

3

MISSION STATEMENT TO ADMINISTER JUSTICE, REHABILITATE JUVENILES, **SUPPORT** AND STRENGTHEN FAMILIES, AND **PROMOTE** PUBLIC SAFETY.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARCHITECTURAL RENDERINGS	
FROM THE COVER	2
MISSION STATEMENT	3
OPEN LETTER	6-8
JUDGES	9
OFFICE LOCATIONS	10-11
FISCAL	12
JUVENILE DETENTION SERVICES	13-16
Accreditation13 Population13	
Medical Services14	
Educational Services14	
Living Units15	
Mental Health Services16	
Religious Services16	
Staff Training16	
COURT SERVICES	17-19
Clerk's Office17	
Diagnostic Clinic17	
Community Diversion Program (CDP)18	
Drug Court & Docket Initiatives18	
Information Services19	
Intake10	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROBATION SERVICES	20-27
Behavioral Health Juvenile Justice20	ŕ
Community Service/Restitution Program20-21	
Court Coordination Program22	
Court Unruly Program22-23	
Cuyahoga Tapestry System of Care23	
Multi-Systemic Therapy Program23-24	
Placement Aftercare25	
School Based Probation26	
Strategic Planning and Grants Management. 27	
Youth and Family Community Partnership 27	
STATISTICS	28-42
NOTES	43

Mary J. Davidson, Editor

## **OPEN LETTER**

To: The Citizens of Cuyahoga County

The Honorable Thomas Moyer Chief Justice, The Supreme Court of Ohio

The Honorable Jimmy Dimora
The Honorable Timothy Hagan
The Honorable Peter Lawson Jones
Commissioners of Cuyahoga County

The Honorable Thomas Stickrath
Director, Ohio Department of Youth Services

From: The Honorable Joseph F. Russo, Administrative Judge

**Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court** 

The Year 2008 can probably be described as a year of partnership and collaboration. Never in recent times has the Court more persistently endeavored to join forces with national, state and local entities in an effort to address juvenile gang activity, mental health needs and successful re-entry into our communities.

Together with the United States Department of Justice and the United States District Attorney for the Northern District of Ohio, the Court initiated several successful interventions and programs to address gang activity. In cooperation with the Probation Services Department, the Court established Operation Night Light. This program organizes and trains police officers from the City of Cleveland and Court probation officers to work as a team, visiting youth on probation who are at high risk of becoming involved in serious delinquent gang activity. Visits occur randomly at night to ensure youth are safe, observing curfew and fulfilling probation requirements. In addition, referrals to community services available to the youth and family are made to assist these juveniles complete probation terms successfully.

## **OPEN LETTER**

Operation Focus is another joint effort involving probation youth, local police, community partners and families. This unique program provides an opportunity for at-risk and probation youth to hear from representatives of their local communities that gang and delinquent behavior have severe negative consequences and will not be tolerated. However and perhaps most importantly, these youths are also presented with an opportunity to make a positive choice in their lives. Summer employment, part-time jobs, and the availability of many social services are presented at the Operation Focus meetings to give both youth and families the assistance needed to truly make a major, positive change in their lives. The partnership amongst our local communities makes Operation Focus a very powerful tool in the efforts to reduce gang violence and crimes in the neighborhoods of our county.

Under the leadership of Judge Kristin W. Sweeney, our Mental Health Court conducted its first docket on January 7, 2008. Modeled after our successful Juvenile Drug Court, the Mental Health Court meets weekly to specifically target youth with significant mental health needs and encourages cooperation with treatment providers to successfully deal with the medical, emotional and social issues affecting the population.

Our partnership efforts also extend to the Ohio Department of Youth Services. In 2008, the Court and the Ohio Department of Youth Services combined to implement a highly successful Girls Step Down Project. This program identifies female offenders at state institutions who are able to be "early released" from those facilities. Local residential providers in combination with other wraparound services are put in place to allow juveniles a successful transition back to their home and communities. This program has helped to achieve an eighty percent (80%) reduction in female commitments to state institutions from Cuyahoga County.

Another promising collaboration with the Ohio Department of Youth Services involves the Re-Entry Court. Begun in the fall of 2008, our Re-Entry Court attempts to bring close supervision and job training opportunities to juveniles who are offered an early release from state institutions. Regular and frequent hearings before the Re-Entry Court magistrate take place to help guide these juveniles to successful family, community and social relationships and reduce recidivism.

## **OPEN LETTER**

I am pleased to report that throughout 2008, the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court participated in a careful study of Disproportionate Minority Contacts statistics, helping us to identify areas where this Court may be able to intervene. Beginning next year, this Court will initiate a program to specifically target minority parent referrals to Juvenile Court in an effort to address disproportionality in this segment of our daily operations.

I am pleased to report that through continuous and diligent contacts with community resources, our Detention Center residents are able to receive street law programming in conjunction with volunteer law students from Case Western University Law School. Our yearly Detention Center social climate study, conducted with the able assistance of our local Citizens Advisory Board, shows continuous improvements as well.

Looking ahead to 2009 and beyond, the new Juvenile Justice Center, scheduled to open in 2011, is progressing steadily. Excitement builds as our staff sees this new edifice take shape.

Lastly, I want to personally congratulate my colleague, Judge Thomas F. O'Malley, who will take the reins as Administrative Judge in 2009. The Court will be well served under his able supervision and leadership.

Along with the report of our achievements, I hereby submit, in compliance with the Ohio Revised Code Section 2151.18, the 2008 Annual Report of the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court concerning the number and kinds of cases before it, their dispositions, and other data pertaining to the work of the Court.

Respectfully,

Honorable Joseph F. Russo

Administrative Judge, 2008



## **JUDGES**



Bottom Row (Left to Right) Judge Kristin W. Sweeney,
Judge Peter M. Sikora and Judge Alison L. Floyd.
Top Row (Left to Right) Judge Patrick F. Corrigan,
Judge Thomas F. O'Malley and
Administrative Judge Joseph F. Russo



## **OFFICE LOCATIONS**



## **COURT LOCATIONS**

### **Main Court**

2163 East 22nd St.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115

(216)443-8400

## **Metzenbaum Building**

3343 Community College Ave.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115

(216) 698-6545

## Walter G. Whitlatch Building

1910 Carnegie Ave.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115

(216) 443-8400

## **Administration/Court Services**

## **Annex Building**

2210 Cedar Ave.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115

(216) 443-8401 or 8402

## **Detention Center**

#### **Detention Center**

2209 Central Ave.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115

(216) 443-3300

## **OFFICE LOCATIONS**



#### **INTAKE AND PROBATION OFFICES**

Bellefaire 2201 Fairmount Blvd.

Building H

2nd Floor,

Shaker Heights, Ohio 44118 (216) 932-3682

Berea Municipal Court 11 Berea Commons Berea, Ohio 44017 (440) 891-5965

Day Reporting Center 3343 Community College Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 443-3130

East Cleveland 15815 Euclid Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44112 (216)851-3019

Special Services 1910 Carnegie Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 443-8424

Garfield Heights Municipal Court 5555 Turney Road
Municipal Court Building
2nd Floor,
Garfield Heights, Ohio 44125 (216) 518-3332

Multi-Systemic Therapy/School Based 3343 Community College Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 443-5003

<u>Lakewood</u> 12650 Detroit Ave. Lakewood, Ohio 44107 (216) 521-0334

Near West 4115 Bridge Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 281-1630

Central East 3343 Community College Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 443-3433

Southeast 21100 Southgate Park Blvd. Maple Heights, Ohio 44137 (216) 663-6583


Southwest and Far West 5361 Pearl Road Parma, Ohio 44129 (216) 749-1200

University Circle 10605 Chester Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44106 (216) 795-3497

Placement/AfterCare Unit 3343 Community College Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 443-5844

# FISCAL 2008 General Fund Expenditures

	Admin	istration	Court Services	Ser	ourt vices HS	Ser	Court vices IV-D		etention ervices	Detention Services HHS	Probation	Probation HHS		Total
Salaries	\$	1,366,103	\$ \$6,381,625	\$	416,430	\$	2,072,949	\$	7,067,654		\$ 4,677,236		\$ :	21,981,997
Fringe Benefits		567,460	2,504,852		162,036		973,723		2,805,514		2,019,238		\$	9,032,823
Commodities		48,196	26,676		6,626		4,412		532,669	4,901	26,377		\$	649,857
Contracts/ Services		158,378	2,981,487	2	,164,386		8,036		452,246	2,389,144	1,030,917	375,108	\$	9,559,702
Controlled Expenses		6,020,141	90,752		1,101		760,862		1,811,156		2932		\$	8,686,944
Other Expenditures		821,357	271,555		93,137		78,792		123,354		177,879	2,711,695	\$	4,277,769
Capital Outlay		1,923	68,360		-		1,242		16,937		16,534		\$	104,996
Total	\$	8,983,558	\$ 12,325,307	\$ 2	,843,716	\$	3,900,016	\$ <sup>^</sup>	12,809,530	\$ 2,394,045	\$ 7,951,113	\$ 3,086,803	\$	54,294,088



Detention Services manages the care of all juveniles in the secure detention continuum, including the Detention Center, Shelter Care, and Home Detention. During the year 2008, the Detention Center had an average daily population of 176 juveniles, Shelter Care had an average daily population of 55 juveniles, and Home Detention monitored an average daily caseload of 118 juveniles.

#### ACCREDITATION

During 2008, the Juvenile Detention Center continued to adhere to the national standards required for national accreditation status, which was initially awarded January 22, 2207 by the American Correctional Association (ACA) Commission on Accreditation for Corrections. This accreditation confirms compliance with over 400 national standards, addressing general administration, staff training, physical plant, safety and security, juvenile rights, food service, health care, admissions, and resident programming.

In addition to meeting standards, the accreditation confirms that the Detention Center provides safe, secure, and humane care for the residents, which is challenging when the population routinely exceeds rated capacity.

National auditors will return September 2009 to assess continued compliance with the standards of re-accreditation.

## **POPULATION**

Detention Admission Screening Officers score all juveniles arrested by law enforcement for admissions to secure detention using the Detention Admission Screening Instrument (DASI). The Admission Screening Unit started a new procedure of scanning and e-mailing police reports to the Assistant County Prosecutors. They are available around the clock to improve efficiency in the admission process of juveniles after business hours.

In 2008, the Court and Board of County Commissioners jointly established the Youth and Family Community Partnership to locate out-of-home placements for Juvenile Detention residents who formerly were placed at the now closed county Youth Development Center.

Home Detention Officers provide electronic monitoring surveillance 24-hours-perday, seven-days-a-week, so violations can be addressed as they occur. Technology now allows the electronic monitoring of juveniles who do not have landlines. In late 2008, the Juvenile Court added active Global Positioning System (GPS) Unit surveillance, in order to track the whereabouts of juveniles in real time.

Numerous Detention staff have made significant contributions to the implementation of the new *i*CASE system. This new court-wide management information system is paperless and has enabled the Detention front office to decentralize responsibilities to the Home Detention and Shelter Care units.

Felicia Hawkins was recently recognized at the Annual Public Service Merit Awards ceremony for her long career as an outstanding public servant and in particular, for her work as the Court's Detention Population Coordinator.

#### **MEDICAL SERVICES**

The Detention Center contracts with the MetroHealth Nursing Department to provide on-site nursing services for residents, 24-hours-per-day, seven-days-a-week. Staffing includes one Managing Registered Nurse, one other Registered Nurse, and seven Licensed Practical Nurses. A Physician or Nurse Practitioner is also on-site for four hours every weekday.

### **EDUCATIONAL SERVICES**

The Downtown Educational Center is located within the Juvenile Detention Center and the residents attend the school on-site. The Cleveland Municipal School District provides a principal and teachers and residents receive school credits for academic work completed. They also provide an After-School Tutoring Program. In addition, the Juvenile Court provides a Summer School Program for residents. This makes available continued educational opportunities on a reduced school day when the regular school year of the Cleveland Municipal School District has concluded due to Summer Recess.



## **LIVING UNITS**

The Detention Center residents are visited by a number of community volunteers who provide programming in the areas of life skills, substance abuse awareness, health and hygiene counseling, anger management, and conflict resolution. The following Volunteer Organizations are some of the many who work with residents on a regular basis:

- The Golden Ciphers facilitate discussions on life skills and drug prevention.
- The Stella Maris Community Center addresses drug and alcohol abuse issues.
- The Free Clinic and Project Safe both educate residents about the Human Immune Deficiency Virus (HIV) and the Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS).
- Bounce Back Brotherhood promotes the importance of Community Re-Introduction.
- A licensed occupational therapist leads an art program for female residents.
- The Gospel House provides motivational speakers and bible study.
- The Jewish Community Federation provides a tutoring program to help residents improve their literacy levels.
- The Murtis H. Taylor Multi-Service Center provides pre-GED and remedial education for residents returning to the community.
- The International Men of Excellence speak on life skills, anger management, and youth development.
- Boys and Girls Club provides a series to male residents called Passport to Manhood which encourages the importance of responsibility and education.
- Grief and loss counseling is provided monthly by Counselor Kellie Binczyk for the female residents.
- John Carroll University students mentor residents regarding life skills via the University's Community-Based Learning Center for Service and Social Action .

#### MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

The Cuyahoga County Community Board of Mental Health contracts with Catholic Charities to provide Mental Health Services for residents. Independent Licensed Social Workers are on-site forty hours each week and are on-call after hours to assist with any mental health crisis. A Psychiatrist is on-site 12 hours each week. They provide evaluation, counseling, psychotropic medication referral and monitoring, and crisis intervention, including referrals for hospital admissions.

### **RELIGIOUS SERVICES**

Reverend Ralph Hughley provides religious counseling and services to the residents through a contract between the Court and Lutheran Chaplaincy Services. He serves the religious needs of residents of all faiths and, when needed, makes arrangements with appropriate community ministries. He also provides residents with grief counseling related to family deaths and conducts special holiday services, complete with dinners served by Religious Services volunteers.

## **STAFF TRAINING**

All Juvenile Detention employees and contract staff receive annual mandatory Core Competency training that includes Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation and Automatic External Defribulator training, Standard First Aid training, Blood-borne Pathogens and Communicable Disease training, Emergency Response training (suicide prevention and intervention), Crisis Prevention Institute Verbal De-Escalation and Unarmed Self-Defense training, and Fire Extinguisher training. Those staff who directly supervise residents receive additional training for a total of 40 hours annually, in accordance with American Correctional Association Accreditation standards. All newly hired direct service staff receive forty (40) hours of pre-service orientation training (PSOT) and 120 hours of On-the-Job training, before assuming their responsibilities.



## **COURT SERVICES**

The Court Services Department is responsible for all the legal and clerking functions necessary to ensure that the court hearing processes are executed in a timely, comprehensive, and efficient manner.

The Department has many units. In addition to the six elected Judges to whom all of the cases filed with the Court are assigned, there are twenty-four full time Magistrates. Together, the jurists resolve the delinquency, unruly, juvenile traffic, custody, support, visitation, dependency, abuse, neglect, parent-child relationship, and waiver of parental notification matters that are filed.

### **CLERK'S OFFICE**

The Clerk's Office is responsible for the preservation and maintenance of all documents filed in every action or proceeding initiated in Juvenile Court. The Office of the Clerk accepts motions and pleadings for filing, records the fact of the filing, performs service, and forwards the filing to the assigned jurist for consideration.

All filings for all matters except delinquency cases began to be electronically scanned this year. All case types will be imaged by the beginning of 2009 to further support the Court's goal of being paperless.

## **DIAGNOSTIC CLINIC**

The Clinic's psychologists perform high quality forensic mental health evaluations on youth and/or families who have various matters pending before the Court. Fifteen clinicians, numerous interns and fellows, and two psychometrists completed six hundred seventy-nine evaluations this year, a 33% increase over the past two calendar years. As a result of increased efficiencies, evaluations regarding youth in secure detention are now available for the Court within thirteen calendar days.



## **COURT SERVICES**

### COMMUNITY DIVERSION PROGRAM (CDP)

This Court supported community based sanction program diverts first time misdemeanor and status offenders from official court action. The program permits communities to tailor treatment and penalties to fit the needs of the individual juvenile and the concerns/safety of the community, as well as offering youth the opportunity to avoid an official juvenile court record. The main goal of the CDP is to make a juvenile's first offense, his last offense.

The Court refers eligible candidates for diversion to the appropriate CDP. There are fifty programs serving fifty-three communities in Cuyahoga County.

The Family and Children First Council (FCFC), awarded a special grant to the Court for the first ever comprehensive evaluation of the Community Diversion Program. A private vendor has been selected to determine what is working well in the Community Diversion Programs and to recommend improvements and potential service enhancements. The evaluation includes surveys of participating juveniles, parents, community representatives, the CDP and Court staff. A detailed analysis of participant recidivism rates will also be conducted. The final report is scheduled to be released in May, 2009.

## **DRUG COURTS & DOCKET INITIATIVES**

The Delinquency Drug Court is a voluntary program for non-violent youth who are substance abusers. Drug Court requires participants to attend regularly scheduled court hearings, meet with a case manager multiple times a week, and submit to random drug screens. Participants are also required to attend treatment. Graduated rewards and sanctions are utilized as juveniles move through the multiple phases of the program.

The Family Drug Court operates similarly to the Delinquency Drug Court but the participants are parents whose children are alleged to be abused, neglected or dependent and the parents are at risk of losing custody of their children because of drug dependency. The intensive program is designed to reduce the time that a child may have to spend in placement while the parent receives treatment for their substance abuse addiction.

## **COURT SERVICES**

During 2008, the court piloted two additional specialized dockets - Mental Health Court and Re-entry Court. The Mental Health Court focuses on youth who if not for their mental health status would most likely not be involved in the court system. Through collaboration with the Cuyahoga County Tapestry System of Care, the youth and families involved in this docket are able to receive community-based supports that enable them to stay in their homes, address their mental health issues, and reduce the possibility of further Court involvement.

The Re-entry Court is designed to address the needs of youth returning to the community from Ohio Department of Youth Services (ODYS) institutions. Identified youth who have been or are at high risk for gang involvement are selected. The specialized docket provides needed support and intensive supervision through joint efforts with ODYS, Catholic Charities, Justice Affairs and other county agencies. Education, employment, and life skills opportunities are provided in an effort to maintain youth safely in their communities.

### **INFORMATION SERVICES (IS)**

Over 600 case management information system users are provided training, direct desktop, and network support by Information Services staff. They also produce standard and ad hoc data and statistical reports.

In January of 2008, a new state-of-the-art information system known as *i*CASE "went live" with the abuse, neglect, dependency, support, and private custody dockets. *i*CASE is accessible via the internet and will eventually support a totally paperless Court environment. The delinquency and unruly case types are scheduled to be added to the new system in early 2009.

## **INTAKE**

Intake receives referrals from law enforcement, parents, citizens, agencies, etc. and reviews them to determine probable cause and jurisdiction of alleged delinquent and/or unruly acts. Intake officers are responsible for making appropriate determinations to officially file or divert cases and prepare and process complaints. Intake officers hold mediation hearings and informal hearings to resolve bypassed matters. They also make appropriate referrals to the Court's Unruly Program, which allowed for the diversion of sixty-three percent of unruly actions in 2008.

## BEHAVIORAL HEALTH JUVENILE JUSTICE

In the spring of 2006, the Court and its systems partners, the Cuyahoga County Community Mental Health Board, the Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services Board of Cuyahoga County and the Family and Children First Council, were awarded a grant by the State of Ohio to provide intensive services to female offenders with co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse diagnoses. Since 2006, the Placement Aftercare Unit of the Probation Department identified and supervised over seventy (70) females with co-occurring disorders. The services provided to this historically underserved population are: Wraparound Case Management, Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST), In-Home Integrated Co-occurring Treatment, Intensive Outpatient Treatment, Short-Term Inpatient and Residential Treatment. Court Placement Aftercare Coordinators also provided an intensive level of Court supervision for these offenders. Of the over 70 youth served in this program since its inception, none have been committed to Ohio Department of Youth Services (ODYS).

## **COMMUNITY SERVICE/RESTITUTION PROGRAM**

Developed in 1986, the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court's Community Service Restitution Program was designed to provide a positive intermediate sanction giving youth an opportunity to make amends for harm done to the victim and the community. The program encourages juvenile probationers to take responsibility for their actions, and can be used as a court-ordered dispositional alternative. Probation Officers may also use community service as a sanction. Additionally, youth are provided the opportunity to pay restitution to victims.

In 2008, there were 1,940 court ordered community service referrals. There were 1,213 successful referrals, with a carryover of 573 referrals to the following year of 2009. These probationers were assigned to over 225 service sites throughout Cuyahoga County, consisting of nursing homes, churches, museums, hunger centers, Boys and Girl's Clubs, libraries, county and municipal agencies, as well as recreation centers and parks to complete their community service orders. Community service activities have included gardening, painting, litter collection, greeting the public at special events, and interacting with senior citizens by reading and assisting with crafts. Community service special projects have been publically acknowledged by the news media, including events occurring in various communities such as Lakewood, Parma, and Cleveland Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA) Estates.

The Program has partnered with the Cleveland Metropolitan Housing Authority, in which youth living in CHMA Estates provide community service on their sites. The Program has 18 gardening sites which are also located throughout the county.

Non court-ordered community service referrals included 293 youth referred to the Probation Suspension Program, as well as 37 referrals from the Drug Court, and 36 referrals from the Traffic Court. The total number of community service referrals in 2008 was 2,411, an increase of 9% compared to the previous year.

Every Saturday, an average of 21 youth participate in community service activities with several full-time and part-time staff. A total of 194 youth completed community service hours on Saturdays. The average number of community service hours per youth was 40 hours. In 2008, there were 51,200 community service hours completed by juvenile probationers in Cuyahoga County, an increase of 2% from year 2007.

The Probation Department has continued with success to use the Probation Suspension Program, in which youth suspended from school up-to-four days are required to participate in community service projects and The Day Reporting Program. There was an increase of 28.5% youth referred to the Probation Suspension Program. The mandatory one-day community service for all youth placed on probation has continued with an 86% success rate.

Probationers are also referred to the Restitution Program, in which youth are required to perform community service, reimbursing victims up to \$400 for monetary losses incurred. A total of \$26,904 has been collected since the time of inception of the program in 2006. The average restitution order has been \$230.



#### COURT COORDINATOR PROGRAM

In 2008, the Court continued to participate in a grant from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) for court coordination. The Court Coordination Program examines whether leveraging the authority of the juvenile court can facilitate the coordination of services for youth who require the attention of several agencies. Although this was something the Court was already actively involved in through the County Service Coordination Team, the grant has allowed us to expand capacity by adding a second Probation System Navigator (PSN). The Court's two PSN's help multi-system involved families negotiate, simplify and unify case plans from the Court and other agencies that can at times be at odds with one another. Our second Navigator works with the new Mental Health Court and also helps identify youth for Cuyahoga Tapestry System of Care (CTSOC).

#### **COURT UNRULY PROGRAM**

The Court Unruly Program involves use of service providers to provide an in-home assessment and individualized service plan and case management services for all youth whose unruly cases have been diverted from formal Court action by the Court Intake Officers.

The Court has learned that the following objectives are essential to any successful youth unruly diversion program:

- Be family-centered; driven by the needs of the youth and their families, and built on strengths of the family.
- Empower parents to take responsibility for the needs of their families and support and enhance the parent-youth relationship, while recognizing that youth in this program are best served through diversion from formal Court processing.
- Be comprehensive and holistic, using a wraparound approach to meet the youth and family's most critical needs, and developing a continuum of resources.
- Strengthen the ability of the participating youth and their families to help themselves.
- Be available and accessible to the youth and families, using a variety of private, community and personal resources to create the best use of services.

The Court Unruly Program is divided into three components.

- Component 1 includes the in-home assessment, Individualized Service Plan (ISP) and vendor recommendation of level of case management service.
- Component 2 includes case management services for all low risk/low need youth and families, as determined by their assessment and ISP.
- Component 3 includes case management services for all high risk/high need youth and families, as determined by their assessment and ISP.

### **CUYAHOGA TAPESTRY SYSTEM OF CARE**

Cuyahoga Tapestry System of Care (CTSOC) began with a grant application to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) for the development of "Project Tapestry", written and submitted by the Cuyahoga County Community Mental Health Board (CCCMHB) and the Family & Children First Council (FCFC) on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) in the spring of 2003. In April 2007, Cuyahoga Tapestry System of Care expanded and began accepting two specific Juvenile Court populations: Youth charged with domestic violence in the Detention Center or Shelter Care and youth identified by a jurist as being at imminent risk of removal from the home. CTSOC provides "High Fidelity" Wraparound to this select group of court-involved youth and their families. The goal of a System of Care "Child and Family Team" is to work together, in the family's neighborhood, building supports and addressing needs using a strengths-based approach.

## MULTI-SYSTEMIC THERAPY PROGRAM (MST)

The Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court Multi-Systemic Therapy Program has been in existence for over 11 years. The program employs seven therapists, one manager and one assistant manager. The assistant manager supervises 3 MST staff and carries a case load of 3 clients. The MST manager supervises 4 therapists and the assistant MST manager. The program serves over 120 youth and families per year and has an 87% success rate.

The MST Program is a unique, goal oriented, comprehensive treatment program designed to serve youths with multiple problems in their communities. MST is a community based treatment program that has been the focus of cost effectiveness for youth with complex emotional, social and academic needs.

MST utilizes a home-based model whereby therapists provide intensive services within the family's home, school and community. Therapists are available 24- hours- perday, seven- days- a- week. The average length of treatment is between 2-5 months and is intensive, often involving multiple contacts with families and other participants several hours per week. Each MST team has a supervisor and an expert consultant from Multisystem Therapy Services, Inc. in Charleston, SC, who consults weekly on all cases.

MST is the most effective in helping youth with chronic, violent delinquent behavior and youth with serious emotional problems which also could include truancy and academic problems, aggressive behaviors, criminal behaviors, drug and alcohol use, and negative peer involvement.

Families can expect the MST therapist to provide a variety of different services. These services include, but are not limited to: family therapy, individual therapy, chemical dependency assessment and treatment, peer evaluation and coordination of pro-social activities and other services/systems of care, and arrangement of psychiatric consultation and medication management services.

MST provides youth/families with a complete assessment of the youth with emphasis placed on their family system, school and community. The MST therapist focuses on understanding the "fit" of the child's/family's issues and how to best resolve them. In addition, MST focuses on assisting parents in building support systems and social networks within their community and empowers them to address their family's needs more effectively. A heavy emphasis is placed on long-term change that families can maintain after the program ends.

MST was developed at the Family Service Research Center at the Medical University of South Carolina and only utilizes treatment strategies that are supported by research.

## PLACEMENT AFTERCARE

Residential Placement is out-of-home therapeutic placement for youth needing the most intensive level of services. All of the facilities used by the Court are licensed by the State of Ohio and are staff secure, providing intensive counseling and supervision 24-hours- a- day, 7- days- a- week. Youth placed in residential treatment are typically youngsters who have not adjusted well to community-based services.

Removing a youth from his/her home is always a difficult decision. The Court's goal is to work with youth and their families in the least restrictive environment and to only place a youth out of home after community-based resources have been exhausted.

Services in placement include but are not limited to:

- Individual, group, anger management, sex offender, chemical dependency, and family counseling.
- Mental health care, psychological and psychiatric evaluations and monitoring.
- Medication evaluation and monitoring.
- Educational services including special education, GED preparation and vocational education.

Upon discharge, the youth and family are provided with Court Aftercare supervision. Aftercare supervision is an intensive level of supervision that gradually gives the youth more freedom and responsibility. Aftercare planning starts even before the youth is placed. During the early part of Aftercare, the youth and family have weekly contact with a Court Placement/Aftercare Coordinator and are also involved with other specialized counseling specific to the needs of the youth and family. By the end of Aftercare, the contact with Court and system representatives is monthly and the family and youth have built community supports and resources outside of the system. The hope is that these new supports and resources will prevent further system involvement in the future.



#### SCHOOL BASED PROBATION

In May 2003, the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court's Probation Department received a Project Neighborhood Safe Grant through the U.S. Bureau of Justice Assistance, in conjunction with Ohio Criminal Justice Services to fund a School-based Probation Violent Offender Project. The project involved a collaborative effort with the Cleveland Municipal School District (CMSD), the Cleveland Police Department's First and Sixth Districts, and the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court. After the grant period ended, due to the success of the program, the project was sustained by Reclaim Ohio funding.

The Probation Department has placed a staff person referred to as a School-based Liaison (SBL) in the following Cleveland Municipal School District (CMSD) High Schools: Glenville, John Marshall, East High and Martin Luther King Jr. (MLK). There will be upcoming expansion in other high schools in the near future.

The intent is to provide intensive probation supervision within the immediate environment of juvenile offenders attending these schools, sharing information with school and law enforcement officials.

Probationers are seen on a daily basis. With a goal of increasing parental involvement, the School-based Liaison will participate when necessary in probationers' Individual Educational Plans and Individual Behavioral Plans. The SBL may become involved with school social workers in pro-social skill group sessions. The expectation is to reduce recidivism, reduce truancy, and assist youth in developing positive pro-social skill behavior.

School-based Liaisons work closely with the Cleveland Police Department especially with respect to youth- at- risk and youth absconding. Probation Staff have received special training in order to work effectively in this collaborative Project Safe Neighborhood endeavor.

The School Based unit will also be participating in a specialized gang initiative with the Cleveland Police Department whereby probation and the police will collaborate together to conduct evening curfew checks several times per week with probation youth. Probation staff and Cleveland Police were trained in Boston in October, 2007 where they learned how to complete curfew checks and work in collaboration with other systems.

## STRATEGIC PLANNING AND GRANTS MANAGEMENT

Although an integral part of the Probation Department, the Strategic Planning and Grants Management unit works with all departments of the Court, managing program planning, grants, contracts and invoicing at the Court. As Court personnel look to bring new best-practice programming to youth, or to enhance current programs, Grants Management staff develop the grant documents and programming, and then oversee all the grant data and reporting requirements. In addition, when the Court is looking for community-based service providers, the Grants Management unit pulls together key Court personnel to manage the Request for Proposal process.

In addition, Grants Management monitors programs to ensure contract compliance and program fidelity, working closely with service providers to ensure program referrals, service delivery and invoicing is smooth and efficient. Monitoring programs also involves data collection and analysis of program usage and program effectiveness; which is key when considering continuation of youth programs.

Lastly, the Grant Management staff oversee the Court Unruly Program and the ODYS Population Management program. Both programs work to maximize Court resources in order to minimize Court involvement for youth diverted from formal Court action or, respectively, sentenced to the Ohio Department of Youth Services.

## YOUTH AND FAMILY COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP

After many years of service to the youth and families of Cuyahoga County, the Youth Development Center in Hudson, Ohio closed on December 15, 2008. Throughout 2008 the Court, Cuyahoga Tapestry System of Care (CTSOC) and the Board of County Commissioners partnered to ensure that youth needing out-of-home placement continued. Beginning on 11/3/2008, the Court began placing youth in the Youth and Family Community Partnership (YFCP) program. Youth referred to YFCP are placed in local residential treatment centers and are assigned to Court Placement Aftercare Coordinators (PAC). The PAC supervises the youth in placement ensuring that they receive services and engages the family to make certain that they stay involved throughout the course of their child's treatment. Youth and their families are also referred to CTSOC. CTSOC assigns a care coordinator who provides wraparound services that address the needs of the youth and family. During both placement and aftercare the PAC and the care coordinator work together to provide services that meet needs and accountability, structure, sanctions and incentives to ensure public safety.

## **TABLE I:** Intake Activity

DELINQUENCY AND UNRULY			
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
OFFICIAL Delinquency Cases	6,771	2,110	<u>8,881</u>
BYPASSED Delinquency Cases	200	94	<u>294</u>
TOTAL Delinquency Cases	<u>6,971</u>	<u>2,204</u>	<u>9,175</u>
TOTAL Delinquency Charges	<u>11,365</u>	<u>2,939</u>	14,304
OFFICIAL Unruly Cases	402	336	<u>738</u>
BYPASSED Unruly Cases	745	596	<u>1,341</u>
TOTAL UNRULY Cases	<u>1,147</u>	<u>932</u>	2,079
TOTAL Unruly Charges	<u>609</u>	<u>546</u>	1,155
TOTAL Delinguency and Unruly Cases	<u>8,118</u>	<u>3,136</u>	11,254
TOTAL Delinquency and Unruly Charges	<u>11,974</u>	<u>3,485</u>	<u>15,459</u>
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS			
Black	3,602	1,604	<u>5,206</u>
White	1,395	678	<u>2,073</u>
Hispanic	177	65	<u>242</u>
Asian	13	6	<u>19</u>
Other	13	15	<u>28</u>
TOTAL Individual Offenders	<u>5,200</u>	<u>2,368</u>	<u>7,568</u>
<u>TRAFFIC</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
OFFICIAL Traffic Cases	4,664	2,285	<u>6,949</u>
INDIVIDUAL TRAFFIC OFFENDERS			
Black	1,397	601	<u>1,998</u>
White	2,225	1,383	<u>3,608</u>
Hispanic	89	19	<u>108</u>
Asian	23	18	<u>41</u>
Other	37	16	<u>53</u>
TOTAL Individual Offenders	<u>3,771</u>	<u>2,037</u>	<u>5,808</u>

A CASE is a single unit of action identified by a file number in which a number of separate CHARGES may be alleged.

## **TABLE I:** Intake Activity (continued)

ABUSE, DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT	
OFFICIAL Abuse, Dependency, Neglect Cases	1,421
Abuse Charges	264
Dependency Charges	1,004
Neglect Charges	922
TOTAL Abuse, Dependency, Neglect Charges	<u>2,190</u>
ADULT CASES  OFFICIAL Adult Cases	12
CUSTODY	
OFFICIAL Custody Cases	1,755
PARENT CHILD RELATIONSHIP	
OFFICIAL Parent Child Relationship	1,089
SUPPORT	
OFFICIAL Support Cases	2,498

#### **TOTAL-OFFICIAL AND BYPASSED CASES**

TOTAL Official and Bypassed Cases	24,978
Total Bypassed Cases	1,635
Total Official Cases	23,343

#### **COMMUNITY DIVERSION PROGRAM**

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
Black	462	298	<u>760</u>
White	790	486	<u>1,276</u>
Hispanic	19	22	<u>41</u>
Asian	4	3	<u>z</u>
Other	10	6	<u>16</u>
TOTAL Individual Offenders	<u>1,285</u>	<u>815</u>	<u>2,100</u>

GRAND TOTAL—ALL CASES FILED 27,078

TABLE II: Delinquency or Unruly dispositions by Age, Race & Sex

	8 and <u>Under</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Total</u>
Black Male	6	14	32	70	145	291	505	731	911	988	<u>3,693</u>
Black Female	0	2	9	25	85	162	244	399	411	386	<u>1,723</u>
Total Black	<u>6</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>230</u>	<u>453</u>	<u>749</u>	<u>1,130</u>	<u>1,322</u>	<u>1,374</u>	<u>5,416</u>
White Male	5	1	9	14	36	100	129	239	374	552	<u>1,459</u>
White Female	2	1	1	7	9	35	103	144	203	237	<u>742</u>
<b>Total White</b>	<u>z</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>135</u>	<u>232</u>	<u>383</u>	<u>577</u>	<u>789</u>	<u>2,201</u>
Hispanic Male	1	0	0	5	6	8	24	30	41	51	<u>166</u>
·											
Hispanic Female	0	0	0	0	3	8	6	15	24	16	<u>72</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>238</u>
<u>Hispanic</u>											
Asian Male	0	1	0	0	2	2	3	2	3	2	<u>15</u>
Asian Female	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	3	0	<u>11</u>
Total Asian	<u>o</u>	1	1	<u>o</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>26</u>
Other Male	0	1	0	0	2	2	3	2	3	2	<u>15</u>
Other Female	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	3	0	<u>11</u>
Total Other	<u>o</u>	1	1	<u>o</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Total Male</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>191</u>	<u>403</u>	<u>664</u>	<u>1,004</u>	<u>1,332</u>	<u>1,595</u>	<u>5,348</u>
<u>Total Female</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>205</u>	<u>357</u>	<u>566</u>	<u>644</u>	<u>639</u>	<u>2,559</u>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<u>14</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>290</u>	<u>608</u>	<u>1,021</u>	<u>1,570</u>	<u>1,976</u>	<u>2,234</u>	<u>7,907</u>

## **TABLE III:** Dispositions in Official Cases

## **DISPOSITIONS IN DELINQUENCY AND UNRULY CASES**

	<u>Delinquency</u>				<u>Unruly</u>		<u>Total</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION									
Commitment to ODYS Suspended	274	29	<u>303</u>	0	0	<u>o</u>	<u>274</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>303</u>
Commitment to YDC Suspended	99	31	<u>130</u>	12	14	<u>26</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>156</u>
Probation, No Suspended Sentence	1,302	503	<u>1,805</u>	110	126	<u>236</u>	<u>1,412</u>	<u>629</u>	<u>2,041</u>
Continued Probation	643	206	<u>849</u>	28	45	<u>73</u>	<u>671</u>	<u>251</u>	<u>922</u>
Community Service	434	146	<u>580</u>	23	27	<u>50</u>	<u>457</u>	<u>173</u>	<u>630</u>
TOTAL PROBATION SUPERVISION	<u>2,752</u>	<u>915</u>	<u>3,667</u>	<u>173</u>	<u>212</u>	<u>385</u>	<u>2,925</u>	<u>1,127</u>	<u>4,052</u>
COMMITMENTS TO CORRECTIONAL FACILE	<u>TIES</u>								
Ohio Department of Youth Services	248	13	<u>261</u>	0	0	<u>o</u>	<u>248</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>261</u>
County Youth Development Center	111	24	<u>135</u>	16	18	<u>34</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>169</u>
Return to ODYS	52	2	<u>54</u>	0	0	<u>o</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>54</u>
Return to YDC	12	1	<u>13</u>	0	1	<u>1</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>14</u>
TOTAL COMMITMENTS	<u>423</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>463</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>439</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>498</u>
<u>PLACEMENTS</u>									
Private Placement Order	101	17	<u>118</u>	1	2	<u>3</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>121</u>
Returned to Private Placement	16	8	<u>24</u>	0	5	<u>5</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>29</u>
TOTAL PRIVATE PLACEMENTS	<u>117</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>142</u>	1	<u>z</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>150</u>
TRANSFERRED TO ADULT DIVISION									
Transferred to Criminal Court	9	0	<u>9</u>	0	0	<u>o</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>9</u>
Mandatory Bindover	85	3	<u>88</u>	0	0	<u>o</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>88</u>
Discretionary Bindover	44	1	<u>45</u>	0	0	<u>o</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>45</u>
TOTAL TRANSFERS TO ADULT DIVISION	<u>138</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>138</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>142</u>
MISCELLANEOUS DISPOSITIONS									
Cont. Supervision of Parole Authority	35	11	<u>46</u>	3	1	<u>4</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>50</u>
Released to Parental Supervision	579	263	<u>842</u>	28	31	<u>59</u>	<u>607</u>	<u>294</u>	<u>901</u>
Order Made in Other Pending Case	1,707	291	1,998	138	44	<u>182</u>	1,845	<u>335</u>	<u>2,180</u>
Transferred to Other Juvenile Court	106	38	<u>144</u>	1	2	<u>3</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>147</u>
Dismissed	1,559	592	<u>2,151</u>	116	89	<u>205</u>	<u>1,675</u>	<u>681</u>	<u>2,356</u>
Other Disposition	1,973	529	<u>2,502</u>	154	118	<u>272</u>	<u>2,127</u>	<u>647</u>	<u>2774</u>
TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS	<u>5,959</u>	<u>1,724</u>	<u>7,683</u>	<u>440</u>	<u>285</u>	<u>725</u>	<u>6,399</u>	<u>2,009</u>	<u>8,408</u>
TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	9,389	2,708	12,097	<u>630</u>	<u>523</u>	<u>1,153</u>	10,019	<u>3,231</u>	<u>13,250</u>

## TABLE III: Dispositions in Official Cases (continued)

#### **DISPOSITIONS IN ABUSE, DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT CASES**

	<u>Total</u>
Children & Family Services Temporary Custody	560
Children & Family Services Permanent Custody	294
Children & Family Services Planned Permanent Living Arrangement	96
Children & Family Services Emergency Custody	6
Sole Custody	681
Shared Custody	2
Shared Parenting	8
Custody Order	37
Mediation Agreement	95
Terminate Protective Supervision	448
Terminate Custody	699
Transfer to Drug Court	6
Continue Custody With Review	3,007
Protective Supervision	765
Review	406
Parent Visitation	141
Pay Arrears	16
Support Awarded	393
Support Modified	9
Support Terminated	33
Case Dismissed	635
Recusal	13
Transfer to Other County	16
TOTAL ARUSE DEPENDENCY NECLECT DISPOSITIONS	0.266
TOTAL ABUSE, DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT DISPOSITIONS	<u>8,366</u>
DISPOSITIONS IN ADULT CASES	
DISPOSITIONS IN ADOLI CASES	<u>Total</u>
Caco Dismissed	<u>10tal</u> 7
	1
Court costs	-
TOTAL ADULT DISPOSITIONS	<u>8</u>
	Children & Family Services Permanent Custody Children & Family Services Planned Permanent Living Arrangement Children & Family Services Emergency Custody Sole Custody Shared Custody Shared Parenting Custody Order Mediation Agreement Terminate Protective Supervision Terminate Custody Transfer to Drug Court Continue Custody With Review Protective Supervision Review Parent Visitation Pay Arrears Support Awarded Support Modified Support Terminated Case Dismissed Recusal Transfer to Other County  TOTAL ABUSE, DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT DISPOSITIONS  DISPOSITIONS IN ADULT CASES  Case Dismissed Court Costs

## TABLE III: Dispositions in Official Cases (continued)

#### **DISPOSITIONS IN PARENT CHILD RELATIONSHIP CASES**

Parent-Child Relationship Established	<u>Total</u>
	503
Custody Application Granted	287
Custody Mediation Agreement	13
Sole Custody	60
Custody Order	6
Shared Parenting	4
Shared Custody	2
Parent Visitation	33
Support Awarded	841
Support Modified	294
Support Terminated	431
Pay Arrears	571
Case Dismissed	1,017
Recusal	2
Transferred to Other County	3
Transferred to Other County	3
	<u>4,067</u>
	_
TOTAL PARENT CHILD RELATIONSHIP DISPOSITIONS	_
TOTAL PARENT CHILD RELATIONSHIP DISPOSITIONS  DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES	<u>4,067</u>
TOTAL PARENT CHILD RELATIONSHIP DISPOSITIONS  DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES  Driver's License Suspended	<u>4,067</u>
TOTAL PARENT CHILD RELATIONSHIP DISPOSITIONS  DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES  Driver's License Suspended  Driver's License Restricted	4,067 <u>Total</u> 432
DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES Driver's License Suspended Driver's License Restricted Driving Privileges Restored	4,067 <u>Total</u> 432 9
DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES Driver's License Suspended Driver's License Restricted Driving Privileges Restored Fine Ordered	4,067 <u>Total</u> 432 9 50
DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES Driver's License Suspended Driver's License Restricted Driving Privileges Restored Fine Ordered Costs Ordered	4,067 Total 432 9 50 2,998
DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES Driver's License Suspended Driver's License Restricted Driving Privileges Restored Fine Ordered Costs Ordered Costs Waived	4,067  Total 432 9 50 2,998 4,432
DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES Driver's License Suspended Driver's License Restricted Driving Privileges Restored Fine Ordered Costs Ordered Costs Waived Transferred to Other Court	4,067  Total 432 9 50 2,998 4,432 1,646
DISPOSITIONS IN TRAFFIC CASES Driver's License Suspended Driver's License Restricted Driving Privileges Restored Fine Ordered Costs Ordered Costs Waived Transferred to Other Court Referred to Driver's Education Programs	4,067  Total 432 9 50 2,998 4,432 1,646 88
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,067  Total 432 9 50 2,998 4,432 1,646 88 76

## **TABLE III:** Dispositions in Official Cases (continued)

	DISPOSITIONS IN CUSTODY CASES	<u>Total</u>
	Custody Application Granted	1,081
	Mediation Agreement	336
	Sole Custody	578
	Shared Parenting	126
	Custody Order	45
	Shared Custody	17
	Referred to Mediation	11
	Continue Custody with Review	6
	Parental Visitation	527
	Pay Arrears	33
	Support Awarded	152
	Support Modified	41
	Support Terminated	30
_	Case Dismissed	597
J	Recusal	8
)	Transfer to Other County	11
	Power of Attorney	67
J	TOTAL CUSTODY DISPOSITIONS	<u>3,666</u>
	DISPOSITIONS IN SUPPORT CASES	<u>Total</u>
	Support Awarded	756
	Support Modified	252
	Support Terminated	243
	Custody Application Granted	201
	,	291
	Parent-Child Relationship Established	291 4
	Parent-Child Relationship Established	4
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody	4 11
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody Shared Parenting	4 11 5
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody Shared Parenting Custody Order	4 11 5 2
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody Shared Parenting Custody Order Custody Mediation Agreement	4 11 5 2 1
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody Shared Parenting Custody Order Custody Mediation Agreement Pay Arrears	4 11 5 2 1 547
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody Shared Parenting Custody Order Custody Mediation Agreement Pay Arrears Parent Visitation	4 11 5 2 1 547 12
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody Shared Parenting Custody Order Custody Mediation Agreement Pay Arrears Parent Visitation Case Dismissed	4 11 5 2 1 547 12 1,162
	Parent-Child Relationship Established Sole Custody Shared Parenting Custody Order Custody Mediation Agreement Pay Arrears Parent Visitation Case Dismissed Recusal	4 11 5 2 1 547 12 1,162

# **TABLE IV:** Delinquency and Unruly Juveniles, Cases and Charges By Area of Residence

#### **CITY OF CLEVELAND**

CITY OF CLEVELAND									
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>				<u>Public</u>		
Statistical Planning Area	<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>Cases</u>	<u>Charges</u>	<u>Person</u>	<b>Property</b>	<u>Drug</u>	<u>Order</u>	<u>Unruly</u>	<u>Other</u>
Archwood-Denison	<u>68</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>138</u>	38	52	12	17	16	3
Buckeye-Shaker	<u>66</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>127</u>	36	45	6	22	16	2
Central	<u>172</u>	<u>256</u>	<u>357</u>	90	135	33	54	43	2
Clark-Fulton	<u>159</u>	<u>214</u>	<u>323</u>	118	97	22	58	24	4
Corlett	<u>132</u>	<u>214</u>	<u>371</u>	115	110	40	72	26	8
Cudell	<u>106</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>249</u>	104	67	13	45	18	2
Detroit-Shoreway	<u>107</u>	<u>156</u>	<u>241</u>	66	102	14	35	22	2
Downtown	<u>22</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>55</u>	27	15	2	9	2	0
Edgewater	<u>22</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>51</u>	7	24	6	10	3	1
Euclid-Green	<u>37</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>66</u>	17	21	4	14	8	2
Fairfax	<u>60</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>121</u>	47	31	12	15	14	2
Forest Hills	<u>137</u>	<u>196</u>	<u>280</u>	99	69	30	59	20	3
Glenville	<u>206</u>	<u>276</u>	<u>404</u>	117	109	27	104	40	7
Hough	<u>146</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>293</u>	73	83	42	69	22	4
Industrial Valley	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jefferson	<u>126</u>	<u> 165</u>	<u>234</u>	83	81	17	34	18	1
Kamms Corner	<u>43</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>85</u>	15	25	10	21	14	0
Kinsman	<u>90</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>172</u>	43	59	15	28	23	4
Lee-Miles	<u>112</u>	<u>171</u>	<u>251</u>	86	79	15	47	21	3
Mt. Pleasant	<u>192</u>	<u> 269</u>	<u>488</u>	190	112	59	94	23	10
North Broadway	<u>78</u>	<u> 107</u>	<u> 167</u>	39	56	20	31	19	2
North Collinwood	<u>90</u>	<u>123</u>	<u>157</u>	29	42	20	41	21	4
Ohio City	<u>56</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>120</u>	39	32	18	18	13	0
Old Brooklyn	<u>119</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>215</u>	94	45	13	37	25	1
Payne Kirtland Park	<u>133</u>	<u>209</u>	<u>281</u>	80	67	18	44	68	4
Puritas-Longmead	<u>100</u>	<u>148</u>	<u>195</u>	55	54	22	43	17	4
Riverside	<u>40</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>92</u>	46	18	2	16	10	0
South Broadway	<u>177</u>	<u>272</u>	<u>415</u>	106	121	53	87	38	10
South Collinwood	<u>129</u>	<u> 197</u>	<u>296</u>	82	104	33	53	23	1
St. Clair Superior	<u>112</u>	<u> 169</u>	<u>304</u>	69	128	32	54	19	2
Tremont	<u>33</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>54</u>	24	11	8	6	5	0

# **TABLE IV:** Delinquency and Unruly Juveniles, Cases and Charges By Area of Residence (continued)

## **CITY OF CLEVELAND (CONTINUED)**

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>				<u>Public</u>		
Statistical Planning Area	<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>Cases</u>	<u>Charges</u>	<u>Person</u>	<u>Property</u>	<u>Drug</u>	<u>Order</u>	<u>Unruly</u>	<u>Other</u>
Union-Miles	<u>147</u>	<u>212</u>	<u>320</u>	97	99	23	67	26	8
University	<u>14</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>24</u>	10	5	4	3	2	0
West Boulevard	<u> 144</u>	<u>213</u>		72	80	25	54	37	8
Woodland Hills	<u>82</u>	115		61	50	18	29	15	3
CITY OF CLEVELAND TOTAL	<u>3,459</u>	<u>4,994</u>	<u>7,400</u>	2,274	<u>2,228</u>	<u>688</u>	<u>1,390</u>	<u>712</u>	<u>108</u>
<u>SUBURBS</u>									
Bay Village	<u>42</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>94</u>	18	22	32	12	8	2
Beachwood	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>17</u>	7	1	2	3	3	1
Bedford	<u>80</u>	<u>173</u>	<u>174</u>	28	49	3	55	37	2
Bedford Heights.	<u>93</u>	<u>137</u>	<u>161</u>	44	41	17	36	22	1
Bentleyville	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Berea	<u>73</u>	<u>136</u>	<u>168</u>	51	47	17	32	20	1
Bratenahl	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	2	0	2	0	1	0
Brecksville	<u>19</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>26</u>	3	9	7	5	2	0
Broadview Heights	<u>39</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>61</u>	12	22	8	13	6	0
Brooklyn	<u>32</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>63</u>	18	25	7	2	9	2
Brooklyn Heights	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	0	1	3	0	0	0
Brookpark	<u>130</u>	<u>224</u>	<u>240</u>	52	72	34	36	43	3
Chagrin Falls	<u>z</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>14</u>	0	1	5	4	4	0
Cleveland Heights	<u>418</u>	<u>625</u>	<u>777</u>	211	259	29	185	87	6
Cuyahoga Heights	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	0	1	1	0	1	0
East Cleveland	<u>143</u>	<u>208</u>	<u>292</u>	77	89	17	78	25	6
Euclid	<u>324</u>	<u>492</u>	<u>605</u>	175	202	31	142	51	4
Fairview Park	<u>40</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>52</u>	9	16	11	11	5	0
Garfield Heights	<u>238</u>	<u>376</u>	<u>484</u>	149	137	36	100	59	3
Gates Mills	<u>6</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>22</u>	13	4	0	5	0	0
Highland Hills	<u>51</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>88</u>	49	8	5	25	1	0
Highland Heights	<u>19</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>30</u>	16	5	3	5	1	0

# **TABLE IV:** Delinquency and Unruly Juveniles, Cases and Charges By Area of Residence (continued)

## **SUBURBS (CONTINUED)**

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>				<u>Public</u>		
Statistical Planning Area	<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>Cases</u>	<u>Charges</u>	<u>Person</u>	<b>Property</b>	<u>Drug</u>	<u>Order</u>	<u>Unruly</u>	<u>Other</u>
Independence	<u>19</u>	<u> 26</u>	<u>30</u>	4	10	5	7	3	1
Lakewood	<u>239</u>	<u>348</u>	<u>477</u>	95	150	55	123	50	4
Lyndhurst	<u>17</u>	<u>19</u>	22	0	6	6	5	5	0
Maple Heights	<u>263</u>	<u>413</u>	<u>544</u>	187	130	20	161	40	6
Mayfield	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	1	2	1	0	0	0
Mayfield Heights	<u>41</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>79</u>	19	22	6	21	9	2
Middleburg Heights	<u>31</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>50</u>	7	19	3	6	13	2
Moreland Hills	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	0	7	0	2	0	0
Newburgh Heights	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	3	2	0	4	4	0
North Olmsted	<u>101</u>	<u>149</u>	<u>176</u>	39	58	18	32	28	1
North Randall	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>	11	0	3	0	4	4	0
North Royalton	<u>62</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>131</u>	35	49	16	20	11	0
Oakwood	<u>23</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>64</u>	19	14	0	25	6	0
Olmsted Falls	<u>14</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>27</u>	7	13	3	1	2	1
Olmsted Township	<u>22</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>	3	10	9	6	4	0
Orange	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	0	0	0	2	0	0
Parma	<u> 265</u>	<u>351</u>	<u>357</u>	122	114	20	48	48	5
Parma Heights	<u>50</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>122</u>	36	55	5	20	5	1
Pepper Pike	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>12</u>	8	2	0	2	0	0
Richmond Heights	<u>48</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>70</u>	21	36	2	10	1	0
Rocky River	<u>42</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>120</u>	14	47	32	20	7	0
Seven Hills	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>44</u>	10	25	3	2	4	0
Shaker Heights	<u>144</u>	<u>259</u>	<u>338</u>	108	113	8	77	23	9
Solon	<u>42</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>64</u>	12	27	8	6	7	4
South Euclid	<u>134</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>255</u>	73	94	15	46	27	0
Strongsville	<u>91</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>247</u>	40	137	27	30	11	2
University Heights	<u>43</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>94</u>	20	27	5	26	16	0

# **TABLE IV:** Delinquency and Unruly Juveniles, Cases and Charges By Area of Residence (continued)

## **SUBURBS (CONTINUED)**

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>				<u>Public</u>		
Statistical Planning Area	<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>Cases</u>	<u>Charges</u>	<u>Person</u>	<b>Property</b>	<u>Drug</u>	<u>Order</u>	<u>Unruly</u>	<u>Other</u>
Valley View	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>z</u>	3	1	0	0	1	2
Warrensville Heights	<u>98</u>	<u> 157</u>	<u>221</u>	60	79	17	49	16	0
Westlake	<u>60</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>125</u>	16	40	23	33	11	2
Woodmere	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	0	1	0	1	1	0
SUBURB TOTAL	<u>3,680</u>	<u>5,638</u>	<u>7,131</u>	1,897	<u>2,304</u>	<u>577</u>	<u>1,538</u>	<u>742</u>	<u>73</u>
OUT OF COUNTY	<u>233</u>	<u>307</u>	<u>404</u>	70	149	52	106	19	8
UNKNOWN STATISTICAL PLANNING AREA	<u>196</u>	<u>315</u>	<u>524</u>	205	144	43	107	18	7
CLEVELAND TOTAL	<u>3,459</u>	<u>4,994</u>	<u>7,400</u>	<u>2,274</u>	<u>2,228</u>	<u>688</u>	<u>1,390</u>	<u>712</u>	<u>108</u>
GRAND TOTAL	<u>7,568</u>	11,254	15,459	<u>4,446</u>	<u>4,825</u>	<u>1,360</u>	<u>3,141</u>	<u>1,491</u>	<u>196</u>



# **TABLE V:** Official and Bypassed Charges in Delinquency and Unruly Cases

	<u>1,838</u>	Drug Possession	<u>85</u>
790		Drug Trafficking	<u>27</u>
1,048		Drug Paraphernalia	<u>15</u>
	<u>48</u>	Other Drug	<u>8</u>
17		TOTAL CHARGES	<u>1,36</u>
27			
2			
2		PUBLIC ORDER OFFENSES	
	<u>370</u>	Disorderly Conduct	<u>1,07</u>
103		Liquor Violations	
131		Obstruction of Justice	<u>41</u>
136		Possession of Criminal Tools	<u>34</u>
	<u>812</u>	Resisting Arrest	22
	<u>96</u>	Riot/Inducing Panic	<u>38</u>
	<u>77</u>	Weapon Possession	<u>52</u>
	<u>470</u>	Felony Weapon	298
	<u>709</u>	Misdemeanor Weapon	230
	<u> 26</u>	Other Public Order	<u>16</u>
	<u>4,446</u>	TOTAL CHARGES	<u>3,14</u>
		UNRULY OFFENSES	
	<u>67</u>	Truancy	<u>29</u>
	<u>593</u>	Curfew	<u>20</u>
ls	<u> 165</u>	Incorrigibility	98
	<u>566</u>	TOTAL CHARGES	<u>1,49</u>
	<u>1,821</u>		
	<u>783</u>	OTHER OFFENSES	
	<u>830</u>	Interstate Compact/Supervision	<u>1</u>
		Other Delinguency	<u>17</u>
		TOTAL CHARGES	
	1,048 17 27 2 2 103 131	1,048  48  17  27  2  2  370  103  131  136  812  96  77  470  709  26  4,446  67  593  ds  165  566  1,821	1,048  48 Other Drug  TOTAL CHARGES  27 2 2 PUBLIC ORDER OFFENSES Disorderly Conduct Liquor Violations Obstruction of Justice Possession of Criminal Tools Resisting Arrest Riot/Inducing Panic Weapon Possession Felony Weapon Misdemeanor Weapon Other Public Order 4,446 TOTAL CHARGES  UNRULY OFFENSES Truancy Ses Incorrigibility TOTAL CHARGES  Incorrigibility TOTAL CHARGES  Interstate Compact/Supervision

**TABLE VI:** Detention Services Population

TABLE VII: Age at Filing

TABLE VI Total Detention

	<u>Dete</u>	ntion Ce	<u>nter</u>	Home	e Detent	tion_	Sł	nelter Ca	<u>re</u>	<u>Services</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Admissions</u>												
Black	1,994	476	<u>2,470</u>	903	210	<u>1,113</u>	545	185	<u>730</u>	<u>3,442</u>	<u>871</u>	4,313
White	351	110	<u>461</u>	202	58	<u>260</u>	141	45	<u>186</u>	<u>694</u>	<u>213</u>	907
Hispanic	61	18	<u>79</u>	37	5	<u>42</u>	20	4	<u>24</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>145</u>
Asian	0	1	1	1	1	<u>2</u>	0	0	<u>0</u>	1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
Other	5	1	<u>6</u>	2	0	<u>2</u>	2	0	<u>2</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>2,411</u>	<u>606</u>	3,017	<u>1,145</u>	<u>274</u>	<u>1,419</u>	<u>708</u>	<u>234</u>	<u>942</u>	<u>4,264</u>	<u>1,114</u>	<u>5,378</u>
Average Daily												
Population	154.5	21.5	176.0	99.3	19.4	118.7	44.3	11.5	<u>55.8</u>	299.0	<u>52.4</u>	351.4
Average Length												
Of Stay	20.4	13.6	<u>19.0</u>	32.2	25.8	<u>31.0</u>	23.0	18.5	<u>21.9</u>	<u>24.0</u>	<u>17.6</u>	<u>22.7</u>

#### **TABLE VII**

#### **AGE AT FILING**

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
8 and under	4	0	<u>4</u>
9	16	5	<u>21</u>
10	17	9	<u>26</u>
11	79	41	<u>120</u>
12	166	88	<u>254</u>
13	334	170	<u>504</u>
14	652	308	<u>960</u>
15	1,032	511	<u>1,543</u>
16	1,254	636	<u>1,890</u>
17	1,646	600	<u>2,246</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>5,200</u>	<u>2,368</u>	<u>7,568</u>


## **Ohio Department of Youth Services 2008 Commitments**

The Year in Review: In 2008, the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court committed 282 juveniles (247 delinquencies and 35 revocations) to the Ohio Department of Youth Services (ODYS). Among the delinquency charges, robbery offenses accounted for the highest number of commitments, followed by assault and burglary. These three offense types accounted for 58.3% of all delinquency commitments. The 282 juveniles committed to ODYS, in 2008 represented a 13.5% decrease from 2007.

<u>OFFENSES</u>		SE)	<u>(</u>		<u>R</u> A	ACE		
TYPE	TOTAL	84	_	В	14/	ш		Commitments by Sex
ARSON	101AL 1	<u>M</u> 1	<u>F</u> 0	<u>B</u> 1	<u>w</u> 0	<u>H</u> 0	<u><b>A</b></u> 0	<b>5%</b> ∖ ■ Male
ACCALILT		25	4	0.7	0	0	0	□ Female
ASSAULT BURGLARY	<u>39</u> <u>34</u>	35 34	4 0	37 28	2 6	0	0 0	
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	<u>34</u> <u>2</u>	3 <del>4</del> 1	1	20 1	1	0	0	
DRUG OFFENSE	<u>∠</u> 19	19	0	15	3	1	0	95%
KIDNAPPING	<u>19</u> <u>3</u>	3	0	3	0	0	0	
MURDER/HOMICIDE	<u>s</u> 1	1	0	3 1	0	0	0	
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE	<u>1</u> 10	7	3	9	1	0	0	Commitments by Race
OBSTRUCTION OF SUSTICE		,	3	9	'	O	U	□Black
RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY	<u>21</u>	20	1	20	0	1	0	□ White
REVOCATIONS	<u>35</u>	34	1	33	2	0	0	9% <sup>2</sup> %√ 0% ■ Hispanic ■ Asian
ROBBERY	<u>71</u>	67	4	66	4	1	0	Asiaii
SEX OFFENSE	<u>15</u>	15	0	12	2	1	0	
THEFT	<u>15</u>	14	1	12	3	0	0	
VANDALISM	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	89%
WEAPONS CHARGE	<u>14</u>	14	0	11	2	1	0	09 //
OTHER	<u>2</u>	2	0	2	0	0	0	
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>282</u>	<u>267</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>251</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	
								Age at Commitment
COMMITMENTS BY AGE	-							-
AGE 12	<u>1</u>	0	1	1	0	0	0	■ Age 12
AGE 13	<u>6</u>	6	0	6	0	0	0	<b>1%</b>
AGE 14	<u>18</u>	17	1	16	0	2	0	11% 6% 15% □ Age 14
AGE 15	<u>42</u>	41	1	37	5	0	0	□ Age 15
AGE 16	<u>87</u>	82	5	78	8	1	0	<b>34</b> % ■ Age 16
AGE 17	<u>95</u>	90	5	84	9	2	0	m Age 17
AGE 18	<u>31</u>	29	2	27	4	0	0	31% ■ Age 18
AGE 19	<u>2</u>	2	0	2	0	0	0	□ Age 19
	-							
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>282</u>	<u>267</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>251</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	

Monthly Review: Throughout the year, the number of youth committed to ODYS varied from month to month, ranging from a low of 16 in November and peaking at 36 in October. The average number of juveniles committed each month was 23.5.

#### **Juvenile Commitments 2008**




## **Youth Development Center 2008 Commitments**

**The Year in Review**: In 2008, the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court committed 174 juveniles to the Youth Development Center (YDC). There was a total of 60 admissions for delinquencies, 7 for unruly offenses, and 107 admissions for technical violations. Among the delinquency charges, theft and receiving stolen property accounted for the highest number of admissions, followed by burglary and assault. These four offense types accounted for 48.3% of all delinquency admissions in the year 2008. The 174 juveniles admitted to YDC. In 2008, represented a 9.8% decrease from 2007. \*\*please note\*\* YDC closed at the end of 2008.

<u>OFFENSES</u>		SEX	<u>(</u>		<u>RA</u>	CE		
DELINQUENCY TYPE	<b>TOTAL</b>	<u>M</u> 1	<u>F</u>	<u>B</u> 1	<u>w</u> 0	<u>H</u>	<u><b>A</b></u> 0	Admissions by Offense Type
ARSON	<u>1</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	
ASSAULT	<u>6</u>	4	2	6	0	0	0	62% 34% □ Delinquency
BURGLARY	7	7	0	3	1	3	0	02/0
CLEVELAND ORDINANCES	<u>7</u> <u>1</u> <u>4</u> <u>4</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	■Unruly
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	<u>4</u>	2	2	4	0	0	0	4% Technical
DRUG OFFENSES	<u>4</u>	4	0	4	0	0	0	4% ☐ Technical Violation
FIREWORKS	<u>0</u> <u>7</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	Violation
INCORRIGIBLE (UNRULY)	<u>7</u>	2	5	6	1	0	0	
MENACING	<u>1</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	Admissions by Sex
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE	<u>2</u>	1	1	2	0	0	0	25%
PUBLIC PEACE	<u>1</u>	0	1	1	0	0	0	■ Male
RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY	1 2 1 8 5	8	0	7	0	1	0	Female
ROBBERY	<u>5</u>	4	1	4	0	1	0	75%
SEX OFFENSES	<u>1</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	75%
THEFT	<u>8</u>	6	2	8	0	0	0	
TRESPASSING	<u>1</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	
VANDALISM	<u>4</u>	3	1	3	1	0	0	
WEAPONS CHARGE	<u>6</u>	6	0	5	1	0	0	Admissions by Race
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>67</u>	52	15	58	4	5	0	□Black
	-							9%3%_0% □ White
TECHNICAL VIOLATION	. <del>-</del> -						_	Hispanic
PROBATION VIOLATION	<u>107</u>	79	28	95	12	0	0	
TOTAL	107	70	20	05	12	0	0	88%
TOTAL	<u>107</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>95</u>	12	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	
TOTAL ADMISSIONS	<u>174</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>153</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	
ADMISSIONS BY AGE								Admissions by Age
AGE 12	<del>-</del> 1	0	1	1	0	0	0	□ Age 12
AGE 13	7	4	3	7	0	0	0	_
AGE 14	31	25	6	27	4	0	0	18%
AGE 15	58	38	20	52	5	1	0	□Age 14
AGE 16	52	45	7	46	2	4	0	30% □ Age 15
AGE 17	25	19	6	20	5	0	0	33% ■ Age 16
						_		□Age 17
TOTAL	<u>174</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>153</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	

**Monthly Review**: Throughout the year, the number of youth admitted to YDC varied from month to month, ranging from a low of o in December and peaking at 43 in May. The average number of juveniles admitted each month, in 2008, was 14.5. This is a decrease from an average of 16.1 admissions per month, in 2007. \*\*Please note\*\* YDC closed at the end of 2008.

#### **Juvenile Admissions 2008**



# NOTES

	44
Cuyahoga County Juve	nile Court
2462 Fast 22nd Street	
2163 East 22nd Street Cleveland, Ohio 44115	
Phone: 216 443-8400 http://juvi.cuyahoga.cc/	