# NO SENSE TRYING TO MAKE SENSE OF FL: WAS TIED, REMAINS TIED; MAYBE



ON ELECTION EVE ... BUSH 49%, KERRY 48% ... MARTINEZ 48%, CASTOR 48%

- 4 YRS & 4 HURRICANES LATER, FLORIDA ENDS WHERE IT STARTED: TIED. STATE THAT CONFOUNDS POLLSTERS WITH ITS POLYETHNIC MIXTURE OF IMMIGRANTS, NYC RETIREES & WHITE SOUTHERNERS MAY SURPRISE ON TUESDAY, BUT SURVEYUSA'S BEST MEASURE IS THAT BOTH THE PRESIDENTIAL CONTEST & THE SENATE FIGHT TO FILL BOB GRAHAM'S OPEN SEAT ARE A COIN TOSS IN THE END.
- ON ELECTION EVE: BUSH GETS 49%, KERRY 48%. MARTINEZ (R) GETS 48%, CASTOR (D) 48%.
- COMPARED TO 1 WK AGO, BUSH IS UP 1 PT, KERRY IS DOWN 2 PTS. MAYBE THIS IS STATISTICAL NOISE OR MAYBE IT'S SLIGHTEST MOVEMENT TO BUSH IN CAMPAIGN'S FINAL HRS. UNCLEAR.
- COMPARED TO 1 WK AGO, CASTOR IS DOWN 2, MARTINEZ IS UP 1. LEAD HAS CHANGED HANDS 2X.


RESEARCH CONDUCTED E.T.	041029 16: 35-20: 49 041030 13: 07-20: 48 041031 13: 02-18: 30 ET
RESPONDENTS DRAWN FROM	THE ENTIRE STATE OF FLORIDA
RANDOM SAMPLE SELECTED BY	SURVEY SAMPLING INC.

POLL CONDUCTED FOR WFLA TAMPA, WTLV JACKSONVILLE, WKMG ORLANDO, WPTV WEST PALM, WFOR MIAMI & WKRG PENSACOLA

© 2004 SURVEYUSA, PROUD TO BE AMERICA'S POLLSTER

# VOTE FOR PRESIDENT / ALL LIKELY VOTERS

ON TUESDAY, AMERICANS WILL ELECT A PRESIDENT. IF THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES WERE HELD TODAY, AND YOU WERE STANDING IN THE VOTING BOOTH RIGHT NOW, WHO WOULD YOU VOTE FOR? REPUBLICAN GEORGE W. BUSH? DEMOCRAT JOHN KERRY? OR SOME OTHER CANDIDATE?




THIS IS A SURVEY OF 742 LIKELY VOTERS FROM THE ENTIRE STATE OF FLORIDA

OVERALL RESULTS CONSIDERED SIGNIFICANT  $\pm 3.7\%$  A LARGER  $\pm$  APPLIES TO SUBPOPULATIONS

RESULTS MAY NOT ADD TO 100%, BECAUSE PERCENTAGES ARE ROUNDED TO WHOLE NUMBERS

## VOTE FOR SENATOR / ALL LIKELY VOTERS

FLORIDA VOTERS MAY ALSO VOTE IN AN ELECTION FOR UNITED STATES SENATOR. IF THE ELECTION FOR U.S. SENATOR FROM FLORIDA WERE TODAY, AND YOU WERE STANDING IN THE VOTING BOOTH RIGHT NOW, WHO WOULD YOU VOTE FOR? REPUBLICAN MEL MARTINEZ? DEMOCRAT BETTY CASTOR? OR SOME OTHER CANDIDATE?




THIS IS A SURVEY OF 738 LIKELY VOTERS FROM THE ENTIRE STATE OF FLORIDA


OVERALL RESULTS CONSIDERED SIGNIFICANT ±3.7% A LARGER ± APPLIES TO SUBPOPULATIONS

RESULTS MAY NOT ADD TO 100%, BECAUSE PERCENTAGES ARE ROUNDED TO WHOLE NUMBERS

# **VOTE FOR PRESIDENT / ALL LIKELY VOTERS**



# **VOTE FOR SENATOR / ALL LIKELY VOTERS**



# VOTE FOR PRESIDENT / RESPONSES COMPARED BY PERCENTAGES AND BY INDEX

	OVERALL	<b>"</b>	FEMALE	AGE 18-34	AGE 35-49	AGE 50-64	AGE 65+	WHITE	BLACK	HISPANIC	ASIAN/OTH			BUSH (R)	KERRY (D)
	0	MALE	FE	AGI	AGI	AGI	AG	₹	BL/	HIS	ASI			BÜ	Ä
			RF	SPO	NSES	SORT	FD BY	/ DFN	10GR	APHI	C CAT	TEGOF	2Y		
BUSH (R)	49%	51%	49%	47%	56%	51%	44%	56%	21%	42%	32%		· ·		
KERRY (D)	48%	46%	50%	51%	41%	48%	54%	43%	76%	56%	66%				
OTHER	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	0%	0%				
UNDECIDED	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%				
VOTED 'FOR' CANDIDATE														82%	49%
'AGAINST' OTHER CAND.														17%	50%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				
	100%	100%	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070				
	D	EMO	GRAF	HIC S	SUBPO	)PUL	ATION	IS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
BUSH (R)	100	102	98	94	113	103	89	112	42	84	65				
KERRY (D)	100	96	104	105	85	100	111	88	158	115	137				
OTHER	100	136	68	207	85	22	75	99	255	0	25				
UNDECIDED	100	167	40	0	220	60	114	83	0	267	137				
	=	끸	끸	34	49	64	+	Ħ	¥	≌	쏦				
	OVERALL	MALE	FEMALE	AGE 18-34	AGE 35-49	AGE 50-64	AGE 65+	WHITE	BLACK	HISPANIC	ASIAN/OTHER				
	0		岜	E	GE.	ĠE.	AG	-		₩	Ž				
				⋖	⋖	⋖					SIA				
											⋖				

# VOTE FOR PRESIDENT / RESPONSES COMPARED BY PERCENTAGES AND BY INDEX

OVERALL	1 / 1	
REPUBLICAN	LOIO	
DEMOCRAT	IVOLO	
INDEPENDENT	COIVII	
GRAD SCHOOL	ANLD	
COLLEGE GRAD	БП	
SOME COLLEGE	LIKULI	
NO COLLEGE	VIAGL	
CONSERVATIVE	J AIVL	
MODERATE	, , , ,	
LIBERAL	NDLX	
MILITARY/VET		
NON-MILITARY.	∕VET	

BUSH (R) KERRY (D) OTHER Undecided

		RF	SPOI	VSES	SORT	FD B	Y DFN	10GF	APHI	C CA	TEGO	RY	
49%	90%	11%	40%	46%	57%	51%	43%	82%	37%	14%	52%	46%	
48%	9%	88%	54%	52%	41%	45%	56%	17%	60%	82%	47%	51%	
1%	0%	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	
1%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

BUSH (R) KERRY (D) OTHER Undecided

D	EMO	GRAF	PHIC S	SUBPO	OPUL,	ATIOI	NS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
100	183	21	80	92	114	104	88	165	76	28	105	92		
100	18	182	112	108	86	93	116	36	124	170	96	106		
100	30	50	299	127	139	103	42	45	144	116	91	114		
100	42	54	272	45	45	267	0	64	91	238	29	193		

OVERALL

REPUBLICAN

DEMOCRAT

INDEPENDENT

GRAD SCHOOL

COLLEGE GRAD

SOME COLLEGE

NO COLLEGE

MODERATE

LIBERAL

MILITARY/VET

REGULAR RELIGIOUS S

\*\*RO-CHOICE RO-CHOICE \*\*SOK/YR \*\*SOK OVERALL

OVERALL

OVERALL

OCCASIONAL RELIGIOUS SERVICES

OCCASIONAL RELIGIOUS SERVICES

KERRY (D) OTHER UNDECIDED

		RE	SPO	VSES	SORT	ED B	Y DEN	ЛОGF	RAPHI	C CA	TEGO	RY	
49%	43%	45%	57%	72%	34%	43%	52%	59%					
48%	54%	54%	41%	27%	65%	53%	46%	40%					
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%					
1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%					
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					

BUSH (R) KERRY (D) **OTHER** UNDECIDED

	DEMO	GRAF	PHIC S	SUBPO	OPUL	ATIOI	VS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
100	87	91	115	145	68	87	106	120						
100	111	112	84	56	134	110	94	82						
100	124	58	123	54	100	155	108	65						
100	170	24	106	61	50	179	78	28						

> \$80K/YR

NO RELIGIOUS SERVICES OCCASIONAL RELIGIOUS SERVICES REGULAR RELIGIOUS SERVICES

PRO-LIFE

< \$40K/YR \$40K - \$80K/YR

PRO-CHOICE

PAGE 8 OF 18

OVERALL

OVERALL

NORTHEAST FLORIDAS AND BA LONDES

SOUTHEAST FLORIDAS AND BA LONDES

SUBURBAN

RURAL

RURAL

BUSH (R) KERRY (D) OTHER UNDECIDED

		RE	SPO	VSES	SORT	ED B	Y DEN	ЛОGR	RAPHI	C CA	ΓEGO	RY	
49%	57%	38%	53%	46%	50%	57%							
48%	40%	59%	46%	52%	48%	41%							
1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%							
1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%							
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%							

BUSH (R) KERRY (D) OTHER UNDECIDED

D	EMO	GRAF	PHIC S	SUBPO	OPUL	IOITA	NS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
100	116	77	107	94	100	116								
100	82	122	95	107	99	85								
100	236	56	47	128	84	148								
100	42	236	32	38	135	0								

NORTHEAST FLORIDA SOUTHEAST FLORIDA **REST OF STATE** 

URBAN

SUBURBAN RURAL

# VOTE FOR SENATOR / RESPONSES COMPARED BY PERCENTAGES AND BY INDEX

VERALL	MALE	FEMALE	GE 18-34	GE 35-49	GE 50-64	AGE 65+	WHITE	LACK	HISPANIC	ASIAN/OTHER
6	Š	뿐	≨	¥	≨	¥	≥	묾	=	SA

MARTINEZ (R)
CASTOR (D)
OTHER
UNDECIDED

		RE	SPOI	VSES	SORT	ED B	Y DEN	ЛОGR	RAPHI	C CA	TEGO	RY	
48%	50%	45%	50%	53%	48%	38%	53%	23%	43%	22%			
48%	46%	50%	40%	45%	48%	60%	43%	72%	53%	62%			
4%	3%	4%	9%	1%	3%	1%	3%	6%	3%	15%			
0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%			
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			

MARTINEZ (R)
CASTOR (D)
OTHER
UNDECIDED

D	EMO	GRAF	PHIC S	SUBPO	OPUL,	ATIOI	VS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
100	106	95	105	112	101	80	111	48	89	47				
100	96	104	84	93	100	125	90	149	110	130				
100	87	111	241	37	89	27	83	153	92	413				
100	59	136	131	158	0	98	102	0	186	0				

MALE
FEMALE
AGE 18-34
AGE 35-49
AGE 50-64
AGE 65+
WHITE
BLACK
HISPANIC

# VOTE FOR SENATOR / RESPONSES COMPARED BY PERCENTAGES AND BY INDEX

IILITARY /VET

MARTINEZ (R)
CASTOR (D)
OTHER
UNDECIDED

		RE	SPOI	NSES	SORT	ED B`	Y DEN	ЛОGR	2APHI	C CA	TEGO	RY	
48%	87%	12%	36%	43%	56%	47%	44%	80%	36%	12%	49%	45%	
48%	12%	84%	55%	52%	41%	49%	52%	18%	59%	84%	46%	52%	
4%	1%	4%	8%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	2%	
0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

MARTINEZ (R)
CASTOR (D)
OTHER
UNDECIDED

D	EMO	GRAF	PHIC S	SUBPO	OPUL,	ATIOI	VS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
100	181	25	75	91	117	98	92	167	74	26	103	95		
100	25	175	114	107	85	101	109	37	123	174	95	107		
100	33	99	219	103	68	129	97	68	136	75	128	66		
100	64	42	254	258	176	22	0	32	110	250	110	91		

REPUBLICAN
DEMOCRAT
INDEPENDENT
GRAD SCHOOL
COLLEGE GRAD
SOME COLLEGE
NO COLLEGE
MODERATE
MILITARY/VET

OVERALL

OVERALL

NO RELIGIOUS SERVICES

OCCASIONAL RELIGIOUS SERVICES

OCCASIONAL RELIGIOUS SERVICES

PRO-LIFE

> \$40K/YR

\$40K/YR

SBUSH (R)

KERRY (D)

**MARTINEZ (R)** CASTOR (D) **OTHER** UNDECIDED

		RE	SPOI	VSES	SORT	ED B	Y DEN	ЛОGF	RAPHI	C CA	TEGO	RY	
48%	41%	46%	53%	70%	32%	42%	48%	59%	89%	7%			
48%	52%	52%	43%	28%	63%	53%	47%	39%	8%	89%			
4%	6%	2%	4%	2%	4%	5%	5%	0%	2%	4%			
0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%			
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			

**MARTINEZ (R)** CASTOR (D) **OTHER UNDECIDED** 

D	EMO	GRAF	PHIC S	SUBPO	OPUL,	ATIOI	VS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
100	86	96	112	146	67	88	101	124	187	14				
100	109	108	88	57	131	110	97	82	16	185				
100	162	49	105	64	116	130	144	12	62	109				
100	159	120	56	110	95	36	0	203	129	19				

\$40K - \$80K/YR

> \$80K/YR

< \$40K/YR

KERRY (D)

BUSH (R)

OVERALL NO RELIGIOUS SERVICES

OCCASIONAL RELIGIOUS SERVICES REGULAR RELIGIOUS SERVICES

PRO-LIFE

PAGE 12 OF 18

PRO-CHOICE

OVERALL

OVERALL

NORTHEAST FLORIDASS COUTHEAST FLORIDASS AND BA INDEX

SUBURBAN

RURAL

RURAL

**MARTINEZ (R)** CASTOR (D) **OTHER** UNDECIDED

		RE	SPOI	VSES	SORT	ED B	Y DEN	ЛОGF	RAPHI	C CA	TEGO	RY	
48%	52%	41%	50%	47%	47%	56%							
48%	41%	55%	47%	48%	49%	41%							
4%	6%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%							
0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%							
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%							

MARTINEZ (R) CASTOR (D) **OTHER** UNDECIDED

	D	EMO	GRAF	PHIC S	SUBPO	OPUL,	OITA	NS IN	DEXE	D TO	OVE	RALL	RESP	ONSE	S
1	00	109	86	105	99	98	118								
1	00	86	114	98	99	102	85								
1	00	165	87	68	113	98	75								
1	00	167	123	39	182	82	0								

**NORTHEAST FLORIDA** SOUTHEAST FLORIDA **REST OF STATE** 

URBAN

SUBURBAN RURAL

THE RESPONSES	OF A	SUBP0	PULAT	ION O	F 100	WOUL	D TYP	I CALL	Y BE	CONSI	DERED	A <b>€</b> CU	RA E	± 10%.	
PLEASE EXERCISE E	EXTREM	E CAU	TION	IN DR	AWI NG	CONC	LUSI 0	NS FR	OM SU	BPOPU	LATI 0	NS⋛SM	ALEER	THAN	100
	OVERALL	MALE	FEMALE	AGE 18-34	AGE 35-49	AGE 50-64	AGE 65+	WHITE	BLACK	HISPANIC	ASIAN/OTHER	VOTED 'FOR' CA	'AGAINST' OTH		
REGISTERED	860	403	458	237	234	190	199	617	093	126	026				
LIKELY	742	349	393	194	199	173	176	547	077	099	019				
BUSH (R)	367	176	191	091	111	088	078	304	016	041	006	303	061		
KERRY (D)	359	161	198	099	082	084	095	233	059	055	013	175	178		
OTHER	009	006	003	005	002	000	002	006	002	000	000	000	000		
UNDECIDED	007	005	001	000	004	001	002	004	000	002	000	000	000		
MARTINEZ (R)	353	175	177	096	106	083	067	289	017	042	004				
CASTOR (D)	355	160	195	078	089	083	106	237	054	052	012				
OTHER	027	011	016	017	003	006	002	017	004	003	003				
WON'T VOTE	004	001	002	002	000	001	001	002	001	001	000				
UNDECIDED	003	001	002	001	001	000	001	002	000	001	000				
TOTAL	1000	480	520	277	283	214	227	684	123	161	033				
COMPOSITION OF ADULTS	100%	48%	52%	28%	28%	21%	23%	68%	12%	16%	3%				
COMP. OF REGISTERED	100%	47%	53%	28%	27%	22%	23%	72%	11%	15%	3%				
COMP OF LIKELY VOTERS	100%	47%	53%	26%	27%	23%	24%	74%	10%	13%	3%				
	1000	ELO	DIDA	ADIL	TC I	MTED	/T EWE	עם חי	THEFT	ЕППО	VE 1.0	/20	- 10	/91	
	OF T			WERE						ETTION	VE IU	7 Z <del>9</del> _	- 10,	ъ1. _	
	OF T			WERE						I MOT	renc				

GRAPHS REFLECT 'LIKELY' VOTERS.

# RAW COUNTS FOR ALL RESPONSE CHOICES

THE RESPONSES PLEASE EXERCISE E															1550
	OVERALL	REPUBLICAN	DEMOCRAT	INDEPENDENT	NOT SURE	GRAD SCHOOL	COLLEGE GRAD	SOME COLLEGE	NO COLLEGE	CONSERVATIVE	MODERATE	LIBERAL	NOT SURE	MILITARY/VET	NON-MILITARY
REGISTERED	860	338	308	209	005	164	199	252	238	294	399	126	027	469	375
LIKELY	742	297	268	173	003	146	179	223	189	257	347	110	018	409	323
BUSH (R)	367	269	028	069	001	067	101	115	082	210	130	015	006	212	147
KERRY (D)	359	026	237	094	002	077	074	100	106	044	208	091	012	191	165
OTHER	009	001	002	006	000	002	003	003	001	001	006	002	000	004	004
UNDECIDED	007	001	001	004	000	001	001	006	000	002	003	002	000	001	006
MARTINEZ (R)	353	257	032	062	001	063	100	103	083	204	123	014	005	200	145
CASTOR (D)	355	035	224	095	001	075	073	108	099	045	203	092	012	187	165
OTHER	027	004	010	014	000	006	004	010	007	006	017	003	000	019	008
WON'T VOTE	004	001	002	001	000	001	000	002	001	002	002	000	000	000	003
UNDECIDED	003	001	000	002	000	002	001	000	000	000	002	001	000	002	001
TOTAL	1000	338	308	209	005	184	215	291	297	339	448	151	034	526	442
COMPOSITION OF ADULTS	100%	34%	31%	21%	1%	18%	21%	29%	30%	34%	45%	15%	3%	53%	44%
COMP. OF REGISTERED	100%	39%	36%	24%	1%	19%	23%	29%	28%	34%	46%	15%	3%	55%	44%
COMP OF LIKELY VOTERS	100%	40%	36%	23%	0%	20%	24%	30%	26%	35%	47%	15%	2%	55%	43%
	1000	TIL O		ADIL	mc z	VIII	/ T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	D DE	TO TO I	EDHA	III 4-6	/00	1.0	/01	
	1000	FL0	RI DA	ADUL	TS I	NTER	VIEWE	D BY	THEIL	EPHON	VE 10	729	- 10	<b>/31.</b>	

OF THEM, 742 WERE JUDGED TO BE 'LIKELY' VOTERS.

OF THEM, 860 WERE REGISTERED TO VOTE.

GRAPHS REFLECT 'LIKELY' VOTERS.

# RAW COUNTS FOR ALL RESPONSE HOISES

THE RESPONSES		8	- <del>S</del>	<u> </u>											
PLEASE EXERCISE E	XTREM	E AU			AWI NG	CONC	LUSI 0	NS FR	_~_	BPOPU	LATI 0	NS SM	ALLER	THAN	100
	OVERALL	NO RELIGIOUS S	OCCASIONAL RE	REGULAR RELIG	PRO-LIFE	PRO-CHOICE	NOT SURE	< \$40K/YR	\$40K - \$80K/YI	> \$80K/YR	DECLINE	BUSH (R)	KERRY (D)		
REGISTERED	860	222	269	339	347	439	040	278	283	192	035				
LIKELY	742	184	232	307	306	380	031	229	251	179	027				
BUSH (R)	367	079	104	175	220	127	011	099	131	106	011				
KERRY (D)	359	099	125	125	083	246	015	122	114	071	016				
OTHER	009	003	002	004	002	004	002	004	003	001	000				
UNDECIDED	007	003	001	003	002	002	003	004	002	000	000				
MARTINEZ (R)	353	075	105	164	212	122	009	096	120	105	012	325	025		
CASTOR (D)	355	095	119	131	084	238	018	120	116	070	014	029	319		
OTHER	027	011	004	012	007	016	004	011	013	001	000	008	014		
WON'T VOTE	004	001	002	000	001	002	001	001	001	001	000	003	001		
UNDECIDED	003	001	001	001	001	002	000	000	000	002	001	002	000		
TOTAL	1000	257	323	374	394	502	049	334	314	211	041				
COMPOSITION OF ADULTS	100%	26%	32%	37%	39%	50%	5%	33%	31%	21%	4%				
COMP. OF REGISTERED	100%	26%	31%	39%	40%	51%	5%	32%	33%	22%	4%				
COMP OF LIKELY VOTERS	100%	25%	31%	41%	41%	51%	4%	31%	34%	24%	4%				
	4000				me e							400		101	
	1000	FL0	RI DA	ADUL	TS I	NTER	VI EWE	ED BY	TEL	EPHON	VE 10	729	- 10	<b>31.</b>	

OF THEM, 742 WERE JUDGED TO BE 'LIKELY' VOTERS.

OF THEM, 860 WERE REGISTERED TO VOTE.

GRAPHS REFLECT 'LIKELY' VOTERS.

# RAW COUNTS FOR ALL RESPONSE CHOICES

THE RESPONSES PLEASE EXERCISE E	OF A	SURPO	PULAT	ION O	F 100 AWING	WOUL	D TYP	CALL	Y BE OM SU	CONSI BPOPU	DERED LATIO	ACCU NS SM	JRATE MALLER	± 10%.	100
	OVERALL	NORTHEAST FLOR	SOUTHEAST FLOR	REST OF STATE	URBAN	SUBURBAN	RURAL								
REGISTERED	860	228	282	350	200	584	077								
LIKELY	742	198	238	307	182	497	062								
BUSH (R)	367	113	091	163	084	247	036								
KERRY (D)	359	078	140	141	095	239	025								
OTHER	009	005	002	002	003	005	001								
UNDECIDED	007	001	005	001	001	006	000								
MARTINEZ (R)	353	103	098	152	087	231	035								
CASTOR (D)	355	082	130	143	087	243	025								
OTHER	027	012	008	008	008	018	002								
WON'T VOTE	004	000	001	002	000	004	000								
UNDECIDED	003	001	001	001	001	002	000								
TOTAL	1000	262	336	402	235	677	088								
COMPOSITION OF ADULTS	100%	26%	34%	40%	24%	68%	9%								
COMP. OF REGISTERED	100%	27%	33%	41%	23%	68%	9%								
COMP OF LIKELY VOTERS	100%	27%	32%	41%	25%	67%	8%								
	1000	FLO	RI DA	ADUL	TS I	NTERV	VI EWE	D BY	TEL	EPHO	NE 10	/29	- 10	/31.	
				WERE											
				WERE					KELY	' VO	ΓERS.				
	GRAP	HS R	EFLE(	CT 'L	I KEL	Y' V	OTERS								

The following is a material part of this report and should be included when data is referenced:

How this poll was conducted: This SurveyUSA poll was conducted by telephone in the voice of a professional announcer. All respondents heard the questions asked identically. Page 1 of this report contains: the geography that was surveyed; the dates interviews were conducted; the news organization that paid for the research; and the name of the random sample provider. The universe of respondents and the margin of error are stated on Page 2 of this report, and if restated on one or more subsequent pages, it is because the universe and margin of error changed for those subsequent questions. Where necessary, responses were weighted according to age, gender, ethnic origin, geographical area and number of adults and number of voice telephone lines in the household, so that the sample would reflect the actual demographic proportions in the population, using most recent U.S. Census estimates. In theory, with the stated sample size, one can say with 95% certainty that the results would not vary by more than the stated margin of error, in one direction or the other, had the entire universe of respondents been interviewed with complete accuracy. There are other possible sources of error in all surveys that may be more serious than theoretical calculations of sampling error. These include refusals to be interviewed, question wording and question order, weighting by demographic control data and the manner in which respondents are filtered (such as, determining who is a likely voter). It is difficult to quantify the errors that may result from these factors. Fieldwork for this survey was done by SurveyUSA of Verona, NJ. These statements conform to the principles of disclosure of the National Council on Public Polls.