X-Vehicles Symposium/TGIR Conference



Mark Sumich, X-36 Project Manager NASA Ames Research Center 21 May, 2002











Weights

Takeoff Weight Usable Fuel Thrust Class Density 1,245 lb 162 lb 700 lb 28.3 lb/ft³

Research Aircraft 28% Scale

X-36 Tailless Fighter Agility



Performance

Mach Number G Limit Landing Gear V Approach Max AOA ≤0.6 5 g's 14 fps 112 KEAS ~40°

Stability Levels



+0.06 (Unstable) -0.0015 (Unstable)

Materials

Skin - Carbon Epoxy and Aluminum Bones - Machined Aluminum Assembly - Mechanical Attachment Nozzle - Cast Chem Mill Titanium





X-36 Features



BOEING









X-36 Technology Evolution and Transfer

1994-95: Technology Application

- Subscale Demonstrator Development
 - CFD Design and Analysis
 - Wind Tunnel Testing
 - Nozzle Development/Testing
 - Aircraft Design and Fabrication



1996-97: Technology Demonstration• X-36 Ground and Flight Testing

1989-93: Technology Definition

And Maturation

- Tailless Fighter Requirements
- Tailless Aircraft Configurations
- Vectoring Nozzle Concepts
- Control Systems and Simulation
- Analysis and Testing

1998+: X-36 style vectoring nozzle used on X-45





X-36 Propulsion System



Inlet/Engine Test at Williams International



Test Summary

- Inlet and F112 Engine
- Ground Board to Simulate
 Ground Plane
- Rice KrispiesTM on Ground Plane
- Full Power Operation
- Lip Ht. Above Ground = 15.9 in.

Conclusions

- Some Movement on Ground Board, But No Evidence of Ingestion
- Stable Engine Operation With the X-36 Inlet
- Low-Risk of FOD





X-36 Engine/Nozzle Test Set-Up at Williams International







Remotely Piloted Vs Autonomous Flight?

- Full pilot-in-the-loop remote operation
 - Quickly notices differences from simulation
 - Rapid problem recognition
 - Response to unforeseen events
 - Flexibility during the flight operation
- Fully autonomous operation
 - Safe flight with certain system failures
 - Human error "eliminated"?
- Hybrid approach of piloted flight with autonomous capability worked <u>very well</u> on the X-36 Program





X-36 Flight Control Operational Philosophy



Mach



X-36 Flight Test Highlights

- 31 Flights from 17 May 12 Nov 1997
- · 20,200 ft MSL max altitude
- 15 Hr 38 Min flight time
- 4.8g max
- · 3 40 deg AOA
- 52 206 KEAS
- · 3 pilots



311211



Technical Challenges

Datalink System

- Poor aircraft antenna coverage
- Multipath telemetry interference
- Datalink drops Flights #2 4

Propulsion System

- Unreliable engine ignition
- Engine fan overspeed
- Engine compressor stalls

Subsystems

- Landing gear retraction difficulties
- High nozzle bay temps Flight #1
- Fuel tank blockages

Flight Control System

- Structural Mode Interactions
- Undesired longitudinal commands





Normalized Measured Roll Rate vs Angle of Attack



NASA -

BBEING

Significance of Test Results

- Fighter-class agility is possible without vertical tails
- The thrust vectoring nozzle is a breakthrough technology
- Subscale, remotely-piloted aircraft can be effectively used as technology demonstrators





Keys to Our Success

- Mature technology base
- Integrated NASA / Boeing team & cost shared program
- Select, co-located team with personnel continuity
- Limited program visibility & no micro-management
- Rapid software turnaround and a high fidelity simulation
- Designed, built, and operated like a real aircraft
- Remotely piloted flight with autonomous capability









