UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

United States of America

Docket No. CR 05-0394 RBW

Washington, D.C.

Friday, February 3, 2006 10:15 a.m.

Defendant

Transcript of CEPA Hearing Before the Honorable Reggie B. Walton United States District Judge

APPEARANCES:

vs.

Lewis Libby

For the Government:

Peter Zeidenberg, Esq. Patrick Fitzgerald, Esq.

For the Defendant:

William Jeffress, Esq. Theodore, Wells, Esq. John Cline, Esq.

Reporter:

WILLIAM D. MC ALLISTER, CVR-CM Official Court Reporter Room 4806-B 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-8306 (202) 371-6446

Pages 1 through 11

Reported by Voice Writing and transcribed using SpeechCAT

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Where are we on the Section 5 notices and the issues we had in that regard?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, judge. The Section 5 notices, there are two issues. One is Mr. Libby's notes and the defense designated the notes. We can't read a substantial part of them. My handwriting is pretty bad myself. Mr. Libby's has a little bit of hieroglyphics in there and so what we have to do is to translate them so we can tell the intelligence agencies what their content is so we can figure out how sensitive it is, how much of an objection they have and then any substitutions, what they might propose.

We talked to Mr. Cline about coming to some sort of arrangement where if they provide us transliterations of the notes that we can then begin the process of the agencies giving protection to Mr. Libby from of the fact that he is helping us transliterate them.

We are going to talk about some sort of stipulation which may well be akin to when the government produces draft transcripts and they're not held against the government if we translate them wrong when we generate a final.

So I don't know how long it will take the defense to transliterate the notes and type them out.

THE COURT: How much volume are we talking about?

MR. CLINE: Your Honor, I think we've identified 65

.3

1 | documents at this point.

THE COURT: Multipage documents?

MR. CLINE: Some and I estimate something in excess of a hundred pages.

THE COURT: How long do you think it would take to do that?

MR. CLINE: I think it will take a few weeks. I confess, I had assumed incorrectly but the government had had these for a while, that they figured out what they said and they hadn't it turns out. So we need to do that.

We do have to work out an arrangement which I think we can do quickly with Mr. Fitzgerald to make sure it's not held against Mr. Libby when he provides them and we can do it on a rolling basis. We can begin to get to them notes as we complete them.

THE COURT: I think that's what should be done, and two weeks would take us until the 17th. Hopefully by then we can have all that done.

MR. CLINE: I hope we can. If we have a problem, we can let Your Honor know.

THE COURT: I will order that be completed in the 17th. Obviously, as I said before, if there is an issue in that regard, we can extend it but hopefully not.

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, we are also discussing the second half of the issue which is not the documents but Mr.

Libby has proffered evidence or information that he might cause to be disclosed or disclose himself at trial. We just want to make sure that that is the sum total of the information so that when we litigate it and come to any decisions, Your Honor makes decisions, we know what the grounds rules are.

So we are having discussions on the front as well.

THE COURT: How many agencies are concerned with these classified documents?

MR. FITZGERALD: I think so far when we have gone to have the classification review, the CIA has reviewed a number of the documents but because this information may have come from elsewhere, for example, Mr. Libby's testimony was also reviewed by the State Department. It was also review by the Department of Defense and then there was also a review done by the White House, the executive office of the president, as well as the National Security Council, NSC, together. And the office of vice president documents have been treated at part of the White House.

So we've been dealing with, if I got that correctly, and I'm looking at Mr. Kean, but the White House as an entity has multiple components. But then CIA, State, and NSC; and also I think sometimes other agencies are looking to see if the NSA has any material involved.

So there's been five reviews done of a number of materials and sometimes it comes out when we think we're one

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

day away from being done that someone identifies that it came from somewhere else and we go back. So it has been much more complicated than one would think although I understand the reasons why.

THE COURT: I talked to one of my colleagues about this type of situation, who handled a case that actually involved a lot more classified information than this case.

He recommended, and I think I agree with that recommendation, that somebody at the agencies involved that may have to give some clearance in reference to supplemental documents or summaries or whatever if we reach that point, be designated to be present in court whenever we have hearings so that we can try to expeditiously address any issues that arise in that regard.

Judge Lamberth said that he had a lot of success in getting things done quickly by having that done. If you could take that request of the agency so that they have somebody available for us when we have hearings I think that would be helpful.

, MR. FITZGERALD: I think it would, Judge. We've been using the need to report back to the court when necessary but I think hearing directly from Your Honor would be beneficial but we've been pressing people letting them know how important it is to move things along.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FITZGERALD: To finish sort of the discussions of what we proposed for discussion yesterday, in addition to resolving the existing Section 5 notice which we can't go to the agencies until we get the notes, that's part of the reason why we had suggested a further Section 2 conference after the argument on the motion because then we would have a better sense of what we're dealing with.

THE COURT: You mean after the 24th?

MR. FITZGERALD: After the 24th, the same day, that afternoon if possible that we'll have a sense of where we're at.

We also were going to propose that by March 1 the government would submit any Section 4 ex parte notices which are materials that we're going to submit to the court we think should not be produced to defense and why. That will be exparte.

But Mr. Cline wishes to brief to Your Honor on the issue of whether you should receive the ex parte in advance. So he would make a filing October 24 and that was the proposed schedule.

MR. CLINE: If we could, Your Honor, what the government contemplates which is sometimes done in these cases is to file an ex parte pleading. We get notice that they filed but not the substance of the pleading at all. In this case, under the circumstances of this case, we don't think that's

appropriate. We would like to brief that to Your Honor by a filing on October 24 if we could.

THE COURT: That is fine.

MR. FITZGERALD: Then we were going to propose that any additional Section 5 notices of the defense, besides the one already filed be file by March 1 on the caveat that the defense is obviously operating based upon materials they received up to and including today but not anything that they may receive in the future as a result of any ruling that Your Honor issues where we may have disagreements.

THE COURT: I would assume that you would anticipate filing additional Section 5 notices?

MR. CLINE: We would. I agree with what Mr. Fitzgerald has said with a little clarification. They are producing stuff to us today and some this morning and maybe some yesterday that is classified. New stuff that we haven't had before. We will file a Section 5 notice based on that material by March 1st with no problem. I think it is likely there will be additional classified stuff coming in some fashion, either in response to discovery requests or discovery orders or whatever and, of course, for additional classified material we would need and hope we could file additional Section 5 notices.

THE COURT: I will set March 1 for the filing of any additional Section 5 notices. Obviously if you need more time

because you get information you didn't have before and you need to file any additional notices we can deal with that at that time. I would like to get everything before me by that time if at all possible. Okay.

So we will set a hearing that we will conduct immediately after the hearing on the motion to compel on the 24th.

Any other issues we need to address at this time?

MR. FITZGERALD: No, Your Honor. As we review

matters, sometimes we spot issues so we are trying to keep

alert to any issues that either are a disclosure to the defense

or a possible Section 4 notice to the court. So we'll do our

best to bring everything to you as soon as we reasonably can as

we discover issues but we're on the lookout to make sure that

this is teed up as quickly as possible.

THE COURT: Okay. I have made a request, and sometimes our requests aren't granted, to the chief judge for some additional law clerk help because I assume, based upon what's been filed thus far and all the work that we have to do, that my clerk is going to be consumed, one of them, with dealing with nothing but this, and unfortunately this isn't the only case that I have.

So I don't know. It depends upon whether there is money available in the system as to whether I can get that additional help but I hope I will. Because if I don't,

W. Carlot

obviously my other cases are going to suffer.

MR. JEFFRESS: If I may concur with Mr. Cline, Your

3 Honor?

THE COURT: Yes

(Pause.)

MR. CLINE: Your Honor, Mr. Jeffress raised a good point. Mr. Libby's notes, as has been mentioned, are a little hard to read. We just got a bunch of new stuff and we are not exactly sure what's in that. May we have instead of March 1 to March 8th to file that additional CEPA Section 5 notice?

MR. FITZGERALD: No objection.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. CLINE: March the 8th then. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald, do you anticipate having any problems getting the agencies to designate a point person to deal with these issues?

MR. FITZGERALD: No, Your Honor. In fact, effectively, we have had them designate a point person. Part of the issue is that, with regard to transcripts, we have people who are read in and briefed on the case who are looking at the classification issues.

But if something goes off track into a different topic, they have been going out to check what about those other topics might be classified. So we have asked them for a point of contact. We can't have 12 people in a room and review it.

We already had that.

That's going to be particularly important because Mr. Libby's notes by and large cover predominantly topics other than the issue of Mr. Wilson's wife. So that's the reason why we don't know what will be involved.

Those points of contact have been designated. They have been at our offices doing the declassification review. It is just a matter of having that person or a lawyer from the agency come to court. I'm confident that if we tell the agencies that Your Honor would like someone here they will be here. If, for some reason I can't anticipate, that they either don't recognize the need to be here or we can't persuade them of that, I will let your law clerk know and we can do something different. But I don't expect that to be a problem at all.

THE COURT: Okay. The only other issue is obviously the press is going to want to know what took place here today. I don't know if counsel believes or if it is believed that anything that has been said here today can't be released.

MR. FITZGERALD: I think the only issue I have is whether or not the identity of the agencies that are involved in the review process, whether that might be classified with regard to one agency. That's the only issue I see. But I take it Mr. Macisso is responsible for the classification review of the transcript.

MR. MACISSO: Your Honor, what we will do is once Mr.

McAllister is done with his copy, we will send it out for 1 2 immediate classification review, give it a guick reviewing and 3 have it available by the latest next week. 4 THE COURT: I'll have the court reporter forthwith 5 prepare a transcript that we'll give to you and then get out to the other agencies to see if there is anything that needs to be 6 7 omitted because I'll be getting hounded by the press to find out what took place. 8 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Other than the reference to 10 particular agencies, I don't see anything that strikes me as 11 being classified. 12 Anything else? THE COURT: MR. FITZGERALD: No, Your Honor. 13 14 MR. CLINE: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Have a good weekend. 16 (Proceedings concluded at 10:27 a.m.) 17 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT 19 FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. 20 m Alletos 21 WILLIAM D. MCALLISTER 22 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 23 24

25