## Identification key to the subfamilies of Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera)

#### **Gavin Broad**

Biological Records Centre, CEH Monks Wood

Current address: Dept. of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road,
London SW7 5BD

### Notes on the key, October 2006

This key to ichneumonid subfamilies should be regarded as a test version and feedback will be much appreciated (emails to <a href="mailto:g.broad@nhm.ac.uk">g.broad@nhm.ac.uk</a>). Many of the illustrations are provisional and more characters need to be illustrated, which is a work in progress. Most of the scanning electron micrographs were taken by Sondra Ward for Ian Gauld's series of volumes on the Ichneumonidae of Costa Rica. Many of the line drawings are by Mike Fitton. Other illustrations are my own work.

### Identifying ichneumonids

Identifying ichneumonids can be a daunting process, with about 3,200 species in Britain and Ireland. These are currently classified into 34 subfamilies (there are a few more extralimitally). Rather few of these subfamilies are reconisable on the basis of simple morphological character states, rather, they tend to be reconisable on combinations of characters that occur convergently and in different permutations across various groups of ichneumonids. This is not to say that ichneumonid subfamilies are unrecognisable. Most subfamilies are easily recognisable by their overall appearance, once a little experience is gained, but this lack of discrete characters for each subfamily results in a long key. Previous keys, such as those of Perkins (1959) and Townes (1969a), have tried to key out subfamilies at single couplets and produced rather unworkable key couplets with many 'ifs' and 'buts'. Wahl's (1993) key to world subfamilies was a great improvement but will still be found to contain grey areas where it is difficult to know if you have chosen the correct half of a couplet. With this key I have tried to rely on rather simple characters (and with a restricted geographical remit) with the result that most of the larger subfamilies will key out in several places. The alternative is long and unwieldy key couplets that attempt to cover all the exceptions.

## Recognition of Ichneumonoidea

The first section of the key separates out the two families of Ichneumonoidea, Ichneumonidae and Braconidae. Gauld & Bolton (1988) and Goulet & Huber (1993) provide good keys to superfamilies and families. Ichneumonoids can generally be recognised by the wing venation (costal cell of the leading edge of the forewing lacking, with veins *Sc* and *R* closely adpressed) and the long, simple antennae. A particularly useful character for recognising ichneumonoids is the membranous sternites, on the venter of the metasoma. Other parasitoid and aculeate groups usually have these as sclerotized as the dorsal tergites. Note that there is an exception within Ichneumonidae, *Agriotypus* has the sternites as sclerotized as the tergites.

# Separation of Braconidae and Ichneumonidae in Britain and Ireland

1 –	Wings absent or reduced (i.e. not projecting beyond base of metasoma	5) 5
_	Wings present and not reduced	
2 –	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> present (Fig.1)	most Ichneumonidae
_	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> -cu absent (Figs 2.5.6)	3




Fig.1 Fore wing, Ichneumoninae



Fig.2 Fore wing, Aphidius (Braconidae)




Fig.3 Metasoma, Ichneumonidae



Fig.4 Metasoma, Chasmodon (Braconidae)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One species (*Sphecophaga vesparum* Curtis) sometimes brachypterous with wings extending to half the length of the metasoma.






Fig.5 Fore wing, Hybrizon

Fig.6 Fore wing, Neorhacodes






Fig.7 Hind wing, Aphidius

Fig.8 Hind wing, Ichneumon





Fig.9 First metasomal segment, Gelis

Fig.10 First metasomal segment, Chasmodon

- Face and clypeus separated by a groove, mandibles sometimes exodont (splayed outwards and not meeting when closed (Fig.12)); 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> tergites usually fused, with two pairs of spiracles on fused tergite (Fig.4), if tergites separate (Aphidiinae) then face and clypeus separate.

  Braconidae<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Flightless braconids in Britain and Ireland can be found in the subfamilies Alysiinae, Aphidiinae, Blacinae, Doryctinae, Orgilinae and Pambolinae.




Fig.11 Face, Orthocentrus



Fig.12 Head, Chasmodon

## Key to the identification of British subfamilies of Ichneumonidae


1 –	Wings absent or reduced (i.e. not projecting beyond base of metasoma')	100
_	Wings present and not reduced.	2
	Fore wings lacking vein 2 <i>m-cu</i> (Figs13-15)	
	Fore wings with vein $2m$ - $cu$ (Fig.16)	





Fig.13 Fore wing, Hybrizon

Fig.14 Fore wing, Neorhacodes






Fig.15 Fore wing, Aclastus

Fig.16 Fore wing, Ichneumoninae

- Clypeus barely wider than high, margin without row of setae; veins not thickened around 2rs-m, cross veins distinct (Fig.15).
   a few Cryptinae<sup>2</sup>






Fig. 17 Clypeus, Tersilochinae

Fig.18 Fore wing, Barycnemis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One species (*Sphecophaga vesparum* Curtis) sometimes brachypterous with wings extending to half the length of the metasoma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Only three species (one of *Aclastus*, two of *Gnypetomorpha*) should key out here.

Tergites 1-3 with granular sculpture and transverse impressions just behind the middle, these 5 – impressions with longitudinal striation (Fig. 19); sclerotized part of 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal sternite not 

Tergites 1-3 lacking obvious sculpture and transverse impressions; sclerotized part of 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal sternite reaching beyond spiracle (cf. Fig.3); mandibles vestigial, lacking teeth 





Fig. 19 Metasoma, Neorhacodes

Fig.20 Mesoscutum, Rhyssa

6 –	Mesoscutum with conspicuous transverse rugae across entire surface (Fig. 20)
-	Mesoscutum lacking transverse rugae
7 –	Occipital carina medio-dorsally absent; fore wing with cu-a joining Cu distal to bifurcation of
	M and Cu; last visible tergite of female produced into a truncate horn
_	Occipital carina medio-dorsally complete; fore wing with $cu$ - $a$ joining $Cu$ at bifurcation of $M$ and $Cu$ (cf. Fig.16); last visible tergite of female slightly extended but not into a truncate
	horn
8 –	Spiracle of 1 <sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite at the posterior third of the tergite; sclerotized part of 1 <sup>st</sup> sternite extending to the posterior third of the segment (Fig.21), sometimes the suture between sternite and tergite obsolete (Fig.22); 1st metasomal segment narrow basally and widened apically

<sup>5</sup> Just one British species (*P. alpestris* (Holmgren)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Just one British species (*N. enslini* (Ruschka)). <sup>4</sup> Often referred to as Paxylommatinae.





Fig.21 First metasomal segment, Ichneumoninae

Fig.22 First metasomal segment, Ophioninae






Fig.23 First metasomal segment, Tryphoninae

Fig.24 First metasomal segment, *Pimpla* 

- Fore wing with or without an areolet, if without then rs-m vein basal to (cf. Fig.26) or opposite vein 2*m*-*cu*, thus discosubmarginal cell not extending beyond vein 2*m*-*cu*......12




Fig.25 Fore wing, Enicospilus




Fig.26 Fore wing, Cylloceria

- Propodeum with some areas defined by carinae (cf. Fig.28), lacking reticulate sculpture; wing veins thickened around vein *rs-m*, 2*rs-m* almost obliterated (cf. Fig.29); clypeus with a fringe of regularly and closely spaced setae on the apical edge (Fig.30), never with a tooth.........

.....a few **Tersilochinae** 



Fig.27 Propodeum, Anomaloninae



Fig.28 Propodeum, Cremastinae



Fig.29 Fore wing, Barycnemis





Fig.30 Clypeus, Tersilochinae



Fig.31 Ovipositor, Agrypon






Fig.32 Mesopleuron, Cryptinae

Fig.33 Mesopleuron, Tersilochinae

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Thymaris* species should key out to the other part of the key at couplet 7 but if not they could be confused with Cryptinae because of the long sternaulus. Metasomal tergite one of *Thymaris* has deep glymmae laterally.







Fig.34 Clypeus and face, Ichneumoninae

Fig.35 Clypeus and face, Cryptinae






Fig.36 Hind wing, Tersilochinae

Fig.37 Hind wing, Ichneumon

16 – Fore wing either with areolet present or, if absent, veins around vein 2*rs-m* normal; clypeus without fringe of setae; tarsal claws pectinate, at least basally (Fig.38).....





Fig.38 Fore tarsal claw, Phrudus

Fig.39 Fore tarsal claw, Megastylus





Fig. 40 Clypeus and face, Ischyrocnemis

18 – Hind tibia with one spur; tarsal claws pectinate (cf. Fig.41); clypeus with an apical fringe of closely spaced, regular setae; fore tibia without a strong apical tooth..... Tryphoninae (Sphinctus)<sup>1</sup> Hind tibia with two spurs; tarsal claws simple (Fig.39); clypeus without a fringe of apical 






Fig.41 Fore tarsal claw, Tryphoninae

Fig.42 Fore tibial tooth

19 –	Hind tibia with one spur; antenna clavate (club-shaped)	<b>Metopiinae</b> (Periope) <sup>3</sup>
	Hind tibia with two spurs; antenna not clavate	
20 -	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> with one bulla (cf. Fig.43)	21
_	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> with two bullae (if bullae hard to distinguish fr	om the rest of the vein,
	their positions indicated by folds in the wing membrane) (cf. Fig.44).	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Just one very rare species in Britain (*S. serotinus*). <sup>2</sup> One very rare species in Britain (*I. goesi*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Because *Periope auscultator* (Haliday) (the only British species in the genus) could conceivably be keyed out either way at couplet 7, it has been accommodated in both halves of the key.




Fig.43 Fore wing, Metopius

Fig.44 Fore wing, Cylloceria




Fig.45 Head, Adelognathus, labrum arrowed

- Maxillary palps not or barely extending to the mid coxae; clypeus uniformly convex or elevated medially as a ridge, not apically flattened; posterior transverse carina of the mesosternum usually complete (Fig.47); female with ovipositor extending beyond the tip of the metasoma; ovipositor sheaths thinner, even on shortest ovipositor at least twice as long as wide; tarsal claws usually pectinate.




Fig.46 Clypeus and face, Oxytorus




Fig.47 Mesosternum (legs removed), Campopleginae

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Adelognathus dorsalis (Gravenhorst) will key out here.

- Clypeus not so transverse, at most about 2x as wide as deep, uniformly convex, lacking a median swelling or thin edge.



Fig.48 Hind tibia and spurs, Campopleginae




Fig.49 Hind tibia and spurs, Cremastinae




Fig. 50 Face with malar suture arrowed, Megastylus




Fig.51 Face, lateral view, Pimpla

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The genera *Gnathochorisis* and *Symplecis* will key out here.



Fig.52 Fore tibia, tooth on outer side, Mesoleiini

Fig.53 Clypeus and face, Mesoleiini

27 – Clypeus wide and flat, truncate apically and weakly separated from the face; labrum usually exposed as a thin strip with long setae (Fig.54); 1<sup>st</sup> tergite with thyridiae often deeply impressed (Fig.56); fore wing stigma usually uniformly coloured, sometimes paler proximally but not sharply differentiated; mandibles usually with the lower tooth shorter than the upper and the mandible twisted; female with ovipositor sheaths stiff and straight.......

most Ichneumoninae




Fig.54 Face and clypeus, Ichneumoninae




Fig.55 Face and clypeus., Cryptinae




Fig. 56 1<sup>st</sup> and <sup>2nd</sup> tergites, *Ichneumon* 




Fig.57 Head, Adelognathus, labrum arrowed



Fig.58 Fore wing, Adelognathus

30 - Hind and mid tibia each with two spurs.
- Either hind or mid tibiae (or both) with reduced numbers of spurs.
31 - Face with carinae delimiting a shield-shaped area (Fig.59) [mid tibia with one spur, hind tibia with two].
- Face without carinae delimiting a shield-shaped area.
32




Fig. 59 Face, Metopius

32 –		one spur; antennae not clavate, all flagellomeres
_	Hind tibia with one spur, mid tibia with	
33	Mesopleuron with sternaulus across at le	east ½ of its length (cf. Fig.60)
Fig.60	Mesopleuron, Cryptinae	Fig.61 First metasomal segment, Oedemopsini
<b>34</b> –	setae Tergite one without glymmae; clypeus v	(Fig.61); clypeus with apical row of regularly spaced
35 – –	Pronotum dorsally with a forwards-proje flagellomeres expanded, much wider that vestigial, separate valves not discernible Pronotum lacking bilobed flange; antenn	ecting, bilobed flange (Fig.62); male with central an long (Fig.63); female with ovipositor tiny,  Eucerotinae (Euceros) <sup>6</sup> nae not medially expanded; female with ovipositor lives discernible
pro	notum	
Fig.62	Pronotum, Euceros	Fig.63 Antenna, Euceros &
<b>36</b> –	with deep glymmae (Fig.65)	nond-shaped) areolet (Fig.64); metasomal tergite one
	pentagonal; tergite one with or without or	deep glymmae38

 $^6$  Confirmatory characters, in combination, fore wing vein 2m-cu with one bulla; clypeus barely separated from face; pronotal epomia absent; submetapleural carina of propodeum expanded into an anterior flange.




Fig.64 Fore wing, Mesochorus

Fig.65 First metasomal segment, Mesochorus

- Fore tarsus with all segments longer than wide, not foreshortened compared to 5<sup>th</sup> tarsomere; malar space shorter, typically as long as the basal breadth of the mandible; female with ovipositor sheaths projecting stiffly (Fig.68); male with parameres elongate, rod-like (Fig.69)

...... most **Mesochorinae** 




Fig.66 Fore tarsus and face, Scolomus




Fig.67 Face, lateral, Scolomus



Fig. 68 Ovipositor and sheaths, Mesochorus




Fig.69 Male parameres, Mesochorus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> One very rare species in Britain (S. borealis (Townes)).

<b>38</b> –	- Female with ovipositor sheaths projecting stiffly (cf. Fig.68); male with parameres	elongate,
	rod-like (cf. Fig.69); tarsal claws pectinate; clypeus not separated from face by a g	roove;
	glymmae near the middle of the tergite; large (wing length ~16mm), pale orange-b	rown
	nocturnal species	(Cidaphus)

- Female with ovipositor sheaths not projecting or thinner, flexible-looking; male with parameres wider than long, usually concealed; tarsal claws often not pectinate; if large, pale orange-red nocturnal species **then** clypeus separated from face by a weak groove, glymmae basal, separated from each other only by a translucent partition, and ovipositor not projecting beyond the metasomal apex.
- **39** Clypeus not separated from face, the whole surface forming a slightly convex (Fig. 70) or bulging (Figs 71,72) surface. 40
- Clypeus separated from face by a groove or transverse impression (cf. Fig.73), the whole surface not strongly bulging......44





Fig. 70 Face, Colpotrochia










Fig.72 Face, Orthocentrus




Fig.73 Face, Banchinae

- 40 Eyes with conspicuous, long setae over entire surface; female tarsal claws with a lobe (cf.
- Eyes bare, or with very inconspicuous setae; female tarsal claws lacking a lobe, sometimes pectinate, otherwise bare (Fig.75).





Fig.74 Hind tarsal claw with lobe, Pimplinae: Ephialtini Fig.75 Hind tarsal claw, Megastylus

**41** – Fore tarsus with 2<sup>nd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> tarsomeres short, obviously foreshortened compared to 5<sup>th</sup> segment (cf. Fig.76), as wide as or wider than long; top of face almost always in the form of a triangular projection between the antennal sockets (Fig.77) or occasionally a transverse ridge (Fig.78); 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite with a pair of strong median longitudinal carinae...**Metopiinae** 




Fig. 76 Fore tarsus and face, Scolomus






Fig.77 Face, Colpotrochia

Fig.78 Face, Stethoncus

- Fore tarsus with all segments longer than wide, not foreshortened compared to 5<sup>th</sup> tarsomere; face with upper edge simple; 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite often without a pair of strong median longitudinal carinae.
- **42** Scape of antenna rather cylindrical, about 3x as long as wide (Fig.79); malar space long (space between eye and mandibles 3-4x as long as the width of the mandible base) and with a






Fig. 79 Scape, Orthocentrus

Fig.80 Scape, Metopiinae

Scape of antenna more globose, from 1-2x as long as wide (Fig.80); malar space shorter (not more than 1.5x as long as basal breadth of mandible) and lacking a suture; mandibles robust, not strongly narrowed apically.



Fig.81 Face, Orthocentrus

Mesoscutum sculptured, notauli vague; metasomal tergite one polished or weakly sculptured; tarsal claws pectinate; both sexes with all flagellomeres longer than wide and face not at all protuberant.
 Ctenopelmatinae (Rhorus)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One uncommon species in Britain (*H. crassicornis* (Gravenhorst)).








Fig.82 Metasoma, Glyptini

Fig.83 Metasoma, Lycorina

Fig.84 Propodeum, Lycorina

- Metasoma without grooves delimiting a triangular pattern on tergites 3-4, at most with grooves cutting off the corners of tergites 2 and 3 with a wide space between them anteriorly, and in these cases with submetapleural carina not expanded anteriorly into a deep lobe.....47
- Metasoma with grooves delimiting a rhombic pattern; submetapleural carina not expanded; fore tarsal claws with fifth segment broader than previous, pulvillus projecting beyond claws, claws with basal lobe; female ovipositor lacking obvious teeth or notch.....

- Metasoma with grooves delimiting a triangular area (Figs 82-83); submetapleural carina expanded anteriorly into a lobe; tarsal claws with fifth segment not broadened, pulvillus not projecting, claws simple or pectinate, not lobed; female ovipositor with dorsal notch or ventral teeth 46
- **46** Metasoma lacking transverse grooves, triangular areas not defined posteriorly and reaching the anterior edge of the tergites (Fig. 82); propodeum with or without posterior transverse carina, sometimes with area superomedia weakly demarked with carinae; metapleuron not produced posteriorly into a 'catch'; female ovipositor lacking obvious teeth and with a dorsal
- Metasoma with distinct transverse grooves and triangular area near the centre of the tergite (Fig. 83); propodeum with most carinae present and with the metapleuron produced posteriorly into a 'catch' that overlies the anterior end of the propodeum (Fig.84, left arrow);
- 47 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite with sclerotized part of sternite extending to at least 0.75 length of 1<sup>st</sup> tergite, with spiracles near or a little before mid-length (Figs 85-87); tergite roughly parallel-




Fig. 85 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal segment, *Agriotypus* 



Fig. 86 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal segment, *Diacritus* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One rare species in Britain (*L. triangulifera* Holmgren).



Fig. 87 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal segment, *Microleptes* 

- 48 -	1 <sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite with sclerotized part of 1 <sup>st</sup> sternite not extending to far behind the spiracle; tergite almost always widened beyond the base, broader, not cylindrical
_	Metasomal sternites (except 1 <sup>st</sup> ) membranous and folded in under the tergites, metasoma
	rather flattened; scutellum lacking spine; thorax not pubescent, any hairs inconspicuous;
	metasoma sometimes with pale bands
<b>49</b> –	Fore wing with obliquely quadrate areolet; metasomal tergites from the 2 <sup>nd</sup> onwards with pale
	apical bands Diacritinae <sup>4</sup>
_	Fore wing with areolet absent; metasomal tergites uniformly coloured
<b>50</b> –	Face, in anterior view, squared-off ventrally owing to sides of face ending ventrally in strong
	'corners' at the mandible base (Fig.88); labrum not visible; antennae on a slightly to strongly
	projecting facial shelf; mandible weakly divided into two teeth or appearing unidentate; hind
	tibia with apical, slanted row of dense setae (Fig.89); 1 <sup>st</sup> tergite with spiracles at mid-point;
	female with ovipositor very short and mostly concealed, with a large, triangular hypopygium,
	almost reaching to metasomal apex (Fig.90)
_	Face, in anterior view, not squared-off ventrally; labrum visible, semicircular; antennae not on
	a projecting facial shelf; mandible strongly divided into two teeth; hind tibia without an apical
	row of dense setae (cf. Fig.91); 1 <sup>st</sup> tergite with spiracles in anterior third; female with
	ovipositor projecting beyond metasomal apex, hypopygium short Orthopelmatinae

<sup>3</sup> One species in Britain (*Agriotypus armatus* Curtis), associated with flowing water, where the female searches for caddis pupae under water.

<sup>4</sup> One, widespread, species in Britain (*Diacritus aciculatus* (Vollenhoven)).








Fig.89 Hind tibia, Microleptes



Fig. 90 Hypopygium, Microleptes





Fig.91 Hind tibia, Pimpla

51 – Hind wing with vein *cu-a* intercepted by *Cu*1 much closer to vein *M* than vein *A* (Fig.92)... 52



Hind wing with vein cu-a intercepted by Cu1 closer to vein A than vein M (Fig.93), or only 






Fig.93 Hind wing, Ichneumon

Fig.94 Hind wing, Cu1 absent

<b>52</b> –	Epicnemial carina absent.	53
_	Epicnemial carina present ventrally and usually laterally (Fig. 92)	55




Fig.95 Mesopleuron, epicnemial carina arrowed

53 – Tarsal claws pectinate; mandible with upper tooth wider than lower tooth and indented so that mandible appears weakly tridentate (cf. Fig.96); fore tibia lacking spines; scutellum usually with a small, apical spine pointing backwards......Banchinae (Banchus and Rhynchobanchus)




Fig.96 Clypeus and face, Diplazontinae



Fig.97 Claw with tooth, Acaenitinae




Fig.98 Hypopygium, Acaenitinae




Fig.99 Ovipositor, Collyria (detail inset)




Fig. 100 1st metasomal tergite, Netelia, glymma arrowed

- Mandibles not twisted, teeth visible when looking face-on; tergite one often with shallow glymmae or lacking them; female with ovipositor with apical teeth ventrally or notch dorsally, or if lacking all features then long and flexible; male with genitalia inconspicuous;
- 57 Clypeus flattened (Fig. 101), and sometimes notched, apically; female hypopygium short, ovipositor with ventral apical teeth (eg. Fig. 103) or fore tibia with an apical tooth (and ovipositor with a notch); 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite often sharply rising medially, with dorsal




Fig. 101 Head, lateral, Pimpla

Fig. 102 1st metasomal segment, *Pimpla* Fig. 103 Ovipositor, *Apechthis* 

Clypeus convex apically, sometimes swollen sub-apically into a ridge; female ovipositor with dorsal notch (Fig. 104) or plain (Fig. 105), hypopygium extending to the metasomal apex or beyond; 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite flat or gently curved dorsally, lacking dorsal carinae; tergite 



Fig. 104 Notched ovipositor




Fig.105 Plain ovipositor

58 – Fore tibia lacking apical tooth; fore wing vein 2*m-cu* with two bullae; female with ovipositor clearly exserted beyond the metasomal tip, with ventral, apical teeth (cf. Fig. 103)..... some **Pimplinae** 

- Fore tibia with a small, apical tooth on the outer margin; fore wing vein 2*m*-*cu* almost always with one bulla; female with ovipositor usually short, not longer than apical depth of metasoma, with dorsal notch (cf. Fig. 104)..... some **Ctenopelmatinae**
- **59** Propodeum with only posterior transverse carina dorsally, or lacking carinae (cf. Fig. 106); areolet large, roughly triangular; female with hypovgium roughly triangular in outline, not extending beyond metasomal apex; female with ovipositor short, not extending more than 2x apical depth of metasoma, with a dorsal notch (cf. Fig. 104); male claws lacking sub-apical




Fig. 106 Propodeum, Banchinae

Propodeum usually with more carinae; areolet absent or small and stalked anteriorly; female with long hypopygium, usually extending beyond the metasomal apex (Fig. 108), if not extending beyond apex, hypopygium narrowed apically or last visible tergite longer than preceding tergite and extended; ovipositor long, extending beyond metasoma by two thirds length of metasoma or more, without notch, sometimes with feeble teeth; male fore and mid claws often with sub-apical accessory tooth (Fig. 107)......some **Acaenitinae** 






Fig. 107 Claw, Acaenitinae

Fig. 108 Hypopygium, Acaenitinae

**60** – Mandible with upper tooth divided, thus appearing tridentate (Fig. 109) [fore tibia without apical tooth, epomia absent]; 1st metasomal tergite often square, sometimes rectangular, 

Mandible with upper tooth undivided, mandible bidentate [if appearing vaguely tridentate then fore tibia with an apical tooth and epomia present (Fig. 110), 1<sup>st</sup> tergite long and narrow]; 






Fig. 109 Clypeus and face, Diplazontinae

Fig. 110 Pronotum with epomia (arrowed)

Tarsal claws not pectinate (but may have basal lobes, or an apical accessory tooth)..........67 Propodeum with submetapleural carina expanded anteriorly into a lobe (cf. Fig. 111)....... 66



Fig.111 Propodeum, Lycorina

- 63 Propodeum with either the posterior transverse carina or no carinae; fore tibial spur long with comb only reaching to half the length (either with twisted mandibles and predominantly pale orange-brown or with straight mandibles, mostly black and female ovipositor with a Propodeum with at least traces of anterior transverse and longitudinal carinae; fore tibia usually with obvious tooth on outer, apical surface; fore tibial spur with comb reaching more **64** – Fore wing vein 2m-cu with one bulla; fore tibia with apical tooth on outer edge..... ......some Ctenopelmatinae Fore wing vein 2*m-cu* with two bullae; fore tibia with or without apical tooth on outer edge

65 –	Clypeus with apical row of evenly spaced setae; fore tibia lacking a tooth; clypeus lacking a
	median notch
_	Clypeus lacking apical row of evenly spaced setae; fore tibia with apical tooth on outer edge;
	clypeus often with a median notch
66 –	Propodeum largely carinate, area superomedia present; mandibles thin, narrowed apically;
	clypeus about as wide as deep, apically slightly flattened and shiny; female: ovipositor with
	dorsal, apical teeth; male: face mostly yellow
_	Propodeum dorsally with only posterior transverse carina; mandibles broader, not narrowed
	apically; clypeus wider than deep, not flattened and shiny apically; female: ovipositor with
	dorsal notch, no teeth; male: face always black centrally, often entirely black except for red
	clypeussome Banchinae
<b>67</b> –	Female: hypopygium long and narrow apically (Fig. 108), extending far beyond metasomal
	apex; male: fore and mid tarsal claws with small accessory tooth (Fig.107); both sexes:
	areolet absent and discosubmarginal cell extending slightly beyond vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> (cf. Fig.25)
_	Female: hypopygium shorter, not extending beyond metasomal apex; male: fore and mid
	tarsal claws lacking accessory tooth, although may have a basal lobe (Fig.112); both sexes:
	areolet present or absent, when absent discosubmarginal cell not extending beyond vein 2m-
	<i>cu</i>

Fig.112 Tarsal claw, Pimplinae

68 –	Fore wing vein $2m$ -cu with one bulla
_	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m-cu</i> with two bullae, or bullae difficult to define as vein has zig-zag at this
	point
<b>69</b> –	Female (if ovipositor not visible, hypopygium is obvious in outline and metasomal apex
	appears to be enclosed in sclerotized tergites and sternites)
_	Male
<b>70</b> –	Hypopygium large, roughly triangular in outline, reaching almost to the metasomal apex (cf.
	Fig. 113); ovipositor very short, not extending beyond the metasomal apex71
_	Hypoygium small, not reaching metasomal apex, not triangular in outline; ovipositor usually
	extending beyond the metasomal apex

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> One rare species in Britain and Ireland (*P. schuetzeanus* (Roman)). <sup>6</sup> One rare species in Britain (*A. albicinctus* Gravenhorst).



Fig.113 Hypopygium, Microleptes




Fig.114 Clypeus and face, Oxytorus

- Propodeum usually with at least traces of longitudinal carinae, never one 'V'-shaped transverse carina; fore tibia with an apical tooth; fore tibia not inflated, posterior transverse carina of mesosternum absent or incomplete; areolet absent or not as wide as long.......74

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> One species in Britain (S. vesparum (Curtis)), a parasitoid of Dolichovespula pupae in their nests.

_	Mandibles straight, labrum not revealed; mandibles stout, not narrowed, lower tooth rarely
	shorter than upper, sometimes longer
<b>76</b> -	Mesosternum with complete posterior transverse carina (cf. Fig.115); areolet 1.5x as long as
	broad (if not closed, 3rs-m indicated by bend of vein); distal abscissa of hind wing vein A (see
	Fig. 116) missing
_	Posterior transverse carina of mesosternum absent or incomplete; areolet absent or not as
	wide as long; distal abscissa of hind wing vein 1A present (Fig.113)






Fig.115 Mesosternum (legs removed), Campopleginae Fig.116 Hind wing, Pimpla vein A arrowed

77 –	Fore tibia without an apical tooth; maxillary palps elongate, extending beyond mid coxae;	
	clypeus subtly flattened apically (Fig.114)	rus)
_	Fore tibia with an apical tooth; maxillary palps short, not or barely extending to the mid	
	coxae; clypeus either uniformly convex or raised sub-apically	78
<b>78</b> –	Scape and pedicel of antenna same size; antenna with 14 flagellomeres; tergite 2 with	
	laterotergite not separated by a crease	us) <sup>9</sup>
_	Scape longer than pedicel; antenna with 16 or more flagellomeres; tergite 2 separated from	1
	laterotergite by a crease most <b>Ctenopelmati</b>	nae
<b>79</b> –	Female	80
_	Male	. 90
80 –	Ovipositor with dorsal notch or featureless	. 81
_	Ovipositor without a notch, with ventral teeth apically, sometimes with a nodus [if the	
	ovipositor cannot be seen as it is small and concealed by the sheaths, go to 87]	. 88
81 –	Ovipositor without a notch, featureless (Fig.117)	. 82
_	Ovipositor with a dorsal, sub-apical notch (Fig.118)	
	1 , 1	






Fig.117 Notched ovipositor

Fig.118 Plain ovipositor

**82** – Fifth tarsal segment expanded, broader than other tarsal segments, with pulvillus projecting beyond claws **or** ovipositor slender, upcurved, projecting well beyond metasoma; claws with basal lobe (Fig. 119)......some **Pimplinae** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> One widespread species in Britain (*A. linearis* Förster).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> One rare species in Britain (*P. nitidus* (Bridgman)).



Fig.119 Tarsal claw, Pimplinae

- 83 Clypeus small, about as wide as long, convex but apex slightly flattened and shiny; mandibles thin and apically narrowed; hypostomal and occipital carinae meet at mandibular base; body mostly punctate; face often covered in long, dense, silvery hairs.......Stilbopinae (Stilbops)
- Clypeus usually wider than long, not apically flattened and shiny; mandibles broad, not
  narrowed; hypostomal and occipital carinae usually meet away from mandibular base; body
  not mostly punctate; face not covered in long, dense, silvery hairs......some **Tryphoninae**
- 84 Ovipositor at least half the length of the metasoma.
  85 Ovipositor much less than half the length of the metasoma.
  87
- 85 First flagellomere long and slender, from 7-10x as long as apically broad; propodeum with only remants of median longitudinal carinae; predominantly black species, often with legs red

  Cylloceriae
- First flagellomere shorter, no more than 4x as long as apically broad, if longer then body not black, legs not red; propodeum with more carinae or only posterior transverse carina.......86
- **86** Mandibles thin and apically narrowed, often with lower tooth much shorter than upper; submetapleural carina of propodeum not expanded anteriorly; malar space with sulcus (Fig. 120); hind tibia with apical comb of setae (Fig. 121)......some **Orthocentrinae**






Fig.120 Head, Megastylus

Fig.121 Hind tibia, Megastylus



Fig. 122 Propodeum, Lycorina

- **87** Mandibles thin and apically narrowed, often with lower tooth much shorter than upper; fore tibia without an apical, distal tooth; small, fragile species...... some **Orthocentrinae**
- Mandibles broad, not narrowed, lower tooth not much shorter than upper tooth; fore tibia with an apical, distal tooth; medium-sized, robust species......Ctenopelmatinae (a few Mesoleiini)



Fig. 123 Fore femur, trochanter, Alomya



Fig. 124 Face, lateral, Alomya




Fig.125 Mandibles and face, Alomya

Areolet absent or present and obliquely quadrate; fore trochantellus present; clypeus without stiff hairs; mandible otherwise

First tergite and sternite separate, shallow glymmae present; with none of the above characters; often with basal lobes on tarsal claws (Fig.126).....some **Pimplinae** 






Fig. 126 Tarsal claw, Pimplinae

Fig.127 Male flagellomeres, Cylloceria



Fig. 128 Propodeum, Lycorina

95 –	Face yellow, rest of body black or dark brown	<b>Cylloceriinae</b> (Allomacrus)
_	Face not yellow (usually brown) or, if yellow, mandible twisted	and lower tooth minute or
	missing; rest of body not black (usually mid-brown)	some Orthocentrinae
96 –	First tergite and sternite fused, glymmae absent; with one of the	following characters: hind
	femur with a large ventral tooth; or mandible with a single tooth	; <b>or</b> frons (above antennal
	sockets) with a median horn/projection	Xoridinae
_	First tergite and sternite separate, glymmae present (Fig. 129); w	ith none of the above
	characters; sometimes with basal lobes on tarsal claws	





Fig. 129 1st metasomal tergite, Oedemopsini

97 - First tergite narrow basally, widened apically; notauli strong, extending to middle of First tergite not markedly narrow basally when compared to rest of tergite; notauli weak or absent......98 98 - Clypeus with an apical row of closely spaced setae; fore tibia without an apical, distal tooth; 5<sup>th</sup> tarsomere not almost as broad as long; no lobes on tarsal claws; fore wing sometimes with a zig-zag bulla in the half of 2*m*-*cu* directly below areolet.....some **Tryphoninae** Clypeus without an apical row of closely spaced setae; fore tibia sometimes with an apical, distal tooth; 5<sup>th</sup> tarsomere sometimes almost as broad as long; sometimes with lobes on tarsal claws; fore wing without a zig-zag bulla in half of 2*m-cu* below areolet........................99 99 – Fore tibia with apical, distal tooth; 5<sup>th</sup> tarsomere not broadened, pulvillus not projecting; no Fore tibia without apical, distal tooth; 5<sup>th</sup> tarsomere sometimes broader than others, pulvillus projecting beyond claws; sometimes with basal lobes on tarsal claws......some **Pimplinae** 100 - Clypeus not separated from the face, whole surface strongly convex (Fig. 130) and with groove-like malar furrow (arrowed); spiracle of 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite at about mid-length Orthocentrinae (Stenomacrus) Clypeus separated from face by a suture, face in profile flat / slightly convex with broader or non-existent malar furrow; spiracle of 1<sup>st</sup> metasomal tergite usually in posterior third 

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One, fairly widespread, species in Britain (A. arcticus (Holmgren)).








Fig.131 1st metasomal segment, Gelis

- Clypeus not more than 1.5x as wide as deep, convex; thyridiae of second metasomal tergite small, not deeply impressed; brachypterous or apterous, male or female................ Cryptinae<sup>3</sup>



Fig. 132 2<sup>nd</sup> metasomal tergite, *Ichneumon* 

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Only some  $\Im$  s of *Ichneumon oblongus* Schrank should key out here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> All three cryptine tribes have brachypterous representatives. Horstmann (1993) provides keys to the genera and species with brachypterous females, Schwarz (1994) provides an updated key to brachypterous females of *Gelis*. Apterous individuals will always belong to *Gelis*, *Thaumatogelis* or *Polyaulon*, most specimens will be found to be *Gelis* species. Schwarz (2001, 2002) keys out females of *Thaumatogelis* and *Gelis*, respectively. Schwarz (1995) keys out the genera with apterous females.

#### Notes on subfamily group names:

As well as a trend towards an increasing number of better defined subfamilies, various subfamilies have been given different names by different authors. The following table lists the names that will be found most frequently in the literature.

Current valid name ACAENITINAE ADELOGNATHINAE	Perkins (1959) Part of PIMPLINAE	Townes (1969-1971)	Wahl (1993)	Others
AGRIOTYPINAE ALOMYINAE ANOMALONINAE BANCHINAE	Part of Ophioninae Lissonotinae	Part of ICHNEUMONINAE ANOMALINAE	Part of ICHNEUMONIN	AGRIOTYPIDAE AE
CAMPOPLEGINAE COLLYRIINAE	Part of OPHIONINAE	PORIZONTINAE		
CREMASTINAE CRYPTINAE CTENOPELMATINAE	Part of OPHIONINAE	GELINAE SCOLOBATINAE	PHYGADEUONTINAE	HEMITELINAE
CYLLOCERIINAE DIACRITINAE	Part of PLECTISCINAE Part of PIMPLINAE	Part of MICROLEPTINAE Part of EPHIALTINAE		
DIPLAZONTINAE EUCEROTINAE HYBRIZONTINAE	EUCERATINAE	Part of Tryphoninae Non-Ichneumonidae	PAXYLOMMATINAE	PAXYLOMMATIDAE
ICHNEUMONINAE LYCORININAE MESOCHORINAE				
METOPIINAE MICROLEPTINAE NEORHACODINAE	Part of PLECTISCINAE Part of LISSONOTINAE	Part of MICROLEPTINAE		
OPHIONINAE ORTHOCENTRINAE	Part of PLECTISCINAE	ORTHOCENTRINAE	A.F.	HELICTINAE (in
ORTHOPELMATINAE OXYTORINAE	Part of PLECTISCINAE	and part of MICROLEPTINA  Part of MICROLEPTINAE	AE	part)
PHRUDINAE PIMPLINAE	Part of PIMPLINAE	Part of EPHIALTINAE		
POEMENIINAE RHYSSINAE STILBOPINAE	Part of PIMPLINAE Part of PIMPLINAE	Part of EPHIALTINAE Part of EPHIALTINAE Part of BANCHINAE		
TERSILOCHINAE TRYPHONINAE XORIDINAE	Part of OPHIONINAE			

### References

- Horstmann, K. 1993. Revision der brachypteren Weibchen der wespaläarktischen Cryptinae (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). *Entomofauna* 14: 85-148.
- Perkins, J. F. 1959. Hymenoptera. Ichneumonoidea. Ichneumonidae, key to subfamilies and Ichneumoninae 1. *Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects* 7(2ai): 1-116.
- Schwarz, M. 1994. Beitrag zur Systematik und Taxonomie europäischer *Gelis*-Arten mit macropteren oder brachypteren Weibchen (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 26: 381-391.
- Schwarz, M. 1995. Revision der westpaläarktischen Arten der Gattungen *Gelis* Thunberg mit apteren Weibchen und *Thaumatogelis* Schmiedeknecht (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Teil 1. *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 27: 5-105.
- Schwarz, M. 2001. Revision der westpaläarktischen Arten der Gattungen *Gelis* Thunberg mit apteren Weibchen und *Thaumatogelis* Schwarz (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Teil 4. *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 33: 1111-1155.

- Schwarz, M. 2002. Revision der westpaläarktischen Arten der Gattungen *Gelis* Thunberg mit apteren Weibchen und *Thaumatogelis* Schwarz (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Teil 3. *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 34: 1293-1392.
- Townes, H. K. 1969a. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 1. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 11: 1-300.
- Townes, H. K. 1969b. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 2. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 12: 1-537.
- Townes, H. K. 1969c. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 3. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 13: 1-307.
- Townes, H. K. 1971. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 4. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 17: 1-372.
- Wahl, D. B. 1993. Key to subfamilies of Holarctic and Neotropical Ichneumonidae. Pp. 396-509 in: Goulet, H. and J. T. Huber, eds. *Hymenoptera of the world: An identification guide to families*. Agriculture Canada, Ottawa.