

**STATEMENT OF
CHAIRMAN KEVIN J. MARTIN**

Re: Verizon Communications Inc. and MCI, Inc. Applications for Approval of Transfer of Control, Memorandum Opinion and Order, WC Docket No. 05-75

Today, we vote to approve the mergers of SBC and AT&T as well as Verizon and MCI. These mergers will create national facilities-based providers of telecommunications services that will provide new and advanced services to both mass market and enterprise customers. As end-to-end providers of communications services, these companies will make significant investments in fiber-optic networks and use these networks to provide customers a broad array of voice, data, and video services.

I believe that the transactions we approve today are consistent with and will further many of the Commission's competition, broadband, and public safety priorities. For example, these mergers create strong global carriers that will vigorously compete both internationally and domestically. Further, the complement of the local and long distance network facilities will permit the merged entities to offer a more diverse array of services to a broader range of customers. It is my expectation that these mergers will only increase the incentive and ability of the merged entities to invest in broadband infrastructure and spread the deployment of advanced services to all Americans. Of particular importance to me, the mergers will further the goal of public safety by virtue of the commitments that have been made with regard to compliance with the Commission's November 28th deadline to deploy a 911 solution for VoIP customers.

I know that many have expressed questions about these mergers. For example, some are concerned that these transactions will adversely affect competing providers that rely on the merger applicants for wholesale inputs. Others have been concerned about the effect of these mergers on end users – particularly business end users that purchase special access services. I believe that the remedy imposed by the Department of Justice should adequately address any concerns in this regard. Moreover, I note that under the commitments made by the Applicants, UNE rates are effectively capped for two years and special access prices are essentially frozen for 30 months from the merger closing date.

Concerns have also been raised about the impact of this merger on the Internet backbone market. We have found this market, which has never been regulated, to be sufficiently competitive. It is the Commission's prediction that these mergers will in no way alter this dynamic. In any event, the Applicants have committed to publicly post their peering criteria and to continue settlements-free peering arrangements with the same number of providers post-merger as they did, in combination, pre-merger.

Let me say that I do not believe that all of the conditions imposed today are necessary. I believe that the affected markets would remain vibrantly competitive absent these conditions. Nevertheless, the parties involved have chosen to make these commitments now in order to obtain the certainty of immediate Commission approval for their mergers. I understand their desire to move forward, and agree that the public interest will be well served by providing certainty sooner rather than later.

The fiber optic networks of today that are capable of delivering over 100 mbps worth of capacity have come a long way from the microwave transmission technology that was first used to compete several decades ago. We are seeing both intermodal and intramodal providers aggressively competing for customers using a multitude of new technologies and platforms. The telecommunications industry is a constantly evolving one, and the consummation of these mergers represents the opening of a new chapter in communications history. I look forward to the promise of continued technological innovation.

Finally, I would like to thank my colleagues for their rigorous review of these transactions. I know that these mergers presented difficult issues for them to consider and I appreciate, as always, their professionalism and willingness to always do what it is in the public interest.

