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## Eagle River High School Overview

## Statement of Program

Eagle River High School is the newest comprehensive High School in the Anchorage School District. ERHS offers an academic program with a rich focus on required coursework, the arts, physical education, and technology. ERHS is proud of our ability to provide a technology infused program. All subject areas and departments of the school utilize the latest technology and programs available in order to provide an enhanced academic setting for students and staff. Eagle River High School opened with 740 students grades 9-12. The smaller learning community environment and commitment to personalize education for every child has afforded ERHS excellent passing rates on the HSGQE in our opening year.

From the Report Card/MLP

| SCHOOL GOALS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Goal | Level of Achievement |
| $90 \%$ of all students will be proficient in Language Arts. 82\% of all <br> students will be proficient in math as reported for AYP. | Attained |
| Provide a collegial environment that fosters high academic standards <br> and staff cohesiveness. | Attained |
| Students at Eagle River High School feel safe, comfortable, and <br> experience a positive school climate. | Attained |

From the Report Card/MLP

|  | BUDGET $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2004-2005 Actual Expenditures | $\$ 9,782$ |
| 2004-06 Revised Budgeted Amount | $\$ 4,864,366$ |
| 2006-07 Proposed Budget | $\$ 5,135,850$ |
| *Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only. |  |

From IFAS

|  | School | District | Source of Information |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Membership | 726 | 50,051 | Fall OASIS |
| Capacity | $83 \%$ | N/A | Board Report - \#189 3/7/06 |
| Attendance Rate | $94 \%$ | $93 \%$ | SMS end of year report |
| Transiency Rate | $18.34 \%$ | $22.72 \%$ | Report Card File |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 61 | 16,769 | Fall OASIS |
| Ave. Weekly Volunteer Hours | 10 | 5,032 | Report Card File |
| Graduation Rate | $82.6 \%$ | $63.91 \%$ | Cohort group rate - NCLB formula |
| Dropout Rate | $3.05 \%$ | $5.78 \%$ | OASIS end of year report |
| AP courses offered in 2005-2006 | 12 | 25 | SMS transcript file |
| Students successfully completing AP courses | 49 | 1,386 | SMS transcript file |
| AP courses completed by students | 65 | 2,524 | SMS transcript file |
| Students Taking an Alternate Assessment | 0 | 264 | SMS |
| Students Taking a HSGQE Alternative Assessment | 5 | 270 | SMS |
| Change in Enrollment | N/A | $0.3 \%$ | Report Card File |

Eagle River High School Characteristics

|  | Teacher Qualification |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of teachers | \% fully licensed | \% with advanced <br> degrees | \# Nationally Board <br> Certified | \% of classes taught <br> by "highly qualified <br> teachers" |
| 41 | $100 \%$ | $53 \%$ | 1 | $83 \%$ |


|  | SCHOOL BUSINESS PARTNERSHIPS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kaladi Brothers |  |
| Dooley's Athletic Supply |  |
| Ft. Richardson Youth Services |  |

From MLP

|  | ACCREDITATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Accreditation | Name of Accrediting Organization | Level of Accreditation |  |
| 2005 | Northwest Association of Accredited Schools | Provisional |  |


| ETHNICITY REPORT - OCTOBER 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | African | AK Native/ | Asian/ |  |  |  |
| Caucasian | American | American Indian | Pac. Islander | Hispanic | Multi-Ethnic | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 529 | 64 | 28 | 32 | 37 | 36 | 726 |
| $72.87 \%$ | $8.82 \%$ | $3.86 \%$ | $4.41 \%$ | $5.10 \%$ | $4.96 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

From Fall Oasis

| TOTAL DROPOUT RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Type | AK Native/ <br> American <br> Indian | Asian/ <br> Pac. <br> Islander | African <br> American | Hispanic | Caucasian | Multi- <br> Ethnic | Total |
| Enrollment | 32 | 33 | 73 | 41 | 561 | 46 | 786 |
| Final Dropouts | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 24 |
| $\%$ of Dropouts | $3.13 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ | $6.52 \%$ | $3.05 \%$ |

From Summer Oasis

|  | FULL ACADEMIC YEAR (FAY) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students | Students | Percent |
| Enrolled | Enrolled for | FAY |
|  | FAY |  |
| 464 | 431 | $92.9 \%$ |

From participation file (3-10 graders)

Eagle River High School Characteristics

| Test | Students/Subject | 2001-2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SAT/ACT } \\ & \underline{2002-2003} \end{aligned}$ | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 | 2005-2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Graduates |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| SAT | Percent Tested |  | New School 2005-2006 |  |  | 36\% |
|  | Critical Reading* |  |  |  |  | 512 |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | 513 |
|  | Writing |  |  |  |  | 478 |
| ACT | Percent Tested |  | New School 2005-2006 |  |  | - |
|  | English |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | - |

*Reported as Verbal Score prior to 2005-2006

Anchorage School District 2005-2006 Adequate Yearly Progress

| Meets AYP |  |  |  |  | Eagle River High School |  |  |  |  | AMO For Language Arts: 71.48\% AMO For Math: $57.61 \%$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Participation Rate |  |  |  | "FAY" | Language Arts Performance |  |  |  | Math Performance |  |  |  |
| If there are 20 or fewer students enrolled, value is "N/A" | (A) <br> Number <br> Enrolled | (B) <br> Number <br> Tested | Participation Rate (\%) | (C) <br> Participation Rate Met | (D) <br> Tested and Enrolled "FAY" | (E) <br> Proficient on LA | (F) <br> Percent Proficient on LA | (G) <br> LA Target | $(\mathrm{H})$ <br> Met AMO for LA | (I) <br> Proficient on Math | (J) <br> Percent Proficient in Math | (K) <br> Math <br> Target | (L) <br> Met AMO for Math |
| All Students | 464 | 459 | 98.9\% | Yes | 426 | 385 | 90.4\% | 66.4\% | Yes | 321 | 75.4\% | 52\% | Yes |
| African American | 41 | 41 | 100\% | Yes | 39 | 29 | 74.4\% | 54.6\% | Yes | 20 | 51.3\% | 39.2\% | Yes |
| AkNa \& AmIn | 19 | 18 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | 20 | 20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Caucasian | 337 | 335 | 99.4\% | Yes | 316 | 294 | 93\% | 65.6\% | Yes | 250 | 79.1\% | 51.1\% | Yes |
| Hispanic | 20 | 19 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Multi-Ethnic | 27 | 26 | 96.3\% | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Low Income | 43 | 43 | 100\% | Yes | 37 | 26 | 70.3\% | 54.2\% | Yes | 20 | 54.1\% | 38.7\% | Yes |
| Disabled | 32 | 31 | 96.9\% | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| LEP | 15 | 15 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Graduation Rate: |  | 82.6\% (Threshold is 55.58\%) |  |  |  |

$N / A$ is used to indicate when a group does not need to be evaluated when:
(1) 20 or fewer students are enrolled in a group for all groups except "All Students".
(2) 40 or fewer students are enrolled FAY (column D) in the Disabled \& LEP groups.

* For the groups where the number enrolled is fewer than or equal to 40, participation is met when no more than 2 miss the test. Groups can also meet this target using the average of the past two or three years.
** Where a group achieves a "Yes" without meeting the listed target, it has done so using either the $99 \%$ confidence interval or Safe Harbor.
*** Results cannot be published without releasing personally identifiable information.
This document was prepared by the ASD Assessment and Evaluation
7/19/2006

Eagle River High HSGQE - 10th Grade Results

| Reading | Proficient |  | Not Proficient |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | School | District | School | District |
| 2005-2006 | $84.4 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| $2004-2005$ |  | $72.5 \%$ |  | $27.5 \%$ |
| $2003-2004$ |  | $73.3 \%$ |  | $26.7 \%$ |
| $2002-2003$ |  | $72.8 \%$ |  | $27.1 \%$ |


| Writing | Proficient |  | Not Proficient |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | School | District | School | District |
| $2005-2006$ | $97.3 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| $2004-2005$ |  | $85.6 \%$ |  | $14.4 \%$ |
| $2003-2004$ |  | $87.0 \%$ |  | $13.0 \%$ |
| $2002-2003$ |  | $85.4 \%$ |  | $14.6 \%$ |


| Math | Proficient |  | Not Proficient |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | School | District | School | District |
| $2005-2006$ | $88.4 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ |
| $2004-2005$ |  | $76.3 \%$ |  | $14.4 \%$ |
| $2003-2004$ |  | $69.8 \%$ |  | $30.2 \%$ |
| 2002-2003 |  | $74.3 \%$ |  | $25.7 \%$ |


| Eagle River High HSGQE Scores Spring 2006 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Proficient |  | Percent | Number |
| Students | Number |  |  |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |
| Reading | 190 | $84.4 \%$ | 35 |
| Writing | 219 | $97.3 \%$ | 6 |
| Math | 199 | $88.4 \%$ | 26 |

Eagle River High School SBA Proficiency - Spring 2006

|  | Reading |  | Writing |  | Math |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2005 <br> Proficient | 2006 <br> Proficient | 2005 <br> Proficient | 2006 <br> Proficient | 2005 <br> Proficient | 2006 <br> Proficient |
| All Grades | N/A | $91.3 \%$ | N/A | $88.5 \%$ | N/A | $73.9 \%$ |
| 9th Grade | N/A | $91.9 \%$ | N/A | $87.6 \%$ | N/A | $76.1 \%$ |
| 10th Grade | N/A | $90.7 \%$ | N/A | $89.3 \%$ | N/A | $71.6 \%$ |

## SBA Spring 2006 Proficiency Rate Comparison of School, District, and State *



[^0]Anchorage School District: Profile of Performance 2005-06

Eagle River High SBA Proficiency by NCLB Groups - Spring 2006

|  |  | Reading |  | Writing |  | Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proficient | Not Proficient | Proficient | Not Proficient | Proficient | Not Proficient |
| Ethnicity | All Students | 91.3\% | 8.7\% | 88.5\% | 11.5\% | 73.9\% | 26.1\% |
|  | African American | 75.6\% | 24.4\% | 78.0\% | 22.0\% | 51.2\% | 48.8\% |
|  | AK Native/ American Indian | 83.3\% | 16.7\% | 77.8\% | 22.2\% | 55.6\% | 44.4\% |
|  | Asian | 75.0\% | 25.0\% | 70.0\% | 30.0\% | 75.0\% | 25.0\% |
|  | Caucasian | 94.3\% | 5.7\% | 90.7\% | 9.3\% | 77.9\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Hispanic | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.2\% | 36.8\% |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | 88.5\% | 11.5\% | 88.5\% | 11.5\% | 76.9\% | 23.1\% |
| Low Income | Yes | 74.4\% | 25.6\% | 79.1\% | 20.9\% | 48.8\% | 51.2\% |
|  | No | 93.0\% | 7.0\% | 89.4\% | 10.6\% | 76.4\% | 23.6\% |
| Disabled | Yes | 67.7\% | 32.3\% | 48.4\% | 51.6\% | 19.4\% | 80.6\% |
|  | No | 93.0\% | 7.0\% | 91.4\% | 8.6\% | 77.8\% | 22.2\% |
| LEP | Yes | 73.3\% | 26.7\% | 66.7\% | 33.3\% | 40.0\% | 60.0\% |
|  | No | 91.9\% | 8.1\% | 89.2\% | 10.8\% | 75.0\% | 25.0\% |
| Migrant | Yes | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 80.0\% | 20.0\% | 40.0\% | 60.0\% |
|  | No | 91.2\% | 8.8\% | 88.5\% | 11.5\% | 74.2\% | 25.8\% |
| Gender | Female | 93.2\% | 6.8\% | 92.7\% | 7.3\% | 74.9\% | 25.1\% |
|  | Male | 89.6\% | 10.4\% | 84.6\% | 15.4\% | 72.9\% | 27.1\% |

Eagle River High SBA Mean Scale Score Comparison: 2004-2005 to 2005-2006

|  |  | Reading |  | Writing |  | Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004-2005 <br> Mean Scale Score | 2005-2006 <br> Mean Scale Score | 2004-2005 <br> Mean Scale Score | 2005-2006 <br> Mean Scale Score | 2004-2005 <br> Mean Scale Score | 2005-2006 <br> Mean Scale Score |
| Ethnicity | All Students | N/A | 394.3 | N/A | 371.4 | N/A | 343.8 |
|  | African American | N/A | 379.4 | N/A | 360.3 | N/A | 322.4 |
|  | AK Native/ <br> American <br> Indian | N/A | 378.9 | N/A | 363.4 | N/A | 353.9 |
|  | Asian | N/A | 360.5 | N/A | 340.2 | N/A | 343.5 |
|  | Caucasian | N/A | 400.2 | N/A | 376.8 | N/A | 347.1 |
|  | Hispanic | N/A | 372.5 | N/A | 357.3 | N/A | 335.0 |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | N/A | 387.5 | N/A | 352.6 | N/A | 329.5 |
| Low Income | Yes | N/A | 366.7 | N/A | 340.1 | N/A | 324.6 |
|  | No | N/A | 396.3 | N/A | 373.7 | N/A | 345.2 |
| Disabled | Yes | N/A | 308.5 | N/A | 282.9 | N/A | 256.4 |
|  | No | N/A | 400.2 | N/A | 377.5 | N/A | 349.8 |
| LEP | Yes | N/A | 327.9 | N/A | 299.0 | N/A | 294.9 |
|  | No | N/A | 396.4 | N/A | 373.7 | N/A | 345.3 |
| Migrant | Yes | N/A | . | N/A | . | N/A |  |
|  | No | N/A | 394.3 | N/A | 371.4 | N/A | 343.8 |
| Gender | Female | N/A | 401.4 | N/A | 383.1 | N/A | 344.1 |
|  | Male | N/A | 387.9 | N/A | 360.9 | N/A | 343.5 |

. No students tested in both years in this category

Anchorage School District: Profile of Performance
Eagle River High SBA Growth in Average Scale Score by NCLB Groups: Reading

|  |  | Average Scale Score of Students Tested in District Both Years |  |  | Average Scale Score of Students Tested in District Both Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade 8 <br> in 2005 | Grade 9 <br> in 2006 | Difference | Grade 9 in 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 10 \\ \text { in } 2006 \end{gathered}$ | Difference |
| Ethnicity | All Students | 394.0 | 394.4 | 0.4 | 380.7 | 382.2 | 1.5 |
|  | African <br> American | 369.8 | 378.9 | 9.1 | 320.7 | 318.3 | -2.3 |
|  | AK Native/ American Indian | 391.7 | 390.5 | -1.2 | 314.1 | 334.2 | 20.1 |
|  | Asian | 402.3 | 360.8 | -41.5 | 361.4 | 367.7 | 6.3 |
|  | Caucasian | 396.7 | 400.2 | 3.5 | 389.9 | 394.1 | 4.2 |
|  | Hispanic | 386.7 | 373.3 | -13.4 | 346.0 | 384.4 | 38.4 |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | 388.1 | 387.7 | -0.4 | 424.8 | 383.9 | -40.9 |
| Low Income | Yes | 375.4 | 366.7 | -8.7 | 338.2 | 340.0 | 1.8 |
|  | No | 394.9 | 396.1 | 1.2 | 386.3 | 387.8 | 1.5 |
| Disabled | Yes | 301.0 | 309.4 | 8.4 | 307.7 | 337.2 | 29.5 |
|  | No | 401.8 | 399.9 | -1.9 | 387.7 | 386.3 | -1.3 |
| LEP | Yes | 349.2 | 328.0 | -21.2 | 291.1 | 341.7 | 50.6 |
|  | No | 395.3 | 395.8 | 0.5 | 383.4 | 383.7 | 0.3 |
| Migrant | Yes | . | . | . | 373.9 | 376.1 | 2.2 |
|  | No | 393.7 | 394.3 | 0.7 | 382.0 | 383.4 | 1.4 |
| Gender | Female | 403.1 | 400.7 | -2.4 | 386.8 | 385.9 | -0.9 |
|  | Male | 386.4 | 387.7 | 1.3 | 376.2 | 379.0 | 2.8 |

. No students tested in both years in this category

Measure of Growth in SBA Reading for Students Who Tested in 2005 and Again in 2006


Anchorage School District: Profile of Performance
Eagle River High SBA Growth in Average Scale Score by NCLB Groups: Writing

|  |  | Average Scale Score of Students Tested in District Both Years |  |  | Average Scale Score of Students Tested in District Both Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade 8 <br> in 2005 | Grade 9 in 2006 | Difference | Grade 9 <br> in 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 10 \\ \text { in } 2006 \end{gathered}$ | Difference |
| Ethnicity | All Students | 371.7 | 371.2 | -0.5 | 364.9 | 373.1 | 8.2 |
|  | African American | 351.7 | 360.3 | 8.7 | 307.0 | 321.4 | 14.4 |
|  | AK Native/ American Indian | 343.6 | 381.7 | 38.1 | 286.8 | 305.4 | 18.6 |
|  | Asian | 383.4 | 339.7 | -43.7 | 375.2 | 377.0 | 1.8 |
|  | Caucasian | 375.9 | 377.1 | 1.2 | 373.3 | 382.8 | 9.5 |
|  | Hispanic | 359.0 | 357.4 | -1.6 | 343.7 | 367.2 | 23.5 |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | 348.8 | 352.9 | 4.1 | 390.7 | 370.3 | -20.4 |
| Low Income | Yes | 354.2 | 340.0 | -14.2 | 320.1 | 330.7 | 10.6 |
|  | No | 373.3 | 373.8 | 0.5 | 370.4 | 378.7 | 8.3 |
| Disabled | Yes | 285.7 | 283.2 | -2.5 | 294.9 | 307.1 | 12.2 |
|  | No | 378.7 | 377.3 | -1.3 | 371.0 | 378.4 | 7.4 |
| LEP | Yes | 334.1 | 298.7 | -35.4 | 293.8 | 322.9 | 29.1 |
|  | No | 373.4 | 373.7 | 0.3 | 366.2 | 375.0 | 8.8 |
| Migrant | Yes | . | . | . | 364.3 | 331.8 | -32.5 |
|  | No | 372.0 | 371.4 | -0.6 | 364.7 | 374.2 | 9.5 |
| Gender | Female | 388.8 | 382.9 | -5.9 | 372.7 | 387.3 | 14.7 |
|  | Male | 355.2 | 361.0 | 5.8 | 357.1 | 359.7 | 2.6 |

. No students tested in both years in this category
Measure of Growth in SBA Writing for Students Who Tested in 2005 and Again in 2006


Eagle River High SBA Growth in Average Scale Score by NCLB Groups: Math

|  |  | Average Scale Score of Students Tested in District Both Years |  |  | Average Scale Score of Students Tested in District Both Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade 8 <br> in 2005 | Grade 9 <br> in 2006 | Difference | Grade 9 in 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 10 \\ \text { in } 2006 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Difference |
| Ethnicity | All Students | 353.0 | 344.4 | -8.6 | 346.7 | 349.2 | 2.5 |
|  | African <br> American | 319.8 | 321.9 | 2.1 | 282.7 | 295.3 | 12.7 |
|  | AK Native/ American Indian | 315.2 | 349.5 | 34.3 | 300.6 | 288.2 | -12.4 |
|  | Asian | 368.3 | 343.8 | -24.5 | 389.4 | 361.7 | -27.7 |
|  | Caucasian | 358.7 | 347.2 | -11.5 | 351.9 | 356.1 | 4.2 |
|  | Hispanic | 349.7 | 335.3 | -14.4 | 314.0 | 318.4 | 4.4 |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | 328.1 | 329.7 | 1.6 | 401.8 | 374.9 | -26.9 |
| Low Income | Yes | 334.4 | 324.7 | -9.7 | 296.2 | 305.0 | 8.8 |
|  | No | 353.9 | 345.1 | -8.8 | 353.3 | 353.8 | 0.5 |
| Disabled | Yes | 245.0 | 256.4 | 11.4 | 268.7 | 271.2 | 2.5 |
|  | No | 361.8 | 349.9 | -11.9 | 353.7 | 354.3 | 0.7 |
| LEP | Yes | 335.2 | 295.0 | -40.2 | 281.1 | 283.7 | 2.6 |
|  | No | 353.3 | 344.8 | -8.5 | 348.4 | 350.7 | 2.3 |
| Migrant | Yes | . | . | . | 299.9 | 293.1 | -6.8 |
|  | No | 352.7 | 344.3 | -8.3 | 348.0 | 350.4 | 2.4 |
| Gender | Female | 356.1 | 343.7 | -12.4 | 345.8 | 346.9 | 1.1 |
|  | Male | 350.4 | 342.7 | -7.7 | 349.2 | 350.0 | 0.8 |

. No students tested in both years in this category
Measure of Growth in SBA Math for Students Who Tested in 2005 and Again in 2006


Eagle River Grades 9-10 SBA Results Compared with District and State: Reading

| Reading |  | \% Advanced |  |  | \% Proficient |  |  | \% Below Proficient |  |  | \% Far Below Proficient |  |  | Total Students Tested <br> $\bar{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{6}$ फ | Percent Tested |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \ddot{H} \\ & \ddot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\#}{\approx} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\omega} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\#}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \stackrel{4}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \overrightarrow{A n} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \stackrel{H}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \vec{A} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| Ethnicity | All Students | 52.5\% | 37.9\% | 35.1\% | 38.8\% | 43.0\% | 43.6\% | 8.1\% | 15.2\% | 17.2\% | 0.7\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 464 | 98.9\% | 94.9\% | 93.7\% |
|  | African American | 29.3\% | 19.8\% | 19.9\% | 46.3\% | 45.3\% | 47.0\% | 22.0\% | 26.9\% | 26.0\% | 2.4\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 41 | 100.0\% | 94.2\% | 94.0\% |
|  | AK Native/ American Indian | 55.6\% | 21.7\% | 15.6\% | 27.8\% | 44.8\% | 45.0\% | 16.7\% | 26.2\% | 31.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 8.3\% | 19 | 94.7\% | 91.7\% | 92.0\% |
|  | Asian | 35.0\% | 20.7\% | 24.0\% | 40.0\% | 49.1\% | 49.0\% | 25.0\% | 22.5\% | 21.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 5.8\% | 20 | 100.0\% | 95.7\% | 94.6\% |
|  | Caucasian | 56.1\% | 49.3\% | 46.5\% | 38.2\% | 40.6\% | 42.0\% | 5.1\% | 8.5\% | 9.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 337 | 99.4\% | 96.0\% | 94.4\% |
|  | Hispanic | 42.1\% | 20.4\% | 23.7\% | 57.9\% | 48.8\% | 46.7\% | 0.0\% | 24.3\% | 23.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 6.4\% | 20 | 95.0\% | 93.3\% | 91.9\% |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | 61.5\% | 31.5\% | 33.3\% | 26.9\% | 40.1\% | 42.6\% | 11.5\% | 21.6\% | 18.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 5.4\% | 27 | 96.3\% | 91.5\% | 92.6\% |
| Low Income | Yes | 39.5\% | 17.0\% | 18.9\% | 34.9\% | 44.1\% | 44.8\% | 23.3\% | 28.8\% | 28.4\% | 2.3\% | 10.1\% | 7.9\% | 43 | 100.0\% | 90.7\% | 92.7\% |
|  | No | 53.8\% | 44.1\% | 42.4\% | 39.2\% | 42.6\% | 43.1\% | 6.5\% | 11.1\% | 12.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | 421 | 98.8\% | 96.3\% | 94.1\% |
| Disabled | Yes | 12.9\% | 5.7\% | 6.1\% | 54.8\% | 35.4\% | 32.0\% | 32.3\% | 40.1\% | 42.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% | 19.4\% | 32 | 96.9\% | 85.3\% | 86.5\% |
|  | No | 55.4\% | 41.5\% | 38.4\% | 37.6\% | 43.8\% | 44.9\% | 6.3\% | 12.4\% | 14.2\% | 0.7\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 432 | 99.1\% | 96.2\% | 94.6\% |
| LEP | Yes | 20.0\% | 7.1\% | 5.6\% | 53.3\% | 48.8\% | 43.7\% | 26.7\% | 32.5\% | 39.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 11.7\% | 15 | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 92.6\% |
|  | No | 53.6\% | 42.8\% | 39.6\% | 38.3\% | 42.0\% | 43.6\% | 7.4\% | 12.4\% | 13.8\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 449 | 98.9\% | 95.3\% | 93.9\% |
| Migrant | Yes | 60.0\% | 20.6\% | 19.5\% | 40.0\% | 49.4\% | 43.6\% | 0.0\% | 21.7\% | 28.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 8.8\% | 5 | 100.0\% | 90.9\% | 94.3\% |
|  | No | 52.4\% | 38.3\% | 36.1\% | 38.8\% | 42.8\% | 43.6\% | 8.1\% | 15.0\% | 16.4\% | 0.7\% | 3.9\% | 3.8\% | 459 | 98.9\% | 95.1\% | 93.7\% |
| Gender | Female | 53.9\% | 40.4\% | 37.7\% | 39.3\% | 43.8\% | 45.1\% | 6.8\% | 12.9\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 220 | 99.5\% | 95.4\% | 93.8\% |
|  | Male | 51.3\% | 35.4\% | 32.6\% | 38.3\% | 42.2\% | 42.2\% | 9.2\% | 17.4\% | 19.8\% | 1.3\% | 5.0\% | 5.4\% | 244 | 98.4\% | 94.5\% | 93.6\% |

Eagle River Grades 9-10 SBA Results Compared with District and State: Writing

| Writing |  | \% Advanced |  |  | \% Proficient |  |  | \% Below Proficient |  |  | \% Far Below Proficient |  |  | Total Students Tested <br>  | Percent Tested |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{E} \\ & \underset{\theta}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{Z} \\ & \vec{A} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{E} \\ & \underset{\theta}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\leftrightarrows} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{4}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | H | \% |
| Ethnicity | All Students | 7.6\% | 5.6\% | 4.4\% | 80.8\% | 71.2\% | 69.0\% | 9.6\% | 16.9\% | 19.5\% | 2.0\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 464 | 98.9\% | 94.6\% | 93.4\% |
|  | African <br> American | 2.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 75.6\% | 60.4\% | 61.6\% | 19.5\% | 26.9\% | 26.0\% | 2.4\% | 11.5\% | 11.3\% | 41 | 100.0\% | 94.3\% | 94.3\% |
|  | AK Native/ American Indian | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 77.8\% | 57.6\% | 53.6\% | 22.2\% | 28.7\% | 32.9\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 12.5\% | 19 | 94.7\% | 90.3\% | 91.7\% |
|  | Asian | 10.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 60.0\% | 64.6\% | 67.3\% | 25.0\% | 23.6\% | 22.9\% | 5.0\% | 9.3\% | 7.0\% | 20 | 100.0\% | 95.9\% | 94.4\% |
|  | Caucasian | 9.0\% | 7.9\% | 6.4\% | 81.8\% | 77.8\% | 76.6\% | 7.5\% | 10.9\% | 12.7\% | 1.8\% | 3.4\% | 4.2\% | 337 | 99.4\% | 95.8\% | 94.2\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5.3\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 94.7\% | 67.1\% | 64.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.9\% | 23.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 9.3\% | 20 | 95.0\% | 92.0\% | 91.1\% |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | 3.8\% | 4.1\% | 3.9\% | 84.6\% | 61.5\% | 66.4\% | 7.7\% | 24.1\% | 21.7\% | 3.8\% | 10.3\% | 8.0\% | 27 | 96.3\% | 91.2\% | 91.9\% |
| Low Income | Yes | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 79.1\% | 54.8\% | 55.3\% | 16.3\% | 30.0\% | 30.4\% | 4.7\% | 13.9\% | 12.7\% | 43 | 100.0\% | 89.6\% | 92.2\% |
|  | No | 8.4\% | 6.9\% | 5.8\% | 81.0\% | 76.0\% | 75.2\% | 8.9\% | 13.0\% | 14.6\% | 1.7\% | 4.1\% | 4.4\% | 421 | 98.8\% | 96.2\% | 94.0\% |
| Disabled | Yes | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 48.4\% | 26.2\% | 24.5\% | 45.2\% | 43.1\% | 44.3\% | 6.5\% | 30.6\% | 31.0\% | 32 | 96.9\% | 85.4\% | 86.6\% |
|  | No | 8.2\% | 6.2\% | 5.0\% | 83.2\% | 76.3\% | 74.2\% | 7.0\% | 13.9\% | 16.6\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | 4.2\% | 432 | 99.1\% | 95.8\% | 94.3\% |
| LEP | Yes | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 66.7\% | 51.5\% | 45.1\% | 20.0\% | 33.2\% | 38.8\% | 13.3\% | 15.1\% | 15.8\% | 15 | 100.0\% | 92.6\% | 92.6\% |
|  | No | 7.9\% | 6.4\% | 5.1\% | 81.3\% | 74.4\% | 72.7\% | 9.2\% | 14.2\% | 16.5\% | 1.6\% | 4.9\% | 5.6\% | 449 | 98.9\% | 94.9\% | 93.6\% |
| Migrant | Yes | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.2\% | 80.0\% | 57.4\% | 56.0\% | 20.0\% | 29.5\% | 30.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 12.2\% | 5 | 100.0\% | 88.9\% | 94.3\% |
|  | No | 7.7\% | 5.7\% | 4.7\% | 80.8\% | 71.5\% | 69.9\% | 9.5\% | 16.6\% | 18.8\% | 2.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.7\% | 459 | 98.9\% | 94.7\% | 93.4\% |
| Gender | Female | 10.5\% | 7.2\% | 6.3\% | 82.2\% | 76.4\% | 75.3\% | 6.4\% | 12.7\% | 14.7\% | 0.9\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | 220 | 99.5\% | 95.1\% | 93.6\% |
|  | Male | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.7\% | 79.6\% | 66.1\% | 63.1\% | 12.5\% | 20.9\% | 24.0\% | 2.9\% | 9.0\% | 10.1\% | 244 | 98.4\% | 94.1\% | 93.2\% |

Eagle River Grades 9-10 SBA Results Compared with District and State: Math

| Math |  | \% Advanced |  |  | \% Proficient |  |  | \% Below Proficient |  |  | \% Far Below Proficient |  |  | Total Students Tested <br> 하 흥 | Percent Tested |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{心} \\ & \text { फे } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \stackrel{H}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \text { nn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \stackrel{H}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { En } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \text { un } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{E} \\ & \ddot{H} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\check{5}} \\ & \text { ஸ゙ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | \% |
| Ethnicity | All Students | 31.6\% | 24.6\% | 20.6\% | 42.3\% | 39.3\% | 38.5\% | 18.1\% | 18.5\% | 20.7\% | 8.1\% | 17.6\% | 20.2\% | 464 | 98.9\% | 94.3\% | 93.2\% |
|  | African American | 9.8\% | 8.6\% | 8.3\% | 41.5\% | 29.6\% | 29.9\% | 31.7\% | 25.5\% | 26.0\% | 17.1\% | 36.3\% | 35.8\% | 41 | 100.0\% | 94.3\% | 93.9\% |
|  | AK Native/ <br> American <br> Indian | 38.9\% | 11.8\% | 8.7\% | 16.7\% | 38.7\% | 33.0\% | 22.2\% | 22.3\% | 25.0\% | 22.2\% | 27.3\% | 33.3\% | 19 | 94.7\% | 89.0\% | 91.2\% |
|  | Asian | 25.0\% | 19.2\% | 21.8\% | 50.0\% | 40.2\% | 39.8\% | 10.0\% | 20.3\% | 20.5\% | 15.0\% | 20.3\% | 17.9\% | 20 | 100.0\% | 95.9\% | 94.9\% |
|  | Caucasian | 34.9\% | 32.2\% | 27.1\% | 43.0\% | 41.1\% | 41.4\% | 15.8\% | 15.7\% | 18.2\% | 6.3\% | 11.0\% | 13.3\% | 337 | 99.4\% | 95.5\% | 93.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 10.5\% | 12.4\% | 11.1\% | 52.6\% | 35.2\% | 36.9\% | 36.8\% | 24.2\% | 24.8\% | 0.0\% | 28.2\% | 27.2\% | 20 | 95.0\% | 92.0\% | 91.6\% |
|  | Multi-Ethnic | 38.5\% | 15.6\% | 16.3\% | 38.5\% | 36.7\% | 36.9\% | 15.4\% | 20.4\% | 21.4\% | 7.7\% | 27.2\% | 25.4\% | 27 | 96.3\% | 92.2\% | 93.3\% |
| Low Income | Yes | 23.3\% | 9.9\% | 9.5\% | 25.6\% | 33.2\% | 32.7\% | 34.9\% | 24.1\% | 25.5\% | 16.3\% | 32.8\% | 32.3\% | 43 | 100.0\% | 89.6\% | 92.2\% |
|  | No | 32.5\% | 28.9\% | 25.6\% | 44.0\% | 41.1\% | 41.1\% | 16.3\% | 16.8\% | 18.5\% | 7.2\% | 13.2\% | 14.8\% | 421 | 98.8\% | 95.8\% | 93.6\% |
| Disabled | Yes | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 2.4\% | 16.1\% | 12.1\% | 12.7\% | 35.5\% | 24.2\% | 23.9\% | 45.2\% | 60.5\% | 61.0\% | 32 | 96.9\% | 85.4\% | 86.9\% |
|  | No | 33.6\% | 27.0\% | 22.7\% | 44.2\% | 42.4\% | 41.5\% | 16.8\% | 17.8\% | 20.3\% | 5.4\% | 12.8\% | 15.5\% | 432 | 99.1\% | 95.5\% | 94.0\% |
| LEP | Yes | 6.7\% | 8.2\% | 5.7\% | 33.3\% | 33.2\% | 28.8\% | 40.0\% | 25.3\% | 27.4\% | 20.0\% | 33.3\% | 38.2\% | 15 | 100.0\% | 92.9\% | 92.9\% |
|  | No | 32.4\% | 27.2\% | 22.9\% | 42.6\% | 40.3\% | 40.0\% | 17.3\% | 17.4\% | 19.6\% | 7.7\% | 15.1\% | 17.4\% | 449 | 98.9\% | 94.6\% | 93.3\% |
| Migrant | Yes | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 10.9\% | 40.0\% | 40.9\% | 33.9\% | 40.0\% | 21.6\% | 24.1\% | 20.0\% | 28.1\% | 31.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% | 86.4\% | 94.0\% |
|  | No | 31.9\% | 24.9\% | 21.3\% | 42.3\% | 39.3\% | 38.8\% | 17.8\% | 18.4\% | 20.5\% | 7.9\% | 17.4\% | 19.5\% | 459 | 98.9\% | 94.5\% | 93.2\% |
| Gender | Female | 27.9\% | 22.6\% | 19.6\% | 47.0\% | 41.9\% | 40.2\% | 17.8\% | 19.6\% | 21.4\% | 7.3\% | 15.8\% | 18.8\% | 220 | 99.5\% | 94.4\% | 93.2\% |
|  | Male | 35.0\% | 26.4\% | 21.6\% | 37.9\% | 36.8\% | 36.8\% | 18.3\% | 17.4\% | 20.1\% | 8.8\% | 19.4\% | 21.5\% | 244 | 98.4\% | 94.2\% | 93.2\% |



The factors measured in the Student and Staff Climate Survey were:

- Overall Connectedness - Student Survey: The Overall Connectedness score for the student survey was computed as an average of three scales: Respectful Climate, Peer Climate, and Caring Adults.
- Overall Climate - Student Survey: The Overall Climate score for the student survey was computed as the average of three scales: School Leadership, High Expectations, and School Safety.
- Overall Climate - Staff Survey: The Overall Climate score for the staff survey was computed as the average of 6 scales: School Leadership, Respectful Climate, Staff Attitudes, Parent and Community Involvement, Student Involvement, School Safety, Student Delinquency, and Drug and Alcohol Use scores.
- School Leadership: Reflects feelings about the decision making of school leaders, fairness of school rules, and student participation in school governance. 1 indicates a low level of school leadership and 5 indicates a high level of school leadership.
- Respectful Climate: Fairness of rules and how well students and staff treat one another. 1 indicates a lack of respect and 5 indicates a highly respectful climate.
- High Expectations: Expectations of students from peers and adults. 1 indicates a lack of high expectations and 5 indicates very high expectations.
- School Safety: Presence of school bullies, gangs and general crime and violence in the community. 1 indicates a low level of safety and 5 indicates a high level of safety.
- Peer Climate: Reflects feelings about how respectful and helpful students are to one another. 1 indicates a negative peer climate and 5 indicates a very positive climate.
- Caring Adults: Reflects the caring adult relationships students have in the school. 1 indicates a lack of caring relationships and 5 indicates a high number of caring adults.
- Social \& Emotional Learning: Reflects students' ability to think about the consequences of their actions and to empathize with others. 1 indicates poor social and emotional learning and 5 indicates higher levels of social and emotional learning.
- Staff Attitudes: Reflects feelings about competence and attitude towards jobs. 1 indicates more negative attitudes and 5 indicates highly positive attitudes.
- Parent and Community Involvement: Accessibility of the school for parents and how connected adults in the community are to the school. 1 indicates a low level of parent and community involvement and 5 indicates a high level of parent and community involvement.
- Student Involvement: Reflects feelings about how involved students are in the schools' decision making process. 1 indicates a low level of student involvement and 5 indicates a high level of student involvement.
- Student Delinquency: Reflects staff members' reports of witnessed student fights, stealing, and bullying. 1 indicates a high level of delinquency and 5 indicates a low level (5 is most desired).
- Student Drug and Alcohol Use: Reflects staff members' reports of observing students under the influence of drugs or alcohol. 1 indicates a high level of drug and alcohol use and 5 indicates a low level ( 5 is most desired).


[^0]:    * District and State Grades 9 to 10

