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## New York City Population Projections by Age/Sex and Borough, 2000-2030

## Introduction

This report presents an analysis of New York City's population projected through 2030. The projection was done for each of the city's five boroughs by age and sex, at five year intervals for 2000 through 2030. The projections were created using a cohort component model, which breaks down population growth into three main components: births, deaths, and migration. The cohort component model uses births, deaths, and migrants to move age/sex cohorts forward through time, creating a new age/sex distribution at each five year time point. A particular cohort's ability to grow or decline is dependent on how these components affect each age/sex group. The success of the model depends on identifying appropriate fertility, mortality, and migration rates to apply to different age groups.

The cohort component model is the most widely used projection method because the components of change applied to each cohort interact with each other, resulting in a more realistic outcome. For example, if there were large numbers of 20-24 year old female in-migrants, the 20-24 year old female cohort would grow from the net positive migration. But there would also be increased growth in the $0-4$ cohort since these women are in their prime child-bearing ages. These types of relationships within the model make it both realistic and complex, because each of the components of change interacts with the others to affect the age structure of the population.

This report has three sections. The first section presents population projections for 2000 to 2030, focusing on the school-age (ages 5 to 17) and elderly (ages 65 and over) populations. The second section discusses these projections in the context of New York City's recent demographic past (1950-2000). The third section consists of two appendices. Appendix 1 presents the underlying demographic assumptions made in these projections, along with a detailed description of the data and methodology employed. While presentations in this report focus primarily on the projected total, school-age, and elderly populations by borough, Appendix 2 of this report provides detailed tables with projected populations for all age groups by sex and by borough.

## Population Projections: 2000-2030

## TOTAL POPULATION

New York City is projected to grow from over 8 million persons in 2000 to 9.1 million in 2030, an increase of 1.1 million or 13.9 percent. While New York City's population is projected to increase by 4.9 percent between 2000 and 2010, growth is expected to slow to 3.5 percent in the following decade, with the population reaching 8,693,000 in 2020 (Table 1). Between 2020 and 2030, however, the growth rate will climb back up to 4.9 percent, with the population reaching 9,120,000 in 2030.

In all boroughs, except Queens, the highest level of growth will be in the 2000-2010 period. From 2010 to 2020, growth is expected to slow in Staten Island, Manhattan, the Bronx, and Brooklyn. While higher growth is projected in the 2020-2030 period, borough growth rates are expected to be well below their 2000-2010 levels.

TABLE 1

## Projected Total New York City Population by Borough, 2000-2030

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2000-2010 |  | 2010-2020 |  | 2020-2030 |  | 2000-2030 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NYC | 8,008,278 | 8,402,213 | 8,692,564 | 9,119,811 | 393,935 | 4.9 | 290,351 | 3.5 | 427,247 | 4.9 | 1,111,533 | 13.9 |
| Bronx | 1,332,650 | 1,401,194 | 1,420,277 | 1,457,039 | 68,544 | 5.1 | 19,083 | 1.4 | 36,762 | 2.6 | 124,389 | 9.3 |
| Brooklyn | 2,465,326 | 2,566,836 | 2,628,211 | 2,718,967 | 101,510 | 4.1 | 61,375 | 2.4 | 90,756 | 3.5 | 253,641 | 10.3 |
| Manhattan | 1,537,195 | 1,662,701 | 1,729,530 | 1,826,547 | 125,506 | 8.2 | 66,829 | 4.0 | 97,017 | 5.6 | 289,352 | 18.8 |
| Queens | 2,229,379 | 2,279,674 | 2,396,949 | 2,565,352 | 50,295 | 2.3 | 117,275 | 5.1 | 168,403 | 7.0 | 335,973 | 15.1 |
| Staten Island | 443,728 | 491,808 | 517,597 | 551,906 | 48,080 | 10.8 | 25,789 | 5.2 | 34,309 | 6.6 | 108,178 | 24. |

Staten Island is expected to increase 24.4 percent between 2000 and 2030, the highest level of growth of any borough. Between 2000 and 2010, the population of Staten Island is projected to increase from 444,000 to 492,000 , or by 10.8 percent. Growth is then projected to decline to 5.2 percent between 2010 and 2020, but the borough is expected to grow 6.6 percent in the following decade, with its population reaching 552,000 in 2030.

Manhattan is projected to add 289,000 people between 2000 and 2030, an increase of 18.8 percent, second only to Staten Island. Manhattan's population, which stood at 1,537,000 in 2000, is projected to grow by 8.2 percent between 2000 and 2010 and by 4 percent between 2010 and 2020. In the following decade, Manhattan is expected to grow 5.6 percent, with its population reaching 1,827,000 in 2030.

The Bronx is projected to grow from 1,333,000 in 2000 to $1,457,000$ in 2030, an increase of 9.3 percent, the lowest level of growth among the city's boroughs. After experiencing growth of 5.1 percent in the 2000-2010 period, growth in the borough will abate to 1.4 percent in the subsequent decade and then increase slightly to 2.6 percent between 2020 and 2030.

Brooklyn's population, which stood at 2,465,000 in 2000, is projected at 2,719,000 in 2030, an increase of 254,000 or 10.3 percent. With respect to growth by decade, the 2000-2010 period will see Brooklyn's population increase by 4.1 percent, followed by a dip in the subsequent decade to 2.4 percent. Growth is expected to then increase to 3.5 percent between 2020 and 2030. Compared to other boroughs, Brooklyn will have the second lowest level of growth, but will continue to have the largest population through 2030.

Queens, which had a population of 2,229,000 in 2000, is projected to grow 15.1 percent, to $2,565,000$ in 2030. Queens is unique among the boroughs in that it will experience consistent increases over the projection period: 2.3 percent between 2000 and 2010, 5.1 percent in the following decade, and 7 percent in the 2020-2030 period. Although its growth is expected to be the lowest among the boroughs between 2000 and 2010, it will have the highest growth by the last decade of the projection period. Between 2020 and 2030, Queens will be responsible for the largest share of overall growth-168,000 of the 427,000 citywide increase.

## SCHOOL-AGE POPULATION

Table 2 displays the overall number of school-age children (ages 5 to 17) by borough in 2000 and the projected school-age population for each decade through 2030. The school-age population, which stood at $1,404,000$ in 2000, will increase only minimally by the end of the first decade of the projection, to $1,406,000$ in 2010. ${ }^{1}$ By 2020, however, the school-age population is projected to decline by 3.5 percent, to $1,357,000$. This decline of 49,000 school-age children between 2010 and

TABLE 2

## Projected New York City School-Age Population by Borough, 2000-2030

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2000-2010 |  | 2010-2020 |  | 2020-2030 |  | 2000-2030 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NYC | 1,404,316 | 1,406,167 | 1,356,983 | 1,401,703 | 1,851 | 0.1 | -49,184 | -3.5 | 44,720 | 3.3 | -2,613 | -0.2 |
| Bronx | 288,308 | 289,564 | 274,658 | 286,019 | 1,256 | 0.4 | -14,906 | -5.1 | 11,361 | 4.1 | -2,289 | -0.8 |
| Brooklyn | 478,912 | 465,729 | 444,430 | 451,286 | -13,183 | -2.8 | -21,299 | -4.6 | 6,856 | 1.5 | -27,626 | -5.8 |
| Manhattan | 187,758 | 196,127 | 194,940 | 196,068 | 8,369 | 4.5 | -1,187 | -0.6 | 1,128 | 0.6 | 8,311 | 4.4 |
| Queens | 366,604 | 368,789 | 360,202 | 379,456 | 2,185 | 0.6 | -8,587 | -2.3 | 19,254 | 5.3 | 12,852 | 3.5 |
| Staten Island | 82,734 | 85,958 | 82,754 | 88,874 | 3,224 | 3.9 | -3,204 | -3.7 | 6,120 | 7.4 | 6,140 | 7.4 |

2020 will be offset by a gain of 45,000 school-age children in the final decade of the projection. Thus, the school-age population is projected to rebound to $1,402,000$ in 2030, minimally lower than its 2000 level. Given the overall growth of the population, the share of the school-age population is projected to decline from 17.5 percent in 2000 to 15.4 percent in 2030 (Table 3).

TABLE 3
Projected School-Age Population as a Percent of Total Population by Borough, 2000-2030

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NYC | $17.5 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Bronx | 21.6 | 20.7 | 19.3 | 19.6 |
| Brooklyn | 19.4 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 16.6 |
| Manhattan | 12.2 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 10.7 |
| Queens | 16.4 | 16.2 | 15.0 | 14.8 |
| Staten Island | 18.6 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 16.1 |

While the city's overall school-age population will remain relatively unchanged between 2000 and 2010, Manhattan's school-age population will grow by 4.5 percent and Staten Island's by 3.9 percent. In contrast, Brooklyn's school-age population will decline by 2.8 percent, from 479,000 in 2000 to 466,000 in 2010. Changes in the Bronx and Queens are expected to be minimal, in the range of one-half of one percent.

In the 2010-2020 period, each borough is projected to lose school-age children, followed by gains in the 2020-2030 period. However, there are major borough differences in the magnitude of these changes. Between 2010 and 2020, Brooklyn is projected to see a substantial decline of 4.6 percent in its school-age population that will be only partially offset by growth of 1.5 percent in the following decade. As a result, school-age children in Brooklyn are projected at 451,000 in 2030, nearly 6 percent lower than in 2000. The share of school-age children in Brooklyn will decline substantially to 16.6 percent in 2030, nearly 3 points lower than in 2000. The Bronx is the other borough that is projected to see a decline: its school-age population is projected at 286,000 in 2030, about 1 percent lower than in 2000. The share of school-age children in the Bronx will decline 2 points during this period, to 19.6 percent in 2030.

The declines in the school-age population in Brooklyn and the Bronx will be largely offset by growth in Staten Island, Manhattan, and Queens. Staten Island's school-age population will increase 7.4 percent, from 83,000 in 2000 to 89,000 in 2030, while Manhattan will see a 4.4 percent increase in this period, to 196,000 in 2030. Queens, which has the second largest school-age population after Brooklyn, will see its school-age population grow from 367,000 in 2000 to 379,000 in 2030, a 3.5 percent increase. Though the school-age population in Staten Island, Manhattan, and Queens is projected to increase, other age groups are expected to increase even faster. As a result, the share of the school-age population in each of these boroughs will decline between 2000 and 2030.

## ELDERLY POPULATION

The elderly population, which stood at 937,900 in 2000, is projected to increase to $1,352,000$ by 2030, with the bulk of the increase occurring after 2020 (Table 4). In the first decade of the projection, the population 65 years and over will actually decline by 6,000 , or under 1 percent, to 932,000 in 2010. ${ }^{2}$ Between 2010 and 2020, the elderly are projected to increase 13.3 percent to $1,056,000$, and by 28.1 percent in the following decade, to $1,352,000$ in 2030. While the overall population is expected to increase 13.9 percent between 2000 and 2030, the elderly are projected to increase by 44.2 percent. By 2030, every baby-boomer will be at least 65 years old, and the share of the population that is elderly will increase from 11.7 percent in 2000 to 14.8 percent in 2030 (Table 5). In addition to the aging of the baby-boomers, increasing longevity in the last ten years of the projection allows more people to survive to successive intervals. ${ }^{3}$

Though the overall elderly population in the city is expected to decline slightly between 2000 and 2010, the elderly are projected to increase by 18.2 percent in Staten Island, to 61,000 in 2010, and by 8.7 percent in Manhattan, to 203,000. In contrast, Queens is projected to experience a decline of 10.4 percent, to 254,000 in 2010. Declines in the Bronx and in Brooklyn will be under one percent during this period.

After 2010, all boroughs will see substantial increases in the size of the population 65 years and over, with the highest growth experienced by Staten Island and Manhattan. Staten Island's elderly population will increase by 26.9 percent between 2010 and 2020 , and by 33.5 percent in the subsequent decade. As a result of this growth, Staten Island's elderly population is projected at 103,000 in 2030, more than twice the number in 2000 . The elderly, who comprised 11.6 percent of Staten Island's population in 2000, will account for 18.7 percent of that borough's population in 2030, the highest in the city. Manhattan's elderly population will increase by 15.4 percent between 2010 and 2020 and by 25.8 percent in the subsequent decade. For the entire 30 year projection period, Manhattan's elderly population is expected to increase 57.9 percent, to 295,000 in 2030 and account for 16.1 percent of the borough's population, up from 12.2 percent in 2000.

Brooklyn's elderly population is projected to increase by 14.8 percent between 2010 and 2020, or by 42,000 persons, which translates into the largest absolute increase of any borough. In the subsequent decade, Brooklyn's elderly will increase by 26.8 percent, to 410,000 in 2030 . The overall growth in Brooklyn's elderly population is projected at 45 percent over the entire projection period and the borough will have the largest elderly population through 2030. Brooklyn's elderly will comprise 15.1 percent of the borough's population in 2030, up from 11.5 percent in 2000.

Although the elderly in Queens are projected to decline in the first decade of this century, they are expected to grow by a below-average 11 percent in the subsequent decade. However,

TABLE 4
Projected New York City Elderly Population by Borough, 2000-2030

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2000-2010 |  | 2010-2020 |  | 2020-2030 |  | 2000-2030 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NYC | 937,857 | 931,650 | 1,055,950 | 1,352,375 | -6,207 | -0.7 | 124,300 | 13.3 | 296,425 | 28.1 | 414,518 | 44.2 |
| Bronx | 133,948 | 132,716 | 139,589 | 172,653 | -1,232 | -0.9 | 6,873 | 5.2 | 33,064 | 23.7 | 38,705 | 28.9 |
| Brooklyn | 282,658 | 281,517 | 323,192 | 409,769 | -1,141 | -0.4 | 41,675 | 14.8 | 86,577 | 26.8 | 127,111 | 45.0 |
| Manhattan | 186,776 | 203,101 | 234,478 | 294,919 | 16,325 | 8.7 | 31,377 | 15.4 | 60,441 | 25.8 | 108,143 | 57.9 |
| Queens | 283,042 | 253,522 | 281,536 | 372,068 | -29,520 | -10.4 | 28,014 | 11.0 | 90,532 | 32.2 | 89,026 | 31.5 |
| Staten Island | 51,433 | 60,794 | 77,155 | 102,966 | 9,361 | 18.2 | 16,361 | 26.9 | 25,811 | 33.5 | 51,533 | 100.2 |

TABLE 5
Projected Elderly Population as a Percent of Total Population by Borough, 2000-2030

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NYC | $11.7 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| Bronx | 10.1 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 11.8 |
| Brooklyn | 11.5 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 15.1 |
| Manhattan | 12.2 | 12.2 | 13.6 | 16.1 |
| Queens | 12.7 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 14.5 |
| Staten Island | 11.6 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 18.7 |

between 2020 and 2030, the elderly in Queens will see the largest growth of any borough (32.2 percent), increasing by 91,000 to reach 372,000 in 2030 . For the entire 2000-2030 period, the elderly in Queens are projected to increase by 31.5 percent and will account for 14.5 percent of the population in 2030, compared to 12.7 percent in 2000.

The elderly population in the Bronx will increase just 5.2 percent between 2010 and 2020 and by 23.7 percent in the following decade, the smallest increases of any borough. Nevertheless, the elderly population will grow by 28.9 percent in the 30 year projection period, reaching 173,000 in 2030. The elderly will comprise just 11.8 percent of the Bronx population, the smallest share of any borough.

## New York City: Past, Present, and Future

In order to provide a better understanding of the city's future demographic landscape, we now examine projected population changes in the context of the city's recent demographic past.

## NEW YORK CITY POPULATION, 1950-2030

New York City's population as of April 1, 2000 was just over eight million, the largest enumerated census population in the city's history. While New York's population grew each decade in the first half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, the population declined from nearly 7.9 million in 1950 to under 7.8 million in 1960 (Table 6 and Figure 1). High baby boom fertility and domestic inflows in the 1950s did not fully counter the large out-migration to the suburbs, and growth dipped during this period. With the enactment of the 1965 Immigration Amendments, immigration increased and by 1970 the city's population rebounded to its 1950 high of 7.9 million.

TABLE 6

## New York City Population by Borough, 1950-2030*

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NYC | $7,891,957$ | $7,781,984$ | $7,894,862$ | $7,071,559$ | $7,322,564$ | $8,008,278$ | $8,402,213$ | $8,692,564$ | $9,119,811$ |
| Bronx | $1,451,277$ | $1,424,815$ | $1,471,701$ | $1,168,972$ | $1,203,789$ | $1,332,650$ | $1,401,194$ | $1,420,277$ | $1,457,039$ |
| Brooklyn | $2,738,175$ | $2,627,319$ | $2,602,012$ | $2,230,936$ | $2,300,664$ | $2,465,326$ | $2,566,836$ | $2,628,211$ | $2,718,967$ |
| Manhattan | $1,960,101$ | $1,698,281$ | $1,539,233$ | $1,428,285$ | $1,487,536$ | $1,537,195$ | $1,662,701$ | $1,729,530$ | $1,826,547$ |
| Queens | $1,550,849$ | $1,809,578$ | $1,986,473$ | $1,891,325$ | $1,951,598$ | $2,229,379$ | $2,279,674$ | $2,396,949$ | $2,565,352$ |
| Staten Island | 191,555 | 221,991 | 295,443 | 352,041 | 378,977 | 443,728 | 491,808 | 517,597 | 551,906 |

*Unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-2000; projected populations, 2010-2030.

The increase in immigration in the 1970s, while substantial, was insufficient to counter the very large domestic outflow. As a result, the city's population declined in the 1970s by more than 10 percent, dropping to 7.1 million in 1980. Lower domestic out-migration in the 1980s, a higher level of immigration, and greater natural increase all resulted in a return to growth, with the city's population enumerated at 7.3 million in 1990. With the enumerated population growing by over 9 percent in the 1990s, the city's population officially crossed the 8 million mark for the first time in 2000.

FIGURE 1


New York City at the dawn of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century is unlikely to see the exponential growth experienced in the first decade of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, when the city's population increased 38.7 percent between 1900 and 1910. But the city is also unlikely to experience the steep declines that were the hallmark of the 1970s. The basic demographic processes that have defined New York since the 1950s will likely continue, with substantial outflows from the city offset by immigration and natural increase. Growth between 2000 and 2030 is projected to be lower than the average growth experienced in the 1980-2000 period, but is sufficient to propel the city across the 9.1 million mark by 2030.

Staten Island, which was the only borough that grew each decade between 1950 and 2000, will have higher growth in the next three decades than any other borough, reaching a new population peak of 552,000 in 2030. As a result, Staten Island, which accounted for 2.4 percent of the city's population in 1950 and 5.5 percent in 2000, is projected to comprise 6.1 percent of the population in 2030 (Table 7). Except for a decline in the 1970s, Queens too has increased each decade and will reach a new population peak of $2,565,000$ in 2030 . Its share of the city's population, which increased from 19.7 percent in 1950 to 27.8 percent in 2000 , will inch up to 28.1 percent in 2030.

Population growth between 2000 and 2030 in the Bronx is projected at 9.3 percent, lower than any other borough. Its 2030 projected population of $1,457,000$ will be lower than its 1970 population peak of $1,472,000$. The Bronx's share of the city's population, which stood at 18.4 percent in 1950 and 16.6 percent in 2000, is projected to decline further to 16 percent in 2030.
(continued on page 8)
TABLE 7
Borough Share of New York City Population, 1950-2030*

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NYC | $7,891,957$ | $7,781,984$ | $7,894,862$ | $7,071,559$ | $7,322,564$ | $8,008,278$ | $8,402,213$ | $8,692,564$ | $9,119,811$ |
| Bronx | $18.4 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| Brooklyn | 34.7 | 33.8 | 33.0 | 31.5 | 31.4 | 30.8 | 30.5 | 30.2 | 29.8 |
| Manhattan | 24.8 | 21.8 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 20.0 |
| Queens | 19.7 | 23.3 | 25.2 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 27.8 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 28.1 |
| Staten Island | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 |

[^0]
## Adjusting for the Census Undercount: Effect on Population Growth and Components of Population Change, 1950-2030

This report uses population data by age and sex that were enumerated in prior decennial censuses. However, a more accurate picture of the magnitude of the city's growth and the components of its population change can be gained by using data from the Census Bureau that are adjusted for the undercount. Adjusted data, which are available for the overall population of New York and generally not by age/sex, show that the city's adjusted population was slightly over 8 million in 1970, 7.2 million in 1980, 7.6 million in 1990, and over 8 million in 2000. Using adjusted data, Figure 2 shows population change by decade, which is a result of two basic demographic components: natural increase (the balance of births and deaths) and net migration (the balance of persons entering and leaving the city).

New York's population grew each decade in the first half of the 20th century reaching a new high of 7.9 million in 1950. Thanks to high baby boom fertility, natural increase totaled 747,000 in the 1950s, but net migration losses of 857,000 led to the population declining to 7.8 million in 1960. Net migration losses fell to 338,000 in the 1960s and the population increased by 256,000 , to an adjusted 1970 total of over 8 million. The decade of the 1970 s was marked by a large net migration loss of 1.15 million, and thanks to the baby bust, natural increase of only 339,000, resulting in a population decline of 806,000 . With domestic outflows moderating in the 1980s, net migration losses fell to 72,000 and the city grew by 336,000 , to an adjusted population of 7.6 million in 1990. Net migration losses were just over 100,000 in the 1990s, while natural increase stood at 584,000, resulting in an adjusted population gain of 477,000.

FIGURE 2


* Components of change using unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-1960; adjusted decennial census data, 1970-2000; projected components, 2010-2030.

In the post-2000 era, the city will continue to experience net outflows. Between 2000 and 2010, net migration losses will total 230,000 and will exceed 300,000 in the subsequent two decades. These net losses mask huge underlying inflows and outflows, a testament to the continued dynamic nature of the city's population. Despite these losses through net migration, the city will continue to grow due to natural increase, projected at 589,000 in 2000-2010, 595,000 in the subsequent decade, and at 731,000 in 2020-2030, thanks to projected post-2020 improvements in survival. Thus, the basic process of population change will stay in place in the post-2000 era, with the city's population shaped by continued net out-migration, offset by natural increase.

TABLE 8
New York City School-Age Population by Borough, 1950-2030*

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NYC | $1,258,877$ | $1,463,279$ | $1,617,310$ | $1,291,705$ | $1,190,021$ | $1,404,316$ | $1,406,167$ | $1,356,983$ | $1,401,703$ |
| Bronx | 251,210 | 275,325 | 331,588 | 251,366 | 231,489 | 288,308 | 289,564 | 274,658 | 286,019 |
| Brooklyn | 471,479 | 527,360 | 588,273 | 453,116 | 429,418 | 478,912 | 465,729 | 444,430 | 451,286 |
| Manhattan | 247,520 | 258,476 | 243,423 | 186,389 | 173,437 | 187,758 | 196,127 | 194,940 | 196,068 |
| Queens | 254,277 | 348,996 | 379,369 | 323,532 | 289,639 | 366,604 | 368,789 | 360,202 | 379,456 |
| Staten Island | 34,390 | 53,121 | 74,657 | 77,302 | 66,037 | 82,734 | 85,958 | 82,754 | 88,874 |

*Unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-2000; projected populations, 2010-2030.

TABLE 9
School-Age Population as a Percent of Total Population by Borough, 2000-2030*

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NYC | $16.0 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Bronx | 17.3 | 19.3 | 22.5 | 21.5 | 19.2 | 21.6 | 20.7 | 19.3 | 19.6 |
| Brooklyn | 17.2 | 20.1 | 22.6 | 20.3 | 18.7 | 19.4 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 16.6 |
| Manhattan | 12.6 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 10.7 |
| Queens | 16.4 | 19.3 | 19.1 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 15.0 | 14.8 |
| Staten Island | 18.0 | 23.9 | 25.3 | 22.0 | 17.4 | 18.6 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 16.1 |

*Unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-2000; projected shares, 2010-2030.

Brooklyn's population peaked at 2,738,000 in 1950, and then declined for the next three decades, bottoming out in 1980 at $2,231,000$. Brooklyn has grown each decade since then, but at a relatively modest pace. Its projected 2030 population of $2,719,000$ is still slightly below its 1950 population peak. Brooklyn, which comprised 34.7 percent of the city's population in 1950 and 30.8 percent in 2000, is projected to account for 29.8 percent in 2030.

Manhattan's population peaked in 1910 at 2,332,000, when it constituted nearly one-half of the city's population. It reached a $20^{\text {th }}$ century low of $1,428,000$ in 1980 and its growth in the next two decades was below the city average. By 2000, Manhattan accounted for just 19.2 percent of the city's population. Above-average growth in the next three decades will put Manhattan's population at $1,827,000$ in 2030, when it will comprise 20 percent of the city total.

## SCHOOL-AGE POPULATION

The size of the school-age population is closely related to the size of birth cohorts. As increasingly large numbers of baby boomers entered school ages, the school-age population increased from 1,259,000 in 1950 (Table 8) to a post-war high of 1,617,000 in 1970, when school-age children accounted for 20.5 percent of the city's population (Table 9). With the decline in fertility starting in the mid-1960s ("baby bust"), the school-age population declined for the next two decades, to just $1,190,000$ in 1990, or 16.3 percent of the total population. By 2000 , the school-age population had rebounded to $1,404,000$ or 17.5 percent of the city's population, a result of increases in children of high school age, who were born to baby-boomers ("echo effect").

The 2030 school-age population is projected at $1,402,000$, slightly lower than the 2000 figure. With the overall population increasing during this period, the share of the school-age population is projected to decline to 15.4 percent in 2030, a decline that is mirrored in each borough. The Bronx, with its relatively high fertility, is projected to have 19.6 percent of its population in school ages

TABLE 10
New York City Elderly Population by Borough, 1950-2030*

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NYC | 605,235 | 813,827 | 947,878 | 951,732 | 953,317 | 937,857 | 931,650 | $1,055,950$ | $1,352,375$ |
| Bronx | 105,862 | 152,403 | 170,920 | 151,298 | 140,220 | 133,948 | 132,716 | 139,589 | 172,653 |
| Brooklyn | 202,838 | 259,158 | 289,077 | 279,544 | 285,057 | 282,658 | 281,517 | 323,192 | 409,769 |
| Manhattan | 171,323 | 207,700 | 214,973 | 204,437 | 197,384 | 186,776 | 203,101 | 234,478 | 294,919 |
| Queens | 109,731 | 174,032 | 247,286 | 281,328 | 288,343 | 283,042 | 253,522 | 281,536 | 372,068 |
| Staten Island | 15,481 | 20,534 | 25,622 | 35,125 | 42,313 | 51,433 | 60,794 | 77,155 | 102,966 |

*Unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-2000; projected populations, 2010-2030.
in 2030, the highest of any borough. In 2030, school-age children are projected to comprise 16.6 percent of the population in Brooklyn, 16.1 percent in Staten Island, 14.8 percent in Queens, and just 10.7 percent in Manhattan, the borough with the lowest fertility.

## ELDERLY POPULATION

In 1950, the elderly numbered 605,000 and are expected to more than double to $1,352,000$ in 2030 (Table 10); their share of the population will increase from 7.7 percent to 14.8 percent during this period. Increases in life expectancy in the post-war years have played an important role in increasing the share of those ages 65 and over, from 7.7 percent in 1950 to 13.5 percent in 1980. As the small depression-era birth cohorts turned 65 and older, the share of the elderly population dipped to 13 percent in 1990, and dropped to just 11.7 percent in 2000.

## TABLE 11

| Elderly Population as a P by Borough, 1950-2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 |
| NYC | 7.7\% | 10.5\% | 12.0\% | 13.5\% | 13.0\% | 11.7\% | 11.1\% | 12.1\% | 14.8\% |
| Bronx | 7.3 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 11.8 |
| Brooklyn | 7.4 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 15.1 |
| Manhattan | 8.7 | 12.2 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 13.6 | 16.1 |
| Queens | 7.1 | 9.6 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 14.5 |
| Staten Island | 8.1 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 18.7 |

*Unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-2000; projected shares, 2010-2030

Due to relatively high fertility in the Bronx, the elderly will comprise just 11.8 percent of the population in 2030, under their 1980 share of 12.9 percent. Every other borough will see the elderly population peak, in terms of both absolute numbers and their share of the total population. Staten Island will be the oldest borough, with the elderly comprising 18.7 percent of the population, compared to just 8.1 percent in 1950.

The effect of an increasing share of the elderly population can be observed in an increase in the median age. From 1950 to 1970, the median age fell from 34.5 years to 32.4 years, as the large baby boom cohorts were disproportionately in the youngest age groups (Figure 3). The median age has increased since then, and is projected at 37.4 years in 2030. Staten Island will have the highest median age of 39.7 years, a dramatic change from 1950 when its median age of 31.9 years

was the lowest in the city. The median age for Manhattan is projected at 39.5 years, Queens at 37.8 years, Brooklyn at 37.1 years, while the Bronx is projected to be the youngest borough, with a median age of 33.3 years.

* Unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-2000; projected medians, 2010-2030


## Summary

New York City is likely to see population growth in the coming decades that is slightly lower than increases seen in the 1980-2000 period. The city is projected to grow from over 8 million in 2000 to 9.1 million in 2030, an increase of 1.1 million or 13.9 percent. Staten Island will grow the fastest ( 24.4 percent), reaching 552,000 in 2030, followed by Manhattan ( 18.8 percent) and Queens ( 15.1 percent), with 2030 populations projected at $1,827,000$ and $2,565,000$, respectively. The Bronx is expected to grow by 9.3 percent, the lowest of any borough, to $1,457,000$ in 2030, while Brooklyn will grow 10.3 percent, to $2,719,000$. Brooklyn is projected to maintain its status as the most populous borough through 2030. Although the city's projected 2030 population will be a new high, only two boroughs, Queens and Staten Island, will reach a new population peak in 2030. Manhattan's 2030 projected population will be far below its 1910 peak population, while the 2030 populations of the Bronx and Brooklyn will be slightly lower than their population highs attained in 1970 and 1950, respectively.

Although the overall growth in the 2000-2030 period is projected to be similar to increases seen in the recent past, dramatic changes are likely in the age composition of the population. The schoolage population, which numbered $1,404,000$ in 2000, is projected to decline to $1,357,000$ in 2020, a result of decreasing fertility, net migration losses, and the smaller cohorts of women of childbearing age. However, as the large cohort of women born in the 1980s and 1990s enter their peak reproductive years, levels of childbearing will once again rise, increasing the number of school-age children after 2020 to $1,402,000$ in 2030. Nevertheless, there will be slightly fewer school-age children in 2030, compared to 2000. Given the growth in the total population, school-age children will comprise just 15.4 percent of the population in 2030, down from 17.5 percent in 2000.

The projected population of school-age children differs markedly by borough. While school-age children in the Bronx are projected to decrease by less than 1 percent between 2000 and 2030, Brooklyn is projected to see a decline of 5.8 percent during this period. The declines in the schoolage population in Brooklyn and the Bronx will be largely offset by growth in Staten Island ( 7.4 percent), Manhattan ( 4.4 percent), and Queens ( 3.5 percent). Though the school-age population in each of these boroughs is projected to increase, other age groups are projected to increase even faster. As a result, the share of the school-age population in each of these boroughs is projected to drop between 2000 and 2030.

In the coming decades, New York City will see substantial increases in its elderly population. The number of persons ages 65 and over is projected to rise 44.2 percent, from 938,000 in 2000 to 1.35 million in 2030. The aging of large baby boom cohorts, recent declines in fertility, and improvements in life expectancy all contribute to a general aging of the population, despite more pronounced migration loss from 2010-2030. With every baby-boomer at least 65 years old by 2030, the share of the population that is elderly will increase to 14.8 percent, compared to 11.7 percent in 2000.

Staten Island will experience the largest percentage increase, with the elderly population doubling, from 51,000 in 2000 to 103,000 in 2030. The elderly will account for 18.7 percent of Staten Island's population in 2030, the highest in the city. In Manhattan, the elderly population is projected to increase 57.9 percent, to 295,000 in 2030. Manhattan's elderly will account for 16.1 percent of the borough's population, up from 12.2 percent in 2000. Brooklyn will continue to have the largest elderly population in the city, numbering 410,000 in 2030, a 45 percent increase from 2000, while the elderly in Queens will see an increase of 31.5 percent, to 372,000 in 2030. The Bronx will experience the smallest increase ( 28.9 percent); its projected elderly population of 173,000 in 2030 will comprise just 11.8 percent of the Bronx population, the smallest share of any borough.

The dramatic demographic changes ahead are encapsulated by the changing shares of the school-age and elderly populations. In 1950, the share of school-age children was more than twice that of the elderly. By 2030, the school-age population and the population 65 and over will have nearly converged, accounting for 15.4 percent and 14.8 percent of the city's population, respectively. The increasing longevity of the population, combined with a more substantial share of the city's population that is elderly, portend a new demographic era in the city's history.

[^1]
## Introduction

The objective of this analysis was to produce population projections by age and sex at 5 year intervals for 2000 through 2030, for each of New York City's boroughs. The projections were created using a cohort component model. This model is based on the premise that population growth can be broken down into three main components of change: births, deaths, and migration. While births increase the base population and deaths reduce it, migration results in an addition or diminution depending on whether there is overall positive or negative migration. Therefore:

## Projected Population $\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)=$ Base Population $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)+$ Births - Deaths + Net Migration

The power of the cohort component model is based on its use of age and sex cohorts ${ }^{1}$ as a starting point. Births, deaths, and migrants are all then used to move these cohorts forward through time, creating a new age/sex distribution at each five year time point. A particular cohort's ability to grow or decline is dependent on the impact these components have on each age and sex group. It is essentially an "accounting approach" to modeling population changes, one that applies birth, death, and migration rates to these age and sex cohorts.

The fundamental difficulty in using the cohort component model rests in identifying appropriate fertility, mortality, and migration rates to apply to different age groups. In general, most baseline projections assume that the future will be in line with historical patterns. These baseline assumptions are then modified using scenarios that demographers believe may be likely in the future. For example, one may increase survival rates based on an assumed increase in longevity. Similarly, knowledge of new housing development may spur a planner to lower net migration losses, keeping more people in a jurisdiction over time. Of course, the degree to which such future patterns hold is directly related to the ultimate success of a projection. The projections produced by the cohort component model were benchmarked on an independently derived projection that was based on housing production, changes in each borough's land use, and planned rezoning.

There were four distinct stages in the creation of these projections:

1. Adjusting for population undercount and removing the group quarters population;
2. Creating the baseline—building series of fertility, mortality and migration rates by age/sex;
3. Calibrating the projections to independent estimates for 2005 by age/sex; and
4. Creating a projection for five year time points by age/sex, for 2010 to 2030.

## 1. Adjusting for Population Undercount and Removing the Group Quarters Population

## ADJUSTING FOR UNDERCOUNT IN 1990

Reasonable estimates of population change can only be obtained when errors in census coverage (also known as "undercount") are relatively constant from one census time point to the next. Therefore, before assessing change from 1990 to 2000, we had to evaluate census undercount for both time points. And, indeed, since the undercount for 1990 was high ( 245,000 persons or 3.2 percent), compared to a negligible number for 2000, reported growth over the period related to migration was likely to be overstated. In order to determine the real contribution of the components of change, it was necessary to adjust the 1990 population upward to correct for the undercount. ${ }^{2}$

## REMOVING THE GROUP QUARTERS POPULATION

When considering those who live in a dormitory, nursing home, or even a prison—defined as populations living in "group quarters"-it is obvious that their mortality, fertility, and migration patterns are different from those living in households. Therefore, we followed the common practice of initially removing those in group quarters from the general population and placing them back in after the projection was completed. Thus, the group quarters population remained the same from base year to the final projection year. ${ }^{3}$

## 2. Creating the Baseline

This section examines how the three components of population change were calculated.

## FERTILITY

So as not to subject births to any one-year anomaly, we averaged births in 1999, 2000, and 2001, and calculated age-specific rates based on the 2000 population. ${ }^{4}$ Birth data were obtained from the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, while population data by age were from the decennial census. The age-specific fertility rates determine how births are distributed by age of the mother. Generally speaking, New York City had a conventional pattern of age-specific fertility: Rates peaked at ages 20-24, declined slightly for ages 25-29 and 30-34, and then fell steeply thereafter (Figure 1). The two boroughs that had the highest overall fertility, the Bronx and Brooklyn, also had the highest age-specific fertility rates for those ages 20-24. Alternatively, Queens and Staten Island followed a more "suburban" fertility pattern, with moderate overall levels, and rates peaking at ages 25-29 for Queens and at 30-34 for Staten Island. Manhattan had remarkably low overall fertility, especially for those under age 30. Unlike the case with other boroughs, women in Manhattan ages 35 to 39 had fertility rates higher than for those in their 20s, usually the prime child-bearing ages.
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Since the baby boom ended in the 1960s, New York City has experienced a fairly steady decline in fertility rates that have continued to fall through the 1990s. Given these low fertility rates and the difficulties inherent in projecting fertility, we decided to hold the 1999-2001 fertility rates
constant for the entire 2000-2030 period. Since the aggregate number of births is the result of both fertility rates and the number of women in the childbearing ages, the number of births may increase in some years, despite unchanging or even declining fertility rates.

## MORTALITY

In order to project deaths into the future, we averaged deaths occurring in 1999, 2000, and 2001 to calculate age-specific death rates based on the 2000 population. ${ }^{5}$ Data on deaths were obtained from the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, while population data by age were from the decennial census. These age-specific death rates were then used as the foundation for a life table that calculated survival rates by age. These rates represent the percentage of persons who are likely to survive to the next five year time point. Survival rates for persons
of each age group are multiplied by 5 to yield the number that survived five years later. ${ }^{6}$ Naturally, younger age groups have much higher survival rates than older ones, but no age group is immune from death over a five year period.

These survival rates, which were employed for the 2000-2020 period, follow a very traditional pattern of high probability of survival for the younger ages, with very little attrition until ages 55-59 (Figure 2). Thereafter, the probability of survival begins to fall, declining steeply for the older age groups. Survival rates in the Bronx were minimally lower than those for other boroughs. While our focus is on agespecific survival rates, the cohort component model used in this analysis actually uses rates that are age and sex-specific. This permitted us to project the popula-
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Starting in 2020-2025, survival was increased for each age group by applying age-specific improvements anticipated by the National Center for Health Statistics. The same adjusted rates were carried over for the 2025-2030 period.

## MIGRATION

Since migration is the most volatile component, age-specific and crude migration rates (CMRs) were calculated using decennial census data from 1980-1990 and 1990-2000. Age-specific migration rates for each period were calculated by applying survival rates to the initial decennial census household population for five year intervals, then subtracting that result from the mid-year estimate (the average population of the two decennial years). The difference between the two is the total number of net migrants by age for that five year interval. Assume, for example, a 1980 population of 20-24 year olds totaling 5,000 and a mid-decade estimate of 25-29 year olds totaling 5,500. If the population of $20-24$ years olds was survived 5 years resulting in a population of $4,90025-29$ year olds in 1985, this would imply a net inflow of 600 from 1980-1985. Net migrants were divided by the initial population to create age-specific migration rates for each 5 year period, and then averaged to arrive at the rate for the entire decade. ${ }^{7}$

## APPENDIX FIGURE 3



With the exception of a small inflow for ages 20-29, the Bronx and Brooklyn had negative migration for most age groups (Figure 3). In comparison, Manhattan had an extraordinarily high rate for those 20-29 that was more than twice that of any other borough. Manhattan also had among the highest rates of out-migration for most age groups thereafter. Compared to Staten Island, Queens had higher positive net migration in the younger ages, as well as higher rates of net outflows among the elderly.

While overall rates of migration vary by borough, there are age-specific patterns that hold across boroughs. For example, with the exception of Staten Island, all boroughs have a net outflow for those under the age of 5 , as new parents often leave for the suburbs to raise their children. In contrast, migration rates are positive for those ages 20-29 in each borough. Among those ages 30 to 54, migration rates tend to be close to zero, with the notable exception of Manhattan, which has a sizable outflow, especially for those ages 30-49. For those ages 55 to 89, migration rates are negative for all boroughs. ${ }^{8}$

The overall migration dynamic is captured by the CMR, which was calculated by totaling net migrants in each 5 year period and dividing that by the mid-decade population. ${ }^{9}$ A positive CMR implies that those who move into the city outnumber those who leave, while the reverse is true if the rate is negative. Migration trends for New York City from 1980-2000 are slightly negative overall, but vary by borough. The Bronx and Brooklyn had highly negative CMRs; the Manhat$\tan$ CMR was also negative, but not to the same degree. Staten Island and Queens had positive overall rates, though it seems likely that the "real" baseline rate for Queens was negative. The overstatement of the migration component in Queens was a result of the Census Bureau's inability to capture the true undercount in the borough in $1990 .{ }^{10}$

For all of the boroughs except Queens, the crude migration rate was attenuated in order to match the 2005 census estimates. Manhattan was projected to have net in-migration for 20002010, while out-migration was attenuated in the Bronx and in Brooklyn. Staten Island's net inflow was increased. In Queens, as discussed above, we believe that baseline in-migration was overstated, so net in-migration in that borough was changed to net out-migration. These adjustments in crude migration resulted in a 2005 population similar to the 2005 Census estimate (please see below for more details). Those rates were then carried through 2010 based on the assumption that the same migration patterns would continue through the rest of the decade. Although benchmarking on the Census Bureau estimates was a priority, the decision to hold these migration rates steady through 2010 was also based on record levels of new housing permits issued thus far in this decade and large increases in the share of the region's new housing that is located in New York City. ${ }^{11}$

At the same time, since the projected median age in the suburbs will remain higher than the city median, we expect the aging of the suburbs to result in more housing becoming available in these areas after 2010. Given the continued desirability of suburban residence, we increased outflows from the city in the 2010-2030 period as increasing numbers of city residents are likely to take advantage of these housing opportunities. This will be true for all boroughs, except Queens, where projected out-migration is reduced and in line with the true 1980-2000 baseline rates for the borough.

## 3. Calibrating the projections to independent estimates for 2005 by age/sex

Once the baseline rates were integrated into the projection, the 2005 projections were benchmarked against the Census Bureau's 2005 estimate of the city's population, and projected births were benchmarked against actual births in the 2000-2005 period. CMRs in every borough were adjusted so that the 2005 projections were in sync with the 2005 estimates. ${ }^{12}$
tABLE A
Comparison of 2005 Census Bureau Estimates with 2005 Baseline and Final Projections by Borough

|  | 2005 Baseline | 2005 Census | Difference | Adjustments | 2005 Final | Difference |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Bronx | $1,346,984$ | $1,364,566$ | 17,582 | $60 \%$ CMR | $1,366,086$ | $-1,520$ |
| Brooklyn | $2,482,766$ | $2,511,408$ | 28,642 | $60 \%$ CMR | $2,517,052$ | $-5,644$ |
| Manhattan | $1,552,484$ | $1,606,275$ | 53,791 | Positive CMR | $1,600,110$ | 6,165 |
| Queens | $2,309,909$ | $2,256,576$ | $-53,333$ | Negative CMR | $2,254,639$ | 1,937 |
| Staten Island | 463,303 | 475,014 | 11,711 | $150 \%$ CMR | 468,248 | 6,766 |

Population for the Bronx was estimated by the Census Bureau to be 1,365,000 in 2005. However, the baseline projection only yielded a 2005 Bronx population of 1,347,000, a difference of 18,000. When comparing actual data to the baseline projection, the difference in births was only 200 in the Bronx (113,000 vs. 113,200). Since the fertility component is reasonably accurate (and since we do not expect mortality to be very different), it is clear that the Bronx unadjusted CMR for males and females is responsible for the low estimate in the baseline projection. The Bronx CMRs ( -4.11 for males and -2.89 for females) were attenuated so that the final projection incorporated only $60 \%$ of the baseline migration rate from 2000-2005. This resulted in the population increasing to $1,366,086$ for 2005, which is much closer to the Census estimate.

Brooklyn, Manhattan, and Staten Island were quite similar to the Bronx in that the 2005 baseline projection was low for each borough, compared to the 2005 Census population estimate.

As Table A indicates, all boroughs were adjusted in order to match the estimated population for 2005. In Brooklyn, the baseline CMR was negative for both males and females, so taking just $60 \%$ of the CMR resulted in fewer out-migrants, which increased the population (as was the case in the Bronx). Conversely, a 50\% increase in Staten Island's positive CMR moved Staten Island's projected 2005 population closer to the 2005 census estimate. ${ }^{13}$ Since the Manhattan baseline projection for 2005 was very different from the 2005 population estimate, it was necessary go beyond a simple attenuation of its rates. Instead, Manhattan's CMR was changed from slightly negative to slightly positive in order to match the 2005 Census estimate. With the exception of Queens, the CMR had to be adjusted to be more positive for all boroughs in order to increase the projected population. Given the rapid housing expansion in the post-2000 period, discussed previously, these adjustments seem reasonable.

Queens was the only borough where the baseline components created a projected population higher than the census estimate: $2,310,000$ versus $2,257,000$. The CMR for both males and females was reduced by 2.4 points, causing rates to go from slightly positive to slightly negative. The 2005 population for Queens in the final projection was reduced to 2,255,000, about 2,000 less than the estimated Queens population in 2005.

In summary, the 2005 census estimates provided a good benchmark for the 2005 projections. The baseline CMRs could then be altered to accurately reflect what occurred from 2000-2005, resulting in 2005 projections that were in line with the 2005 estimates. These adjustments were subsequently applied to the 2005-2010 period. With the adjusted borough migration components, the final projection yielded a population of 8,206,000 for 2005, and 8,402,000 for 2010

## 4. Creating a projection for five year time points by age/sex, for 2010 to 2030

As with the overall U.S. population, the median age is projected to increase across the New York metropolitan area. As discussed previously, the suburban counties have a median age higher than that of the city, and we expect the aging of the suburbs to result in more housing becoming available in these areas. Given the continued desirability of suburban residence, we project greater outflows from the city in the 2010-2030 period, as increasing numbers of city residents take advantage of these housing opportunities. ${ }^{14}$ The resulting projections were then benchmarked on an independently derived projection for 2030 that was based on housing production, changes in each borough's land use, and planned rezoning.

The Bronx 2010-2030 CMR for both males and females was adjusted to reflect greater outflows compared not only to 2000-2010, but compared to the baseline rates as well. Like the Bronx, Brooklyn was adjusted to project increased outflow from 2010-2030, compared to the earlier period, but these projected outflows are below those suggested by the baseline rates. In Manhattan, both the male and female CMR from 2010-2030 were "zeroed-out," which implies that Manhattan will have an equal flow of in-migrants and out-migrants during this time period. While the baseline CMRs for Manhattan were slightly negative, the slightly positive 2000-2010 Manhattan CMR, followed by "zero" CMR assumption for 2010-2030, results in higher growth in the first period, followed by attenuated growth in the subsequent period. Staten Island's positive CMRs for 2000-2010 were greatly attenuated for the 2010-2030 period, and stood lower than the baseline rate.

Queens, again, was the exception, with projected out-migration from the borough reduced in the 2010-2030 period. While the projected CMRs for males and females remained negative, these rates were consistent with the "real" baseline rates for the borough. (As noted earlier, because the Census Bureau could not estimate the true 1990 undercount in Queens, the migration component in the borough was overstated in the 1990-2000 period.)

In addition to adjustments to the CMR, improvements in life expectancy were also taken into account for part of this period. In most developed nations, survival rates have continued to improve for all ages. In our projections, the 2000 survival data were used in the baseline, but starting in 2020-2025, survival was increased for each age group by applying age-specific improvements anticipated by the National Center for Health Statistics. The same adjusted rates were carried over for the 2025-2030 period. This alone resulted in a population increase of over 175,000, of which nearly two-thirds were in the 65 and over age group.

## ENDNOTES

1 A cohort is simply a group of people sharing the same demographic characteristics, in this case age and sex (e.g. 30-34 year old males). Those in the same cohort are subject to the same components of change, and will move through the projection together.

2 The age/sex distribution of the undercounted population in New York City was not available. At the national level, undercount rates by age/sex were available through demographic analysis, so we employed this distribution to make adjustments to the city's population.

3 Increases in group quarters populations are often projected when there are plans to expand or create new group facilities. Given the difficulty of projecting such plans, we held the population in group quarters constant over the projection period.

4 Age-specific fertility rates are based on five year age groups beginning with 15-19 year olds and ending with 45-49 year olds. All these age groups represent the "child-bearing" population. In order to calculate the actual rates, the number of births an age group produced is divided by that age group's female population. For this projection, births were based on a three year average (1999, 2000, and 2001) so that the yearly estimate of births is not subject to any one-year anomalies. All the age-specific fertility rates are multiplied by five to obtain a rate for the five year projection interval.

These age-specific rates can be summed to produce an overall measure of fertility for women in each borough, called the Total Fertility Rate (TFR). Adjusting the TFR permits us to change overall rates for women in all age groups (moving them up or down, but maintaining the overall pattern by age), while adjustments to the age-specific fertility rates permit us to alter fertility levels for particular age groups.

5 The calculation of age-specific death rates excluded deaths that were a result of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001.

6 Survival rates are not precisely the proportion surviving to the next age group. Instead, they are calculated through a life table, which determines survival in terms of life expectancy and person years lived within an interval against all remaining intervals. This is because each age group is not subject to the exact same chances of dying, since people are distributed evenly throughout the age group. For instance, the 0-4 year old age group is not merely comprised of infants at the beginning of the projection. Rather, it includes infants, $1,2,3$, and 4 year olds alike. This is why a simple proportion cannot be applied to each age group-people are moving to the next age group before the five year period has concluded and are thus subject to a different probability of dying.

7 For 1980-1990, unadjusted populations were used since it was assumed that the enumerations in 1980 and 1990 were equally problematic. For each decade, migration rates were calculated for a 5 year period and then averaged to create the rate for the entire decade. The rates for 1980-1990 and 1990-2000 were then averaged to create a rate for the entire 1980-2000 period

8 Males and females in each borough tend to have similar patterns of migration, differing only in terms of magnitude. While this section focused on age-specific patterns of migration, age/sex-specific migration rates were used for the migration component. This allows us to project population by sex.

9 The crude migration rate (CMR) is calculated by adding the estimated number of net migrants in the first portion of the relevant historical period to the net migrants in the second portion of the period, dividing by 2 , then dividing that figure by the mid year population of the same period. For example, to obtain the CMR for 1980-1990, 1980-1985 net migrants would be added to the 1985-1990 net migrants, then divided by two, then divided once again by the 1985 population. A rate for 1990-2000 would be calculated in a similar manner and the rates for the two decades would then be averaged.

While the age-structure of migration can be altered by changing age-specific rates, adjusting the overall CMR changes migration rates across all age groups while maintaining the overall pattern of migration by age.

10 The 1990 Post Enumeration Survey (PES) did permit us to incorporate adjustments for undercount into the population data; however, this adjustment cannot be considered complete. This is because the Census Bureau's adjustment program used a national stratification scheme that could not capture the complex nature of housing in many areas of New York City. This was particularly true in Queens, where housing subdivision and the large, diverse flow of immigrants present serious challenges to any adjustment effort. As a result, we believe that the PES adjustment for Queens was poor, with the end result being an overstatement of the migration component in that borough for 1990-2000. Therefore, in order to match the 2005 population estimate, we greatly increased the net outflow of people from the borough in the 2000-2010 period. Then, for 2010 to 2030, we reduced the outflow to a level that was more consistent with what we believe was the true baseline rate.

11 Permits for new residential construction have increased dramatically since the early 1990s. In 1994, New York City had less than 5,000 permits, compared to more than 30,000 for 2006. The share of the region's permits accounted for by new housing construction in New York City increased from 14 percent in 1994 to about 50 percent in 2006.

12 The following CMR borough rates for males and females refer to the baseline and the 2000-2010 adjustment:

| Bronx Males: | -4.11, | -2.47 |  | Bronx Females: | -2.89, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Brooklyn Males: | -3.82, | -2.29 | Brooklyn Females: | -2.94, | -1.76 |
| Manhattan Males: | -1.65, | 1.50 | Manhattan Females: | -1.38, | 1.50 |
| Queens Males: | 0.68, | -1.72 | Queens Females: | 0.18, | -2.22 |
| Staten Island Males : | 1.93, | 2.90 |  | Staten Island Females: | 2.36, |
| 3.54 |  |  |  |  |  |

While it was possible to change the age-structure of migration by altering age-specific rates, no such changes were made in this projection since age patterns of migration tend to be stable over time. Instead, the overall CMR was adjusted, which changes migration across all age groups, but maintains the overall age-specific migration pattern.

13 Rates were changed from -1.65 to 1.5 for males, and from -1.38 to 1.5 for females.
14 The following CMR borough rates for males and females refer to the baseline, 2000-2010 adjustment, and the 2010-2030 adjustment:

| Bronx Males: | $-4.11,-2.47,-4.93$ | Bronx Females: | $-2.89,-1.73,-3.47$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Brooklyn Males: | $-3.82,-2.29,-3.06$ | Brooklyn Females: | $-2.94,-1.76,-2.35$ |
| Manhattan Males: | $-1.65,1.50,0.00$ | Manhattan Females: | $-1.38,1.50,0.00$ |
| Queens Males: | $0.68,-1.72,-0.47$ | Queens Females: | $0.18,-2.22,-0.97$ |
| Staten Island Males: | $1.93,2.90,0.97$ | Staten Island Females: $2.36,3.54,1.18$ |  |

## Appendix 2: Detailed Projection Tables by Age/Sex and Borough, 2000-2030

## HOW TO READ THE TABLES

The following tables present age distributions for New York City and each borough for five intervals, from 2000 to 2030. Reading left to right, the color of each cell indicates the percent change in population from 2000 to the period specified at the top of each column. As indicated in the legend, purple cells display declines in the number of persons compared with 2000, and the light blue colored cells indicate increases, with white cells displaying negligible changes. For example, in New York City, the number of persons aged $50-54$ in 2015 is projected to increase by greater than 20 percent (darker blue) over the number of 50-54 year olds in 2000 (from 481,267 to 602,521 persons).

The experience of age cohorts (persons born over a specified period) can be followed on each diagonal. This means that any changes in the number of persons along each diagonal are not due to changes in the size of birth cohorts, but to the effects of aging and/or migration. For example, the age cohort of $50-54$ year olds in 2000 diminishes as we move along the diagonal, from 481,267 (2000), to 429,823 (2005), to 393,367 (2010), to 338,703 (2015), to 270,659 when this group is $70-74$ in 2020 . Deaths among members of the age cohort and net migration losses account for the lower numbers as we move forward in time.

## APPENDIX TABLE 1

| Population Projections for New York City, 2000-2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| 0-4 | 540,878 | 523,904 | 527,354 | 536,810 | 544,773 | 549,039 | 546,601 |
| 5-9 | 561,115 | 525,356 | 508,693 | 508,003 | 517,690 | 527,183 | 532,339 |
| 10-14 | 530,816 | 573,889 | 537,532 | 516,532 | 516,163 | 527,453 | 538,179 |
| 15-19 | 520,641 | 556,185 | 599,903 | 559,104 | 538,550 | 539,777 | 551,975 |
| 20-24 | 589,831 | 593,361 | 634,178 | 678,911 | 634,446 | 615,873 | 618,025 |
| 25-29 | 680,659 | 679,521 | 681,653 | 726,325 | 779,142 | 729,830 | 711,752 |
| 30-34 | 687,362 | 672,297 | 671,397 | 668,645 | 712,926 | 767,718 | 720,872 |
| 35-39 | 660,901 | 648,632 | 634,406 | 628,782 | 627,212 | 671,642 | 724,652 |
| 40-44 | 602,379 | 648,361 | 635,853 | 616,916 | 612,222 | 614,546 | 659,223 |
| 45-49 | 531,118 | 569,301 | 613,077 | 596,498 | 579,089 | 578,698 | 582,104 |
| 50-54 | 481,267 | 525,717 | 563,627 | 602,521 | 586,417 | 574,608 | 575,279 |
| 55-59 | 369,105 | 429,823 | 469,524 | 499,582 | 534,469 | 527,260 | 517,756 |
| 60-64 | 314,349 | 337,431 | 393,367 | 426,211 | 453,515 | 494,191 | 488,679 |
| 65-69 | 259,167 | 272,301 | 292,957 | 338,703 | 366,944 | 400,740 | 437,360 |
| 70-74 | 235,627 | 208,394 | 219,210 | 234,013 | 270,659 | 304,701 | 333,112 |
| 75-79 | 193,221 | 190,760 | 169,123 | 176,408 | 188,542 | 230,514 | 259,599 |
| 80-84 | 128,139 | 129,823 | 128,437 | 113,183 | 118,116 | 138,872 | 169,614 |
| 85+ | 121,703 | 121,080 | 121,923 | 120,090 | 111,689 | 130,410 | 152,690 |
| Total | 8,008,278 | 8,206,135 | 8,402,213 | 8,547,236 | 8,692,564 | 8,923,055 | 9,119,811 |


|  | Population Decline (of more than 5\%) <br>  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ ) |
|  | Migh Growth (Greater than $20 \%$ ) |

Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding

## APPENDIX TABLE 2

Population Projections for Male Population by Age New York City, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 276,635 | 267,546 | 269,302 | 274,131 | 278,202 | 280,468 | 279,227 |
| 5-9 | 286,155 | 268,945 | 260,072 | 259,590 | 264,511 | 269,319 | 272,015 |
| 10-14 | 270,582 | 291,943 | 274,556 | 263,364 | 263,025 | 268,689 | 274,083 |
| 15-19 | 265,285 | 282,669 | 304,355 | 284,648 | 273,698 | 274,156 | 280,238 |
| 20-24 | 285,353 | 292,173 | 311,197 | 332,308 | 311,965 | 302,308 | 303,206 |
| 25-29 | 326,702 | 332,746 | 339,766 | 360,595 | 385,792 | 363,432 | 353,942 |
| 30-34 | 335,119 | 325,884 | 331,890 | 336,326 | 357,129 | 383,479 | 362,189 |
| 35-39 | 322,637 | 316,624 | 308,072 | 311,357 | 315,841 | 336,837 | 362,332 |
| 40-44 | 289,976 | 314,723 | 308,674 | 298,016 | 301,641 | 307,988 | 328,994 |
| 45-49 | 250,093 | 270,767 | 294,127 | 286,114 | 276,389 | 282,014 | 288,621 |
| 50-54 | 220,318 | 243,664 | 264,035 | 284,737 | 276,957 | 270,396 | 276,570 |
| 55-59 | 165,458 | 193,136 | 213,757 | 229,953 | 248,218 | 245,166 | 239,867 |
| 60-64 | 139,938 | 147,513 | 172,416 | 189,299 | 203,713 | 224,758 | 222,512 |
| 65-69 | 112,153 | 116,711 | 123,262 | 142,844 | 156,889 | 174,295 | 192,686 |
| 70-74 | 95,920 | 86,546 | 90,178 | 94,423 | 109,421 | 125,920 | 140,086 |
| 75-79 | 73,139 | 73,403 | 66,419 | 68,565 | 71,839 | 89,148 | 102,721 |
| 80-84 | 44,146 | 46,070 | 46,382 | 41,610 | 42,985 | 50,552 | 62,812 |
| 85+ | 34,595 | 34,832 | 35,976 | 36,082 | 33,862 | 40,920 | 48,695 |
| Total | 3,794,204 | 3,905,894 | 4,014,435 | 4,093,962 | 4,172,077 | 4,289,845 | 4,390,796 |


| $\square$ | Population Decline (of more than 5\%) <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ ) <br> Migh Growth (Greater than $20 \%$ ) |
| :--- | :--- |

Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding

## APPENDIX TABLE 3

Population Projections for Female Population by Age New York City, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 264,243 | 256,358 | 258,052 | 262,679 | 266,571 | 268,571 | 267,374 |
| 5-9 | 274,960 | 256,411 | 248,621 | 248,413 | 253,179 | 257,864 | 260,324 |
| 10-14 | 260,234 | 281,946 | 262,976 | 253,168 | 253,138 | 258,764 | 264,096 |
| 15-19 | 255,356 | 273,516 | 295,548 | 274,456 | 264,852 | 265,621 | 271,737 |
| 20-24 | 304,478 | 301,188 | 322,981 | 346,603 | 322,481 | 313,565 | 314,819 |
| 25-29 | 353,957 | 346,775 | 341,887 | 365,730 | 393,350 | 366,398 | 357,810 |
| 30-34 | 352,243 | 346,413 | 339,507 | 332,319 | 355,797 | 384,239 | 358,683 |
| 35-39 | 338,264 | 332,008 | 326,334 | 317,425 | 311,371 | 334,805 | 362,320 |
| 40-44 | 312,403 | 333,638 | 327,179 | 318,900 | 310,581 | 306,558 | 330,229 |
| 45-49 | 281,025 | 298,534 | 318,950 | 310,384 | 302,700 | 296,684 | 293,483 |
| 50-54 | 260,949 | 282,053 | 299,592 | 317,784 | 309,460 | 304,212 | 298,709 |
| 55-59 | 203,647 | 236,687 | 255,767 | 269,629 | 286,251 | 282,094 | 277,889 |
| 60-64 | 174,411 | 189,918 | 220,951 | 236,912 | 249,802 | 269,433 | 266,167 |
| 65-69 | 147,014 | 155,590 | 169,695 | 195,859 | 210,055 | 226,445 | 244,674 |
| 70-74 | 139,707 | 121,848 | 129,032 | 139,590 | 161,238 | 178,781 | 193,026 |
| 75-79 | 120,082 | 117,357 | 102,704 | 107,843 | 116,703 | 141,366 | 156,878 |
| 80-84 | 83,993 | 83,753 | 82,055 | 71,573 | 75,131 | 88,320 | 106,802 |
| 85+ | 87,108 | 86,248 | 85,947 | 84,008 | 77,827 | 89,490 | 103,995 |
| Total | 4,214,074 | 4,300,241 | 4,387,778 | 4,453,274 | 4,520,487 | 4,633,210 | 4,729,015 |

APPENDIX TABLE 4
Population Projections for Total Population by Age
Bronx, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 109,732 | 104,324 | 107,103 | 111,214 | 113,080 | 112,609 | 110,878 |
| 5-9 | 119,767 | 109,310 | 103,915 | 104,385 | 108,442 | 110,571 | 110,221 |
| 10-14 | 107,816 | 121,541 | 110,953 | 103,215 | 103,710 | 108,018 | 110,252 |
| 15-19 | 101,208 | 110,907 | 124,493 | 111,621 | 104,176 | 104,958 | 109,243 |
| 20-24 | 100,352 | 104,466 | 114,380 | 125,667 | 112,843 | 105,752 | 106,659 |
| 25-29 | 101,403 | 104,276 | 108,679 | 116,970 | 128,883 | 115,760 | 108,383 |
| 30-34 | 107,055 | 99,233 | 102,038 | 104,026 | 111,945 | 123,723 | 111,307 |
| 35-39 | 106,501 | 103,110 | 95,630 | 96,201 | 98,072 | 105,950 | 117,190 |
| 40-44 | 93,820 | 103,085 | 99,797 | 90,532 | 91,099 | 93,348 | 101,012 |
| 45-49 | 79,039 | 87,907 | 96,699 | 91,503 | 82,971 | 84,041 | 86,212 |
| 50-54 | 69,671 | 75,013 | 83,504 | 89,867 | 85,040 | 77,750 | 78,838 |
| 55-59 | 55,965 | 61,868 | 66,629 | 72,425 | 77,973 | 74,743 | 68,435 |
| 60-64 | 46,373 | 49,450 | 54,658 | 57,445 | 62,454 | 68,508 | 65,756 |
| 65-69 | 38,072 | 39,654 | 42,313 | 45,598 | 47,923 | 53,505 | 58,736 |
| 70-74 | 32,751 | 30,074 | 31,298 | 32,494 | 34,970 | 38,186 | 42,606 |
| 75-79 | 26,627 | 25,997 | 23,917 | 24,237 | 25,169 | 28,577 | 31,180 |
| 80-84 | 18,009 | 17,741 | 17,341 | 15,562 | 15,765 | 17,919 | 20,253 |
| 85+ | 18,489 | 18,130 | 17,847 | 16,942 | 15,762 | 17,702 | 19,878 |
| Total | 1,332,650 | 1,366,086 | 1,401,194 | 1,409,904 | 1,420,277 | 1,441,620 | 1,457,039 |

$\square$

Population Decline (of more than 5\%)
Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ )
Moderate Growth (5 to 20\%)
High Growth (Greater than 20\%)
Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding
APPENDIX TABLE 5

## Population Projections for Male Population by Age <br> Bronx, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 55,807 | 53,101 | 54,515 | 56,608 | 57,558 | 57,335 | 56,454 |
| 5-9 | 61,021 | 55,681 | 52,992 | 53,050 | 55,097 | 56,158 | 55,980 |
| 10-14 | 54,992 | 61,821 | 56,445 | 52,390 | 52,447 | 54,587 | 55,678 |
| 15-19 | 51,528 | 56,594 | 63,289 | 56,623 | 52,765 | 52,955 | 55,035 |
| 20-24 | 47,722 | 50,965 | 55,918 | 60,916 | 54,597 | 51,115 | 51,330 |
| 25-29 | 46,600 | 48,686 | 52,145 | 56,086 | 61,256 | 54,884 | 51,303 |
| 30-34 | 49,945 | 45,401 | 47,438 | 49,524 | 53,230 | 58,278 | 52,301 |
| 35-39 | 49,344 | 47,822 | 43,511 | 44,325 | 46,261 | 49,899 | 54,645 |
| 40-44 | 43,079 | 47,530 | 46,067 | 40,830 | 41,603 | 43,657 | 47,168 |
| 45-49 | 35,911 | 39,891 | 44,098 | 41,612 | 36,818 | 37,790 | 39,714 |
| 50-54 | 31,075 | 33,677 | 37,473 | 40,387 | 38,089 | 33,986 | 34,920 |
| 55-59 | 24,275 | 26,996 | 29,287 | 31,684 | 34,158 | 32,683 | 29,170 |
| 60-64 | 20,060 | 20,964 | 23,322 | 24,574 | 26,578 | 29,285 | 28,046 |
| 65-69 | 15,638 | 16,312 | 17,053 | 18,388 | 19,369 | 21,626 | 23,840 |
| 70-74 | 12,731 | 11,890 | 12,396 | 12,530 | 13,485 | 14,867 | 16,579 |
| 75-79 | 9,646 | 9,549 | 8,936 | 9,015 | 9,109 | 10,467 | 11,533 |
| 80-84 | 5,827 | 5,972 | 5,918 | 5,343 | 5,388 | 6,082 | 6,975 |
| 85+ | 4,970 | 4,870 | 4,905 | 4,660 | 4,320 | 5,026 | 5,723 |
| Total | 620,171 | 637,722 | 655,708 | 658,545 | 662,128 | 670,680 | 676,394 |

APPENDIX TABLE 6
Population Projections for Total Population by Age
Bronx, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 109,732 | 104,324 | 107,103 | 111,214 | 113,080 | 112,609 | 110,878 |
| 5-9 | 119,767 | 109,310 | 103,915 | 104,385 | 108,442 | 110,571 | 110,221 |
| 10-14 | 107,816 | 121,541 | 110,953 | 103,215 | 103,710 | 108,018 | 110,252 |
| 15-19 | 101,208 | 110,907 | 124,493 | 111,621 | 104,176 | 104,958 | 109,243 |
| 20-24 | 100,352 | 104,466 | 114,380 | 125,667 | 112,843 | 105,752 | 106,659 |
| 25-29 | 101,403 | 104,276 | 108,679 | 116,970 | 128,883 | 115,760 | 108,383 |
| 30-34 | 107,055 | 99,233 | 102,038 | 104,026 | 111,945 | 123,723 | 111,307 |
| 35-39 | 106,501 | 103,110 | 95,630 | 96,201 | 98,072 | 105,950 | 117,190 |
| 40-44 | 93,820 | 103,085 | 99,797 | 90,532 | 91,099 | 93,348 | 101,012 |
| 45-49 | 79,039 | 87,907 | 96,699 | 91,503 | 82,971 | 84,041 | 86,212 |
| 50-54 | 69,671 | 75,013 | 83,504 | 89,867 | 85,040 | 77,750 | 78,838 |
| 55-59 | 55,965 | 61,868 | 66,629 | 72,425 | 77,973 | 74,743 | 68,435 |
| 60-64 | 46,373 | 49,450 | 54,658 | 57,445 | 62,454 | 68,508 | 65,756 |
| 65-69 | 38,072 | 39,654 | 42,313 | 45,598 | 47,923 | 53,505 | 58,736 |
| 70-74 | 32,751 | 30,074 | 31,298 | 32,494 | 34,970 | 38,186 | 42,606 |
| 75-79 | 26,627 | 25,997 | 23,917 | 24,237 | 25,169 | 28,577 | 31,180 |
| 80-84 | 18,009 | 17,741 | 17,341 | 15,562 | 15,765 | 17,919 | 20,253 |
| 85+ | 18,489 | 18,130 | 17,847 | 16,942 | 15,762 | 17,702 | 19,878 |
| Total | 1,332,650 | 1,366,086 | 1,401,194 | 1,409,904 | 1,420,277 | 1,441,620 | 1,457,039 |


|  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |

Population Decline (of more than 5\%)
Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ )
Moderate Growth (5 to 20\%)
High Growth (Greater than 20\%)
Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding

## APPENDIX TABLE 7

Population Projections for Male Population by Age
Bronx, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 55,807 | 53,101 | 54,515 | 56,608 | 57,558 | 57,335 | 56,454 |
| 5-9 | 61,021 | 55,681 | 52,992 | 53,050 | 55,097 | 56,158 | 55,980 |
| 10-14 | 54,992 | 61,821 | 56,445 | 52,390 | 52,447 | 54,587 | 55,678 |
| 15-19 | 51,528 | 56,594 | 63,289 | 56,623 | 52,765 | 52,955 | 55,035 |
| 20-24 | 47,722 | 50,965 | 55,918 | 60,916 | 54,597 | 51,115 | 51,330 |
| 25-29 | 46,600 | 48,686 | 52,145 | 56,086 | 61,256 | 54,884 | 51,303 |
| 30-34 | 49,945 | 45,401 | 47,438 | 49,524 | 53,230 | 58,278 | 52,301 |
| 35-39 | 49,344 | 47,822 | 43,511 | 44,325 | 46,261 | 49,899 | 54,645 |
| 40-44 | 43,079 | 47,530 | 46,067 | 40,830 | 41,603 | 43,657 | 47,168 |
| 45-49 | 35,911 | 39,891 | 44,098 | 41,612 | 36,818 | 37,790 | 39,714 |
| 50-54 | 31,075 | 33,677 | 37,473 | 40,387 | 38,089 | 33,986 | 34,920 |
| 55-59 | 24,275 | 26,996 | 29,287 | 31,684 | 34,158 | 32,683 | 29,170 |
| 60-64 | 20,060 | 20,964 | 23,322 | 24,574 | 26,578 | 29,285 | 28,046 |
| 65-69 | 15,638 | 16,312 | 17,053 | 18,388 | 19,369 | 21,626 | 23,840 |
| 70-74 | 12,731 | 11,890 | 12,396 | 12,530 | 13,485 | 14,867 | 16,579 |
| 75-79 | 9,646 | 9,549 | 8,936 | 9,015 | 9,109 | 10,467 | 11,533 |
| 80-84 | 5,827 | 5,972 | 5,918 | 5,343 | 5,388 | 6,082 | 6,975 |
| 85+ | 4,970 | 4,870 | 4,905 | 4,660 | 4,320 | 5,026 | 5,723 |
| Total | 620,171 | 637,722 | 655,708 | 658,545 | 662,128 | 670,680 | 676,394 |

## APPENDIX TABLE 8

Population Projections for Male Population by Age
Brooklyn, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 93,424 | 88,981 | 89,584 | 90,855 | 91,281 | 90,677 | 89,198 |
| 5-9 | 96,744 | 90,328 | 86,053 | 85,949 | 87,258 | 87,852 | 87,373 |
| 10-14 | 93,354 | 97,967 | 91,501 | 86,506 | 86,482 | 87,966 | 88,665 |
| 15-19 | 90,361 | 95,366 | 100,055 | 92,824 | 87,904 | 88,081 | 89,672 |
| 20-24 | 88,946 | 91,477 | 96,529 | 100,469 | 93,360 | 88,738 | 89,013 |
| 25-29 | 93,477 | 97,110 | 99,937 | 104,787 | 109,199 | 101,673 | 96,685 |
| 30-34 | 91,186 | 90,848 | 94,408 | 96,391 | 101,158 | 105,716 | 98,556 |
| 35-39 | 89,121 | 85,860 | 85,576 | 88,196 | 90,129 | 94,925 | 99,304 |
| 40-44 | 83,619 | 88,775 | 85,551 | 84,621 | 87,298 | 89,623 | 94,508 |
| 45-49 | 74,239 | 77,816 | 82,665 | 79,003 | 78,219 | 81,257 | 83,530 |
| 50-54 | 66,050 | 73,849 | 77,452 | 81,672 | 78,113 | 78,030 | 81,166 |
| 55-59 | 46,737 | 56,709 | 63,455 | 65,969 | 69,651 | 67,586 | 67,602 |
| 60-64 | 41,593 | 42,487 | 51,563 | 57,206 | 59,532 | 64,160 | 62,336 |
| 65-69 | 33,104 | 34,960 | 35,726 | 42,956 | 47,706 | 51,212 | 55,264 |
| 70-74 | 28,669 | 25,480 | 26,914 | 27,230 | 32,755 | 38,105 | 40,956 |
| 75-79 | 22,200 | 22,402 | 19,945 | 20,851 | 21,118 | 27,127 | 31,568 |
| 80-84 | 13,279 | 13,995 | 14,129 | 12,448 | 13,025 | 14,802 | 19,011 |
| 85+ | 10,343 | 10,552 | 10,991 | 11,030 | 10,239 | 12,453 | 14,529 |
| Total | 1,156,446 | 1,184,962 | 1,212,033 | 1,228,963 | 1,244,427 | 1,269,983 | 1,288,936 |


| Population Decline (of more than 5\%) |
| :---: |
| Minimal Change ( -5 to 4.9\%) |
| Moderate Growth (5 to 20\%) |
| High Growth (Greater than 20\%) |

Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding
APPENDIX TABLE 9

## Population Projections for Female Population by Age <br> Brooklyn, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 89,175 | 85,338 | 85,915 | 87,134 | 87,542 | 86,911 | 85,493 |
| 5-9 | 92,933 | 85,908 | 82,232 | 82,229 | 83,492 | 84,093 | 83,619 |
| 10-14 | 89,512 | 94,848 | 87,700 | 83,403 | 83,489 | 84,965 | 85,707 |
| 15-19 | 86,920 | 91,567 | 97,016 | 89,187 | 84,944 | 85,239 | 86,864 |
| 20-24 | 94,271 | 93,536 | 98,558 | 103,778 | 95,518 | 91,215 | 91,662 |
| 25-29 | 103,950 | 103,044 | 102,270 | 107,159 | 112,980 | 104,194 | 99,609 |
| 30-34 | 101,101 | 101,369 | 100,526 | 99,104 | 103,950 | 109,927 | 101,548 |
| 35-39 | 100,550 | 96,917 | 97,212 | 95,749 | 94,503 | 99,484 | 105,361 |
| 40-44 | 95,310 | 100,046 | 96,460 | 96,122 | 94,775 | 93,950 | 99,064 |
| 45-49 | 87,007 | 90,287 | 94,814 | 90,785 | 90,569 | 89,799 | 89,161 |
| 50-54 | 79,904 | 88,731 | 92,114 | 96,121 | 92,128 | 92,516 | 91,867 |
| 55-59 | 59,263 | 70,823 | 78,691 | 81,094 | 84,728 | 82,091 | 82,580 |
| 60-64 | 53,921 | 56,455 | 67,483 | 74,459 | 76,817 | 81,461 | 79,055 |
| 65-69 | 44,735 | 48,361 | 50,650 | 60,085 | 66,364 | 69,982 | 74,334 |
| 70-74 | 43,157 | 37,289 | 40,304 | 41,867 | 49,668 | 56,657 | 59,837 |
| 75-79 | 36,836 | 36,539 | 31,650 | 33,911 | 35,251 | 43,775 | 49,958 |
| 80-84 | 25,171 | 25,610 | 25,423 | 21,910 | 23,461 | 26,504 | 32,833 |
| 85+ | 25,164 | 25,422 | 25,785 | 25,533 | 23,605 | 27,508 | 31,479 |
| Total | 1,308,880 | 1,332,090 | 1,354,803 | 1,369,630 | 1,383,784 | 1,410,271 | 1,430,031 |

APPENDIX TABLE 10
Population Projections for Total Population by Age
Manhattan, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 76,048 | 79,450 | 80,484 | 80,092 | 79,619 | 80,905 | 81,961 |
| 5-9 | 73,358 | 69,965 | 73,262 | 72,706 | 72,344 | 72,246 | 73,587 |
| 10-14 | 69,288 | 74,186 | 70,872 | 72,835 | 72,275 | 72,209 | 72,260 |
| 15-19 | 75,186 | 81,478 | 86,655 | 81,887 | 83,868 | 83,619 | 83,702 |
| 20-24 | 120,674 | 115,768 | 125,632 | 132,176 | 124,799 | 128,205 | 127,975 |
| 25-29 | 167,563 | 160,999 | 153,903 | 166,663 | 176,395 | 166,018 | 171,327 |
| 30-34 | 163,589 | 166,004 | 159,808 | 149,940 | 162,418 | 172,799 | 162,977 |
| 35-39 | 138,792 | 149,676 | 152,117 | 143,489 | 134,714 | 146,758 | 156,443 |
| 40-44 | 118,434 | 130,747 | 141,284 | 140,767 | 132,757 | 125,475 | 136,998 |
| 45-49 | 106,870 | 111,841 | 123,689 | 131,051 | 130,605 | 124,161 | 117,548 |
| 50-54 | 98,871 | 105,036 | 110,095 | 119,444 | 126,600 | 127,501 | 121,392 |
| 55-59 | 78,059 | 90,889 | 96,727 | 99,281 | 107,684 | 115,827 | 116,961 |
| 60-64 | 63,687 | 72,882 | 85,072 | 88,676 | 90,974 | 100,624 | 108,497 |
| 65-69 | 52,358 | 57,633 | 66,162 | 75,639 | 78,784 | 83,020 | 91,964 |
| 70-74 | 46,670 | 43,336 | 47,807 | 53,618 | 61,267 | 66,429 | 70,129 |
| 75-79 | 37,371 | 38,759 | 36,053 | 38,892 | 43,639 | 52,829 | 57,352 |
| 80-84 | 24,790 | 25,984 | 26,946 | 24,402 | 26,317 | 32,541 | 39,412 |
| 85+ | 25,587 | 25,477 | 26,133 | 25,940 | 24,471 | 29,489 | 36,062 |
| Total | 1,537,195 | 1,600,110 | 1,662,701 | 1,697,498 | 1,729,530 | 1,780,655 | 1,826,547 |


| Population Decline (of more than 5\%) <br> Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ ) <br> Moderate Growth (5 to 20\%) <br> High Growth (Greater than 20\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding
APPENDIX TABLE 11
Population Projections for Male Population by Age
Manhattan, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 38,994 | 40,584 | 41,112 | 40,912 | 40,670 | 41,339 | 41,878 |
| 5-9 | 37,164 | 35,883 | 37,446 | 37,194 | 36,990 | 36,924 | 37,618 |
| 10-14 | 35,179 | 37,573 | 36,352 | 37,260 | 36,988 | 36,921 | 36,929 |
| 15-19 | 37,018 | 39,995 | 42,526 | 40,612 | 41,505 | 41,384 | 41,390 |
| 20-24 | 55,119 | 53,880 | 58,197 | 61,104 | 58,389 | 59,834 | 59,737 |
| 25-29 | 79,447 | 77,340 | 75,501 | 81,343 | 85,824 | 81,861 | 84,215 |
| 30-34 | 81,953 | 81,374 | 79,373 | 76,131 | 82,003 | 86,929 | 83,059 |
| 35-39 | 71,510 | 76,531 | 76,169 | 72,885 | 69,887 | 75,676 | 80,364 |
| 40-44 | 59,285 | 66,836 | 71,685 | 69,989 | 66,939 | 64,629 | 70,118 |
| 45-49 | 51,450 | 55,035 | 62,203 | 65,450 | 63,862 | 61,613 | 59,608 |
| 50-54 | 45,274 | 49,116 | 52,690 | 58,511 | 61,553 | 60,724 | 58,688 |
| 55-59 | 35,190 | 40,537 | 44,106 | 46,394 | 51,515 | 55,086 | 54,459 |
| 60-64 | 28,901 | 31,958 | 36,928 | 39,404 | 41,431 | 47,079 | 50,463 |
| 65-69 | 23,501 | 25,015 | 27,741 | 31,407 | 33,497 | 36,388 | 41,457 |
| 70-74 | 19,932 | 18,964 | 20,231 | 21,923 | 24,785 | 27,719 | 30,174 |
| 75-79 | 14,258 | 15,652 | 14,933 | 15,569 | 16,858 | 20,450 | 22,927 |
| 80-84 | 8,373 | 9,283 | 10,216 | 9,484 | 9,876 | 12,017 | 14,606 |
| 85+ | 6,986 | 7,140 | 7,706 | 8,096 | 7,858 | 9,695 | 11,884 |
| Total | 729,534 | 762,696 | 795,115 | 813,668 | 830,430 | 856,268 | 879,574 |

APPENDIX TABLE 12

## Population Projections for Total Population by Age <br> Manhattan, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 76,048 | 79,450 | 80,484 | 80,092 | 79,619 | 80,905 | 81,961 |
| 5-9 | 73,358 | 69,965 | 73,262 | 72,706 | 72,344 | 72,246 | 73,587 |
| 10-14 | 69,288 | 74,186 | 70,872 | 72,835 | 72,275 | 72,209 | 72,260 |
| 15-19 | 75,186 | 81,478 | 86,655 | 81,887 | 83,868 | 83,619 | 83,702 |
| 20-24 | 120,674 | 115,768 | 125,632 | 132,176 | 124,799 | 128,205 | 127,975 |
| 25-29 | 167,563 | 160,999 | 153,903 | 166,663 | 176,395 | 166,018 | 171,327 |
| 30-34 | 163,589 | 166,004 | 159,808 | 149,940 | 162,418 | 172,799 | 162,977 |
| 35-39 | 138,792 | 149,676 | 152,117 | 143,489 | 134,714 | 146,758 | 156,443 |
| 40-44 | 118,434 | 130,747 | 141,284 | 140,767 | 132,757 | 125,475 | 136,998 |
| 45-49 | 106,870 | 111,841 | 123,689 | 131,051 | 130,605 | 124,161 | 117,548 |
| 50-54 | 98,871 | 105,036 | 110,095 | 119,444 | 126,600 | 127,501 | 121,392 |
| 55-59 | 78,059 | 90,889 | 96,727 | 99,281 | 107,684 | 115,827 | 116,961 |
| 60-64 | 63,687 | 72,882 | 85,072 | 88,676 | 90,974 | 100,624 | 108,497 |
| 65-69 | 52,358 | 57,633 | 66,162 | 75,639 | 78,784 | 83,020 | 91,964 |
| 70-74 | 46,670 | 43,336 | 47,807 | 53,618 | 61,267 | 66,429 | 70,129 |
| 75-79 | 37,371 | 38,759 | 36,053 | 38,892 | 43,639 | 52,829 | 57,352 |
| 80-84 | 24,790 | 25,984 | 26,946 | 24,402 | 26,317 | 32,541 | 39,412 |
| 85+ | 25,587 | 25,477 | 26,133 | 25,940 | 24,471 | 29,489 | 36,062 |
| Total | 1,537,195 | 1,600,110 | 1,662,701 | 1,697,498 | 1,729,530 | 1,780,655 | 1,826,547 |


|  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |

Population Decline (of more than 5\%)
Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ )
Moderate Growth (5 to 20\%)
High Growth (Greater than 20\%)
Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding
APPENDIX TABLE 13

## Population Projections for Male Population by Age <br> Manhattan, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 38,994 | 40,584 | 41,112 | 40,912 | 40,670 | 41,339 | 41,878 |
| 5-9 | 37,164 | 35,883 | 37,446 | 37,194 | 36,990 | 36,924 | 37,618 |
| 10-14 | 35,179 | 37,573 | 36,352 | 37,260 | 36,988 | 36,921 | 36,929 |
| 15-19 | 37,018 | 39,995 | 42,526 | 40,612 | 41,505 | 41,384 | 41,390 |
| 20-24 | 55,119 | 53,880 | 58,197 | 61,104 | 58,389 | 59,834 | 59,737 |
| 25-29 | 79,447 | 77,340 | 75,501 | 81,343 | 85,824 | 81,861 | 84,215 |
| 30-34 | 81,953 | 81,374 | 79,373 | 76,131 | 82,003 | 86,929 | 83,059 |
| 35-39 | 71,510 | 76,531 | 76,169 | 72,885 | 69,887 | 75,676 | 80,364 |
| 40-44 | 59,285 | 66,836 | 71,685 | 69,989 | 66,939 | 64,629 | 70,118 |
| 45-49 | 51,450 | 55,035 | 62,203 | 65,450 | 63,862 | 61,613 | 59,608 |
| 50-54 | 45,274 | 49,116 | 52,690 | 58,511 | 61,553 | 60,724 | 58,688 |
| 55-59 | 35,190 | 40,537 | 44,106 | 46,394 | 51,515 | 55,086 | 54,459 |
| 60-64 | 28,901 | 31,958 | 36,928 | 39,404 | 41,431 | 47,079 | 50,463 |
| 65-69 | 23,501 | 25,015 | 27,741 | 31,407 | 33,497 | 36,388 | 41,457 |
| 70-74 | 19,932 | 18,964 | 20,231 | 21,923 | 24,785 | 27,719 | 30,174 |
| 75-79 | 14,258 | 15,652 | 14,933 | 15,569 | 16,858 | 20,450 | 22,927 |
| 80-84 | 8,373 | 9,283 | 10,216 | 9,484 | 9,876 | 12,017 | 14,606 |
| 85+ | 6,986 | 7,140 | 7,706 | 8,096 | 7,858 | 9,695 | 11,884 |
| Total | 729,534 | 762,696 | 795,115 | 813,668 | 830,430 | 856,268 | 879,574 |

APPENDIX TABLE 14
Population Projections for Male Population by Age
Queens, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 73,081 | 69,958 | 69,061 | 70,116 | 72,335 | 74,260 | 74,901 |
| 5-9 | 74,303 | 70,761 | 67,691 | 67,672 | 68,798 | 71,245 | 73,360 |
| 10-14 | 70,583 | 76,665 | 72,975 | 70,647 | 70,713 | 72,132 | 74,902 |
| 15-19 | 71,186 | 74,064 | 80,367 | 77,423 | 75,056 | 75,405 | 77,116 |
| 20-24 | 79,945 | 80,804 | 84,035 | 92,182 | 88,899 | 86,539 | 87,157 |
| 25-29 | 92,634 | 94,900 | 95,880 | 100,762 | 110,683 | 107,137 | 104,532 |
| 30-34 | 95,355 | 92,195 | 94,393 | 96,549 | 101,578 | 112,045 | 108,773 |
| 35-39 | 94,666 | 89,482 | 86,475 | 89,693 | 91,858 | 97,161 | 107,473 |
| 40-44 | 86,157 | 92,761 | 87,634 | 85,751 | 89,055 | 91,775 | 97,353 |
| 45-49 | 72,918 | 80,415 | 86,536 | 82,824 | 81,150 | 84,999 | 87,861 |
| 50-54 | 63,371 | 71,309 | 78,611 | 85,668 | 82,092 | 81,295 | 85,400 |
| 55-59 | 48,102 | 55,121 | 61,992 | 69,310 | 75,644 | 73,663 | 73,180 |
| 60-64 | 41,129 | 41,921 | 48,003 | 54,745 | 61,292 | 68,456 | 66,876 |
| 65-69 | 33,231 | 33,174 | 33,787 | 39,254 | 44,813 | 51,890 | 58,134 |
| 70-74 | 28,964 | 24,727 | 24,668 | 25,531 | 29,666 | 35,558 | 41,282 |
| 75-79 | 23,031 | 21,325 | 18,228 | 18,482 | 19,152 | 23,883 | 28,689 |
| 80-84 | 14,283 | 14,042 | 13,008 | 11,370 | 11,547 | 13,459 | 16,811 |
| 85+ | 10,629 | 10,310 | 10,086 | 9,801 | 8,950 | 10,679 | 12,592 |
| Total | 1,073,568 | 1,093,933 | 1,113,430 | 1,147,780 | 1,183,281 | 1,231,581 | 1,276,392 |


|  | Population Decline (of more than 5\%) <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ ) <br> High Growth (Greater than $20 \%$ ) |
| :--- | :--- |

Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding
APPENDIX TABLE 15
Population Projections for Female Population by Age
Queens, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 69,635 | 66,881 | 66,024 | 67,032 | 69,156 | 70,956 | 71,568 |
| 5-9 | 71,043 | 67,540 | 64,792 | 64,701 | 65,760 | 68,082 | 70,039 |
| 10-14 | 68,060 | 73,318 | 69,626 | 67,519 | 67,491 | 68,815 | 71,421 |
| 15-19 | 66,506 | 70,475 | 75,808 | 72,798 | 70,677 | 70,884 | 72,441 |
| 20-24 | 78,680 | 77,875 | 82,463 | 89,571 | 86,079 | 83,827 | 84,252 |
| 25-29 | 92,251 | 89,841 | 88,837 | 95,011 | 103,315 | 99,600 | 97,201 |
| 30-34 | 94,940 | 90,456 | 88,000 | 88,019 | 94,225 | 102,862 | 99,422 |
| 35-39 | 94,293 | 89,005 | 84,709 | 83,402 | 83,510 | 89,824 | 98,312 |
| 40-44 | 88,343 | 94,082 | 88,708 | 85,376 | 84,143 | 84,701 | 91,339 |
| 45-49 | 78,599 | 84,434 | 89,820 | 85,687 | 82,556 | 81,895 | 82,654 |
| 50-54 | 72,613 | 78,779 | 84,542 | 90,948 | 86,849 | 84,313 | 83,846 |
| 55-59 | 57,553 | 64,788 | 70,199 | 76,279 | 82,147 | 79,378 | 77,278 |
| 60-64 | 50,391 | 52,456 | 58,971 | 64,678 | 70,352 | 77,018 | 74,627 |
| 65-69 | 43,149 | 43,023 | 44,721 | 50,908 | 55,880 | 62,240 | 68,313 |
| 70-74 | 42,128 | 34,677 | 34,531 | 36,378 | 41,415 | 47,040 | 52,514 |
| 75-79 | 36,759 | 34,383 | 28,353 | 28,617 | 30,159 | 36,025 | 40,975 |
| 80-84 | 25,533 | 24,660 | 23,085 | 19,489 | 19,691 | 22,579 | 26,917 |
| 85+ | 25,335 | 24,033 | 23,055 | 22,352 | 20,263 | 22,770 | 25,841 |
| Total | 1,155,811 | 1,160,706 | 1,166,244 | 1,188,764 | 1,213,668 | 1,252,809 | 1,288,960 |

APPENDIX TABLE 16

## Population Projections for Total Population by Age <br> Staten Island, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 29,783 | 28,972 | 29,183 | 30,367 | 31,760 | 32,721 | 32,602 |
| 5-9 | 32,967 | 31,544 | 30,748 | 30,361 | 31,596 | 33,094 | 34,140 |
| 10-14 | 32,203 | 35,364 | 33,905 | 32,407 | 32,003 | 33,348 | 34,972 |
| 15-19 | 29,274 | 32,328 | 35,509 | 33,364 | 31,925 | 31,591 | 32,937 |
| 20-24 | 26,963 | 29,435 | 32,581 | 35,068 | 32,948 | 31,597 | 31,307 |
| 25-29 | 29,381 | 29,351 | 32,147 | 34,973 | 37,687 | 35,448 | 34,015 |
| 30-34 | 34,136 | 32,192 | 32,224 | 34,616 | 37,652 | 40,646 | 38,289 |
| 35-39 | 36,978 | 34,582 | 32,687 | 32,052 | 34,426 | 37,540 | 40,569 |
| 40-44 | 36,696 | 38,865 | 36,419 | 33,747 | 33,095 | 35,674 | 38,949 |
| 45-49 | 32,446 | 36,601 | 38,854 | 35,645 | 33,019 | 32,546 | 35,138 |
| 50-54 | 30,787 | 33,000 | 37,309 | 38,801 | 35,595 | 33,203 | 32,770 |
| 55-59 | 23,426 | 29,625 | 31,831 | 35,224 | 36,642 | 33,972 | 31,720 |
| 60-64 | 17,255 | 21,780 | 27,617 | 29,002 | 32,094 | 33,964 | 31,532 |
| 65-69 | 14,518 | 15,496 | 19,598 | 24,263 | 25,474 | 28,891 | 30,615 |
| 70-74 | 13,288 | 12,811 | 13,688 | 16,895 | 20,918 | 22,726 | 25,788 |
| 75-79 | 10,397 | 11,355 | 10,977 | 11,418 | 14,054 | 18,298 | 19,877 |
| 80-84 | 7,074 | 7,791 | 8,505 | 8,002 | 8,310 | 11,068 | 14,377 |
| 85+ | 6,156 | 7,156 | 8,026 | 8,492 | 8,399 | 9,809 | 12,309 |
| Total | 443,728 | 468,248 | 491,808 | 504,697 | 517,597 | 536,136 | 551,906 |


| $\square$ | Population Decline (of more than 5\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ ) |
|  | Moderate Growth (5 to 20\%) |
|  | High Growth (Greater than 20\%) |

Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding
APPENDIX TABLE 17

## Population Projections for Male Population by Age <br> Staten Island, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 15,329 | 14,922 | 15,030 | 15,640 | 16,358 | 16,857 | 16,796 |
| 5-9 | 16,923 | 16,292 | 15,890 | 15,725 | 16,368 | 17,140 | 17,684 |
| 10-14 | 16,474 | 17,917 | 17,283 | 16,561 | 16,395 | 17,083 | 17,909 |
| 15-19 | 15,192 | 16,650 | 18,118 | 17,166 | 16,468 | 16,331 | 17,025 |
| 20-24 | 13,621 | 15,047 | 16,518 | 17,637 | 16,720 | 16,082 | 15,969 |
| 25-29 | 14,544 | 14,710 | 16,303 | 17,617 | 18,830 | 17,877 | 17,207 |
| 30-34 | 16,680 | 16,066 | 16,278 | 17,731 | 19,160 | 20,511 | 19,500 |
| 35-39 | 17,996 | 16,929 | 16,341 | 16,258 | 17,706 | 19,176 | 20,546 |
| 40-44 | 17,836 | 18,821 | 17,737 | 16,825 | 16,746 | 18,304 | 19,847 |
| 45-49 | 15,575 | 17,610 | 18,625 | 17,225 | 16,340 | 16,355 | 17,908 |
| 50-54 | 14,548 | 15,713 | 17,809 | 18,499 | 17,110 | 16,361 | 16,396 |
| 55-59 | 11,154 | 13,773 | 14,917 | 16,596 | 17,250 | 16,148 | 15,456 |
| 60-64 | 8,255 | 10,183 | 12,600 | 13,370 | 14,880 | 15,778 | 14,791 |
| 65-69 | 6,679 | 7,250 | 8,955 | 10,839 | 11,504 | 13,179 | 13,991 |
| 70-74 | 5,624 | 5,485 | 5,969 | 7,209 | 8,730 | 9,671 | 11,095 |
| 75-79 | 4,004 | 4,475 | 4,377 | 4,648 | 5,602 | 7,221 | 8,004 |
| 80-84 | 2,384 | 2,778 | 3,111 | 2,965 | 3,149 | 4,192 | 5,409 |
| 85+ | 1,667 | 1,960 | 2,288 | 2,495 | 2,495 | 3,067 | 3,967 |
| Total | 214,485 | 226,581 | 238,149 | 245,006 | 251,811 | 261,333 | 269,500 |

## APPENDIX TABLE 18

Population Projections for Female Population by Age Staten Island, 2000-2030

| Age | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 14,454 | 14,050 | 14,153 | 14,727 | 15,402 | 15,864 | 15,806 |
| 5-9 | 16,044 | 15,252 | 14,858 | 14,636 | 15,228 | 15,954 | 16,456 |
| 10-14 | 15,729 | 17,447 | 16,622 | 15,846 | 15,608 | 16,265 | 17,063 |
| 15-19 | 14,082 | 15,678 | 17,391 | 16,198 | 15,457 | 15,260 | 15,912 |
| 20-24 | 13,342 | 14,388 | 16,063 | 17,431 | 16,228 | 15,515 | 15,338 |
| 25-29 | 14,837 | 14,641 | 15,844 | 17,356 | 18,857 | 17,571 | 16,808 |
| 30-34 | 17,456 | 16,126 | 15,946 | 16,885 | 18,492 | 20,135 | 18,789 |
| 35-39 | 18,982 | 17,653 | 16,346 | 15,794 | 16,720 | 18,364 | 20,023 |
| 40-44 | 18,860 | 20,044 | 18,682 | 16,922 | 16,349 | 17,370 | 19,102 |
| 45-49 | 16,871 | 18,991 | 20,229 | 18,420 | 16,679 | 16,191 | 17,230 |
| 50-54 | 16,239 | 17,287 | 19,500 | 20,302 | 18,485 | 16,842 | 16,374 |
| 55-59 | 12,272 | 15,852 | 16,914 | 18,628 | 19,392 | 17,824 | 16,264 |
| 60-64 | 9,000 | 11,597 | 15,017 | 15,632 | 17,214 | 18,186 | 16,741 |
| 65-69 | 7,839 | 8,246 | 10,643 | 13,424 | 13,970 | 15,712 | 16,624 |
| 70-74 | 7,664 | 7,326 | 7,719 | 9,686 | 12,188 | 13,055 | 14,693 |
| 75-79 | 6,393 | 6,880 | 6,600 | 6,770 | 8,452 | 11,077 | 11,873 |
| 80-84 | 4,690 | 5,013 | 5,394 | 5,037 | 5,161 | 6,876 | 8,968 |
| 85+ | 4,489 | 5,196 | 5,738 | 5,997 | 5,904 | 6,742 | 8,342 |
| Total | 229,243 | 241,667 | 253,659 | 259,691 | 265,786 | 274,803 | 282,406 |


|  | Population Decline (of more than 5\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Minimal Change ( -5 to $4.9 \%$ ) |
|  | Moderate Growth (5 to 20\%) |
|  | High Growth (Greater than 20\%) |

Percent Change Calculated on 2000 Population
Age groups may not add up to the total due to rounding
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[^0]:    *Unadjusted decennial census data, 1950-2000; projected shares, 2010-2030.

[^1]:    ENDNOTES
    1 This picture, however, masks the fact that initial gains in the decade are offset by more precipitous declines later on (please see tables in Appendix 2 for the detailed changes).

    2 While the school-age population increased in the first half of the decade and is projected to decline in the second-half of the decade, the reverse is true for the elderly population, comprising those ages 65 and over. Please see tables in Appendix 2 for the detailed data

    3 Although survival was adjusted for all ages, the largest improvements are in the oldest age groups.

