TASMANIA

THE PARLIAMENT OF TASMANIA

REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION 13th DECEMBER, 1941

(WITH STATISTICS OF EARLIER ELECTIONS HELD IN TASMANIA)

AND

RESULTS OF LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS HELD IN 1938, 1939, 1940, 1941, AND 1942

BY

EDWARD PARKES, C.M.G., M.V.O., I.S.O., Chief Electoral Officer for the State of Tasmania

Presented to both Houses of Parliament by His Excellency's Command



TASMANIA.

H. H. PIMBLETT, GOVERNMENT PRINTER, HOBART

1942.

REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION HELD ON THE 13th DECEMBER, 1941, AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1938, 1939, 1940, 1941, AND 1942.

SIR.

I HAVE the honour to submit the following report on the Parliamentary Elections held during the past five years.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

The House of Assembly was dissolved by the Governor on 14th November, 1941, and the following dates were fixed for the purposes of the Election:—

Issue of Writs	15th	November,	1941
Nominations	24th	November,	1941
Poll	13th	December.	1941

The writs were returned on the 24th December, 1941, from which date the life of the Parliament commenced.

The nominations on this occasion totalled 63 (Bass, 11; Darwin, 12; Denison, 14; Franklin, 15; and Wilmot, 11), the figures for the previous General Elections being:—

1925	74
1928	72
1931	70
1934	77
1937	67

The enrolment for the various Divisions, and the total number of votes recorded, is shown hereunder:—

Division.	Electors Enrolled.	Number of Votes Recorded.	Percentage.
Bass	26,46 8	24,119	91.1
Darwin	29,356	26,560	90.4
Denison	28,567	26,048	91.1
Franklin	29,423	27,114	$92 \cdot 1$
Wilmot	25,420	23,193	91.2
	139,234	127,034	91.1

The total enrolment shows an addition since the last General Election of 7233, with an increase of 2534 in the votes recorded on this occasion. The percentage of votes for electors enrolled was $91\cdot2$ per cent, which is below the figure of $94\cdot3$ per cent recorded at the 1937 Election. This leaves an average of over 2440 electors in each division unaccounted for on polling day, as compared to an average of 1500 after the 1937 Election.

This increase in non-voters is obviously due to the absence from the State of men engaged in military duty, or in work related to the war.

Compulsory Voting Provisions.

The general position with regard to non-voters presented, on this occasion, difficulties which could not be overcome. Since the introduction of compulsory voting in 1928, it has been the practice after each election to issue notices to electors who had failed to vote, calling upon them to make an explanation of the omission. At the time of the last General Election, many electors were serving in various branches of His Majesty's Forces outside Tasmania, and others were engaged on war service, direct or indirect, in the mainland States. It was not found possible to devise any means of bringing the five divisional electoral rolls up to date, since changes were occurring almost daily; moreover, there was no ready method of distinguishing between non-voters away on active service and those non-voters who still remained in civil occupations and who therefore should have voted. It was eventually decided that "failure to vote" notices should not be issued on this occasion, for the reasons already stated, and because the electoral authorities were reluctant to have even a few forms issued to soldiers abroad, some of whom, though their names still appeared on the roll, had already become casualties when the forms would normally have been issued. It was therefore considered far more desirable to grant immunity to all non-voters, rather than to send out a notice, for example, in the name of a deceased soldier, with consequent distress to his next of kin.

The Electoral Act, 1941.

The amended procedure brought into force by the Electoral Act, 1941, proved satisfactory in practice, and the electoral machinery was thereby improved. The amendments, apart from those of a consequential nature, were as follows:—

(a) Candidates for the first time were permitted to have their names grouped on the ballot paper by mutual consent, and pursuant to a prescribed notification to the Returning Officer at the time of nomination. No difficulty arose in applying this provision, and it is to be presumed that the electors are now better able to identify all candidates of the one party.

(b) Electors resident five miles from a polling both may now claim a postal vote. Previously, the prescribed distance was seven miles, and the charge is no doubt.

and the change is no doubt a reasonable facility.

(c) Electors who by reason of religious scruples do not wish to vote on polling day may now vote by post. This brought about a much needed reform, since many electors willing and desirous of complying with the law would not vote on their Sabbath Day if that day coincided with polling day. They may now vote before polling day if they so desire. So far as the electoral administration is concerned nothing can be done for electors who on religious or conscientious grounds refuse to vote either on election day or at any other time. This must remain the position until Parliament sees fit to grant to these objectors an exemption from the compulsory voting provisions of the Act.

(d) Postal votes, when completed, may now be handed into polling booths prior to the close of the poll. The requirement previously was that these votes had to be posted to or handed to the Returning Officer only, and the new provision thus facilitates

the lodging of postal votes.

(e) Blind voters, or voters so physically incapacitated that they cannot vote without assistance, are now permitted to take another person into the voting compartment, and to have their ballot paper marked for them by that person. Previously, the presiding officer was required to officiate for the voter. The new privilege is valued by those who are unable to vote without assistance, and particularly by blind persons.

(f) By regulation issued under authority of the amendment, electors absent from the State on service with any of His Majesty's Naval, Military, or Air Forces were permitted to vote by post at this election. The necessary procedure was reduced to simple terms and with the co-operation of the military authorities voting facilities were made available at all the principal camps of training in the mainland States.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

A summary of the Legislative Council elections held since 1937 is included in this report. Experience has proved that many sections of the Electoral Act which are common to both Legislative Council and House of Assembly, could, with advantage, be separated, notwithstanding the machinery improvements which have been made by minor amendments from time to time. This separation, however, would involve a major re-drafting of the electoral law which cannot be considered at the present time.

Legislative Council Boundaries.

In previous reports on Parliamentary Elections, attention has been drawn to the many difficulties which arose from the unsatisfactory nature of the boundaries of Legislative Council Electorates. The position is readily explained by the following extract from earlier reports:—

From report on General Election and Legislative Council Elections, 1934:—

"... Notwithstanding this improvement in the rolls, the returning officers are still at a disadvantage owing to the unworkable nature of electoral boundaries. For example, the Division of Mersey contains parts of no less than five municipalities, and since annual values, either of ownership or of occupancy, are substantially the basis of the Council rolls, the compilation of a roll, and more particularly the locating of the boundaries of the division, is a very difficult task. This question was referred to in the 1931 Report, and the position still exists to-day. The comment made on that occasion is repeated here: '... It is almost impossible in some instances for returning officers to determine the divisions for which electors should be enrolled; this is a source of irritation to the electors, and leads to inaccuracies in the rolls. These difficulties would be solved if the council boundaries could be redefined so as to coincide with boundaries of grouped municipalities, as electors in any one municipality would then be aware of their Legislative Council Division also . . . ""

From report on General Elections and Legislative Council Elections, 1937:—

"... When we come to consider the question of boundaries, however, a different position is found to exist. The electoral administration has endeavoured, over a number of years, to have this position rectified, but without result. It is almost impossible, in some instances, for returning officers to determine the divisions for which electors should be enrolled: for example, the Division of Mersey contains parts of no less than five municipalities; the Division of Westmorland contains part of six municipalities, but not one whole municipality. Even with the claim card system, returning officers are still dependent to a large extent on the assessment rolls, since annual values, either occupancy or ownership, are the basis of the council rolls. For this reason the adoption of the principle—a principle which is easily defined and readily understood—that a group of municipalities should form a Legislative Council Division is much to be desired. It is clear than any action to bring about a reform of this nature is one which is outside the functions of the electoral administration, as the problem could be handled only by a Boundaries Commission or by a Select Committee of the Council"

Electoral officials tendered evidence on this matter to the Select Committee of the Legislative Council set up last year to examine the provisions of the Constitution Bill relating to a proposed definition of Council Boundaries.

Legislative Council Enrolment.

The following comparative table indicates the enrolment strength of the Legislative Council Divisions:— $\,$

TO COMMON DIVISIONS.		
	1937.	1941.
Buckingham	6,359	6,909
Cambridge	1,480	1,684
Derwent	1,915	1,929
Gordon	1,185	1,116
Hobart	6,433	6,994
Huon	$3,\!227$	3,650
Launceston	5,317	6,153
Macquarie	1,754	1,765
Meander	2,202	2,180
Mersey	3,927	$4,\!373$
Pembroke	2,085	$2,\!261$
Russell	2,982	3,299
South Esk	2,771	2,274
Tamar	$2,\!170$	$2,\!289$
Westmorland	3,173	3,717
	46,980	50,593

I record my appreciation of the services of the Assistant Chief Electoral Officer (Mr. C. A. Blakney), and of the efficient work of the divisional returning officers of the Council and of the Assembly. The Department is also indebted to the Deputy Statistician (Mr. H. J. Exley), who was good enough to arrange for the compilation of the statistical tables appended to this report.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

E. PARKES,

Chief Electoral Officer.

The Honourable the Chief Secretary. 24th June, 1942.

Appendix A.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1938.

DIVISIONS OF BUCKINGHAM, MACQUARIE, AND SOUTH ESK.
Issue of Writs
Nominations
Poll 3rd May, 1938
Return of Writs 17th May, 1938

Division of Buckingham.

Electors enrolled, 6448. Electors who voted, 5347. Percentage of electors who voted, 82.91 per cent. Absolute majority, 2642. Retiring Member: Hon. T. Murdoch.

	Candidates.		(0)	T. (*	Remarks.
Brown	Cooper	Murdoch	Total.	Informal.	nemarks.
1654 553	1242 1242	2386 689	5282 	65	Cooper excluded
2207		3075	5282	65	Hon. T. Murdoch elected

$Division\ of\ Macquarie.$

Electors enrolled, 1792. Electors who voted, 1467. Percentage of electors who voted, 81.86 per cent. Absolute majority, 726. Retiring Member: Hon. A. W. Bendall.

	Candidates.	,	(D
Bendall	Carins	Grubb	Total	Informal.	Remarks.
583 199	386 —386	482 187	1467	16	Carins excluded
782		669	1467	16	Hon. A. W. Bendall elected

Division of South Esk.

Hon. Alan L. Wardlaw returned unopposed.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF HOBART. (Death of Hon. W. B. Propsting.)

Issue of Writ	1938
Nominations	1938
Poll	1938
Return of Writ 18th February.	1938

Electors enrolled, 6710. Electors who voted, 5911. Informal votes, 63. Percentage of electors who voted, 88·1 per cent. Absolute majority, 2925.

	Candidates.							
Kimber.	McKenna.	Strutt.	Turner.	Young.	Exhaust.	Exhaust. Total. In		Remarks.
686 20	2373 20	1274 80	1275 120	240 —240		5848	63	Young excluded
706 706	2393 227	1354 305	1395 174			5848		Kimber excluded
	2620 125	1659 1425	1569 —1569			5848 		Turner excluded
•••	274 5	3084			19	•••		Hon. W. H. Strutt

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1939.

DIVISIONS OF HOBART, CAMBRIDGE, AND RUSSELL.

Issue of Writs	5th April,	1939
Nominations	13th April,	1939
Poll	2nd May,	1939
Return of Writs	12th May,	1939

Division of Hobart.

Electors enrolled, 7041. Electors who voted, 6278. Informal votes, 112. Percentage of electors who voted, 89·16 per cent. Absolute majority, 3081. Retiring Member: Hon. J. F. Gaha.

Candidates.		Candidates.						
Gaha.	Jarvis.	Kimber.	Stephens.	Wadsley	Exhaust.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
2878 100	357 —357	462 111	1818 90	651 56		6166	112	Jarvis excluded
2978 82		573 —573	1908 374	707 117		6166		Kimber excluded
3060 54			2282 592	824 651	5	6166		Wadsley excluded
3114	•••		2874	173	5	6166	•••	Absolute majority 3081 Hon. J. F. Gaha elect

Division of Cambridge.

Electors enrolled, 1606. Electors who voted, 1413. Informal votes, 16. Percentage of electors who voted, 87.9 per cent. Absolute majority, 699. Retiring Member: Hon. J. Darling.

Candi	Candidates.		I	Remarks.	
Darling.	Thompson.	Total.	Informal.	Heiliti KN.	
809	588	1397	16	Hon. J. Darling elected	

Division of Russell.

Electors enrolled, 3400. Electors who voted, 2375. Informal votes, 31. Percentage of electors who voted, 69.8 per cent. Absolute majority, 1173. Retiring Member: Hon. A. B. Fenton.

	Candidates.							Remarks.	
Bramich.	Fenton.	Ling.	Medwin.	Wright.	Young.	Total.	Informal		
338	1362	162	247	136	99	2344	31	Hon. A. B. Fenton elected	

By-Election—Division of South Esk.

(Death of Hon. A. L. Wardlaw.)

Issue of Writ 30th	January,	1939
Nominations 9th 1	February,	1939
Poll 7t		
Return of Writ		

Electors enrolled, 2371. Electors who voted, 2003. Informal votes, 17. Percentage of electors who voted, 84·46 per cent. Absolute majority, 994.

	Candic	lates.		25)	I. C	Donash
Hawkes.	Procter.	Wardlaw.	Weston.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
309 30	854 61	676 56	147 147	1986	17	Weston excluded
3 3 9 —3 3 9	915 223	732 116		1986		Hawkes excluded
	1138	848		1986		Hon. L. A. Procter elected

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1940.

DIVISIONS OF HOBART, LAUNCESTON, AND GORDON.

Issue of Writs	8th	April,	1940
Nominations	18th	April,	1940
Poll	16th	May,	1940

Division of Hobart.

Electors enrolled, 6953. Electors who voted, 5778. Informal votes, 89. Percentage of electors who voted, 83·1 per cent. Absolute majority, 2845. Retiring Member: Hon. C. J. Eady.

Candidates.			Total.	Total. Informal.	Remarks.
Cuthbert- son.	Eady.	Park.			
1694 —1694	2189 1215	1806 479	5689 	89	Cuthbertson excluded
	3404	2285	5689		Hon. C. J. Early elected

Division of Launceston.

Electors enrolled, 5951. Electors who voted, 4673. Informal votes, 79. Percentage of electors who voted, 78.52 per cent. Absolute majority, 2298. Retiring Member: Hon. F. P. Hart.

Candidates.					D. harret	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
Dobbinson.	Dryden.	Hart.	McElwee.	Robinson.	Robinson. Exhaust.			
922 98	351 —351	965 143	1397	959 91		4594 	79 	Dryden ex.
1020 1020		1108 391	1416 217	1050 412		4594 		Dobbinson ex.
		1499 617	1633 618	1462 —1462	227	4594		Robinson ex.
		2116	2251		227	4594		Hon. G. J. Mc- Elwee elected

Division of Gordon.

Hon. James McDonald returned unopposed.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1941.

DIVISIONS OF HOBART, MEANDER, AND PEMBROKE.

Issue of Writs	1941
Nominations 17th April,	1941
Poll 6th May,	
Return of Writs 20th May,	

Division of Hobart.

Hon. W. H. Strutt returned unopposed.

Division of Meander.

Electors enrolled, 2221. Electors who voted, 1663. Informal votes, 23. Percentage of electors who voted, 74 per cent. Absolute majority, 821. Retiring Member: Hon. P. C. Best.

Cand	idates.	M 1	I. d'annual	Remarks.	
Best.	Morris.	Total.	Informal.	nemarks.	
1198	442	1640	23	Hon. P. C. Best elected	

Division of Pembroke.

Electors enrolled, 2285. Electors who voted, 1918. Informal votes, 20. Percentage of electors who voted, 83.92 per cent. Absolute majority, 950. Retiring Member: Hon. A. C. Blacklow.

Candidates.		(North L. formed)		Damanko	
Blacklow.	Crisp.	Scott.	Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
917 57	714 210	267 —267	1898	20	Scott excluded
974	924		1898		Hon. A. C. Blacklow elected

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1942.

DIVISIONS OF HUON, LAUNCESTON, AND MERSEY, AND BY-ELECTION DIVISION OF WESTMORLAND.

(Death of Hon. J. W. Cheek.)

Issue of Writs 7th April,	1942
Nominations 15th April,	1942
Poll 5th May,	1942
Return of Writs	1942

Division of Huon.

Electors enrolled, 3850. Electors who voted, 3200. Informal votes, 31. Percentage of electors who voted, 83·1 per cent. Absolute majority, 1560. Retiring Member: Hon. W. H. Calvert.

			Candid	Exhaust.	Total votes	Remarks,			
Count.	Barwick.	Calvert.	Frankcomb	Hay.	Parsons.	Worsley.	Exhausti	Countries	
1 2	172 8	707 18	798 23	123 123	338 23	1081 51		3169	flay excluded
3 - 4	180 —180	725 62	821 43		361 14	1082 61		3169	Barwick exclud- ed
5 —8		787 141	864 127		375 —3 7 5	1143 103		31 69	Parsons excluded
9—15		928 - 928	991 523		•••	1246 359	4 46	3169 	Calvert excluded
			1514	•••		1605	50	3169	Hon. R. L. Wors

Division of Launceston.

Electors enrolled, 6073. Electors who voted, 4796. Informal votes, 120. Percentage of electors who voted, 78.98 per cent. Absolute majority, 2339. Retiring Member: Hon. A. A. Evans.

		Candi	dates.		Total votes	Informal.	Remarks.
Count.	Evans.	counted.	informat.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
1 2	1042 93	1196 91	446 446	1992 262	4676	120	Morrow excluded
3	1135 -1042	1287 487		2254 555	4676 		Evans excluded
	93	1774		2809			Hon. W. Robinson elected

Division of Mersey.

Electors enrolled, 4511. Electors who voted, 3442. Informal votes, 47. Percentage of electors who voted, 76·3 per cent. Absolute majority, 1698. Retiring Member: Hon. A. Lillico.

G1		Candi	dates.	-	Total votes	Informal.	Remarks.			
Count	Cooney.	Healey.	Lillico.	Townsend.	counted,	imormai.	nemarks.			
1	486	160	2224	525	3395	47	Hon. A. Lillico elected			

By-Election—Division of Westmorland.

Electors enrolled, 3907. Electors who voted, 3221. Informal votes, 56. Percentage of electors who voted, 82·4 per cent. Absolute majority, 1583.

		Candi	dates.		Total votes		
Count. Flo	Flowers.	Green.	Higgins.	Holl'worth	counted.	Informal.	Remarks
1 2	1027 145	1001 200	698 94	439 439	3165		Hollingsworth excluded
3—4	1172 437	1201 355	792 —792		3165	•••	Higgins excluded
	1609	1556	,	,	3165		Hon. G. L. Flowers elected

Appendix B.

STATISTICAL TABLES—GENERAL ELECTION, 13th DECEMBER, 1941 (WITH COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS ELECTIONS).

Table 1.—Electors, Voters, and Informal Votes—General Election, 13th December, 1941.

	Fatirunted	Electors o	s on Roll. Votes Recorded.		Informa	Valid		
	Estimated Population, 31st Decem., 1941.	Number.	Per 1000 of Popula- tion.	Number.	Percentage on Electors.	Number.	Percentage on Votes Recorded.	Votes Recorded
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	53,345 47,000 50,975	26,468 29,356 28,567 29,423 25,420	552 550 607 577 605	24,119 26,560 26,048 27,114 23,193	91·1 90·5 91·2 92·1 91·2	1487 1250 1294 1474 839	6·16 4·70 4·97 5·43 3·62	22,632 25,310 24,754 25,640 22,354
Total		139,234	577	127,034	91.2	6344	4.99	120,69

Table 2.—Comparative Summary—State General Elections Since 1925.

	13th Dec., 1941.	20th Feb., 1937.	9th June, 1984.	9th May, 1931.	30th May, 1928.	3rd June, 1925.
Estimated Population	241,170	233,191	229,161	224,800	215,500	215,500
Electors on Roll— Male	139,234 49·6	66,223 65,778 132,001 50 · 2 566	63,841 63,840 127,681 50·0 557	59,024 59,706 118,730 49·7 528	55,058 56,898 111,956 49·2 519	56,667 58,234 114,901 49·3 533
Votes Recorded (including informal votes) — Male	127,034	62,880 61,580 124,460 50·5	60,623 59,999 120,622 50·3	56,674 56,105 112,779 50·2	46,769 44,910 91,679 51 · 0	41,322 35,959 77,281 53 · 5
Voters - Percentage to Electors on Roll -	95.4	94·8 93·6 94·3	95·0 94·0 94·5	96·0 94·0 95·0	84·94 78·94 81·90	72·92 61·75 67·26
Valid votes recorded	. 120,690	121,463	116,767	108,894	88,706	75,567
Informal Votes— Number	62 9 7 320	2997 2·41 67 13 7 349 81	3855 3·19 77 14 6 616 183	3885 3·45 70 16 6 437 193	2973 3·24 72 12 7 452 126	1714 2·22 74 17 6 381

The census of 1933 showed the proportion of adults to be 580 per 1000 of the total population of Tasmania, and, in view of the declining birth rate, this proportion might be slightly higher now, so that the proportion of electors on the roll as at the 13th December, 1941 (577 per 1000 of population) would appear to be very reasonable.

The proportion of electors who voted fell on this occasion to 91·2 per cent, the figure at the previous election being 94·3 per cent. This is due to the fact that many electors, while still retaining their enrolment, are absent from the State on service or upon duties

at the previous election being 94·3 per cent. This is due to the fact that many electors, while still retaining their enrolment, are absent from the State on service or upon duties connected with the war.

The proportion of informal votes (4·99 per cent) was the highest recorded since 1916. This increase may be attributed to the fact that the names of candidates were for the first time shown in groups upon the ballot-paper, as distinct from the alphabetical listing of candidates' names.

The number of candidates (62) was not greatly different from the corresponding figures in 1937, but the number of counts (320) was 29 less.

Although males comprise a majority of population, male electors were slightly in a minority (49·6 per cent), and, as voters, they were also in a minority (48·4 per cent).

Table 3.—Electors on Roll and Voters—Last Five General Elections.

	Electors	on Roll-	-Male.				Vot	ersMal	e.	_
	1941.	193 7 .	1934.	1931.	1928.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.
	10.496	12,591	12,268	11,253	10,406	11,083	11,824	11,629	10,691	8,722
Bass	12,486	14,465	13,941	13,195	12,195	13,872	13,732	13,189	12,690	10,573
Darwin	15,708 12,786	11,956	11,331	10,533	10,009	11,311	11,277	10,726	10,055	8,607
Denison	14,943	14,090	13,660	12,310	11,634	13,501	13,609	13,151	12,039	9,840
Franklin Wilmot	13,135	13,121	12,641	11,733	10,814	11,713	12,438	11,928	11,199	9,027
Total	69,058	66,223	63,841	59,024	55 , 058	61,480	62,880	60,623	56,674	46,769
,	Electors o	on Roll—J	Female.				Vote	ers—Fem	ule.	
									1	
:	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.
Bass	13,982	13,521	13,292	12,438	11,886	13,036	12,666	12,541	11,738	9,042
Darwin	13,648	12,546	12,175	11,498	10,817	12,688	11,610	11,355	10,809	8,800
Darwin Denison	15,781	14,390	13,679	13,048	12,645	14,737	13,497	13,049	12,429	10,343
Franklin	14,480	13,440	13,074	11,970	11,334	13,613	12,776	12,281	11,137	8,806
Wilmot	12,285	11,881	11,620	10,752	10,216	11,480	11,031	10,773	9,992	7,919
Total	70,176	65,778	63,840	59, 7 06	56,898	65,554	61,580	59,999	56,105	44,910
El	ectors on	Roll—Bo	th Sexes.				Voters	-Both S	Sexes.	
	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.
D	50 100	og 119	25,560	23,691	22,292	24,119	24,490	24,170	22,429	17,764
Bass	26,468	26,112 27,011	26,116	24,693	23,012	26,560	25,342	24,544	23,499	19,373
Darwin	29,356 28,567	26,346	25,010	23,581	22,654	26,048	24,774	23,775	22,484	18,950
DenisonFranklin	29,423	27,530	26,734	24,280	22,968	27,114	26,385	25,432	23,176	18,646
Wilmot	25,420	25,002	24,261	22,485	21,030	23,193	23,469		21,191	16,946
Total	139.234	132,001	127.681	118,730	111,956	127,034	124,460	120,622	112,779	91,679

Table 4.—Percentage of Electors Voting at General Elections Since 1909.

	Communication	Perce	entage of Electors Vo	ting.
	General Election.	Male.	Female.	Total.
34 - 4	30.4.1909	60.7	43.7	52.€
State,	13.4.1910	64.8	51.5	58.5
ederal,		61.3	51.6	56·7
leferendum,	30.4.1912	77.0	69.7	73.5
tate,	23,1,1913	n	n	67:2
tate,	31.5.1913	79.0	70.8	75 ·0
ederal,	5.9.1914	81.3	73.7	7 7 ·6
ederal,		76.1	71.0	73.6
tate,	25.3.1916	82.0	70.0	76.0
ede ra l,	5.5.1917	69.6	62.6	66.1
tate,	31.5.1919	65.1	52.3	58.7
'ederal,	31.12.1919	70.0	56.3	63:1
tate,	10.6.1922	53·4	38.0	45.6
'ederal,	16.12.1922	72.9	61.8	67:8
tate,	3.6.1925	89·5	88.0	88.7
ederal,	14.11.1925	84·9	78.9	81.9
tate,	30.5.1928		94.2	95.2
ederal.	12.10.1929	96.3	94.0	95.0
tate,	9.5.1951	96.0		96.4
cderal.	19.12.1931	96.5	96.2	94.5
tate.	9.6.1934	95.0	94.0	95.8
ederal,	15.9.1934	96.2	95.3	
tate,	20.2.1937	94.8	93.6	94.3
ederal.	23.10.1937	96·1	95.9	96.0
ederal,	21.9.1940	$94 \cdot 1$	95.4	94.8
state.	13.12.1941	89·1	93.4	91.2

The preceding table, giving the percentage of electors who exercised the franchise at all general elections, both State and Federal, since 1909, indicates roughly the rise and fall of political interest among the electors up to 1925. The proportion of voters, on the whole, increased greatly from 1909 to 1914, remained steady until 1917, then fell away until 1922, but showed some recovery in 1925. Both its rise and fall are much more pronounced in the case of women than of men. The proportions for the last eleven elections are dominated by compulsory voting, first in the Federal sphere and later in the State. The absence of many electors from the State on military service would largely account for the lower percentage of voters at the elections in 1940 and 1941.

REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES.

The election of the 13th December, 1941, drew 62 candidates, of whom 28 were endorsed nominees of the Labour Party, 32 were nominated by the Nationalist Party, and two described themselves as Independent.

The result was the return of 10 Nationalists, 20 Labour, and no Independents.

The No. 1 votes were cast directly for the three groups in each of the five electoral divisions of the State as follows:

Table 5.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1941.

	Labour.	Nationalist.	Independent.	Total.
Jass Darwin Denison Franklın Wilmot	14,542 14,815 16,763 16,714 12,710	8090 10,495 7675 8246 9644	 316 680	22,632 25,310 24, 7 54 25,640 22,354
Total	75,544	44,150	996	120,690

The method of election is briefly the "single transferable vote" system, and the law provides that a seat shall be allotted to each complete quota of votes in each division.

The quota in each division is ascertained by the following formula:—

Number of valid ballot-papers + 1 = Quota.Number to be elected + 1

Any remainder is ignored.

For the election of a "House" of 30 members, there will therefore be 35 theoretical quotas, which, however, because of "exhaust" votes, will not become complete.

Following the precedent of earlier reports, an attempt will be made to weigh the ultimate voting strength of the two main parties by alloting to each faction the votes received by the Independent candidates according to the party predilections of their supporters, so far as these can be ascertained, and to give broadly the representation secured by each party. The distribution of these votes is dealt with later.

The following table shows for each division, and for the State as a whole, the number of first preference votes (attributed to each party after allocating the votes of the unsuccessful Independent candidates), the number of quotas, and the seats won by each party:-

Table 6.—Party Strength in Divisions as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1941.

	Labour.			Nati	ionalist.	Independent.			
Division.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	16,973	4·50 4·10 4·80 4·62 3·98	4 1 4 4	8,090 10,495 7,781 8,706 9,644	2·50 2·90 2·20 2·38 3·02	2 2 2 2 2 2		-	
Total	75,974	22.0	20	44,716	13.0	10	_	-	-

A standard of representation is furnished by the fact that the number of members for the State as a whole (30) is 14·3 per cent below the number of quotas (35). The number of quotas of votes allotted to the Labour Party throughout the State was 22, but the seats won were 9·1 per cent less (20), whereas the seats obtained by the Nationalists (10) were 16·6 per cent below the quotas of first preference votes. In other words, there were 2·0 quotas of Labour votes made up of unrepresented fractions of 20 members elected, and 3·0 quotas of Nationalist votes unrepresented for 10 members elected.

The disparity between the number of quotas and the number of seats won was most prominent in Wilmot, where, with less than four quotas of first preference votes, the Labour Party secured four seats, while, with more than three quotas, the Nationalists secured only two seats. This result may be ascribed to less solid voting on the part of non-Labour supporters in casting their subordinate preferences, particularly in the case of one candidate's supporters.

The following table shows the party strength as indicated by the quotas of No. 1 preferences, and the seats actually won by Labour and non-Labour groups at each general election since 1922. Independent votes have been distributed as described elsewhere in the context, and the total for the State is the aggregate of the results for the five divisions.

Table 7.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1922-1941.

	192	2*	192	25	192	28	198	31*	19	34	19	37	194	11
Division.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non - Labour.	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour.	Non- Labour.	Labour
Bass— Quotas Seats won	3·65	2·77	3·30	3·70 3	3·18 3	3·82 3	4·40 4	2·60 2	3.44	3.56	2.47	4·53 4	2.50	4·50 4
Darwin— Quotas Seats won	3.95	3·05 3	3·40 3	3.60	3·73 3	3.27	4·52 4	2·48 2	4·04 3	2.96	2.96	4.04	2.90	4·10 4
Denison – Quotas Seats won	4·22 3	2.78	3.00	4.00	3.07	3.93	4.00	3.00	2·80 2*	3.59	2.59	4.41	2.20	4.80
Franklin— Quotas Seats won	4·29 4	2.71	3.44	3.56	3·95 4	3.05	4·02 3*	2·27 2*	2·86 2*	3·63 3*	2.90	4.10	2.38	4.62
Wilmot— Quotas Seats won	5.08	1.92	3.77	3.23	3.36	3.64	4·54 4	2·46 2	3.99	3.01	3.01	3 3	3.02	3.98
All Quotas Seats won	21·19 1 7 *	13·23 12*	16·91 14	18·09 16	17·29 16	17·71 14	21·48 19*	12·81 10*	17·13 13	16·75	13·93 12	21·07 18	13·0 10	22·0 20

^{*} Omitting the votes for one Independent candidate who was elected.

Other examples of unequal representation, similar to that which occurred in Wilmot in 1941, and already alluded to, will be found throughout the period covered by the above table. The most striking case, in its effect on the representation of parties throughout the whole electorate of the State, was in 1922. In that year the non-Labour party had 4·19 unrepresented fractional quotas against Labour's 1·23. This distribution nearest to equality required that non-Labour should have one more member and Labour one less, though if two more members had gone to non-Labour and two less to Labour the disparity would not have been serious. In that year the non-Labour party lost by unrepresented fractions in every division, whereas the losses of the Labour party in some divisions were counterbalanced by gains in others. A similar inequality, though less serious, occurred in 1941 by larger fractional losses to the non-Labour party in most divisions.

The number of quotas shown in the immediately preceding tables for the State as a whole is the sum of the quotas for each division, which, of course, are not uniform. The following figures show the representation due to each party, based directly on the total number of first votes polled by each in the whole of the electorates or on the number of uniform quotas and the number of seats won.

Strength of Parties on the Basis of No. 1 Preferences and Seats Won.

(The State as a Single Electorate.)

	No. 1 Preferences.	Seats in Proportion.	Seats Won.
Labour	75,974	 18.89	 20
Nationalist	44,716	 11.11	 10

The preferential system of voting therefore resulted in an over-representation of Labour as indicated by the No. 1 preferences, and secured an additional member for that party.

The results given in Table 7 show that except in 1928, there has been no case where the difference between the actual representation and the theoretically requisite representation has prejudiced the position of either party in Parliament.

A comparison between the number of seats due to parties, on the basis of No. 1 preferences and seats actually won, is given for all elections held under the present law in the following table:—

Table 8.—Representation of Parties for the Whole State, 1913-1941.

(Seats in Proportion of No. 1 Preferences, and Seats Actually Won.)

	Non- Labour.	Labour.		Non- Labour.	Labour.
1913 Proportionate share	15·78 16	13·80* 14	1928 Proportionate share	14·85 16	15·15 14
1916 Proportionate share Actually won	15·46 16	14·54 14	1931 Proportionate share Actually won	18·39* 19	10·98 10
1919 Proportionate share	17·56 17	12·44 13	1934 Proportionate share	14·64 13	14·39 15
1922 Proportionate share	18·07 17	11·42* 12	1937 Proportionate share	11·94 12	18·06 18
1925 Proportionate share	14·42 14	15·58 16	1941 Proportionate share	11·11 10	● 18·89 20

^{*} Omitting the votes for an Independent candidate.

The Labour party had one more member than was required for equitable proportional representation in 1922; was one member short in 1928; and again in 1931 and one in excess in 1934 and 1941.

A brief summary of the results of the 1941 election for each division is appended, together with what evidence is available of the party leanings of the supporters of the Independent candidates.

BASS.

There were 11 candidates of whom 6 were Labour and 5 Nationalist. Labour scored 14,542 (4.50 quotas) first preference votes, and Nationalist 8,090 (2.50 quotas), and 4 seats were won by Labour and 2 by Nationalist candidates. Twenty-five counts sufficed in the election of the 6 members and there was very little cross-voting.

DARWIN.

Twelve candidates, 5 Labour and 7 Nationalist nominated in this division. First preference votes were recorded as follows. Labour, 14,815 (4·10 quotas) and Nationalist, 10,495 (2·90 quotas). Four seats went to Labour and 2 to Nationalist. The concentration of first preference votes given to D'Alton resulted in the election of 3 Labour candidates in the first three counts. There was very little cross-voting. The large fraction of 90 quota of Nationalist votes was unrepresented by a member.

DENISON.

One Independent, 7 Nationalist, and 6 Labour candidates contested Denison division. The votes given to the Independent on exclusion were distributed approximately two-thirds to Labour and one-third to Nationalist candidates. First preference accorded to Labour, including two-thirds of the Independent votes totalled 16,973 (4.80 quotas) and Nationalist with one-third Independent votes 7,781 (2.20 quotas). As in other divisions, voting was on strict party lines.

FRANKLIN.

Electors in this division had the largest choice of candidates, viz., 8 Nationalist, 6 Labour, and 1 Independent. On exclusion 443 of the Independent's vote went to Nationalist and 243 to Labour candidates. After distributing the Independent's first preferences in the above proportion, Labour secured 16,934 (4·62 quotas) and Nationalist 8706 (2·38 quotas). Again Labour won 4 seats. Except for the Independent's supporters, cross-voting was small in extent. Two candidates received more than their quota of first preferences. Three were elected in the first three counts, but 72 further counts were required before the fourth member was elected. counts were required before the fourth member was elected.

WILMOT.

Eleven candidates contested Wilmot, viz., 6 Nationalist and 5 Labour. Of the first preferences, 12,710 (3.98 quotas) were cast for Labour and 9644 (3.02 quotas) for Nationalist. Labour secured 4 seats and Nationalist 2. Electors would have been more equitably represented had another seat been secured by a Nationalist member. Crossvoting was greatest in this division and the distribution of 517 of one Nationalist candidate's 1226 votes to Labour was largely responsible for the election of the fourth

ABSENT VOTES, POSTAL VOTES, AND SECTION 116A.

Under the provisions of Section 116A, 1247 persons whose names were not on the because their claim to be on the roll could not be sustained. The remaining 299 were admitted to the scrutiny, and 9 of them rejected for informalities, leaving 289 valid

The number of absent votes was 10,588, but these included a number whose names were not, in fact, on the roll, and 1335 were rejected on this account; a further 431 were rejected for informalities, leaving 8222 valid absent votes. These numbers cannot be satisfactorily compared with earlier years on account of changes in the Act and regulations. The larger numbers for recent years are due, in the main, to the introduction of compulsory voting.

Taking together the claims under Section 116A and attempts to vote under provisions for electors absent from their usual subdivisions, the number of votes disvisions for electors absent from their usual subdivisions, the number of votes disallowed owing to the voter not being on the roll or having no right to be on the roll was 2283, or about 19 per cent of the applicants. The number of these votes disallowed in previous years were:—1937, 1897; 1934, 1687; 1931, 2086; 1928, 1545; 1925, 1668; 1922, 1473. These disallowed votes are not counted either as votes recorded or as informal votes.

Table 9.—Votes under Section 116A and Absent Votes.

Division.		Votes under	Section 116	Ва.	Absent Votes.				
	Pa	pers Receiv	ed.	Admitted	Pa	pers Receive	ed.	Admitted	
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Scrutiny.	Males.	Females.	Total.	to Scrutiny.	
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	101 152 54 200 110	102 135 62 222 109	203 287 116 422 219	39 34 45 155 26	1226 1237 1462 1416 1337	670 824 648 812 956	1896 2061 2110 2228 2293	1643 1853 1836 1946 1975	
Total	617	630	1247	299	6678	3910	10,588	9253	

Table 10.—Valid Postal Votes in Parties.

Division.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Total.
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	259 254 425 405 232	401 267 623 340 229	660 521 1048 745 461
Total	1575	1860	3435

Table 11.—Summary of Absent and Postal Voting.

	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.
Valid Absent Votes		8086 353 2602	5989 367 2270	5961 (a) . 388 2001	5604 (a) 318 1154	5398 (a) 353 591

⁽a) Voting outside subdivision in which enrolled.

INFORMAL BALLOT-PAPERS.

The proportion of informal ballot-papers to the total number of ballot-papers at the election of 1941 was higher, at 4.99 per cent, than in any year since 1916, when the proportion was 5.66 per cent.

A summary of the proportion of informal ballot-papers in each division at each general election since 1912 is as follows:—

Table 12.—Percentage of Informal Ballot-Papers, 1912-1941.

	Bass.	Darwin.	Denison.	Franklin.	Wilmot.	All Divisions.
912	2.63	2.97	2.67	2.78	3.26	2.85
913	2.49	3.07	3.35	3.17	2.39	2.91
916	3.88	5.49	5.63	6 · 52	5.53	5.66*
919	3.33	4 36	3.02	3.34	6.06	3.96*
922	2.05	2.56	2.58	2.86	3.20	2.68
925	1.82	2.51	2.12	2.25	2.40	2.22
928	3.38	3.23	2.64	2.83	4 · 12	3.24
981	3.10	3.27	3.45	3.05	2.87	3.44
984	3.44	2.95	3.10	2.76	3.85	3.19
987	2.33	2.60	2.00	2.26	2.88	2.41
941	6.16	4.70	4.97	5.43	3.62	4.99

^{*}The proportions in these years were exaggerated by the inclusion of votes by persons who were not on the roll.

Table 13.—Elections held in Tasmania, 1903-1941—Informal Ballot-Papers.

General Elec	tions.	Electorates Contested.	Date of Election.	Number	of	Percent- age of	Marked CM 1: 7
Federal.	State.	Elec	istection.	of Ballot- papers.	Papers.		and a state of the
	Assembly	31	2. 4. 1903	No. 23,261	No. 345	1·48	Striking out all names bu
Senate		1 (all)	}16. 12. 1903	37,021	1441	3.89	one × opposite three names
Representatives		5	10.12.1909	37,013	1164	3.14	× opposite one name
	Assembly	27	16. 3. 1906	40,322	487	1.21	Striking out all names but
Senate		1	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 12. \ 12. \ 1906 \end{array}\right\}$	48,879	2192	4.48	× opposite three names
Representatives	,	4	lj į	40,194	1583	3.94	× opposite one name
Nomata ···	Assembly	5	30. 4. 1909	50,402	1442	2.86	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	• •••	1	1		1893	3.29	× opposite three names
Representatives		5	3. 4. 1910	57,609 {		l	
Referendum (Leg. Powers)	-	9	98 4 1011	***************************************	1447	2.21	× opposite one name
•••	Assembly		26. 4. 1911 30. 4. 1912	58,020 76,052	673	1.16	× opposite name
	Assembly	5	23. 1. 1913	70,052	2166	2.85	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		ı	۲۵۵. ۱. ۱۶۱۵	70,012	2035 4927	2.87	Preference 1, 2, 3
		_	31. 5. 1913	80,398	4927	6.13	× opposite three names
Representatives		5		""	2470	3.07	Y apposite one name
Senate (Dissolution)	•••	1	5. 9. 1914	82,253	3871	4.71	× opposite one name × opposite six names
Representatives		4		65,103	1549	2.38	× opposite name
a	Assembly	5	25. 3. 1916	78,984	4348	5.21	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		1	5. 5. 1917 {	82,608	3075	3.72	× opposite three names
Representatives		4]	65,873	2021	3.07	× opposite name
	Assembly	5	31. 5. 1919	71,064	2757	3.88	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		1	} }13. 1 2 . 1919	65,716	6364	9.68	Preference 1 to 7
Representatives		5	}	00,110	3304	5.03	Preference 1, 2, 3
	Assembly	5	10.6.1922	69,752	1834	2.63	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		1)	. (4750	9.27	Preference 1 to 7
Representatives		-	16. 12. 1922	51,220 {			
Representatives	Assembly	5 5	J		3304	6.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	Assembly	1	3. 6. 1925	77,281	1714	2.22	Preference 1, 2, 3
	•••	•	14. 11. 1925	102,742	11,578	11.27	Preference 1 to 9
Representatives	•••	5)	,~	5027	4.89	Preference 1, 2, 3
···	Λ ssembly	5	30. 5. 1928	91,679	2973	3.24	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	•••	1] }17. 11. 1928	106,153	16,694	15.73	Preference 1 to 6
Representatives		5	j	. 50,100	6842	6.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	•••	5	12. 10. 1929	110,927	2064	1.86	Preference 1, 2, 3
•••	Assembly	5	9. 5. 1931	112,779	3885	3.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
•••	Assembly	5	9. 6. 1934	120,622	3855	3.19	Preference 1, 2, 3
D	Assembly	5	20. 2. 1937	124,460	2997	2.41	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives		5	} 19. 12. 1931	(a)	(a)	(a) $\{$	Preterence 1, 2, 3
Daymanutit		_ 1)		1-7		
Representatives		5	1	۲۱	4840	3.91	Buofesses 1 2 2
Senate	•••	1	15. 9. 1934	123,801		3.91	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	İ	5	۱ ,	l.	~0,100	40 00	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate		1	23. 10. 1937	100.050	3613	2.81	Preference 1, 2, 3
	•••	•	~ . 10. 1957	128,679			Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives		5	ί	5			τ, τ, ψ
Senate		i	21. 9. 1940	130,786	4102 17,067	3·13 13·05	Preference 1, 2, 3
	Assembly	5	13. 12. 1941	127 001			Preference 1, 2, 3
	A POSCHILLA I		10. 12. 1941	127,034	6344	4.99	Preference 1, 2, 3

(a) Details are not available.

EXHAUSTED BALLOT-PAPERS.

Table 14.—Votes Lost by Exhaustion.

•	LADIL								
	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1919.	1916.
Bass Darwin Denison Franklin Wilmot	1097 420 575 915 186	974 194 1025 323 311	1364 687 1344 859 1096	114 428 412 463 1043	278 331 321 466 1152	485 914 224 918 518	1133 191 400 592 291	645 454 392 389 366	500 461 763 725 451
Total	3193	2827	5350	2460	2548	3059	2607	2246	2900
Percentage of Total Votes	2.6	2.3	4.6	2.3	2.9	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.9

The figures in the above table represent the number of votes which were discarded during the actual count because there was no further choice marked on them which could be made effective. These votes do not, in all cases, indicate unrepresented electors, because many of them had contributed to the election of successful candidates before they became exhausted.

Table 15.—Brief Sketch of the Development of the Elective Franchise for House of Assembly in Tasmania Since the Year 1870.

			Assemo	eg en s	2 001100	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	rsons ted).	imated Mean Population.	Elected Parliamentary Representatives.	- &	Cons	stituenc	ies.	Elector	s Enrol	led.	Lower Range of Electors' Qualification
Year.	Pe ma	r la	Se ii e	0.01	£	ei i	5		Per Cer	it. to-	to Franchise in Possession of—
	Adult Persons (Estimated).	Estimated Populat	El Parlia Repre	Electoral Divisions.	One- Member.	Two- Member	Six- Member.	No.	Total Pop.	Adult Pop.†	Possession of
1870 1871 1881 1891 1901 1908 1908 1911 1912 1918 1919 191	48,820 49,380 55,750 73,260 86,490 87,810 92,370 93,980 .100,070 103,050 .104,180 .111,190 .111,320 .120,360 .122,250 .129,600	194,265 204,959 215,379 215,552 215,471 224,811	30 30 30 30 30	30 32 32 32 28 29 35 35 5 5 5 5 5 5	30 32 32 32 20 20 35 35 35 	 8 9 		11,171* 13,801* 14,001* 15,659* 25,932* 39,029* 89,029 86,361 98,230 102,326 103,513 105,292 107,321 107,541 110,549 114,901 111,956 118,730 127,681	13:67 13:47 17:53 22:61 22:54 48:31 46:07 51:66 53:42 54:17 55:2 52:4 51:3 51:3 51:9 51:9 52:8	35·40 45·09 44·98 91·89 98·88 102·40 5102·47 103·01 96·73 96·73 93·43 0 95·46 6 91·56	Ditto Salary or wage £60 Ditto Manhood Suffrage B.—Adult Suffrage Ditto
1934.	132,982	229,161	30	5 5			5	132,001	55.6	0 97.5	5 Ditto
1937	135,321	233,191 239,416		5		1	5	139,234		6 100.2	2 Ditto
1941.	138,933	200,410	1 00	<u> </u>		* Male	1				

^{*} Male only.

VOTING IN SUBDIVISIONS.

The following tables, 16 to 20 give for each division the number of first choices for each candidate at the ordinary poll in each subdivision, and in absent votes, votes under Section 116A, and postal votes. The number of electors on the roll, and electors who voted, by sexes, and the number of informal papers, are also given for the same subdivisions. The candidates are grouped in parties, and the total votes are given for the groups. Although the Independent candidates are grouped together, they must not be considered as a political party group, as in the case of the Labour and Nationalist parties.

TABLE 16.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Bass.

(Quota, 3234.)

			ä	Electors.					_	First (Choice	First Choices Obtained by Candidates.	ned by	Can	lidate	æ			Votes.
Subdivision	0	On Roll.		W	Who Voted	- i	<u> </u>	Gro	Group "A" Labour.	A" Lat	bour.	:		Grou	Group " B "Nationalist.	"Nati	onalis	نب	
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	*.seivs(I	*.byorwoH	McDonald	Madden, *	Quintal.	Total Labour,	-sgnilloH dirow	*.stoirraM	Оскетру.*	.manblo	Pitchford.	Total Nationalist.	Total Valid Votes.
Flinders George Town Launceston, Central. Launceston, East Launceston, North	297 277 1256 2509 2248	214 239 1661 3472 2405	511 516 2917 5981 4653	243 202 945 1951 1812	176 188 1454 2966 2109	419 390 2399 4917 3921	176 220 495 924 1144	30 5 82 153 277	245 245 426 406	51 8 893 1 637 1 532 2	3 1132 117 117	2 289 7 258 83 1330 154 2411 193 2781	29 57 18 18 19 149 136 136	11 3 31 197 610	15 38 302 673 807	8 10 167 495 180	11 19 182 59	102 116 895 2245 845	391 374 2225 4656
Launceston, West. Lilydale Portland Ringarooma Scottsdale	2056 702 409 1103 684	2572 616 343 888 639	4628 1318 752 1991 1323	1613 571 325 885 522	2191 508 298 759 526	3804 1079 623 1644 1048	784 362 229 622 166	136 91 273 416	397 (40 91 188 22	693 1 88 10 49 7	1 82 8 1 82 8 1 8 8	16 2254 10 604 12 414 25 1172 7 622	44 159 44 108 22 102 122 122	191 88 88 88		309 44 15 56 35	144 44 20 87 27	1285 422 171 391 388	3539 1026 585 1563 1010
St. Leonards	945	933	1878	730	787	1517	475	98	133	130		67 932	5 65	88	174	26	47	463	1395
Absent Votes	:::	: : :	:::	$\frac{1053}{21}$	590 18 466	1643 39 676	493 10 120	135 6 70	172 6 88	165 88 88	4 e z	47 1046 1 28 21 401	6 136 8 1 54	102 4 52	132 1 80	88 c 7	40 96	498 10	1544 38 660
Totul	12,486	13,932	26,46	11,083 13,036	13,036	24,119 6220	9550	1829	829 2253 2753		749 74	745 14,542 1471 1737 2540 1556	2 1471	1737	25401	- 1	- 1	8090	22,632 1487

Table 17.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Darwin. (Quota, 3616.)

										First	First Choices Obtained by Candidates.	es Ob	ained	Š Š	ındıda	es:				
			Electors	e.						-										
			-			!		Grou	Group " A " Labour.	" La	bour.			Grou	Group " B " Nationalist.	Za.	10mg II	l	F	
	On	On Roll.		W no	Who voted.				.	-		_				-		Jeil t		.læ
Subdivision.	ales.	emales	.lato	lales.	Females.	Total.	Bugg.*	D'Alton.*	KeHy.* Lane.*	Smith	fatol' Tuedal	лованол	Booth.	Broad.	Chambe lam.*	Green *9i%ie*	JdgirW	IsteT i	latoT toV	Intoru
	W	A	oj.	v	ı	Ť		1			i '	,	1		220					
Circular Head	1982 1785	1557 1908 9564	3539 3693 5255	1618 1409 2144	1332 1653 2188	2950 3062 4332	478 108 354	914 651 1820	70 75 46 206	38 1 464 13 97 5	10 132 148 51 20 30 30	1430 5 1430 5 2528 10 360	59 70 105 432 3 3	2 229	361 323 8	138 250 1	688 15 152 15 1 190 2	128 1460 125 1616 2 19 220 996	0 4144 6 4144 19 379 96 1945	15 15 15 73
Enu Bay Gormanston	317	199		232 1080	161 937	•			. 4 ×	C1					119			_	-	
King Island	466 1809	332	3536	356 1469 567	286 1476 534	642 2945 1101	83 83 83	294 224	420	0 6 6 0 6	39 11 8 8 91 61	343 343 1992	38 37 15 50	72 55 152 122 22 7	210	38	69	26 74 0	718 10 241 22 33 2	1061 40 2238 122 249 21
Leven Penguin	1638			1292 142				1800 205	64	•				•	-	: -			,.	
Strahan	612						181	966	68 43	37	16	298 1 291	101	000 123 6 1	1 19	-	15 16	8 OI	56 141	847 18 1188 85
Table Cape	273	193	466 1547	205 673	155 495	1168		813		8						61	85	92		1764 89
Хеећан				1122	731	1853		802	100	74		21	: 8	, - E	2 2 2 2 2		51	38	254	i
Absent Votes		::	::	223		- 1	35	190			0 3		9071		669 3267	1063	1622	1062 1622 1561 10,495	495 25	25,310 1250
Postal Votes	15,708	8 13,648	3 29,356	29,356 18,872	12,688	3 26,56	26,560 1728	10,380	0.1320	798 807	1 020	1010		- A				-	-	-

Table 18.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Denison.

(Quota, 3537.)

			.lsarotaI	187 97	260 195	177	191 94	74	82	1294	
	Votes.		Total Valid	2513 1605	4457 3584	3226	4502 2013	1762 44	1048	24,754	
		Ind.	O'Reilly.	19	82 45	40	48 26	35	2	316	
-			Total Labour.	2067	3179	2300	$\frac{2732}{1269}$	1225 31	621	2778 16,763	
			*.əəiidW	553 274	533 408	580	259	188	06	2778	
		abour.	Kelly.	127	120	95	98 36	26	66	725	
		Group " B" Labour.	Неегеу.	129 95	170	204	173 148	114	22	1285	
-		dno	Gray.*	154 82	300	215	207 104	121	38	418	
	lidates.	Gr	Culley.*		183		625 168	122	28	2075 1418	
	by Can		*.ovorgrove.	877 607	1649	1330	1370 636	654	259	8482	
	First Choices Obtained by Candidates.		Total Nationalist.	427	1196	988	1722 718	505	430	7675	-
	ices Ob		Turner.	19	158	131	199	65	43	1103	-
	rst Choi	list.	*. Ybanos	175	583	346	741 237	199	176	3033	it, 3.
	藍	[ationa]	Smith.	88	88.5	100	21 3	286	4	888	depos
		Group "A" Nationalist	McDougall	និង	79	25	137 60	36	26	299	(a) Forfeited deposit, 3.
		Group	[n]	စ်အ	91	इं वर	12 33	~	12	133	(a) Fe
			Нагуеу.	98.8	5.5	67	125 63	88 0	8	614	eq
			Atkins.*	98	189	167	295 123	102	* 6%	1407	* Elected
		.	Total.	2700 1702	4717	3403	4693 2107	1836	1066	8,048	-
		Who Voted.	Females.	1502	2738	1985	2730 1213	551	695	11,311 14,737 26,048	-
	z.	Who	Males.	1198	1979	1418	1968 894	1285	374	1,311	
	Electors		Tetal.	3427	5712	4130	57×1 2558	:	: :		
		toll.	Females.	1778	3130	2257	3217 1428	:		5,781	
		On Roll	Males.	1649	2582	1873	2564 1130	:	: :	12,786 15,781 28,567	-
		1	Subdivision.	Hobart Central	Hobart North	Hobart West	New Town Queenborough	Absent Votes	Postal Votes	Total	

Table 19.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Franklin. (Quota, 3663.)

3663.)
uota,

, di		.lsmroin1	70 99 49 159	250 207 182 68 89	64 111 32	110 5 13	1474
Votes.		Fotal Valid	2101 1361 1138 1874 2075	4306 3735 2745 1162 721	867 362 462	1836 150 745	25,640
	Ind.	Kimber.	67 31 19 118 35	74 135 47 46 13	22	45 2 16	089
		Total Vationalist	725 373 295 637 807	911 1782 592 313 250	359 115 169	549 40 329	8246
		Shoobrides.	18 13 23 26	83 51 110 9	11 11	33 1 29	479
	it.	Piggott.	17 82 12 70 78	63 141 23 26 6	31 13 16	28 82	620
	Nationalist	Pearsall	108 32 15 118 416	47 140 26 35	46 11 36	75 6 47	1165
tes.		*.99иЧэМ	117 42 59 89 40	159 309 52 41 15	30 18	200	6111
andidat	Group " B"	McPartlan (a)	22 6 7 7 11	20 20 30 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	æ :0	e : u	188
ed by C	5	Crisp.	134 4 10 5	20 31 11 13	25 25 20	32 2 13	250
First Choices Obtained by Candidates.		Beard (a)	15 15 11 25 31	44 106 12 12 5	4000	98 0.0	373
Thoices		₽якег*	290 179 146 297 230	471 942 312 165 81	152 35 67	248 19 148	3782
First (Total rabour.	1309 957 824 1119 1233	3321 1818 2106 863 458	499 245 272	1242 108 400	16,714
		Plummer.	202 208 20 124 84	122 133 72 60 48	54 96 37	171 9 45	1790
	abour.	McKinley*	164 159 66 165 171	496 437 210 117 51	76 36 70	150 16 50	2434
	Group "A" Labour	McDermott	241 17 91 16 25	242 100 1113 48 58	120 10	108 2 18	1213
	roup	*.sqoH	68 25 58 50 40	347 238 42 14 14 55	ж . :	55 10 19	1038
	9	*,тэүwП	78 138 42 325 356	278 260 1031 298 54	40 50 39	295 28 76	3398 1038
		Brooker.*	266 390 547 438 557	1836 650 638 266 197	192 53 108	468 43 192	6841
	-j	Total.	2171 1460 1187 2033 2210	4556 3942 2927 1230 741	931 373 494	1946 155 758	27,114
	ho Voted.	Females.	1186 678 636 970 1073	2410 2213 1411 600 342	438 173 230	718 74 461	13,618
ors.	×	Males.	985 782 551 1063 1137	2146 1729 1516 630 399	493 200 264	1228 81 297	
Electors.		l'otal.	2640 1768 1551 2590 2656	5390 4745 3483 1460 930	1155 459 596	:::	29,423
	On Roll.	Females.	1378 811 788 1169 1251	2765 2594 1620 682 404	536 207 275	:::	14,480
	On	.kales.	1262 957 763 1821 1405	2625 2151 1863 778 526	719 252 321	: : :	14,943 14,480 29,423 13,50
	מייין דייין דיייין דייין דייייין דייין דיייין דייין דייין דייין דיייין דייין ד	oregraphii:	Clarence Esperance Glenorchy Huon Kingborough	Moonah Nelson New Yorfolk Port Cygnet Richmond	Sorell Spring Bay Tasman	Absent Votes	Total

(a) Forfeited deposit, 4.

* Elected.

Table 20.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Wilmot. (Quota, 3194.)

			Elec	Electors.						First (Choice	First Choices Obtained by Candidates.	ained	by (andio	lates.				Votes.	s;
	0	On Roll.		W	Who Voted				-	Labour.					z	Nationalist	alist.				
Subdivision.	.eslaM	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	O'Keefe.*	Pike	*aands	W tolveT	West, *	Total Labour	Campbell.*	Poster.	Johnston.	Lee.*	Mad en(a)	Taylor, M.	Total Nationalist.	Total Valid	Informal
Beaconsfield Both well Brighton Campbell Town Deloraine	1075 413 894 762 1599	1099 335 738 688 1429	2174 748 1632 1450 3028	856 317 672 594 1259	921 270 600 575 1205	1777 587 1272 1169 3464	169 174 122 223 223	85. 4. 83. 84. 64.	253 84 226 189 811	122 51 138 198 70	63 87 136 145	692 400 637 788 1272	815 47 161 77 387	43 21 123 116 226	37 20 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	53 44 44 69 62 341	824263	62 55 55 64	1023 162 580 336 1096	1715 562 1217 1124 2368	86 45 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48
Evandale Fingal Glanorgan Hamikon Latrobe	1952 872 273 989 1272	2101 787 243 670 1291	1053 1659 516 1609 2563	1565 700 209 744 1015	1838 649 196 540 1085	3403 1349 406 1293 2101	495 97 18 325 338	638 50 18 22	454 385 45 217 362	208 279 177 165 140	130 144 21 257 163	1925 955 261 982 1024	556 144 70 67 326	269 56 23 26 161	169 41 2 6 125	208 53 15 88 38	54 14 100 23	95 51 14 31 92	1351 359 133 270 981	3276 1314 394 1252 2008	127 35 11 41 93
Longford Oatlands Westbury	1106 926 1052	1117 772 1015	2223 1698 2067	849 713 863	951 659 872	1800 1372 1735	291 159 161	65 23 30	233 145 200	145 219 65	300 1114 178	1034 660 634	141 229 327	135 105 79	12 12 49	322 101 523	10 20 20	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	658 658 1051	1718 1318 1685	25 25 25 26
Absent VotesVotes under Sec. 116A	:::	:::	:::	1159 11 187	816 15 278	1975 26 465	288	81 2 14	502 1 80	161 36	170 7 30	1202 15 229	260 4 97	153 .:.	33 13	139	56 3	73 3 10	714 11 232	1916 26 461	
Total	13,135		25,420	12,285 25,420 11,713 11,480 23,193	11,480	23,193	3152	1126	4187	26 4187 2176 2069	069	12,710 3708 1560	3208	1560	586 2295		999	929	9644	22,354	833

TABLE 21. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF BASS. Electors on Roll, 26,468. Valid Votes, 22,632. Quota, 3234. Informal Papers, 1487.

		Remarks.	Davies elected Davies' surplus dis. T.V., 2986-6220	Madden and Houroyd	elected Madden's surplus dis. T.V., 91-1192	Howroyd's surplus dis.		Pitchford excluded	Quintal excluded	MeDonald elected McDonald's surplus dis. T.V., 21-344	Welsh excluded	Hollingsworth excluded	0.010.01	Ockerny elected			Oldham excluded	Marriott elected	
		Total Valid Votes.	22,632	22,632		22,632		22,632	22,632	22,632	22,632	22,632	00 600	700,77				:	
		Loss by Fractions.	4	4	4	œ61		10	133	16 1	11	28	06	64	29	1	53	;	Ì
		Exhaust.			i			50	25-	10	1069	1079	1001		1097		1097	-	
		Total Nationalist.	8090	8243	01	8245		8245 782	8232 15	8247	8247	8289	8570		8570	:	8570		unt.
	-i	Pitchford.	987	795	:	795		795									:	:	official Co
	NATIONALIST.	.тяфіЮ	1556 24	1580	н	1581		1581 285	1866	1870	1870	1922	2260		2260	145	2405	::	NoteThe heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.
	GROUP "B"	Оскегьу.	2540 47	2587	н	2588		2588	2790 6	2796	2796	2847	3379		3379	145	3234	::	s the end
	9	.ttoirraM	1737 27	1764		1764		1764 134	1898	1901	1901 57	1958 786	2744	187	2931	:	2931		e indicate
		Hollingsworth.	1471 45	1517		1517		1517	1678	1680	1680 182	1862 —1675	187	-187		::		:	black lin
CANDIDATES.		Total Labour.	14,542 2829	14,385	85	14,379 13		14,377	14,380 818	14,359	14,358	12,936	12,936	 :	12,936	:	12,936	:	he heavy
CAI		Welsh.	745 170	915	13	928		958	928	1402	1422 —1422				<u> </u> 	:			Note.—T
	14	.Letning	742 84	826	14	840		841	843	: :			-	:			:		
	GROUP "A" LABOUR.	Madden.	2753	3325	91	3234	000	3234	3234	3234	3234	3234	3234	:	3234		3234		
	GROUP "	McDonald,	2258	2836	28	2894 12	0000	2906	344	3255	3234	3234	3234	:	3234	-	3234		
		Hewroyd.	1829	3249		3249	1000	3234	3234	3234	3234	3234	3234	:	3234		3224		
		Davies.	2986	3254 4		3234	1000	3234	3234	3234	3234	3234	3234		3234		3234		
		-tx::«D	-01		03	4	+	5-6	7-11	12	13-20	21-23		24	E C	07	*******		

22,354

TABLE 25. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF WILMOT. Electors on Roll, 25,420. Valid Votes, 22,354. Quota, 3194. Informal Papers, 839.

CANDIDATES. GROUP "A" LABOUR. GROUP "B" NATIONALIST. Remarks. Valid × Taylor, рà Total . Total 22,354 Spurr and Campbell 12,710 elected **—993** Spurr's surplus dis. T.V., 993-487 12.579 22,354 O'Keefe elected Campbell's surplus dis. -514T.V., 514-3708 12,595 22,354 -271O'Keefe's surplus dis. T.V., 271-1323 22,354 12,555 5-8 Madden excluded ---597 12,650 22,354 9-15 Johnston excluded ---664 12,694 22,354 16-30 Taylor, M. K. excluded -122613,211 22,354 31-43 -1724Pike excluded Taylor, W. P., Lee, and 12,922 22,354 West elected -26....

Note.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.

12,896

TABLE 24. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.
Electors on Roll, 29,423. Valid Votes, 25,640. Quota, 3663. Informal Papers, 1474.

1								CA	NDIDATES	3.											
-	GROUP "A" LABOUR.										GROUP "	B" NATION	ALIST.				INDEPEN- DENT.				
Count.	Brooker.	Dwyer.	Hope.	McDermott.	McKinley.	Plummer.	Total Labour.	Baker.	Beard.	Crisp.	McPartlan.	McPhee,	Pearsall.	Piggott.	Shoobridge.	Total Nationalist.	Kimber.	Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions	Total Valid Votes	Remarks.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	6841 —3178	3398 1792	1038 304	1213 231	2434 439	1790 343	16,714 3109	3782	373 7	520 8	188	1119 13	1165 15	620 6	479	8246 58	680 4		7	25,640	Brooker and Baker elected Brooker's surplus dis. T.V., 3178-6841
3	3663	5190	1342	1444	2873	2133	16,645	3782 119	380 26	528	189	1132 43	1180 12	626 9	487 10	8304	684		7 6	25,640	Dwyer elected Baker's surplus dis. T.V., 119-3782
4	3663	5190 —1527	1342 886	1444 163	2873 254	2133 206	16,645 1509	3663	406	536	192	1175	1192	635	497	8296 12	686		13 5	25,640	Dwyer's surplus dis. T.V., 1527-3859
5-7	3663	3663	2228 1	1607	3127 11	2339	16,627	3663	409 37	537	192 —192	1178 41	1195 46	636	498	8308 171	687		18	25,640	McPartlan excluded
8-12	3663	3663	2229 6	1609 1	3138	2341	16,643 15	3663	446 —446	554 135		1219 148	1241 64	653 32	511 28	8287 407	688 17		22 7	25,640	Beard excluded
13-19	3663	3663	2235 7	. 1610	3142	2345 13	16,658 32	3663		689 100		1367 161	1305 117	685 107	539 —539	8248 485	705 11	3	29 8	25,640	Shoobridge excluded
20-29	3663	3663	2242 85	1614 20	3150 92	2358 46	16,690 243	3663		789 101		1528 140	1422 118	792 84		8194 443	716 716	3 23	37 7	25,640	Kimber excluded
30-42	3663	3663	2327 25	1634 4	3242 27	2404 15	16,933 71	3663		890 161		1668 279	1540 334	876 —876		8637 774		26 23	44 8	25,640	Piggott excluded
43-64	3663	3663	2352 22	1638 40	3269 22	2419 12	17,004 96	3663		1051 —1051		1947 558	1874 336			8535 894		49 53	52 8	25,640	Crisp excluded
65-75	3663	3663	2374 734	1678 —1678	3291 380	2431 478	17,100 1592	3663				2505 19	2210 31			8378 50		102 32	60 4	25,640	McDermott excluded
76	3663	3663	3108 4		3671 —8	2909	17,014 7	3663				2524 	2241			8 42 8		134	64	25,640	McKinley elected McKinley's surplus dis. T.V., 8-380
77-121	3663	3663	3112 139		3663	2912 145	17,013 284	3663				2524 1271	$2241 \\ -2241$			8428 1271		134 675	65 11	25,640	Pearsall excluded
122	3663	3663	3251 16		3663	3057	17,297 25	3663				3795 132				7458		809 106	76 1	25,640	McPhee elected McPhee's surplus dis. T.V.,132-183
	3663	3663	3267		3663	3066	17,322	3663				3663				7326		915	77	25,640	
123			396			3066												2670			Plummer excluded. Hope elected
	3663	3663	3663		3663		14,652	3663	,,,,			3663				7326		3585	77	25,640	

Note.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.

30

TABLE 23. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF DENISON.

Electors on Roll, 28,567. Valid Votes, 24,754. Quota, 3537. Informal Papers, 1294.

								CANDII	DATES.		,									
			GR	OUP " A " 1	NATIONALIST.						Grot	UP "B" LA	BOUR.			INDEPEN- DENT.				
Count.	Atkins.	Harvey.	Kalbfell.	McDougall.	Smith.	Soundy.	Turner.	Total Nationalist.	Cosgrove.	Culley.	Dwyer-Gray.	Heerey.	Kelly.	White.	Total Labour.	O'Reilly.	Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
1 2	1407 18	614 3	113	567 5	838 4	3033 32	1103 7	7675 70	8482 4945	2075 2338	1418 1523	1285 420	725 127	2778 445	16,763 4853	316		6	24,754	Cosgrove elected Cosgrove's surplus dis. T.V., 4945-8482
3	1425 1	617 	114	572	842	3065 2	1110	7745 4	3537	4413 —876	2941 727	1705 77	852 15	3223 46	16,671 865	332	•••	6 5	24,754	Culley elected Culley's surplus dis. T.V., 876-4012
4	1426	617 	114 	572 	843	3067	1110	7749	353 7	3537	3668 —131	1782 115	867 4	3269 9	16,660 128	334		11 3	24,754	Dwyer-Gray elected Dwyer-Gray's surplus dis. T.V., 131-3331
5-8	1426 17	617 14	114 114	572 13	843 23	3067 25	1110 10	7749 102	3537	3537	3537	1897 5	871 1	3278 5	16,657 11	334		14	24,754	Kalbfell excluded
9-12	1443 30	631 11		585 16	866 11	3092 26	1120 15	7737 109	3537	3537	3537	1902 77	872 62	3283 80	16,668 219	334 -334	2	15 4	24,754	O'Reilly excluded
13-16	1473 114	642 84		601 —601	877 90	3118 212	1135 82	7846 582	3537	3537	3537	1979	934	3363 9	16,887 16		2 1	19 2	24,754	McDougall excluded
17-23	1587 165	726 726		••••	967 165	3330 214	1217 165	7827 709	3537	3537	3537	1986 5	934	3372 4	16,903 14		3 2	21	24,754	Harvey excluded
24	1752 1				1132 1	3544 7	1382 3	7810 5	3537	3 537	3537 	1991	939	3376 	16,917		5	22 2	24,754	Soundy elected Soundy's surplus dis. T.V., 7-18
25-33	1753 20				1133 5	3537 	1385 8	7808 33	3537	3537	3 537	1991 590	939 939	3376 287	16,917 877		5 26	24	24,754	Kelly excluded
34	1773 2				1138	3537	1393 1	7841 3	3537	3537	3537	2581 117		3663 —126	16,855		31 3	27 3	24,754	White elected White's surplus dis. T.V., 126-287
35-48	1775 422				1138 —1138	3537	1394 642	7844 1064	3537	3537	3537	2698 32		3 5 37	16,846 32		34 39	30	24,754	Smith excluded
49-76	2197 1730					3537	2036 2036	7770 1730	3537	3 537	3537	2730 95		35 37	16,878 95		73 209	33 2	24,754	Turner excluded
77	3927 —390					3 537		7464	3537	3537	3537	2825 96		3 5 37	16,973		282 293	35 1	24,754	Atkins elected Atkins' surplus dis. T.V., 390-443
	3537					3537		7074	3537	3537	3537	2921		3537	17,069		575	36	24,754	

Note.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.

TABLE 22. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF DARWIN.

Electors on Roll, 29,356. Valid Votes, 25,310. Quota, 3616. Informal Papers, 1250.

	CANDIDATES.														1			
:		GROUP "A" LABOUR.							G	ROUP "B"	NATIONALIS	т.						
Count.	Bugg.	D'Alton.	Kelly.	Lane.	Smith.	Total Labour.	Acheson.	Booth.	Broad.	Chamberlain.	Green.	McFie.	Wright.	Total Nationalist.	Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
1 2	1728 1963	10,380 6764	1320 2787	862 1358	525 377	14,815 6485	1207 29	1107 31	669 16	3267 96	1062 51	1 622 26	1561 25	10,495 274		5	25,310	D'Alton elected D'Alton's surplus dis. T.V., 6764-10,380
3	3691	3616	4107 —491	2220 426	902 49	14,536 485	1236	1138	685	3363 3	1113	1648	1586 1	10,769	5	5 4	25,310	Kelly and Bugg elected Kelly's surplus dis. T.V., 491-4277
4	3691 —75	3616	3616 	2646 59	951 12	14,520 71	1236	1138	686	3366	1115	1648	1587	10,776	5 1	9	25,310	Bugg's surplus dis. T.V., 75-3013
5-7	3616	3616	3616	2705 22	963 8	14,516 30	1236 51	1138 106	686 —686	3366 214	1115 120	· 1648 63	1587 93	10,776 647	6 3	12 6	25,310	Broad excluded
8-13	3616	3616	3616	2727 879	971 971	14,546 879	1287	1244		3580 23	1235	1711 16	1680	10,737 62	9 27	18 3	25,310	Smith excluded
14-20	3616	3616	3616	3606 39	••••	14,454 39	1293 214	1251 191	,,,	3603 294	1240 —1240	1727 271	1685 188	10,799 1158	36 38	21 5	25,310	Green excluded
	3616	3616	3616	3645		14,493	1507	1442		3897		1998	1873	10,717	74	26	25,310	Chamberlain and Lane
21							43	74	 	281		88	67	272	5	4		elected Chamberlain's surplus dis. T.V., 281-294
22	3616 	3616 	3616	3645 —29		14,493	1550 9	1516		3616		2086 1	1940 1	10,708	79 13	30	25,31 0	Lane's surplus dis. T.V., 29-39
23-34	3616	3616	3616	3616		14,464	1559 342	1518 —1518		3616		2087 719	1941 359	10,721 1420	92 95	33	25,310	Booth excluded
35-52	3616	3616 	3616	3616		14,464	1901 —1901			3616		2806 1000	2300 659	10,623 1659	187 233	36 9	25,310	Acheson excluded
	3616	3616	3616	3616		14,464				3616		3806	2959	10,381	420	45	25,310	McFie elected

Note.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.