UNITED STATES INSTITUTE OF PEACE 1200 17th Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20036

In Association With

WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS and

MUSLIM WORLD INITIATIVE

+ + + + +

WHAT MAKES ZARQAWI TICK?

+ + + + +

THURSDAY
MARCH 16, 2006

+ + + + +

SPEAKERS:

HALEH ESFANDIARI, Chair Director, Middle East Program Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars Washington, D.C.

GILLES KEPEL, Director, Middle East-Mediterranean Program Sciences-Po, Paris

DAN BRUMBERG, Special Advisor, Muslim World Initiative, USIP Department of Government, Georgetown University Washington, D.C.

DAN BYMAN, School of Foreign Service Georgetown University Washington, D.C.

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(Time not provided)
3	CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Good morning.
4	I'm Haleh Esfandiari, the Director of the Middle
5	East Program at the Woodrow Wilson International
6	Center for Scholars.
7	We have a tradition of co-sponsoring
8	meetings with different programs at the United
9	States Institute for Peace. And we are delighted
10	to co-sponsor this meeting with the Muslin World
11	Initiative at USIP.
12	It's a pleasure as always to work with
13	my old friend and colleague, Dan Brumberg.
14	The topic today is very interesting and
15	timely, "What Makes Zarqawi Tick?" And we couldn't
16	find a better speaker than Dr. Gilles Kepel, whose
17	book on, I just look at it, Al-Qaeda Dans le Texte,
18	was published a few months ago in Paris.
19	Dr. Kepel will speak maybe for 15-20
20	minutes and then we will have Dan Brumberg and Dan
21	Byman commenting.
22	A brief introduction of our speakers so
23	in order not to interrupt the flow of discussion.
24	Dr. Kepel is the Director of the Middle
25	East Mediterranean Program at the Sciences-Po in
26	Paris. And he's a leading expert and author on the
27	Islamic World. He holds degrees in Arabic,
28	English, and philosophy and a doctorate in
29	sociology and political science.

In the 1990's, he was a Visiting

- 1 Professor both at New York University and at
- 2 Columbia University. And he has a number of books,
- 3 including Jihad: The Trial of Political Islam and
- 4 The War for Muslim Minds Islam and the West. You
- 5 can't be a student of Islam and not having read all
- 6 of his books.
- 7 The two commentators, I'll start first
- 8 with Dan Brumberg, who is an Associate Professor in
- 9 the Department of Government at Georgetown
- 10 University, and a Special Advisor to the Muslim
- 11 World Initiative at USIP.
- 12 His areas of expertise include
- comparative politics in the Islamic World, Third
- 14 World Political Development, and the sociology of
- 15 Ideology. He too is the author of a great number
- of publications, articles, and also a book. His
- 17 last book was Reinventing Khomeini: The Struggle
- 18 for Reform in Iran.
- 19 As someone who is quite familiar with
- 20 books written on Iran, this I can say is one of the
- 21 best books that was published in the last few
- 22 years.
- MR. BRUMBERG: Haleh, you must
- 24 compliment more frequently.
- 25 (Laughter.)
- 26 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: I usually am not
- 27 so complimentary. You can ask my colleagues here.

```
1
                    (Laughter.)
 2
                    CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Dr. Dan Byman,
 3
        who is also going to comment on what Gilles Kepel
        will say, is Associate Professor and Director of
 4
 5
        Security Studies Program at the Center for Peace,
        and Security Studies at Georgetown University,
 6
 7
        Edmund Walsh School for Foreign Service.
                    He's also a nonresident Senior Fellow
 8
 9
        with the Saban Center for the Middle East Policy at
10
        the Brookings Institution. He has served as a
11
        Professional Staff Member with both the National
12
        Commission on Terrorist Attacks on the United
13
        States, better known as the 9/11 Commission. And
        the Joint 9/11 Inquiry Staff of the House and
14
15
        Senate Intelligence Committee.
16
                    Dan Byman has also worked as the
        Research Director of the Center for Middle East
17
18
        Public Policy at RAND Corporation. And among his
19
        publications are his latest book Deadly Dynamics:
20
        States that Sponsor Terrorism, which was released
21
        in 2/05.
                    Gilles Kepel, the floor is yours.
2.2
23
                    MR. KEPEL: Thank you very much Haleh,
24
        and thank you for inviting me. I'm feeling a
25
        little embarrassed and threatened to be speaking
26
        between the two Dans. So I don't know what this --
27
        I'll try to do my best.
```

1 I was sort of a bewonderment when I 2 discovered the title Dan had chosen for me, "What 3 Makes Zargawi Tick?" because I didn't really have a clear idea of what "tick" meant in colloquial 4 So, he had to sort of send me a long 5 American. tafsir. And we'll see if it works or not. 6 7 So, I guess that one of the very 8 interesting things that one can understand about 9 Abu Musab al-Zarqawi is that with him, in a way, we 10 have reached sort of a long awaited for desire from 11 the al-Qaeda leadership to find someone who would 12 mobilize the masses. 13 And if you read back into what I 14 consider is the sort of festoon or what is to be 15 done of the al-Qaeda movement. Ayman al-Zawahiri's 16 booklet "Knights Under the Prophet's Banner," or in 17 Arabic, "Fursan Taht Rayat Al-Nabi," published in 18 excerpts by Asharq Al-Awsat, the English -- the 19 Arabic language, British-based, London-based 20 newspaper in the end of 2001. 21 Then you have the -- the statement of 2.2 anxiety of political anxiety in al-Zawahiri's 23 declarations. I mean, we the Vanguards have been 24 unable to mobilize the masses of the 'umma tajij 25 jamahir al-umma (phonetic). 26 We are the rightful Vanguard. 27 masses are afraid. They do not understand us.

- this is why we failed. This is why we failed in
- the 1990's in Egypt, in Algeria, in Bosnia, in
- 3 Kashmir, Chechnya, what have you.
- 4 So we have to find a device in order to
- 5 mobilize the masses. And this device, was but
- 6 9/11, i.e., using the media to produce some sort of
- 7 a spectacular, by all means spectacular, shockwave
- 8 that would then convince the masses that the enemy
- 9 was weak.
- 10 And not only the nearby enemy, al-'Adu
- 11 al-Qarib, i.e., the -- the powers that be in the
- Muslim world, but more so the faraway enemy, al-
- 13 'Adu al-Ba'id, i.e., the U.S., who is the backer of
- 14 the local regimes.
- 15 And by sort of exposing the Achilles'
- 16 heel of the faraway enemy, then al-Zawahiri
- 17 believed, then the masses would suddenly discover
- 18 that there was no need to be afraid. And that they
- 19 could join the ranks of the Vanguards and join the
- 20 ranks of jihad and topple the powers that be.
- 21 As you all know, this is not exactly
- what happened after 9/11. And al-Qaeda, whatever
- we call al-Qaeda, but we have no time and nine
- 24 minutes I have left to define al-Qaeda at length.
- 25 Al-Qaeda was unable to sort of develop from
- 26 Vanguard's terrorists martyrdom operation group
- into mass movement or into a movement that would

- 1 mobilize the masses.
- 2 And they were compelled in order to
- 3 show that they were still in existence, to perform
- 4 all those copycat bombings in 2002, 2003, 2004, up
- 5 to 2005, and lastly the London bombings of this
- 6 last summer.
- 7 This did not -- did not lead anywhere
- 8 in terms of the -- of a goal that was sought after,
- 9 i.e., the mobilization of the masses and the
- 10 toppling of the powers that be.
- 11 Only in Iraq did it finally happen.
- 12 Only in Iraq after U.S. and allied invasion and the
- toppling of the Saddam regime in the spring of
- 14 2003. Where Abu-Musab al-Zarqawi and his group
- managed to join forces with Sunni insurgents.
- 16 And in a way this joining of forces was
- 17 after a while defined or claimed as the work of al-
- 18 Qaeda. Al-Qaeda, which for that occasion took the
- sort of new name of the local branch, if you wish,
- the local franchise, took the name of Qaeda al-
- 21 jihad fi bilad al-rafidain, i.e., literally
- translated, the base for jihad in the country of
- the two rivers of Mesopotamia.
- 24 And Zarqawi had a life before Iraq as
- 25 you know. He was born in Jordan. His name is not
- Zarqawi, it's his pseudo. And related to the city
- 27 of Zarga from which he is originally. And he had

- 1 fought in Afghanistan already during the first
- 2 Afghan Jihad wars.
- 3 Then came back to Jordan. Was put in
- 4 jail. Got very close to a very important advocate
- for jihad who's under semi-liberty in Jordan
- 6 called, Abu Muhammad Maqdissi, who is a very useful
- 7 person because he is the sort of internet
- 8 encyclopedia of jihad.
- 9 I mean his website is the place where
- 10 you go to see whether a jihad text is, if I may say
- 11 so, kosher or not, because if it's on
- 12 www.towelhead.ws, it's culture (inaudible). If
- not, you should have doubts.
- 14 And Abu Muhammad Magdissi is probably
- the person who was the most influential on Zarqawi,
- 16 because Zargawi himself is not ideologued by any
- 17 means. His -- he probably reads and writes a
- 18 little, but he definitely hasn't written the texts
- 19 that he has signed.
- 20 The text he signed and one of the most
- 21 famous of them is the one that was found on -- by
- 22 Kurdish soldiers, or Peshmergas, on one of his
- envoys in early 2004, exposing his strategy of
- 24 attacking Shias, was most probably written by
- 25 another intellectual who was close to him, who was
- 26 killed on September 2004 on an attack on the
- infamous Abu-Ghraib prison, Abu-Anestshemi

- 1 (phonetic).
- 2 So, it so happened that after he was
- 3 freed from jail in Jordan while on the occasion of
- 4 King Abdullah's coming to power, who had a general
- 5 amnesty for prisoners. Zarqawi then went back to
- 6 Afghanistan, and to -- lately to Iraq, through the
- 7 Kurdish (inaudible) Islam groups, where he finally
- 8 joined forces after the -- after the invasion of
- 9 spring 2003 with -- with the Sunni insurgents.
- 10 As I said, there you have the first
- opportunity for al-Qaeda to be grounded on a turf,
- i.e., not to plan bombings, or executions, or
- whatever, through a little group of people who are
- either planted in a country or who come and leave.
- But, really to sort of achieve this goal that
- 16 Zawahiri has indicated, i.e., mobilize the masses
- 17 by virtue of example.
- Mobilize the masses so that they will
- 19 topple. The unjust state or the unjust ruler or
- the empire's powers that be, or what have you.
- 21 And this is very different, if I may
- so, from the situation in Palestine, where in
- 23 Palestine you also had a number of suicide bombings
- that were carried by religious groups, Hamas,
- 25 Islamic Jihad, and also by other groups, bi-secular
- groups. But, never ever was al-Qaeda able to set a
- 27 tone into the Islamic -- Palestinian Israeli Jihad

- 1 process.
- I mean this was kept, this was a
- 3 process that was kept under control by the local
- 4 Islamic and non-Islamic movements. And they
- 5 definitely did not want to be hostage to the vested
- 6 interests of the al-Qaeda leadership.
- 7 And this something we can -- we can
- 8 discuss later of course, which has lead in a way to
- 9 the transformation of violent operations into the
- 10 voting of Hamas into parliament lasting.
- In Iraq it's quite different. Then
- 12 Sunni insurgents needed the al-Qaeda activists and
- vice versa. And this created the sort of the first
- 14 place where you had a blend between the two. But
- this blend is -- is in itself a problem.
- 16 It is a problem because in a way when
- 17 you look at it from -- from a distance, or when you
- 18 -- you look at it say in 2004 when it sort of
- 19 started, looks like the al-Qaeda guys were able to
- 20 sort of sell their propaganda and their well view
- 21 to the -- to the local Sunnis.
- 22 And that's the reason they -- they were
- so popular and so well entrenched particularly in
- 24 Fallujah was that they were the uncompromising
- adversaries of the U.S. military in U.S.
- occupation. And not only did they rely on local
- forces, but they also created the new magnet for

- 1 jihad in the area.
- 2 Iraq now being what Afghanistan was in
- 3 the 1980's, if you wish, where you have young
- 4 people from the Arab world going usually through
- 5 Syria or through Saudi Arabia, at times through
- 6 Jordan, and Kuwait, so, rarely through Iran, to
- 7 Iraq in order to die there.
- 8 And in France among other places, the
- 9 police have arrested a number of kids from Paris,
- 10 from North African origin, who were volunteers for
- 11 Iraq. At least two were reported dead in suicide
- 12 operations.
- 13 Plus, there was this famous story in
- 14 Europe of this Belgian young lady. A former drug
- 15 addict, and someone who sold cakes in a bakery, who
- 16 was Belgian-born, converted to Islam. And finally
- 17 with her husband, her husband drove a Mercedes all
- from Shalawa (phonetic) to Baghdad to blow the
- 19 Mercedes up in front of the U.S. combine.
- 20 So, this has created this new -- new
- 21 field for jihad, a magnet for Jihad in the area.
- In a way, it is something that plays the same role
- as Afghanistan in 1980.
- 24 For instance, when we think of Saudi
- 25 Arabia, one of the reasons for which the
- 26 casualties, the number of casualties in Saudi
- 27 Arabia went down significantly over the last two

- 1 years, was not only that the Saudi police was
- finally able to track down a number of insurgents,
- 3 but also that they were all sent to Iraq.
- 4 Just like, you know, Afghanistan in the
- old days was the place where activists from
- 6 Algeria, from Egypt, and from other places were
- 7 sent with the blessing of the police. You know, go
- 8 there and get lost, blow yourself up, and die for a
- 9 lie, if you wish. But, you know, get out of the
- 10 way.
- 11 And -- but, the difference of course,
- is that in -- in the old days of Afghanistan, jihad
- was blessed by the U.S., where as this is an untied
- 14 U.S. jihad. Or is it?
- Because there was a price to pay for
- 16 Zarqawi's alliance with the Sunni insurgents. And
- this price was that the targets were not only the
- 18 Americans or the infidels, or the -- or the
- 19 Empire's rulers, but also and mainly, if we can
- judge in terms of the number of people killed,
- 21 fellow Iraqi's, the so-called heretics, Rafidah, or
- 22 Shias. Rafidah in Zarqawi's vocabulary.
- 23 And one very significant contribution,
- original contribution of Zarqawi's to the al-Qaeda
- 25 pros as we sort of showed in this -- in this reader
- of al-Qaeda, which we published in French,
- 27 something that the neocons will decide is another

- 1 proof that the fact French are on the wrong side.
- 2 The -- something which is very clear is
- 3 that nowhere neither in 'Abd al-'Azzam's texts,
- 4 nor in Zawahiri's, nor in (inaudible) text, is
- 5 there any reference made to the Shias, and they are
- 6 not interested in it. It's not part of their
- 7 goals.
- Whereas, Zarqawi's text, are obsessed
- 9 with Shias or the fact that Shias are traders.
- 10 They are stooges of the Americans, friends of the
- 11 Jews, and that they should be killed first and
- 12 foremost. And that they are the most dangerous
- people in the world. And that Islam cannot succeed
- 14 unless Shias are exterminated.
- Now, this is okay when you are, you
- 16 know, part in parcel of the groups of Sunni
- insurgents who are interested in having the biggest
- share of power at the end of the day in the U.S. --
- or in the post-U.S. Iraqi government.
- 20 And the more you put pressure on the
- 21 Shias, the more you kill them, then they will
- finally yield and give the Sunnis, I don't know,
- one-third, or one-tenth, or one-fourth, or one-
- 24 half, of -- of oil and of power whenever oil flows
- 25 back into Iraq.
- But, in the meantime, this is something
- that is detrimental to al-Qaeda's message, because

- in a way in doing so, Zarqawi has become a tool in
- the hands of Sunni, Iraqi Sunnis, and it's just
- doing their politics. He just a killer for hire,
- 4 but he has forgotten the sort of universal
- 5 objectives or goals of al-Qaeda, which is global
- 6 jihad. If I may say so, Zawahiri is the Trotsky of
- 7 al-Qaeda, but you know, it's jihad in -- in the
- 8 world.
- 9 Whereas, Zarqawi is sort of low times
- 10 Stalin of jihad in one country. And this jihad in
- one country is also something which -- which
- becomes hostage, there again of the -- of the
- 13 vested interest in the Sunnis.
- So, he has his mass mobilization,
- jamahir al-umma. You know, but nevertheless, the
- 16 problem is that it's not for the right cause. And
- that explains why you had this letter that
- 18 (inaudible) published and from Zawahiri to Zargawi
- 19 there were controversies on whether this letter was
- 20 authentic or not.
- 21 I mean, knowing to some extent al-
- 22 Zawahiri, I would tend to say that it sounds like
- it. As the Italian -- senori averi el bento
- 24 trovato (phonetic), it's well -- it's well crafted.
- 25 Congratulations to (inaudible) if they did it.
- 26 But, it looks true. And then Zarqawi
- 27 attacks him on that. And says, you know, stop this

- 1 fuss with the Shias. Because instead of pursuing 2 universal jihad, you're into fitna. You're into 3 sedition. You're into in terms in fighting between Muslims. And this is not going to lead to our 4 This is going to lead to another failure. 5 success. And what's this business with 6 beheadings on webcam. You think this going to --7 to give us publicity, but this is too much. You 8 9 know, on the contrary, this is frightening people 10 and we look like monsters. We don't look like the 11 rightful Vanguard. And it's extremely bad. And 12 actually the televised execution stopped after --13 in 2005. 14 So, in a way Zarqawi is at a crossroads 15 and the Zarqawi, whatever Zarqawi means, I mean the 16 Zargawi meaning in this case the -- the al-Qaeda 17 operatives in Iraq. 18 As you -- as you all know and 19 definitely much better than I do, there was an 20 attempt for an approachment between Sunnis and 21 American authorities, and Ambassador Khalilzad and 22 others have been very clear on that. And Muslim
- welcomed to take parts in the -- electoral process
 that led to the -- to the parliamentary elections.

 And that was an attempt by -- by the
 U.S. to sort of bring back the Sunnis into the

Brothers, Sunni Muslim Brothers, in Iraq who are

```
2
        fighting Sunni elements from the bad Zargawi
 3
        activists. This was of course something that would
        become extremely dangerous for -- for the Zargawi
 4
        guys. Because it would cut their -- their mass
 5
 6
        space in a way.
                    And it would also play into what is al-
 7
 8
        Qaeda's nightmare. That is to say that once again,
 9
        the Muslim Brothers, those pretors in the blood of
10
        martyrs, I mean, it's not me of course, it's
11
        Zawahiri speaking, are going to get an upper hand.
12
                    And it was not lost on Zawahiri how
13
        favorably the U.S. press covered the good
14
        democratic Muslim Brothers who were candidates in
15
        Egypt against the corrupt elements of the regime.
16
                    And, you know, you had an infuriated
17
        reaction from Zawahiri to the Muslim Brothers'
18
        victory in Egypt, or victory wherever they were
19
        candidates. Also, a very violent attack on Hamas
20
        recently. And the same was true with -- with Iraq.
21
                    So, to -- to Zawahiri these politics
22
        are going to lead the Muslim Brothers, his
23
        archenemies, to sort of reap the benefits of the
24
        jihad that the radicals have -- have implemented,
25
        which is one of the -- one of the dangers that he
26
        sees and that he wants to avoid at all costs.
                    So, due to -- to this -- this problem
27
```

folds. And to try to cut the Sunni, the good

- in the after the elections, after the participation
- of Sunnis in the elections, then you had the -- the
- 3 last episode with which I will conclude, because I
- 4 see Haleh and Dan looking at -- looking at me with
- 5 anxiety.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: I would have
- 7 sent you a note. Go ahead you have time.
- 8 MR. KEPEL: Okay. I'll conclude.
- 9 That lead to the destruction of the
- 10 Samarra Dome on the 22nd of last month.
- 11 The -- which is something -- which is a
- 12 major -- a major attack on the sanctity of Shias.
- 13 And the -- the destruction of the dome was
- 14 something that unleashed Shia forces. And that led
- to those massacres, which are, if it's not a civil
- 16 war, it looks like it. Where every night you find
- 17 tens of Shias who were killed at random in a place
- and the other night you have tens of Sunnis, and so
- on, and so forth.
- 20 Something which is making the
- 21 possibility of a coalition government even more
- 22 remote because Sunnis, there again, are not willing
- 23 to get into that.
- 24 And, now to what extent is this finally
- 25 a victory or not for -- or a temporary victory for
- the al-Qaeda and Zarqawi strategy. It seems clear
- that it will be difficult after the Samarra bombing

- 1 to coopt Sunni leaders significantly into the
- 2 governing Iraqi body.
- 3 And also it opens another -- another
- 4 avenue for anxiety in -- in Iraq. I guess that the
- 5 U.S. even though it was difficult could do with one
- 6 enemy only, i.e., Sunni insurgents, as long as they
- 7 could count on the Kurds.
- 8 But, the Kurds are more and more
- 9 secluded from even though there are Kurds in
- 10 Bagdad, of course. But, you know, Kurdish
- 11 territory is more and more becoming a sort of
- 12 autonomist, a republic. And mainly counting on the
- 13 support of Shias.
- But nowadays with factions within the
- Shias taking arms and killing Sunnis and having
- 16 their own policy, being held to this regard -- in
- 17 this regard by Iranian forces under Ahmadinejad
- 18 (phonetic).
- 19 It -- it may look like the U.S. will
- 20 have to face two enemies, because the -- the basis
- 21 for supports within Iraqi Shias is definitely
- 22 dwindling now. Dwindling because the Iranian the
- influence of Iran is making itself felt more and
- 24 more.
- 25 And while you have Shias who lash out
- for revenge against Sunnis then you'll have to have
- the U.S. forces act in protection of Sunnis. And

this was already clear in the last declarations of
Ambassador Zalmay Khalilzad saying that he did not
want the police forces be under sectarian control
and so on and so forth, meaning the Ministry of
Interior.

So, this in a way tells us that as of now, the al-Qaeda system in Iraq was sort of, in my view, able to -- to overcome the -- the dangers and the challenges it faced. At first, they sort of joined forces with the Sunnis, and they had their mass following, finally, somewhere.

Then there was this problem that you know they were being taken hostage by the vested interest of the Sunnis. And the global jihad thing was -- was disappearing. And then because of the attempt of the U.S. administration in Iraq to separate the insurgents from -- from Zarqawi. The brutal propagation against the Shias nowadays have managed finally to make the Shias come down into the battle and fight against the Sunnis.

And in that, even though Zarqawi is not a mere player, a first -- a first rank player, nevertheless, this is going to lead to a situation where -- where U.S. forces are caught between a rock and a hard place, or between Iran and a hard place.

And it is not for certain that Zargawi

```
and al-Qaeda are so enthusiastic at the growing
```

- 2 role of Iran in Iraq. But there again, their --
- 3 their first objective is to -- is to see U.S.
- 4 troops leave or at least suffer a number of
- 5 casualties.
- In Zawahiri's letter to Zarqawi, I
- 7 mean, he is foreseeing the -- the pullouts of
- 8 America from -- from Iraq more or less on the lines
- 9 of a pullout from Vietnam. Where you know, where
- 10 they leave their alias around, and so on, and so
- 11 forth.
- But the -- so this is -- this is where
- I think we could go -- we could go as of today. I
- mean, I guess that the Zarqawi guy, I don't know
- what makes him tick or not, but the answer is for
- 16 you.
- 17 But the -- definitely they -- they
- 18 managed to -- to set fire to -- to a situation
- 19 where the U.S. is in -- is in a very difficult
- 20 position, but where there is another major player
- 21 who is making inroads into Iraq, which is Iran,
- 22 which is part of the heretics of Rafidah, from whom
- 23 Zarqawi and company may have to fear more even
- 24 maybe than the U.S.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Thank you very
- 26 much. Dan, ten minutes.
- MR. BRUMBERG: Okay. Well, I'll be very

- 1 brief. Thanks very much Haleh for joining us again
- in another event cosponsored with the Woodrow
- 3 Wilson Center and USIP, the Muslim World
- 4 Initiative.
- I have to say that I've been to Paris a
- 6 number of times and lectured with Gilles and taught
- 7 a little bit. And I always wished my French was
- 8 quite as good as Gilles' English.
- 9 It was a very interesting presentation.
- In the book itself, I understand that it's going to
- 11 be translated and published by Harvard. Is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 It's extremely interesting because when
- 14 -- when you read it, you get a sense of this theme
- of fitna (phonetic) of the ideological divergences
- 16 within the -- within al-Qaeda. And it does provide
- 17 a set of insights into a movement which is --
- 18 Gilles points out in the introduction of the book,
- is -- is often presented in very obviously
- 20 simplistic terms in the -- in the media. So it is
- 21 -- it is very useful to read and I'm glad that it's
- going to be translated into English.
- I just want to say a couple of things
- that struck me about -- particularly about the
- 25 section on Zargawi and the piece on the Shia
- 26 attributed to him or perhaps written by others, but
- 27 attributed to him.

```
1
                    By the way, you know, "The Zarqawi
 2
        Ticks," and my wife -- my wife looked at me and
 3
        said, that's an interesting dubla anton (phonetic).
        So, I hadn't thought about it until, believe it or
 4
        not, until -- until we put it out there.
 5
                    But in any case, reading Zarqawi's
 6
        piece is kind of Mein Kampf on Shia. It's quite
 7
 8
        striking the virile into hatred he displays toward
 9
        the Shia in that particular track.
10
                    And I wondered reading it you get a
11
        sense that, well in part, this hatred is a matter
12
        of principle. It's ideological if you like, or
13
        perhaps even in some strange way philosophical.
                    But it's also -- also clearly tactical.
14
15
        And he has a tactical purpose and he explains it
16
        quite clearly. He says, that, you know, if
17
        democracy triumphs and the Shia triumphs, we would
18
        -- we will not be able to do anything because they
19
        will have triumphant democratically by the vote.
20
        And our job is to prevent that by creating the
21
        civil war.
2.2
                    And so you get a sense of the very
23
        interesting relationship between principle and
24
        tactics here. You got to wonder reading that
        whether this -- this ideology of hatred vis-a-vie
25
26
        the Shia, who he says are not only by the way, in -
```

- in collaboration with the Jews, but who in some

sense have been invented by the Jews, which is a new twist on that theory.

You got to wonder whether in fact the Iraqi Sunni insurgents, and I'm not an expert on this issue, but are necessarily ideologically or philosophically opposed to the Shia. This is a matter of power -- as a matter of a power dispute, but whether in fact Zargawi's message of principle hatred, let's put it that way, becomes something that is then -- then becomes widespread in the Sunni community not only in Iraq but further afield and that really means undermining in some sense the -- the world Islamic revolution principle of al-Qaeda.

It's quite striking. You wonder whether if you go from practice-to-practice, if you like, from tactic-to-philosophy, and this becomes ingrained what the consequences will be. It's quite striking.

The other thing that struck me about reading, particularly in the section on Zarqawi's background and his ideology. The thing that struck me was his disdain for Iraqis. His disdain for the Ulema, which of course, Gilles mentioned are the clerics but also just your -- your average Iraqi there's very little out there with whom he feels any kind of basic trust in the milieu that he's

- 1 operating.
- 2 This is not in any sense unusual for a
- 3 kind of Vanguard ideology. We seen this on the
- 4 left as well where you speak in the name of the
- 5 masses and yet at the same time you sort of disdain
- 6 the masses.
- 7 Zarqawi's attitude toward the average
- 8 Iraqi seems to be quite negative. And I wonder in
- 9 that sense, how we -- how this evolution of this
- 10 very interesting alliance is going -- where this
- interesting evolution is going to move forward.
- 12 So those were sort of the two -- two
- things that struck me in reading the text. I think
- 14 I'll leave it at that and turn -- turn the mic over
- to my colleague Dan Byman. Thank you.
- 16 MR. BYMAN: Thank you. It's a great
- 17 honor to be up here. Dr. Kepel is one of the
- 18 people who I think clearly writes more than I read.
- 19 And granted I read quite slowly, but even so, his
- ability to turn out extremely high-quality works
- 21 never ceases to amaze me.
- 22 Reading of his works reveals at times
- 23 an occasional amusement with American scholars of
- 24 terrorism and counter-terrorism. Admittedly, this
- is a bit like shooting fish in a barrel. However,
- I think I'm supposed to give the perspective of the
- fish at the moment. So, I will do so because I'm

- 1 certainly not a scholar of -- of political Islam.
- I want to talk about four points that
- 3 occurred to me as Dr. Kepel was speaking.
- 4 The first is the idea of the Vanguard.
- 5 And for anyone who follows terrorism, you know that
- 6 that is almost universal among terrorist groups, is
- 7 the idea of seeing themselves as a Vanguard.
- 8 And there are two Vanquard approaches
- 9 though. And it's very important to think of them
- 10 quite separately. One is the idea that by this
- 11 deed by what old Russian revolutionaries call
- 12 "propaganda of the deed." You know, we shall
- 13 strike, we shall show the weakness of the straight.
- And, you know, Haza (phonetic), the masses will
- 15 rise.
- 16 A quite different approach is we shall
- 17 strike, the state will strike back. And that will
- get people to hate the state. And Haza, the masses
- 19 will rise. But, one implies that success is in the
- 20 hands of the terrorist directly.
- 21 The other requires exploiting the
- 22 enemy. In this case, governments around the world
- 23 to act. And they have very different policy
- 24 implications. And you need to think about what the
- 25 terrorists are trying to accomplish with this,
- 26 because in general one frustration that terrorists
- 27 share almost universally is the masses don't seem

- 1 to want to rise.
- 2 They don't quite recognize the
- 3 brilliance of the revolution whatever its idiom,
- 4 and this a problem that Marxist revolutionaries
- 5 had. It's a problem that ethnonational
- 6 revolutionaries have, and so on.
- 7 We tend to see terrorist groups as
- 8 successful. But, you must remember that 90 percent
- 9 of them are wiped out within a year or two. So the
- 10 vast majority of terrorist groups, you know, there
- 11 are five guys sitting in a café. They blow
- 12 something up. They go to jail or die. And it's
- over.
- 14 They fail again and again. The ones we
- focus us, however, are that small group that has
- 16 had some measure of success.
- 17 The second point I'd like to raise is
- 18 the idea of how much ideology matters. And I think
- more importantly, when it matters. It's to me,
- inherently, interesting. But that doesn't
- 21 necessarily tell you much. I actually find
- 22 biblical criticism quite interesting, but I'm not
- going to tell you that's going to be something that
- 24 everyone should be concerned about on a day-to-day
- 25 basis.
- Clearly, ideology is a tool. It's a
- tool for recruitment. It's a tool for inspiring

1	your followers. But, it's an open question to me
2	how much of a guide it is toward a group's action.
3	If you go back and you look at some of
4	the one of the first suicide bombings by a Sunni
5	jihadis group, which is an attempted assassination
6	of an Egyptian government official in Egypt by
7	Islamic jihad. And the double back flips the group
8	had to go through to rationalize the suicide
9	bombing.
10	And they ended up dragging in Christian
11	martyrs and talking about the importance of
12	martyrdom. And if you're if you're a radical
13	Islamic group reduced to talking about Christian
14	martyrdom, you know you really have a ways to go.
15	And this shows to me that for many of
16	the groups it's pragmatism that is driving them,
17	not ideology, when it comes to the choices of their
18	attacks.
19	But unfortunately it's not that easy to
20	dismiss ideology. In addition to the recruitment
21	and mobilization function, it often leads groups to
22	make huge mistakes from a strategic point of view.
23	And one of the best examples of that is Algeria
24	where, I think, for ideological reasons, mid-
25	1990's, parts of the movement turned the country
26	into a slaughterhouse.
27	And as a result the worldwide jihadists

movement kind of recoiled and in a way created new groups to go for this. But it was tremendous weakness. And I think many of us who were watching

Algeria in the mid-1990's were very concerned that

5 this government was going to fall.

alternative, but they succeeded.

4

10

18

19

20

21

2.2

- And I think the insurgents through
 their own ideological incompetence, really gave the
 government a shot in the arm, that it took a lot to
 make the Algerian government look better than the
- The third point I'd like to raise is
 the question of Zarqawi himself. The United

 States, as is well known, has quite a reward for
 his head. But, it is always an interesting
 question to me, which is if you remove a leader,
 let us say he is magically killed tomorrow, does
 something better come in his place?
 - And I would say for Bin Laden, he's a very unusual leader, quite gifted in a number of ways. Zarqawi actually, I don't think is a particularly good insurgent leader. And I would be quite concerned that his replacement might be more effective.
- There is a certain matter of justice to
 me that wants me to have his head on a pole,
 perhaps quite literally. But, that's quite
 different from whether this is actually a good

- thing for fighting the insurgency. And this is someone who has divided the insurgency.
- This is insurgent movement that was
 really about a bottom-up phenomenon. For those of
 you who, like me, were in the world of studying
 ethnic conflict in civil unrest in the 1990's, you
 know that we focus very much on leaders. How do
 leaders manipulate masses? How do they create this
 phenomena where hatred did not exist?

This one really seemed to be bottom up.

You know, the old line about the man chasing the

crowd and saying, "wait for me, I'm your leader."

And that seemed to be happening in Iraq where

leaders did emerge, but they were not driving the

driving force.

But Zarqawi has divided the antiAmerican movement. He's divided the Sunni movement
for that matter. And he's made the cause exception
unpopular through -- no, excuse me, he's made the
cause less popular than it should be through a
series of tactics as were mentioned, such as the
public beheadings, and so on, that have really
caused a lot of problems for him in a way that
suggest that his confidence as a leader to me is an
open question.

The last point I'd like to talk about is this question of when local insurgencies go

- global that Dr. Kepel raised at the end of his
 talk. This was something that the movement tried
 in Bosnia, where you had a strong local movement,
 where they tried to flood foreign fighters and --
- and shift the ethos of the movement and failed
- 6 quite -- quite disastrously.

immediate conflict.

12

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Kashmir, however, has succeeded to a
 degree. Where you have a number of groups that are
 either heavily influenced by fighters who are not
 Kashmiri, whether Pakistani Kashmiri, or any
 Kashmiri, or who are drawn from really outside the
- And while this creates a number of
 quite effective fighters, and bodies to throw into
 something, it's actually quite dangerous for the
 cause in general. If you are a local insurgent
 leader, there are real risks to this.
 - One is that these people tend to be much less discriminate in who they kill because, as Professor Brumberg mentioned, they often have contempt for the locals. You know, after all, they're lazy, they're not fighting, I can kill them. You know, they're (inaudible), on-and-on.

The other is that you hurt nationalism.

25 Most of effective insurgencies harness nationalism 26 in some way. We see this in Iraq where they may 27 have an ideology that involves, you know, power to

- the people. It may involve Islam. But it tends to
 work when you get people motivated against an
 outsider. Or, you get people thinking that the
- 4 government is not legitimate.
- 5 But when you drag in foreign fighters
- of your own, on your own side, nationalism can work
- 7 in favor of the government. It really does hurt
- 8 the insurgent movement. And that to me is why very
- 9 smart groups can shy away from this.
- 10 If you look at what Hamas has done,
- 11 Hamas has, from what I understand, cleaned out a
- 12 number of Palestinian camps of various al-Qaeda
- 13 figures and some of the ones it controls.
- 14 And it's quite concerned that its own
- movement will be hijacked, because it wants to use
- 16 violence, but use is pragmatically. It thinks
- 17 strategically and doesn't want violence simply to
- go off the rails because it recognizes that it's
- 19 quite dangerous for Hamas' pursuit of power.
- It's not necessarily because it's a
- 21 gentle movement, but simply because it's a smart
- one.
- I want to close simply by saying one of
- the things I've admired most about Dr. Kepel's work
- is that it gives me a tremendous sense of hope
- because there's a tendency in, especially
- Washington policy circles, to see the enemy as it

1 were, as an evil monolith, and you're kind of 2 waiting for thousands of fighters to pour into the 3 streets of Washington and set off bombs and so on. 4 But when you read his writings, you understand the divisions and the differences within 5 this movement, their problems, their weaknesses. 6 7 And that actually suggests a long-term strategy, which is time. 8 9 You know, there's a question, and this 10 was the heart of, you know, the containment of 11 communism, which is, do certain movements have 12 shelf lives? And do they collapse inherently on 13 their own contradictions? 14 And I think no smart person ever bets on that. But, you have to recognize when you're 15 16 thinking about this movement that too much of a 17 direct attempt to influence this, may actually 18 prolong it rather than the goal, which should be 19 exacerbating the current and inherent weaknesses, 20 which is this movement in a very broad sense, is 21 quite susceptible for. 22 For I'm seeing the French cover of the 23 And there are four names on the cover. Bin book. 24 Laden, 'Azzam, Zawahiri, and Zargawi. And with the

book. And there are four names on the cover. Bin
Laden, 'Azzam, Zawahiri, and Zarqawi. And with the
Zawahiri, Bin Laden exception, Bin Laden formed alQaeda in part because he rejected where 'Azzam was
taking the organization. It was a splinter

1 movement. 2 Zargawi has been quite resistant as was 3 said to parts of the al-Qaeda agenda. There are huge differences within this movement, and that's 4 5 something that needs to be recognized and ideally exploited. I'll stop there. Thank you. 6 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Thank you very 7 I'd like to open the floor. Could I ask you 8 much. 9 to go to the microphone and identify yourself and 10 your affiliation, please? 11 MS. RUBIN: Trudy Rubin from The 12 Philadelphia Inquirer. I'd like to ask about this 13 difference between nationalism and al-Qaeda's 14 broader philosophy. I'd like to ask Gilles Kepel 15 inside the Sunni movement in Iraq, as you know, 16 there was the beginnings of an effort actually to 17 combat Zargawi, which seemed to be gaining a little bit if traction even though some of the Shiites 18 19 involved in it were being murdered. 20 Do you see that movement to repel the 21 foreigners as something that could gain momentum or do you think that the civil strife that was 2.2 23 fomented by the Golden Mosque bombing is likely to 24 interfere with the growth of that? 25 And I'd like to ask Dan Byman, do you 26 think that if the peace process really is dead in

Israel and the Palestinian issue that the effort to

```
1 keep al-Qaeda out of the Palestinian movement could
```

- end and when you got nothing, you got nothing to
- 3 lose, Hamas could change their mind?
- 4 MR. KEPEL: I guess that the Golden Dome
- 5 operation is clearly very detrimental to the
- 6 cooption of Sunni elites and particularly Sunni
- 7 Muslim Brother elites into the -- into the
- 8 government. And that's in a way the sort of
- 9 sectarian violence is now becoming more important
- than the feeling that there is a common nationhood.
- 11 You have to fight for against your foreign helpers.
- 12 Be they from Syria, from Algeria, or from the
- 13 suburbs of Pittsburgh or Paris.
- 14 And for the time being, I -- I would
- 15 say that the -- the sort of -- the attempt that was
- 16 made to have the Sunnis, you know, join the
- 17 bandwagon and so that, you know, at the end of the
- day they would -- they would get a chunk out of the
- 19 -- out of the oil resources when they stop flowing
- 20 back.
- 21 This has been really jeopardized --
- jeopardized by the -- by the Golden Dome bombings.
- 23 And the more Shias Sunnis strike, there is, the
- 24 more Iranian involvement you're going to have. And
- 25 I quess that now that the Iraqi issue is going to
- be more and more a mixed Iraqi-Iranian issue, which
- 27 makes things even more difficult for -- for the

1 present American President. 2 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Dan. 3 MR. BYMAN: Very briefly. I think there's a long way to go before Hamas would embrace 4 al-Oaeda for a number of reasons. One is as we've 5 learned in the Israeli Palestinian dispute, things 6 7 can always be worse. In fact when you say those words, it's like saying, you know, at least it's 8 9 not raining. You look out when you say, things 10 could not be worse because they have gotten much 11 worse and certainly could. 12 And Hamas is probably the first group 13 to recognize that possibility. I would also add 14 that there's tremendous, within this community, 15 there's tremendous hostility as was mentioned 16 between the Muslim Brotherhood and other parts of 17 the broader Salafi jihadis movement. And the Muslim Brotherhood is a suspect 18 19 for a variety of reasons. It's willingness to 20 participate in politics. It's -- part of it's 21 organizational structure. There are a number of reasons, but remember of course that Hamas is the 2.2 23 Muslim Brotherhood spinoff. And that there is 24 similarities to this. What I would be more concerned about is 25 26 actually almost the converse of this, which is 27 we've seen a number of groups when they've been

- 1 defeated locally going global. It's a very odd 2 reaction when you think about it, which is I have 3 failed to achieve jihad in Egypt. I failed to achieve jihad in Algeria. Therefore, I'm going to 4 attack the United States. 5 But, there is actually tactical logic 6 7 to it. Because these groups are organizations and must continue to function, it's often too hard for 8 9 them to function in the countries where they are 10 being hit hard. And going global is in a way 11 tactically easier for them. 12 And what is fascinating, we have 13 letters from Bin Laden to Egyptian leaders in the 14 mid-1990's, saying basically every time you attack 15 a target in Egypt, you lose a hundred people in the 16 aftermath of the attack. Either they're arrested, 17 they're wrapped up, and so on. And what do you 18 qain? On the other hand, the United States is 19 20 wide open. And if Hamas both does get the sense 21 that, you know, there is no hope through other
- wide open. And if Hamas both does get the sense
 that, you know, there is no hope through other
 means, and gets the sense that it's the fault of
 the United States. I would worry that some
 elements of Hamas, and particular with foreign
 branches operating in Europe, would start to strike
 at U.S. targets.

One thing European services are guite

concerned about it is that those of you are fans of 1 2 the Steven Spielberg's movie, Munich, you know that for parts of 1970's and 1980's, Europe was a battle 3 ground between Israeli services and Palestinian 4 That could renew. That you could see 5 Europe again being a feeder in part because Israeli 6 has effectively shut down a lot of what's happening 7 in the Palestinian areas through quite effective 8 9 intelligence. 10 And because there is a Palestinian 11 presence that's used right now mainly for fund-12 raising, but could easily be turned into a small 13 operational network. 14 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Yes, please. 15 MR. MCGRAE: Thank you. Alex McGrae, 16 Media Development Associates. My question is for 17 Dr. Kepel and it's a very brief three part 18 question. First, operationally speaking in 19 20 military terms, how does Zarqawi's al-Qaeda 21 organization interface with the indigenous Sunni Arab insurgents? 22 23 The second part is how many of 24 Zargawi's al-Qaeda's organization, the core 25 organization, are non-Iragi's. For example, 26 Saudis, Egyptians, Chechnyans, Pakistanis, and so

27

forth?

- 1 And the third part of the question is,
- did Zarqawi's organization promote, and in a sense,
- 3 teach the methodology of suicide bombing to the
- 4 local Iraqi Sunni insurgents?
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Thank you. You
- 6 can take a seat.
- 7 MR. MCGRAE: Pardon me?
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Wait for the
- 9 answer. Just sit down, please.
- 10 MR. KEPEL: Thank you. If I -- if I
- were in a position to answer accurately your
- 12 questions, I would not be sitting here, but
- probably in someplace in the green zone of Bagdad
- leading the operations. I mean, know one really
- knows, you know, how it functions precisely.
- 16 What you have is that you have to rely
- on Intelligence sources, which are not always
- 18 reliable. And on the -- on the documents which
- 19 you, which you can access to, mainly via the
- internet, in terms of wills of martyrs, or
- 21 prerecorded wills of martyrs. And there are quite
- 22 a lot actually.
- 23 And if you have Arabic, then you have
- an Arabic search, whatever it's called, an engine,
- on the internet. Then you regularly have access to
- 26 biographies or hagiographies of so-called martyrs,
- who died blowing themselves up in Iraq.

Following that sample, it looks quite

clear that a very significant amount of people who

blow themselves up in Iraq are not Iraqis. As I

mentioned before, there is a very significant Saudi

contingent.

And Saudis are particularly sought after because they -- when they come to the Iraqi border, I mean, they have, you know, a large amount of pocket money for their daily expenses, which their affixes (phonetic) confiscates for -- for jihad use. And so they are particularly popular.

And they're also popular in Saudi
Arabia amongst some of the people in charge of
security because, you know, the more you send those
guys to Iraq to blow themselves up, the less they
will remain in Saudi Arabia. This is something
that was already experimented in the -- in the
1980's with the jihad in Afghanistan.

So, -- and we have testimonies and wills from people from Europe, from Syria, Algeria, and the like, who blew themselves up. So, it looks like there is -- there is a significant amount of - of foreign martyrs who are there and as soon as they, would be martyrs, as soon as they have crossed the border, they have -- they have nothing left, I mean, except their relation with the -- with the organization, which is going to send them

- to -- to their death, right?
- 2 And even though some have escaped, you
- 3 know, we have at times you have interviews with so
- and so, who didn't do the job and escaped. But the
- 5 majority of the people who go there are there for
- 6 the job. And they -- and they put themselves in a
- 7 situation where they will not do anything else.
- 8 So, to what extent do Iraqis themselves
- 9 blow themselves up. There are some, but, I mean,
- in terms of numbers, it's not well -- very well
- 11 documented. I mean amongst -- amongst other
- things, because the -- the websites who are keen to
- -- to post the -- the wills usually are websites
- which are, one way or another, linked with a group
- operating in one country.
- 16 For instance, the Saudi jihadi websites
- 17 are keened to -- to have the lists of Saudi
- 18 martyrs, the Syrian martyrs, and so on and so
- 19 forth.
- 20 So, your first question was to what
- 21 extent?
- 22 MR. MCGRAE: What extent operationally
- does Zarqawi's al-Qaeda's organization interface
- 24 with the indigenous Iraqi insurgents? Do they --
- do they work together operationally or separate?
- MR. KEPEL: Well, there again if I were
- able to answer I would not be standing here. But,

- I mean, what -- what was probably the -- the best
- 2 case in point in terms of the -- where we have
- 3 knowledge, Fallujah, where in Fallujah you had
- 4 indigenous Iraqi fighters, local tribes, and the
- 5 like, together with a number of Zarqawi people.
- 6 And a number of them were killed or
- 7 were found in Fallujah. What -- what comes out of
- 8 this picture, of this blurred picture, is that in a
- 9 way you -- you have two -- two layers, i.e., that
- 10 the -- the Zarqawi people are performing a number
- of operations, which are not only for their own
- sake, but for the sake of the Sunni insurgents in
- general, i.e., trying to hamper Shia interests in
- the government per se.
- 15 And this is precisely where the --
- 16 where the U.S. is trying to -- to be active. I
- 17 mean, to try to differentiate the two and to show
- to the local Sunni, even if they are insurgents,
- 19 that what the Zarqawi people are doing is against
- their interest as Sunnis.
- 21 MR. MCGRAE: If I may just add a quick
- 22 word in?
- MR. KEPEL: Sure.
- MR. BRUMBERG: You know this issue,
- 25 which is very difficult to answer, raises the
- 26 broader question of what ultimately at the end of
- 27 the day, do the Sunnis have an interest in a civil

- 1 war in Iraq.
- 2 And I think the answer is, if their
- 3 goal is to use violence for political purposes, the
- 4 answer is no, because they can't prevail in the
- 5 civil war. Particularly as Gilles pointed out, if
- 6 the Iranians intervene, look at the forces that
- 7 lied against them.
- 8 So, ultimately what is Zarqawi up to?
- 9 What does he really want? Maybe he doesn't care.
- 10 Maybe his basic goal is to have man. But, the goal
- of the Sunnis is to find some way of affecting the
- 12 political climate.
- So, this is a very profound fissure.
- Now, we may have crossed the line already on that
- issue. In which case, the Sunnis may find
- themselves on the losing end.
- 17 So, I think this is in some sense a
- more fundamental question in terms of what
- 19 ultimately determines the kinds of fissures that
- 20 Gilles talked about and the extent to which they
- 21 can be exploited. And I think we were probably
- 22 beyond that already.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Gilles did you
- want to add something?
- 25 MR. KEPEL: Yeah, maybe not on Zargawi
- per se, because there again, I mean, as Dan just
- 27 mentioned, it's difficult to know whether there is

- 1 any significant Zarqawi ideology. I mean Zarqawi
- is somewhere between the al-Qaeda ideology and the
- 3 day-to-day management of jihad underground.
- 4 But if you -- if we go back to
- 5 Zawahiri's letter to Zargawi released last fall,
- 6 Zawahiri says that jihad in Iraq has different
- 7 steps. First step to oust the Americans. Second
- 8 step creates an Islamic emirate in Iraq, and then
- 9 reinforce it so that it would become a counterfeit
- on the biggest amount of territory possible.
- 11 First, in Iraq and then in surrounding countries.
- Third step sort of widen the jihadis
- way, as he says, to the secular countries were
- neighbors to Iraq. And fourth step, which is the
- final step, fights Israel and destroys Israel.
- 16 So, you know, but this -- this is a
- 17 very, if I may say, a very naive Sunni view of the
- 18 situation in the area, because, you know, you have
- 19 -- you have a big man nearby, who is Mr. Iran. And
- this one has different interests.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: next, please.
- 22 MR. MARSHALL: My name is Rick Marshall.
- I work for USAID. This is precisely the question I
- wanted to ask you and I want to see if I can draw
- 25 you out a little bit more.
- It's certainly conceivable that Iraq
- 27 could go into a much more violent situation and

1 perhaps be a genuine civil war. The United States 2 might even pull out under certain circumstances. 3 But, how does -- is there any sense that -- that 4 this a winnable fight? That -- do they have a 5 plan? Or a sense tactical plan where they actually could prevail given the numbers, at least within 6 7 Iraq? Or is it really a general Muslim worldwide 8 conflagration that would have to take place? 9 MR. KEPEL: Who's the "they?" 10 MR. MARSHALL: Zargawi. Yeah, if they are going to go against the Shia, they're obviously 11 12 not going to be alive with the Kurds. I mean 13 you're going to have numbers, huge numbers against 14 you to begin with. 15 MR. KEPEL: Okay. Going back to what 16 Dan Brumberg said earlier on, why is that Sunni 17 insurgents had an interest in insurgency? I quess 18 that, you know, they consider that what they would 19 get out of the -- of the new sort of pro-American 20 power system in Iraq would be close to zero in 21 terms of the chunk of oil they would get. In terms 22 of the access to power. 23 So, if they had an insurgency going on, 24 there would be no oil at all. So, you know, even 25 if they have nothing, it would zero divided by 26 nothing. And the others would have nothing also. 27 So, the bargain was that we'll stop the

1 insurgency provided we can have a deal, which is 2 satisfactory to us. And then, you know, we'll 3 start bargaining. And this was, in my view, this finally was understood by -- by the U.S. side. And 4 this is why Khalilzad opened to the Sunnis. And 5 their cooption into the system meant that they 6 would get something significant out of the deal. 7 8 And that is all that was, maybe it's 9 too late now. But that is the only way for the 10 U.S. to have a stable -- stabilized situation in 11 Iraq. Even though the Sunnis are a minority, they 12 nevertheless have a power for nuisance, if I may say so, which can preclude any return to peace, to 13 14 stability, and to wealth, and well-being in Iraq. 15 So, you better have them in. Then you 16 have to decide on, you know, what's the level of 17 their participation. But with -- with the Somali 18 bombings, it looks like, you know, it's going to be extremely difficult. And that they are not going 19 20 to -- the stakes are going to be much higher from 21 the Sunnis side in terms of their participation to 22 power. 23 PARTICIPANT: I think he meant, how --24 do they have a plan to prevail? 25 MR. BYMAN: Let me come in on that. 26 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Dan Byman. 27 MR. BYMAN: There are a couple of issues

- when you look at that question. The first is the 1 2 issue of numbers. The very American perspective is 3 to say, you know, 60 percent of the country is a Shia, 20 percent is Sunni Arab. 4 Therefore, you know, three to one. Okay, we're moving on. 5 6
- Numbers actually don't work that way in 7 terms of warfare. You have many, many cases where the usual key is superior organization. And the Sunnis in Iraq have at the moment far better 10 organization, far better training, are far better prepared for war.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

That diminishes over time, because the Sunnis are mobilizing, or excuse me, the Shia are mobilizing. So in political science terms, there's a window where you have early attacks are better for the Sunnis because if a civil war is inevitable, have it today, not ten years from now.

The other is mis-perception, if you talk to many Sunnis, they will tell you that the elections were fixed because Sunnis are a majority, Sunni Arabs are a majority in Iraq. And what is this nonsense about a Shia majority. Although we laugh about it because we have the CIA fact book that can tell us the actual number.

This is something that is actually widely believed and influences perceptions, which is that the disparity is not there the way we see

- it. And needless to say, this mis-perception is
- very strong among those who it matters most.
- A related point is contempt, which is
- 4 we -- a lot of these fighters look upon the Shia
- 5 with contempt. That hit them hard, they will
- 6 collapse. They cannot fight. They're not
- 7 warriors. And we are.
- 8 And again, this influences that
- 9 decision. Now you have these different strands
- 10 within the Sunni community part of which is using
- 11 violence I think quite tactically. To -- violence
- here, we strike a deal, the violence reduces, and
- 13 so.
- But the problem with inviting the
- foreigners in and working with them, is you lose
- 16 control. You might be able to use violence
- 17 tactically for your little cell. But the cell next
- to you isn't fighting a civil war and you can't
- 19 control it.
- 20 And that to me is the problem for the
- 21 Sunnis Arab tribesmen in Iraq who is largely
- 22 motivated by getting a better deal. Are -- in
- order to push violence effectively, they've invited
- 24 people in who have no interest in their agenda.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Okay. Yes.
- MS. MAHLE: Melissa Mahle, C&O
- 27 Resources. Unfortunately, one of those counter-

1 terrorism analysts. First of all, I'd like to 2 thank you for the presentation. It was very interesting, very informative. 3 In looking at it from an American 4 5 perspective, one of the things that we have looked at quite a lot was, first of all, we had not 6 anticipated the degree to which Iraq would become a 7 8 sanctuary for terrorism. 9 And that it's now really fulfilling the 10 role that Afghanistan played in the 1980's of being 11 a source of inspiration, being a training ground, 12 and being the ultimate network. And looking 13 forward, taking into consideration the Sunni Shia 14 element that has truly risen quite to the forefront 15 with the conflict in the current stage. 16 Looking forward to how this is going to 17 evolve in the global jihad, do you see -- would you 18 anticipate moving to a new front, the global jihad, 19 wherever that might be? And maybe you have some 20 thoughts on that. Or really is it going to get 21 bogged down in this Sunni Shia splinter? Will the Bin Laden's of the world, the 22 23 ideological world, have the say, "no, we need to

ideological world, have the say, "no, we need to unite and fight the far enemy?" Or will they bog down in their own more ideological disagreement?

MR. KEPEL: Thank you. Well, this was

24

25

26

27

more or less what I tried to discuss in this other

- 1 book called, in English, The War for Muslim Minds,
- 2 but which in French was called, Fitna. But, maybe
- 3 American publishers thought that such a word would
- 4 be a catastrophe for sales. And they did not take
- 5 Fitna, but, The War for Muslim Minds.
- 6 MR. BRUMBERG: They might think it has
- 7 something to do with being fit.
- 8 MR. KEPEL: Yeah, well Fitna, fitness, I
- 9 mean, it would sell like hotcakes on Key West, but
- 10 unfortunately.
- 11 And so this Fitna issue is -- is a
- major issue, i.e., the problem with jihad is that
- when it is launched inappropriately, I mean, not at
- the right time, not by the right people, then it
- back lashes against those who have launched it.
- 16 And it's an issue of momentum. It's an
- 17 issue of knowing your enemy and of tactics of
- 18 strategy. And there again, the -- the Iraqi front
- is likely to become something that has to do with
- the Iranians, which is going to be a problem for
- 21 the -- for the Sunnis, for the jihadis, for their
- 22 backers, for the Saudis, for, you know. I mean,
- there is something -- there is something very
- worrying, which is opening now in Iraq.
- I mean, the pandora's box has been
- opened and it's not going to close soon, I think.
- Now, in terms of what are the benefits of this --

- of this jihad or this entire American jihad in
- 2 Iraq. As Zawahiri puts it, and Zawahiri is often a
- 3 rather good analyst of, you know, of geopolitics.
- I mean, I don't say that I agree with him of
- 5 course, but it's always interesting to try to see
- 6 his accounts of things, and the balance of forces.
- 7 And so he made, if I'm not mistaken,
- 8 three infuriated declarations of late. Saying that
- 9 once again, as I mentioned before, the Muslim
- 10 Brothers are the ones who are taking all the credit
- 11 for it. And, you know, we started jihad. We were
- the ones who were managed that there is just an
- antagonism, such a hatred for the west, and for
- 14 Americans, in particular, in the -- in the Muslim
- world, and this is all due to us. This should be
- 16 put to our credit.
- 17 But, you know, what have they done?
- 18 They just opened the door for democratic elections
- and to some extent in Egypt. To a large extent in
- 20 Palestine, to quite an extent in Iraq. And who
- 21 benefits from it, the Muslim Brothers.
- So, you know, jihad, true jihad, is
- 23 being betrayed. And even though this leads to a
- sort of a rising antagonism with the west.
- 25 Nevertheless, traitors have -- have seized the
- opportunity to arise their own standards to the
- 27 detriment of the true jihadis.

1 And they are in a crisis. And hence 2 this attempts to -- maybe to find another -another place than Iraq. Now if you read Dan 3 Pipe's (phonetic) literature, they found it in 4 Europe. You know, "While Europe Slept," and 5 whatever the Pipe's dream cottage industry, which 6 is here and there. 7 And where to read what he writes and 8 9 his associates write, you have the streets of 10 Paris, and the caves of Paris, and the suburbs of 11 whatever, of London, Amsterdam, are filled with 12 jihadist who are going to destroy putrids and 13 decadent Europe, baby-less (phonetic) Europe, and the like, and turn it into the next land for jihad. 14 15 I think this has more do to with 16 fantasy than with reality. But that does not 17 exclude the fact that they are probably nowadays trying to -- not to bet all they have on Iraq. 18 19 Because Iraq is in a way is turning sour. And the 20 stakes are becoming too big for them I would say. 21 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Yes, please. MS. EFRON (phonetic): Sonne Efron with 2.2 23 the Los Angeles Times. I'd like to bring you back 24 if I may to the question of financing al-Qaeda. 25 Has Zargawi to your knowledge tapped into the money 26 that Saddam Hussein had that the Saddam Hussein 27 loyalists presumably still control? And what do

- 1 you make of the strange line in the Zawahiri-
- Zargawi letter exchange about "send money?"
- 3 MR. KEPEL: Send me some cash. Send me
- 4 \$100,000.00. Yeah, um-hmm.
- 5 MS. EFRON: And could you evaluate the
- 6 U.S. strategy, the Treasury Department strategy,
- 7 for trying to shut down the terrorist's assets?
- 8 First of all, do you think it's succeeding?
- 9 And second, do you think that money
- 10 plays a major role in this in continuing the
- 11 struggle? Or is the ideology basically self-
- 12 financing that even for example, if the U.S. or the
- west were to succeed in cutting off the access to
- 14 funding that it wouldn't affect the insurgency?
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 MR. KEPEL: Well, there again, if I were
- in a position to answer your question, I would
- 18 probably be a U.S. Treasury General, or something.
- 19 Unfortunately, I'm not.
- Yeah, I was struck, as you were, by
- 21 this strange line by the end of Zawahiri's letter
- 22 where he's after he sort of chastised Zarqawi and
- said, you know, "kill as many Shias as you want,
- 24 but don't say it, and don't be so blatant, and stop
- 25 this webcam, those webcam executions, it's no fun
- and it's not funny."
- 27 And then finally he says, "by the way,

- could you hand some cash and \$100,000.00 would do?"
- 2 And I did not really know what to -- what to make
- of it. I mean, it would probably as you're
- 4 rightfully suggested, lead to the fact that the --
- 5 they are not denied of resources.
- 6 And the fact that they have -- they
- 7 have joined ranks with the Sunni insurgents, means
- 8 that they have -- they have money, because the
- 9 Saddam Hussein groups or the Sunni brojrauzi
- 10 (phonetic) is not out of funds and has looted the
- 11 country for decades. So all this money has not
- 12 evaporated.
- But, more than that, it's difficult to
- say. I mean, you know, it's a low-cost jihad all
- 15 together. It doesn't cost much. The budget for
- 16 the London bombing was a few thousand guids
- 17 (phonetic).
- 18 And the Madrid operation was in the
- 19 tens of thousands. So this is not of dollars or
- 20 euros, or whatever. So this is not something where
- 21 you need to have, you know, a major money
- laundering. I mean, a semi-wealthy individual can
- be the finance here.
- 24 And this may -- but there again, this
- is only a speculation, but this may be one of the
- reasons why, you know, since 9/11, we haven't seen
- any operation on the same scale. 9/11 needed, you

- 1 know, the preparation for 9/11, needed massive
- 2 money transfers, needed an organization, needed
- anticipation, and the like. Things which, you
- 4 know, on a rather long time, things which may now
- 5 not escape the scrutiny of the many agencies
- 6 worldwide who are into looking at those things.
- 7 And the copycat bombings were on a much
- 8 smaller scale. There are two ways to look at -- to
- 9 compare the 9/11, 3/11 in Spain 2004, and what
- 10 happened in London.
- One, is the sort of optimistic, if I
- may say so, way considering the number of -- of
- victims and casualties. By the thousands in
- 14 America, by the hundreds in Spain, and by the tens
- in -- in Britain.
- 16 So you might, you know, conclude that,
- 17 you know, they are less and less able. But, you
- 18 can look at it the other way around, and this is
- 19 far more problematic because in the U.S. the 19,
- 20 "The Magnificent 19," as that they have it in
- 21 jihadis circles, were all foreigners. I mean, none
- of them was American-born, educated, or whatever.
- They just were thrown into the U.S. just like, you
- know, agents had been in the past.
- 25 In Spain, it was a blend of al-Qaeda
- 26 people from outside and local Moroccan immigrants
- living in Spain who had been, you know, picked up

- 1 more or less at the last minute, which lead to the
- 2 fact that they were not really suicide operations.
- I mean they were the kids left their
- 4 booby-trapped bags on the trains and then left,
- because they had not been trained. Finally, they
- 6 blew themselves up where they were surrounded by
- 7 the Spanish policeman.
- 8 But nevertheless they were locals even
- 9 though they were not nationals, they were locals,
- 10 which is much more (inaudible). And ultimately,
- 11 Muhammad Sidique Khan (phonetic), the lead suicide
- bomber, was the quintessential son of British
- 13 multiculturalism.
- 14 And the, and you'll probably see this
- tape, the sort of jewel tape, that was sent
- 16 afterwards where you have Zawahiri's tape as an
- 17 envelope who gives the sort of geopolitical -- of
- 18 the attack against Britain.
- 19 And then you have within the envelope
- 20 you have a little tape by Muhammad Sidique Khan
- 21 with a thing over his head speaking in a strongly
- 22 accented Yorkshire English, which is his new other
- language, right? And saying, I can't really
- 24 imitate it. And who would I be to dare imitate an
- 25 English accent.
- 26 But, "this is war and I am a fighter,"
- 27 something like that. And which, you know, it

```
doesn't need much cash, but to do that. But this
```

- is probably it's much more problematic. I mean,
- 3 because this is ideological and this is -- this is
- 4 something much more powerful than money.
- If you -- if you have access to -- to
- 6 locals who can -- who can sort of go anywhere. Who
- 7 have identity, in terms of identity cards, and the
- 8 like. Just like, you know, this is one of the
- 9 issues with the converts who are being more and
- more used as the tools.
- 11 And the famous Belgian, Boulonjay (phonetic),
- 12 and the others. And that raises questions also of
- social control. And is one of the debates which is
- 14 raging in Europe today is the -- the Brits have
- their Londonistan model, i.e., at community "was
- 16 under the control of moderates Islamic leaders who
- 17 would report to the police and check that peace and
- 18 order be delivered."
- 19 Leads clearly showed the limits, the
- 20 limitations of this model. The French system has
- 21 no such community thing. And the police and
- security forces have, who have had unfortunately
- 23 more than 20 years experience in this issue, have
- 24 gone much deeper into -- into the movement. And
- 25 this, to a large extent, explains why France was
- 26 spared from 2001. As neocons would tell you that
- 27 it's because we're cowards and we are not in Iraq

- 1 and this is why.
- I don't think so, because we have the
- 3 veil affair, which is even worse than Iraq. And to
- 4 their eyes. But, I guess that this largely because
- 5 -- because the police and the Intelligence Agencies
- 6 have -- have done a far more in-depth work in those
- 7 -- in those groups. And there is far less supports
- 8 in the sort of the wider Muslim community.
- 9 But there again, I mean, I guess that
- 10 the real battle is taking place there. I mean, I
- don't mean in Europe or if it's in Europe, it's not
- 12 lost as Pipe said. It's in the making and I think
- 13 we'll win it.
- 14 But the -- but it's an issue of how we
- win the battle for hearts and minds. And this is
- 16 not only an issue of money. I mean, money is an
- 17 issue as the sort of panic about on the control of
- 18 U.S. ports, as port authority showed, reveal of
- 19 late. But it's not only that.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: We just have
- 21 five more minutes, so I would like three quick
- questions please, and then brief answers from the
- panelists. But, really no comment, just brief
- 24 questions.
- MR. HELF (phonetic): My name is Brian
- 26 Helf from the Institute of World Politics. I have
- 27 a question. You actually mentioned at the end of

- 1 your last statement, "hearts and minds," which has 2 come across your statements abound, "draining the 3 swamp," is another. To what extent should the United States 4 5 be treating this as more of political conflict? The synergy between ideology and politics is self-6 The United States and its alias in 7 8 fighting not only against Zargawi and the Iranians 9 in Iraq, but against terrorism abroad be treating 10 this less as a military conflict, less as an 11 attempt to make friends in the Muslim world, but 12 also -- but instead denigrate the sociopathic 13 ideology of these terrorists. There is a word, I'm 14 sure you're familiar with it, a "herella 15 (phonetic)" the war against society in Arabic. 16 It's one of the greatest --17 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Thank you. 18 going to take -- let's take all the questions 19 together. 20 MR. FRADKIN: Hillel Fradkin, of the 21 Hudson Institute. Let me thank Gilles for a very
- MR. FRADKIN: Hillel Fradkin, of the

 Hudson Institute. Let me thank Gilles for a very

 generous talk. It was two talks. One was on Iraq

 and one was on al-Qaeda. And I got a little

 confused along the way. So, I just want to be very

 clear, although I think you've been clarifying it

 along the way.

As far as Iraq is concerned, things are

27

```
1 very, very bad for the U.S. But, the main
```

- 2 beneficiary looks like it will turn out to be Iran.
- 3 And as a result of which, it's very, very bad for
- 4 al-Qaeda. That it's really terrible for al-Qaeda.
- 5 And on that side, the main
- 6 beneficiaries are, let's put it this way, the
- 7 Salafi (phonetic) wing of the radical movement.
- I want just to be in the first place
- 9 clear, that that's your opinion. And perhaps ask
- 10 you where that goes, perhaps especially in light of
- 11 a thesis I recall a long time ago that political
- 12 Islam was dead, which was had lead or Salafi
- 13 political Islam was dead, and that's what had lead
- to the insurgents of jihadi political Islam.
- 15 Second thing, I just simply want to
- 16 make a comment. You know, you may very well be --
- 17 be correct that -- well, I actually I have another
- 18 question, and then one comment. The question is I
- wasn't quite clear of why you thought the neocons
- 20 would object to the publication of your book. Is
- 21 it that you're publishing the documents? Or is it
- that it's in French, a language they refuse to
- read.
- Lastly, well it may very well be true
- 25 that Pipes is -- is incorrect. Probably, if he
- were, he would -- it's because he has not taken
- 27 sufficiently into account the -- the Intelligence

- 1 services, which you -- in France at least, which
- 2 you mentioned. Nor the good sense of the French
- 3 Intelligentsia.
- 4 And what I recently noticed was their
- 5 response to a plan to try to absorb more of the
- 6 unemployed youth in the suburbs with some new labor
- 7 law. I see that the French students, French
- 8 intellectuals, French labor unions have embraced
- 9 this plan with great enthusiasm.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Last question.
- MS. AL-AMEER: My name is Rasha al-
- 12 Ameer. I'm from the University of Maryland.
- 13 Although very realistic, this division of the word
- between Sunni, Shias, Kurds, Protestant, is a flow.
- 15 It's a vice in the -- in the European and American
- 16 way of thinking the word.
- 17 But to come back to this realistic flow
- 18 and vice, don't you think that the big man, Iran
- that you called, had the right as a Shia identity
- to help his bomb, because on the other hand, the
- 21 Pakistanis, the Sunnis have there's?
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Panelist.
- MR. BRUMBERG: I'll say very quickly
- then leave the final word to Gilles, our quest
- 25 here. I just think that in terms of what we call
- in the states, blow back, this is major blow back
- in terms of Iraq. And it leads to the question of

- 1 what we will do with Iran given the present
- 2 situation.
- 3 And it is interesting to note that our
- 4 own Ambassador sitting in Bagdad has talked about
- 5 six, seven, eight weeks ago, about initiating a
- 6 dialog with the Iranians. Now that comes very late
- 7 in the day.
- 8 But if the analysts that Gilles
- 9 presents suggest anything, it would suggest that
- there has to be some sort of reviewing of our
- 11 approach to the Iranians given the kind of leverage
- they're going have and already have in Iraq. So, I
- just wanted to throw that out as a policy relevant
- 14 observation. And I'll turn the chair over to
- 15 Gilles to help us finish up.
- 16 MR. KEPEL: Thank you very much. I
- don't know how I'll manage with all those
- 18 questions. The last one, i.e., whenever you go to
- 19 Iran, I mean, one thing is clear that even art
- 20 secularists (phonetic) in Iran are the type who
- 21 eats mola (phonetic) every morning for breakfast, a
- 22 sankin (phonetic) cooked in wine.
- Those people will tell you that they
- 24 will die for the Iranian nuclear bomb. Because
- 25 Iran, which is a thousand-year civilization is
- 26 surrounded by lizard-eating Arabs, Pakistani
- 27 bandits, Turkmen peasants, and so on, and so forth.

- So, there is this feeling that if only
- 2 for defensive reasons, Iran has a right to -- to
- 3 have a bomb because of -- among other things. Not
- 4 necessarily because the Israeli's have it, but the
- 5 Pakistani's have it.
- And so I don't know. I mean, we
- 7 recently saw that President Bush offered a nuclear
- 8 deal to India. But, that means that, you know, the
- 9 Indian government is deemed more reliable than the
- 10 Iranian government for the time being.
- But this issue is not going to be
- 12 settled with a "no." There is no doubt about that.
- I mean, the Iranian nuclear issue is something that
- has to be negotiated. And to what extent is it
- possible to have an Iranian civilian nuclear
- 16 system, probably not with -- with the Malauz
- 17 (phonetic). But, in the future, I don't see how he
- 18 could escape it.
- 19 The first -- then there was those very
- 20 complicated questions by Hillel. I have to deal
- 21 with it. What was the first question?
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: The first
- 23 question.
- MR. KEPEL: Yeah.
- 25 MR. FRADKIN: Attacking the idea --
- MR. KEPEL: Oh, with the war, yeah, war.
- Well, I think this is a very interesting, sorry, a

- 1 very interesting issue. Actually, the -- maybe the
- 2 mistake in the west, and particularly in this
- 3 country, after 9/11, was to consider that this was
- a matter of war. And that war was illegitimate.
- 5 And the most efficient answer to the out-surge of
- 6 terrorism.
- 7 The war on terror was something that
- 8 was started in a way to make a link between 9/11,
- 9 Afghanistan, and then Iraq. Because, you know, the
- 10 natural outcome of the war on terror was Iraq. And
- as soon as the Saddam Hussein regime was toppled,
- now we don't hear of the war on terror anymore.
- 13 It's not on the official agenda.
- 14 There was something new that was
- 15 coined, but it didn't work out. Project for the
- 16 whatever. It was something against violent
- 17 extremism. Something like, nack (phonetic) or nade
- 18 (phonetic) or -- . Something that was sponsored by
- 19 -- by the administration.
- 20 But, it's, you know, the fact that with
- 21 such an imminent people as you, we're at pains
- finding the acronym, means that it's not really
- that popular, right?
- So, I'm struggle maybe better for --
- 25 struggle, right? Struggle may be more significant
- 26 than war in terms of the means which are -- which
- 27 are used. Because it's not -- it's not really an

- issue that the military can deal with. It's far
- 2 more complicated.
- 3 And it has to deal also with -- with
- 4 issues within societies for which the military are
- 5 not equipped, including our societies. Definitely
- 6 "The Sleeping Europe," but also the great awakening
- 7 America.
- Now, to -- to go back to -- to Hillel's
- 9 questions. You know, I tried to -- to make an
- 10 assessment of what I thought was happening in -- in
- 11 Iraq. And it may be a non-Euclidian assessment.
- 12 That is to say, where parallels interfere with each
- 13 other.
- 14 The -- I fear that what the Samarra
- 15 bombing -- anyway, the Samarra bombings were
- intended, the way I understand them, to preclude
- the cooption of the Sunnis into the Iraqi's
- 18 political system. That would put an end to the
- 19 American dream to have the good, even the good
- insurgent Sunnis into the system, so that they
- 21 would -- they would cut all ties with Zarqawi and
- 22 Zarqawi would be isolated.
- So, now that the Sunnis are alienated,
- 24 because of the major Shia retaliation, there will
- 25 be no Sunni cooption. And then there is no Zargawi
- isolation from the mainstream Sunni insurgents.
- That's Phase One, I mean, goal number one.

- 1 But then there is an intended or 2 unintended consequence. Is that the more, as the 3 gentleman said, one of the people who mentioned the fact that Sunnis, though a minority, were far 4 better trained, equipped, were rich, and had this 5 feeling of superiority on Shias. 6 I think this is all very -- all very 7 8 true. But, then now that you have Shias mobilizing 9 finally, then significant mobilization of Shias in 10 Iraq, of Shias thinking that the U.S. cannot defend 11 them anymore. And that the U.S. are now interested 12 in having Sunnis in and that they are not reliable, 13 and so on and so forth. 14 That opens an avenue for Iranian 15 interference, which is already extremely 16 significant in the ranks of the -- of the alsedra (phonetic) militia. And also in the Hakeem 17 (phonetic) group. And this may be if you wish a 18 19 semi-unintended consequence. I mean, for -- for 20 the Zarqawi people, what is interesting is that the 21 insurgent Sunnis are not going throw them out now. I mean, they have, you know, they have secured, 2.2 23 they have covered their back on this issue.
- But in doing so, they may have opened the door for -- for a major window of opportunity for the Iranians. Something which at the end of the day will not -- should not be great for the

- 1 Zarqawi people, because then the conflict is going 2 to take another nation, provided the U.S. still 3 stays in the game, which may not be the case. I mean, in terms of physically, staying 4 in the game in Iran. But this is, you know, this 5 is too farfetched to decide as of today. 6 As of your other questions, I was just 7 8 joking of course about the fact that the 9 publication of this book in French would be 10 perceived as another sign that -- by the neocons 11 that the -- the French were really on the bad side. 12 But we know that already. 13 And the -- what you said about the 14 parties are not there the way we see it. And 15 needless to say, this mis-perception is very strong among those who is matters most. 16 17 A related point is contempt in 18 neighborhoods of outskirts of the big cities where we have projects, which are mainly people by 19 families or semi-families, I mean semi-families 20 21 because very usually the fathers are absent.
- More or less like what happens in

 African-American neighborhoods here, where kids

 mostly predominately from African origin whether

 black Africa or north Africa origin, set fires to

 cars in a sort of sacrificial manner, after dusk to

 attract attention on their plight.

- And so this was diversely interpreted.

 Some said that it was the failure of the French

 model of integration. Others, Fox News had it that

 it was Fallujah on the Seine. I think the New York

 Times was the third intifada. And each according
- Dealing with -- my students and I went
 through the blogs that, you know, that insurgents
 or semi-insurgents, Fallujah French insurgents, had
 on the internet. And there was very, very little
 Islam in that. It was -- it was mainly things that
 had to do with respect, with burning -- torching

to his own series of fantasies.

6

13

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

And at times you had a guy who started
with Hondu ela (phonetic) my brothers, don't burn
cars. We have to burn the great evil of empires
French, and whatever. But, then he would be sort
of brushed off by the others who said, "no, sucks
and we don't buy your shit."

more cars than the other city, and the like.

Or you would have another guy, a leftist French, who you would identify because he would write in grammatical French instead of text French. Mixed-up with back-slang and Arabic back-slang and say, "comrades, do not burn the cars of your -- of your brothers in suffering, but go to Nior with the posh neighborhoods and burn the cars of the capitalists." And there again he would be

- "hey, you're a bufunce (phonetic), or dummy, or get

 out," and we don't -- we don't understand the

 language he was talking.
- So, it was -- it was more of a movement that was showing not only social deprivation, but also -- also the feeling of racial and ethnic alienation. Visa vi (phonetic) the mainstream that was -- that I personally interpreted as an attempt to join the mainstream and to join the folds.

That is why there were a number of measures that were taken in order to try to facilitate their access through the job market.

Now the measures -- the government measures that you so facetiously refer to called the CPE or the contrat premiere embauche (phonetic), "the first job," whatever it is called thing, which is now leading to demonstrations, massive demonstrations in France, which is why I fled my country and try to ask for shelter in the U.S.

It was -- it is not directly related to that. It is something that has to do definitely to this problem of having access to the job market for -- for young people, but the vepaun (phonetic) sort of mis-targeted the issue because the students are not pleased because they're convinced that they can have access to a job for life. And that they will not be fired, you know, during when they have their

1	first jobs.
2	And this job thing, this new job thing,
3	allows the corporation or whatever to fire someone
4	within two years without providing any
5	explanations, any justification in order to
6	fluiditify (phonetic) the job markets.
7	The idea would be that, you know, as
8	it's easier to to recruit than, you know, young
9	people will have will break the first lock and
10	then will be kept in the system.
11	But then for the unemployed youth of
12	the projects, who have no qualifications at all
13	usually, this thing does not help because no one
14	wants them on the job market. Whether it be on a
15	temporary basis or a non-temporary basis. So, it
16	has no real relation with with the suburb's
17	thing, much to our regrets.
18	CHAIRWOMAN ESFANDIARI: Thank you very
19	much. We couldn't help, but end with a note
20	regarding France, which was very nice. Thank you
21	very much.
22	MR. KEPEL: It's the center of the
23	world.
24	(Whereupon the entitled-
25	matter was concluded at
26	(time not provided).)
27	