# Boeing 747-121, N739PA: Appendix F

Aircraft Accident Report No 2/90 (EW/C1094)

Report on the accident to Boeing 747-121, N739PA at Lockerbie, Dumfriesshire, Scotland on 21 December 1988

APPENDIX F

# BAGGAGE CONTAINER EXAMINATION, RECONSTRUCTION AND RELATIONSHIPTO THE AIRCRAFT STRUCTURE

#### 1. Introduction

During the wreckage recovery operation it became apparent thatsome items, identified as parts of baggage containers, exhibitedblast damage. It was confirmed by forensic scientists at theRoyal Armaments Research and Development Establishment (RARDE), after detailed physical and chemical examination, that these itemsshowed conclusive evidence of a detonating high performance plasticexplosive. It was therefore decided to segregate identifiablecontainer parts and reconstruct any that showed evidence from the effect of Improvised Explosive Device (IED). It was evident, from the main wreckage layout that the IED had been located in the forward cargo hold and, although all baggage container wreckagewas examined, only items from the forward hold showing the relevant characteristics were considered for the reconstruction. This Appendix documents the reconstruction of two particular containers and, from their position within the forward fuselage, defines the location of the IED.

# 2 Container Arrangement

Information supplied by Pan Am showed that this aircraft had beenloaded with 12 baggage containers and two cargo pallets in theforward hold located as shown in Figure F-1. Three containerswere recorded as being of the glass fibre reinforced plastic type(those at positions 11L, 13L and 21L) with the remaining 9 beingof metal construction.

## 3. Container Description

All the baggage containers installed in the forward cargo holdwere of the LD3 type (lower deck container, half width - cargo)and designated with the codes AVE, for those constructed fromaluminum alloy, and AVA or AVN for those constructed from fibreglass. Each container was specifically identified with a four digitserial number followed by the letters PA and this nine digit identifierwas present at the top of three sides of each container in blackletters/numbers approximately 5 inches tall. Detail drawingsand photographs of a typical metal container are shown in FigureF-2. Each container was essentially a 5 feet cube with a 17 inchextension over its full length to the left of the access aperture. In order to fit within the section of the lower fuselage thisextension had a sloping face at its base joining the edge of thecontainer floor to the left vertical sidewall at a position some20 inches above the floor. The access aperture on the AVE typecontainer was covered by a blue reinforced plastic curtain, fixedto the container at its top edge, braced by two wires and centraland lower edge cross bars which engaged with the aperture structure. The strength of this type of container superstructure was provided by the various extruded

section edge members, attached to a robustfloor panel, with a thin aluminum skin providing baggage containmentand weatherproofing.

#### 4. Container Identification

Discrimination between forward and rear cargo hold containerswas relatively straightforward as the rear cargo hold wreckagewas almost entirely confined to the town of Lockerbie and wascharacteristically different from that from the forward hold, in that it was generally severely crushed and covered in mud. The forward hold debris, by comparison, was mostly recovered from the southern wreckage trail some distance from Lockerbie and had mainly been torn into relatively large sections.

All immediately identifiable parts of the forward cargo containerswere segregated into areas designated by their serial numbersand items not identified at that stage were collected into pilesof similar parts for later assessment. As a result of this twocontainers, one metal and one fibreglass, were identified as exhibiting damage likely to have been caused by the IED. From the Pan Amrecords the metal container of these two had been positioned at position 14L, and the fibreglass at position 21L (adjacent positions,4th and 5th from the front of the forward cargo hold on the leftside). The serial numbers of these containers were respectively AVE 4041 PA and AVN 7511 PA.

#### 5. Container Reconstruction

Those parts which could be positively identified as being from containers AVE 4041 PA and AVN 7511 PA were assembled onto one of three wooden frameworks; one each for the floor and superstructure of container 4041, and one for the superstructure of container 7511. Figures F-3 to F-9 show the reconstruction of container 4041 and Figure F-10 shows the reconstructed forward face of container 7511. Approximately 85% of container 4041 was identified, themain missing sections being the aft half of the sloping face skinand all of the curtain. Two items were included which could not be fracture or tear matched to container 4041, however, they showedthe particular type of blast damage exhibited only by items from this container.

While this work was in progress a buckled section of skin fromcontainer 4041 was found by an AAIB Inspector to contain, trappedwithin its folds, an item which was subsequently identified byforensic scientists at the Royal Armaments Research and DevelopmentEstablishment (RARDE) as belonging to a specific type of radio-cassetteplayer and that this had been fitted with an improvised explosivedevice.

Examination of all other component parts of the remaining containers from the front and rear cargo holds did not reveal any evidence of blast damage similar to that found on containers 4041 and 7511.

## 6. Wreckage Distribution

Those items which were positively identified as parts of container4041 or 7511, and for which a grid reference was available, werefound to have fallen close to the southern edge of the southernwreckage trail. This indicated that one of the very early events in the aircraft break-up sequence was the blast damage to, and ejection of, parts of these two containers.

#### 7. Fuselage Reconstruction

In order to gain a better understanding of the failure sequence, that part of the aircraft's fuselage encompassing the forwardcargo hold was reconstructed at AAIB Farnborough. After all

availableblast damaged pieces of structure had been added, the floor of container 4041 was installed as near to its original positionas the deformation of the wreckage would allow and this is shownin Figure F-11. The presence of this floor panel in the fuselagegreatly assisted the three-dimensional assessment of the IED location. Witness marks between this floor and the aircraft structure, tie down rail, roller rail and relative areas of blast damageleft no doubt that container 4041 had been located at position14L at the time of detonation.

# 8. Analysis

The general character of damage that could be seen on the reconstructions of containers 4041 and 7511 was not of a type seen on the wreckage of any of the other containers examined. In particular, the reconstruction of the floor of container 4041 revealed an area of severe distortion, tearing and blackening localised in its aft outboard quarter which, together with the results of the forensic examination of items from this part of the container, left no doubt that the IED haddetonated within this container

Within container 4041 the lack of direct blast damage (of thetype seen on the outboard floor edge member and lower portionsof the aft face structural members) on most of the floor panelin the heavily distorted area suggested that this had been protectedby, presumably, a piece of luggage. The downward heaving of thefloor in this area was sufficient to stretch the floor material, far enough to be cut by cargo bay sub structure, and distort theadjacent fuselage frames. This supported the view that the itemof baggage containing the IED had been positioned fairly closeto the floor but not actually placed upon it. The installation of the floor of container 4041 into the fuselage reconstruction (Figure F-11) showed the blast to have been centered almost directlyabove frame 700 and that its main effects had not only been directedmostly downwards and outboard but also rearwards. The blast effectson the aircraft skin were onto stringer 39L but centered at station 710 (Figure F-12). Downwards crushing at the top, and rearwards distortion of frame 700 was apparent as well as rearwards distortion of frame 720.

With the two container reconstructions placed together it becameapparent that a relatively mild blast had exited container 4041through the rear lower face to the left of the curtain and impingedat an angle on the forward face of container 7511. This had puncheda hole, Figure F-10, approximately 8 inches square some 10 inchesup from its base and removed the surface of this face inboardfrom the hole for some 50 inches. Radiating out from the holewere areas of sooting, and other black deposits, extending tothe top of the container. No signs were present of any similardamage on other external or internal faces of container 7511 orthe immediately adjacent containers 14R and 21R.

The above assessment of the directions of distortion, comparison of damage to both containers, and the related airframe damageadjacent to the container position, enabled the most probable lateral and vertical location of the IED to be established asshown in Figure F-13, centered longitudinally on station 700.

#### 9. Conclusions

Throughout the general examination of the aircraft wreckage, directevidence of blast damage was exhibited on the airframe only inthe area bounded, approximately, by stations 700 and 720 and stringers38L and 40L. Blast damage was found only on pieces of containers4042 and 7511, the relative location and character of which leftno doubt that it was directly associated with airframe damage. Thus, these two containers had been loaded in positions 14L and21L as recorded on the Pan Am cargo loading documents. Therewas also no doubt that the IED had been located within

container14L, specifically in its aft outboard quarter as indicated inFigure F-13, centered on station 700.

Blast damage to the forward face of container 7511 was as a directresult of hot gases/fragments escaping from the aft face of container4041. No evidence was seen to suggest that more than one IEDhad detonated on Flight PA103.