their childhood Q experiences get dealt with in the context of techniques for establishing and maintaining empathy with the caller? Α Well, if what she's telling me, for instance, is that and I have to, you know, from thousands of experiences, I will have to just call up particular ones. But, one thing that comes to mind is a woman who calls because she is alarmed that she is disinterested in sex suddenly with her heterosexual male partner and she wants to discuss possible reasons for that. She's alarmed and confused by her own responses. It would be normal to talk about what her previous sexual experiences were; how she was introduced to sex; had

she ever been frightened early on; did this lover's 1 2 behaviour, in any way, remind her of anything earlier, 3 or in her past history, and one would go right back. 4 So, that's one example. 5 Sure. And that would be the instruction that you would Q б offer to the volunteer in training about the areas of 7 conversation that they might want to have with a woman 8 who is calling with that query; am I correct? 9 I don't understand the question. Α 10 Q Well, this exhibit is an outline of what you teach the volunteers; right? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 0 so, my question to you was in the volunteer And, 14 training when does it come When up? does the volunteer's childhood experiences come up? 15 And you offered as an example the situation that you just 16 17 described, which I would understand to be a situation of a woman in crisis calling the centre. 18 And in 19 establishing and maintaining empathy, you would suggest 20 to the volunteers, in discussing that example, that 21 they might want to talk about the woman's childhood 22 experiences with sexuality; right? 23 Right. But what's going on is the woman is comparing Α 24 herself with you all the time. And you are -- you are in a way offering her back, "Yes, I'm normal and so are 25 26 you," and giving her common experiences back or 27 normalizing her experience for her. 28 Q And, so, in that discussion that you would have in week 29 volunteer's childhood experience one, the with 30 sexuality might come up as a topic of conversation in 31 the group? 32 Α The volunteer, yeah. Right. 33 But not necessarily? Right. Q 34 Α Pretty likely. 35 Q With respect to each and every one of the volunteers? 36 Α No. It may be that someone would talk about their 37 childhood history, someone else would talk about 38 something -- yeah. 39 Right. So, some might, some might not, and that would Q be true of each of the training session; am I correct? 40 41 А Yes. 42 Thank you. Q The steps that Rape Relief has taken in 43 thinking about the standards that you would like to or 44 would establish with respect to transgendered women 45 working at Rape Relief, you have described some of 46 them. You have said that you have established that 47 many women's groups are thinking about this question;

you have described inviting Sheila Jeffries to come and speak with the collective. Are there any other steps that Rape Relief has taken that you have not yet described in evidence in developing your understanding of the position or standard that you would want to adopt?

- A We got ourselves a lawyer and defended ourselves in a Human Rights case. Is that what you mean? I mean, we didn't -- this is not a situation of our choosing to study an issue or a situation of an alliance, where we're working with someone to uncover something new. This is a situation where we're having to defend ourselves at great personal -- you know, personal political expense and in a very public process. So, we've done a lot of things to handle that situation, including, I'm proud to say, trying to seriously openmindedly discuss our politics.
- Q Okay. And I understand that you've done a great deal of work around preparation for this case, but what I'm specifically interested in is whether there's been work that you've done around the question of developing a standard that you haven't already talked about. You have --
- A Whether there's work that we haven't talked about that we did, is that what you mean?
- Q Yeah.
 - A Well, I think we've made ourselves available for public criticism at the LEAF conference. We've posted positions on the web site so that we could be openly taught, criticized, educated, and formed, connected. We've been in informal discussion with feminists across North America and, in fact, beyond that. We've read what books have been listed as feminist material and periodicals on the subject. When we found any of them particularly helpful, we've posted them.
- Q Now, let me stop you there. You've posted the ones that have been helpful?
- A Yeah.
- Q Can you identify for me, please, the major works that you have considered, in thinking about the standards that you at Rape Relief would want to have?
- Q The works that Rape Relief has considered?
- A Yes.
- A Not sure I could give you a list that everybody's read
 everything, but, let's see, there was an early
 important novel-like book called "Stoned Butch Blues"
 that got circulated. There was several short articles-

- oh, gee, I don't even know where stuff comes from There's the article about Kimberly Nixon, anymore. that's in some local publication. There was --Let me just ask you if you're referring to the article 0 in the Zenith Digest? Α I'm not sure. It --As opposed to --Q I remember a photograph and a reference to being a А pilot. I don't remember the --MS. GRAY: Can I show the witness the exhibits? ms. findlay: Please do. MS. GRAY: Can I show the witness the defendant's documents? ms. findlay: Yes, please. My records indicate that it may be Exhibit 10. MS. GRAY: No. ms. findlay: No? Okay, sorry. MS. GRAY: It is different. This is number 4 on Rape Relief's List of Documents. ms. findlay: Right. And I don't think it has been marked as an MS. GRAY: exhibit. ms. findlay: All right. Can we mark it, please? MS. GRAY: This is the only copy I have. ms. findlay: All right. It's which document in your list? It's 4 in the defendant's list. I don't know if MS. GRAY: it was in your list. ms. findlay: Madam Chair, do you mind if I use a corner of your table? THE CHAIRPERSON: No, not at all. ms. findlay: Thank you. I think what I'll do is ask my client to look through this while I carry on, then we'll come back to that. I don't think it's in the complainant's list. If MS. GRAY: you want to copy this, I don't object. ms. findlay: How many pages does your copy have? MS. GRAY: Two. Okay. And does the second page begin ms. findlay: "Compromise begs the question ... "? MS. GRAY: Yeah. THE CHAIRPERSON: Why don't we arrange to have copies of it made and then we can physically mark one as an exhibit? Ms. Gray, if you give it to me, I'll do it. ms. findlay: Thank you, Madam Chair. (WITNESS ASIDE) 47 --- OFF THE RECORD AT 1:30 P.M.

2.4

1 2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44 45

1		ON THE RECORD AT 1:32 P.M.
2 3		LEE LAKEMAN, resumes:
4 5 7 8	THE	CHAIRPERSON: So, we'll mark the two-page article entitled "Kimberly Soars to New Heights Flying on Her Own" as Exhibit 39.
9 10 11		<u>EXHIBIT 39</u> : Article entitled "Kimberly Soars to New Heights Flying on Her Own", by Stephanie Castle
12^{11}	CROS	S-EXAMINATION BY ms. findlay (cont'd.):
13	Q	Were there any other materials that you referred to,
14	~	Ms. Lakeman? We were discussing
15	A	That I know we're circulating?
16	Q	Pardon me?
17	А	Materials that I know we're circulating?
18 19 20 21	Q	Well, materials that I the question I asked you was if you would identify the reading of persuasive materials that you reviewed, recognizing that not everybody read everything.
22 23 24 25 26	A	Mm-hmm. There was a bundle from a UBC student who had been researching the question for an essay she was working on. Her material circulated. Some of the same things were in there. There was a thesis done under Holly Divoras (phonetic) guidance
27 28	Q	You're referring to Monica Campbell's thesis? Are you referring to Monica Campbell's thesis?
29 30 31 32 33 34	A	I honestly don't remember who. It was a woman who's now working for the Women's Ministry who offered us her Ph.D. thesis critical of our position. There was Sheila Jeffries' material. There's also the medical ethicist's, whose name I've forgotten. It came up earlier.
35	Q	Jan Raymond?
36	А	
37	Q	And of Jan Raymond's work, it would have been "The
38		Transsexual Empire, Second Edition."
39	A	Yeah. The second edition. Also, the Australian
40		feminist I'm sorry I forget everybody's name, now.
41		It's a current popular book. I can't think of her
42		name. There's the stuff from the Zenith web site.
43		There was a local needs study of some kind that you
44		were involved with, ms. findlay. There was a human
45		rights site in Ontario, and then we looked to see
46		whether any of the national women's groups had policy.
47		None had. That's what I can think of off the top.

Thank you. And the articles about Kimberly Nixon that you showed us appeared in an issue of the Zenith Digest, along with an article written by her about transgendered women in women's organizations. Are you aware of that?

- A I don't think so.
- Q All right. You have indicated that there are very few occasions when you've ever had to reject a woman or -or on the basis of her having failed to learn a skill, your evidence was that you can pretty much always find a way to teach a skill; is that correct?
 A Mm-hmm.
- Q And you've indicated that a woman who comes to Rape Relief need not have, when she comes, any understanding of feminism; is that correct?
- A She could certainly have a pretty thin understanding of feminism, yeah. I'd wonder what was wrong if she had none.
- Q And that is information -- I beg your pardon?
- A I'd wonder what was wrong if she had no understanding of feminism after 25 years in the movement, 30 years in the movement. It's pretty hard to be totally ignorant of feminism if you walk around in the world.
- Q Well, perhaps I should put it a bit differently. When a woman first came, it would not be a reason to turn her away that she didn't at the time she first came as a volunteer understand herself as a victim of male violence in her own life. Though, if she still felt that way, I think your evidence was, by the end of the training you might have some questions; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q So, has Rape Relief given any consideration to the question of whether any deficiencies that there might be for a volunteer or prospective volunteer has not always been treated as female and supplying those deficiencies by the same kinds of effective training that you're able to supply with respect to feminism and the skills that are necessary?
- A There hasn't been a formal discussion of that. I would say there's been very, very many informal discussions of it.
- Q You made reference in your evidence to the steps that Ms. Cormier had taken on the evening that Ms. Nixon went to the first training session.
 A Mm-hmm.

Q

And you indicated that you were proud of the steps that Q she had taken? Α Yes. Did you have occasion to review Ms. Cormier's notes Q before today's -- before the hearing of this --Α Oh, I'm sure I did, but I don't remember when. And is it on the basis of information in her notes that Q you formed the opinion that she had done the right thing? Α No, actually, it was listening to Erin Clark. It was a response to the totality of the information and any -- I was prepared to think there may have been a mistake I'd overlooked or something we hadn't done or something we might have done, and I was satisfied after hearing, particularly her, but also Tracy and Karen, that our collective behaviour was fine. Unimpeachable. Q Α Fine and upright, yeah. 0 But you had not come to that conclusion on the basis of reading her notes? Α Again, it's not a simple yes or no. Obviously, if I thought that we were on the wrong side of something, Ι would have said so much earlier in this process. Ι would have argued for us having another solution. Ι did think we were on the side of right and good based on what I knew about our behaviour in the case, but I think, even more so now, that we've done everything we could have done. Would you agree, then, that Ms. Cormier's notes left Q you with no question that you'd done the right thing? That, I'm just understanding -- that I'm just -- I'm just trying to get a grip here. I'm not even sure if I read her notes. I just -- it's Α so logical to me that I would have that I'm assuming I did, but I don't actually even remember reading them. Q Would you agree with me that it's a central insight of feminism that the attributes of gender are socially constructed? Would you say that again, please? Α Would you agree with me that it is a central insight of Q feminism that the attributes of gender are socially constructed? Α Yes. And would you agree that the reason that the women's Q movement has organized with CR groups is because it is a central tenet of feminism that the actual and various

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

information about our lives? Yes. Α And would you agree with me that it is a central tenet 0 of feminism that a woman is entitled to control her own body, including determining for herself, in conjunction with her doctor, whether or not to have an abortion, for example? Yes. Α 0 And would you agree that gender is a social institution dedicated to creating distinguishable social statuses for the assignment of rights and responsibilities? Α I need to go back a step. I don't -- in the court especially I don't want to confuse political rhetoric with absolute statements. If you ask me, do I think when you asked me do I think we're entitled to control of our own bodies, for example, the right to abortion, I absolutely uphold the right to abortion. "Entitle," though, seems an odd choice of words, and if you mean to imply, therefore, we're entitled to every other kind of body surgery, I would give you a much more complicated answer. Would Rape Relief agree with the propositions that we Q have just discussed? Α Relief agrees that women have a Rape right to reproductive -- a right to control over reproduction and a right to control over their own body. Right. And would Rape Relief agree with the role of CR Q groups, for example? Α Yes. Q And would Rape Relief agree that attributes of gender are socially constructed? Yes. Α And would Rape Relief agree that one of the central Q accomplishments of first-wave and second-wave feminism in North America has been to deconstruct the idea that there are spheres of activity which are quintessentially male or quintessentially female? I don't think most people would put it that way. Α Yes. I think we agree that no one has the right, no power in the world has the right to confine women's behaviour to that which men deem acceptable to them.

lived experiences of women be privileged as a source of

Q And you would agree that in some cultures gender is not understood as bi-polar but understood differently than bi-polar male-female construction?

I understand that there are threads of Α politics, philosophy and religion everywhere in the world that would say gender is not bi-polar. For example, in some First Nations in North America Ο sexual orientation and gender identity are not understood as separate phenomenon; do you agree? Α I'm completely ill-equipped, to speak for First Nations people on this point. I'm not asking you to speak for First Nations people. Q you whether you know I'm asking that piece of information about some of the First Nations, not all of them, in North America? Whether I know that some First Nations --Α Understand sexual orientation and gender identity as Q one phenomenon? Α No, I don't know that. Ο If an Aboriginal woman presented at Rape Relief to be a volunteer and said -- and there are various names for this phenomenon -- "I present as a two-spirited woman in my culture," that means something different than either your concept of sexual orientation or your concept of gender identity, what steps would you expect Rape Relief to take? First of all, it's never happened. Secondly, I quess Α I'd ask her what she was talking about. I'd tell her that I was pretty ignorant of her culture. I'd explain my own use of the words and what I was talking about and why I was talking about it, and what the basis of unity point meant among us who already exist at Rape Relief. And I would lean on her to explain to me her point of view. Q And what would you regard as a successful, in the sense of she would then move into the training course, successful resolution of that conversation? Α I'm just really uncomfortable with this conversation. I don't accept you as an authority on two-spirited people either, so, I'm -- I don't know what I'd do in that situation. It hasn't happened. If I was dealing with criticism from Aboriginal women in a more collective way saying, "We're having a hard time working with you because we have a difference of opinion about something, about how to deal with something," I would -- I would read, talk, negotiate for a long time. The fact is it hasn't happened. I'm not in that conflict. And on behalf of Rape Relief, you are, therefore, Q unable to say what the results would be?

> EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

On behalf of Rape Relief, I'm unable to say how we Α would resolve, but I don't --Ο Thank you. You are aware that transgendered people, and in particular male-to-female transgendered people, experience very high rates of unemployment? Α So I've read. And you are aware -- Rape Relief was aware that Q transyouth experience a very high rate of suicide? Again, so I've read. Yeah. Α 0 And Rape Relief is -- would agree that the transgendered people, the people -- among transgendered people, the people most likely to experience violence are those who do not "pass" as a member of the target qender? think it is true that Α Ι men beat up and abuse transsexuals, yes, for being transsexuals, which is, I think, your point. And would you also agree that women are more likely to Q treat badly transsexual women who "look normal" than who pass? Α Sorry? Women are more likely to --To treat badly. Q Α To treat badly --0 Transsexual women who "look like men" than those who pass? Α I have no idea. Q You would agree -- would Rape Relief agree that poverty, unemployment, violence and self-inflicted violence are markers of an oppressed group? Α That's a very big question. Do I think that -- or does Rape Relief think that --Q Poverty, unemployment, violence against the group and self-inflicted violence among members of the group are markers of an oppressed group, markers of oppression? Yes, they could be, and It's really way too general. Α sometimes they wouldn't be. Thank you. You agree that -- would you agree -- would Q Rape Relief agree with me that transgendered women may be excellent rape crisis counsellors? No, I don't agree. Α Q Neither in your organization, nor in any other organization? Α I'm not speaking for any other organization. I'm --I'm not asking you in the context of this of this --Q Rape Relief doesn't have an opinion about people being Α rape crisis counsellors in other situations. We have 47 an opinion about in our own political service group,

> EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

and we have an opinion about autonomous women's groups. There are many, many other places where it might be appropriate. So you don't know or -- and I'm not -- I am asking you Ο this. You don't know or you don't have an opinion about whether transgendered women would be excellent rape crisis counsellors in some circumstances? Are you asking me to speak as Rape Relief or myself? А Q Yes, of course. Α As Rape Relief. Rape Relief doesn't have an opinion or a position or anything about that. We have a position that it's not appropriate in our organization, and you could certainly extend logically that we don't think appropriate other autonomous it's in women's organizations. We're not in a position to make that decision for them. I'm actually trying to understand what you've Q just Is it the case that Rape Relief has made no said. about whether transgendered inquiries women are excellent rape crisis counsellors in any situations? I know of no situation in which they are rape crisis Α counsellors at the moment, but --Q That's actually not my question. My question was whether or not you've made any inquiries about whether transgendered women are or came to be excellent rape crisis counsellors? Did you make -- did Rape Relief make any inquiries about that question? MS. GRAY: Madam Chair, I'm going to object, because I don't understand the question when it's formulated as "made any inquiries." This Tribunal has already heard the evidence of Dr. Pacey and of Edith Swain. Is that -is that making inquiries? I'm afraid I don't know, so I'm objecting because I don't see how the witness can answer the question without really understanding what that means. ms. findlay: Well, Madam Chair, in the case law, one of the areas which is germane is the nature of the inquiries which some organization has undertaken to establish its position. And it is in that context that I ask this Since my friend objects to that question, I question. will instead put this one. You are aware, are you not, of a rape crisis centre in Q Portland which includes transgendered women on its staff? No, I'm not. Α Q You have never heard of that organization? Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

б

And it's not referred to in your web site, for example? Q Α If it is, I didn't read the piece. You're not aware that Rape Relief participated in a 0 panel at the International Organization of Human Rights Agencies at which one of the women from that, one of the transgendered women from that centre spoke as a member of the audience? I have heard the proceedings of that panel. А I don't remember that person. I guess I was focusing on the speakers. Q Right. Α Germaine Greer was the other Australian writer I was trying to remember, though. When you said germane, it came back. There are just a few little pieces that I realized that Q I hadn't asked you about the way Rape Relief operates, so, of the -- and these are easy ones for you, I'm Of the women who call Rape Relief, what sure. percentage come to the shelter, having been encouraged to do so? Α Oh, sorry, to move in? You said that in your first call one of your goals Q No. was to get the women to come to the shelter. Yeah. Α What percentage do? Q Probably a quarter would be a positive estimate. Α It would be less than that probably. Q And of the women who come, what percentage later become involved in a SCA group? Α It really varies year to year and month to month, but -Q You keep statistics, don't you? А Yeah, but they're different every year. Some years we have the personnel to put up ongoing support groups on When we do, those stats would be a regular basis. something like maybe 25 per cent, again. And that was going to be my next question, which is, Q how many SCA groups run in a year? It's entirely dependent on the volunteer component, the Α demand over the phone, how full the transition house I mean, we're under-resourced, so we're constantly is. juggling one thing against the other. Q In 1995, your evidence is that there were 12 people in You gave that evidence the the collective; correct? other day. Α Mm-hmm.

> EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

How many SCA groups would have run or did run in 1995, Q approximately? I honestly don't know, but I think that there was at Α least one operating all the time. Q In 2000, you have 28 people in your collective? Α Yeah. Q How many SCA groups were there last year? Α Two, I think. Q And each of them lasted how many weeks? Α We tend to have open-ended groups rather than ten-week groups, but that's different in different years, too. It depends on the preferred style of the facilitators and what the collective can handle. Last year, were they open-ended or closed? Q I think we had one of each. Α Q But you're not sure? Α No, I'm not. Q Of the women who call, what percentage come to the transition house have -- spend some -- live in the transition house for a little while, over night? Α Between 120 and 150 families in a year, so that's 150 sole-care parents, and there may be any number of children with them. And do you have rules about boy children, the age after 0 which they can't come to the transition house? Although, we've certainly had difficulty with late Α No. teenage boys, and -- but we try to take it on. Q Does Rape Relief have a charitable tax number? Α Yeah. May I have production of the contracts which I have 0 requested of your counsel, please? MS. GRAY: Madam Chair, ms. findlay wrote me a letter requesting some documents. They are, as I understood it, copies of -- and I want to take them one at a time -- copy of the contract, presumably in effect in 1995, that Rape Relief had with government for the provision of transition house services. And I object to producing that on the basis that it's not relevant. It certainly -- it's something that had only arisen in the course of this cross-examination, and obviously neither counsel had thought it was relevant before these proceedings began. I say it still is not relevant. Ιt will not assist this Tribunal, in my submission, and anything at issue here to know exactly the particulars of how government pays for this service. 47 (DISCUSSION ABOVE)

33

1

2

3

4 5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I will take the afternoon break now, a little early, and I'll come back at 2:30 and review the document.

(WITNESS ASIDE)

--- PROCEEDINGS RECESSED AT 2:12 P.M. --- PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 2:35 P.M.

LEE LAKEMAN, resumes:

THE CHAIRPERSON: Having reviewed the contents of the contract in issue, I conclude that some of its contents may be relevant. I also understand that Ms. Gray's client is prepared to release it on two preconditions. The first that I require an undertaking as to its use, which, for reasons already indicated I am not prepared to do. And the second that it be sealed. This, too, I am not prepared to do.

This hearing is an open hearing and requests to seal documents produced in evidence are treated seriously and only granted in circumstances including that the content of the document contains sensitive, personal information, or information which might result in a participant to this proceeding being exposed to risk or harm. On that basis, a sketch of Rape Relief's transition house was sealed earlier on agreement of counsel. I am not prepared to order this exhibit sealed.

While counsel could not advise me whether the contract could have been obtained under a Freedom of Information request, I have briefly reviewed the exceptions to the *Freedom of Information Act*, and I'm not persuaded that the contract would be inaccessible under that legislation had it been requested. Ms. Gray?

- ms. findlay: Madam Chair, I am not sure who is responsible for the portions which are deleted from the contract?
- THE CHAIRPERSON: Why don't I propose this, I'll give you a few minutes both to review it and to discuss that with Ms. Gray.
- ms. findlay: Thank you.
- THE CHAIRPERSON: I assumed, when I reviewed it, that the only portions that had been deleted were financial information, but --

34

That's right and I did that, or I wrote the MS. GRAY: first, and I told my secretary, so there's printing on the second page. THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you wish a few minutes to review it and to discuss that with Ms. Gray? ms. findlay: Yes, please. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. I'll come back in five minutes. (WITNESS ASIDE) --- PROCEEDINGS RECESSED AT 2:37 A.M. --- PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 2:45 P.M. LEE LAKEMAN, resumes: MS. GRAY: Madam Chair, I should perhaps tell you, we did notice that the standard form printed part of this refers to something being on the reverse side of it, and I had not seen the reverse of it. I don't know if Rape Relief still has that in their records. I asked one of the collective members to call and see if it was possible to find out if there was a copy of the flip side of this form in Rape Relief's records and, if so, see if we can have it sent here by facsimile. I haven't heard back yet. THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Thank you, Ms. Gray. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ms. findlay (cont'd.): You've had an opportunity to look at the parts of the 0 contract that are here, Ms. Lakeman? Α Mm-hmm. Yes. And turning to --0 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't have a copy of the document. Ι don't know if there's an extra one. ms. findlay: I'll borrow Ms. Rice's. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Gray. ms. findlay: Page -- or clause A-1 --Q А A-1? Q Mm-hmm. Do clauses A-1 through 3 properly describe the services which you provided under this contract in 1995? This is some of what we got paid for, yes. Is that Α what you mean?

Perhaps you didn't understand my question. Q Does this contract accurately describe the work that you were paid for under this contract? Α Yes. And does it completely describe the work that you were Q paid for under this contract? Α I guess so. That we're paid for, yes. In 1995 were there other sources of public funds at Q Rape Relief? Α I don't think so. This is a funding contract under the transition house Q program, and Rape Relief receives all this of provincial funding from the transition house program; correct? Mm-hmm. Α Q And none of it's provincial funding from the funding sources for rape crisis centres; is that correct? Α It's the same funding source, but --From which ministry is this contract written? Q I guess, this may still be the old Social Service Α Ministry. I have to read it to find out. I thought it referred to the Ministry --THE CHAIRPERSON: Minister of -- Women's Equality Minister. Then, it's the same. Α ms. findlay: 0 And rape crisis funding, for example, for WAVAW comes from the Ministry of the Attorney General; correct? Α Actually, up until very recently it was Women's It's just been moved back to the Attorney Equality. General for the way of a contract. It's not clear who the contractor will be in a month. But in 1995 it was the Attorney General, wasn't it? Q Α I think so. I don't know that. Q And from that pot, however we identify it and within whichever Ministry it is, Rape Relief has not received funding; is that correct? Whether we describe it as program funding, or whether we describe it as --Α Well, this is a matter of hot debate with the provincial government. They would say they're paying us for both under this contract. We assert that we should have a separate contract for the rape crisis service, which would essentially double our funding, which is perhaps why they're not interested. So, you agree with me, do you, that you are currently Q not receiving rape crisis funding from the provincial qovernment?

36

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

I would say that they pay for what's exactly in this 1 Α 2 contract, which is not some of the other work at the 3 Rape Crisis Centre. We don't -- you know, I think 4 we've explained several times over, we don't separate 5 them. The government does. б Ms. Lakeman, this is not a difficult question. Q It's 7 just I understand there to be, and perhaps I'm wrong, 8 two funding -- program funding pots. 9 There's more than two. Α 10 0 Well, all right. For the material -- the material two that I'm talking about is the transition house program 11 12 on the one hand and the rape crisis fund on the other 13 hand. Now, I don't care which ministries those are in. My only question is -- and I'm taking you to agree 14 with me -- Rape Relief hasn't been getting any of the 15 16 rape crisis -- any money from the Rape Crisis Centre 17 pot. Am I correct, in 1995? I would say, yes; the government would say, no, which 18 Α is what I answered you the first time. The government 19 20 claims that it has unified our funding and it prefers 21 to deliver it under the transition house contract. 22 And in 1995 were they making that claim? Q 23 Α Yeah, they repeatedly make that claim, and we 24 repeatedly make the opposite claim. 25 So, you're claim is that you are not --Q 26 Α We'd like to have a separate contract. 27 0 I'm sorry, Ms. Lakeman, I'm becoming a little bit 28 frustrated. I would appreciate it if you wait until 29 the end of my question before you begin your answer, 30 since that makes it easier to follow. It is my understanding that it is your evidence 31 that, notwithstanding your representations about the fact that Rape Relief should receive funding from the 32 33 34 Rape Crisis Centre program budget, it does not now and 35 did not in 1995? 36 Α That's right. 37 Thank you. Of the staff who have -- who were working Q 38 at Rape -- how many staff were working at Rape Relief in 1995? 39 I think we were at ten. 40 Α 41 Q So, there were two volunteers in the collective --42 I did misspeak myself the other day, saying there was Α 43 only a carryover of two of us. It's actually more like 44 four or five of us since those days. 45 Between 1995 and 2000 of the staff who have worked at Q 46 Rape Relief what percentage have not been volunteers 47 before they were hired as staff? If you like, I can

> EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

ask the question in relation to 1995. Perhaps that's easier. There were ten women working at Rape Relief as staff in 1995. How many of them had not volunteered there before they were staff? I think my count was five. Α Q Five of them had not volunteered there in 1995? Α That's right. Q All right. And five of them had? Α Mm-hmm. Q And in the year 2000 how many staff people do you have? Α I think it's 11. Q And of those 11 staff people, I presume the number is something point five since you are a point five person. Α Well, of that five four are still there, so, Yeah. there's at least four of us that didn't start out as volunteers. And of the ones who did not start out as volunteers, Q how many of them are old timers, such as yourself, being women who've worked there longer than ten years? Nobody's as old as me, barbara. I mean, I'm --Α Q By my definition, being people who've been there more than ten years. I think I'm now the only one; perhaps another woman Α who's been there ten years. And is she one of the women who was hired without being Q a volunteer? Α No. She volunteered a full year full-time for free before she ever got a pay cheque. Okay. And you've given evidence that your Q All right. contains information, which web site you take responsibility for, and I'm showing you some additional pieces from the web site. Do you recognize that as being from the Rape Relief web site? Oh, it's from the Off Our Backs Group, yeah. Α Turning to page -- turning to the one, two, three, 0 four, fifth page, but there's a second article titled "Post-Modernism Marches On: Women's Space Under Continued Attack." Do you recognize that also as being from the web site? I actually haven't read it on the web site, but I know Α that the Off Our Backs insignia says it's up there. Well, do you agree that it's from the web site? Q Α Sure. Yes. 0 I take you to paragraph -- the third paragraph: As of June 16th of last year, Bradley-Angle House -- Portland's primary resource for battered women,

> EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

adopted a "TransGender/Transsexual Policy" which extended services "to all individuals who identify as women regardless of their participation in a medically based transition." And so forth. Are you familiar with that material? А I read it a very long time ago. 0 The founder and head of the Survivor Project... And this is the one, two, three, four, fifth paragraph: ... is Diana Courvant, a 30-year-old, pre-operative male-to-female transsexual ... " Excuse me a sec, I just lost you. Α Q The fifth paragraph. Α The fifth paragraph? Okay, got it. Q The founder and head of the survivor project is Diana Courvant, a 30-year-old, pre-operative maleto-female transsexual who claims that as a man he was the victim of domestic violence at the hand of the woman he lived with in a sexual relationship. Mm-hmm. Α 0 So, you are now aware, though you might not have been earlier, of a project for battered women in which employs a transgendered person? Oh, I'm aware of many projects that may or may not А employ -- I don't think I tried to speak about all projects that deal with --Q Oh, perhaps I've misunderstood you, then. So, you're aware of other ones, eh, where there are -- women's projects where there are --No, I'm not, and I didn't try to speak for anything Α other than women's autonomous organizations, individual feminist organizations. There are many services to battered women that make no such claim. Make no such claim as what? Q Α To be autonomous feminist organizations. I have no idea about this one. Okay. You've said in your evidence, as I understand Q your evidence, matters -- issues in the collective are decided by consensus; is that correct? They're not always decided by consensus, but if someone Α identifies it as a politically-loaded topic it probably 47 would be.

39

1 2

3

4

5 б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

So, in other words, would it be fair to characterize Q the sort of weightier decisions as ones which the collective makes by consensus? Α Yes. Q Failing consensus levels? Α We would struggle. We would put a fair amount of effort into struggle. We might, if pressed, take a vote. And you've said that anybody can speak on behalf of Q Rape Relief and are encouraged to do so; is that correct? Α Any member of the collective? Q Mm-hmm. Α Yes. And in the situation where there are -- where the 0 collective has not formulated a position or an opinion, what happens if two members of Rape Relief express different views? We'd probably argue. Α You have no process for resolving that? Q А Well, there's many -- there's many possible processes. If the first woman spoke, the second woman disagreed, she might criticize her, and they might struggle it out and come to some common agreement in the collective meeting or in a public collective process. Or, they might hold it for a year-end discussion, when we take on bigger subjects with more time and less interruption. Or they might start circulating materials and propose some kind of debate strategy, or they might agree to disagree. We may have no need to come to agreement on something. Q Okay. Your lawyer objected to Dr. Pacey being asked a question about her sexual orientation. Is it Rape Relief's view that it is a matter of individual privacy, that one's sexual orientation is a matter of individual privacy about which it's not appropriate to ask in the context of the work you do at Rape Relief? Much too big a question for me. Α I can think of situations in which I would consider it a great breach of feminism not to say something about one's sexual practice. Ι generally think it's discourteous, unnecessary to ask someone else's sexual practice, particularly in the world at large, much less so within the confines of the collective. I don't know how to give you an answer to that question. Privacy is not something -- how do you even talk about privacy in the context of rape crisis centre? I mean --

Well, that's what I'm asking you. And so let me put the question another way. Were I to go to Rape Relief as a lesbian, is it my decision or is someone likely to ask me about my sexual orientation? Is it my decision to disclose or is someone likely to ask me at some point?

- Α Well, they'll be asking you your personal history in many different kinds of ways and, so, you could choose to lie; you could choose to withhold information; you could choose to refuse to answer. But those would all be all very, very strong statements. It's -- it's just kind of unnatural in this situation to not be offering a great deal of information about each other's personal history. Over the course of the first year of getting know each other, I would find it to completely surprising if I didn't have a conversation with another woman about whether or not she'd ever had a woman lover or ever had a man lover. But I can't imagine -- you know, that's not on a -- that's not on a sign-up-herelist as she comes in. It's just I don't see how you would not have the conversation at some point along the way in the first year or so.
- Q So, if I've understood what you've said, it's an expectation by Rape Relief that that information would be disclosed but not necessarily the practice of Rape Relief to ask that; is that correct?
- A I --
- MS. GRAY: Can I just simply ask that there be clarification here about whether the question is directed to a person seeking services or a person seeking to volunteer. It wasn't clear to me?
- ms. findlay: I asked her about a person seeking services, and Ms. Lakeman responded about a person, I assume, who was seeking services, but --
- A I'm sorry, I misunderstood you. You're asking me as someone who comes forward for services?
- Q Mm-hmm. A I would be unlikely to ask you, but I would give you plenty of clues that it was okay to tell me and I would hope that you would tell me.
- Q And as a volunteer is that the same standard that you adopt?
- A I would give you lots of permission to tell. I certainly wouldn't insist that you reveal, but I'd find it pretty strange if, over time, you didn't.
 Q If over time I didn't what?

Q

1

2

A Reveal that you had some sexual experience with women or that you did or didn't identify as a lesbian.

- Q Well, of course, if I didn't disclose it you wouldn't know it, so it would not be strange to discover; am I right? I mean, that I'm assuming that the somebody's not individually barbara findlay, because --
- A It's possible for someone to decide that it's none of my bloody business and not tell me anything, but it would be very, very unusual. Normally, over the course of the first year or two, we would know a lot about what our common experiences were, including sexually, and we would know a lot about each other's personal history over the course of working together over a couple of years. And we would often find that out in the course of revealing something to someone we were both working with.
- Q Okay. Since there is no collective policy and no training sessions on the issue of transgendered women at Rape Relief, a new woman, whether trans or nontrans, would have no way of knowing whether transgendered women were welcome there, would they?
- Α that's true. Ι think there In а way, is а misunderstanding that's clear to both of us in this case about what we're saying when we're saying born to an oppression, that I can understand someone might not have made the extrapolation. But that's not what happened, I mean, and it's not what does happen.
- Q What does happen? Clarify it for me? A Well, I think what happened is that the women who were dealing with the training recognized they were dealing with somebody who had a fair amount of experience as a man in the world. And they tried to find a way to talk about that and to deal with that and to make it clear
- that that disqualified the applicant. Q And that happened some ways into the training, and it could have happened later in the training; right?
- A But it didn't. It happened the first night.
- Q Well, it happened after Ms. Nixon had been accepted for training and gone off to her first night of training; right?
- A Yeah, it happened the first night.
- Q And it could have happened later?
- A I suppose it could have, but it didn't.
- Q Indeed, you're not able to say that there aren't transwomen currently working at Rape Relief, since it's not a question that you ask; are you?
- 47 A No, I suppose I'm not.

1

Q Thank you. When -- I'm a bit confused about how it is, given that there is no collective policy, about --

- A You know I have to go back one step. That's not true. I keep being asked to answer something in the abstract that I know in the concrete. I know the women I work with. I know their personal histories. I know their sexual histories. I know what they've told me about their lives. And, in fact, I am quite sure that there isn't anybody in the collective at the moment who has a history of -- of transsexual -- a transsexual history. Q Based on what they've told you?
- A Yes, based on our honest communication with each other.Q And knowing that, if they were transsexual and did tell you, they would be ejected from the group; correct?
- A Well, you're saying that's what it's based on. I'm saying it's based on our shared understanding of each other's lives. I believe what they say to me, I have reason to believe it, and I have no reason not to believe it. I don't think they're cowering in the corner hiding deep secrets.
- Q You would agree that in the early days of the second wave of the women's movement lesbians often hid in the corner in women's organizations and did not disclose their sexual orientation because they would have faced being asked to leave one way or the other?
- A I understand that there are a lot of women who suffered discrimination because of their sexual practice and sexual orientation. I, in fact, have never been part of a women's group that either excluded women on the basis of that practice or insisted on any particular practice nor, was in any way, I told that I could not exercise my sexual liberty. And, in fact, the groups that I've been in have been disproportionate to the population and the number of lesbians who participated in the group, of which I'm proud.
- Q That's not my question.
- A Hmm?
- Q My question is are you aware of that as a phenomenon of the second wave of the women's movement: yes or no?
- A I certainly am aware of it as a huge phenomenon in our culture and our society, and less so in the women's movement.
- Q Well, do you disagree then with Judy Rebick's evidence about that phenomenon? A No.
- Q Thank you. And I'm a little bit confused. You have given evidence in this hearing as Rape Relief's
 - EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

43

1

2

representative. On transgendered issues you have said no policies, no standards, no there are written documents relating to that question. And I would like you to explain to me how this Tribunal distinguishes between the opinions of Lee Lakeman and the opinions of Rape Relief? I thought you'd been doing that all afternoon by asking Α me. I have asked you to speak on behalf of Rape Relief. Q It's true. Α Mm-hmm. Q What I'm asking you now is how can a person tell, given policies, standards, that there are no written materials about this question, what Rape Relief's policy is as compared to what Lee Lakeman's opinion is? Α Well, you could ask all the other members or you could take our public word for it, or you could take my word for it. You can do any of the above, I guess. What I'm telling you is that we're in serious and intelligent discussion with each other. Of course, Ι have influence in the group, as do other women. I would say on this question, Danielle was by far the more informed person than I and I had to work pretty hard to read up, catch up, catch up emotionally and intellectually and politically. Rape Relief is only the sum of its membership and I'm only one of its members. When we come to a formal agreement, as а collective, it's formalized. We haven't yet, except to the extent that we're clear about having used the terminology "oppression" to refer to the class, race and gender position that people are born into, over which they have no say, and out of which they don't get to -- they don't get to leave those positions. It's not the same working --That's not written down either, is it? Q Α Pardon? Q That's not written down either. Α That's not --Q Written down. Α Well, I think you've got several examples of where it's partially written down. I mean, we raise it on purpose. Some people do think that class position -they use class, for instance, to refer to a mobile economic state over one's life. We don't use it that We use it to refer to several generations of way. condition that make it unlikely you're suddenly going

to be a Supreme Court Judge in one lifetime. There are I'm sorry, Ms. Lakeman, but I actually am sort of --Q maybe it's just because I've read a lot of information, but I actually have not seen anything written about class that says anything remotely like that. And perhaps you could point me to it in the materials that we've examined or that you provided to us or your counsel? Α You've got "The Born Oppression as the Conditions We're Born To," and we use that to refer to class, race, and gender, not, for instance, to refer to disability, not particularly to refer to sexual orientation. That's the distinction we're making. That's the political idea we've been grappling with. Q And which document are you referring to? Α It's in the "Basis of Unity" document. Well, I understood there wasn't a "Basis of Unity" Q document. I'm now really confused. А You've already had it and grilled me on it yesterday and the day -- last time or the day before. Ms. Rice asked you, specifically, whether there was a Q document that incorporated the "Basis of Unity" and your response was that, no, there was not. The questions changed from time-to-time. Can I show the witness the exhibits in this MS. GRAY: proceeding? ms. findlay: Sure. We might as well have all the lawyers on their feet. Perhaps Exhibit 27 would be helpful, or perhaps we can look at that anyway, since it's titled "Basis of Unity for Vancouver Rape Relief". THE CHAIRPERSON: That was a good start. Yeah, good job. Α ms. findlay: And it says: 0 The most current expression of our analysis is to be found in the article signed by Lynn in the Rape Relief file 1986. This set of opinions and observations was compiled and expressed by Lynn and a collective member, but informed and agreed to in its structure and content by all the collective members at that time. Since that time, no one has prepared additions, deletions to the content or the structure. Now, which documents is it that is signed by Lynn?

"The Truth as We Know It," Exhibit 28. Α Now, the "Truth as We Know It" actually is not signed 0 by Lynn, at least not in my copy of it. Oh, it's authored by Lynn, apparently. Is this the -- so, this is now the document you refer to as the "Basis of Unity"? That's one piece, also --Α Ms. Lakeman, I just want to remind you that --Q MS. GRAY: The witness referred to Exhibit 30, as well. ms. findlay: Q What are the other pieces? Α Exhibit 30. And are those all the pieces? Are there any other 0 pieces which together comprise the "Basis of Unity"? Α 31, 32. Q And all of the members of the collective would agree on which documents comprised the "Basis of Unity"? Α Oh, yes. All right. Q Α The constitution and bylaws would also be considered part of the "Basis of Unity". Thanks. Ms. Lakeman, I want to enter as an exhibit the Q contract which you provided to us, redacted, I think, Madam Chair, for the address of the organization which appears on the front page. I assume you don't want the address of the organization --Α Wiping it out is fine. It's not a totally invisible address. So, you don't care? Q А I'd rather it was wiped out. Q Thank you. So, if we could mark that an exhibit? THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, the copy I've got is clearly not I don't know if anybody has a redacted in that way. heavy black marker, by which we could do that. Right. I'll get the Court Reporter to dedact everything below the line Vancouver Rape Relief Society, so the address, and then we'll mark it as Exhibit 40. MS. GRAY: It's also on the third page. The address is on it. THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Gray, perhaps you'd show the Court Reporter. And the contract is dated, so we can refer to it on the record, as --MS. GRAY: Well, there's an amendment agreement, effective February 1 '95, that's dated May 8 '95. The original one is apparently executed February 15 '95 and it says the term is from October 1, '94 to September 30, '95.

46

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, I'll refer to it as the contract dated February the 15th, 1995. Exhibit 40. EXHIBIT 40: Contract dated February 15, 1995 ms. findlay: Ms. Lakeman, you've said that it would be impossible Q for Rape Relief to operate if you're not allowed to make the decision that you did. Assuming that the Tribunal decides against you, what will Rape Relief do? To be exact, I think that I said that I can't imagine Α how we would operate. I continue to try and imagine, just in case that is the ruling. I don't know. We would have to talk about the possibilities of appeal. We would have to talk about whether or not the organization could survive that. We'd have to talk about whether or not there were other alternatives. We'd have to see how many collective women were willing to renew their membership on whatever the new terms I don't know. were. Q You said earlier in your evidence that you didn't expect to get a fair hearing here. What did you mean by that? I mean, I've spent 20 odd years trying to deal with the Α sexism of the system of law in Canada. I'm not naïve. I don't expect fair and equal treatment for women. And I have to figure out how to operate in spite of that, how to function. I do believe, in a -- I believe in fighting for an equal and fair system of justice. I don't think we're there yet. I don't think anybody would argue that we are. Well, you said that specifically about this Tribunal. Q No, I just don't think this Tribunal's exempt from Α that. And you are aware that Kim Nixon is a woman, legally 0 speaking? GRAY: I'm going to object to that, Madam Chair, because MS. I think it suggests a legal conclusion. ms. findlay: I'll rephrase. You're aware, aren't you, that Ms. Nixon's birth Q certificate lists her as a female? During the course of this hearing I became aware of Α that, that's right. Thank you. Those are my questions. ms. findlay: MS. GRAY: Madam Chair, I have no re-examination. THE CHAIRPERSON: No re-examination. Thank you, Ms. Lakeman.

47

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

MS. GRAY: ms. findlay had made a proposal about transcripts. I'd like to seek instructions on that. Ι wonder if we could take the afternoon adjournment now? THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. Just a note, the excerpts from the web site were not marked as an exhibit, the ones that you referred to in cross-examination with Ms. Lakeman. ms. findlay: That was an oversight, Madam Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. I thought it might be. ms. findlay: Thank you for bringing that to my attention. THE CHAIRPERSON: Maybe we should mark the second package -- there's two ways of doing it. We can either attach it to the earlier pages from the web site and refer to it as the same exhibit number, or we can make it a new exhibit. Let's make it a new exhibit just for -- and ms. findlay: perhaps we could describe it as a package of two articles from the Rape Relief web site, the first being "Post-modernism Harms Women" and the second being "Post-modernism Marches On: Women's space under continued attack." That will be Exhibit 42. THE CHAIRPERSON: EXHIBIT 41: Two artices from Rape Relief web site: "Post-modernism Harms Women" and "Post-modernism Marches on: Women's space under continued attack" THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Lakeman, you may take a comfortable chair now. ms. findlay: Actually, Ms. Gray, I think we've already had the afternoon adjournment. THE CHAIRPERSON: You just didn't notice. MS. GRAY: I just want -- I prefer to have ten minutes, if I could, because I'd like Ms. Lakeman to have a chance to relax from her cross-examination, plus we need instructions on something I'd like to be able to think about. We'll take a ten-minute break then. THE CHAIRPERSON: MS. GRAY: Thank you. ---PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED 3:20 P.M. --- EXCERPT CONCLUDED

(WITNESS EXCUSED)

EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS 8 JANUARY 2001

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein, transcribed from audio tape to the best of my skill and ability.

Sandra J. Van Horne, BCSRA #258 Official Reporter