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Abstract. In this paper, we will be following the historical development of the law of quadratic reciprocity leading up to its proof.

## 1. Introduction

The law of quadratic reciprocity was an important breakthrough in number theory. It can be stated today in the following form:

For two distinct odd primes, $p$ and $q$,
$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)}$, where $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ represents the Legendre symbol of $p$ and $q$.
We will start in Section 2 by giving a brief historical sketch of the problem. In Section 3 notations used in quadratic reciprocity such as the Legendre symbol and its related counterparts will be defined. In Section 4, we will cover some of Euler's conjectures as well as Euler's criterion and the supplements to quadratic reciprocity. We will also discuss Legendre's work on the theorem and outline his attempted proof in Section 5. Section 6 and 7 will give proofs of the main result. To conclude, Section 8 will deal with some applications and examples.

## 2. History

Euler first stated the theorem in 1783 but without a proof. Legendre gave the first proof in 1785 but it contained errors. And, finally in 1796, Gauss published the first correct proof. [Weisstein, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Quadratic ReciprocityTheorem.html] Gauss claimed the proof as his own without mentioning that he was improving Legendre's work. Legendre was very hurt by this and wrote:

This excessive impudence is unbelievable in a man who has sufficient personal merit to have need of appropriating the discoveries of others. [O'Connor]

Gauss published eight proofs of the quadratic reciprocity law throughout his life and claimed this theorem as being his favorite in number theory. According to John Stillwell, this is the most proved theorem in mathematics, after Pythagoras' theorem [Stillwell, p.162]. Today, there are more than 200 proofs published by a large number of mathematicians. The date of publication and authors of the first 196 proofs are listed in the appendix.

## 3. Notations: Legendre and Jacobi symbols

Definition 3.1. The Legendre symbol, sometimes called the quadratic character symbol, is defined for distinct odd primes $p$ and $q$ by:

$$
\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathrm{p} \text { is a quadratic residue of } \mathrm{q} \\ -1 & \text { if } \mathrm{p} \text { is a quadratic non-residue of } \mathrm{q}\end{cases}
$$

It satisfies the following properties [Weisstein, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/ LegendreSymbol.html]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)\left(\frac{n^{\prime}}{m}\right)=\left(\frac{n n^{\prime}}{m}\right) \\
& \left(\frac{n^{2}}{m}\right)=1 \\
& \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)=\left(\frac{n^{\prime}}{m}\right) \text { if } n \equiv n^{\prime} \bmod m \\
& \left(\frac{-1}{m}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\
-1 & \text { if } m \equiv-1 \quad \bmod 4\end{cases} \\
& \left(\frac{2}{m}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{m^{2}-1}{8}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } m \equiv \pm 1 & \bmod 8 \\
-1 & \text { if } m \equiv \pm 3 & \bmod 8
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This symbol simplifies the notations while calculating quadratic residues and therefore, has been very useful for the proofs of the law of quadratic reciprocity.

As we study quadratic reciprocity, it is important to be informed about the Jacobi symbol which is a generalization of the Legendre symbol. It first appeared in Jacobi's paper Über die Kreistheilung und ihre Anwendung auf die Zahlentheorie, in 1837 [Lemmermeyer].
Definition 3.2. The Jacobi symbol is defined for positive, odd and relatively prime integers n and m (not necessarily primes numbers) as

$$
\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{p_{1}}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(\frac{n}{p_{2}}\right)^{a_{2}} \ldots\left(\frac{n}{p_{k}}\right)^{a_{k}}
$$

where $m=p_{1}{ }^{a_{1}} p_{2}{ }^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{k}{ }^{a_{k}}$ is the prime factorization of $m$ and $\left(\frac{n}{p_{i}}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

The Jacobi symbol satisfies the same properties as the Legendre symbol [Weisstein, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/JacobiSymbol.html].

The quadratic reciprocity law stated at the beginning of this paper is in fact the quadratic reciprocity law of the Legendre symbol.

Theorem 3.3. The quadratic reciprocity law of the Jacobi symbol is stated as the following [Komatsu]:

$$
\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{\operatorname{sgnm-1}}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sgnn-1}}{2}}
$$

Proposition 3.4. The properties of the Jacobi symbol are sometimes stated in the following way [Komatsu]:

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{\operatorname{sgnn-1}}{2}}
$$

$$
\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n^{\prime}-1}{4}} \text { where } n^{\prime}=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n
$$

and can be reduced to two of the properties stated above for the Legendre symbol.
Proof.

- 3.4 First Property

If $n>0$, then $\frac{\operatorname{sgn} n-1}{2}=\frac{1-1}{2}=0$
$\Rightarrow\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{\operatorname{sgnn-1}}{2}}=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$
If $n<0$, then $\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{-n}\right)$ since $-1 \equiv a^{2} \bmod n$ means $-1-a^{2}=$ $k n$ for some $k$, or equivalently, $(-k)(-n)$ so $-1 \equiv a^{2} \bmod -n$
$\Rightarrow\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{\operatorname{sgnn} n-1}{2}}=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$
Therefore, $\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ for all n .

- 3.4 Second Property

Let's suppose $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8$
$\Rightarrow n=8 k+1 \Rightarrow n^{\prime}=(-1)^{\frac{8 k}{2}}(8 k+1)$
$\Rightarrow\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n^{\prime}-1}{4}}=(-1)^{\frac{8 k}{4}}=1=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}}$
Let's suppose $n \equiv-1 \bmod 8$
$\Rightarrow n=8 k-1 \Rightarrow n^{\prime}=(-1)^{\frac{8 k-2}{2}}(8 k-1)$
$\Rightarrow\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n^{\prime}-1}{4}}=(-1)^{\frac{-8 k}{4}}=1=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}}$
Let's suppose $n \equiv 3 \bmod 8$
$\Rightarrow n=8 k+3 \Rightarrow n^{\prime}=(-1)^{\frac{8 k+2}{2}}(8 k+3)$
$\Rightarrow\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n^{\prime}-1}{4}}=(-1)^{\frac{-8 k-4}{4}}=-1=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}}$
Let's suppose $n \equiv-3 \bmod 8$
$\Rightarrow n=8 k-3 \Rightarrow n^{\prime}=(-1)^{\frac{8 k-4}{2}}(8 k-3)$
$\Rightarrow\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n^{\prime}-1}{4}}=(-1)^{\frac{8 k-4}{4}}=-1=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}}$
Therefore, $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}}$ for all m and n , relatively prime integers.

The Kronecker's symbol is another generalization of the Legendre symbol but it will not be discussed here. From now on, the quadratic reciprocity law discussed will be that of the Legendre symbol.

## 4. Euler's Criterion

Although Euler offered no proof to the law of quadratic reciprocity, he did make the following conjectures which are equivalent to the theorem [Stillwell]

Let $p$ and $q$ be two distinct odd primes.

- When $p$ and $q$ are both of the form $4 n+3$ then $p$ is a square $(\bmod q) \Longleftrightarrow$ $q$ is not a square $(\bmod p)$.
- Otherwise, $p$ is a square $(\bmod q) \Longleftrightarrow q$ is a square $(\bmod p)$.

Euler also stated and proved the theorem that is known today as Euler's criterion. We will state it here.

Theorem 4.1. (Euler's Criterion) For an odd prime p, and a an integer relatively prime to $p$,

$$
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(\bmod p)
$$

or, alternatively,

$$
a \text { is a square }(\bmod p) \Longleftrightarrow a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) .
$$

Euler gave his proof of this criterion using a result called Fermat's little theorem which then led to the proofs of two special cases of quadratic reciprocity. These are referred to as the first and second supplements to quadratic reciprocity, and they examine the quadratic character of -1 and 2 with respect to a given odd prime $p$. We will now state the supplements.

Theorem 4.2. (First supplement to quadratic reciprocity)
For an odd prime p,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p=4 n+1 \\ -1 & \text { if } p=4 n+3\end{cases}
$$

This simply means that -1 is a quadratic residue of primes of the form $4 n+1$, and -1 is a quadratic non-residue of primes of the form $4 n+3$.
(Second supplement to quadratic reciprocity)

$$
\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p^{2}-1}{8}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p=8 n \pm 1 \\ -1 & \text { if } p=8 n \pm 3 .\end{cases}
$$

In this case, 2 is a quadratic residue of primes of the form $8 n \pm 1$, and is a quadratic non-residue of primes of the form $8 n \pm 3$.

The supplements to quadratic reciprocity can be proven using Euler's criterion. Yet, it should be noted that Fermat seems to have known the quadratic character of 2 with respect to any odd prime even before Euler's Criterion was stated [Stillwell]. It is unclear, however, what methods Fermat based this knowledge on.

## 5. Adrien-Marie Legendre (1752-1833)

The paper presented to the Academy by Legendre in 1785 contained the following theorems [Lemmermeyer, p.6]:
Theorem 5.1. Consider the primes $a, A \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $b, B \equiv 3 \bmod 4$

- Théorème I Si $b^{\frac{a-1}{2}}=+1$, il s'ensuit $a^{\frac{b-1}{2}}=+1$.
- Théorème II Si $a^{\frac{b-1}{2}}=-1$, il s'ensuit $b^{\frac{a-1}{2}}=-1$.
- Théorème III Si $a^{\frac{A-1}{2}}=+1$, il s'ensuit $A^{\frac{a-1}{2}}=+1$.
- Théorème IV Si $a^{\frac{A-1}{2}}=-1$, il s'ensuit $A^{\frac{a-1}{2}}=-1$.
- Théorème V Si $a^{\frac{b-1}{2}}=+1$, il s'ensuit $b^{\frac{a-1}{2}}=+1$.
- Théorème VI Si $b^{\frac{a-1}{2}}=-1$, il s'ensuit $a^{\frac{b-1}{2}}=-1$.
- Théorème VII Si $b^{\frac{B-1}{2}}=+1$, il s'ensuit $B^{\frac{b-1}{2}}=-1$.
- Théorème VIII Si $b^{\frac{B-1}{2}}=-1$, il s'ensuit $B^{\frac{b-1}{2}}=+1$.

Legendre gave complete proofs for theorem I, II and VII. The proof of theorem VIII was based on a theorem that was only later proved by Dirichlet: Let a and b be positive integers; if $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=1$, then there exist infinitely many primes $\equiv a$ $\bmod b$. Legendre later gave complete proofs of theorem VII and VIII using Pell's equation but never succeeded in giving satisfactory proofs of theorems III-VI. This came from the fact that the role of Dirichlet's theorem in quadratic reciprocity was unclear but Gauss later proved that quadratic reciprocity is in fact a corollary of this theorem [Lemmermeyer, pp.6-8].

## 6. Gauss' third proof

Gauss finally succeeded in discovering the first complete proof of the law of quadratic reciprocity in 1796. This first proof used induction and was "a long and ugly proof" [Stillwell]. We will not state this proof, but rather, we will give the third published proof of the law (which is actually Gauss' fifth discovered proof, although it was published before his third and fourth) since the latter is considered by Gauss and many others to be "the most direct and elegant of his eight demonstrations" [Smith]. Gauss' pride towards this particular proof can be viewed in the introduction to his third proof wherein he wrote the following:

> For a whole year this theorem tormented me and absorbed my greatest efforts until at last I obtained a proof given in the fourth section of the [Disquisitiones Arithmeticae]. Later I ran across three other proofs which were built on entirely different principles. One of these I have already given in the fifth section, the others, which do not compare with it in elegance, I have reserved for future publication. Although these proofs leave nothing to be desired as regards rigor, they are derived from sources much too remote, except perhaps the first, which however proceeds with laborious arguments and is overloaded with extended operations. I do not hesitate to say that till now a natural proof has not been produced. I leave it to the authorities to judge whether the following proof which I have recently been fortunate enough to discover deserves this description [Smith].

We will proceed with the proof following Gauss which relies on Gauss' lemma as well as some key results obtained using the floor function to conclude the final result. It is taken from a translation of Gauss' proof contained in David Eugene Smith's publication: A Source Book in Mathematics [Smith].

Theorem 6.1. (Gauss' lemma) Let $p$ be a positive prime number and let $k$ be any number not divisible by $p$. That is, $g c d(k, p)=1$. Further let

$$
A=\left\{1,2,3, \ldots, \frac{(p-1)}{2}\right\} \text { and let } B=\left\{\frac{(p+1)}{2}, \frac{(p+3)}{2}, \ldots, p-1\right\}
$$

We determine the smallest positive residue modulo $p$ of the product of $k$ by each of the numbers in the set $A$. These will be distinct and will belong partly to $A$ and
partly to $B$. The set of products will be

$$
\left\{k, 2 k, 3 k, \ldots, \frac{(p-1)}{2} k\right\}(\bmod p)
$$

If we let $\mu$ (which is now called the characteristic number [Andrews]) be the number of these residues belonging to $B$, then $k$ is a quadratic residue of $p$ or a quadratic non-residue of $p$ according as $\mu$ is odd or even.
$i e$.

$$
\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\mu}
$$

Proof. Let $a, a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ be the residues belonging to the set A and $b, b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ be those belonging to B . Then the complements of these latter: $(p-b),\left(p-b^{\prime}\right),\left(p-b^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots$ are not equal to any of the numbers $a, a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$, for if we take $a=n k \in \mathrm{~A}$ and $b=m k \in \mathrm{~B}$ where $m k$ and $n k$ are elements from the set of products $\{t k \mid t \in A\}$ then $a \equiv p-b(\bmod p) \Longrightarrow n k \equiv p-\operatorname{mk}(\bmod p) \Longrightarrow n k \equiv-m k(\bmod p) \Longrightarrow n \equiv$ $-m(\bmod p)$. However, this is impossible since $m$ and $n$ belong to A and hence are both less than $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Thus, the complements $(p-b),\left(p-b^{\prime}\right),\left(p-b^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots$ belong to A and are distinct from the numbers $a, a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ and together these numbers make up the $\frac{p-1}{2}$ elements of the set A.

Consequently, we have

$$
(1)(2)(3) \cdots\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)=(a)\left(a^{\prime}\right)\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots(p-b)\left(p-b^{\prime}\right)\left(p-b^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots(\bmod p)
$$

Since $p-b \equiv-b(\bmod p)$ and since there are $\mu b^{i}$ 's, the right-hand product becomes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\equiv(-1)^{\mu}(a)\left(a^{\prime}\right)\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots(b)\left(b^{\prime}\right)\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots(\bmod p) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\equiv(-1)^{\mu}(k)(2 k)(3 k) \cdots \frac{(p-1)}{2} k(\bmod p) \\
\quad\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\equiv(-1)^{\mu} k^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!(\bmod p)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
1 \equiv(-1)^{\mu} k^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)}(\bmod p)
$$

That is

$$
k^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod p)
$$

according as $\mu$ is even or odd. So our theorem follows from Euler's Criterion (refer to Theorem 4.1).

We will now introduce some convenient notations which will be used for the rest of the proof.

- Let the symbol $(k, p)$ represent the number of products among $k, 2 k, 3 k, \ldots, \frac{(p-1)}{2} k$ whose smallest positive residue modulo $p$ exceeds $\frac{p}{2}$, that is $(k, p)=\mu$ from Gauss' lemma (Theorem 6.1).
- Further, if $x$ is a non-integral quantity we will express by the symbol $[x]$ the greatest integer less than $x$ so that $x-[x]$ is always a positive quantity between 0 and 1 ie. $[x]$ is the floor function.

We can readily establish the following relations using the floor function. The first four are general properties whereas (5)-(9) relate the floor function to congruence classes, the quadratic character of a number with respect to a given prime, and Gauss's lemma. From these we will derive some important results which will lead us to our proof of quadratic reciprocity.
(1) $[x]+[-x]=-1$.
(2) $[x]+b=[x+b]$, whenever $b$ is an integer.
(3) $[x]+[b-x]=b-1$.
(4) If $x-[x]<\frac{1}{2}$, then $[2 x]-2[x]=0$.

If $x-[x]>\frac{1}{2}$, then $[2 x]-2[x]=1$.
We now relate the above relations to congruence classes.
(5) If the smallest positive residue of $b(\bmod p)<\frac{p}{2}$ then $\left[\frac{2 b}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{b}{p}\right]=0$.

If the smallest positive residue of $b(\bmod p)>\frac{p}{2}$ then $\left[\frac{2 b}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{b}{p}\right]=1$.
(6) From (5), we use the products $k, 2 k, 3 k, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2} k$ as different values for $b$ and add them up. This gives us the total number of these products whose smallest positive residue is greater than $\frac{p}{2}$. Now recall from Gauss' lemma (Theorem 6.1) that this number is $\mu$, the characteristic number of $k$ with respect to $p$. That is

$$
(k, p)=\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{4 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{6 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right] \ldots-2\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right] .
$$

(7) The following lemma demonstrates the relationship between $\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{-k}{p}\right)$.

## Lemma 6.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-k}{p}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \frac{p-1}{2} \text { is even. ie. } p=4 n+1 . \\
\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)=-\left(\frac{-k}{p}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \frac{p-1}{2} \text { is odd. ie. } p=4 n+3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From (6) and (1) we obtain without difficulty

$$
(k, p)+(-k, p)=-\frac{p-1}{2}+2 \frac{p-1}{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k, p)+(-k, p)=\frac{p-1}{2} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (*):

- If $p=4 n+1$, then $\frac{p-1}{2}$ will be even. Thus $(k, p)$ and $(-k, p)$ must both be even or both be odd since they add up to an even number. Recall from Gauss' lemma (Theorem 6.1) that $(k, p)$ even $\Longrightarrow\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)=1$ and $(k, p)$ odd $\Longrightarrow\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)=-1$.
So when $(k, p)$ and $(-k, p)$ are both even we have

$$
\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)=1=\left(\frac{-k}{p}\right),
$$

and when they are both odd we have

$$
\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)=-1=\left(\frac{-k}{p}\right) .
$$

- If $p=4 n+3$, then $\frac{p-1}{2}$ will be odd. So the sum $(k, p)+(-k, p)$ is odd and it follows that one of $(k, p)$ and $(-k, p)$ must be odd and the other must be even

Corollary 6.3. It is evident that in the first case, - 1 is a quadratic residue and in the second a quadratic non-residue of $p$ since we know that $(1, p)$ is always even. This is another derivation of the first supplement to quadratic reciprocity(refer to Theorem 4.2).
(8) Our next lemma provides us with another formula for $(k, p)$.

Lemma 6.4. - When $p$ is of the form $4 n+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k, p)= & \frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4} \\
& -2\left\{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{5 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-3) k / 2}{p}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left\{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- When $p$ is of the form $4 n+3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k, p)= & \frac{(k-1)(p+1)}{4} \\
& -2\left\{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{5 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left\{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We transform the formula given in (6) as follows: From (3) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\frac{(p-1) k}{p}\right]=k-1-\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]} \\
{\left[\frac{(p-3) k}{p}\right]=k-1-\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right],} \\
{\left[\frac{(p-5) k}{p}\right]=k-1-\left[\frac{5 k}{p}\right], \ldots}
\end{gathered}
$$

where we have set

$$
b=k \text { and } x=\frac{(p-i) k}{p} \text { for } i=1,3,5, \ldots
$$

When $p$ is of the form $4 n+1$, we apply these substitutions to the $\frac{p-1}{4}$ corresponding terms as follows:

From (6) we have

$$
(k, p)=\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{4 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-5) k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{(p-3) k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{(p-1) k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right] \ldots-2\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]
$$

We make the substitutions to get

$$
(k, p)=\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{4 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+k-1-\left[\frac{5 k}{p}\right]+k-1-\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+k-1-\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right] \ldots-2\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right] .
$$

When we gather like terms we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k, p)= & \frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4}-2\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right] \cdots \\
& -2\left[\frac{(p-3) k / 2}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{(p-3) k / 2}{p}\right]-2\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k, p)= & \frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4} \\
& -2\left\{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{5 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-3) k / 2}{p}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left\{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, when $p$ is of the form $4 n+3$, we apply such substitutions; however, we apply them to $\frac{p+1}{4}$ terms instead of $\frac{p-1}{4}$ terms.
(9)

Corollary 6.5. In the special case $k=2$ it follows from lemma 6.4 that

$$
(2, p)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{p-1}{4} & \text { if } p=4 n+1 \\
\frac{p+1}{4} & \text { if } p=4 n+3
\end{array},\right.
$$

which is equivalent to the second supplement to quadratic reciprocity (Refer to Theorem 4.2). This works out for 2 since each term in the square brackets of our lemma is less than 1 and thus each floor function goes to zero.
Our next theorem will provide us with a relationship between certain floor functions and the reciprocals of those floor functions, keeping in mind that quadratic reciprocity is our main goal!

Theorem 6.6. If $x$ is a positive non-integral quantity such that none of $x, 2 x, 3 x, \ldots, n x$ are integers, and we let $[n x]=b$ then none of the multiples of the reciprocals $\frac{1}{x}, \frac{2}{x}, \frac{3}{x}, \ldots, \frac{b}{x}$ are integers, and we can say that:

$$
n b=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[x]+[2 x]+[3 x]+\ldots+[n x]} \\
+\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]+\left[\frac{2}{x}\right]+\left[\frac{3}{x}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{b}{x}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $\Omega=[x]+[2 x]+[3 x]+\ldots+[n x]$. In this series, all the terms from the first up to and including the $\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]^{\text {th }}$ are zero, the following terms up to and including the $\left[\frac{2}{x}\right]^{\text {th }}$ are equal to 1 , and the following up to the $\left[\frac{3}{x}\right]^{\text {th }}$ term are equal to 2 and
so on. Hence we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& 0 \times\left[\frac{1}{x}\right] \\
& +1 \times\left\{\left[\frac{2}{x}\right]-\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]\right\} \\
& +2 \times\left\{\left[\frac{3}{x}\right]-\left[\frac{2}{x}\right]\right\} \\
& \left.\left.+3 \times\left\{\frac{3}{x}\right]\right\}\right\} \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& +(b-1) \times\left\{\left[\frac{b}{x}\right]-\left[\frac{b-1}{x}\right]\right\} \\
& +b \times\left\{n-\left[\frac{b}{x}\right]\right\}
\end{array}\right\}=-\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]+\left[\frac{2}{x}\right]-2\left[\frac{2}{x}\right]+2\left[\frac{3}{x}\right]-3\left[\frac{3}{x}\right]+\ldots+(b-1)\left[\frac{b}{x}\right]-b\left[\frac{b}{x}\right]+b n
$$

Thus,

$$
\Omega=b n-\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]-\left[\frac{2}{x}\right]-\left[\frac{3}{x}\right]-\ldots-\left[\frac{b}{x}\right] .
$$

This next theorem connects Theorem 6.6 to quadratic reciprocity.
Theorem 6.7. If $k$ and $p$ are positive odd numbers which are relatively prime to each other, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]} \\
+\left[\frac{p}{k}\right]+\left[\frac{2 p}{k}\right]+\left[\frac{3 p}{k}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(k-1) p / 2}{k}\right]
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4}
$$

Proof. Supposing that $k<p$ we have

$$
\frac{k(p-1) / 2}{p}<\frac{k}{2} \text { but } \frac{k(p-1) / 2}{p}>\frac{k-1}{2} \Longrightarrow\left[\frac{k(p-1) / 2}{p}\right]=\frac{k-1}{2}
$$

From this it is clear that the theorem follows at once from theorem 6.6 if we set

$$
\frac{k}{p}=x, \frac{p-1}{2}=n, \frac{k-1}{2}=b .
$$

We note that it is possible to prove in a similar way that if $k$ is even and relatively prime to $p$ then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right]} \\
+\left[\frac{p}{k}\right]+\left[\frac{2 p}{k}\right]+\left[\frac{3 p}{k}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{k p / 2}{k}\right]
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{(k)(p-1)}{4} .
$$

However we will not prove this proposition as it is not necessary for our purpose.
Now the main theorem follows from the combination of theorem 6.7 with lemma 6.4 as well as the following lemma.

Lemma 6.8. If $k$ and $p$ are any distinct, positive prime numbers (not equal to 2), and we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=(k, p)+\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right] \\
& M=(p, k)+\left[\frac{p}{k}\right]+\left[\frac{2 p}{k}\right]+\left[\frac{3 p}{k}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(k-1) p / 2}{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then $L$ and $M$ will always be even numbers.

Proof. From lemma 6.4, there are two cases for L: $p=4 n+1$ and $p=4 n+3$.

- When $p=4 n+1$,

$$
L=(k, p)+\left[\frac{2 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-1) k / 2}{p}\right] .
$$

Notice that $(k, p)-L$ is exactly the last line in the sum in lemma 6.4 and these terms will cancel. From the fact that $k$ is of the form $2 m+1$ (odd), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4}-2\left\{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{3 k}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{5 k}{p}\right]+\ldots+\left[\frac{(p-3) k / 2}{p}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{(2 m)(4 n)}{4}-2[\cdots] \\
& =2[m n-[\cdots]] \text { which is even. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- When $p=4 n+3$, a similar argument shows that $L=2[m(n+1)-[\cdots]]$ which is even.
- The same arguments work for M in both cases.

Now it follows from theorem 6.7 and lemma 6.8 that

$$
L+M=(k, p)+(p, k)+\frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4}
$$

Therefore, $\frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4}$ is even when one or both of the primes $k$ or $p$ is of the form $4 n+1$. This means that $(p, k)$ and $(k, p)$ are either both even or both odd.

On the contrary, $\frac{(k-1)(p-1)}{4}$ is odd when $k$ and $p$ are both of the form $4 n+3$. Then, necessarily one of $(p, k)$, and $(k, p)$ is even and the other odd.

In the first case, the relations of $k$ to $p$, and of $p$ to $k$ (as regards to the quadratic character of one of with respect to the other) are the same. In the second case they are opposite. Thus we have the law of quadratic reciprocity.
Q.E.D.

## 7. General overview of quadratic reciprocity as a proof

The following is an overview of Euler's work on quadratic reciprocity that uses examples and generalizations in order to be presented in the form of a proof. This helps us to better visualize what the law really implicates. It is taken from the paper entitled Quadratic Reciprocity: Its Conjecture and Application written by David A. Cox [Cox] from the Department of Mathematics at Amherst College and published in 1988.

Euler proved the following theorems where p is an odd prime. The theorems were first stated by Fermat and were really useful for the proof of quadratic reciprocity. [Cox, (0.3)]:

## Theorem 7.1.

$p=x^{2}+y^{2}, x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$
$p=x^{2}+2 y^{2}, x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1,3 \bmod 8$
$p=x^{2}+3 y^{2}, x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow p=3$ or $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$
From these theorems, came the next very important lemma [Cox, lemma 1.1].

Lemma 7.2. Let $p$ be a prime not dividing $n$. Then there are relatively prime integers $x$ and $y$ such that $p \mid\left(x^{2}+n y^{2}\right)$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right)=1$, where $\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.
Proof. Let's suppose that $\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right)=1$.
$\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-n \equiv a^{2} \bmod p$
$\Rightarrow a^{2}+n \equiv 0 \bmod p$
Let $x=a$ and $y=1$
$\Rightarrow p \mid\left(x^{2}+n y^{2}\right)$
Now, let's suppose that $p \mid\left(x^{2}+n y^{2}\right)$
$\Rightarrow x^{2}+n y^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod p$
$\Rightarrow x^{2} \equiv-n y^{2} \bmod p$
$p$ does not divide $n$ and $(x, y)=1$, therefore $p$ does not divide y
also, p is prime, therefore $(p, y)=1$ and $\exists b$ such that $y b \equiv 1 \bmod p$
$\Rightarrow x^{2} b^{2} \equiv-n y^{2} b^{2} \bmod p$
$\Rightarrow(x b)^{2} \equiv-n(y b)^{2} \bmod p$
$\Rightarrow(x b)^{2} \equiv-n \bmod p$
$\Rightarrow\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right)=1$
Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 7.1 imply that [Cox, (1.2)]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 4 \\
& \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1,3 \quad \bmod 8 \\
& \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 3
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that in order to notice a pattern, we must work modulo 4 n . If we search for all primes p for which $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right)=1$, we notice that they are all congruent to 1 or 11 mod 20. Here are some examples (we treat the case where $\mathrm{n} \neq 1,2$ and p does not divide n) [Cox, (1.3)]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1,7 \quad \bmod 12 \\
\left(\frac{-5}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1,3,7,9 \quad \bmod 20 \\
\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1,9,11,15,23,25 \quad \bmod 28 \\
\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1 \quad \bmod 12 \\
\left(\frac{5}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1, \pm 11 \quad \bmod 20 \\
\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 9 \quad \bmod 28
\end{gathered}
$$

Since, for example, $11 \equiv-9 \bmod 20$, the bottom three examples stated above are equivalent to [Cox, (1.4)]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1 \quad \bmod 12 \\
\left(\frac{5}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1, \pm 9 \quad \bmod 20 \\
\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1, \pm 25, \pm 9 \quad \bmod 28
\end{gathered}
$$

We notice that p is congruent to odd squares! But we must be careful, this is only the case when n is prime. For example, $\left(\frac{6}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1,5 \bmod 24$. We can now generalize with the following conjecture which we will then prove is equivalent to the law of quadratic reciprocity:

If $p$ and $q$ are distinct odd primes, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm \beta^{2} \quad \bmod 4 q \text { for some odd } \beta \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let p and q be distinct odd primes and set $p *=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p$ (Note that $p * \equiv 1$ $\bmod 4)$. We assume the following properties:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(\text { see thm 4.2) } \\
\left(\frac{a b}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{a}{q}\right)\left(\frac{b}{q}\right) \\
\Rightarrow\left(\frac{p *}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{q}\right)\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, we need to prove either

$$
\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{p *}{q}\right) \text { or }\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow\left(\frac{p *}{q}\right)=1
$$

in order to prove the law of quadratic reciprocity.
By comparing this with (7.1), we see that, in fact, we need to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{p *}{q}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm \beta^{2} \quad \bmod 4 q \text { for some odd } \beta \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta$ is odd, then $\beta^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, so the $\pm \operatorname{sign}$ must be $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. Hence,

$$
p \equiv \pm \beta^{2} \quad \bmod 4 q \Leftrightarrow p \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \beta^{2} \quad \bmod 4 q \Leftrightarrow p * \equiv \beta^{2} \quad \bmod 4 q
$$

So let's prove (7.2) as the following:

$$
\left(\frac{p *}{q}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p * \equiv \beta^{2} \quad \bmod 4 q
$$

Suppose $p * \equiv \beta^{2} \bmod 4 q$. This implies $p * \equiv \beta^{2} \bmod q$, so $\left(\frac{p *}{q}\right)=1$ follows immediately. Conversely, lets suppose $\left(\frac{p *}{q}\right)=1$. Then $p * \equiv \alpha^{2} \bmod q$ for some $\alpha$. Let $\beta=\alpha$ or $\alpha+q$, depending on whether $\alpha$ is even or odd, we get $p * \equiv \beta^{2}$
$\bmod 4 q$, (by Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.4 ) and we have proven the law of quadratic reciprocity!!
Lemma 7.3. If $\alpha$ is even, then set $\beta=\alpha$ and conclude that $p * \equiv \beta^{2} \bmod 4 q$.
Proof. (Use the Chinese Remainder Theorem.)
Lemma 7.4. If $\alpha$ is odd, then set $\beta=\alpha+q$ and conclude that $p * \equiv \beta^{2} \bmod 4 q$.
Proof. (Use the Chinese Remainder Theorem.)

## 8. APPLICATIONS AND EXAMPLES

8.1. Evaluation of the Legendre symbol. Quadratic reciprocity is very useful to simplify the evaluation of a Legendre symbol.

Example. $\left(\frac{12}{10005007}\right)$ may seem difficult to evaluate at first but, thanks to the law of quadratic reciprocity, we can find that
$\left(\frac{12}{10005007}\right)=\left(\frac{3}{10005007}\right)\left(\frac{4}{10005007}\right)=\left(\frac{3}{10005007}\right)$
$=(-1)^{\frac{3-1}{2}} \frac{\frac{10005007-1}{2}}{2}\left(\frac{10005007}{3}\right)=-\left(\frac{10005007}{3}\right)$
Since $10005007 \equiv 1 \bmod 3,\left(\frac{10005007}{3}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)=1$. Therefore, $\left(\frac{3}{10005007}\right)=-1$.
8.2. More supplements to quadratic reciprocity. Quadratic reciprocity also helps us in such problems as trying to find every odd prime $p$ for which a, also an odd prime, is a quadratic residue $\bmod \mathrm{p}$.

Example. [Pong] Let's determine the set of all odd primes p such that $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=1$. Since

$$
\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{3-1}{2}}=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ -1 & \text { if } p \equiv-1 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

we have,
$\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ -\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv-1 \bmod 4\end{cases}$
But $\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$
So, $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=1$ if either of the two following statements are true:

- $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \Rightarrow p \equiv 1 \bmod 12$
- $p \equiv-1 \bmod 3$ and $p \equiv-1 \bmod 4 \Rightarrow p \equiv-1 \bmod 12$

Therefore, $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 12$.
8.3. The method of excludents. Suppose we have established that a certain number, say $r$ is a quadratic residue of a prime $p$ using the law of quadratic reciprocity. If we wish to find a square which leaves $r$ as a remainder when divided by $p$, then we may use the method of excludents [Beiler].

We know that $x^{2} \equiv r(\bmod p) \Longleftrightarrow r+p y=x^{2}$, for some multiple $y$ of $p$. The method of excludents allows us to look at $r+p y$ with respect to some arbitrary small modulus, E , and exclude values of $y$ for which $r+p y$ is not a square (mod $\mathrm{E})$. We repeat this with other choices for E until a value for $y$ is deduced.

It should be noted that values of $y$ greater than $p / 4$ need never be tried since $x$ is a solution $\Longrightarrow p-x$ is another solution. Hence $x$ or $p-x<p / 2 \Longrightarrow x^{2}$ or $(p-$
$x)^{2}<p^{2} / 4$. Therefore $p y$ must be less than $p^{2} / 4$, so $y<p / 4$.

Example. Given that $\left(\frac{17}{263}\right)=1$, let's find $y$ such that $17+263 y=x^{2}$. We use $\mathrm{E}=3$ to start. First, $17+263 y$ becomes $2+2 y(\bmod 3)$. Possible values for $y$ are 0,1 , or $2(\bmod 3)$ and using these values for $y$, possible values of $2+2 y$ become 2,1 , or 0 $(\bmod 3)$. However, only 0 , and 1 are quadratic residues of 3 . This means that the values of $y$ which make $2+2 y \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ must be excluded, and $y$ can only be congruent to 1 or $2(\bmod 3)$. Therefore, we exclude values of $y$ of the form $3 k$.

Similarly, we can take $\mathrm{E}=5$. Then $17+263 y \equiv 2+2 y(\bmod 5)$, and we find that values of $y$ of the form $5 k$, and $5 k+2$ may be excluded.

If we repeat this process once more using $\mathrm{E}=7$, we exclude values of $y$ of the form $7 k, 7 k+4$, and $7 k+6$.

For $p=263$, we need to consider numbers less than $263 / 4=65$ as candidates for the value of $y$. However, we can exclude all multiples of 3 , and our list of candidates becomes: $1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11,13,14,16,17,19,20,22,23,25,26,28,29,31,32$, $34,35,37,38,40,41,43,44,46,47,49,50,52,53,55,56,58,59,61,62,64,65$.

Then we delete any which are of the form $5 k$ or $5 k+2$ and are left with: 1,4 , $8,11,13,14,16,19,23,26,28,29,31,34,38,41,43,44,46,49,53,56,58,59,61$, 64.

Next, we delete any numbers of the form $7 k, 7 k+4$ and $7 k+6$ to get: $1,8,16$, $19,23,26,29,31,38,43,44,59,61,64$.

The third value in this list works! If we let $y=16$, then we have

$$
17+263 y=17+263(16)=4225=65^{2} .
$$

And we also have another solution: $263-x=263-65=198$ and we find that $198^{2}=263(149)+17$.

## APPENDIX [Lemmermeyer, Appendix B]

The following table lists the authors of the first 196 proofs of the quadratic reciprocity law as well as the dates of publication. It also reveals which mathematical concept was most important for each proof. This information is taken directly from the book entitled Reciprocity Laws: From Euler to Eisenstein by Franz Lemmermeyer.

|  | proof | year | comments |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1. | Legendre | 1788 | Quadratic forms; incomplete |
| 2. | Gauss 1 | 1801 | Induction; April 8, 1796 |
| 3. | Gauss 2 | 1801 | Quadratic forms; June 27,1796 |
| 4. | Gauss 3 | 1808 | Gauss' Lemma; May 6,1807 |
| 5. | Gauss 4 | 1811 | Cyclotomy; May 1801 |
| 6. | Gauss 5 | 1818 | Gauss' Lemma; 1807/08 |
| 7. | Gauss 6 | 1818 | Gauss sums; 1807/08 |
| 8. | Cauchy | 1829 | Gauss 6 |
| 9. | Jacobi | 1830 | Gauss 6 |
| 10. | Dirichlet | 1835 | Gauss 4 |
| 11. | Lebesgue 1 | 1838 | N(x ${ }^{2}+\ldots x_{q}^{2} \equiv 1$ mod p) |
| 12. | Schonemann | 1839 | Quadratic period equation |
| 13. | Eisenstein 1 | 1844 | Generalized Jacobi sums |
| 14. | Eisenstein 2 | 1844 | Gauss 6 |
| 15. | Eisenstein 3 | 1844 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 16. | Eisenstein 4 | 1845 | Sine |
| 17. | Eisenstein 5 | 1845 | Infinite products |
| 18. | Liouville | 1847 | Cyclotomy |
| 19. | Lebesgue 2 | 1847 | Lebesgue 1 |
| 20. | Schaar | 1847 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 21. | Genocchi | 1852 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 22. | Dirichlet | 1854 | Gauss 1 |
| 23. | Lebesgue 3 | 1860 | Gauss 7,8 |
| 24. | Kummer 1 | 1862 | Quadratic forms |
| 25. | Kummer 2 | 1862 | Quadratic forms |
| 26. | Kedekind 1 | 1862 | Quadratic forms |
| 27. | Gauss 7 | 1862 | Quadratic periods; Sept. 1796 |
| 28. | Gauss 8 | 1863 | Quadratic periods; Sept. 1796 |
| 29. | Mathieu | 1867 | Cyclotomy |
| 30. | von Staudt | 1867 | Cyclotomy |
| 31. | Bouniakowski | 1869 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 32. | Stern | 1870 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 33. | Zeller | 1872 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 34. | Zolotarev | 1872 | Permutations |
| 35. | Kronecker 1 | 1872 | Zeller |
| 36. | Schering | 1875 | Gauss 3 |
| 37. | Kronecker 2 | 1876 | Induction |
| 38. | Mansion | 1876 | Gauss' Lemma |
|  |  |  |  |

proof year comments
39. Dedekind 3
40. Dedekind 3
41. Pellet 1
42. Pépin 1
43. Schering
44. Petersen
45. Genocchi
46. Kronecker 3
47. Kronecker 4
48. Voigt
49. Pellet 2
50. Busche 1
51. Gegenbauer 1
52. Kronecker 5
53. Kronecker 6
54. Kronecker 7
55. Bock
56. Lerch
57. Busche 2
58. Hacks
59. Hermes
60. Kronecker 8
61. Tafelmacher 1
62. Tafelmacher 2
63. Tafelmacher 3
64. Busche 3
65. Franklin
66. Lucas
67. Pépin 2
68. Pields
69. Gegenbauer 2
70. Gegenbauer 3
71. Schmidt 1
72. Schmidt 2
73. Schmidt 3
74. Gegenbauer 4
75. Bang
76. Mertens 1
77. Mertens 2
78. Busche 4
79. Lange 1
80. de la Vallée Poussin
81. Lange 2
82. Hilbert
83. Alexejewsky
84. Pépin 3
85. Pépin 4
86. Konig
87. Fischer
88. Takagi
89. Lerch

1877 Gauss 6
1877 Dedekind Sums
1878 Stickelberger-Voronoi
1878 Cyclotomy
1879 Gauss' Lemma
1879 Gauss' Lemma
1880 Gauss' Lemma
1880 Gauss 4
1880 Quadratic period
1881 Gauss' Lemma
1882 Mathieu 1867
1883 Gauss' Lemma
1884 Gauss' Lemma
1884 Gauss' Lemma
1885 Gauss 3
1885 Gauss' Lemma
1886 Gauss' Lemma
1887 Gauss 3
1888 Gauss' Lemma
1889 Schering
1889 Induction
1889 Gauss' Lemma
1889 Stern
1889 Stern/Schering
1889 Schering
1890 Gauss' Lemma
1890 Gauss' Lemma
1890 Gauss' Lemma
1890 Gauss 2
1891 Gauss' Lemma
1891 Gauss' Lemma
1893 Gauss' Lemma
1893 Gauss' Lemma
1893 Gauss' Lemma
1893 Induction
1894 Gauss' Lemma
1894 Induction
1894 Gauss' Lemma
1894 Gauss sums
1896 Gauss' Lemma
1896 Gauss' Lemma
1896 Gauss 2
1897 Gauss' Lemma
1897 Cyclotomy
1898 Schering
1898 Legendre
1898 Gauss 5
1899 Induction
1990 Resultants
1903 Zeller
1903 Gauss 5

|  | proof | year | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90. | Mertens 3 | 1904 | Eisenstein 4 |
| 91. | Mirimanoff and Hensel | 1905 | Stickelberger-Voronoi |
| 92. | Busche 5 | 1909 | Zeller |
| 93. | Busche 6 | 1909 | Eisenstein |
| 94. | Aubry | 1910 | $=$ Eisenstein 3 |
| 95. | Aubry | 1910 | $=$ Voigt |
| 96. | Aubry | 1910 | = Kronecker |
| 97. | Pépin | 1911 | Gauss 2 |
| 98. | Petr 1 | 1911 | Mertens 3 |
| 99. | Pocklington | 1911 | Gauss 3 |
| 100. | Dedekind 4 | 1912 | Zeller |
| 101. | Heawood | 1913 | = Eisensterin 3 |
| 102. | Frobenius 1 | 1914 | Zeller |
| 103. | Frobenius 2 | 1914 | Eisenstein 3 |
| 104. | Lasker | 1916 | Stickelberger-Voronoi |
| 105. | Cerone | 1917 | Eisenstein 4 |
| 106. | Bartelds and Schuh | 1918 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 107. | Stieltjes | 1918 | Lattice points |
| 108. | Teege 1 | 1920 | Legendre |
| 109. | Teege 2 | 1921 | Cyclotomy |
| 110. | Arwin | 1924 | Quadratic forms |
| 111. | Rédei 1 | 1925 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 112. | rédei 2 | 1926 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 113. | Whitehead | 1927 | Genus theory (Kummer) |
| 114. | Petr 2 | 1927 | Theta functions |
| 115. | Skolem 1 | 1928 | Genus theory |
| 116. | Petr 3 | 1934 | Kronecker (signs) |
| 117. | van Veen | 1934 | Eisenstein 3 |
| 118. | Fueter | 1935 | Quaternion algebras |
| 119. | Whiteman | 1935 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 120. | Dockeray | 1938 | Eisenstein 3 |
| 121. | Dorge | 1942 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 122. | Rédei 3 | 1944 | Gauss 5 |
| 123. | Lewy | 1946 | Cyclotomy |
| 124. | Petr4 | 1946 | Ciclotomy |
| 125. | Skolem 2 | 1948 | Gauss 2 |
| 126. | Barbilian | 1950 | Eisenstein 1 |
| 127. | Rédei 4 | 1951 | Gauss 3 |
| 128. | Brandt 1 | 1951 | Gauss 2 |
| 129. | Brandt 2 | 1951 | Gauss sums |
| 130. | Brewer | 1951 | Mathieu, Pellet |
| 131. | Furquim de Almeida | 1951 | Finite fields |
| 132. | Zassenhaus | 1952 | Finite fields |
| 133. | Riesz | 1953 | Permutations |
| 134. | Frohlich | 1954 | Class Field Theory |
| 135. | Ankeny | 1955 | Cyclotomy |
| 136. | D. H. Lehmer | 1957 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 137. | C. Meyer | 1957 | Dedekind sums |
| 138. | Holzer | 1958 | Gauss sums |
| 139. | Rédei 5 | 1958 | Cyclotomic polynomial |
| 140. | Reichardt | 1958 | Gauss 3 |


|  | proof | year | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 141. | Carlitz | 1960 | Gauss 1 |
| 142. | Kubota 1 | 1961 | Cyclotomy |
| 143. | Kubota 2 | 1961 | Gauss sums (sign) |
| 144. | Skolem 3 | 1961 | Cyclotomy |
| 145. | Skolem 4 | 1961 | Finite fields |
| 146. | Hausner | 1961 | Gauss sums |
| 147. | Swan 1 | 1962 | Stickelberger-Voronoi |
| 148. | Koschmieder | 1963 | Eisenstein, sine |
| 149. | Gerstenhaber | 1963 | Eisenstein, sine |
| 150. | Rademacher | 1964 | Finite Fourier analysis |
| 151. | Weil | 1964 | Theta functions |
| 152. | Kloosterman | 1965 | Holzer |
| 153. | Chowla | 1966 | Finite fields |
| 154. | Burde | 1967 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 155. | Kaplan 1 | 1969 | Eisenstein |
| 156. | Kaplan 2 | 1969 | Quadratic congruences |
| 157. | Birch | 1971 | K-theory (Tate) |
| 158. | Reshetukha | 1971 | Gauss sums |
| 159. | Agou | 1972 | Finite fields |
| 160. | Brenner | 1973 | Zolotarev |
| 161. | Honda | 1973 | Gauss sums |
| 162. | Milnor and Husemoller | 1973 | Weil 1964 |
| 163. | Allander | 1974 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 164. | Berndt and Evans | 1974 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 165. | Hirzebruch and Zagier | 1974 | Dedekind Sums |
| 166. | Rogers | 1974 | Legendre |
| 167. | Castaldo | 1976 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 168. | Frame | 1978 | Kronecker (signs) |
| 169. | Hurrelbrink | 1978 | K-theory |
| 170. | Auslander and Tolimieri | 1979 | Fourier transform |
| 171. | Brown | 1981 | Gauss 1 |
| 172. | Goldschmidt | 1981 | Cyclotomy |
| 173. | Kac | 1981 | Eisenstein, Sine |
| 174. | Barcanescu | 1983 | Zolotarev |
| 175. | Zantema | 1983 | Brauer groups |
| 176. | Ely | 1984 | Lebesgue 1 |
| 177. | Eichler | 1985 | Theta function |
| 178. | Barrucand and Laubie | 1987 | Stickelberger-Voronoi |
| 179. | Peklar | 1989 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 180. | Barnes | 1990 | Zolotarev |
| 181. | Swan 2 | 1990 | Cyclotomy |
| 182. | Rousseau 1 | 1990 | Exterior algebras |
| 183. | Rousseau 2 | 1991 | Permutations |
| 184. | Keune | 1991 | Finite fields |
| 185. | Kubota 3 | 1992 | Geometry |
| 186. | Russinoff | 1992 | Gauss' Lemma |
| 187. | Garrett | 1992 | Weil 1964 |
| 188. | Motose | 1993 | Group algebras |
| 189. | Rousseau | 1994 | Zolotarev |
| 190. | Young | 1995 | Gauss sums |


|  | proof | year | comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 191. | Brylinski | 1997 | Group actions |
| 192. | Merindol | 1997 | Eisenstein, sine |
| 193. | Watanabe | 1997 | Zolotarev |
| 194. | Ishii | 1998 | Gauss 4 |
| 195. | Motose | 1999 | Group algebras |
| 196. | Lemmermeyer | 2000 | Lebesgue 1, Ely |

## References

[Andrews] George E. Andrews. Number Theory. New York, Dover, 1994. pp.115-127 Chapter 9: Quadratic Residues
[Beiler] Albert H. Beiler. Recreations in the Theory of Numbers: The Queen of Mathematics Entertains (second edition). New York, Dover, 1966. pp.200-210 Chapter 19: Theorema Aureum
[Cox] David A Cox. "Quadratic Reciprocity: Its Conjecture and Application," The American Mathematical Monthly. May 1988. Volume 95 no. 5 pp.442-448
[Komatsu] Hikosaburo Komatsu and the Mathematical Society of Japan. Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics. London, England, The Mit Press, 2000. Volume II: 297I (Reciprocity Law)
[Lemmermeyer] Franz Lemmermeyer. Reciprocity Laws: From Euler to Eisenstein.Germany, Springer, 2000. Chapter 1: The Genesis of Quadratic Reciprocity
[O'Connor] J.J. O'Connor and E.F. Robertson. Adrien-Marie Legendre http://www-groups.dcs.stand.ac.uk/ history/Mathematicians/Legendre.html. (March 1 2005)
[Pong] Wai Yan Pong. California State University - Dominguez Hills, Department of Mathematics Applications of Law of Quadratic Reciprocity http://www.csudh.edu/math/wpong/m447/lqr.pdf(Feb 26 2005)
[Roberts] Joe Roberts. Elementary Number Theory: A Problem Oriented Approach. London, The Mit Press, 1977. pp.192-210 Chapter 17.
[Smith] David Eugene Smith. A Source Book in Mathematics. New York, Dover, 1959. pp 112-119: Gauss on the Third Proof of the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity
[Stillwell] John Stillwell. Elements of Number Theory.New York, Springer-Verlag, 2003. Chapter 9: Quadratic reciprocity
[Weisstein] Eric W. Weisstein. MathWorld http://mathworld.wolfram.com/. (March 1 2005)

