FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA BY: M. Land ANALYST

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General RICHARD D. MARINO, State Bar No. 90471 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-8644 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 E-mail: richard.marino@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for Complainant

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS** STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: JAN ADAMS, M.D. 553 Emerald Way Laguna Beach, California 92551

Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A51004,

Respondent.

Case No. 17-2006-175650

ACCUSATION

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

- 1. David T. Thornton (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board).
- 2. On or about July 23, 1992, the Board issued Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number A51004 to Jan Adams, M.D. (Respondent.) Unless renewed, this license will expire on April 30, 2008.

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board's Division of Medical Quality under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.

B. Section 2234 of the Code, in pertinent part, provides:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].

#1

- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
 - C. Section 2239 of the Code provides:
- "(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality may order discipline of the licensee in

accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Criminal Convictions For Alcohol-Related Offenses)

4. Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2239 in that Respondent has sustained multiple convictions for alcohol-related offenses, as follows:

December 21, 2006

A. On or about March 31, 2006, at approximately 2:32 a.m., members of the California Highway Patrol (CHP) observed Respondent driving eastbound in excess of the posted speed limit along Interstate 10 (Santa Monica Freeway), near the La Brea Avenue exit. The CHP officers made a traffic stop at which time they found that Respondent was driving without a valid California driver's license and observed the strong odor of alcohol emanating from Respondent and his vehicle. Respondent was administered a series of field sobriety tests which he did not complete successfully. Respondent was transported to the Central Los Angeles CHP office where he underwent two breath analyzer tests. Each test showed a blood alcohol level of .10 percent. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor.

B. On or about April 4, 2006, in the case entitled *People of the State of California v. Jan Rudalgo Adams*, Los Angeles County Superior Court

Case No. 6MP03940, Respondent was charged with driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor (Count 1); driving with a blood alcohol level of .08 percent or greater, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (Count 2); and, driving with a suspended license, in violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor.

- C. On or about May 10, 2006, Respondent entered not guilty pleas to each of the charged offenses. The matter was set for trial.
- D. On or about October 25, 2006, Respondent withdrew his previously entered not guilty plea to the charge alleged in Count 3-namely, driving with a suspended license-and entered a plea of *nolo contendere*. Adjudication of the remaining charges proceeded to jury trial.
- E. On or about November 11, 2006, the jury found Respondent not guilty of the charge alleged in Count 1-namely, driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and guilty of the charge alleged in Count 2-namely, driving with a blood alcohol level of .08 percent or greater.
- F. On or about December 21, 2006, proceedings were suspended. Respondent was placed on probation for five years with the following terms and conditions, among others: serve 96 hours in the Los Angeles County Jail; perform 45 days of Cal Trans service; participate in the 18 month alcohol treatment and counseling program; enroll in the SB-38 program; and attend 60 Alcoholics Anonymous meetings at the minimum rate of two meetings each week.

May 9, 2003

G. On or about January 16, 2003, in the matter entitled *People of the State of California v. Jan Rudalgo Adams*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Number 3WL00123, Respondent was charged with driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, a

stop for a traffic signal. Respondent did not provide a description of the vehicle.

28

herein Paragraph 4, above, as though fully set forth. 1 **PRAYER** 2 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters 3 herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number 5 1. A51004, issued to Jan Adams, M.D. 6 Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Jan Adams's authority to 7 2. supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code; 3. Ordering Jan Adams, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Medical 9 10 Board of California the costs of probation monitoring; and, Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 11 DATED: <u>April 10, 2007</u> 12 13 14 15 Medical Board of California 16 State of California 17 Complainant 18 19 20 LA2006504285 21 50141198.wpd 22 23 24 25 26

27

28