



22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 6:00 PM ET, October 20, 2003 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations or Matt Sweeney. Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

2003 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Oct. 18, 2003)

				-			(Carrios i	inough oo	t. 10, 2000)								
	AP	USA Today/ ESPN	Poll Avg.	Anderson & Hester	Billingsley	Colley Matrix	Massey	New York Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Comp. Avg.	Schedule Strength	Schedule Rank	Loss Record	Sub- total	Quality Win	Total
1. Oklahoma	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1.33	11	0.44	0	2.77		2.77
2. Miami (FL)	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1.50	30	1.20	0	4.70	- 0.6	4.10
3. Virginia Tech	3	3	3	3	11	4	5	3	16	3	4.83	60	2.40	0	10.23		10.23
4. Georgia	4	5	4.5	8	4	7	7	8	3	8	6.17	33	1.32	1	12.99		12.99
5. Florida State	6.5	7	6.75	5	8	5	4	5	5	4	4.67	18	0.72	1	13.14		13.14
6. Ohio State	8	8	8	7	3	3	3	4	6	5	4.00	5	0.20	1	13.20		13.20
7. So. California	5	4	4.5	11	5	8	6	9	4	12	7.17	29	1.16	1	13.83		13.83
8. Purdue	10	10	10	9	7	11	9	6	13	9	8.50	50	2.00	1	21.50		21.50
9. Washington St.	6.5	6	6.25	14	6	16	18	19	11	17	13.67	76	3.04	1	23.96		23.96
10. Northern Illinois	12	14	13	6	19	6	8	27	8	7	9.00	100	4.00	0	26.00		26.00
11. Nebraska	14	11	12.5	10	33	10	12	10	17	11	11.67	32	1.28	1	26.45		26.45
12. Louisiana State	9	9	9	18	12	15	16	13	18	16	15.00	56	2.24	1	27.24	- 0.7	26.54
13. Michigan State	11	12	11.5	13	18	13	13	12	14	10	12.50	61	2.44	1	27.44		27.44
14. Texas Christian	15	13	14	4	14	9	14	33	15	6	10.33	96	3.84	0	28.17		28.17
15. lowa	16	16	16	16	13	17	11	16	12	14	13.67	10	0.40	2	32.07		32.07

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponent (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses - One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points.

2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy, awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.





FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 6:00 PM ET, October 27, 2003 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations

or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

2003 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Oct. 25, 2003)

								(Carrios i	inough ou	. 20, 2000)								
		AP	USA Today/		Anderson		Colley Matrix		New York		Wolfe	Comp.	Schedule	Schedule Rank	Loss Record	Sub-	Quality Win	Total
		AP	ESPN	Avg.		Billingsley	IVIALIIX	Massey	Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Avg.	Strength		Record	total	WIN	
1.	Oklahoma	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1.17	7	0.28	0	2.45		2.45
2.	Miami (FL)	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	1.67	25	1.00	0	4.67	- 0.8	3.87
3.	Florida State	5	5	5	3	7	4	3	4	4	3	3.50	21	0.84	1	10.34		10.34
4.	Southern California	3	3	3	8	4	6	6	6	5	7	5.67	35	1.40	1	11.07		11.07
5.	Georgia	4	4	4	6	5	5	5	5	3	5	4.67	37	1.48	1	11.15		11.15
6.	Ohio State	8	7	7.5	4	3	3	4	3	8	4	3.50	15	0.60	1	12.60		12.60
7.	Louisiana State	7	8	7.5	14	8	9	8	7.5	10	9	8.58	55	2.20	1	19.28	- 0.6	18.68
8.	Washington State	6	6	6	11	6	12	13	14	9	14	10.83	71	2.84	1	20.67		20.67
9.	Nebraska	12	9	10.5	7	24	7	7	9	12	6	8.00	47	1.88	1	21.38		21.38
10.	Michigan State	9	10	9.5	9	19	11	11	10	13	10	10.67	59	2.36	1	23.53		23.53
11.	lowa	13	14	13.5	13	11	13	9	12	11	8	10.67	10	0.40	2	26.57		26.57
12.	Texas Christian	15	13	14	5	10	8	15	36	14	12	10.67	99	3.96	0	28.63		28.63
13.	Michigan	11	12	11.5	16	9	17	17	17.5	18	15	15.25	46	1.84	2	30.59		30.59
14.	Oklahoma State	14	15	14.5	15	16	15	14	7.5	15	19	13.75	78	3.12	1	32.37		32.37
15.	Tennessee	19	18	18.5	12	15	14	12	11	16	13	12.83	5	0.20	2	33.53		33.53
16.	Virginia Tech	10	11	10.5	17	21	19	27	15.5	40	29	21.42	69	2.76	1	35.68		35.68
17.	Bowling Green	17	20	18.5	22	18	16	16	32	6	16	15.67	89	3.56	1	38.73		38.73
18.	Purdue	18	17	17.5	18	12	20	19	25	20	18	17.83	50	2.00	2	39.33		39.33
19.	Miami (OH)	26	29	27.5	10	23	10	10	24	7	11	11.83	52	2.08	1	42.41		42.41
20.	Missouri	21.5	24	22.75	23	27	18	18	19	17	17	18.67	42	1.68	2	45.10	- 0.2	44.90
21.	Florida	23	21	22	33	13	32	26	13	21	21	21.00	9	0.36	3	46.36	- 0.4	45.96
22.	Texas	16	16	16	28	14	27	31	23	32	30	25.50	75	3.00	2	46.50		46.50
23.	Northern Illinois	21.5	22	21.75	21	26	21	21	54	19	22	21.67	104	4.16	1	48.58		48.58
24.	Minnesota	24	19	21.5	24	25	25	29	30	29	25	26.17	76	3.04	2	52.71		52.71
25.	UCLA	29	32.5	30.75	19	28	24	20	17.5	23	20	20.58	64	2.56	2	55.89		55.89

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponent (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points.

2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy,

awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.





FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 6:00 PM ET, November 03, 2003 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations

or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

2003 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Nov. 1, 2003)

				7			•		. ,								
		USA Today/	Poll	Anderson		Colley		New York			Comp.	Schedule	Schedule	Loss	Sub-	Quality	
	ΑP	ESPŃ	Avg.	& Hester	Billingsley	Matrix	Massey	Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Avg.	Strength	Rank	Record	total	Win	Total
1. Oklahoma	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00	6	0.24	0	2.24		2.24
2. Southern California	2	2	2	6	4	2	5	2	3	5	3.50	13	0.52	1	7.02		7.02
3. Florida State	3	3	3	3	5	4	3	7	5	4	4.00	38	1.52	1	9.52		9.52
4. Miami (FL)	6	7	6.5	2	3	5	2	5	2	3	2.83	18	0.72	1	11.05	- 0.8	10.25
5. Ohio State	7	6	6.5	5	2	3	4	3	6	2	3.17	20	0.80	1	11.47		11.47
6. Virginia Tech	5	5	5	7	6	6	8	4	20	6	6.17	25	1.00	1	13.17	- 0.7	12.47
7. Louisiana State	4	4	4	8	8	8	6	8	9	7	7.50	63	2.52	1	15.02	- 0.1	14.92
8. Michigan	8	8	8	10	10	9	12	9	10	10	9.67	28	1.12	2	20.79		20.79
9. Texas Christian	13	12	12.5	4	13	7	10	33	8	8	8.33	98	3.92	0	24.75		24.75
10. Georgia	9	9	9	14	11	11	16	17	13	12	12.83	33	1.32	2	25.15		25.15
11. lowa	10	10	10	15	14	16	9	16	12	9	12.50	35	1.40	2	25.90	- 0.3	25.60
12. Texas	11	11	11	19	12	22	17	11	22	14	15.83	44	1.76	2	30.59		30.59
13. Tennessee	18	17	17.5	11	16	10	15	10	19	19	13.50	16	0.64	2	33.64		33.64
14. Purdue	16	14	15	13	15	17	13	19	16	18	15.33	53	2.12	2	34.45		34.45
15. Washington State	12	13	12.5	20	9	20	22	26	14	23	18.00	62	2.48	2	34.98		34.98
16. Bowling Green	15	20	17.5	24	18	15	7	29	4	13	13.50	85	3.40	1	35.40		35.40
17. Florida	17	19	18	25	7	24	19	6	15	20	15.17	5	0.20	3	36.37	- 0.5	35.87
18. Nebraska	19	16	17.5	12	29	12	14	21	18	16	15.50	27	1.08	2	36.08		36.08
19. Oklahoma St ate	21	22	21.5	21	19	19	11	15	11	11	14.33	45	1.80	2	39.63		39.63
20. Michigan State	14	15	14.5	17	25	21	24	23	24	22	21.83	51	2.04	2	40.37		40.37
21. Miami (OH)	26	28	27	9	23	13	18	31	7	17	14.50	71	2.84	1	45.34		45.34
22. Northern Illinois	23	23	23	18	22	18	20	44.5	17	15	18.33	100	4.00	1	46.33		46.33
23. Mississippi	20	24	22	22	21	23	26	13	28	24	21.50	65	2.60	2	48.10		48.10
24. Missouri	22	26	24	26	26	25	21	25	21	21	23.17	59	2.36	2	51.53		51.53
25. Pittsburgh	25	21	23	23	28	26	32	22	30	32	26.83	54	2.16	2	53.99		53.99

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponent (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points.

2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy,

awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.





FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 6:00 PM ET, November 10, 2003 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations

or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

2003 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Nov. 8, 2003)

								(0000 .	ill ough ivo	0, 2000)								
			USA Today/		Anderson & Hester		Colley Matrix	Massey	New York Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Comp.	Schedule Strength	Schedule Rank	Loss Record	Sub- total	Quality Win	Total
		AF	ESPN	Avg.	& nester	Billingsley	IVIALIIX	iviassey	Times	Sayanın		Avg.						
1.	Oklahoma	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00	7	0.28	0	2.28	- 0.6	1.68
2.	Southern California	2	2	2	4	3	3	3	3	2	3	2.83	16	0.64	1	6.47	- 0.2	6.27
3.	Ohio State	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	2	5	2	2.17	14	0.56	1	7.73		7.73
4.	Louisiana State	3	3	3	5	8	6	4	8	9	9	6.67	65	2.60	1	13.27	- 0.1	13.17
5.	Texas	6	7	6.5	8	13	11	7	5	11	5	7.83	18	0.72	2	17.05		17.05
6.	Texas Christian	10	9	9.5	2	9	4	6	25	3	4	4.67	87	3.48	0	17.65		17.65
7.	Tennessee	9	13	11	6	4	5	5	4	6	6	5.00	8	0.32	2	18.32		18.32
8.	Michigan	5	5	5	11	10	14	13	9	12	12	11.17	28	1.12	2	19.29		19.29
9.	Georgia	7	6	6.5	14	11	13	10	15	8	11	11.17	25	1.00	2	20.67	- 0.4	20.27
10.	Washington State	8	8	8	9	7	8	11	11	10	13	9.33	29	1.16	2	20.49		20.49
	Purdue	11	10	10.5	10	14	10	9	12	15	8	10.50	41	1.64	2	24.64		24.64
12.	Miami (FL)	14	14	14	15	5	12	12	18	14	10	11.33	15	0.60	2	27.93		27.93
13.	Florida State	13	11	12	12	16	9	14	17	20	14	13.67	32	1.28	2	28.95		28.95
14.	Florida	15	18	16.5	22	6	20	15	6	13	18	13.00	10	0.40	3	32.90	- 0.8	32.10
15.	Virginia Tech	12	12	12	20	15	19	24	16	27	22	19.33	20	0.80	2	34.13		34.13
16.	Miami (OH)	23	24	23.5	7	18	7	8	24	4	7	8.50	59	2.36	1	35.36		35.36
17.	Nebraska	18	15	16.5	13	25	15	16	20	16	17	16.17	42	1.68	2	36.35		36.35
18.	Mississippi	17	19	18	16	17	16	18	7	18	16	15.00	50	2.00	2	37.00		37.00
19.	Pittsburgh	16	16	16	17	12	18	27	13	28	27	19.00	33	1.32	2	38.32		38.32
20.	lowa	20	20	20	25	20	26	19	31	19	20	21.50	30	1.20	3	45.70	- 0.3	45.40
21.	Northern Illinois	21.5	21	21.25	21	22	22	22	48	17	19	20.50	111	4.44	1	47.19		47.19
22.	Minnesota	19	17	18	24	21	24	28	22	33	25	24.00	88	3.52	2	47.52		47.52
23.	Bowling Green	25	26	25.5	28	23	23	17	38	7	15	18.83	51	2.04	2	48.37		48.37
24.	Michigan State	21.5	22	21.75	23	29	27	25	29.5	26	26	26.00	22	0.88	3	51.63		51.63
25.	Oklahoma St ate	27	27.5	27.25	27	28	28	20	21	21	21	23.00	26	1.04	3	54.29		54.29

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points.

2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy,

awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.





FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 6:00 PM ET, November 17, 2003 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations

or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

2003 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Nov. 15, 2003)

		_		_			(Carrios i	in ough ito	v. 13, 2003)								
		USA Today/	Poll	Anderson		Colley		New York				Schedule	Schedule	Loss	Sub-	Quality	
	AP	ESPN	Avg.	& Hester	Billingsley	Matrix	Massey	Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Avg.	Strength	Rank	Record	total	Win	Total
1. Oklahoma	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00	10	0.40	0	2.40	- 0.6	1.80
2. Ohio State	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2.00	13	0.52	1	7.52		7.52
3. Southern California	2	2	2	4	3	3	4	5	2	4	3.33	37	1.48	1	7.81	- 0.1	7.71
4. Louisiana State	3	3	3	5	4	7	3	11	7	9	5.83	72	2.88	1	12.71	- 0.5	12.21
5. Texas	7	7	7	8	11	11	5	3	10	3	6.67	17	0.68	2	16.35		16.35
6. Georgia	6	6	6	10	10	12	6	10	6	8	8.33	20	0.80	2	17.13	- 0.4	16.73
7. Tennessee	9	11	10	7	5	5	7	6	5	6	5.67	12	0.48	2	18.15		18.15
8. Texas Christian	10	9	9.5	3	9	4	8	25	8	5	6.17	90	3.60	0	19.27		19.27
9. Michigan	5	5	5	13	12	13	14	7	11	12	11.33	35	1.40	2	19.73		19.73
10. Washington State	8	8	8	12	8	9	11	9	9	14	9.67	34	1.36	2	21.03		21.03
11. Florida State	11	10	10.5	11	13	6	12	12	17	11	10.83	23	0.92	2	24.25		24.25
12. Miami (FL)	13.5	13	13.25	9	6	10	10	13	14	7	9.17	7	0.28	2	24.70		24.70
13. Florida	13.5	14	13.75	18	7	17	13	4	12	13	11.00	9	0.36	3	28.11	- 1.2	26.91
14. Miami (OH)	18	19	18.5	6	16	8	9	22	4	10	8.83	55	2.20	1	30.53		30.53
15. Mississippi	15	15	15	16	15	15	17	8	18	18	14.83	57	2.28	2	34.11		34.11
16. Purdue	16	16	16	14	17	16	15	18	16	15	15.50	15	0.60	3	35.10		35.10
17. Virginia Tech	12	12	12	21	14	19	24	19	26	22	19.83	56	2.24	2	36.07		36.07
18. Iowa	17	17	17	19	18	18	16	20.5	15	16	17.00	18	0.72	3	37.72	- 0.2	37.52
19. Kansas State	19	18	18.5	24	27	24	23	16	23	24	22.33	43	1.72	3	45.55		45.55
20. Bowling Green	22	25	23.5	25	22	20	19	35	13	17	19.33	61	2.44	2	47.27		47.27
21. Oklahoma State	24	24	24	22	26	23	18	20.5	20	19	20.42	38	1.52	3	48.94		48.94
22. Nebraska	23	23	23	20	33	21	22	29	24	23	23.17	36	1.44	3	50.61		50.61
23. Boise State	20	20	20	33	21	25	26	44	21	25	25.17	113	4.52	1	50.69		50.69
24. Utah	29	26	27.5	17	30	14	20	37	19	20	20.00	47	1.88	2	51.38		51.38
25. Pittsburgh	21	21.5	21.25	23	20	26	34	26	35	31	26.67	39	1.56	3	52.48		52.48

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points.

2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy, awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.





FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 6:00 PM ET, November 24, 2003 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations

or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

2003 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Nov. 22, 2003)

							(
		USA Today/	Poll	Anderson		Colley		New York			Comp	Schedule	Schedule	Loss	Sub-	Quality	
	ΑP	ESPN	Avg.			Matrix	Massey	Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Avg.	Strength	Rank	Record	total	Win	Total
1. Oklahoma	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00	10	0.40	0	2.40	- 0.5	1.90
2. Southern California	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	2	2	2.33	39	1.56	1	6.89		6.89
3. Louisiana State	3	3	3	2	3	4	2	9	3	4	3.00	61	2.44	1	9.44	- 0.4	9.04
4. Michigan	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	3	4.33	13	0.52	2	10.85	- 0.6	10.25
5. Ohio State	8	7	7.5	6	5	3	4	6	7	5	4.83	6	0.24	2	14.57		14.57
6. Texas	6	6	6	4	10	9	6	2	9	9	6.50	12	0.48	2	14.98		14.98
7. Georgia	5	5	5	8	9	8	7	7.5	6	6	7.08	32	1.28	2	15.36	- 0.3	15.06
8. Tennessee	7	8	7.5	7	6	7	8	7.5	8	8	7.25	33	1.32	2	18.07	- 0.1	17.97
Florida State	9	9	9	10	11	6	9	10	14	14	10.00	19	0.76	2	21.76		21.76
10. Miami (FL)	10	10	10	9	7	10	11	11	13	11	9.83	14	0.56	2	22.39	- 0.2	22.19
11. Florida	11	11	11	18	8	17	13	5	11	10	10.67	9	0.36	3	25.03	- 1.2	23.83
12. lowa	13	12	12.5	15	14	14	12	16	12	12	13.17	15	0.60	3	29.27	- 0.7	28.57
13. Miami (OH)	15	16	15.5	11	13	11	10	21	4	7	9.33	72	2.88	1	28.71		28.71
14. Purdue	12	13	12.5	14	15	15	14	17	15	17	15.00	37	1.48	3	31.98		31.98
15. Washington State	16	14	15	17	17	16	16	20	16	19	16.83	38	1.52	3	36.35		36.35
16. Kansas State	14	15	14.5	20	27	18	18	12	20	20	18.00	36	1.44	3	36.94		36.94
17. Texas Christian	19	17	18	12	12	12	19	38	22	15	15.33	83	3.32	1	37.65		37.65
18. Mississippi	17	19	18	23	20	23	21	19	21	22	21.00	48	1.92	3	43.92		43.92
19. Boise State	18	18	18	28	18	24	24	39	17	16	21.17	108	4.32	1	44.49		44.49
20. Bowling Green	22	25	23.5	25	21	19	22	35	10	13	18.33	73	2.92	2	46.75		46.75
21. Oklahoma State	23	24	23.5	22	29	20	17	18	19	21	19.50	53	2.12	3	48.12		48.12
22. Utah	29	27	28	13	22	13	15	34	18	18	16.50	54	2.16	2	48.66		48.66
23. Nebraska	25	23	24	19	31	21	20	27	23	24	22.33	40	1.60	3	50.93		50.93
24. Arkansas	26	29.5	27.75	16	25	22	23	15	24	23	20.50	30	1.20	3	52.45	- 0.5	51.95
25. Pittsburgh	20	21	20.5	26	19	29	34	24	39	32	27.33	64	2.56	3	53.39		53.39

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points.

2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy, awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.





FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 6:00 PM ET. Dec. 1, 2003 **CONTACT:** Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations

or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

2003 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Nov. 29, 2003)

								(. 27, 2000)								
			USA]		0 "					_			_			
		AP	Today/	Poll	Anderson	Dillinanalan	Colley Matrix		New York Times		Wolfe			Schedule Rank	Loss Record	Sub-	Quality Win	Total
		AP	ESPN	Avg.	& nester	Billingsley	IVIALIIX	Massey	rimes	Sagarin	vvoile	Avg.	Strength			total		
_	klahoma	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00	14	0.56	0	2.56	- 0.5	2.06
	outhern California	2	2	2	3	2	2	4	2.5	3	2	2.42	37	1.48	1	6.90		6.90
	uisiana State	3	3	3	2	3	4	2	5	2	3	2.67	54	2.16	1	8.83	- 0.4	8.43
	chigan	4	4	4	7	4	6	5	2.5	5	4	4.42	10	0.40	2	10.82	- 0.6	10.22
	nio State	8	1	7.5	6	6	3	3	1	1	6	5.17	4	0.16	2	14.83		14.83
	xas	6	6	6	5	10	8	6	4	8	8	6.50	17	0.68	2	15.18		15.18
	eorgia	5	5	5	10	8	9	8	8	6	5	7.33	30	1.20	2	15.53	- 0.2	15.33
8. Flo	orida State	9	9	9	8	9	5	7	6	9	7	7.00	11	0.44	2	18.44		18.44
	nnessee	7	8	7.5	11	7	10	11	9	10	9	9.33	41	1.64	2	20.47	- 0.1	20.37
	ami (FL)	10	10	10	9	5	7	10	10	12	11	8.67	13	0.52	2	21.19	- 0.3	20.89
11. Mia	ami (OH)	14	15	14.5	4	13	11	9	23	4	10	8.50	85	3.40	1	27.40		27.40
12. lov	wa	12	11	11.5	15	14	14	12	15	13	13	13.50	15	0.60	3	28.60	- 0.7	27.90
13. Pu		11	12	11.5	14	16	15	13	16	14	16	14.67	34	1.36	3	30.53		30.53
14. Flo	orida	16	16	16	23	11	23	14	13	15	18	15.67	6	0.24	4	35.91	- 1.2	34.71
15. Ka	ınsas State	13	13	13	18	24	19	20	12	21	21	18.50	29	1.16	3	35.66		35.66
16. Wa	ashington State	15	14	14.5	17	18	17	19	20	16	19	17.67	40	1.60	3	36.77		36.77
17. Te	xas Christian	19	19	19	12	12	12	21	40	23	14	15.67	93	3.72	1	39.39		39.39
18. Bo	wling Green	20	23	21.5	22	19	16	15	27	11	12	15.83	60	2.40	2	41.73		41.73
19. Bo	oise State	18	17	17.5	25	15	20	24	38	18	15	19.50	112	4.48	1	42.48		42.48
20. Mis	ssissippi	17	18	17.5	24	20	24	22	18	24	24	22.00	69	2.76	3	45.26		45.26
21. Ne	ebraska	23	21	22	16	29	18	18	21	20	20	18.83	36	1.44	3	45.27		45.27
22. Ok	dahoma State	22	22	22	19	26	22	16	17	19	22	19.17	55	2.20	3	46.37		46.37
23. Uta	ah	26	27	26.5	13	21	13	17	34	17	17	16.33	57	2.28	2	47.11		47.11
24. Ma	aryland	24	25	24.5	26	31	21	23	11	22	23	21.00	53	2.12	3	50.62		50.62
25. Mii	nnesota	25	20	22.5	28	25	28	27	29	32	29	27.67	82	3.28	3	56.45		56.45

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average - Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank - Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponent (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points. Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points. 2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy, awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.





FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 5:45 PM ET, Dec. 7, 2003 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

2003 FINAL BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Dec. 6, 2003)

							(in ough Do	,,								
		USA Today/		Anderson		Colley		New York			Comp.	Schedule	Schedule	Loss	Sub-	Quality	
	AP	ESPN	Avg.	& Hester	Billingsley	Matrix	Massey	Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Avg.	Strength	Rank	Record	total	Win	Total
1. Oklahoma	3	3	3	1	1	1	2	5	1	1	1.17	11	0.44	1	5.61	- 0.5	5.11
2. Louisiana State	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1.83	29	1.16	1	5.99		5.99
3. Southern California	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	1	4	3	2.67	37	1.48	1	6.15		6.15
4. Michigan	4	4	4	7	4	6	5	3	5	5	4.67	14	0.56	2	11.23	- 0.6	10.63
5. Ohio State	7	6	6.5	6	6	4	4	8	6	7	5.50	7	0.28	2	14.28		14.28
6. Texas	5	5	5	5	9	8	7	4	8	10	6.83	20	0.80	2	14.63	- 0.1	14.53
7. Florida State	9	8	8.5	8	8	5	8	7	7	6	6.83	15	0.60	2	17.93		17.93
8. Tennessee	6	7	6.5	10	7	10	11	9	10	11	9.50	46	1.84	2	19.84	- 0.2	19.64
9. Miami (FL)	10	9	9.5	9	5	7	9	10	11	9	8.17	13	0.52	2	20.19	- 0.4	19.79
10. Kansas State	8	10	9	16	12	12	12	6	13	13	11.33	10	0.40	3	23.73	- 1.0	22.73
11. Miami (OH)	14	15	14.5	4	10	9	6	22	3	4	6.00	68	2.72	1	24.22		24.22
12. Georgia	11	11	11	12	11	11	10	12	9	8	10.17	18	0.72	3	24.89	- 0.3	24.59
13. lowa	13	12	12.5	14	16	15	13	15	12	12	13.50	16	0.64	3	29.64	- 0.7	28.94
14. Purdue	12	13	12.5	15	17	17	14	18	15	17	15.83	40	1.60	3	32.93		32.93
15. Florida	17	17	17	24	14	23	15	13	16	18	16.50	5	0.20	4	37.70	- 0.9	36.80
16. Washington State	15	14	14.5	18	19	19	22	21	21	21	19.83	44	1.76	3	39.09		39.09
17. Boise State	18	16	17	21	13	16	21	34	17	16	17.33	105	4.20	1	39.53		39.53
18. Texas Christian	19	19	19	11	15	13	24	39	22	15	16.67	95	3.80	1	40.47		40.47
19. Mississippi	16	18	17	23	20	24	20	17	24	24	21.33	70	2.80	3	44.13		44.13
20. Nebraska	22	21	21.5	17	29	18	18	19.5	18	20	18.42	32	1.28	3	44.20		44.20
21. Oklahoma State	21	22	21.5	19	25	21	16	16	20	22	19.00	58	2.32	3	45.82	- 0.1	45.72
22. Utah	25	25	25	13	21	14	17	33	19	19	17.17	59	2.36	2	46.53		46.53
23. Maryland	23	24	23.5	25	31	20	23	11	23	23	20.83	56	2.24	3	49.57		49.57
24. Bowling Green	26	30	28	29	26	22	19	36	14	14	20.67	36	1.44	3	53.11		53.11
25. Minnesota	24	20	22	27	24	28	28	28	32	28	27.17	83	3.32	3	55.49		55.49

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points.

2. The Nokia Sugar Bowl on January 4, 2004, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy, awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the ADT National Championship Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.