(No. 4.)

TASMANIA

THE PARLIAMENT OF TASMANIA

REPORT ON PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS

THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
1943 to 1947
and
By-elections—1942 to 1946

THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

General Election, 23rd November, 1946
and

By-Elections—1942 to 1946

By EDWARD PARKES, C.M.G., M.V.O., I.S.O., State Chief Electoral Officer

Presented to both Houses of Parliament by His Excellency's Command



 $\mbox{T A S M A N I A.} \\ \mbox{H. H. Pimblett, Government Printer, Tasmania.}$

1947.

Report on Parliamentary Elections

(THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL, 1943 TO 1947, AND THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY GENERAL ELECTION, 23rd NOVEMBER, 1946.)

TO THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY:

I HAVE the honour to submit the following report on the Parliamentary Elections above referred to, together with certain By-elections.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Important changes in the Legislative Council franchise, and in the boundaries of the electorates, were made in the Constitution Amendment Act, 1946. The Bill was examined by a Select Committee of the Legislative Council, and Electoral officials were called upon to give evidence before the Committee.

The Act, as it was finally passed, created the new Division of West Devon; the "occupancy qualification" was reduced from £30 per annum to £26 per annum; members of His Majesty's forces who served outside Tasmania in the second world war were given the right to vote; persons (in certain categories and previously disqualified from enrolment), who are required to occupy premises by reason of their duty, office, or employment, became entitled to enrolment; and periodical elections are now held on a Saturday instead of a Tuesday.

Another change was, in effect, that Legislative Council electors who were up to that time permitted to vote in any number of divisions (provided they held a qualification in each of those divisions) were restricted to a vote in one division only. The Department, therefore, called upon those electors who held multiple enrolments to name the one division for which they wished to retain their enrolment. It was found that 3071 electors were enrolled for two or more divisions; some 26 per cent failed to reply to the notice, and in these cases the Department had no alternative but to make arbitrary deletions from the rolls, thus conforming to the statutory requirement. Rolls are now in order, and duplicate entries have been removed.

Included in various statements tendered to the Select Committee was a table showing chronological changes in the number of members of the Council, with enrolment figures at different periods. This table has not previously been published and so it is included in this report (Appendix A).

Tables showing the enrolment strength of the Legislative Council Divisions, with enrolments divided into categories (owners; occupiers; R.S. personnel; and all other enrolments) have been prepared, and are included in this report (Appendix B). Some interesting figures are thus revealed, e.g., the rolls, when the statistics were completed, contained 37,101 owners; 15,335 occupiers; 3638 returned service personnel, and 745 others. These details have not hitherto been published.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL BOUNDARIES.

In the Act above referred to, the schedule defines new boundaries for the Legislative Council. It is not too much to say, as regards the electoral machinery, that no greater improvement has been brought about since the Council was constituted. Prior to 1946, the boundaries had been difficult and in some cases impossible to follow; a Legislative Council Division comprised parts of a number of Municipalities; the work of Returning Officers was made extremely difficult; and the position was a source of irritation to the electors. All those disadvantages have been removed by the adoption of the new boundaries; Municipalities were conveniently grouped to provide a Legislative Council Division, and the position has been simplified much to the benefit of electors.

The Select Committee in the course of its enquiries decided that the North West Coast was entitled to send an additional member to the Council. This removed what had been regarded as an anomaly in representation, and the position was rectified by the creation of the West Devon Division. This was a step calculated to bring about a proper balance of representation, but it could not in the real sense of the term be described as "an increase in the number of Members of Parliament." The latter principle is far wider in its implication than the creation of a new electorate to meet a specific need, and so the two issues are fundamentally different.

The boundaries revision process imposed a formidable task upon the Department, and upon the Returning Officers. Hobart and Buckingham became four separate electorates (Buckingham, Newdegate, Hobart, and Queenborough); Launceston became Launceston and Cornwall; West Devon was created; seven new Rolls had to be prepared; and about 18,000 transfers had to be made from the old Rolls to the Rolls for the altered divisions. No elector who was already enrolled was called upon to lodge another claim card, but every elector was notified of his "new" division. Thanks to the diligence of the electoral staff, and to the assistance of the Returning Officers, these very considerable changes, carefully planned, were brought into effect without inconvenience, at a cost against the Electoral Votes of less than £300.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

In the absence of the Governor from the seat of Government, the House of Assembly was dissolved by the Deputy-Governor by a proclamation bearing date the 29th day of October, 1946, and issued in the following terms:—

A PROCLAMATION.

Whereas His Excellency Sir Thomas Hugh Binney, Knight Commander of the Most Honourable Order of the Bath, Companion of the Distinguished Service Order, Admiral on the Retired List of the Royal Navy, Governor in and over the State of Tasmania and its Dependencies, in the Commonwealth of Australia, is temporarily absent from the seat of Government of the said State: and whereas His Excellency, as such Governor as aforesaid, has by an instrument under the Public Seal of the said State bearing date the twenty-fifth day of October, one thousand nine hundred and forty-six, appointed me, Sir John Demetrius Morris, to be his deputy during such absence as aforesaid: And whereas it is expedient that the House of Assembly of the Parliament of Tasmania should be dissolved: Now therefore I, Sir John Demetrius Morris, Knight Bachelor, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Tasmania, being and as the person appointed by the Governor to be his deputy as aforesaid in pursuance of the power and authority in that behalf vested in me by the Constitution Act, 1934, and of all other powers and authorities me thereunto enabling, do by this my proclamation dissolve the House of Assembly of the Parliament of Tasmania.

Given under my hand at Hobart in Tasmania aforesaid, this twentyninth day of October, one thousand nine hundred and forty-six.

JOHN D. MORRIS Deputy of the Governor.

By His Excellency's Command, ROBERT COSGROVE, for Chief Secretary. The following dates were fixed for the purposes of the Election:-

Issue of Writs	30th October, 1946;
Nominations	6th November, 1946;
Poll	23rd November, 1946.

All the writs were returned on 9th December, 1946, from which date the life of the Parliament commenced.

Nominations at this Election totalled 86 (Bass 17; Darwin 15; Denison 18; Franklin 18; and Wilmot 18), the figures for previous General Elections being:—

1928	 	 	 	 	72
1931	 	 	 	 	70
1934	 	 	 	 	77
1937	 	 	 	 	67
1941	 		 	 	63

The enrolment for the various divisions; the total number of votes polled; voting according to party strength; and other details will be found set out in various tables under Appendix E.

The right of a candidate to have his name included on the ballot-paper in a party group appears to have been, in contrast to the previous election, readily understood on this occasion. Candidates grouped themselves by notification to the Returning Officer, and for the first time Independents, in three of the five divisions, appeared on the ballot-paper as a group of candidates.

The electoral machinery laid down for House of Assembly Elections has been so improved during recent years that the administration is in a position to carry out smoothly plans for the election, the poll, and the declaration of the final results. The scrutinies on this occasion were completed in each division by the Friday following the poll, and this reflects credit on the Returning Officers and each scrutiny staff concerned, particularly in two divisions where a long and arduous count was involved.

There are no special features of the election to which it would be necessary to invite attention in this report.

I record appreciation of the services of the staff of the State Electoral Office, and the work of the Divisional Returning Officers of the Council and the Assembly merits commendation. The Deputy Statistician (Mr. H. J. Exley) was good enough to arrange for the compilation of the statistical tables appended to this report.

E. PARKES,

Chief Electoral Officer.

Appendix A.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

The Constitution Bill, 1945.

The following statement, showing (as at the years set out in the first column) the number of Members of the Council; their tenure of office; and enrolment strength; is submitted for the information of members of the Select Committee appointed to consider the Bill:—

	·	Total Number
1855	Original Constitution of the Council. Fifteen members	of Electors.
	elected for three years; five retiring in each year	
1859 (23 Vict. No. 43)	Membership still 15 members, but tenure of office became six years	
1871 (34 Vict. No. 42)	Increased to 16 members—tenure of office: six years	2,684
1886 (49 Vict. No. 8)	Increased to 18 members—tenure of office: six years; three retiring each year (as at present)	5,390
1898 (62 Vict. No. 67)	Increased to 19 members (no other change)	9,359
1900 (69 Vict. No. 5)	Reduced to 18 members—tenure of office and order of retirement unchanged. Qualifications substantially the same as to-day—but ex-service men have since	
10.45	1920 been granted the franchise	9,228
1945		54,630

Boundaries were revised in 1908—(re-distribution of Legislative Council Seats Act—8 Edw. VII. No. 5) and again in 1909—(re-distribution of Legislative Council Seats Act—9 Edw. VII. No. 2).

E. PARKES,

Chief Electoral Officer.

Note.—The foregoing observation "Qualifications substantially the same as to-day . . ." is no longer valid, because liberal additions to the Upper House franchise were made subsequently by the Constitution Act, 1946.

Appendix B.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ENROLMENT.

Number of electors (in various categories) enrolled up to 31st December, 1946.

			Owners.			Occupiers.			Pers	sonnel.	All other Enrolments.		
		M.	F.	Total.	M.	F.	Total.	М.	F.	Total.	M.	F.	Total
I.—Buckingham .	2,364	1,148	431	1,579	495	105	600	169	1	170	7	8	7,5
Cornwall	4.285	1,281	1,099	2,380	1,177	488	1,665	185	3	188	34		15
Derwent	2.190	1,086	415	1,501	478	69	547	133	1 -	133	6	18	52
Gordon	1,298	787	271	1,058	139	9	148	89	-		2	8	9
Hobart	4,903	1,122	970	2,092	1,543	692	2,235	468	4	89		1	3
Huor	3,115	1,974	632	2,606	266	35	301	163	2	472	76	28	104
Launceston	2,355	581	441	1,022	777	343		159		165	29	14	43
Macquarie	2,201	1.121	567	1,688	247	35	1,120	181	1	160	35	18	53
Meander	2,947	1,742	408	2,150	440	39			1	182	48	1	49
Mersey	2,666	1,184	645	1,829	683	72	479	302	4	306	10	2	12
Monmouth	1,756	992	341	1,333	180	34	755	58	1	59	18	5	23
Newdegate	5,080	1,673	1,204	2,877	1,341		214	164	6	170	22	17	39
Pembroke	2,633	1,389	639	2,028	353	449	1,790	313	4	317	76	20	96
Queenborough		1,407	1,148			61	414	168	1	169	17	5	22
Russell	3,187	1,723		2,555	1,064	548	1,612	387	8	395	77	19	96
South Esk	2,482	1,528	548	3,271	620	62	682	224	-	224	6	4	10
Tamar	2,135	1,198	516	2,044	280	45	325	98	1	99	12	2	14
West Devon .	2,319		529	1,727	170	43	213	133	1	134	33	- 28	61
Westmorland		1,070	416	1,486	675	74	749	66	4	70	9	5	14
comorand	4,245	1,732	1,143	2,875	995	209	1,204	132	4	136	18	12	30
Totals	56,819	24,738	12,363	37,101	11,923	3,412	15,335	3,592	46	3,638	535	210	745

Appendix C.

PART XI. OF THE ELECTORAL ACT, 1907.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF BASS. (Death of Hon. T. H. Davies.)

Nominations due 25.9.42.

Count.	Quintal.	Welsh.	Hollingsworth.	Oldham.	Pitchford.	Davies.	Exhaust.	Loss.	Total Votes Counted,	Remarks.
1 2	2120	728	156	92	 25	3234 3234	108	 5	3234	Davies excluded.
Final	2120	728	156	92	25		108	5	3234	J. M. Quintal elected.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF WILMOT. (Death of Mr. D. J. O'Keefe.) Nominations due 5.8.43.

	Count.	O'Keefe.	Foster.	Johnston.	Pike.	Taylor.	Exhaust.	Loss.	Total Votes Counted.	Remarks.
	1 2	3194 3152	107	 63	 2478	 374	130		3194	O'Keefe excluded. Distribu- tion of O'Keefe's Primaries.
_	Final	42	107	63	2478	374	130		•••	P. P. F. Pike elected.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF DARWIN. (Resignation of Hon. T. D'Alton.) Nominations due 24.4.44.

Count.	D'Alton.	Smith.	Booth.	Broad.	Exhaust.	Loss.	Total Votes Counted.	Remarks.
1 2	3616 3616	 3250		 57	 231	 1	 3616	D'Alton excluded.
Final	_	3250	77	57	231	1	3616	M. A. Smith elected.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF BASS. (Resignation of Mr. J. McDonald.)

Nominations due 26.4.45.

C, unt.	McDonald.	Welsh.	Hollingsworth	Oldham.	Pitchford.	Exhaust.	Loss.	Total Votes Counted.	Remarks.
1 2	3234 -225 3	2077	41	35		 86		2253	McDonald's Primaries ditribu- ted.
Final	981	2077	41	35	14	86			A. H. Welsh elected.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF DENISON.

(Death of Hon. E. Dwyer-Gray.)

Nominations due 20.12.45.

Count.	Dwyer Gray.	Heerey.	Kelly.	McDougall.	Exhaust.	Loss.	Total Votes Counted.	Remarks.
1	353 7 —1418	1053	 296	24	 45		1418	Distribution of Dwyer-Gray's Primaries.
2	2119 1523	1053 1229	296 241	24 14	45 37	2	1523	Dwyer-Gray's Preferences from Count 2.
Final	596	2282	537	38	82	2		F. X. Heerey elected.

By-Election—Division of Denison. (Resignation of Mr. J. Soundy.)

Nominations due 24.4.46.

Count.	Harvey.	McDougall.	Smith.	Soundy.	Exhaust.	Loss.	Total Votes Counted.	Remarks.
1 2 3	 1418 1418 349	899 899 —669	1129 1129 293	3597 3537 	88 88 89 27	 3 3 	3537 669	Soundy excluded. McDougall excluded. Distribution of McDougall's votes at count 81.
Final	1767	230	1422	•••	115	3		R. J. R. Harvey elected.

(Note.—For this Appendix, the term "Nominations" means "Consents" due from eligible candidates.)

 $\mathbf{El}\epsilon$

El€

El€

 $C\epsilon$

Ele

Cou

-..

Appendix D.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1943. DIVISIONS OF DERWENT, TAMAR, AND WESTMORLAND.

T 0 777 4:	,	 ,	 WENT MICHELINID.
Issue of Writs		 	 12th April, 1943
1 Ollillacions			2041 1 1040
Poll Return of Writs		 	 4th May, 1943
INCUMENT OF ANTICS		 	 14th May 1943

DIVISION OF DERWENT.

Electors enrolled, 2120. Electors who voted, 1552. Informal votes, 27. Percentage of electors who voted, 73·2 per cent. Absolute majority, 763. Retiring Member: Hon. R. O. Shoobridge.

Cand	lidates.					
Laskey.	Shoobridge.	Total.	Informal,	Remarks.		
651	874	1525	27	Hon. R. O. Shoobridge elected.		

DIVISION OF TAMAR.

Electors enrolled, 2320. Electors who voted, 1847. Informal votes, 28. Percentage of electors who voted, 79.61 per cent. Absolute majority, 910. Retiring Member: Hon. A. T. Cutts.

Cand	idates.	70				
Cutts.	Oliver.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.		
1101	718	1819	28	Hon. A. T. Cutts elected.		

DIVISION OF WESTMORLAND.

Electors enrolled, 3989. Electors who voted, 3336. Informal votes, 49. Percentage of electors who voted, 83.62 per cent. Absolute majority, 1644. Retiring Member:

Count.		Candidates.		T-4-1	T. 6	Remarks.	
	Flowers.	Green.	Harwood.	Total.	Informal,		
1	2113	1000	174	3287	49	Hon. G. L. Flowers elected.	

BY-ELECTION DIVISION OF MEANDER, 1943. (Death of Hon. P. C. Best.)

Issue of Writ					•	20+1	More	1040
Nomination		••••	 	 	 	21.4	way,	1943
Poll	 		 	 	 • • • •	SIST	may,	1943
Poll Return of Writ	 	• • • •	 	 • • • •	 	Toth	June,	1943
TOCCULTION OF WILL	 		 	 		2Xth	June	19/12

Electors enrolled, 2254. Electors who voted, 1985. Informal votes, 19. Percentage of electors who voted, 88.06 per cent. Absolute majority, 984.

Count		Candi	dates.		Exhaust.			Remarks.	
	Cameron.	Fleming.	Lillico.	Lowry.		Total.	Informal.		
1 2	193 —19 3	593 94	977 72	203 27	•••	1966	19	Cameron excluded.	
		687	1049	230	•••	1966	19	Hon. A. E. D. Lillico elected.	

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF HOBART, 1943.

(Resignation of Hon. J. F. Gaha.)

J. Judg

Issue of Writ	16th August, 1943
Nomination	26th August, 1943
Poll	14th September, 1943
Return of Writ	20th September, 1943

Electors enrolled, 7170. Electors who voted, 5457. Informal votes, 64. Percentage of electors who voted, 76·1 per cent. Absolute majority, 2697.

		Candi	dates.		Exhaust.	Total.	Informal	Remarks.	
Count.	Cuthbert'n	Tyler.	Walch.	Waterw'th	Exhaust. Total.		Informal	Kemarks.	
1 2	1329 381	2190 153	992 348	882 882		5393		Waterworth excluded.	
3	1710 985	2343 355	1340 -1340			5393	•••	Walch excluded.	
	2695	2698				5393		Hon. A. J. Tyler elected.	

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF HOBART, 1943.

(Election of Hon. A. J. Tyler declared void on application to Court of Disputed Returns by Mr. J. E. Cuthbertson, 22.12.43.)

Issue of Writ	30th December, 194	43
Nomination	10th January, 194	44
Poll	22nd January, 194	44
Return of Writ	28th January, 194	44

Electors enrolled, 7443. Electors who voted, 5452. Informal votes, 129. Percentage of electors who voted, 73·25 per cent. Absolute majority, 2662.

Cand	idates.	T-4-1	I., C.,	Remarks.	
Tyler.	Wadsley.	Total.	Informal.		
2817	2506	5323	109	Hon. A. J. Tyler elected.	

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1944.

DIVISIONS OF BUCKINGHAM, MACQUARIE, AND SOUTH ESK.

Issue of Writs 5th April, 19	44
Nominations 14th April, 19	44
Poll 2nd May, 19	44
Return of Writs 15th May, 19	144

DIVISION OF BUCKINGHAM.

Electors enrolled, 7196. Electors who voted, 5894. Informal votes, 72. Percentage of electors who voted, 81.9 per cent. Absolute majority, 2912. Retiring Member: Hon. T. Murdoch.

			Candidates.				J.			
Count. H	Harvey.	Hickey.	Murdoch.	Pierce.	Wedd,	Exhaust.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.	
1 2	558 61	1126 31	1870 107	340 —340	1928 141		5822	72 	Pierce excluded.	
3	619 619	1157 121	1977 312		2069 186		5822		Harvey excluded	
4		1278 —1278	2289 466	 	2255 776	36			Hickey excluded	
		•••	2755		3031	36	5822		Hon. W. G. Wedd elected.	

DIVISION OF MACQUARIE.

Electors enrolled, 1744. Electors who voted, 1471. Informal votes, 20. Percentage of electors who voted, 84·34 per cent. Absolute majority, 726. Retiring Member: Hon. A. W. Bendall.

Count.	Candidates.		Exhaust.		al.			
Count.	Archer.	Bendall.	endall. Robertson.		Total.	Informal.	Remarks.	
2	538 215	337 —337	576 122		1451	20	Bendall excluded,	
	753		698		1451		Hon. C. A. Archer elected.	

DIVISION OF SOUTH ESK.

Hon. L. A. Procter returned unopposed.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1945.

DIVISIONS OF CAMBRIDGE, HOBART, AND RUSSELL.

Issue of Writs	 	 	 16th	April,	1945
Nominations					
Poll	 	 	 8th	May,	1945
Return of Writs			18th	May	1945

DIVISION OF CAMBRIDGE.

Electors enrolled, 1766. Electors who voted, 1464. Informal votes, 9. Percentage of electors who voted, 82.89 per cent. Absolute majority, 728. Retiring Member: Hon. J. Darling.

		Candidates.				
Count.	Darling.	Green.	Thompson.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
1 2	560 197	468 230	427 —427	1455	9	Thompson excluded.
	757	698		1455		Hon. J. Darling elected.

DIVISION OF HOBART.

Electors enrolled, 7392. Electors who voted, 5509. Informal votes, 80. Percentage of electors who voted, 74·52 per cent. Absolute majority, 2715. Retiring Member: Hon. A. J. Tyler.

		Candidates.					
Count.	Crisp.	Lonergan.	Tyler.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.	
1 2	1310 1 3 I0	2129 1010	1990 300	5429	80	Crisp excluded.	
•••		3139	2290	5429		Hon. D G. Lonergan elected.	

DIVISION OF RUSSELL.

Electors enrolled, 3869. Electors who voted, 2684. Informal votes, 31. Percentage of electors who voted, 69.37 per cent. Absolute majority, 1327. Retiring Member: Hon. A. B. Fenton.

Candi	idates.				
Fenton.	Ward.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.	
1865	788	2653	31	Hon. A. B. Fenton elect.	

By-Election—Division of Cambridge, 1946. (Death of Hon. J. Darling.)

Issue of Writ	 . 29th January, 1946
Nomination	 11th February, 1946
Poll	
Return of Writ	12th March 1946

Electors enrolled, 1823. Electors who voted, 1470. Informal votes, 8. Percentage of electors who voted, 80.63 per cent. Absolute majority, 732.

Candid	ates.			,
Green.	Thompson.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
733	729	1462	8	Hon. G. H. Green elected.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1946.

DIVISIONS OF GORDON, HOBART, AND LAUNCESTON.

Issue of Writs 9th	April,	1946
Nominations 17th	April,	1946
Poll 7th	May,	1946
Return of Writs 21st	May,	1946

DIVISION OF GORDON.

Hon. J. McDonald returned unopposed.

DIVISION OF HOBART.

Electors enrolled, 7781. Electors who voted, 5963. Informal votes, 101. Percentage of electors who voted, 76.63 per cent. Absolute majority, 2932. Retiring Member: Hon. C. J. Eady (deceased).

	Candidates.							
Count.	Brooke.	Gray,	Lyons.	Soundy.	Exhaust.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
1 2	348 —348	1596 230	1928	1990 79		5862 	101	Brooke excluded.
3-4		1826 —1826	1967 944	2069 882		5862		Gray excluded.
			2911	2951		5862		Hon, J. Soundy elected.

(Note.—The issue of the writ for this election, required upon the death of Hon. C. J. Eady, was postponed by a Proclamation issued by His Excellency the Governor pursuant to Section 187 of the Electoral Act, 1907, see Tasmanian Government Gazette dated 9th January, 1946, page 17.)

DIVISION OF LAUNCESTON.

Electors enrolled, 6192. Electors who voted, 4667. Informal votes, 108. Percentage of electors who voted, 75·36 per cent. Absolute majority, 2280. Retiring Member: Hon. A. J. McElwee.

Candi	dates.	Total.		Remarks.	
Green.	McElwee.	rotai.	Informal.		
2756	1803	4559	108	Hon. R. K. Green elected.	

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1947.

Issue of Writ	10th	April,	1947
Nominations	21st	April,	1947
Poll (fixed by statute)	10th	May,	1947
Return of Writs	16th	May,	1947

DIVISION OF MEANDER.

Electors enrolled, 2676. Electors who voted, 2275. Informal votes, 17. Percentage of electors who voted, 85.76 per cent. Absolute majority, 1130. Retiring Member: Hon. A. E. D. Lillico.

	Candidates.		Total Votes		Remarks.	
Fleming.	Lillico.	Smith.	Counted.	Informal.		
785	1161	312	2258	17	Hon. A. E. D. Lillico elected.	

DIVISION OF PEMBROKE.

Electors enrolled, 2557. Electors who voted, 2156. Informal votes, 25. Percentage of electors who voted, 84·31 per cent. Absolute majority, 1066. Retiring Member: Hon. A. C. Blacklow.

Count.		Cand	idates.		Total		Remarks.
Count,	Blacklow.	Collidge.	Germaine.	Vernon,	Votes Counted.	Informal.	
1	802	432	642	255	2131	25	
2	73	39	143	255			Vernon excluded.
3-4	875 237	471 471	785 234		2131 		Collidge excluded.
	1112		1019	•••	2131		Hon. A. C. Blacklow elected.

DIVISION OF QUEENBOROUGH.

Electors enrolled, 4286. Electors who voted, 3211. Informal votes, 58. Percentage of electors who voted, 74.91 per cent. Absolute majority, 1577. Retiring Member: Hon. W. H. Strutt.

Candi	idates.	Total Votes		_	
Lyons.	Strutt.	Counted.	Informal.	Remarks.	
1435	1718	3153	58	Hon. W. H. Strutt elected.	

DIVISION OF WEST DEVON.

Electors enrolled, 3026. Electors who voted, 2460. Informal votes, 28. Percentage of electors who voted, 81·29 per cent. Absolute majority, 1115. (New electorate.)

				С	andidat	es.					ge .		
Count.	Broad.	Cooney.	Haygarth.	Hilder.	Stebbins.	Tuttersall.	Whitford.	Wright.	Yaxley.	Exbaust.	Total Papers Counted.	Informal.	Remarks.
$\frac{1}{2}$	68	301 1	189	576 	63	583 8	338	279 5	35 —35		2432	28	Yaxley excluded
 3	75 5	302	191	581 12	$\frac{65}{-65}$	591 28	343	284 12			2432 		Stebbins exclude l
 4	80 80	305	193 7	593 28		619	346	296 3			2432	···	Broad excluded
 5		322 143	200 200	621	···	639 16	350 13	299 6		1 4	2432		Haygarth excluded
 6		465 18		639 64		655 93	363 123	305 - 305		5 7	24 32	···	Wright excluded
	 	483 -483		703 203		748 74	486 106	···		12 100	2432		Cooney excluded
 8	···	 		906 174		822 327	592 —592		•••	112 91	2432		Whitford excluded
				1080		1149			•••	203	2432		Hon. A. W. Tattersall

Appendix E.

STATISTICAL TABLES-GENERAL ELECTION, 23rd NOVEMBER, 1946 (WITH COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS ELECTIONS).

Table 1.—Electors, Voters, and Informal Votes—General Election, 23rd November, 1946.

ů.	Estimated	Electors	on Roll.	Votes R	ecorded.	Informa	al Votes.	Valid
	Population, 31st Decem., 1946.	Number.	Per 1000 of Popula- tion.	Number.	Percentage on Electors.	Number.	Percentage on Votes Recorded.	Valid Votes Recorded.
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	56,060 48,850 54,570	29,836 32,976 32,196 34,893 27,855	593 588 659 639 638	27,134 29,698 28,973 32,269 25,600	90·9 90·1 90·0 92·5 91·9	2967 2365 3191 3382 2579	10·95 7·96 11·01 10·48 10·07	24,167 27,333 25,782 28,887 23,021
Total		157,756	622	143,674	91 · 1	14,481	10.08	129,190

Table 2.—Comparative Summary—State General Elections Since 1928.

	23rd Nov., 1946.	13th Dec., 1941.	20th Feb., 1937.	9th June, 1934.	9th May, 1931.	30th May, 1928.
Estimated Population	253,500	241,170	233,191	229,161	224,800	215,500
Electors on Roll— Male Female Total Males—per cent. of total	79,336 157,756 49 7	69,058 70,176 139,234 49·6 577	66,223 65,778 132,001 50 · 2 566	63,841 63,840 127,681 50 · 0 557	59,024 59,706 118,730 49 · 7 528	55,058 56,898 111,956 49·2 519
Votes Recorded (including informal votes) — Male	72,260 143,674	61,480 65,554 127,034 48 · 4	62,880 61,580 124,460 50·5	60,623 59,999 120,622 50·3	56,674 56,105 112,779 50 • 2	46,769 44,910 91,679 51 · 0
Voters Percentage to Electors on Roll— Male	91·0 91·1 91·1	89.1 93·4 91·2	94·8 93·6 94·3	95·0 94·0 94·5	96·0 94·0 95·0	84·94 78·94 81·90
Valid votes recorded	129,190	120,690	121,463	116,767	108,894	88,706
Informal Votes— Number	10·08 86 17 8 713	6344 4·99 62 9 7 320 123	2997 2·41 67 13 7 349 81	3855 3·19 77 14 6 616 183	3885 3·45 70 16 6 437 193	2973 3·24 72 12 7 452 126

The census of 1933 showed the proportion of adults to be 580 per 1000 of the total oppulation of Tasmania. At the recent election, the franchise was extended to certain classes of persons (minors) who had experienced war service. This is mainly response classes of persons (minors) who had experienced war service. This is mainly responsible for the increase in the number of voters per 1000 of population to 622 in 1946.

The proportion of electors who voted fell on this occasion to 91·1 per cent, the figure

at the previous election being 91.2 per cent.

The proportion of informal votes (10.08 per cent) was the highest recorded this century. Each division showed record percentages ranging from 11.01 per cent in Denison to 7.96 per cent in Darwin. This increase may be attributed to the fact that the names of candidates were shown in groups upon the ballot-paper, as distinct from the alphabetical listing of gendidates' paper. the alphabetical listing of candidates' names.

The large number of candidates in each division also probably contributed to the

very high proportion recorded.

The number of candidates (86) was the greatest number standing since 1912 and the number of candidates (36) was alte greatest number of counts (713) was the highest in recent years, while the greatest number of counts in a division (Denison 208) was also the highest recorded for several elections.

Although males comprise a majority of population, male electors were slightly in a minority (49.7 per cent), and, as voters, they were also in a minority (49.7 per cent). The number of counts

Table 3.—Electors on Roll and Voters—Last Five General Elections.

	Electors	on Roll-	-Male.				Vote	ers—Male	•	
	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1946.	1941.	1987.	1934.	1931.
Bass	14,352	12,486	12,591	12,263	11,253	12,982	11,083	11,824	11,629	10,691
Darwin	17,494	15,708	14,465	13,941	13,195	15,674	13,872	13,732	13,189	12,690
Denison	14,774	12,786	11,956	11,331	10,533	13,340	11,311	11,277	10,726	10,055
Franklin	17,526	14,943	14,090	13,660	12,310	16,224	13,501	13,609	13,151	12,039
Wilmot	14,274	13,135	13,121	12,641	11,733	13,194	11,713	12,438	11,928	11,199
Total	78,420	69,058	66,223	63,841	59,024	71,414	61,480	62,880	60,623	56,674
	Electors o	on Roll—	Female.				Vote	rs—Fema	le.	
	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.
Bass	15,484	13,982	13,521	13,292	12,438	14,152	13,036	12,666	12,541	11,738
Darwin	15,482	13,648	12,546	12,175	11,498	14,024	12,688	11,610	11,355	10,809
Denison	17,422	15,781	14,390	13,679	13,048	15,633	14,737	13,497	13,049	12,429
Franklin	17,422	14,480	13,440	13,079	11,970	16,045	13,613	12,776	12,281	11,137
								11,031	10,773	9,992
Wilmot	13,581	12,285	11,881	11,620	10,752	12,406	11,480	11,031	10,773	9,352
Total	79,336	70,176	65,778	63,840	59,706	72,260	65,554	61,580	59,999	56,105
El	ectors on	Roll—Bo	th Sexes.				Voters	—Both Se	exes.	
	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.
Bass	29,836	26,468	26,112	25,560	23,691	27,134	24,119	24,490	24,170	22,429
Darwin	32,976	29,356	27,011	26,116	24,693	29,698	26,560	25,342	24,544	23,499
Denison	32,196	28,567	26,346	25,010	23,581	28,973	26,048	24,774	23,775	22,484
Franklin	34,893	29,423	27,530	26,734	24,280	32,269	27,114	26,385	25,432	23,176
Wilmot	27,855	25,420	25,002	24,261	22,485	25,600	23,193	23,469	22,701	21,191
Total	157,756	139,234	132,001	12 7, 681	118,730	143,674	127,034	124,460	120,622	112,779

Table 4.—Percentage of Electors Voting at General Elections Since 1913.

	General Election.	Perce	ntage of Electors Vo	ting.
		Male.	Female.	Total.
State,	23.1.1913	n	n	67.2
Federal,	31.5.1918	79.0	70.8	75.0
Federal,	5.9.1914	81.3	73.7	77.6
State,	25.3.1916	76.1	71.0	73.6
Federal,	5.5.1917	82.0	70.0	76.0
State,	31.5.1919	69.6	62.6	66.1
Federal,	31.12.1919	65.1	52 3	58 7
State.	10.6.1922	70.0	56.3	63.1
Federal,	16.12.1922	53.4	38.0	45.6
State,	3.6.1925	72.9	61.8	67:3
Federal,	14.11.1925	89.5	88.0	88.7
State.	30.5.1928	84.9	78.9	81.9
Federal,	12.10.1929	96.3	94.2	95.2
State,	9.5.1951	96.0	94.0	95.0
Federal,	19.12.1931	96.5	96.2	96.4
State,	9.6.1934	95.0	94.0	94.5
Federal.	15.9.1934	96.2	95.3	95.8
State.	20.2.1937	94.8	93.6	94.3
Federal,	23.10.1937	96.1	95.9	96.0
Federal.	21.9.1940	94.1	95.4	94.8
State.	13.12.1941	89.1	93.4	91.2
Federal,	21.8.1943	99.4	94.8	97.0
	n, 19.8.1944	99.3	94.7	97.0
Federal,	28.9.1943	95.0	92.5	93.7
State.	23.11.1946	91.0	91.1	91.1

The preceding table, giving the percentage of electors who exercised the franchise at all general elections, both State and Federal, since 1913, indicates roughly the rise and fall of political interest among the electors up to 1925. The proportion of voters, on the whole, increased greatly from earlier years to 1914, remained steady until 1917, then fell away until 1922, but showed some recovery in 1925. Both its rise and fall are much more pronounced in the case of women than of men. The proportions for the subsequent elections are dominated by compulsory voting, first in the Federal sphere and later in the State. The absence of many electors from the State on military service would largely account for the lower percentage of voters at the elections in 1940 and 1941.

REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES.

At the election of the 23rd November, 1946, 86 candidates were nominated. Of these, 40 were endorsed nominees of the Labour Party, 30 were nominated by the Liberal Party, and 16 described themselves as Independent.

The result was the return of 12 Liberals, 16 Labour, and 2 Independents.

The No. 1 votes were cast directly for the three groups in each of the five electoral divisions of the State as follows:—

Table 5.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1946.

	Labour.	Liberal.	Independent.	Total.
Bass Darwin Denison Pranklın Wilmot	13,996 13,522 11,667 14,874 11,784	7711 13,025 4990 10,165 8352	2460 786 9125 3848 2885	24,167 27,333 25,782 28,887 23,021
Total	65,843	44,243	19,104	129,190

The method of election is briefly the "single transferable vote" system, and the law provides that a seat shall be allotted to each complete quota of votes in each division.

The quota in each division is ascertained by the following formula:-

 $\frac{\text{Number of valid ballot-papers}}{\text{Number to be elected} + 1} + 1 = \text{Quota.}$

Any remainder is ignored.

For the election of a "House" of 30 members, there will therefore be 35 theoretical quotas, which, however, because of "exhaust" votes, will not become complete.

In reports of previous elections, an attempt was made to weigh the ultimate voting strength of the two main parties by allotting to each faction the votes received by the Independent candidates according to the party sympathies of their supporters as far as these could be ascertained. In the 1946 election, however, the large number of Independent candidates rendered this course difficult and uncertain, and so the Independents have been shown hereunder as a third group.

The following table shows for each division, and for the State as a whole, the number of first preference votes, the number of quotas, and the seats won by each party:—

Table 6.—Party Strength in Divisions as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1946.

Division.	La	abour.		L	iberal.	Independent.			
Division.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	13,996 13,522 11,667 14,874 11,784	4·05 3·46 3·17 3·61 3·58	4 3 3 3 3	7,711 13,025 4,990 10,165 8,352	2·23 3·34 1·35 2·46 2·54	2 3 2 2 3	2460 786 9125 3848 2885	0·72 0·20 2·48 0·93 0·88	
Total	65,843	17.87	16	44,243	11.92	12	19,104	5.21	

A standard of representation is furnished by the fact that the number of members for the State as a whole (30) is 14·3 per cent below the number of quotas (35). The number of quotas of votes allotted to the Labour Party throughout the State was 17·87, but the seats won were 10·5 per cent less (16), whereas the seats obtained by the Liberals (12) were 0·6 per cent above the quotas of first preference votes. Independent candidates polled 5·21 quotas for 2 seats or 61·61 per cent below the number of quotas. In other words, there were 1·87 quotas of Labour votes made up of unrepresented fractions of 16 members elected, Liberal votes were over-represented by ·08 quotas for 12 members elected, while Independent candidates polled 3·21 quotas of unrepresented votes.

The disparity between the number of quotas and seats won was most pronounced in Denison where the successful Independent candidate polled 2:24 quotas for his seat, while with only 1:35 quotas the Nationalist group won 2 seats. This was due to the fact that the successful Independent's second preferences were predominantly non-Labour. Independent candidates in Bass and Wilmot received nearly 1 quota of votes but secured no seats.

The following table shows the party strength as indicated by the quotas of No. 1 preferences, and the seats actually won by Labour and non-Labour groups at each general election since 1928. Independent votes have been distributed as described elsewhere in the context, and the total for the State is the aggregate of the results for the five divisions.

Table 7.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1928-1946.

	19	928	19	931*	19	34	19	3 7	19	941		1946	
Division.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non. Labour	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Nation- alists.	Labour.	Indepen- dent.
Bass—	3.18	9.00	4.40	0.00		0.50							
Quotas Seats won		3.82	4.40	2.60	3.44	3·56	2.47	4·53 4	2.50	4·50 4	2.53	4.05	0·72 0
Darwin						·							
Quotas Seats won	3·73	3·27 3	4.52	2.48	3 3	2·96	2·96 3	3	2.90	4·10 4	3·34 3	3·46 3	0.20
Denison— Quotas Seats won	3.07	3.93	4.00	3.00	2·80 2*	3.59	2.59	4·41 4	2.20	4.80	1.35	3.17	2.48
Franklin— Quotas Seats won	3·95 4	3.05	4·02 3*	2·27 2*	2·86 2*	3.63	2.90	4.10	2.38	4·62 4	2.46	3.61	0.98
Vilmot— Quotas Seats wen	3·36 3	3.64	4.54	2.46	3.99	3.01	3.01	3.99	3.02	3.98	2.54	3.58	0.88
\ll		 -						-		·			
Quotas Seats won	17·29 16	17·71 14	21·48 19*	12·81 10*	17·13 13	16·75 15	13·93 12	21·07 18	13·0 10	22·0 20	11·92 12	17·87 16	5.21

^{*} Omitting the votes for one Independent candidate who was elected.

Other examples of unequal representation occurred in Wilmot in 1941. The most striking case, in its effect on the representation of parties throughout the whole electorate of the State, was in 1922. In that year the non-Labour party had 4·19 unrepresented fractional quotas against Labour's 1·23. This distribution nearest to equality required that non-Labour should have one more member and Labour one less, though if two more members had gone to non-Labour and two less to Labour the disparity would not have been serious. In that year the non-Labour party lost by unrepresented fractions in every division, whereas the losses of the Labour party in some divisions were counterbalanced by gains in others. A similar inequality, though less serious, occurred in 1941 by larger fractional losses to the non-Labour party in most divisions.

The number of quotas shown in the immediately preceding tables for the State as a whole is the sum of the quotas for each division, which, of course, are not uniform. The following figures show the representation due to each party, based directly on the total number of first votes polled by each in the whole of the electorates or on the number of uniform quotas and the number of seats won.

Strength of Parties on the Basis of No. 1 Preferences and Seats Won. (The State as a Single Electorate.)

		Seats in	
	No. 1 Preferences.	Proportion.	Seats Won.
Labour		15.29	 16
Liberal	44,243	10.27	 12
Independent	19,104	4.44	 2

The preferential system of voting therefore resulted in over-representation of both Labour and Liberal parties at the expense of Independent candidates, but gives a substantially correct representation when the second preferences of Independent candidates are allotted.

The results given in Table 7 show that except in 1928, there has been no case where the difference between the actual representation and the theoretically requisite representation has prejudiced the position of either party in Parliament.

A comparison between the number of seats due to parties, on the basis of No. 1 preferences and seats actually won, is given for all elections held under the present law in the following table:—

Table 8.—Representation of Parties for the Whole State, 1916-1946. (Seats in Proportion of No. 1 Preferences, and Seats Actually Won.)

			Terences, and Seats Actually	won.)	
	Non- Labour.	Labour.		Non- Labour.	Løbour.
1916 Proportionate share Actually won	15·46 16	14·54 14	1931 Proportionate share	18·39* 19	10·98 10
1919 Proportionate share	17·56 17	12·44 13	1934 Proportionate share		14·39 15
1922 Proportionate share Actually won	18·07 17	11·42* 12	1937 Proportionate share		18:06 18
1925 Proportionate share	i	15·58 16	1941 Proportionate share Actually won		18·89 20
1928 Proportionate share	14·85 16	15·15 14	1946 S Proportionate share	i	15·29 16

^{*} Omitting the votes for an Independent candidate.

GENERAL REMARKS.

The 1946 election was notable for the large number of candidates, 86; and particularly for the high proportion of Independents, 16 (18 per cent) of whom two were successful. In Denison, one Independent secured 2:24 quotas and attracted a very large number of first preferences which would normally have been divided between Liberals and Labour in the proportion of rather more than two to one. Another unusual feature was the defeat of 13 retiring members. Ten of the twenty Labour members of the previous Parliament were defeated, the four members in Darwin being replaced by new members.

The Labour party had one more member than was required for equitable proportional representation in 1922; was one member short in 1928; and again in 1931 and one in excess in 1934, 1941, and 1946.

A brief summary of the results of the 1946 election for each division is appended, together with what evidence is available of the party leanings of the supporters of the Independent candidates.

Bass.

There were 17 candidates of whom 8 were Labour, 6 Liberal, and 3 Independent. Labour candidates secured 4.05 quotas for 4 seats, Liberal 2.23 quotas for 2 seats, and Independent 0.72 quotas without securing a seat. Two Labour candidates were elected on first preferences, and the thirtieth count was made before the third candidate secured his quota. There was practically no cross voting between the two main parties. The votes of the first two Independents excluded went mainly to the remaining Independents, but those of the last one went predominantly to Liberal candidates. One hundred and forty counts were necessary to complete the election.

[†] Liberal Proportionate share 10.27; Actually won 12.

Independent Proportionate share 4.44; Actually won 2.

DARWIN.

Fifteen candidates, 8 Labour, 6 Liberal, and 1 Independent nominated in Darwin. Labour gained 3.46 quotas, Liberal 3.34, and Independent 0.20 quotas. Seventy-nine counts were required. One Labour candidate secured his quota on first preferences. On exclusion the votes of the Independent candidate favoured Liberal candidates. The preferential voting was on party lines.

DENISON.

Denison electors had the choice of 18 candidates, viz., 8 Labour, 6 Liberal, 2 grouped Independents, and 2 ungrouped Independents. Labour secured 3·17 quotas for 3 seats, Liberal 1·35 quotas for 2 seats, while all Independents secured 2·48 quotas and gained 1 seat. This election was notable for the exceptionally large number of votes secured by one Independent, viz., 8247 votes (2·24 quotas) which must be a record. His second preference votes were allocated as follows:—Liberal 44 per cent, Labour 19 per cent, Independents, grouped, 20 per cent, ungrouped, 17 per cent. This candidate and one Labour candidate were elected on the first preferences. Apart from the above case little cross voting occurred. The 208 counts necessary for finality in this Division were the highest for this election. Division were the highest for this election.

FRANKLIN.

Franklin Division also provided 18 candidates, viz., 8 Labour, 6 Liberal, and 4 ungrouped Independent. Labour won 3-61 quotas for 3 seats, Liberal 2-46 quotas for 2 seats, and Independents 0-93 quotas for 1 seat. One Labour and one Liberal member were elected on first preference votes. Voting was on party lines, the preferences of the Independent candidates being cast in favour of the other Independent candidates. One hundred and sixty-five counts were required to complete the election. hundred and sixty-five counts were required to complete the election.

WILMOT.

The 18 candidates in Wilmot were grouped similarly to those in Denison. Labour with 3.58 quotas gained 3 seats, Liberal 2.54 quotas, 3 seats, and Independent 0.88 quotas, no seat. One candidate was elected on first preference votes. Little cross-voting occurred between the main parties.

ABSENT VOTES, POSTAL VOTES, AND SECTION 116A.

Under the provisions of Section 116A, 771 persons whose names were not on the roll claimed the right to vote, and filled in ballot-papers. Of these 598 were rejected because their claim to be on the roll could not be sustained. The remaining 173 were admitted to the scrutiny, and 19 of them rejected for informalities, leaving 154 valid votes under Section 116A.

The number of absent votes was 9706, but these included a number whose names were not, in fact, on the roll, and 850 were rejected on this account; a further 1018 were rejected for informalities, leaving 7838 valid absent votes.

Taking together the claims under Section 116A and attempts to vote under provisions for electors absent from their usual subdivisions, the number of votes disallowed owing to the voter not being on the roll or having no right to be on the roll was 1448, or about 14 per cent of the applicants. The number of these votes disallowed in previous years were:—1941, 2283; 1937, 1897; 1934, 1687; 1931, 2086; 1928, 1545; 1925, 1668; 1922, 1473. These disallowed votes are not counted either as votes recorded or as informal votes.

Table 9.—Votes under Section 116A and Absent Votes.

	v	otes under S	Section 116	А.		Absent \	Votes.	
Division.	Paj	ers Receive	ed.	Admitted	Pa	pers Receive	d.	Admitted to
	Males.	Females.	Total.	to Scrutiny.	Males.	Femules.	Total.	Scrutiny.
Bass Darwin Denison Frankl'n Wilmot	91 83 55 107 134	60 43 38 77 83	151 126 93 184 217	44 32 46 32 19	890 1062 1003 1123 1109	777 902 951 985 904	1667 1964 1954 2108 2013	1485 1839 1762 1937 1833
Total	470	301	771	173	5187	4519	9706	8856

Table 10.—Valid Postal Votes in Parties.

Division.	Liberal.	Labour.	Independent.	Total.
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	283 376 399 632 250	569 316 614 426 323	93 25 312 107 112	945 717 1325 1165 685
Total	1940	2248	649	4837

Table~11. -Summary~of~Absent~and~Postal~Voting.

***	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.
Valid Absent Votes	8856	9253	8086	5989	5961 (a)	5604 (a)	5398 (a)
	173	290	353	367	388	318	353
	4837	3435	2602	2270	2001	1154	591

⁽a) Voting outside subdivision in which enrolled.

INFORMAL BALLOT-PAPERS.

The proportion of informal ballot-papers to the total number of ballot-papers at the election of 1946 was higher, at 10.08 per cent, than in any year in this century.

A summary of the proportion of informal ballot-papers in each division at each general election since 1912 is as follows:—

Table 12.—Percentage of Informal Ballot-Papers, 1912-1946.

	·					
	Bass.	Darwin.	Denison.	Franklín.	Wilmot.	A!l Divisions.
1912	2.63	2.97	2.67	2.78	3.26	2.85
1913	2.49	3.07	3.35	3.17	2.39	2.91
1916	3.88	5.49	5 63	6.52	5.23	5.66*
1919	3.33	4 . 36	3.02	3.34	6.06	3.96*
1922	2.05	2.56	2.58	2.86	3.20	2.63
1925	1.82	2.51	2.12	2.25	2.40	2 · 22
1928	3.38	3.23	2.64	2.83	4.12	3.24
1931	3.10	3.27	3.45	3.05	2.87	3.44
1934	3.44	2.95	3.10	2.76	3.85	3.19
1937	2.33	2.60	2.00	2.26	2.88	2.41
1941	6 · 16	4.70	4.97	5.43	3.62	4.99
1946	10.95	7.96	11.01	10.48	10.07	10.08

^{*} The proportions in these years were exaggerated by the inclusion of votes by persons who were not on the roll.

 ${\bf TABLE~13.} {\color{red} --} Elections~held~in~Tasmania,~1903-1946 {\color{red} --} Informal~Ballot-Papers.$

General Electi	ons.	Electorates Contested.	Date of Election.	Total Number of Ballot-	of	Percent- age of Informal	Method of Marking Paper.
Federal.	State.	Elect	JACOHOII.	papers.	Papers.	Papers.	
***	Assembly	31	2. 4. 1903	No. 23,261	No. 345	°/ ₀	Striking out all names but
Senate		1 (all)	} _{16. 12. 1903} {	37,021	1441	3.89	× opposite three names
Representatives	 Assembly	5 27	16. 3. 1906	37,013 40,322	1164 487	3·14 1·21	× opposite one name Striking out all names but one
Senate		1	} _{12. 12. 1906}	48,879	2192	4.48	× opposite three names
Representatives	 Assembly	4 5	30. 4. 1909	40,194 50,402	1583 1442	3·94 2·86	× opposite one name Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		í	} 13. 4. 1910	57,609 {	1893	3 29	× opposite three names
Representatives Referendum (Leg.		5	j	, (1447	2 51	× opposite one name
Powers)			26, 4, 1911	58,020	673	1.16	× opposite name Preference 1, 2, 3
•••	Assembly	5	30. 4. 1912	76,052 70,812	2166 2035	2·85 2·87	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	Assembly	5 1	23, 1, 1913		4927	6.13	× opposite three names
	'''		31. 5. 1913	80,398			
Representatives Senate (Dissolution)		5 1	5. 9. 1914	82,253	2470 3871	3·07 4·71	× opposite one name × opposite six names
Representatives		· 4		65,103	1549	2.38	× opposite name
,	Assembly	5	25. 3. 1916	78,984	4348	5.51	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		1	5. 5. 1917	82,608	3075	3.72	× opposite three names
Representatives	A agamalalar	4		65,873 71,064	2021 2757	3·07 3·88	× opposite name Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	Assembly	5 1	31, 5, 1919	1 1	6364	9.68	Preference 1 to 7
D		_	13. 12. 1919	65,716	3304	5.03	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	Assembly	5	10.6.1922	69,752	1834	2.63	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		1	16. 12. 1922	51,220	4750	9.27	Preference 1 to 7
Representatives	,	5	j	' (3304	6 45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	Assembly	5	3. 6. 1925	77,281	1714	2·22 11·27	Preference 1, 2, 3 Preference 1 to 9
Comme	1		>14.11.1925	102,742	1		D # 100
Representatives		5]	01.050	5027	4.89	Preference 1, 2, 3 Preference 1, 2, 3
e	Assembly	5	30. 5. 1928	91,679	2973 16,694	3·24 15·73	Preference 1 to 6
Senate	•••	1	77. 11. 1928	106,153	10,034	15 75	
Representatives		5] j	'	6842	6.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives		5	12. 10. 1929	110,927	2064	1.56	Preference 1, 2, 3 Preference 1, 2, 3
•••	Assembly	5	9. 5. 1931	112,779 120,622	3885 3855	3.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
•••	Assembly Assembly	5 5	9. 6. 1934 20. 2. 1937	124,460	2997	2.41	Preference 1, 2, 8
Representatives		5 1	19. 12. 1931	(a)	(a)	(a)	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate Representatives		5	115 0 1024	109 901	4840	3.91 /	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all
Senate		1	15. 9. 1934	123,801	20,183	16.30 \$	candidates
Representatives		5	23. 10. 1937	128,679	3613 16,258	2·81 12·63	Preference 1, 2, 3 Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for al
Senate Representatives		1 5	К		4102	3.13	candidates Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		1	21. 9. 1940	130,786	17,067	13.05	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for al candidates
•••	Assembly	5	13. 12. 1941	127,034	6344	4.99	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for al candidates
Representatives		5	${}^{>}_{21.8.1943}$	139,116	4975 18,831	3·58 13·54	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for al candidates
Senate		1	10 0 1044	139,411	2256	1.62	Preference 1, 2
Referendum Representatives		5	19.8.1944		3706	2.56	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for al
Senate		1	28.9.1946	144,879	17,113	11.81	candidates
•••	Assembly	5	23.11.1946	143,674	14,484	10.08	Preference 1, 2, 3

⁽a) Details are not available.

EXHAUSTED BALLOT-PAPERS.

Table 14.—Votes Lost by Exhaustion.

	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1919.	1916.
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	1490 1175 2058	1097 420 575 915 186	974 194 1025 323 311	1364 687 1344 859 1096	114 428 412 463 1043	278 331 321 466 1152	485 914 224 918 518	1133 191 400 592 291	645 454 392 389 366	500 461 763 725 451
Total	7415	3193	2827	5350	2460	2548	3059	2607	2246	2900
Percentage of Total Votes	5.16	2.6	2.3	4.6	2.3	2.9	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.9

The figures in the above table represent the number of votes which were discarded during the actual count because there was no further choice marked on them which could be made effective. These votes do not, in all cases, indicate unrepresented electors, because many of them had contributed to the election of successful candidates before they became exhausted.

Table 15.—Brief Sketch of the Development of the Elective Franchise for House of Assembly in Tasmania Since the Year 1870.

									ui 10	• • •	
Year.	Adult Persons (Estimated).	imated Mean Population.	Elected Paritamentary Representatives.	la:	Со	nstituer	ncies.	Electo	rs Enre	olled.	Lower Range of Electors' Qualification
	lult Esti	Estimated Popular	riian pres	ctor	er.	er	£. [Per Ce	ent. to-	to Franchise in
	Y	Esti	Pa	Electoral Divisions.	One- Member.	Two- Member.	Six- Member.	No.	Total Pop.	1	Possession of—
1911 1912	48,820 49,380 55,750 73,260 86,490 87,810 92,370 93,980 100,070 99,960 103,050 104,180 111,190 118,320 120,360 122,250 129,600 132,982 135,321 135,321	100,038 101,393 102,467 116,280 147,969 172,525 175,173 184,272 187,485 190,120 190,769 194,265 204,959 215,379 215,471 224,811 233,191 239,416	30 32 32 32 36 38 35 35 35 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	30 32 32 29 35 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	30 32 32 20 20 20 35 35 35 	8 9	5 5 5 5	11,171* 13,801* 14,001* 15,659* 25,932* 39,002* 39,902* 86,361 98,230 102,326 103,513 105,292 107,321 110,549 114,901 111,956 118,730 127,681 132,001 139,234	54·26 55·24 54·17 52·47 51·33 53·30 51·96 52·81 55·72 56·60 58·16	28·27 28·35, 28·09 35·40 45·09 44·9: 96·38, 91·89 9·18 102·40 102·77 103·01 96·72 93·43 95·46 91·58 91·61 96·01 97·55	A.—Adult Males. Property value of £7 Ditto Salary £80 annually Ditto Salary £80 annually Ditto Salary or wage £60 Ditto Manhood Suffrage B.—Adult Suffrage Ditto

^{*} Male only.

VOTING IN SUBDIVISIONS.

The following tables, 16 to 20 give for each division the number of first ordinary vote choices for each candidate in each subdivision, and in absent votes, votes under Section 116A, and postal votes. The number of electors on the roll, and electors who voted, by sexes, and the number of informal papers, are also given for the same subdivisions. The candidates are grouped in parties, and the total votes are given for the groups. Although the Independent candidates are grouped together, they need not necessarily be considered as a political party group. necessarily be considered as a political party group.

		Total.			2645 11 2647 11 4497 16 4497 16 4497 174 1158 185 1850 186 1850 187 1850 187 188 189 188 180 188 180 188 180 188 180 188 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180
	. \		rmal.	-9-1	888 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Votes.	р	1 Vali	изоТ V	32 280 2292 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		· ·	trabr		2880 6255 385 449 88 842 73 40 129 93 93
		dent.		villue : a	
		Independent.	.ard.		250 250 178 178 174 31 12 13 13 14 982
		, ii	ρλ·	Ocker	1144 309 150 243 245 189 24 21 21 21 21 21 24 24 24 25 24 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
			·	IstoT 57	240 2281 2849 2849 2849 577 577 1015 567 1149 713 27 569 13,996
ů.			-	(p) 8	63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 6
BASS.			-110	idatuT 4. daish.	66 828 828 763 670 100 52 183 44 435 163 163
OF		abour			45 45 45 89 116 14 14 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
Z	1	B-L		1	97 128 134 113 10 5 8 8 8 8 8 4 40 7 7 7 7 6 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
NOISION	VOT	Group B-Labour.	-	Madden	25 25 46 3 40 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
		9	*.b		25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
S Z	PRIMARY VOTES.			Carter.	111 8 1
010	0.01			Atkins.*	2 8 44 8 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	SUBDIVISIONS			latoT I	0000 1146
	SUB		. -	Thyne.	106 106 114 58 172 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 8 8 9 13 12 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
	(BY		Group A-Liberar.	Pitchford.	1170 170 170 170 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183
				Marriott.	6 4 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
	OTE		roup	Holfings-	6-18883 8
	Y V			Brice.	8 6 1 12 13
	IAR			Beattie.*	154 80. 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 15
	RIN		oted.	Total.	, 9 ro 4 4 d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d
	16.—PRIMARY VOTES		rs who Voted.	Female.	157 214 149: 2967 2965 2965 297 302 1047 692 1588 1688 14,152
		ri l	Electors	Male.	
	TABLE	Electors.) of or	487 5574 83338 83338 8729 5321 775 775 2035 11560 1760 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 17
		田	rolled	Total.	216 (8855 1895) 8 (8855 1895) 8 (8856 1995)
			s En	Female.	216 269 1855 3775 2610 2756 656 656 971 11256 11256 11256 11256
			Electors Enrolled.	Male.	271 305 1478 22954 2711 2383 740 1085 790 1231
			H	Subdivision.	Finders
					Flinde Georg Launc Launc Launc Linghyl Porth Porth Porth St. L. St. L. St. L. Abee Vote Post

* Elected.

Table 17.—PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF DARWIN.

	T. C. C.	Total:	3278 3957 5019 284 284 775 775 344 1296 257 255 257 257 287 313 1074	29,698
		Informal	264 409 409 1125 203 262 262 262 30 111 117 240 262 262 262 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	2365
Votes.		hilaV latoT setoV	2014 2078 2072 2072 2072 704 2073 2074 2074 2074 2074 2086 2086 2086 2086 2086 2086 2086 2086	27,333
	Indepen- dent.	-nl latoT trabragab	63 94 85 63 63 118 26 29 29 1 1 1 40	786
	Inde	Margetts.	63 63 63 63 63 63 92 92 92 92 93 94 95 95 95 95 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96	786
		Total Liberal.	1562 1934 2312 1179 1179 11927 845 250 250 1282 42 1282 1282 1282 153 178 178	13,025
	ral.	.sm.sil(iW	201 149 222 332 632 633 86 86 86 86 87 11 11 11 13	1042
	Group B—Liberal	Roberts.	73 109 104 4 4 38 10 108 108 108 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1207
	h B-	*,9i4oM	151 1213 147 165 266 101 101 101 77 27 20 89 80 80 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 1	2591
	Grou	Fidler.*	100 955 1713 130 132 138 138 192 193 90	3011 2591
VOTES		-rədmısılə *.nisl	233 284 210 210 1122 1231 168 168 218 218 218 218	3510
1		Acheson.	060 011 018 018 018 018 018 018 018 018 01	1664
PRIMARY		Total Labour.	1389 1550 2213 225 225 530 1166 347 1677 2072 1224 231 874 874	13,522
PI		.dtim8	117 168 168 168 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	1164
	ur.	[*] .9399H	121 3523 4778 176 729 158 1527 1130 1130 1130 48	1116
	-Labo	Lane.	65 468 148 6 27 114 67 27 25 33 34 73 73	1104
	Group A—Labour	Гасеу.	205 205 118 118 277 777 25 8 8 8 8 8 59 59	1127
:	Grou	$K^{\mathrm{ell}y.}$	20 20 20 20 20 20 10 11 10 11 10 12 20 20 12 20 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	16#
		Bugg.	594 822 822 100 100 188 188 7 7 7 83 83	1070
		*.fioimerd	180 146 146 33 33 467 465 465 115 97	1152
		Aylett.*	2922 2933 1025 1625 1135 1135 1135 407 297 297 297 297 298	3368
	Voted.	Total.	3278 3857 5019 5019 2019 775 775 1298 1298 255 2575 2575 2575 2575 2575 2575 2575	39,698
	Electors who Voted	Female.	1458 1992 2411 1113 1003 325 615 615 615 615 139 139 139 139 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 12	15,674 14,024
Electors.	Electo	Male.	1820 1965 260 1969 11949 11788 683 12383 12383 12579 1615 615 615 615	15,674
Eje	olled.	Trotal.	3914 4790 6153 347 25591 1012 4148 365 3455 3455 3451 1414 1418 3581 1414 1414 1414 1414 1414 1414 1414 1	32,976
	Electors Enrolled	Female.	1757 2420 2967 150 1176 412 2041 748 1200 1605 1685 1685 171 600	15,482
	Electo	Male.	2157 2370 3186 1976 1415 600 2108 8408 1896 1896 2436 2436 	17,494
	:	Subdivision.	Circular Head Devomport Bout Bay Gormanston Kentish Kentish King Island Leven Penguin Queenstown Strahan Table Cape Strahan Awartah Zeehan Votes under Sec . 116A Postal Votes	Total

•

(a) Forfeited Deposit.

TABLE 18.—PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF DENISON.

	Total.		3072 1796 5195 4208 8775	5510 2251	1762 46 1358	28,973	
ø.		-famiormal.	419 303 600 416 410	913	201 7 33	3191	
Votes.		Total Valid	2023 1493 4505 5792 3365	£041 2033	1561 39 1325	25,783	
	eat.	Total Un- grouped budepend.	707 418 1461 1188 1098	2054 763	459 7 272	8445	
	Ungrouped Independent.	Townley.*	689 382 1462 1119 1038	1999 751	453 7 267	198 8947	
	Inc	Gittus.	81 81 10 10 10	55 12	9		
		Total Liberal.	225 251 676 960 520	1078 520	352 9 399	4990	
	=	Strutt.*	50 61 177 251 124	254 105	112 1 56	1191	
	Group C.—Liberal.	Morrison.	18 13 73 53 53	116	17 28 45 45	483	
	C	Heathorn.	36 30 104 144 58	151 72	38 50 50	701	osit.
	roup	Ilav.	53 20 104 174 113	197	60 59	870	Dep
	5	Натуеу.	17 3° 69 113 56	131	31	580	(a) Forfeited Deposit.
TES		Atkins. *	71 77 163 200 111	9.25	9 8 13	680 1165	Forf
Λ	1.5	Tot. (*roup.	78 41 90 100 85	183	41 40	080	(g)
PRIMARY VOTES.	Group. B	Partington.	259 14 201 204 34	23.3	11	224	
RIM	Gro	McPartlan	49 27 69 76 51	74 50	% 9:08 08:08	4 6	
		Total Labour.	1613 785 2258 1544 1662	1777	709 23 614	11,667	
		White.*	353 175 426 338 255	244 92	66 5 129	2113	
	ii.	Tyler.	182 110 344 191 295	234 108	72 55	896 1591	
	Group A.—Labour.	Nolan.	197 50 356 52 52	85 25	30	968	
	A.—	Kelly.	102 15 43 44 64	38	25 	466	-
	roup	Ніскінап. (а)	460 780 000 000	165 23	28 1 15	495	-
		Неегеу.	95 77 91 144 87	159 89	64 22	835	
		Culley.*	94 38 184 110	234 45	60 1 59	937	
		* .9vorgeoO	516 293 727 613 709	618 287	364 7 203	4337	
	7 oted.	.IstoT	3072 1796 5195 4208 3775	5510 2251	1762 46 1358	28,973	- -g,
	Electors Enrolled. Electors who Voted.	Female.	1575 043 2756 2365 2025	2935 1250	862 25 897	15,638	Elected
ors.	Elector	Male.	1497 853 2439 1843 1750		900 21 461	13,340	
Electors.	olled.	Total.	8876 2497 6979 5391 4622	6833 2768	:::	32,196	
	's Enr	Female.	2002 1319 3331 3038 2485	3715 1532	:::	17,422	
	Elector	.Male.	1874 1108 2948 2353 2137	3118 1236	:::	14,774 17,422 32,196 13,340 15,638 28,973	
	•	Subdivision.	Hobart Central Hobart East Hobart North Hobart South	New Town	Absent Votes. Votes under Sec. 116A. Postal Votes.	•	

Table 19.-PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.

	Total		2542 1574 171 171 171 171 171 171 171 171 171 1	32,269
		.laurotal	20 11 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3382
Votes.		Total Valid	2386 1401 1345 1345 2508 2508 1409 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700	28,887
		-aI isoT tashas isb	316 122 1172 1166 690 690 817 771 805 805 805 805 805 805 805 805 805 805	3818
	C ilent.	Pearsall.	118 206 206 206 206 207 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208	1315 3818
	Group C_ Independent.	Sumn	υ απα ουνία ου απα το απα τ	158
	Gro	Gray	1666 2505 2505 2505 2505 2505 2505 2505 2	2049
	!]	Parley.	31.40 11 1 2 8 8 9 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9	335
		letoT i Jerodi.1	921 470 363 363 11:2 075 207 869 869 8468 468 146 196 628	10,165
	ra-	*.tdgirW	28 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1141
ce.	Group B—Liberal	-अयह	5 4 4 E 4 E 6 E 8 E 8 E 7 6 E 8 E 8 E 7 6 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E	1554 1205 4141
VOTES	= a	*, nosdon.	138 216 216 216 317 317 327 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 347 34	1551
	Grou	Geard.	8 10 20 8 8 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	885
LARY		Gatehouse.	5 0 11 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 4 1 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6	420
PRIMARY		Brown, B.	883 666 666 666 666 666 666 666 666 666	0161
		Total Labour.	1149 797 881 1154 1154 1154 1154 1152 1152 1152 115	571 2296 11,874
		*.nosli9N	586 120 110 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11	5596
	i.	MeKinley.	81 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	571
	Laho	Hope.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1152
	- P	Hand.	66 66 66 72 72 73 83 83 83 83 74 83 74 83 74 83 74 83 83 83 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	1560
	Group A-Labour.	Dwyer.*	07 661 662 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67	2203 1560 1152
		Dicker.	2322 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	758
		Brown, J.	8 11 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	532
		Втоокет.*	2777 249 606 3300 465 465 465 103 123 214 75 104 104	5805
	to Voted.	Total.	2642 1554 1471 1471 2396 2396 630 620 825 1093 393 393 1294	32,269
		Femule.	1850 705 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 1	16,045
Electors.	Elector	Male.	1286 831 7651 12653 12652 2120 2120 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	16,224
Eleα	Electors Enrolled. Electors w	Total.	3178 1837 1862 2793 2793 2793 1630 1630 1630 1630 1630 1630 1630 163	34,893
	S Enl	Pemale.	1614 864 864 1840 1635 3589 3255 1870 762 436 569 228 228	17,367
	Elector	Mule.	1564 973 945 1453 1453 1350 2550 2550 2550 2550 2550 2550 2550 2	17,526
		Subdivision.	Clarence Esperance Glenorchy Hugi Kinglorough Moonah Nelson Nelson Nelson Nelson Serit Gygnet Richmond Sorell Spring Bay Tasman Abert Votes Votes under Sec. 116a Postel Votes	Total

* Elected.

(a) Forfeited Deposit.

TABLE 20.—PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF WILMOT.

	Total		2149 617 1407 1956 2670 4011 1464 481 1318 2467 1955 11421 1421 1421 1833 1933 1933	25,600
si.		·læmrota1	205 66 174 106 278 357 143 87 179 273 179 205 179 280	2579
Votes.		Total Valid	1944 551 1153 1153 2392 3654 3654 1321 444 1196 2194 1776 1618 164 168	23,021
	ped lent.	Total Un- grouped Independ.	611 612 602 203 83 6 6 82 83 196 197 197 197 197 197 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198	1999
	Ungrouped Independent.	Lee.	201 201 208 24 208 24 26 190 278 26 465 465 107	1777
	ημ	Воитке. (a)	21 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	252
		Total Liberal.	1025 136 461 320 960 1877 357 166 294 451 451 451 601 582 582	8352
	-Fe	*nostrado#	108 119 120 121 450 358 358 466 47 114 114 47 114 114 114 114 114 114 1	1583
	Liber	Perkins.	255 4 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	992
	Group C.—Liberal	Napier. (n)	4 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6	455
	roup	Lyne.	24 25 46 160 160 182 283 293 490 490 490 490 490 490 490 490 490 490	947
	9	Campbell.*	831 555 60 60 60 70 70 70 70 70 108 1198 129 824 129 821 129 821 129 821 129 821 129 821 129 821 129 821 821 821 821 821 821 821 821 821 821	3538
VOTES	İ	*,9mud398	172 4 40 4 60 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1090 3538
1		Total Labour.	779 388 388 640 745 1162 1824 918 928 1007 1008 506 535 835 815 933	11,784
PRIMARY		Taylor.	81 8 8 64 75 75 95 1196 1114 73 80 80 81 81 81	1231
PR	į.	Spur.*	108 14 121 650 152 36 38 387 288 288 74 74 74 76	2825 2576 1231
	Group B.—Labour	Pike.*	338 6 6 6 139 97 1040 1111 14 145 145 188 31 58 88	2835
	B.—	onedSoK (a)	1 91 92 83 83 83 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	528
	roup	McQuiry.	21 28 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	228
}	5	Fagan.*	162 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71	3620
		.noidason.	80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	949
		Burnell.	38. 23. 33. 33. 33. 110. 111. 40. 40. 60. 60. 60.	1327
	. de	Tot. Group. Independ.	79 168 688 851 173 173 174 175 186 187 187 187 187 187 187 187 187 187 187	886
	Group A Indep.	Chamber- lin.	00 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	381
	ٿَ	furbury,	29 29 13 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	505
	/oted.	Total.	2149 617 617 1056 1056 4011 1464 481 1481 1838 1833 1833 1833 1833 1833	25,600
	Electors who Voted	Female.	1092 274 274 274 1963 280 1909 1909 983 642 642 831 831	12,406
ors.	Elector	Male.	1057 343 343 761 668 1970 1970 782 251 743 1258 972 779 972 1258 972 179 972 179 972 179 972 179 972 179 972 973 179 972 973 973 973 973 973 973 973 973 973 973	13,194
Electors.	lled.	Total.	2583 793 793 1726 11726 11726 11715 168 2973 2371 1792 1792 1792 1792 1792 1792 1792 17	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Electors Enrolled.	Female.	1335 358 358 793 793 721 1502 2481 721 1197 826 1064	12,631
	Electo	Male.	1248 435 933 828 1647 2356 903 313 937 1154 966 1078	13,489 12,631
	- - - -	Subdivision.	Beaconsfield Bothwell Brighton Campbell Town Campbell Town Deloraine Brandale Frigal Glamorgan Hamilton Latrobe Longford Oatlands Westbury Absent Votes Votes under Sec. 116A Postal Votes	

(a) Forfeited Deposit.

* Elected.

TABLE 25.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF WILMOT.

Electors on Roll, 27,855; Valid Votes, 23,021; Quota, 3289; Informal Papers, 2579.

						·					CA	NDIDAT	ES.													
	Group	"A" I	ndepend.		"		Grou	p " B."	Labour	•					Gro	ар "С"	Liberal			Ungr	ouped I	ndepend.		Loss by	Totai	
No. of Count.	Burbury.	Chamberlin.	Total Grouped Independents.	Burnell.	Cashion.	Fagan.	McQuitty.	McShane.	Pike.	Spurr.	Taylor.	Total Labour.	Bethune.	Campbell.	Lyne.	Napier.	Perkins.	Rohertson.	Total Liberal.	Bourke.	Lee.	Total Un- grouped Indep.	Exhaust.	Fractions.	Valid Votes.	Remarks.
1 2	505 1	381 1	886	13 7	949	2620 1	228	528 	2325	2576 1	1231	11,784 5	1096	3538 -249	947 64		766 17	1583 54		222 1	1777 24	1999 25			23,021	Campbell elected. Campbell's surplus distri- buted T.V. 249/3538.
3 - 4	506 3	382 4	40 888	1327 12		2621 18	228 2		2328 5	2577 13	1236 5	11,789 63	1156 14	3289	1011 12		783 	1637 8	8313 35		1801 84	2024 84	•••	i .	23,02!	Bourke excluded.
5—6	542 1	386 2	928	1339 15		26 39 57	280 —230	534 U1	2333 44	2590 42	1236 14	11,852 220	1170	3289	1023	438 2	783 	1645 1	834 8 5		1885 1	1885	"i	8	28,021	McQuitty excluded.
7 —10	543 286	38F 388	931 286	1354 11		2696 9		565 1	2377 7	2632 7	1250 1	11,842 36	1170 15	3289	1025 8	440 4	783 3	1646 14	8353 44	•••	1886 21	1886 21		8 1	23,021	Chamberlin excluded.
11—15	829 4	•••	829 4	1865	968 2	2705 6		566 2	2384 4	2689 5	1251 1	11,878	1185 132	3289	1033 143		786 47	1660 75	8397 397		1907 19	190 7	1 1	9	28,021	Napier excluded.
16- 21	833 9	:::	833 9	1867 37	970 52	2711 250	•••	568 508	2388 87	2644 62	1252 58	11,900 546	1317 5	. 3289 	1176		833 2	1735 2	8350 10	•••	1926 2	1926 2	2	10	28, 021	McShane excluded.
22—28 ———	842 3		842 3	140 4 7	1022 2	2961 3			2475 5	2706 12	1310	11,878 30	1322 206	3 289 	11 7 7 299		835 —835 ———	1737 206	8360 711	•••	1928 77	1928 77	3 10	10 4	23,021	Perkins excluded.
29 37	845 845	•••	8 4 5	1411 181	1024 27	2964 57		•••	2480 56	2718 44	1311 21	11,908 336	1528 130	3289	14 7 6 70		•••	19 43 59	8236 259		2005 200	2005 200	18 49	14 1	28,021	Burbury excluded.
38—49 ———		•••	•••	1542 313		3021 397			2586 96	2762 134	1332 79	12,244 1019	1658 7	3289	1546 5	• 0 •		2002 5	8495 17		2205 3	2205 3	62 12	15	23,021	Cashion excluded.
 50		•••		1855 39		3418 — 129 ——	:::		2632 32	2896 31	1411 20	12,212 122	1665	3289	1551			2007	8512	•••	2208	2208	74 8	15 4	23,021	Fagan elected. Fagan's surplus distributed T.V. 129/397.
51—68 ———	•••		•••	1894 261		3289 	::: 		2664 397	2927 704	1431 -1431	12,205 1362	1665 7	3289	1551 5			2007 7	8512 19		2208 3	2208 3	77 47	19	28,021	Taylor excluded.
69				2155 48		8289			3061 277	3681 —342		12,136 325	1672 1	3289	1556	•••		2014	8531 1		2211	2211	124 14	19 2	23,021	Spurr elected. Spurr's surplus distributed T.V. 342/704.
₇₀				2203 44		3289 			3338 49	3289		12,119 44	1678	32 89	1556	•••	•••	2014	8 532 		2211	2211 	138 4	21	28,021	Pike elected. Pike's surplus distributed T.V. 49/570.
71—91 ———			•••	2247 14		3289			8 289	3289		12,114	1673 883	-3289 	1556 1556			2014 513	8532 1396	•••	2211 85	2211 85	142 59	22 2	23,021	Lyne excluded.
92121 			***	2261 2106		8289 	•••		3289 	3289		12,128	2556 351	3289	•••	•••	•••	2527 88	8372 384		2296 47	2296 47	201 1674	24 1	23,021	Burnell excluded
	•••			155	•••	3289	•••	•••	9289	3289		10,022	2907	3289	•••			2560	8756	• •••	2343	2343	1875	25	28,021	Bethune and Robertson elected.

Note.—Burnell's exclusion not completed because his undistributed preferences could not affect Lee's position.

TABLE 23.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF DENISON. Electors on Roll 32,196; Valid Votes 25,782; Quota 3684; Informal Papers 3191.

	CANDIDATES.																1									
				Grouj	p" A"]	Labour.				Group	"В" І	ndepend.			Grou	ıp "C"	Liberal	•		Ungro	uped—	Independ.		Loss by	Tetai	
No. of Count.	Cosgrove.	Culley.	Heerey.	Hickman.	Kelly.	Nolan.	Tyler.	White.	Total Labour.	McPartlan.	Partington.	Total Grouped Independents.	Atkins.	Harvey.	Нау.	Heathorn.	Morrison.	Strutt.	Total Liberal.	Gittus.	Townley.	Total Un- grouped Indep.	Exhaust.	Fractions.	Valid* Votes.	Remarks.
1 2	4337	937 250	835 99	492 74	466 28	896 70	1591 152	2118 199	11,667 872	456 572	224 346	680 918	1165 403	580 173	870 308		483 191	1191 583	4990 1999	198 767	8247 -4563	8445 767	•••	7	25,782	Townley and Cosgrove elected. Townley's surplus distributed T.V. 4568/8247.
8	4387 — 65 3	1187 328	934 74	566 26	494 20	966 82	1748 74	2312 90	12,539 6 3 9	1028 1	670 	1598 1	1568 2	753 	1178	1042	674	1774 1	6989 3	965 1	8684	4649 1	•••	7 9	25,782 	Cosgrove's surplus distri- buted T.V. 653/4837.
46	3694	151 0 142	1008 78	5 9 2 86	514 514	998 68	1817 68	2402 100	12 ,52 5 481	1029 3	570 2	1599 5	1570 3	753	1178 2	1042 9	674 1	1775 1	6992 16	966 3	3684 	4650 3	·:i	16 8	25,782	Kelly excluded.
 7—9	3684	1 952 10	1086 6	622 4		1 066 4	1880 8	2502 8	12,492 40	1032 437	572 -572	1604 437	1573 14	758 8	1180	1051 11	675 12	1776 17		969 22	3684	4653 22	1	24 4	25,782 	Partington excluded.
10—16	3684	1 6 62 178	1092 98	626 —626		1 0 70 94	1888 112	251 0 100	12,532 577	1469	••	1469 9	1587 6	761	1186 l	1062	687 2	1 793 5	7076 17	991 4	3684	4675 4	2 13	28	25,782	Hickman excluded.
17- 23	3684	1885	11 9 0			1164	2000	2610 2	12,483 12	1478 13		1478 13	1593 100	761 71	1187 146	1065 144	689 —689	1798 188	7093 649	995	3684	46 7 9 6	15 2	84 7	25,782	Morrison excluded.
24-29	3694	1886	1192	•••		1169	2002	2612 1	12,495 5	1491 4		1491	1693 225	832 —832	1333 288	1209 73		1986 219	7053 805	1001	3684	4685 7	17 6	41 5	25,782	Harvey excluded.
30—43	3664	18 9 6 109	1198 37		:::	1169 25	2005 31	2613 67	12,500 260	J 495 346	•••	1495 386	1918 145		1621 39	1282 64		2205 124	7026 372	1008 1008	3684	4692	23 18	46 12	25,782	Gittus excluded.
44-63	8684	1936 320	12 90 217		•••	1194 1194	2086 307	2680 285	12,760 1, 12 9	1841 15		1841 15	2063 8	•••	1660 3	1346		2329	7398 20		3684	3684	41 14	58 16	25,782	Nolan excluded.
64 84	3684	2256 21	1447 12	•••	•••		2343 6	2965 14	12,695 53	1856 48		1856 48	2071 352	•••	1668 365	1350 —1350	•••	2334 476	7418 11 9 8		3684	3684	55 38	74 18	25,782 	Heathorn excluded.
85—115	3 684	2277 668	1459 —1459	•••	•••		2349 871	2979 272	12,748 1391	1904 28		1904 28	24 2 3 13	•••	2028 3		•••	2810 20	7261 36		3684	3684	98 42	92 27	25,782	Heerey excluded.
 116—15 8	3684	2965 264		•••	•••		2720 122	8251 149	12,620 535	1927 —1927		1927	24 3 6 449	•	2031 202	•••	•••	28 30 305	7297 956	•••	3684	36 84	135 407	119 29	25,782	McPartlan excluded.
157—206	3684	3229 88					2842 34	8400 74	18,155 191		•••		2885 820	•••	2233 2283	•••	•••	8135 707	8253 1527	•••	3684	3684	542 497	J48 18	25,782	Hay excluded.
207	3664	3812 15			•••		2876 8	9474 12	13,846 35	•••		•••	3705		•••		•••	3842 —158	7547 	•••	3684	3684	1089 121	166	25,782	Strutt & Atkins elected. Strutt's surplus distributed T.V. 158/815.
208	3684	3327 3		:::	•••		2881	3486	13,381 4	•••	•••		3705 —21	::			•••	3684	7389		3684	3684	1160 15	168 2	25,7 82	Atkin's surplus distributed T.V. 21/22.
•••	3684	8830	•••			•••	2884	3487	13,385	•••	•••	•••	3684	•••	•••	,		3684	7368		3684	3684	1175	170	25,782	White & Culley elected.

TABLE 24.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.

Electors on Roll 34,893; Valid Votes 28,887; Quota 4127; Informal Papers 3382.

				•							CA	NDIDA	TES.																	
No. of Count.				Grou	p" A "	Labour						Grou	p "B"	Liberal	•			Group '	'C" In	depende			Loss by Frac-	Total Valid						
	Brooker.	Brown, John	Dicker.	Dwyer.	Hand.	llope.	McKinley.	Neilson.	Total Labour.	Brown, Ronald	Gutchouse.	Geard.	Jackson.	Park.	Wright	Total Liberal	Farley.	Gray,	Nutting.	Pearsall.	Total Independent.	Exhaust.	Frac- tions.	Valid Votes.	Remarks.					
1 2	5802 —1675	532 508	758 195	2203 398	1560 163	1152 153	571 78	2296 184	14,874 1619	1910 10	470 1	885 1	1554 7	1205 5	4141	10,165 24	335 5	2045 12	158	1815, 7	3848 24	•••	8	28 ,887	Brooker and Wright elect. Brooker's surplus distri- buted T.V. 1675/5802.					
3	4127	1040	898	2601	1723	1 3 05	649	2480 	14,818	1920 1	471 1	886 1	15 6 1	1210 5	4141 —14	10,189		2057	158	1822	3872	•••	8 3	28,887	Wright's surplus distributed T.V. 14/4141.					
· 4	4127	1040 4	893 1	2001 5	1723 8	1 3 05	649 1	2480 4	14,818 29	1921 7	472 3	887 	1564 2	1215 7	4127	10,186 10	340 8	2057 63	158 —153	1322 34	3872 105		11	28,887	Nutting excluded.					
5—7	4127	1044 12	894 1	2606 6	1731 5	1811 6	650 4	2484 5	14,847 39	1928 6	475 2	887 2	1566	1222 4	4127	10,205 14	348 348	2120 249	•••	1356 44	3824 293	 	11 2	28,887	Farley excluded.					
8—12	4127	1056 8	895 2	2612 2	1736 1	1917	654 1	2489	14,886 9	1984 107	477 477	889 112	1566 86	1226 141	4127	10,219 446		2369 6	•••	1400 13	3769 19	1	13 2	28,887	Gatehouse excluded.					
1317	4127	1059 74	897 4 7	2614 208	1737 51		655 —655	2489 129	14,895 607	2041 9	•••	1001 2	1652 3	1367 6	4127	10,188	•••	2375 19	•••	1413 3	3788 22	1 2	15 4	28, 887	McKinley excluded.					
 18—24	4127	1138 207	944 944	2622 335	1788 129	1415 65	•••	2618 173	14,847 909	2050 1		1003	1655 9	1373 3	4127	10,208		2394 1	•••	1416 8	8810 9	, 3 9	19 4	28,887	Dicker excluded.					
25—80	4127	1840 6	•••	8157 28	1917 6	1480 4	•••	2791 4	14,812 48	2051 265	•••	1003 1008	1664 390	1376 259	4127	10,221 914	•••	2395 10	•••	1424 22	3819 32	12 7	28 2	28,887	Geard excluded,					
31—45	4127	1846 —1846	•••	818 6 890	1923 180	1484 113	•••	2795 118	14,960 1251	2316 15		•••	2054 8	1635 6	4127	10,132 29	•••	2405 12		1446 7	3851 19	19 36		28,887	Brown, (John) excluded.					
4660	4127	•••		4075 60	2058 23		•••	2918 14	14,765 115	2331 84			2062 135	1641 1 5 6	4127	10,161 375		2417 935	•••	1453 —1453	3870 935	55 26		28,887 	Pearsali excluded					
61	4127		•••	4195 —8	2076 8	1615	•••	2927 	14,880 3	2415	•••	•••	2197	1797	4127	10,536	• •••	3352 		•••	3352 	. 81 1	38 4	28,887	Dwyer elected. Dwyer's surplus distributed T.V, 8/60.					
62—85	4127	•••	•••	4127	2079 760	1615 —1615	•••	2927 701	14,8 ⁷ 5 1461	2415 12	•••	•••	2197 12	1797 17	4127	10,536 41		3352 32	•••	•••	3352 3 2	82 74	42 7	28,887	Hope excluded.					
86—114	4127	•••	·••	4127	2839 23	•••	•••	3628 21	14,721 44	2427 558		•••	2209 1048	1814 —1814	4127	10,577 1601	•••	3384 83		•••	3384 83	156 82	4 9	28,887	Park excluded.					
115—163	4127		•••	4127	2862 —2862	•••		3649 1389	14,765 1389	2980 191	•••		9257 52	•••	4127	10,364 243		3467 109	•••		3467 109	238 1103		2 8,887	Hand excluded.					
 164	4127		•••	4127	•••	•		5038 —911	13,292	3171 140			3309 22	•	4127	10,607	:::	3576. 32		i .	3576 32		71	28,887	Neilson elected. Neilson's surplus distribu- ted T.V. 911/1389.					
•••	4127	•••	•••	4127	•••	•••	•••	4127	12,381	3311	•••	•••	3331	•••	4127	10,769		3608		 .	3608	2058	71	28,887	Gray and Jackson elected					

TABLE 22.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF DARWIN. Electors on Roll, 32,976; Valid Votes, 27,333; Quota, 3905; Informal Papers, 2365.

		<u> </u>	. 			•				CAND	DATE	S.											
		 ,		Grou	p " A "	Labour.						Grou	р "В"	Liberal.			Inde	pendent.	Loss		Total		
No. of Count.	Aylett.	Bramich.	Bugg.	Kelly.	Lacey.	Lane.	Reece.	Smith.	Total Labour.	Acheson.	Chamberlain.	Fidler.	McFie.	Roberts.	Williams.	Total Liberal.	Margetts.	Total Independent.	Exhaust.	Frac- tions.	Valid Votes.	Remarks.	
1 2	3368 48	1152 16	10 7 0	421 9	1127 95	1104 5 9	4116 211	1164 28	13,522 204	1664	3510 1	3011	2591	1207	1042	13,025	786	7 86	•••	6	27,333 	Reece elected. Reece's surplus distributed T.V. 211/4116.	
3-4	3416 76	1168 23	1079 63	430 430	11 62 95	1168 95	3905	1192 55	13,515 407	1664 	3511 12	3011 2	2591 2	1207 	1042	13, 02 6 17	786 4	786 4	•••	6 2	27,33 3	Kelly excluded.	
5—6	3492 55	1191 52	1142 10	•••	1257 11	1258 9	3905	12 47 10	13,492 147	1664 74	3523 168	3018 104	2593 113	1207 116	1043 66	13,048 641	790 —790	790	2		27,333	Margetts excluded.	
 7—9	3547 7	1243 6	1152	•••	1268 2	1267 1	8905	1257 8	13,639 24	1 73 8 59	3691 274	3117 181	2706 359	1323 261	1109 1109	13,684 1084			2		27,333	Williams excluded.	
	8554	1249	1152	•••	127 0	1268	3 905	1265	13,663 •••	1797 8	3965 —60	324 8 15	3065 26	1584 9	•••	13,659 58	•••			8 2	27,333	Chamberlain elected. Chamberlain's surplus distributed T.V. 60/274.	
11- 16	3554 347	1249 424	11 52 1152	•••	12 7 0 169	1268 121	8905	1265 57	1 3,66 3 1118	1805 14	3905	3263	3091 7	1593 2	•••	13,657 26	. •••	•••	3	10	2 7, 333	Bugg excluded.	
17—25	3901 442	1673 220	•	•••	1439 212	1389 392	3905	1322 —1322	18,629 1266	1819	\$905 	3266 8	3098 18	1595 8		13,683 35		•••	7 21	14	27.3 33 •-	Smith excluded.	
 26	4343 —438	1898 251	•••	•••	1651 69	1781 93	8905		18,573 413	1820	3905 	3274	8116	1608	•••	13,718	 		28 22	14	27,383 	Aylett elected. Aylett's surplus distributed T.V. 438/442.	
27—34	3905	2144 16	•••	•••	1720 5	1874 4	3905 		18,548 25	1820 348	3905 	3274 656	8116 545	1603 1603		13,718 1549	•••		50 28	17 1	27, 838 	Roberts excluded.	
 95	3905 	2160		•••	1 72 5	1878	3905		13,578	2168 13	8905	3930 25	3661 10	•••		13,664 23		•••	78	18 2		Fidler elected. Fidler's surplus distributed T.V. 25/60.	
36—5 7	3905	2160 850	•••	•••	1725 —1725	1878 763	3905		13,573 1613	2181 10	3905	8905	3671 13	•••	•••	13,662 23		•••	78 82	20 7	27,333 	Lacey excluded.	
58—74 ———	8905 	9010 160		•••		2641 58	3905 	•••	18,461 218	2191 —2191	3905	3905	3684 1534	•••	•••	13,685 1534		•••	160 43 6	27 3	27,3 33	Acheson excluded.	
7 5	3 905	9170 847	•	•••		2699 7 1	3905		13,679 418	:::	39 05	3905	5218 —1318			13,028	:::		596 894	30	27,833	McFie elected. McFie's surplus distribu- ted T.V. 1313/1584.	
•••	8905	8517	•••	•••	•••	277 0	3905	•••	14,097	•••	3905	8905	390 5	•••		11,715	•••	•••	1490	81	27,333	Bramich elected.	

TABLE 21.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF BASS. Electors on Roll 29,836; Valid Votes 24,167; Quota 3453; Informal Papers 2967.

										CANDI	DATES	3.		1		5														
			Group	"A":	Liberal.						Group	р."В" І	Labour.				-	Indep	endent.	···		Loss by	Total	Turnbull's surplus distributed T.V. 485/3918						
No. of Count.	Beattie.	Brice.	Hollingsworth.	Marriott.	Pitchford.	Thyne,	Total Liberal	Atkins.	Carter.	Howroyd.	Madden.	McGee.	Quintal.	Turnbull.	Welsh.	Total Labour.	Ockerby.	Pinkard,	Sullivan.	Total Indepen.	Exhaust	Fractions.	Valid Votes.	Remarks.						
1 2	176% 1	1023	1892	19 4 9 6	656 2	924	771 1 17	1400 55	1161	2107 160	3767 	578 . 57	570 48	3918 465	495 44	13,996 425	1174 5	982 7	304	2460 14		9	24,16 7	Turnbull's surplus distri-						
3	1768	1025	1395 1	1955	65 8	927	7728 4	1455 21	12 22 30	2267 187	3767 314	635 65	618 29	3453	539 14	13,956 296	1179 3	989 2	306	2474 6		9 8	24,167	Mudden's surplus distri- T.V. 914/3767						
4-6	1769 14	1025	1396 6	1957 7	65 8 8	9 2 7 8	7782 46	1476 11	1252 10	2404 17	3453	700 8	647 3	3453	558 2	13,938 51	1182 38		307 —807	2480 205	2	17 3	24,167 	Sullivan excluded.						
7—10	1783	1083 1	1402 1	19 6 4 9	666 2	9 30 1	7778 16	1487 46	1262 52	242 3 187	3458	708 60	650 218	3458	555 —55 5	13,989 513	1220 6	1158 12	•••	2378 18		2 0 6	24,167 	Welsh excluded.						
11—14	1785 108	1084 60	1 103 121	19 76 207	666 668	981 121	7794 617	1588 2	1814 .4	2558 6	8459	768 	868 3	3453	•••	19,947 15	1226 31	1170 8	•••	2996 34	4	26 2	24,167	Pitchford excluded.						
1521	1893	1094	1524 4	2180 4		1052 8	7748 11	1585 79	19 16 184	2564 387	3453	768 768	871 166	3459	•••	13,962 716	1257 7	1173 12	•••	248 0 19	. 4	28	, ,	McGee excluded.						
22—85 ———	1893 6	1094 1	1528 4	2184 7	•••	1055 1	77 54 19	1614 207	1452 203	2901 558	8458	•••	1087 -1037	3453		1 3,9 10 968	1264 7	1185 12	•••	2449 19	15 19	39 12		Quintal exokuded.						
36	1899	1095	1589	2 191	•••	1056	7778	1891	1655 5	3459 —6	9458		•••	8458		18,841 5	1271	1197	•••	2468	84	51 1	24,167	Howroyd elected. Howroyd's surplus distri- buted T.V. 6/74.						
87—45	1899 169	1095 104	1582 161	21 9 1 570		1056 1056	7778 1004	1821 1	1660 4	3453	3453	•••	•••	3453		19,840 5	1271 36		•••	2468 48	34		24,167	Thyne excluded.						
46—53	2068 287	1199 1199	1698 899	27 6 1 391	:::		7721 1677		1664 6	3453	3 45 3	•••		3453		18,845 10			•••	2 511 108		54 2		Brice excluded.						
54—67	2855 68	•••	2092 71	3152 132			759 9 271		1670 146		3453 ,		•••	3453	•••	13,85 6 312				2614 661	43 74		24,167	Pinkard excluded.						
68—103	2428 24	•••	2168 14	3284 21		•••	787 0				3453	•••		3453		14,167 1462				1953 82				Carter excluded.						
104	2447	•**	2177 	8305		1	79 29	3454 —1	•••	3453	3458 			8458		13,813	2035			2035	32 2	68		Atkins surplus distributed T.V. 1/90.						
105—139	2447 561		2177 697	8805 289		•••	792 9 1547		•••	9458 	3 453 	•••	•••	3453		18,812	20 95 —2035				322 485			Ockerby excluded.						
140	3008 46		2874 84	3594 — 141			9476 180		•••	9453	3453			3453		13,812			ł		808			Marriott elected. Marriott's surplus distributed T.V. 141/289.						
•••	8054	•••	2958	8458			9465	3453	•••	3453	8463	•••		3458		13,812			•••	•••	817	78	24,167	Beattie elected.						