

FLIGHT TESTS FOR GROUND-BASED MIDCOURSE DEFENSE (GMD) SYSTEM

** The matrix below is a summary of the major flight tests in the Missile Defense Agency (MDA)'s Ground-based Midcourse Defense (GMD) system. Over the years, in MDA's hurry to deploy an initial GMD capability, tests have been delayed, had their objectives changed, or skipped entirely. In the process, MDA has gone through at least three different nomenclatures for its flight tests, which leads to confusion when trying to determine what is happening in the program. As such, this matrix will include the most recent information known about the latest flight tests, but it will also keep old flight test names so to show the evolving expectations and schedules that MDA has had for the GMD system. By any measure, the GMD system still has not undergone anything approaching operationally-realistic testing under challenging circumstances that adequately simulate a war-fighting environment. **

Last updated: May 9, 2006 By Victoria Samson, Research Analyst Center for Defense Information www.cdi.org

Test No.	Date	Intercept?	Notes	Decoys
IFT-1A	June 24, 1997	n/a	Non-intercept fly-by to	Eight decoys: three that
			assess the performance of	were conical in shape,
			the Boeing-built EKV	like the warhead, and
			seeker, collect target	five spherical balloons.
			phenomenological data,	One balloon was large –
			and evaluate (post-test)	2.2 meters in diameter –
			target-modeling and	and had a brighter IR
			discrimination	signature than the mock
			algorithms. The target	warhead. The two
			cluster consisted of 10	medium-sized balloons
			objects: one mock	were about as bright as
			warhead, one bus (the	the mock warhead; they
			stage of the missile	did not deploy as
			which releases the	expected and were not
			warhead and decoys),	reliable parts of the
			and eight decoys. Boeing	testing program. The
			was not chosen as the	two small balloons were
			NMD EKV contractor.	released via a canister
				and were much dimmer
				than the mock warhead.
IFT-2	Jan. 16, 1998	n/a	Non-intercept fly-by to	The same decoy set used
			assess the performance of	in IFT-1A was also used
			the Raytheon-built EKV	in IFT-2.

seeker, collect targe	
phenomenological	
and evaluate (post-	
target-modeling and	d
discrimination	
algorithms. The tar	rget
cluster consisted of	10
objects: one mock	
warhead, the bus (the	he
stage of the missile	
which releases the	
warhead and decoy	s).
and eight decoys.	~/,
Raytheon was chos	en as
the NMD EKV	
contractor.	
IFT-3 Oct. 2, 1999 Yes Element test of the	EKV, The only decoy used in
not an end-to-end s	
test, which relied or	•
surrogate booster ve	
and range assets to	
the "deployment ba	
and deliver the EKY	
that location. Once	1
deployed, the EKV	
operated autonomo	
to intercept the mod	
RV. Due to a	realized that the
malfunctioning Ine	=
Measurement Unit	did not match up with the
(IMU), which norm	
used to position the	*
for the intercept, a	interceptor shifted to the
backup method of	nearby target.
locating the target h	
be exercised. The I	
called upon its "step	
stare" capabilities (which
are used only during	g off-
nominal circumstar	nces)
to extend its field o	f view
since the target was	s not
where anticipated.	
executing that proce	
the EKV acquired i	
target. In a backgro	

	1	ı		
			test parallel with the	
			EKV flight test, the	
			BMC3 and other	
			elements functioned as	
			planned. The XBR is	
			still in development, so a	
			Ground Based Radar	
			Prototype (GBR-P) is	
			used in its stead.	
			Because the radar is in a	
			position where it cannot	
			completely track the	
			missiles, a Global	
			Positioning System	
			(GPS) receiver on the	
			mock warhead emitted	
			location data; a C-band	
			transponder beacon was	
			used as a backup.	
IFT-4	Jan. 18, 2000	No	First end-to-end system	The only decoy used was
11 1-4	Jan. 16, 2000	NO	1	y y
			test (intercept attempt)	the single large balloon
			using NMD prototype	from the previous tests. Smaller balloons
			elements (except the	
			IFICS) and range assets	originally had been
			to approximate the	planned to be a part of
			objective system. The	IFT-4, but were dropped
			EKV was again	in an attempt to simplify
			successfully delivered by	the test (partially because
			a surrogate booster and	of the Welch panel
			separated into the	recommendations).
			deployment basket. The	
			failure to intercept is	
			directly traceable to the	
			cryogenic cooling system	
			of the EKV, which failed	
			to cool the IR sensors	
			down to their operating	
			temperatures in time	
			because of an obstructed	
			cooling line. Again,	
			because of the GBR-P's	
			limited tracking abilities,	
			a GPS receiver and a	
			backup C-band radar	
			beacon on the mock	
			warhead emitted location	

			data.	
IFT-5	July 8, 2000	No	Second end-to-end system test (intercept attempt) using NMD prototype elements and range assets to approximate the objective system. The IFICS served as the communication link between the BMC3 and EKV. The failure to intercept was the direct result of the EKV not separating from the surrogate booster due to an apparent failure in the 1553 data bus in the booster. A C-band transponder on the mock warhead gave off location information; its data was compared against its GPS receiver to determine its accuracy.	The only decoy used was the large balloon from previous tests. It did not inflate properly, causing MDA officials to decide to use a different decoy in the future.
IFT-6	July 14, 2001	Yes	This test was a repeat of IFT-5. The prototype X-Band radar (XBR) used in IFT-6 could not process all the information it was receiving quickly enough, causing it to falsely report that the interceptor had missed its target. If that had happened in a non-test situation, more interceptors would have been needlessly launched at the target to ensure a hit. The kill was confirmed by sensors on a satellite, a 747 jet, and ground stations — backups that will not be	One large decoy balloon was used. This one was 1.7 meters in diameter, so it was slightly smaller than the large balloon used earlier as a decoy. This new decoy still had an IR signature much brighter (approximately three times) than that of the mock warhead.

	1	ı	Τ	
			available to the fully-	
			developed XBR. A C-	
			band beacon on the mock	
			warhead produced most	
			of the target location	
			data. Starting in IFT-6,	
			a glitch was identified in	
			the GMD's	
			exoatmospheric kill	
			vehicle (EKV)'s target	
			position estimation data,	
			which is used to monitor	
			and track the target	
			during its flight so that	
			the EKV can make an	
			intercept. According to	
			MDA spokesperson Lt.	
			Col. Rick Lehner, the	
			recurring glitch "never	
			interfered with the	
			effectiveness of the	
			EKV," and could have	
			been attributed to	
			"degraded EKV inertial	
			measurement unit output	
			data." MDA believed the	
			anomaly to have been	
			caused by	
			electromagnetic	
			interference into test-	
			unique cabling. This	
			cabling was also used in	
			IFT-7, IFT-8, and IFT-9	
IFT-7	Dec. 3, 2001	Yes	The only variable	There was only one
			changed from IFT-6 was	decoy in IFT-7, and it
			the target booster: instead	was the same one that
			of Lockheed Martin's	was used in IFT-6.
			Multi-Service Launch	
			System, Orbital's Target	
			Launch Vehicle was	
			used. The target set, a	
			modified Minuteman	
			ICBM carrying a mock	
			warhead and a single	
			decoy, did not change. It	
			was not a substantive	
L	1	1		

			modification of the test	
			configuration. Again, as	
			in IFT-5 and IFT-6, the	
			mock warhead's C-band	
			beacon produced most of	
			the target location data.	
			IFT-7 was designed to	
			see how well the systems	
			elements would integrate,	
			in addition to attempting	
			to intercept the target	
			missile. Critics noted	
			that interceptor received	
			a wealth of targeting	
			information prior to the	
			test and questioned its	
IFT-8	Moroh 15	Yes	operational realism.	Three decoy balloons
11-0	March 15, 2002	ies	Again, the kill vehicle	·
	2002		was given prior	(one large, two small) were used to increase the
			information to guide it to	
			the target, which may	difficulty of determining
			well have been	the target's location;
			appropriate for an early	however, critics pointed
			level of testing but	out that the infrared
			certainly does not	signals of the balloons
			indicate a realistic	differed from that of the
			operational test. The	mock warhead. The
			system still depends on a	large balloon had a much
			C-band transponder	larger infrared signature
			beacon emitting location	than that of the mock
			data in order to find the	warhead, whereas the
			mock warhead. At the	two small balloons had
			time of IFT-8, the	much smaller signatures.
			Pentagon had planned on	
			holding at least 20 more	
			tests which were to be	
			completed at a pace of	
			roughly one every four	
			months. This has not	
TECE C	0 . 14 2002	37	happened as promised.	TETT O :
IFT-9	Oct. 14, 2002	Yes	The Aegis SPY-1 radar	IFT-9 is said to have
			was used for the first	included the same three
			time in a national missile	decoy balloons (one
			defense capacity. It	large, two small) in its
			tracked the target missile	target cluster as were
			in-flight, and the	used in IFT-8, but the

			information it gathered was passed to the GMD's battle management system but was not used to achieve the intercept. Also, a C-band transponder on the mock warhead provided early flight trajectory and location data. IFT-9 was originally planned to take place in August 2002, but was twice delayed. First it was postponed for about a week while	specifics are unknown as MDA classified decoy details in May 2002.
IFT-10	Dec. 11, 2002	No	program officials scrambled to fix a leak in the kill vehicle's helium tank. Then it was delayed because of problems with the seals of an engine nozzle on the booster rocket. IFT-10 failed when the Raytheon-built exoatmospheric kill	The increase in target complexity over the entire GMD flight test
			vehicle (EKV) did not separate from its booster rocket, a modified Minuteman ICBM that was being used as a surrogate until a more advanced booster rocket could be developed. The problem was created when a pin broke that should have activated a laser to release the boost vehicle's restraining units, causing the boost vehicle to remain with the EKV. The failure to	program has been much slighter than originally planned; for example, IFT-7 initially was to include a tumbling RV, but problems with the GMD technology have prevented that target type from being a part of any test target clusters so far. This lag in target complexity, especially when combined with the test delays after IFT-10, has hindered MDA's ability to demonstrate the
			separate precluded the EKV from attempting an intercept of the target missile. The pin came	GMD technology's targeting discrimination capabilities in more realistic test scenarios.

	T		Ţ	
			apart from excessive	
			vibrations related to the	
			removal of a piece of	
			insulating foam by the	
			subcontractor to make	
			monitoring the system	
			easier. IFT-10's failure	
			caused Boeing and	
			Raytheon to forfeit much	
			of the award fees. This	
			was the first night test of	
			the GMD flight test	
			program, but because the	
			intercept failed, the	
			objective of IFT-10 to	
			3	
			demonstrate the ability to	
			intercept a target at night	
			was not achieved. Also	
			incorporated into the test	
			process for the first time	
			were the radars of the	
			Theater High Altitude	
			Area Defense system and	
			the Airborne Laser, both	
			of which were used to	
			track the target missile	
			after its launch. IFT-10	
			was the last flight test	
			with the surrogate	
			booster rocket. A nearly	
			year-long pause was	
			given to the testing	
			program so that a new	
			booster could be brought	
			into the program and new	
			hardware could be	
			installed in the Ft. Greely	
			site.	
IFT-11	Cancelled	N/A	The MDA announced in	
and IFT-			January 2003 that it	
12			would cancel these tests	
			so that it could instead	
			focus on developing the	
			GMD system's booster	
			rocket. At the time of	
			that announcement,	
			mai announcement,	

			MDA had cancelled nine
			out of 20 flight tests that
			had been scheduled from
			that time through the next
			five years so it could
			meet the Bush
			administration's deadline
			of starting an initial
			missile defense
			deployment in 2004.
			These cancellations
			prompted a report from
			the non-partisan General
			Accounting Office
			warning that the MDA is
			"in danger of getting off
			track early and impairing
			the effort over the long-
			term."
IFT-13	Cancelled	N/A	The MDA cancelled IFT-
			13 – a flight intercept test
			– so that it could focus on
			developing a new booster
			rocket for the GMD
			system. Instead, the test
			has been split into three
			booster development
			tests, IFT-13A, -13B, and
			-13C.
IFT-13A	N/A	N/A	Lockheed Martin's test,
			IFT-13A, has been
			indefinitely delayed due
			to explosions at its rocket
			fuel mixing plant in the
			summer and fall of 2003.
			MDA is planning on
			using only the Orbital
			version in its initial
			deployment but may use
			the Lockheed Martin
			rocket for later
			deployments. It may be
			used in FTG 04-1
			(BV+RRF/13a/16b/IFT-
			1/b), which is scheduled
ĺ			for 4QFY05.

IFT-13B	Ion 26 2004	N/A	This system level test of	
1F1-13D	Jan. 26, 2004	IN/A	This system-level test of	
			the Orbital Sciences'	
			boost vehicle launched	
			the rocket carrying a	
			simulated EKV from	
			Kwajalein Atoll against a	
			simulated target coming	
			from Vandenberg AFB,	
			Calif. IFT-13B was not	
			an intercept attempt.	
			Included in this test was	
			the latest version of the	
			GMD program's fire	
			control software, which	
			is being built by	
			Northrop Grumman and	
			which performed as	
			expected in this test.	
			IFT-13B was the second	
			test of Orbital Sciences'	
			booster; the first was	
			Booster-Verification	
			(BV)-6, successfully held	
IET 12C	D 15 2004	NI - Til -	in August 2003.	
IFT-13C	Dec. 15, 2004	No. The	In this test, the new	
		interceptor	Orbital Sciences booster	
		failed to	was supposed to fly from	
		leave the	Kwajalein and hit a target	
		silo.	coming out of Kodiak,	
			Alaska. While the target	
			flew as planned, the	
			booster failed to leave the	
			ground. The system shut	
			itself down 23 seconds	
			before launch.	
			According to Lt. Gen.	
			Trey Obering, the head	
			of the MDA, this was due	
			to a "very minor glitch"	
			in the software. He stated	
			that the failure arose	
			when a routine pre-flight	
			test showed that there	
			were too many electronic	
			messages being missed in	
			the interceptor's	
		1	and interceptor b	

			,	
			communications bus, but	
			that this was the	
			designers' fault for	
			having set the bar too	
			high for an acceptable	
			level of missed	
			messages. However,	
			_	
			there are many other	
			problems with the 1553	
			communications bus	
			being used for the GMD	
			system, which is	
			regarded by some as	
			being incapable of	
			processing messages at a	
			rate that is fast enough	
			for the GMD system to	
			work effectively. IFT-	
			13C officially was slated	
			to be a target "fly-by,"	
			but program officials had	
			hoped that an intercept	
			would occur since both a	
			live target and live EKV	
			were used. IFT-13C was	
			originally supposed to have been held in	
			December 2003, but a	
			pre-flight ground-	
			inspection determined	
			that there were serious	
			flaws in the EKV's	
			circuitry that could affect	
			the divert and attitude	
			control system. This	
			pushed back the test	
			several times so that the	
			electronic unit in	
			question could be	
			replaced.	
IFT-14	Feb. 13, 2005	No. The	This test was a planned	
		interceptor	intercept attempt. As in	
		failed to	IFT-13C, Orbital	
		leave the	Sciences' booster,	
		silo.	carrying Raytheon's	
		5110.	production kill vehicle,	
			production kill veillele,	

IFT-15	May be	N/A	was supposed to fly from Kwajalein and hit a target coming out of Kodiak, Alaska. And, also as in IFT-13C, while the target flew as planned, the booster failed to leave the ground. This time, however, the system shut itself down just a few seconds before launch. This failure has been traced to the arms that hold the interceptor up in the silo: apparently, they did not contract all the way, so the software that monitors the launch's progress aborted the mission. Since then, MDA has realized it must remove the arms entirely and put in new components that can work in the silo environment. The faulty performance of the silo arms has been found by outside investigation teams to be due to faulty quality control. The other GMD interceptors that have already been fielded will need to be fixed as well. This test may have been	
IF1-13	May be cancelled? Unknown (had been planned for fall or winter 2004)	IN/A	cancelled. If it is held, it should not be confused with IFT-15A, which is simply a radar characterization flight. In IFT-15A, the target missile would be launched from Kodiak, Alaska. IFT-15, as planned by MDA	

		1	001 1 1	1
			officials, was supposed to	
			have been a fully	
			integrated flight intercept	
			test with the target	
			coming from Kodiak and	
			the interceptor from	
			Kwajalein.	
Medium-	April 8, 2005	N/A	In this test, a medium-	
range air-	F,		range target was dropped	
launch			from the rear of a C-17	
target			about 800 northwest of	
turget			the Pacific Missile Test	
			Facility in Hawaii.	
			According to MDA,	
			""The missile's rocket	
			motor then ignited,	
			sending it on a planned	
			trajectory over the	
			Pacific Ocean." The	
			Cobra Dane radar was	
			not used as planned.	
FT 04-5	September	N/A	In this test, the Cobra	
	2005		Dane radar was used to	
			track a long-range air-	
			launched target.	
			According to a GAO	
			report, "Cobra Dane	
			performed as expected in	
			these test events, but	
			officials in the office of	
			the Director, Operational	
			Test and Evaluation	
			(DOT&E) are concerned	
			that the radar's software,	
			as currently written,	
			could cause the GMD	
			element to waste	
DT 1	D 14 2005	NT/A	inventory."	
FT-1	Dec. 14, 2005	N/A	The interceptor was	
(formerly			launched against a	
FTG 04-			simulated test target	
1/BV+RR			flown on a trajectory	
F/13a/16b/			from Kodiak, AK.	
IFT- 1/b).			Unlike the previous two	
As of			flight tests, the	
spring			operationally configured	

2006, this is the newest nomenclat ure for the flight tests.			warhead and its booster did leave the ground. Originally, when it was still called IFT-13a, the test was to include the Lockheed Martin boost rocket. However, since then, that booster has had a multitude of problems during development and the Orbital Sciences booster is now the program's primary boost vehicle.	
FTG 04-5 (IFT- 19/2d)	Held in 1QFY06	N/A	IFT-19 had been cancelled in earlier MDA test schedules, but some variant of it apparently was revived.	
FTX-01 (formerly FT 04- 1/IFT- 16a)	2QFY06	N/A	Originally intercept attempt IFT-16, then changed to radar characterization flight test IFT-16A, then FT 04-1, now FTX-01.	
FT 04-2	2QFY06	TBD	,	
FTG 04-2 (IFT 1/c)	2QFY06	TBD		
FTC-02B (formerly CMCM- 1/FT 04- 2)	April 13, 2006 (originally scheduled for 4QFY05)	N/A	In FTC-02B, a missile system powered by a two-stage SR19 rocket was flown from the Kaui Test Facility in the Pacific Missile Range Facility. According to an MDA press release, the payload included the "deployment of complex countermeasures, a mock reentry vehicle, an onboard sensor package." This series of radar certification flight was initially part of the Block 2004 effort. CMCM means that it's a critical	

			measurements and countermeasures test. According to MDA, "Test data from these missions, including lessons learned about complex countermeasures, will be used in the design of missile defense interceptor and sensor elements across the Ballistic Missile Defense System." CMCM-5, -6, -7, and -9 have been cancelled.	
CMCM-2 (formerly FT 04-4)	April 28, 2006 (originally to be held 4QFY05)	N/A	This countermeasures test was a repeat of the one held on April 13, 2006. MDA tested its radars in the Pacific Missile Test Facility in Hawaii against a target missile that carried countermeasures, a mock warhead, and an onboard sensor package. No interceptor missiles were used.	
FTC-03 (formerly FT 06- 3/CMCM- 3) FTG-2	3QFY06	N/A TBD	Cancelled.	
FTX-02 (formerly FT 06-1)	3QFY06	TBD		
FTG-02 (formerly FT-2)	3QFY06	TBD	In this flight, an operationally configured warhead will be launched from Vandenberg AFB against a target coming out of Kodiak, AK. In this test, officially, an intercept is not planned;	

			however, since a live	
			target will be used,	
			program officials hope	
			that an intercept will	
			occur.	
FTG-03	4QFY06	TBD	This will be a repeat of	
	4QI 100	IBD		
(formerly			FT-2, but this will have	
FT-3)			an intercept as its	
FTG-05	40EV06	TDD	primary goal. IFT-20 had been	
	4QFY06	TBD		
(formerly			cancelled in earlier MDA	
FTG 06-			test schedules. FTG 06	
1/IFT-			had originally been	
20/21)			planned as the first	
			intercept flight test	
			attempt for MDA's	
			Block 2006 capability. It	
			was supposed to be a	
			salvo mission, but now	
			that it's FTG-05, that	
			appears to be scrapped.	
FTX-02	4QFY06	N/A	This series of radar	
(formerly			certification tests	
FT 06-1			supports the Block 2006	
GMD			BMDS system's	
RCF3)			development. FT 06-1 is	
			GMD RCF3 (radar	
			certification flight).	
FTG 06-	4QFY06	TBD		
1a/b				
(Salvo				
mission)				
FT 04-3	1QFY07	TBD	This radar certification	
(MRT)	(formerly		test will use an MRT, or	
	March-May		Medium Range Target.	
	2006)		It also will be the first	
			time an operational radar	
			(Beale AFB, Calif.) will	
			provide the "engagement	
			quality cues," according	
			to Lt. Gen. Trey Obering,	
			head of MDA. The	
			interceptor will be	
			launched from	
			Vandenberg AFB against	
			a target launched from	

			Kodiak, AK.	
FTG-04	1QFY07	TBD	This will be the same	
(formerly			scenario as FT-2 and FT-	
FT-4)			3, and, like FT-3, will be	
,			officially an intercept	
			attempt.	
FTX-03	1QFY07	N/A	This will include	
(formerly			Japanese Cooperative	
FT 06-2)			JFM-1 in its test	
,			configuration.	
FT 06-6	1QFY07	TBD		
(GMD				
RCF-4)				
FTG-4	1QFY07	TBD		
FTG 06-2	1QFY07	TBD		
FTG 06-	2QFY07	TBD	In this test, the GMD	
3a/b			interceptor is supposed to	
(formerly			be cued via the FBX-T.	
IFT-				
23/24)				
FT-5	2QFY07	TBD		
FT-6	3QFY07	TBD		
FTG 06-4	3QFY07	TBD		
FT 06-4	3QFY07	N/A	This will be a risk	
(CMCM-			reduction flight for the	
4)			MKV program.	
FTG 06-4	3QFY07	TBD	IFT-25 had been	
(formerly			cancelled in earlier MDA	
IFT-25)			flight test schedules.	
FTG-5	1QFY08	TBD	Booster-engine launch	
			from VAFB.	
FTG 06-2	1QFY08	TBD	The SBX will be tested	
(formerly	(slipped one		in this.	
IFT-22)	calendar year			
	from the FY			
	06 budget			
	documents)			
FT-7a/b	1QFY08	TBD		
(Salvo)				
FTS-01	1QFY08	TBD	This will include a test of	
(formerly			the STSS.	
FT 06-				
7/TMDD-				
1)				
FTS-02	1QFY08	TBD	This will include a test of	
(formerly			the STSS.	

FT 06-8				
(SMDD-				
1)				
FT 08-1	1QFY08	TBD		
(RDC)	101/108	IBD		
FTG 06-5	1QFY08	TBD		
	_	+	TPL:	
FTG 06-5	1QFY08	TBD	This will be a risk	
(BV+RRF			reduction flight of the	
/16b)	205700	TDD	BV+ booster.	
FTG 06-2	2QFY08	TBD		
FTG 06-3	2QFY08	TBD		
FT 06-4	2QFY08	TBD		
(CMCM-				
4)				
FT 08-2	2QFY08	TBD	According to the 2006	
(CMCM-			budget documents, this	
6)			series of radar	
(TMDD-			certification flight tests,	
2)			as planned at that time,	
			was supposed to support	
			the Block 2008 BMDS	
			system's development.	
FT-8	3QFY08	TBD		
FT 08-3	3QFY08	TBD		
(SMDD-				
2)				
FTG 08-1	3QFY08	TBD		
(formerly				
IFT-26)				
FTG 08-2	3QFY08-	TBD	May have been cut.	
	2QFY09			
FT 08-4	4QFY08	TBD		
(RDC)				
FTG 08-3	1QFY09	TBD	Was a salvo launch in the	
			2006 budget documents.	
FTG 08-4	1QFY09	TBD		
FT 08-6	2QFY09	TBD		
(RDC)				
FTG 08-5	4QFY09	TBD	Was a salvo launch in the	
	1.2.107		2006 budget documents.	
FT 08-7	4QFY09	TBD	2000 baaget documents.	
(RDC)	101			
FTG 08-5	4QFY09	TBD		
FTG 08-5	4QFY09	TBD		
	_ `			
FT 08-8	1QFY10	TBD		
(STSS)				

FTG 10-1	2QFY10	TBD	According to the 2006 budget documents, this series of intercept flight intercept tests, as planned at that time, was supposed to support the Block 2010 BMDS system's development.	
FT 08-5	2QFY10	TBD	,	
(CMCM-				
8)				
FTG 10-1	2QFY10	TBD		
FTG 10-	2QFY10	TBD		
2a/b				
(Salvo)				
FT 10-1	3QFY10	TBD		
(RDC)	0.077710			
FT 10-2	3QFY10	TBD		
(STSS)	10EV11	TDD		
FTG 10-3 FT 10-4	1QFY11	TBD		
(STSS)	2QFY11	TBD		
FTG 10-4	3QFY11	TBD		
FTG 10-4	3QFY11	TBD		
5a/b	3Q1 111	IBD		
(Salvo)				
FT 10-5	4QFY11	TBD		
(RDC)				
FTG 10-6	4QFY11	TBD		
*****From	here on, the nar	nes use the ol	der nomenclature and the dat	tes are based on what
MDA was e	expecting at the t	time the tests	were set.****	
FTG 04-3	Unknown	TBD	This test was mentioned	
(IFT 2/a)			in the 2006/2007 budget	
			documents, but not the	
			2007 budget documents.	
FTG 04-	4QFY06	TBD	This test was mentioned	
4a/b			in the 2006/2007 budget	
(formerly			documents, but not the	
IFT-			2007 budget documents.	
17/18) FT 06-5	Unknown		Not mentioned in the	
1.1 00-3	Ulikilowii		2006/2007 or 2007	
			budget documents.	
IFT-27	Cancelled		This cancellation dates	
			back to earlier MDA	
			flight test schedules.	

IFT-28	Cancelled		This cancellation dates	
			back to earlier MDA	
			flight test schedules.	
IFT-29	Fall 2007	TBD	Unclear which flight test	
			this is under the new	
			naming system.	
IFT-30	Fall 2008	TBD	Unclear which flight test	
			this is under the new	
			naming system.	

Sources:

IFT-1A – IFT-5: *DOT&E FY 00* report; "Decoys and discrimination in intercept test IFT-8," *DOT&E Report in Support of National Missile Defense Readiness Review*, aka "The Coyle Report," Aug. 10, 2000; Union of Concerned Scientists Technical Working Paper, March 14, 2002

IFT-6: "Crucial radar failed missile defense test. Military: Although initially called a success, the system's trial run on Saturday had a troubling glitch, some analysts say," *Los Angeles Times*, July 18, 2001; "Decoys and discrimination in intercept test IFT-8," Union of Concerned Scientists Technical Working Paper, March 14, 2002

IFT-7: "Missile defense hits three out of five," *Space & Missile*, Dec. 6, 2001; "BMDO using new target booster for missile defense test," *Defense Daily*, Nov. 27, 2001; "Decoys and discrimination in intercept test IFT-8," Union of Concerned Scientists Technical Working Paper, March 14, 2002

IFT-8: "Missile defense hit clears way for more complexity in countermeasures," *Defense Daily International*, March 22, 2002; "Kill vehicle scores a hit with proponents of missile defense. Weapons: The Pentagon says the successful tests may restore credibility to the program," *Los Angeles Times*, March 26, 2002; "Decoys and discrimination in intercept test IFT-8," Union of Concerned Scientists Technical Working Paper, March 14, 2002

IFT-9: "US carries out successful missile defense test over Pacific," *Agence France Presse*, Oct. 15, 2002; "The target set for missile defense intercept test IFT-9," Union of Concerned Scientists Technical Working Paper, Oct. 11, 2002; "Helium leak in missile defense interceptor pushes back test," *Aerospace Daily*, Aug. 14, 2002; "MDA delays GMD flight test to replace damaged interceptor nozzle," *Defense Daily*, Aug. 21, 2002

IFT-10: "MDA reports EKV failed to separate from booster in GMD flight test," *Defense Daily*, Dec. 12, 2002; "Overhauls Ground-Based Midcourse Test Plan To Prove More Than Hit-To-Kill," *Defense Daily*, May 15, 2003; "Boeing Loses Bonus After Raytheon Warhead Fails in Missile Test," Bloomberg.com, June 9, 2003

IFT-11/12: "Pentagon cancels two missile intercept tests, saving \$200 million," *Associated Press*, Jan. 8, 2003; "Rush to field missile defense may `impair' program, GAO says," *Bloomberg.com*, June 3, 2003; "Missile Defense: Knowledge-Based Practices Are Being Adopted, but Risks Remain," GAO-03-441, April 2003

IFT-13 and -13A: "MDA Reports Tight Schedule For New Booster Development and Test," *Defense Daily*, May 19, 2003; "GMD booster verification test planned for mid-December," *Aerospace Daily*, Dec. 2, 2003; "Year Of The Missile Shield: If all goes as planned, the US on Oct. 1 will throw the switch on its first true ballistic missile defense," *Air Force Magazine*, January 2004; "Rapid Fire," *Aviation Week & Space Technology*, Jan. 5, 2004

IFT-13B: "ATK backs test of Ground-Based Midcourse Defense System," *Advanced Materials & Composites News*, Feb. 16, 2004; "GMD successfully conducts flight test with new booster," *Aerospace Daily*, Jan. 28, 2004; "MDA carries out successful booster Integrated Flight Test," *Defense Daily*, Jan. 28, 2004

IFT-13C: "Minor' software glitch is cited in missile failure: program official calls problem easily correctable," *Washington Post*, Jan. 13, 2005; "Ready or Not: Missile defense fielding nears, but critics remain skeptical of its effectiveness," *Aviation Week & Space Technology*, June 28, 2004; "Interceptor repairs completed; missile defense test delayed," *Defense Daily*, April 22, 2004

- IFT-14: "Interceptor missile test fails," *Los Angeles Times*, Feb. 15, 2005, "Ground equipment likely at fault in incomplete GMD test," *Defense Daily*, Feb. 15, 2005; "U.S. missile defense again fails key test," *Washington Post*, Feb. 15, 2005; "Rocket fails to launch in test run," *New York Times*, Feb. 15, 2005; "Tester: GMD making progress, but not operationally ready," *Aerospace Daily & Defense Report*, March 16, 2005
- IFT-15: "GMD test cancellation delays test of upgraded radar," *Aerospace Daily*, May 14, 2003 Medium range air-launched target: "US air-launches ballistic missile as target in missile defense test, *Agence France Presse*, April 8, 2005
- FT 04-5: "Acquisitions: Missile Defense Agency Fields Initial Capability but Falls Short of Original Goals, GAO-06-327," Government Accountability Office, March 15, 2006
- FT-1: "Northrop Grumman Plays Critical Role In Missile Defense Test," *Space Daily, Dec.* 15, 2005; "MDA deems first flight test of revamped GMD program a success," *Inside the Pentagon*, Dec. 15, 2005 FTG 04-5 FTX-02: *FY 2007, Missile Defense Agency (MDA) Exhibit R-2A RDT&E Project Justification,* February 2006
- FTX-01: "U.S. plans Pentagon cancels three more intercept tests," *Global Security Newswire*, April 21, 2003; "GMD test cancellation delays test of upgraded radar," *Aerospace Daily*, May 14, 2003
- FT 04-2 FTG-04: FY 2007, Missile Defense Agency (MDA) Exhibit R-2A RDT&E Project Justification, February 2006
- FTC-02B (formerly CMCM-1/FT 04-2): *U.S. Fed News*, April 13, 2006; "MDA officials tout two successful countermeasures flight," *Inside the Pentagon*, May 4, 2006
- CMCM-2 (formerly FT 04-4): "Vacuuming Up The Data," *Defense Daily*, May 1, 2006; "Missile defense test conducted off Hawaii," *Agence France-Presse*, April 28, 2006; "Orbital Successfully Launches Second Target Rocket for U.S. Missile Defense Agency's CMCM-2 Program; Two Launches in April Conducted from Hawaii's Pacific Missile Site," *Business Wire*, May 1, 2006
- FTC 03 FTX-02: FY 2007, Missile Defense Agency (MDA) Exhibit R-2A RDT&E Project Justification, February 2006
- FT-2 FT-3: "Duma: Next Three GMD Flight Tests Critical to Confidence-Building," *Inside the Army*, April 10, 2006; "MDA deems first flight test of revamped GMD program a success," *Inside the Pentagon*, Dec. 15, 2005
- FTG-05 FT 04-3: FY 2007, Missile Defense Agency (MDA) Exhibit R-2A RDT&E Project Justification, February 2006
- FTG-04: "Duma: Next Three GMD Flight Tests Critical to Confidence-Building," *Inside the Army*, April 10, 2006; "MDA deems first flight test of revamped GMD program a success," *Inside the Pentagon*, Dec. 15, 2005
- FTX-03 FTG 10-6: FY 2007, Missile Defense Agency (MDA) Exhibit R-2A RDT&E Project Justification, February 2006
- FTG 04-3 FTG 04-4a/b: FY 2006/2007, Missile Defense Agency (MDA) Exhibit R-2A RDT&E Project Justification, February 2005
- IFT-27 IFT-30: MDA RDT&E, Defense-Wide Budget Documentation, FY 2005 Budget Request, February 2004