## GLEBE HILL SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

PARCELS 1-4
GLEBE HILL ESTATE


As amended
October 2006


## Cover - Precinct Plan, Figure 2, page 9

Above - Indicative development of streets, parkland and private conservation consistent with the Glebe Hill Site Development Plan. Refer Figures 4 and 9b, pages 13 and 23.

## CONTENTS

1. Application ..... 7
2. Aims of the Glebe Hill Site Development Plan ..... 7
3. Site Development Plan Structure ..... 7
4. Precincts ..... 8
5. Development overlays ..... 16
6. Circulation and traffic environment ..... 20
7. Infrastructure ..... 22
8. Staging ..... 22
APPENDICES
A. Glebe Hill Outline Development Plan Map ..... 26
B. Plans for Subdivision ..... 27

## FIGURES

Figure $1 \quad$ Planning area, Glebe Hill Site Development Plan ..... 6
Associated planning documents
Figure 2 Precinct plan ..... 9
Figure $3 \quad$ Precincts and subdivision ..... 11
Figure 4 Indicative development of parks, private conservation area ..... 13 and local centre
Figure $5 \quad$ Private conservation hill top lot ..... 14
Conservation and fuel management
Figure 6 Development Overlays on Private Lots Plan ..... 17
Figure 7 Indicative development, lots adjacent to parks ..... 19
Figure $8 \quad$ Conservation and Hazard Management, Private ..... 21
Conservation Lot
Figure 9 Road Hierachy ..... 23
Plan, indicative development of roads, streets and places and typical sections
Figure 10 Staging ..... 25

Figure 1

## PLANNING AREAS

## SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN PARCELS 1-4


EXTENT OF ASSOCIATED PLANNING DOCUMENTS

$\square$ Extent of Glebe Hill Outline Development Plan
A Site Development Plan applies

Parcels are as identified in the Glebe Hill Outline Development Plan, refer Appendix A.

## 1. APPLICATION

The Glebe Hill Site Development Plan (Parcels 1-4) forms part of Amendment A2005/11 to the Eastern Shore Planning Scheme 1963.

Development and land use within the Parcels 1-4 Planning Area (Refer, Figure 1) is to be consistent with the Glebe Hill Site Development Plan, including essential infrastructure, amenity and conservation works, subdivision, land management and some aspects of development and occupation of lots.

This site development plan overrides the provisions of the Eastern Shore Planning Scheme 1963 to the extent of any inconsistency. Where the Site Development Plan is silent the provisions of the Eastern Shore Planning Scheme 1963 apply.

## 2. AIMS OF THE GLEBE HILL SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

(a) To provide clear direction for development on Glebe Hill above Pass Road;
(b) To facilitate development and land use on Glebe Hill consistent with conservation of natural systems and landscape values of Glebe Hill, associated lower slopes and the Clarence Plains Rivulet;
(c) To facilitate development undertaken in accordance with best practice for efficient use of resources and of infrastructure; pedestrian friendly residential areas including a central area detailed for pedestrian convenience; and, responsible hazard minimisation;
(d) To provide effective physical, circulation and usage links between Clarendon Vale - Rokeby, City of Clarence suburbs on the eastern side of the Meehan Range and Greater Hobart.

## 3. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN STRUCTURE

## Zones

This Site Development Plan assumes that the applicable zones for the land the subject of this Site Development Plan are as identified in the Outline Development Plan at Appendix A.

## Precincts

Allowable land uses are determined by reference to Precincts which are an additional level of control to the zones in the Eastern Shore Planning Scheme 1963. Generally land uses and development within each precinct are as specified in Section 4 of the Site Development Plan.

## Development overlays

Where an overlay applies to a lot, specific requirements guide or control how development will be carried out. The overlay requirements are an additional level of control to the zones and precincts.

## Provisions of services, roads and open space

Requirements are as laid out Sections 6-7 of this Site Development Plan.

## Staging

It is proposed to integrate subdivision in the area of the Parcel 1-4 area with subdivision of Parcel 9 , refer Section 8.

## 4. PRECINCTS

The precinct in which a lot is located determines what the land can be used for and controls some aspects of development. Landuses and development can be specified in two different ways.

## Acceptable Solutions for Use and Development (Permitted)

Where a proposed use or development is consistent with any other planning requirements in this Site Development Plan including any relevant overlays (Refer Section 5) and the relevant requirements of the Scheme, it is to be treated in accordance with clause 5.3.1 of the Scheme and approved subject to reasonable conditions. The Acceptable Solutions contained in this Site Development Plan are in addition to the permissible uses and development in the Planning Scheme.

## Performance Criteria (Discretionary)

The performance criteria allows Council to approve applications for landuses and development that do not meet the Acceptable Solutions. If Council determines that the application complies with the intent of that precinct or nominated performance criteria an application may be approved, subject to reasonable conditions in accordance with clause 5.3.2 of the Scheme. The performance criteria are in addition to the discretionary uses and development in the Scheme. Where Council determines that an application is not consistent with the intent for that precinct or performance criteria the application may be refused.

Commercial and Special Purposes Precincts (forms part of Parcel 4)

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A central area immediately adjacent to the parkway supports uses of community or public benefit, including initially a shop/café <br> and childcare centre. |  |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| General Office up to $150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ gross floor <br> space, Neighbourhood Shop, Shop. | Other uses which Council determines are of demonstrable community or <br> public benefit. |
| Subdivision consistent with proposed <br> subdivision plan at B1. | Residential Development which is not consistent with the provisions of the <br> scheme where the building form, street address and associated parking are <br> configured to allow the building to be readily used for commercial purposes, <br> e.g. terraces. |

## Mixed Uses - Residential Precincts (forms part of Parcel 4)

## Intent

These precincts are located in a low speed pedestrian-focused local centre. In these areas flexible development is encouraged to accommodate the changing requirements of a local centre. Buildings and spaces should be capable of supporting a wide range of residential and urban centre uses, including housing suitable for elderly residents and very young families. Flexible use buildings are required and terraces, shop-houses are encouraged.

| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Neighbourhood Shop, Shop and General Offices up to 30 m 2 gross floor space, <br> Restaurant up to 30 m 2 gross floor space or Takeaway up to $30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ gross floor <br> space | Other uses which are of demonstrable <br> community benefit or innovative forms of <br> housing. |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Buildings capable of supporting a range of uses including at a minimum <br> residential and commercial and combined residential/small office which have; <br> Prominent entry at front of building; <br> Frontage within 3 m of front boundary; <br> Windows suitable for display; <br> Separate toilets towards front of building; <br> Telephone and other services readily accessible to facilitate upgrades; <br> Separation of front section and an area suitable for residence. <br> Subdivision consistent with proposed subdivision plan at B1. | Buildings which can otherwise demonstrate <br> that they are capable of supporting a <br> range of uses including residential and <br> commercial and combined residential/small <br> office. |



Commercial and Special Purposes
Forms part of Parcel 4
$\square$ Mixed Uses - Residential
Forms part of Parcel 4


Local Centre Residential
Forms part of Parcel 4
Residential
Forms part of Parcel 4
Glebe Hill Park and Incidential Open Spaces
Parcel $2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{~b}$ and 2 c
Private Conservation Lot
Parcel 1
$\square$ Reservoir
Parcel 3

Figure 2
GLEBE HILL SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN
PRECINCT PLAN

## Local Centre Residential Precincts (forms part of Parcel 4)

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area suitable for independent elderly and other households wanting to limit walking and driving, located in the mid and outer <br> sections of the Local Centre or areas along the park more suitable for higher densities. |  |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Retirement Village which means land containing more <br> then 2 dwellings used or occupied by the elderly and may <br> include communal buildings and facilities. | Other uses consistent with residential use by independent <br> elderly people and others. |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Subdivision consistent with proposed subdivision plan at <br> Appendix B1. | Subdivision to provide for small lots of $400 \mathrm{m2} 2$ <br> accommodate multiple dwellings up to 2 m anits; able to <br> Subdivision to provide for small lots of $400 \mathrm{m2}$ - 750 m2 able to <br> accommodate multiple dwellings in excess of 2 units provided <br> that no more than 5 units are accessed from one entrance |
| Parking in locations shown in the park in figure 4. <br> Refer to Glebe Hill Park and Incidental Open Space <br> Precinct; | Public purpose parking which council determines contributes to <br> the urban character and microclimate of the Local Centre; |

## Residential precincts (forms part of Parcel 4)

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Development suitable for standard density residential, in pedestrian friendly road and open space setting. |  |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Subdivision consistent with proposed subdivision plan at <br> Appendix B1 | Nil. |
| Buildings consistent with any Overlay that apply to that lot, <br> refer Figure 6 and Figure 7. | Buildings of an alternative design that meets the intent of the <br> Residential Precinct and the intent of the relevant Overlays. |



SUBDIVISION CONSISTENT WITH PRECINCT
(Parcels 4, 2a, 2b, 2c and 1)

Glebe Hill Park and incidental open space (Parcel 2a, 2b, 2c)

| Intent |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| To provide a pedestrian friendly Public Open Space. <br> To provide a local meeting area and garden within the Local Centre. <br> Potential hazards minimised by views in from passing traffic and adjacent houses. <br> To function as a modest water harvesting and water treatment component as part of a composite Glebe Hill surface water strategy for the whole of the west face of Glebe Hill. |  |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Public Recreation, and Public Utilities in accordance with Figure 4. | Other uses consistent with accepted use and complementing them in terms of security and microclimate. |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Public Access Parklands and Gardens Generally in accordance with Figure 4. | Public Access Parklands and Gardens which provide: <br> - comfortable microclimate in all seasons; <br> - Defined play areas, limited open area sport facilities; <br> - Paths providing convenient pedestrian network which links parts of Upper Glebe Hills to the Local Centre. |
| Surface water conditioning works which means a piped stormwater collection system that mitigates against negative environmental effects on the downstream watercourse, where the works also create a parkway landscape feature and detail consistent with minimising hazard. | Nil |
| Parking in location area shown in Figure 4 to be shaded by trees in summer, be attractive to look at with midtone paving, preferably porous. | Public purpose parking which council determines contribution to urban character and microclimate of the Local Centre |

## Glebe Hill Bushland Parkway (Parcel 2)

## Intent

To provide a pedestrian friendly Public Open Space,
To provide accessible open space to enioy views and appreciate natural values
To function as a modest water harvesting and water treatment component as part of a composite Glebe Hill surface water strategy for the whole of the west face of Glebe Hill.

## Acceptable Solutions (Permitted)

Public Recreation and Bushland Management which means works consistent with conservation of bio-diversity values and bushland rehabilitation, including: ground works, collection of seeds and propagation
material, seeding planting, mulching, watering wed and waste material, seeding, planting, mulching, watering, weed and waste removal separate approvals from other authorities) or all work und take in accordance with a Bushland Management Plan accepted by Counci.

## Acceptable Solutions (Permitted)

## Public Access Bushland within a Conservation Management Regime

 Walking trails and paths providing convenient pedestrian network which Winks parts of Upper Glebe Hils to the Local Centre- Fire hazard reduction work:
- Interpretation signs;

Bushland conservation works consistent with a Bushland Management Plan accepted by Council for this land.

Surface water conditioning works that create a parkway landscape feature and detail consistent with minimising hazard.

Performance Criteria (Discretionary) Other uses consistent with accepted use and complementing them in terms of security and microclimate.

Performance Criteria (Discretionary)
Public Access Bushland within a
Conservation Management Regime:
Other activities or development consistent with conservation, enjoyment by the public and management of fire risk;
Defined play areas, limited open area sport facilities.

Glebe Hill Bushland ParkwayPrivate Conservation Precinct
The tone shading shows building location and indicative fire hazard management

Figure 5
GLEBE HILL SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN SKYLINE PRIVATE CONSERVATION FIRE HAZARD MANAGEMENT AND
CONSERVATION CONTROLS

## Private conservation precinct (Parcel 1)

This lot requires a specified departure from the Skyline Zone to allow for residential development. Together with the adjacent Bushland Parkway an area effectively equivalent to 20 ha of bushland is brought into conservation management with managed transitions at boundaries with residential areas.

- Use of land within this precinct for agricultural uses is prohibited.

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Effective conservation of threatened species on the Glebe Hill achieved by complementary public access conservation area <br> and private conservation areas. <br> Limited residential use, conservation and fire hazard to be managed. <br> Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |  |
| Single dwelling in accordance with the Building Envelope <br> at Figure 5 with the final siting of the dwelling and <br> fire zones to be determined in consultation with the <br> Threatened Species Unit. | Single dwelling with a variation to the building envelope at <br> Figure 5, where this is consistent with the intent of this precinct. |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) |  |
| Subdivision consistent with Fig 5 subject to: |  |
| The entering into of a conservation covenant or |  |
| part V agreement (LUPAA) requiring the lot outside |  |
| the house site, building protection zone, fuel |  |
| management zone and access road to be retained |  |
| in perpetuity in its natural state and managed to best |  |
| maintain the conservation values as prescribed in a |  |
| vegetation management plan. |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- |
| Land management in accordance with the vegetation <br> management plan and generally in accordance with Fig 8. |

## 5. DEVELOPMENT OVERLAYS

Overlays apply as outlined in Figure 6.

### 5.1 Lots adjacent to Future Eastern Outlet, Pass Road or the entry from Pass Road

Lots alongside major roads can be experienced as noisy environments. Generally changes in level will screen residents of Glebe Hill from noise from the future freeway and distance minimises noise from the existing Rokeby Road.

The Department of Infrastructure, Energy and Resources requires that there is limited access from the subdivision onto adjacent roads, commonly this leads to a 'fenced freeway' appearance and limited surveillance of freeway.

## Intent

Ensure noise abatement for lots adjacent to major roads and to do so in ways compatible with retaining options for natural ventilation of residences.
Encourage attractive visual presentation of individual residences and the emerging suburb when viewed from adjacent arterial roads and the entry into Glebe Hill.

| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Setback | Alternative measures to attenuate noise assessed by Council |
| Residential Development is to be set back 10 m from |  |
| boundary with Future Eastern Outlet, (Rokeby ByPass) | as consistent with responsible use of existing infrastructure <br> capacity. |
| Pass Road or entry from Pass Road. | Alternative visual presentation assessed by Council as providing <br> adequate privacy and an appearance comparable acceptable <br> development. |
| All lots to be created with a Part V agreement requiring <br> installation and maintenance of a fence or hedge or | later |

ence set in 3 m from boundary to road and unfenced area planted with trees and shrubs (the developer is to plant at least 3 shrubs or trees in the area 3 metres from the boundary with the road) to give a screen.

Fence to provide access for maintenance and to be of a presentation (non-utilitarian) appearance, lapped, capped or with upper section of lattice or growing armature for shrubs. Fences permitted to 2100 mm where area above 1800 mm height provided for climbers or planting; OR,
Hedge a dense fast growing low flammability hedge on armature - to reach 1800 mm within 3 years.

Performance Criteria (Discretionary)
Alternative measures to attenuate noise assessed by Council as consistent with responsible use of existing infrastructure capacity.

Alternative visual presentation assessed by Council as providing development.


Overlay 5.1: Lots Adjacent to future Eastem Outlet, Pass Road or the entry from Pass Road Lots where overlay applies: 37-38; 43-51; 56-59; 61-66; 69-82: 86-97: 198-199. 201


Overlay 5.4: South sloping lots
Lots where overlay applies: 78-123


Overlay 5.2: LotsAdjacent to Glebe Hill Park, Incidental Parkways and Walks, or adjacent to Glebe Hill
Lots where overlay applies: 98; 100-109; 121-123; 126
128; 143; 222-223; 232-234; 237-239; 245-247; 254; 263
264; 255-259; 278-284
Note: Additional or alternative areas of parks, open design stage of subdivision and this overlay will be applicable to lots adjacent to any future parks, open space
or incidental walkways) or incidental walkways)


Overlay 5.5: Hazard Minimization Applieson lots adjacent to natural bush land only
Lots where overlay applies: $98 ; 100$-109; 121-123; 233 234; 237-238; 245-246; 274-284


Overlay 5.3: Midslopes and more elevated lots Lots where overlay applies: 71-97; 124-134; 144-147;
178; 191-195; 220-227; 247-260; $264-267 ; 288-292$ Elevated areas
applies: 98-123; 138-143; 228 246; 261-287

## Figure 6

5.2 Lots adjacent to the Glebe Hill Park, Incidental Parkways and Walks or adjacent to the Glebe Hill Bushland Parkway (Upper)
areas of parkland or walkways can be dangerous and isolated if there is ititle informal surveillance which can discourage egitimate use. While parks and walkways have been located to provide informal surveillance from roads, overlooking from adjacent houses and gardens is desirable. This needs to be provided in a way that does not make the residences more vulnerable ot theft.

Intent
Provide informal surveillance of the parkland.
Provide security for owners adiacent to prkland
Provide an attractive presentation address to the parkland.

## Acceptable Solutions (Permitted)

Development consistent with Figure 7, demonstrating Overviewing second storey windows provided clos AND Front garden appearance to
boundary and towards the park
Yards elevated above adiacen 1350 mm height inside and 1800 mm outside Fence or wall Presentation (non-utilitarian) appe paling, capped or with upper section of latice or growing armature for shrubs. Fences permitted to 2100 mm wher area above 1800 mm height provided for climbers of planting. Lockable door or gate to the park. Walls face brick or rendered with planted or decorated upper section AND

解 area, in accordance with the scheme

Glazing windows and any other glazing and fencing are requrd to be consistent with current Tasmanian guidelines for prevention of birdstrike.

## Performance Criteria (Discretionary)

Alternative way to provide overviewing of the park without providing views into the residence from the park.

## Fence or wall

Alternative fence providing a balance of surveillance, privacy and presentation.

Figure 7
INDICATIVE DEVELOPMENT, LOTS ADJACENT TO PARKS


### 5.3 Midslopes and more elevated lots

These lots are potentially visually prominent. They could contribute to an appearance of a more treed area. Controls generally reflect visual prominence plus consistency in applying to adjacent properties.

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gentle visual transition to Meehan Range bushland landscape to the west including the conserved bushland in both the <br> Bushland Park and the Private Conservation Area. |  |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Visible surfaces of houses in midslope area ie walls and <br> roofs to be finished in natural colours in midtone shades <br> or darker. | Other means of reducing visual prominence including additional <br> use of shading, breaking the visible surface into smaller areas, <br> colours and tones. |
| Houses in elevated area (refer Figure 6 overlay) to have <br> mid-dark toned surfaces on walls and roofs and shaded <br> walls. |  |
| In both areas a landscape plan is required to accompany <br> any application showing some vegetation similar in colour <br> ty native bush screening walls with notations showing <br> retention of views and sunlight from windows, balconies <br> and decks has been considered. |  |

### 5.4 South sloping lots

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ensure adequate sunlight for passive thermal comfort performance of the residences on south sloping lots. |  |
| Acceptable Solutions (permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Part $V$ agreement on title requiring any house constructed, <br> renovated or extended to have thermal performance <br> consistent with 5 star performance under NatHERS and 4 <br> hours or sunlight into living areas on June 23 and shading <br> of living areas during summer. | Building designs with thermal and solar performance assessed <br> by Council as consistent with intent of this overlay. |

### 5.5 Hazard Minimisation

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Building design and land management to be consistent with current best practice to minimise fire hazard |  |
| Acceptable Development (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Subdivision. Part $V$ agreement on titles requiring level <br> of management of the area between the residence <br> and the adjacent bushland area to be consistent with <br> TFS Guidelines for Building in Bushfire Prone Areas as <br> interpreted for slope and management of parkland by the <br> TFS. | Landscape plan accompanying building application to show <br> vegetation density and profile consistent with satisfactory <br> performance as assessed by model for bushfire attack at the <br> slope, considering level of Building Construction Protection of <br> the proposed residence bushfire SAA No where the modelling is <br> done by or acceptable to the Tasmanian Fire Service. |
| Landscape plan accompanying building application to <br> show ultimate vegetation density and profile consistent <br> with TFS requirements |  |

Figure 8

## CONSERVATION AND HAZARD MANAGEMENT PRIVATE CONSERVATION LOT

This Figure 8 applies to the private conservation precinct. The requirements of Figure 8 are mandatory. Performance criteria in Overlay 5.5 could be applied only to reduce the area of disturbance consistent with the final footprint of the proposed residence.

| HUMAN HABITATION AREA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) |  |  |
| Fire Management requirements |  |  |
| Level of protective construction may be specified | Building Protection Zone (refer to subdivision plan at B2) | Fuel Management Zone (refer to subdivision plan at B2) |
| Urban character, garden character, weed management |  |  |
| House and immediate surrounds. <br> Visual character for the settlement: colours patterning of wall finishes, height of residences and any outbuildings specified | Isolated trees, paving, low lawn, or isolated areas of ground cover. <br> Structures as required and consistent with minimising, re risk and visual character of settlement | Tree canopy, natural ground cover. Mid storey removed or isolated. <br> Clearing as required/ authorised Land Management Trust. Limited paving and garden structures consistent with visual character of settlement |
|  | Exotic plants if contained for visual impact and to stop invading bushland. Use of indigenous plants encouraged. | Local native plants |
|  | No exotic plants if known to be or become apparent as environmental weeds | Weed auditing/ management required |



## 6. CIRCULATION AND TRAFFIC ENVIRONMENT

A hierarchy of road types was established with reference to TasCORD but with more explicit emphasis on a pedestrian friendly local area and to be consistent with the current requirement for legal requirement that all local streets to be 50 kph and the best practice requirement that driver speeds are $40-30 \mathrm{kph}$.

The Glebe Hill design development has been underpinned by the explicit intention of creating a low traffic speed environment, signposted at 50 kph but with road design cues for driving speeds of 40 kph on connectors and 30 on local streets. Allowing for a bus route provides the structure for the road system. The pedestrian system was based on linkages through the linear park to the local centre.

## Connection to Pass Road

| Intent | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To break road speed as drivers approach entry into the subdivision and provide as safe as practical a pedestrian link from <br> Glebe Hill to the retail development (Parcel 5) and parkway along Clarence Plains Rivulet (10, 11, 12). |  |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Alternative connection which slows traffic and is acceptable to <br> Council and DIER. |
| Roundabout and slip lane on Pass Road. | Alternative entry configuration which slows traffic and is <br> acceptable to Council and DIER. |
| Divided road entry into Glebe Hill, over threshold at each <br> end and trees used to exaggerate perspective. | Nil |
| Provision for a reduced service bus-stop at Pass Road <br> entry to Glebe Hill. |  |

## Bus route

| Intent | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To provide for a bus-service while providing driver cues for low traffic environment |  |
| Accepted Solution (Permitted) | Alternative connection which slows traffic and is acceptable to <br> Metro, Council and DIER. |
| Road 11 m wide and bus stops set 1 m into nature strip. |  |
| Pedestrian refuges along bus route. | Alternative entry configuration which slows traffic and is <br> acceptable to Council and DIER. |
| Generally in accordance with figure 9. | Location of route to allow for 400 m maximum walk to the bus <br> route. |
| Provision for a reduced service bus-stop at Pass Road <br> entry to Glebe Hill. | Nil. |

## Road hierarchy

| Intent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| To provide for a readily understood tier of traffic cues. <br> To provide ready access for maintenance to services. <br> To provide opportunities for street trees and landscape incidents to supports streets being pedestrian friendly. <br> Sightlines to be consistent with safety of vehicle pedestrian interactions and provide informal surveillance of nature strips to <br> discourage opportunistic crime. | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | Alternative road hierarchy which slows traffic and is acceptable <br> to Metro, Council and DIER. |
| Road hierarchy generally in accordance with Figure 9. |  |

## ROAD HIERACHY




TYPICAL ACCESS STREET CROSS SECTION


## Emergency egress

## Intent

To provide for at least one alternative egress should the main entry be unavailable

## Acceptable Solutions (Permitted)

Performance Criteria (Discretionary)
One short-term exit through POS to detail acceptable to Alternative exit acceptable to Metro, Council and DIER.

Pedestrian Routes

Intent
To provide a network of pedestrian routes that encourages people to walk and a significant area with grades and pedestrian routes suitable for less mobile residents to go to shops and park

| Acceptable Solutions (Permitted) | P |
| :--- | :--- |

Central parkway crossed by paths to link to adiacent streets.

Paths to be generally located with views into the path from passing traffic.

Strong alternative surveillance provided by overviewing from

Pedestrian parkway crossing of main road, defined at each end by pedestrian refuges and bend for drivers.

Alternative detail acceptable to Council.

## 7. INFRASTRUCTURE

nfrastructure provision was assessed early in the design of the Glebe Hill subdivision and has been addressed by Thompson and Brett in their report.

## 8. STAGING

Subdivision within the area of the Glebe Hill Site Development Plan is to be integrated with subdivision of the Grange Road East area, to take advantage of existing water capacity up to
70 m contour.

Intent
Orderly development consistent with responsible use of existing water capability

| Accepted Solution (Permitted) | Performance Criteria (Discretionary) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staging generally in accordance with Figure 10, Proposed <br> Staging. | An alternative staging assessed by Council as consistent with <br> responsible use of existing infrastructure capacity. |



Figure 10
GLEBE HILL SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

## GLEBE HILL OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN



| Parcel | Function | Estimated Yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Single Dwelling |  |
| $2,2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{e}$ | Public Open Space |  |
| 3 | Reservoir Site |  |
| 4 | Residential | 257 lots (approx 299 potential households) |
|  |  |  |
| 6 | RetirementVillage | Approx 87 potential households |
| 7 | Residential | 42 lots (approx 66 potential households) |
| 8 | Residential | 36 lots (approx 57 potential households) |
| 9 | Residential | 119 lots (approx 189 potential households) |
| $10,10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{IL}$ | Public Open Space |  |




