

A new subfamily, Bothriocrotoninae n. subfam., for the genus Bothriocroton Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994 status amend. (Ixodida: Ixodidae), and the synonymy of Aponomma Neumann, 1899 with Amblyomma Koch, 1844

Hans Klompen¹, Susan J. Dobson² & Stephen C. Barker²

¹Acarology Laboratory, Department of Entomology, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43212-1192, USA ²Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, and Institute for Molecular Biosciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, 4072 Queensland, Australia

Accepted for publication 21st February, 2002

Abstract

Evidence suggesting polyphyly of the traditionally recognised tick genus *Aponomma* Neumann, 1899 is summarized. Continued recognition of this genus in its current concept leaves a polyphyletic genus *Aponomma* and a paraphyletic genus *Amblyomma* Koch, 1844. To improve the correlation between our understanding of phylogenetic relationships in metastriate ticks and their classification, a few changes in classification are proposed. The members of the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' group (sensu Kaufman, 1972), *A. auruginans* Schulze, 1936, *A. concolor* Neumann, 1899, *A. glebopalma* Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994, *A. hydrosauri* (Denny, 1843) and *A. undatum* (Fabricius, 1775), are transferred to *Bothriocroton* Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994, which is raised to full generic rank. The remaining members of *Aponomma* are transferred to *Amblyomma*. Uncertainty remains on relationships of *Bothriocroton* to other metastriate lineages and on the systematic position of the two species formerly included in the 'primitive *Aponomma*' group, *A. elaphense* Price, 1959 and *A. sphenodonti* Dumbleton, 1943.

Introduction

Generic classification in the hard ticks, family Ixodidae, has been remarkably stable over the last 50 years. Although a few new genera have been proposed (Anomalohimalaya Hoogstraal, Kaiser & Mitchell, 1970, Dermacentonomma Dias 1978); only Anomalohimalaya is generally recognised. Apart from some discussion on the validity of Anocentor Schulze, 1937, as part of, or separate from, Dermacentor Koch, 1844 (e.g. Borges et al., 1998; Crosbie et al., 1998), ixodid classification has not really been challenged. However, a recent upsurge in interest in tick systematics, fuelled in part by the use of more rigid methodology and the addition of molecular data sets, is prompting a reassessment of ixodid generic classification. One of the most challenging problems involves Aponomma Neumann, 1899. Neumann (1899) created this genus for ticks of reptiles with the following characteristics: 'Pas d'yeux. Base du rostre ordinairement pentagonal, à bords latéraux très courts du côté dorsal. Palpes longs' (Neumann, 1899, p. 180). Of these characteristics the shape of the basis capituli and the long palps are shared with, respectively, most and all Amblyomma, leaving the lack of eyes as the defining character for Aponomma. Although some authors (Camicas & Morel, 1977; Nuttall & Warburton, 1911) suggested that its constituent species should be included in Amblyomma Koch, 1844, most recent studies have recognized Aponomma at the generic level (Dias, 1993; Filippova, 1997; Keirans, 1992; Keirans & Robbins, 1999). The main motivation for recognition of Aponomma as a genus separate from Amblyomma appears to be common usage, rather than phylogenetic relationships (Camicas & Morel, 1977). A close relationship between Aponomma and Amblyomma is acknowledged by the grouping of the two genera in the subfamily Amblyomminae (see Hoogstraal & Aeschlimann, 1982) or subtribe Amblyommini (see Filippova, 1997).

The root cause of the systematic problems associated with Aponomma is the question of monophyly of the genus. Although widely recognised, the genus is still poorly defined. In the most comprehensive revision of Aponomma, Kaufman (1972) proposed the following definition of the genus: without eyes (1); scutum of the male usually broader than long (2), suboval or subquadrate (3), ornate or inornate (4), lateral grooves present or absent (5); scutum of the female cordiform, usually broader than long (6), with or without conspicuous indentations of posterolateral margin (7), ornate or inornate (8); trochanters with or without subterminal spurs (9); basis capituli (= gnathosomal base) pentagonal or subtriangular (10); and palps elongate, more or less subcylindrical (11). Of these characters five (4, 5, 7, 8, 9) are uninformative because they include all possible states, and two (10, 11) are plesiomorphic (='primitive') for the Metastriata. What remains are scutal shape characters (2, 3, 6), all commonly occurring within Amblyomma, and the absence of eyes (1). In short, the genus remains defined mainly by the lack of eyes.

The value of the absence or presence of eyes as an identification marker is obvious, but this character may have less significance as an indicator of phylogenetic relationships. When the character is defined more precisely, it refers to the presence or absence of lenses. The innervation for eyes appears to be present in all ixodids examined, including the eye-less Ixodes holocyclus Neumann, 1899, Haemaphysalis longicornis Neumann, 1901 and Aponomma auruginans Schulze, 1936 (see Binnington, 1972). Moreover, it is now clear that the lack of eyes is plesiomorphic for the Ixodida (see Klompen & Oliver, 1993; Lehtinen, 1991). Within Ixodidae, this characteristic is shared with all instars of Haemaphysalis Koch, 1844 and Ixodes Latreille, 1795, and with the postlarval instars of Anomalohimalaya.

A possible problem with the monophyly of *Aponomma* was anticipated in Kaufman's (1972) revision. He proposed that *Aponomma* consisted of three well-defined groups: 'typical' (16 species), 'indigenous Australian' (4 species) and 'primitive' (2-3 species). He also suggested that two of these groups, the 'indigenous Australian' species and the 'primitive' species might represent new genera. Kaufman (1972) did not use modern cladistic methods, but a phylogenetic analysis of morphological and developmental features of the Metastriata (Klompen et al., 1997)

supported the idea that the 'primitive Aponomma' (represented by A. elaphense Price, 1959) do not group with the 'typical Aponomma' or most Amblyomma, but rather with an odd species of Amblyomma, A. quadricavum (Schulze, 1941). Confusion about the status of A. quadricavum is illustrated by the fact that this species was described as an Aponomma, and has only recently been transferred to Amblyomma based on the presence of poorly developed eyes (Keirans & Klompen, 1996). Klompen et al. (1997) failed to provide support for monophyly of the remaining groupings of Aponomma, the indigenous Australian and typical forms. However, the level of support for the various hypotheses of relationships for these lineages was very low.

Additional data sets, specifically DNA sequence data, have resolved relationships sufficiently to merit a further review of the systematic status of *Aponomma*. Based on the evidence summarised below, it is clear that the genus as currently defined is polyphyletic. We propose that the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' are recognised as a distinct genus and the synonymy of the 'typical" and "primitive *Aponomma*' with *Amblyomma*.

Evidence

Molecular evidence

The strongest evidence for polyphyly of Aponomma is derived from sequence data. Although none of these studies included members of the 'primitive Aponomma' group, they did consider the status of the 'indigenous Australian' versus the 'typical Aponomma'. Dobson & Barker (1999) considered two species of 'indigenous Australian Aponomma', A. concolor Neumann, 1899 and A. undatum (Fabricius, 1775), two species of 'typical Aponomma', A. fimbriatum (Koch, 1844) and A. latum (Koch, 1844), and five species of Amblyomma, in an analysis of 18S nuclear rDNA sequences from 33 Ixodida. Both the genus Aponomma and the subfamily Amblyomminae appeared polyphyletic as the two 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' formed a monophyletic lineage (100% bootstrap support) that formed the sister-group to the remaining Metastriata. The 'typical Aponomma' spp. and Amblyomma spp. formed a monophyletic lineage (100% bootstrap support) that was quite distinct from a lineage including the 'indigenous Australian Aponomma'. Dobson & Barker (1999) suggested that

a new genus and a new subfamily should be created for the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' once the remaining three species of 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' had been studied.

Klompen et al. (2000) used a total evidence approach to infer the phylogeny of the Ixodidae from a combination of morphological, developmental, and molecular (18S and 28S nuclear, and 16S mitochondrial, rRNA nucleotide sequences) characters. In addition to the taxa considered by Dobson & Barker (1999), this study considered 18S data for two more 'indigenous Australian Aponomma': A. hydrosauri (Denny, 1843) and the recently described A. glebopalma Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994. Unfortunately, coverage for the other genes was less extensive, with only one species, A. glebopalma, sequenced for 28S and two, A. glebopalma and A. hydrosauri, for 16S. Even so, results for these other genes were at least consistent with the 18S data, in that the representatives of 'indigenous Australian' and 'typical Aponomma' never clustered together. The 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' formed a monophyletic lineage with 100% bootstrap support in the total evidence trees (Klompen et al., 2000, Figure 4). This lead these authors to conclude that their results strengthened previous conclusions about the distinctness of the indigenous Australian species of Aponomma (see Dobson & Barker, 1999), and the polyphyly of Aponomma in general (Dobson & Barker, 1999; Klompen et al., 1997). Both of these studies found the 'typical Aponomma' nested within Amblyomma, suggesting paraphyly of the genus Amblyomma unless the 'typical Aponomma' were included.

Despite these well supported results, these analyses do not resolve the phylogenetic position of all of the Aponomma spp. Although 18S data indicate that the 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' are the sister-group to the remaining Metastriata (100% bootstrap support; Dobson & Barker, 1999), this arrangement was not supported in the total-evidence analysis (Klompen et al., 2000). So we consider the relationships of the 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' to the other Metastriata to be unresolved. Such a conservative approach is also desirable because neither of these studies had a representative of the so-called 'primitive Aponomma': A. elaphense and A. sphenodonti Dumbleton, 1943. It is quite possible that inclusion of the 'primitive Aponomma' may have considerable influence on branching patterns among early-diverging Metastriata.

Absence of data on the fifth and last member of the 'indigenous Australian Aponomma', A. auruginans Schulze, 1936, is no longer a problem, as one of us (SJD), recently obtained 18S sequence data for that species (GenBank accession number AF479768). Analyses including this taxon confirmed the monophyly of the 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' and the grouping of 'typical Aponomma' within Amblyomma s.l. (Figure 1). Extraction, amplification, and sequencing protocols for A. auruginans followed Dobson & Barker (1999). The analyses included all ixodid species considered by either Dobson & Barker (1999) or Klompen et al. (2000), plus A. auruginans. Outgroups were as in Klompen et al. (2000). All analyses (gaps treated as missing or 5th state, including or excluding regions that presented minor alignment problems) generated essentially the same results, which are consistent with previous analyses. Support for monophyly of all 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' is always strong (100% jackknife support; Figure 1). Similarly, enforcing monophyly of all Aponomma included in this analysis (5 'indigenous Australian' and 2 'typical') always required numerous extra steps (e.g. 25 extra steps in the analysis shown in Figure 1). On the other hand, support for relationships within 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' or among major lineages of the Metastriata (Figure 1) is insufficient to allow firm conclusions. These results are also similar to those in the previous analyses (Dobson & Barker, 1999; Klompen et al., 1997).

Morphology

While the molecular evidence for separate status of the indigenous Australian taxa is strong, evidence from morphological and developmental data has been less convincing. Kaufman (1972) listed the following combination of characters to describe his 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' [this did not include A. glebopalma, which was not described until later (Keirans et al., 1994)]: basis capituli subpentagonal in shape (shared with 'typical Aponomma', triangular in 'primitive Aponomma') (1); hypostomal dentition 3/3 or 2/2 (3/3 or more in 'typical Aponomma', 2/2 in A. transversale (Lucas, 1845), 2/2 in A. elaphense, 3/3, but internal row almost gone in A. sphenodonti) (2); single subterminal spur on the trochanter (absent in all other Aponomma and A. glebopalma) (3); lateral grooves on the scutum of the male partial or complete (absent in 'typical Aponomma', A. elaphense, and A. glebopalma, but present in



Figure 1. Strict consensus tree of relationships between *Bothriocroton* species (= 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*') and other Ixodidae, collapsed to well supported branches. Analysis based on 18S rRNA sequence data. Results shown for an analysis including all characters and with gaps treated as missing characters (36 equally most parsimonious trees; length 1124, ri: 0.76). Numbers above the branches reflect jackknife support (100 repetitions, each with 10 random taxon additions); numbers after taxon names indicated the number of species of that lineage included in the analysis. *Abbreviation*: Bo, *Bothriocroton*.

A. sphenodonti) (4); iridescent scutal ornamentation absent (also absent in some 'typical Aponomma' and in 'primitive Aponomma', some white ornamentation is present in A. glebopalma and A. undatum) (5); scutum of female with conspicuous posterolateral indentations formed by confluence of larger punctations (6). None of these characters are unique apomorphies for 'indigenous Australian Aponomma'. The shape of the basis capituli (1) is a plesiomorphic character for early-diverging Metastriata (Klompen et al., 1997), and is thus of very little value at this level, but for setting the 'primitive Aponomma' apart. Characters 3 and 4 would seem to be reliable phylogenetic markers, but they are not shared by A. glebopalma. However, by refining the character definition, hypostomal dentition (2) may provide a derived character for 'indigenous Australian Aponomma'. In the adults, these taxa are characterised by the reduction in size of the teeth of the internal row of denticles, relative to the

size of the outer rows. The 3/3 then 2/2 dentition of *A. auruginans* and the 2/2 dentition of *A. glebopalma* might be the culmination of this trend, resulting in the absence of the entire inner row of denticles. This character state, reduction of the size of the teeth of the internal row of denticles on the hypostome relative to the outer rows, is also found in 'primitive *Aponomma*', especially *A. sphenodonti*.

Perhaps the most promising diagnostic character for the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' is found in the larvae. Larvae of *A. glebopalma, A. hydrosauri, A. auruginans* and *A. concolor* (larvae of *A. undatum* were not available for study) have a multiplication of large wax glands (3) laterally near setae *s6* (Md3 of Clifford et al. (1961)) and anterior to the first festoons (Klompen et al., 1996). Other metastriate larvae have at most one large wax gland in that position, while the Prostriata lack large wax glands altogether. Secondly, unlike the condition in *Amblyomma*, 'typical Aponomma' and nearly all *Haemaphysalis*, 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' lack large wax glands on festoons 5 (segment XIII) (Klompen et al., 1996). This condition is shared with 'primitive *Aponomma*'. It is unclear whether the absence or presence of large wax glands in that position is derived.

Cytogenetics and host-associations

The 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' differ from the 'typical Aponomma' in a number of other characteristics. While sharing the XX XO sex determination system of most of the Metastriata, A. concolor, A. hydrosauri and A. undatum have unusually low chromosome numbers, relative to most Metastriata. Most male Metastriata, including a representative of the 'typical Aponomma', A. fimbriatum and most Amblyomma, have a diploid number of 21, but A. hydrosauri males have 2N=17, while male A. concolor, A. undatum, the Australian endemic Amblyomma triguttatum Koch, 1844, and a primitive Haemaphysalis, H. (Alloceraea) kitaokai Hoogstraal, 1969, share 2N=19 (Oliver, 1977). Data for A. auruginans and A. glebopalma are currently unavailable.

Ecologically there may also be some distinctions. 'Typical *Aponomma*' are invariably associated with varanid lizards and snakes, but the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' show a much wider host range. While *A. glebopalma* and *A. undatum* are most commonly associated with varanids [there is one report of infestation by *A. undatum* of an echidna (Roberts, 1970)], *A. auruginans* is an associate of wombats (Marsupialia), *A. concolor* is associated with echidnas (Monotremata) and *A. hydrosauri* can be associated with either echidnas (North East Australia) or lizards (Southern Australia) (Roberts, 1970).

Conclusion

There is considerable evidence suggesting that *Aponomma* in its traditional concept is polyphyletic. While the 'typical *Aponomma*' generally cluster with or within *Amblyomma*, the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' form a very distinct lineage. This distinct status is not based on sequence data only, but is backed up by morphological characters, ecology and, possibly, cytogenetic data. In order to improve the match between our understanding of systematic relationships and classification we propose to reclassify the 'typical *Aponomma*' as *Amblyomma* and to recognise the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' as a distinct genus which is described below.

Monophyly of the new genus, *Amblyomma (sensu latu)* (that is including 'typical *Aponomma'*), *Haemaphysalis* and the Rhipicephalinae [including the Hyalomminae, as suggested by Klompen et al. (1997) and Murrell et al. (2001)] is well supported (although for *Amblyomma* this is based on sequence data only), but any hypothesis of relationships among these four is not. In order to minimise the number of changes to the classification, we therefore propose to place the new genus in its own subfamily, while retaining the Haemaphysalinae, Amblyomminae (without the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*') and Rhipicephalinae.

The status of the 'primitive Aponomma' remains unclear. A. sphenodonti shares several characters with the 'indigenous Australian Aponomma' (e.g. the reduction of the internal row of hypostomal denticles and the presence of lateral grooves on the scutum of the male), but is set apart from that lineage by the triangular shape of the basis capituli (Dumbleton, 1943; Kaufman, 1972). A re-examination of the larvae of A. sphenodonti might be instructive in this context, focusing on their currently undocumented distribution pattern of large wax glands. Both this species and A. elaphense are tentatively placed with the 'typical Aponomma' in Amblyomma, until further evidence relating them to other ixodid lineages is generated.

Taxonomy

Bothriocrotoninae n. subfam.

Diagnosis. With characteristics of its sole constituent genus, *Bothriocroton* Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994.

Bothriocroton Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994 status amend.

Syn. Aponomma (Bothriocroton) Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994

Diagnosis

Hypostomal dentition in the adults 2/2 or 3/3 with internal row much smaller than other rows; larvae with three large wax glands lateral near setae *s*6.

Other stages:

Postlarval instars. Scutum broader than long. In female and nymphs with conspicuous posterolateral indentations formed by confluence of larger punctations. Scutum of the males with partial or complete lateral grooves, formed by a confluence of larger punctations (absent in *B. glebopalma*). Iridescent ornamentation on the scutum absent, but *B. glebopalma* and *B. undatum* have white ornamentation. Eyes absent. Basis capituli subpentagonal in shape. Palps elongate. Coxae with two spurs in all instars. Trochanters with a single subterminal ventral spur (absent in *B. glebopalma*).

Larvae. Idiosomal setation pattern generally as in other Metastriata. Setae Zv4 and Sv1 absent in, respectively, *B. glebopalma* + *B. hydrosauri* and *B. glebopalma*. Large wax glands on festoons 5 (segment XIII) absent (present in *Amblyomma*, 'typical *Aponomma*', and nearly all *Haemaphysalis* (Klompen et al., 1996)). Leg and palpal chaetotaxy as in *Amblyomma* s.l.

Type-species: *Bothriocroton glebopalma* Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994 (by original designation).

Other included species:

B. auruginans (Schulze, 1936) n. comb (from *Aponomma*)

B. concolor (Neumann, 1899) n. comb.(from *Aponomma*)

B. hydrosauri (Denny, 1843) n. comb. (syn. *Aponomma trachysauri* Neumann, 1899)

B. undatum (Fabricius, 1775) n. comb. (syn. *Aponomma decorosum* (Koch, 1867))

Remarks

Keirans, King & Sharrad (1994) erected the subgenus *Bothriocroton* for the species *Aponomma* (*Bothriocroton*) glebopalma Keirans, King & Sharrad, 1994. As the oldest (and only) available genus group name, including any of the 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*' the name *Bothriocroton* therefore has priority (ICZN, 1999). However, the diagnosis of the genus has had to be expanded (see above) to include all 'indigenous Australian *Aponomma*'. The name *Bothriocroton* is derived from the Greek words for pitted (bothrio) and tick (croton) (Keirans et al., 1994).

Amblyomma Koch, 1844

Syn. Aponomma Neumann, 1899, new synonymy

Remarks

Two of the three groups of Kaufman (1972), 'typical' and 'primitive *Aponomma*', are included in *Amblyomma*. While support for the inclusion of 'typical *Aponomma*' in *Amblyomma* is strong, there is less support for including the 'primitive *Aponomma*', so the addition of the 'primitive *Aponomma*' to *Amblyomma* is provisional, pending further investigation.

Discussion

The proposed changes in classification of the members of the former genus Aponomma raise some very significant classification problems. The main problem in this context is the status of Amblyomma s.l. (sensu lato), that is Amblyomma s.s. (sensu stricto; the traditional genus) plus the 'typical' and 'primitive' groups of Aponomma as defined by Kaufman (1972). There are no obvious derived morphological characters for Amblyomma s.l. The presence of a marginal groove in the male might be a derived character state, but is shared with some members of Bothriocroton. We acknowledge this problem, but point out that there are no derived morphological characters for Amblyomma s.s. Commonly listed characters are: (1) presence of eyes, (2) elongated palps, and (3) presence of ornamentation. Characters (1) and (3) are derived at the level of Amblyomminae + Rhipicephalinae, and (2) is plesiomorphic for the Metastriata. Moreover, (3) is variable within Amblyomma s.s. Thus neither character is diagnostic for Amblyomma. It is clear that focused studies on the status of, and relationships within, Amblyomma s.l. are needed. One question that needs particular attention is the status of the 'primitive Aponomma'. Morphological data (Klompen et al., 1997) hint at a phylogenetic position separate from Amblyomma s.l. and Bothriocroton, but support for this idea is relatively weak. Resolution of this problem may have repercussions far beyond the classification of Amblyomma. Confirmation of the morphology-based hypothesis that 'primitive Aponomma' is the sister-group to the Rhipicephalinae (see Klompen et al., 1997) would have considerable implications for our understanding of the evolution of that major lineage of ticks.

The recognition of *Bothriocroton* at full generic level is the first step towards resolving the considerable systematic problems surrounding the ambly-ommine lineage. While acknowledging the problems associated with changes of classification, we believe that a better match between phylogenetic position and classification advances overall understanding of a taxon. In this particular case, the recognition of *Bothriocroton* resolves a number of unexplained observations on biogeography, host-associations and cytogenetics of the former *Aponomma*.

Acknowledgements

We thank Tom Cribb for advice on nomenclature, David Kemp for the loan of material of *Aponomma auruginans* and *A. concolor*, and Jim Keirans for his comments on the manuscript and his assistance in tracking down references.

References

- Binnington, K.C. (1972) The distribution and morphology of probable photoreceptors in eight species of ticks (Ixodoidea). *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde*, 40, 321-332.
- Borges, L.M.F., Labruna, M.B., Linardi, P.M. & Ribeiro, M.F.B. (1998) Recognition of the tick genus Anocentor Schulze, 1937 (Acari: Ixodidae) by numerical taxonomy. Journal of Medical Entomology, 35, 891-894.
- Camicas, J.L. & Morel, P.C. (1977) Position systematique et classification des tiques (Acarida: Ixodida). Acarologia, 18, 410-420.
- Clifford, C.M., Anastos, G. & Elbl, A. (1961) The larval ixodid ticks of the Eastern United States (Acarina: Ixodidae). *Miscellaneous Publications of the Entomological Society of America*, 2, 213-237.
- Crosbie, P.R., Boyce, W.M. & Rodwell, T.C. (1998) DNA sequence variation in *Dermacentor hunteri* and estimated phylogenies of *Dermacentor* spp. (Acari: Ixodidae) in the New World. *Journal* of Medical Entomology, 35, 277-288.
- Dias, J.A.T.S. (1993) Contribuição para o estudo da sistemática e taxonomia das espécies do género Aponomma Neumann, 1899 (Acarina - Ixodoidea). Ministério do Planeamento e da Administração do Território, Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical, Estudos, Ensaios e Documentos, Lisboa, 157, 14-205.
- Dobson, S.J. & Barker, S.C. (1999) Phylogeny of the hard ticks (Ixodidae) inferred from 18S RNA indicates the genus *Aponomma* is paraphyletic. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 11, 288-295.
- Dumbleton, L.J. (1943) A new tick from the tuatara (Sphenodon punctatus). New Zealand Journal of Science and Technology, 24, 185-190.
- Filippova, N.A. (1997) *Ixodid ticks of subfamily Amblyomminae*. St. Petersburg: Nauka Publishing House, 446 pp. (In Russian).
- Hoogstraal, H. & Aeschlimann, A. (1982) Tick-host specificity. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique Suisse, 55, 5-32.
- Kaufman, T.S. (1972) A revision of the genus Aponomma Neumann, 1899 (Acarina: Ixodidae). PhD dissertation, University of Maryland, 389 pp.

- Keirans, J.E. (1992) Systematics of the Ixodida (Argasidae, Ixodidae, Nuttalliellidae): an overview and some problems. In: Fivaz, B.H., Petney, T.N. & Horak, I.G. (Eds) *Tick vector biology. Medical and veterinary aspects*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 1-21.
- Keirans, J.E., King, D.R. & Sharrad, R.D. (1994) Aponomma (Bothriocroton) glebopalma, n. subgen., n. sp., and Amblyomma glauerti n. sp. (Acari: Ixodida: Ixodidae), parasites of monitor lizards (Varanidae) in Australia. Journal of Medical Entomology, 31, 132-147.
- Keirans, J.E. & Klompen, J.S.H. (1996) Amblyomma quadricavum (Schulze) (new combination), and Amblyomma arianae Keirans & Garris, a new junior synonym of Amblyomma quadricavum (Acari: Ixodidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, 98, 164-165.
- Keirans, J.E. & Robbins, R.G. (1999) A world checklist of genera, subgenera, and species of ticks (Acari: Ixodida) published from 1973-1997. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, 24, 115-129.
- Klompen, J.S.H., Black, W.C., IV, Keirans, J.E. & Norris, D.E. (2000) Systematics and biogeography of hard ticks, a total evidence approach. *Cladistics*, 16, 79-102.
- Klompen, J.S.H., Keirans, J.E., Filippova, N.A. & Oliver, J.H., Jr (1996) Idiosomal lyrifissures, setae, and small glands as taxonomic characters and potential indicators of ancestral segmentation patterns in larval Ixodidae (Acari: Ixodida). *International Journal of Acarology*, 22, 113-134.
- Klompen, J.S.H. & Oliver, J.H., Jr (1993) Systematic relationships in the soft ticks (Acari: Ixodida: Argasidae). Systematic Entomology, 18, 313-331.
- Klompen, J.S.H., Oliver, J.H., Jr, Keirans, J.E. & Homsher, P.J. (1997) A re-evaluation of relationships in the Metastriata (Acari: Parasitiformes: Ixodida). *Systematic Parasitology*, **38**, 1-24.
- Lehtinen, P.T. (1991) Phylogeny and zoogeography of the Holothyrida. In: Dusbábek, F. & Bukva, V. (Eds) Modern Acarology, Volume II. The Hague: SPB Academic, pp. 101-113.
- Murrell, A., Campbell, N.J.H. & Barker, S.C. (2001) A totalevidence phylogeny of ticks provides insights into the evolution of life cycles and biogeography. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **21**, 244-258.
- Neumann, L.G. (1899) Révision de la famille des ixodidés. (3e mémoire). Mémoirs du Societé de Zoologie de France, 12, 107-294.
- Nuttall, G.H.F. & Warburton, C. (1911) Ticks: a monograph of the Ixodoidea, Part II: The genus Ixodes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 105-348 pp.
- Oliver, J.H., Jr (1977) Cytogenetics of mites and ticks. Annual Review of Entomology, 22, 407-429.
- Roberts, F.H.S. (1970) Australian ticks. Yeerongpilly, Queensland: CSIRO, 267 pp.