# The Media Art Notation System: Documenting and Preserving Digital / Media Art

Richard Rinehart
Berkeley Art Museum and Pacific Film Archive
2625 Durant Ave., Berkeley, CA, 94720
University of California, Berkeley
rinehart@berkeley.edu

#### Abstract

This paper proposes a new approach to conceptualizing digital and media art forms. This theoretical approach will be explored through issues raised in the process of creating a formal declarative model (alternately known as a metadata framework, notation system, or ontology) for digital and media art. The approach presented and explored here is intended to inform a better understanding of media art forms and to provide a practical descriptive framework that supports their creation, re-creation, documentation and preservation. Expanded notes and appendices for this paper can be found online at: http://bampfa.berkeley.edu/ciao/avant\_garde.html

#### **Author's Profession**

Museum professional (title: Director of Digital Media)

#### **Author Bio**

Richard Rinehart is a digital media artist and Director of Digital Media at the UC Berkeley Art Museum/Pacific Film Archive. Richard has taught digital media studio and theory in the UC Berkeley Department of Art Practice and has also been visiting faculty at the San Francisco Art Institute, UC Santa Cruz, San Francisco State University, Sonoma State University, and JFK University. Richard sits on the Executive Committee of the UC Berkeley Center for New Media and on the Board of Directors for New Langton Arts in San Francisco, where he also curates net.art. Richard manages research projects in the area of digital culture, including the NEA-funded project, 'Archiving the Avant Garde', a national consortium of museums and artists distilling the essence of digital art in order to document and preserve it. Rinehart's papers, projects, and more can be found at http://www.coyoteyip.com

#### 1 Need for a Formal Notation System

Digital and media art forms include, but are not limited to, Internet art, software art, and computer-mediated installations as well as other non-traditional art forms such as conceptual art, installation art, performance art, and video. This paper will not define the boundaries of digital and media art, but will propose a descriptive framework that centers on digital and related media art forms.

The digital and media art forms listed above have confounded traditional museological approaches to documentation and preservation because of their ephemeral, documentary, technical, and multi-part nature and because of the variability and rapid obsolescence of the media formats often used in such works. It is not feasible for the arts community to keep the original equipment and software in working order over the centuries and industry has no incentive to continue producing old parts or to keep all new equipment backward compatible indefinitely. Besides, preserving media art as "original" physical object may be counter-productive as discussed below. Due to lack of documentation methods, and thus access, such art works often are not used in research and instruction. In many cases these art forms were created to contradict and bypass the traditional art world's values and resulting practices. They have been successful to the point of becoming victims to their own volatile intent.

A new way of conceptualizing media art is needed to support documentation and preservation as well as other activities that surround media art. New projects from the artistic, academic, and museum communities are being formed to address these needs. This paper is a direct outgrowth and continuation of two such projects, Archiving the Avant Garde [1] and the Variable Media Network [2]. These projects investigate many aspects of media art preservation including migrating or updating media art works over time or using emulation to run old software on new computers as tested in the Guggenheim exhibition, "Seeing Double". This paper will focus on the development of a formal notation system for media art. It is best to begin by introducing the concept of a formal notation system in the context of media art.

Media art is as much performative or behavior-centric as it is artifactual or object-centric. Media art has variable form much like music. A single musical work can be performed using different instruments or hardware each time. As long as the essential score performed is the same, the musical work itself will be recognizable and retain its integrity. A work by Bach can be performed on a relatively modern piano as well as on a harpsichord for which many of Bach's works were originally created (in fact these works can be performed on a computer or synthesizer). Even on the piano, we recognize the work and its creator; we consider it to be authentic. The performing arts are not exclusive in their variability; music merely provides a useful and widely understood analogy. Digital media also are inherently variable. Digital media are by definition computational media; that is media that may be the end result of computational processes or composed of ongoing computational processes. Digital media are beholden to the separation of content from infrastructure, of logical from physical, that is required by the theory of a "universal machine". A universal machine is a machine whose infrastructure may be

reprogrammed to work with and produce almost infinite varieties of content - a computer. Computation may manifest physically, but it is not tied to any specific physical instance. In practice, digital art works may be authored on one brand of computer hardware and software platform, but presented under a different configuration. For works of Internet art, aspects such as color, scale, and speed can vary significantly when viewed on different monitors over different network speeds. This variability is not considered corruptive but rather an inherent property of the medium and the work. Digital and related media art will almost certainly use different hardware for presentation a hundred years from now, but it can still be considered authentic.

Given the similar variability of music and media arts, it is appropriate to consider a mechanism like a score for binding the integrity of media art works apart from specific instruments. What would a score for media art look like? For digital art, code acts as a kind of score - a set of instructions that trigger actions or events. However, this level of instruction is often too environment-specific, operating differently under variable conditions such as operating system or hardware. This would be like musical notation working for one brand of tuba, but not another. A system of formal notation for media art should be abstracted from specific environmental factors. It should be robust, generic, adaptable, and portable - universal content for a universal machine. A formal notation system must also accommodate media art works that are not necessarily digital and it should be legible well into the future independent of the media it is intended to preserve. For these reasons, we cannot count on computer code to be a self-documenting notation system for art works.

It is important to note that systems of formal notation for media art and musical scores are analogous, but not identical. Musical scores embody admittedly complex relationships to the works they transcribe, and are often open to a wide range of interpretation. The reason that musical scores provide a useful model for media art notation is that they provide the clearest example of description that compiles formalized (systematic) discrete elements into documents that aid in the re-performance or recreation of works of art. Musical scores also demonstrate how to navigate the border between prescription (maintaining the integrity of the work) and the variability that is inherent in media art. Formal notation systems necessarily embody trade-offs in their level of abstraction; too abstract and they lack capacity for integrity, too prescriptive and they lack portability and robustness. So, a media art score would share the goal of a musical score not to provide the perfect recipe, but the best possible one.

The development of a system of formal notation for media art first requires the development of a conceptual model. The formal notation system could be considered an expression of that model. A score is a specific instance of notation. In music, the conceptual model structures sound into pitch and rhythm etc., the notation system is composed of notes and other graphics used to write music, and a score is a specific combination of notes in a musical work. It is important to note that the conceptual model and expression format are distinct entities. For instance, a conceptual model for media art could be expressed using various formats such as Extensible Markup Language (XML)[3], formats built upon XML like RDF, or in a database. In this way the

conceptual model itself defines the integrity of the score while allowing for variability in its expression. The conceptual model could be considered a kind of meta-score.

This new conceptual model and notation system could be used: to aid in preservation of media art works; for their re-creation in the future; as a documentation format; as an architecture for media art management databases; as a framework for online public access catalogs of media art; for educational and community forums dedicated to media art, or as a framework for generative and collaborative artist networks like the Pool at the University of Maine [4]. For the semantic web community, this conceptual model and expression format comprise an ontology. For the digital library and broader cultural informatics communities, it comprises a metadata framework. For our purposes here, it is a system of formal notation for scoring works of digital and media art.

#### 2 Requirements for a Formal Notation System

The first requirement of a system of formal notation for scoring works of media art is that it is appropriate to the content and purposes it is intended to serve. In this context, it must reflect the nature of media art. It must be able to describe the artwork not just as an object or collection of objects, but also as an event or activity (or any combination of these). It must accommodate not just the declaration and location of files and objects, but also the explicit declaration of behaviors, variables, and contingencies. This formal notation system may not describe the artistic process per se, but should be able to describe the work as set of parameters manifested as a product or occurrence. It should describe levels of agency and choice within the work, allowing for a continuum of assignable human or automated roles from creator to user.

A specific document instance of the notation system - a score - should comprise a guide to aid in the re-creation or re-performance of the work. A formal notation system must be capable of describing all-digital, all-physical, or hybrid art works. Many media art works combine digital with physical components and the descriptive needs of discrete digital and non-traditional physical works are similar enough to justify an integrated notation system. It should be able to describe not just the aggregate work, but also make explicit the structure of sub-components of the work. Details such as technical data, creator info, rights info, and related choices may vary between different parts of a work.

A notation system should provide broad interoperability with other descriptive and technical standards that digital media art interacts with, including cultural informatics, library and museum standards, and media industry standards. There are many prototype standards (several based on XML/RDF) being tested in the museum and library communities for managing and providing online access to cultural materials such as books and artworks. A notation system for media art is distinct from these in that it needs to include the level of detail needed not just to describe the works, but to re-create them. However, interoperability with these other prototype standards is needed so that documentation for media art works does not remain marginalized, but can instead easily co-exist alongside traditional art documentation within larger databases or systems.

Though standardized, the notation system should be flexible enough to allow for local descriptive practices within the overall framework.

The notation system should employ an expression format that is standardized so that the development of software tools, training, documentation, and support is feasible for the arts community and leverages larger community or industry efforts. To allow durable and transparent scores, the notation system should integrate both human-readable (natural language) that allow high-level functionality and machine-readable layers (artificial/encoded language) layers that allow for automated processing. A notation system should be practical, cost-effective, scaleable, and tractable. It should allow varying levels of implementation from minimal scores to complex scores that are expanded upon at various points in the life cycle of the work. Addressing these concerns results in a more useful and accurate conceptual model by addressing media art works not as abstract and isolated entities, but rather as entities in the complicated context of the real world.

# 3 Survey of Related Work

In addition to the aforementioned projects this paper builds upon, other projects share similar goals or subject matter. This overview of related work is not comprehensive, but cites projects that have the closest parallel or influence on this paper. This survey will draw out the similarities and differences between approaches for comparison.

#### 3.1 Preservation and Archival of New media and Interactive Collections (PANIC)

Jane Hunter and Sharmin Choudhury of the Distributed Systems Technology Center in Brisbane Australia present their research in "Implementing Preservation Strategies for Complex Multimedia Objects" [5]. "The goal is to investigate alternative approaches to the archival and preservation of mixed media objects and determine the optimum approaches for ensuring their longevity and to facilitate their redisplay."

Hunter and Choudhury outline a solid strategy in many respects. They promote the use of existing standardized metadata schema that leverage previous cultural and industry efforts. These standards include Metadata Encoding and Transmission Standard or METS for descriptive metadata [6], and the Synchronized Multimedia Integration Language or SMIL for structural metadata [7]. They also propose a layered preservation strategy that accounts for uneven availability of documentation, metadata, and original files for media objects.

However, it is difficult to encode the structure of a work in SMIL without manually recreating the work entirely. SMIL is not scaleable because one cannot create an outline of the work's structure to be completed at a later date. Hunter and Choudhury recommend the use of several "behaviors" (such as Networked or Installed) that have been defined previously in the Variable Media Network as "types" or broad genre classifications for whole media art works. These types might be even more useful if applied to relevant sub-

components of works. For instance, a tele-robotic art work might be Installed, Networked, and Encoded all at the same time. To re-create the work, it would be essential to know which parts were Networked and which Encoded.

PANIC points out the real need for software tools for preserving of media objects. Tools are an important consideration because without tools and other mechanisms for implementing our conceptual models, we are left with far fewer options for testing these models.

## 3.2 Capturing Unstable Media Conceptual Model (CMCM)

The V2 Organization in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, has developed CMCM. V2 "...has conducted research on the documentation aspects of the preservation of electronic art activities -- or *Capturing Unstable Media* -- an approach between archiving and preservation...Defining strategies for collecting and preserving actual works is outside the scope of this research project " [8] CMCM is not intended for preservation per se, but as a conceptual model for documenting and describing art projects. Nonetheless, CMCM is a rich model with multiple potential applications and influences, preservation among them. CMCM has identified a goal of interoperability that goes beyond crosswalks (comparison and mapping). CMCM could potentially be used in combination with other models such as the one described in this paper.

CMCM recognizes the importance of collaboration and distributed authorship in media art. CMCM accommodates this by defining a list of creative roles such as choreographer and visual designer, meant to act like the semi-standardized set of roles described in film credits. CMCM has similarly detailed lists of technical behaviors, dependencies and relationships, project component types, and more. Most of these lists embody crucial recognition of factors absent in traditional art descriptive standards, but as implementable strategies they reflect the tension between sophistication and tractability in conceptual modeling. A conceptual model for media art should allow detailed description at very granular levels, but should not necessarily require it. The level of granularity evident in CMCM points to its sophisticated grasp of the complexities of media art, but may ultimately make the model difficult to implement and test. Moreover, the defined lists of possible creator roles, user interactions, and such may be too prescriptive in the context of media art where new forms of interaction and relationships are formed at a rapid pace. CMCM would benefit from clear guidelines that define high-level simple application and low-level granular usage.

CMCM includes description of certain intents and parameters in the form of user interactions. Audience interaction with media art works can be described explicitly using CMCM, but other types of intents and parameters are included only implicitly. These other parameters include choices the artist or others might make in re-creating the work or environmental variables that presenters of the work must navigate. Ideally, these creator parameters would be explicit and thus durable as well.

## 3.3 Digital Music Library Data Model Specification V2

"The Indiana University Digital Music Library project aims to establish a digital music library testbed system containing music in a variety of formats, involving research and development in the areas of system architecture, metadata standards, component based application architecture, network services, and intellectual property rights." [9]

This data model is in part based on the Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records (FRBR) [10]. In the Digital Music model, "a single musical work can be manifested in a range of physical formats". Like FRBR, the Digital Music Library Data Model clearly separates the logical work from its various manifestations or physical expressions. Despite the domain origin of the Digital Music Library Data Model, this model may describe a score as a component of a musical work but does not itself function as a score for re-creating the work. Thus this model holds a slightly different position in relation to the work itself than is desired for a media art score.

# 4 Expression Format for a Formal Notation System

The next question is how to express, or write out, a conceptual model for media art analogous to the way that musical notation is used to write out music. It is no coincidence that all of the related projects surveyed above utilize XML as the syntactical expression format for their conceptual models. In addition to being widely documented and used in numerous domains and communities, XML supports two important aforementioned requirements for a formal notation system for media art.

XML is standardized in the sense that the specification is not proprietarily owned by any private interest. An equally important aspect of XML is that, as a standard, it is not dependent on any particular hardware or software environment. Adoption of XML endows benefits of standardization, increased interoperability and durability for preservation. XML also meets the requirement of transparency. It offers support for high-level meaning to be included as natural language text that is human-readable with minimum interpretation or processing. At the same time, XML supports multimedia and machine-readable "hooks" that aid in computer-mediated processing and use of the content.

For the purposes of developing a formal notation system for media art, it is logical to pursue XML as a baseline expression format. It bears mentioning again that the overarching conceptual model for media art may also be expressed in other ways such as a database, but that XML comprises the default and preferred expression format.

XML is a standardized syntax, but it does not define what that syntax is used to construct. Domain-specific communities are left to define the structures (schema) they want to build using XML. One schema may be used to describe car parts and another used to describe art objects. If an XML schema obtains consensus within a large enough community, then it becomes a de facto standard of its own. It is preferable to adapt rather than invent an

XML schema for reasons of interoperability and leveraging the efforts of entire communities. Following is a description of one such schema that warrants investigation as the potential basis for a formal notation system for media art.

#### 4.1 Basis for a Formal Notation System

MPEG-21 is part of the MPEG family of standards that includes the familiar MPEG video format standards. Here we are concerned with MPEG-21 Digital Item Declaration, a documentation standard. "The purpose of the Digital Item Declaration (DID) specification is to describe a set of abstract terms and concepts to form a useful model for defining Digital Items. Within this model, a Digital Item is the digital representation of "a work", and as such, it is the thing that is acted upon (managed, described, exchanged, collected, etc.) within the model. The goal of this model is to be as flexible and general as possible, while providing for the "hooks" that enable higher level functionality" [11]. The DID conceptual model is expressed using an XML/RDF schema, the Digital Item Declaration Language (DIDL).

DIDL may be used to describe many types of digital items from games to art works. The very open conceptual model of DIDL defines abstract elements (like 'container', 'item', and 'component') that may be mapped to domain-specific meanings for art works. This flexibility and descriptive extensibility is one reason the Los Alamos National Laboratory adopted DIDL as the building block for its digital library [12]. DIDL supports description of multi-component works and explicit description of complex decision-tree like choices and conditions related to a work. In many XML schemas, description of "interactivity" assumes and supports an invisible barrier that separates creator from user and often structurally limits users to trivial navigation or selection actions. Instead of 'interactivity', DIDL describes 'choices' without limiting who or what makes those choices. DIDL does not structurally differentiate between the choices made by creators from those made by presenters or audiences. This allows agency to assume the form of a smooth continuum that stretches between creator and user and suits the description of highly interactive works, distributed authorship, and even open-ended collaborative projects and systems.

DIDL is supported by a large and diverse media and technology industry. This allows for a large enough economic base to ensure the development of cheap and plentiful tools and means of implementing DIDL. Description of physical assets or resources is required to accurately describe many hybrid media art works, and DIDL accommodates description of both digital and physical primary assets at the same level (as components of the same work).

At first glance, consideration of a media industry standard like MPEG-21/DIDL for adaptation as a media art formal notation system might seem awkward. However, the tradition of the arts (especially media arts) borrowing and adapting from applied sciences is well established. As far back as the sixteenth century, while developing the western system of musical notation, scholars adopted the alchemical visual symbols for gold and silver to represent perfect and imperfect tempus [13]. The critical factor in favor of DIDL is that it is generic enough to accommodate domain-specific adaptation and extension.

Among the various domain-specific XML schema, DIDL meets the most requirements for a formal media art notation system and seems the best choice to test and build upon.

## 5 Presenting the Media Art Notation System v1.0

What follows is a definition of the conceptual model and expression format that comprise the Media Art Notation System (MANS). The MANS conceptual model is not the same as the MPEG-21 DID model, but is close enough that they both use the DIDL XML schema as their preferred expression format. MANS defines a set of usage guidelines below that creates a new "flavor" of DIDL tailored for the art world. Following is an outline description of core concepts of the MANS conceptual model. These core concepts form a "broad-strokes" description of the work. This broad description could be formed by the artist or museum at the time the work is created or collected. Further details, alternate accounts, and audience annotations could be filled in later in the life of the work.

# 5.1 Outline Structure of the Media Art Notation System

Corresponding DIDL XML elements are indicated in <BRACKETS>.

**Score**: xml metadata document itself

<DIDL>

**Descriptor**: descriptive data about score document

<DESCRIPTOR>

Work: logical media art work or project

<CONTAINER>

**Descriptor**: descriptive data about work or project

<DESCRIPTOR>

**Version**: an occurrence/state/ account of work

<ITEM>

Part (optional): logical sub-component

<ITEM>

Choice (optional): variables affecting

configuration <CHOICE>

**Resource**: physical or digital

components < RESOURCE >

The elements above may be repeated as necessary. For instance, one Work may have several Versions, and one Version may have multiple Parts. In this model, variability necessary for preservation and re-creation (such as replacing dysfunctional files or objects with new ones) will most likely occur at the lower levels of Parts and Resources while higher levels of the model maintain the integrity of the work.

# **5.2** Descriptive Metadata in the Media Art Notation System

The cataloging, wall label, or "tombstone data" that comprises descriptive metadata in most traditional art contexts may not provide the most useful description of media art works. For instance, complex multi-component works, highly collaborative works with numerous authors over time, works that re-configure over time, or works with complex technical descriptions are not well accommodated in traditional art description systems. In "Death by Wall Label" [14], Jon Ippolito writes, "Wall labels are the pins that fix the butterflies of new media to museum walls." MANS attempts to provide more appropriate descriptive metadata and a way to map MANS descriptive practice to more traditionally oriented museum or library descriptive practices and standards. MANS adapts and extends another standard, the Dublin Core (DC) [15], as the formal expression of descriptive metadata within a MANS score. The DC was developed by cultural agencies and industry to describe digital documents, but has since been adapted to describe art objects and other cultural artifacts. While the conceptual model above outlines the structure of the media art work (structural metadata), the following elements provide descriptive details (descriptive metadata) about the work. The following elements could be used to describe any level of the work from a whole project to a specific part.

# 5.2.1 Descriptive Metadata Elements of the Media Art Notation System

Name: Type

Definition: Genre or classification of a Work or Part of a Work, taken from the Variable

Media Network list of behaviors.

**XML**: <dc:type>

Name: Date

**Definition**: Date of first creation or occurrence of a Work or Part. If multiple dates are listed, then the first should be the date of creation. Other dates could be important milestones in the life of the Work that are spelled out in the larger structure.

XML: <dc:date>

Name: Title

**Definition**: A name given to a Work or Part. First instance of which is the primary title.

Repeats may include alternate or past titles.

XML: <dc:title>

Name: Measurements

**Definition**: Dimension, duration, file size, or other measurement applied to the Work or

Part.

**XML**: <dc:format.extent>

Name: Subject

**Definition**: That which is depicted in the Work or Part or important concepts, places, people, or things associated with work that may serve as intellectual access points. Keywords.

XML: <dc:subject>

Name: Creator

**Definition**: An entity or entities primarily responsible for making the Work.

XML: <dc:creator>

Name: Contributor

**Definition**: An entity or entities responsible for making contributions to the content of the Work or who are secondarily responsible for making the Work.

**XML**: <dc:contributor>

Name: Host

**Definition**: Owner or steward of the Work. The permanent owner of the Work should not to be confused with temporary presenters of the Work. Being duplicable, digital art may be collected by multiple owners. Unlike traditional art descriptive schemas, MANS allows the identification of multiple owners of the work.

XML: <dc:publisher>

Name: Identification

**Definition**: An unambiguous reference to the Work within a given context.

**XML**: <dc:identification.number>

Name: Version

**Definition**: Identification of an instance or occurrence of the Work.

**XML**: <dc:relation.version>

Name: Language

**Definition**: A natural language of the intellectual content of the resource.

XML: <dc:language>

Name: Location

**Definition**: The current physical or logical location of the Work or Part.

XML: <dc:identification.location>

Name: Authorization

**Definition**: Statement about authority to re-create, configure, access, verify, represent,

depict, or otherwise use Work or Part

XML: <dc:rights>

The expanded online notes for this paper include a metadata crosswalk with Dublin Core and other art-oriented descriptive standards and examples of MANS scores written out using XML.

#### **6 Conclusion**

The Media Art Notation System has three levels of implementation progressing from simple to more complex. These levels qualitatively change the nature and function of the resulting Score. The first and simplest level of implementation would be a short, simple Score that is mainly composed of high-level descriptive metadata and minimal XML markup. This would create a Score that serves as a record of the Work. The second level of implementation would include more granular description of sub-component parts expressed structurally through XML markup and more Descriptors containing text, images, and other media that document the Work. This would create a machine-processable Score that functions as a representation of the Work. The third level of implementation would include technical metadata, Choices that model every behavior of the Work, very granular description and structural markup to the level of individual Resources, and inline bitstreams or linked source files that comprise the work itself. This would create a machine-processable Score that would function as a working model or manifestation of the Work (or partial manifestation for hybrid physical/digital Works).

A Media Art Notation System allows one to grow from the first and simplest implementation toward the third level of implementation over time. A realistic near-term scenario is that Scores created using MANS would serve as guides for people to re-create or re-perform a work for an exhibition. These scores need not initially include sophisticated functionality (inline original bitstreams activated by emulators for instance [16]), but they could easily include links from Resource descriptions to original media files and applications. In this way the MANS Score would represent a media-independent logical backbone for the Work that relies on the original files to provide detailed functionality and appearance. This feasible level of implementation would create an interoperable record of the work, a guide to re-creation, and a way to maintain the integrity and cohesion of complex works into the future.

MANS is one of a hand-full of proposed conceptual models and expression formats that could be used to describe, investigate, and utilize media art. It remains to artists, museums, and others who make up the cultural informatics community to critique, refine, integrate, and test such models on actual art works in real-world environments. It is the hope of this author that MANS will make a useful contribution to the field, aiding practical but urgent activities like preservation and furthering rigorous investigation into the nature of media and art. It is clear that in these efforts there is no one silver-jacketed-bullet solution. Reflecting the fragmentary, dynamic nature of media art works themselves, solutions will come in the form of interpenetrating clouds of conceptual models, multi-layered implementations, argument and collaboration.

#### References

(1) Archiving the Avant Garde,

http://www.bampfa.berkeley.edu/ciao/avant\_garde.html

(2) Variable Media Network,

http://www.variablemedia.net

(3) Extensible Markup Language,

http://www.w3.org/XML/

(4) The Pool,

http://river.asap.um.maine.edu/~jon/pool/splash.html

(5) "Implementing Preservation Strategies for Complex Multimedia Objects",

Choudhury, Sharmin; Hunter, Jane

http://metadata.net/newmedia/Papers/ECDL2003\_paper.pdf

(6) Metadata Encoding and Transmission Standard,

http://www.loc.gov/mets

(7) Synchronized Multimedia Integration Language,

http://www.w3.org/AudioVideo/

(8) Capturing Unstable Media,

http://www.v2.nl/Projects/capturing/

(9) "Digital Music Library Data Model Specification V2",

http://www.dml.indiana.edu/pdf/DML-DataModel-V2.pdf

(10) Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records,

http://www.ifla.org/VII/s13/frbr/frbr.pdf (11) "MPEG-21 Digital Item Declaration WD (v2.0)",

http://xml.coverpages.org/MPEG21-WG-11-N3971-200103.pdf

(12) "Using MPEG-21 DIDL to Represent Complex Digital Objects in the Los Alamos National Laboratory Digital Library", Bekaert, Jeroen, et al http://www.dlib.org/dlib/november03/bekaert/11bekaert.html

(13) "The History and Evolution of the Musical Symbol", Scelta, Gabriella F. http://www.intelligirldesign.com/literature/musicsymbol.pdf

(14) " Death by Wall Label", Ippolito, Jon [publication forthcoming]

(15) Dublin Core,

http://www.dublincore.org

(16) "Bitstream Syntax Description Language: Application of XML-Schema to Multimedia Content Adaptation", Amielh, Myriam; Devillers, Sylvain, http://www.unizh.ch/home/mazzo/reports/www11conf/papers/334/